## **NM OIL CONSERVATION**

ARTESIA DISTRICT

District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

DEC 1 4 2017

Form C-141 Revised April 3, 2017

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505 Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in **RECEIVED** ordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

Release Notification and Corrective Action OPERATOR													
						MAIUK				ıl Report [	] Fi	nal Report	
Name of Company				X A P POLE		Contact			11.776.1				
EOG Y Resources, Inc. #25515						Chase Settle							
Address	treet Artesi	ia NM 8821			Telephone No. 575-748-1471								
Facility Nar		14 1 1111 0021			Facility Type								
Patrick API		100			Battery								
Surface Ow	ner		Mineral C	)wner	API No.								
Fee		Fee	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					30-015-28592					
LOCATION OF RELEASE													
						h/South Line   Feet from the   East/West Line   County							
D	10	19S	25E	660	Nor	th	660	\ \	est	est Eddy			
Latitude <u>32.68109</u> Longitude <u>-104.47914</u> NAD83													
NATURE OF RELEASE													
Type of Release						Volume of Release 13 B/PW			Volume Recovered				
Produced Water Source of Release						Date and Hour of Occurrence			1 B/PW Date and Hour of Discovery				
Pipe Coupling by pump						12/01/2017; AM 12/01/2017; 8:41 AM							
Was Immedi	ate Notice C	Given?	No ⊠ Not R	eauired	If YES, To Whom?								
By Whom?						Date and Hour							
Was a Watercourse Reached?						If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse.							
☐ Yes ⊠ No													
If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.* N/A													
Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.*  There was a failure of a pipe coupling on the downstream side of the pump that caused the release.													
Describe Are					vithin th	a harm of t	ha hattami. Vart	ical and	harizantal .	dalinaatian sam	nlee wi	ill ha	
The impacted area was approximately 140 feet by 10 feet within the berm of the battery. Vertical and horizontal delineation samples will be taken and analysis ran for TPH & BTEX (chlorides for documentation). If initial analytical results for TPH & BTEX are under RRAL's (site ranking is 0)													
a Final Report, C-141 will be submitted to the OCD requesting closure. If the analytical results are above the RRAL's a work plan will be submitted to the													
OCD. Depth to Ground Water: >100' (195', Section 10, T19S, R25E, per NMOSE), Wellhead Protection Area: No, Distance to Surface Water Body: >1000', SITE RANKING IS 0.													
I hereby certi	fy that the i	nformation g	iven above	e is true and comp									
regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger													
	public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to ground water, surface water, human health												
or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other													
federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.  OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION													
Signature: (	/han .	Settle			OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION								
Printed Name: Chase Settle						Approved by Environmental Specialist: ACCLATED TO							
Title: Rep Safety & Environmental II						Approval Date: 12/18/17 Expiration Date: NIA PCOPA							
E-mail Address: chase_settle@eogresources.com						Conditions of Approval:  Attached							
Date: Decem	ber 13, 201	7	Phone: 575-748-	4171	see attached app-4522								

Operator/Responsible Party,

The OCD has received the form C-141 you provided on 12/14/17 regarding an unauthorized release. The information contained on that form has been entered into our incident database and remediation case number 1/28/24/2021 has been assigned. Please refer to this case number in all future correspondence.

It is the Division's obligation under both the Oil & Gas Act and Water Quality Act to provide for the protection of public health and the environment. Our regulations (19.15.29.11 NMAC) state the following,

The responsible person shall complete <u>division-approved corrective action</u> for releases that endanger public health or the environment. The responsible person shall address releases in accordance with a remediation plan submitted to and approved by the division or with an abatement plan submitted in accordance with 19.15.30 NMAC. [emphasis added]

Release characterization is the first phase of corrective action unless the release is ongoing or is of limited volume and all impacts can be immediately addressed. Proper and cost-effective remediation typically cannot occur without adequate characterization of the impacts of any release. Furthermore, the Division has the ability to impose reasonable conditions upon the efforts it oversees. As such, the Division is requiring a workplan for the characterization of impacts associated with this release be submitted to the OCD District II office in Artesia on or before 1/14/18. If and when the release characterization workplan is approved, there will be an associated deadline for submittal of the resultant investigation report. Modest extensions of time to these deadlines may be granted, but only with acceptable justification.

The goals of a characterization effort are: 1) determination of the lateral and vertical extents along with the magnitude of soil contamination. 2) determine if groundwater or surface waters have been impacted. 3) If groundwater or surface waters have been impacted, what are the extents and magnitude of that impact. 4) The characterization of any other adverse impacts that may have occurred (examples: impacts on vegetation, impacts on wildlife, air quality, loss of use of property, etc.). To meet these goals as quickly as possible, the following items must, at a minimum, be addressed in the release characterization workplan and subsequent reporting:

- Horizontal delineation of soil impacts in each of the four cardinal compass directions. Adsorbed soil contamination must be characterized for the following constituents using the associated laboratory methods: benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes by either Method 8260 or 8021, total petroleum hydrocarbons by Method 8015 extended range (GRO+DRO+MRO; C<sub>6</sub> thru C<sub>36</sub>), and for chloride by Method 300. This is not an exclusive list of potential contaminants. Analyzed parameters should be modified based on the nature of the released substance(s). Soil sampling must be both within the impacted area and beyond.
- Vertical delineation of soil impacts. Adsorbed soil contamination must be characterized for the following constituents using the associated laboratory methods: benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes by either Method 8260 or 8021, total petroleum hydrocarbons by Method 8015 extended range (GRO+DRO+MRO; C<sub>6</sub> thru C<sub>36</sub>), and for chloride by Method 300. As above, this is not an exclusive list of potential contaminants and can be modified. Vertical characterization samples should be taken at depth intervals no greater than five feet apart. Lithologic description of encountered soils must also be provided. At least ten vertical feet of soils with contaminant concentrations at or below these values must be demonstrated as existing above the water table.
- Nominal detection limits for field and laboratory analyses must be provided.
- Composite sampling is not generally allowed.
- Field screening and assessment techniques are acceptable (headspace, titration, EC [include algorithm for validation purposes], EM, etc.), but the sampling and assay procedures must be clearly defined. Copies of field notes are highly desirable. A statistically significant set of split samples must be submitted for confirmatory laboratory analysis, including the laterally farthest and vertically deepest sets of soil samples. Make sure there are at least two soil samples submitted

for laboratory analysis from each borehole or test pit (highest observed contamination and deepest depth investigated). Copies of the actual laboratory results must be provided including chain of custody documentation.

- •Probable depth to shallowest protectable groundwater and lateral distance to nearest surface water. If there is an estimate of groundwater depth, the information used to arrive at that estimate must be provided. If there is a reasonable assumption that the depth to protectable water is 50 feet or less, the responsible party should anticipate the need for at least one groundwater monitoring well to be installed in the area of likely maximum contamination.
- If groundwater contamination is encountered, an additional investigation workplan may be required to determine the extents of that contamination. Groundwater and/or surface water samples, if any, must be analyzed by a competent laboratory for volatile organic hydrocarbons (typically Method 8260 full list), total dissolved solids, pH, major anions and cations including chloride and sulfate, dissolved iron, and dissolved manganese. The investigation workplan must provide the groundwater sampling method(s) and sample handling protocols. To the fullest extent possible, aqueous analyses must be undertaken using nominal method detection limits. As with the soil analyses, copies of the actual laboratory results must be provided including chain of custody documentation.
- Accurately scaled and well-drafted site maps must be provided providing the location of borings, test pits, monitoring wells, potentially impacted areas, and significant surface features including roads and site infrastructure that might limit either the release characterization or remedial efforts. Field sketches may be included in subsequent reporting, but should not be considered stand-alone documentation of the site's layout. Digital photographic documentation of the location and fieldwork is recommended, especially if unusual circumstances are encountered.

Nothing herein should be interpreted to preclude emergency response actions or to imply immediate remediation by removal cannot proceed as warranted. Nonetheless, characterization of impacts and confirmation of the effectiveness of remedial efforts must still be provided to the OCD before any release incident will be closed.

## Jim Griswold

OCD Environmental Bureau Chief 1220 South St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 505-476-3465 jim.griswold@state.nm.us

## Weaver, Crystal, EMNRD

From: Yvette Moore <Yvette\_Moore@eogresources.com>

Sent: Thursday, December 14, 2017 1:13 PM

To: Weaver, Crystal, EMNRD; Bratcher, Mike, EMNRD

Cc: Bob Asher; Chase Settle

Subject: Patrick API Battery C-141 Initial

**Attachments:** C-141\_Initial\_Patrick\_Battery\_2017-12-01 (002).pdf

Please find the attached C-141 Initial for the location listed below:

**Patrick API Battery** 30-015-28592 660' FNL & 660' FWL Section 10, T19S-R25E **Eddy County, New Mexico** 

Thanks,

**Oeog resources** 

**Yvette Moore** 

Rep Safety & Environmental II Safety & Environmental Department Artesia Division

(575)748-4223

yvette moore@eogresources.com