

#### **CLOSURE REPORT**

Property:

Grama Ridge Release S5, T22S, R34E Lea County, New Mexico NMOCD No. 1RP-5715

November 14, 2019 Ensolum Project No. 03B1206009

Prepared for:

Marathon Oil Permian LLC 4111 S. Tidwell Road Carlsbad, New Mexico 88220

Attn: Mr. Isaac Castro

Prepared by:

## 5NE8Y-191115-C-1410 1RP-5715

Beaux Jennings Senior Project Manager

lizabeth Scaggs

Elizabeth Scaggs, PG Principal Geoscientist

Ensolum, LLC 705 W. Wadley, Suite 210, Midland, TX 79705 ° www.ensolum.com P.G. Firm No. 50588



.



### TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTR	ODUCTION	.1
	1.1	Site Description & Background	.1
	1.2	Project Objective	.1
2.0	CLOS	SURE CRITERIA	.1
3.0	SOIL	REMEDIATION ACTIVITIES	.3
4.0	SOIL	SAMPLING PROGRAM	.3
5.0	SOIL	LABORATORY ANALYTICAL METHODS	.3
6.0	DATA	A EVALUATION	.4
7.0	RECI	AMATION AND RE-VEGETATION	.4
8.0	FIND	INGS AND RECOMMENDATION	.4
9.0	STAN	IDARDS OF CARE, LIMITATIONS, AND RELIANCE	.5
	9.1	Standard of Care	.5
	9.2	Limitations	.5
	9.3	Reliance	.5

#### LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A:	Figures
Appendix B:	Supporting Figures and Documentation
Appendix C:	Photographic Documentation
Appendix D:	Table 1 - Soil Analytical Summary
Appendix E:	Laboratory Data Sheets & Chain of Custody Documentation
Appendix F:	C-141



### **CLOSURE REPORT**

Grama Ridge Release S5, T22S, R34E Lea County, New Mexico NMOCD No. 1RP-5715

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Site Description & Background

Operator:	Marathon Oil Permian LLC (Marathon)
Site Name:	Grama Ridge
Location:	32.399529 N, -103.489403 W Section 5, Township 22 South, Range 34 East Lea County, New Mexico
Property:	Marathon Oil Permian LLC
Regulatory:	New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department (EMNRD) Oil Conservation Division (OCD)

On September 5, 2019, a Marathon operator observed and reported a release of crude oil from a leaking lease automatic custody transfer (LACT) unit air eliminator. Approximately 12.5 barrels (bbls) of crude oil was released onto the ground surface and flowed approximately 100 feet west and 80 feet north of the LACT unit. Subsequent to the discovery of the release, Marathon dispatched a vacuum truck to recover standing crude oil that was released onto the pad surrounding the LACT unit. Approximately 10 bbls of crude oil were recovered the vacuum truck.

The **Topographic Map** depicting the location of the Site is included as **Figure 1**, and the **Site Vicinity Map** is included as **Figure 2** in **Appendix A**.

#### 1.2 Project Objective

The primary objective of the closure activities was to reduce constituent of concern (COC) concentrations in the on-Site soils to below the applicable New Mexico EMNRD OCD closure criteria concentrations.

#### 2.0 CLOSURE CRITERIA

The Site is subject to regulatory oversight by the New Mexico EMNRD OCD. In order to address activities related to exempt oil and gas releases, the New Mexico EMNRD OCD references New Mexico Administrative Code (NMAC) 19.15.29 *Releases,* which establishes investigation and abatement action requirements for sites subject to reporting and/or corrective action. Ensolum, LLC (Ensolum) utilized information provided by Marathon, the general site characteristics, and information available from the New Mexico Office of the State Engineer (OSE) and the New Mexico EMNRD OCD Imaging database to determine the appropriate closure criteria for the Site. Supporting documentation and figures associated with the following bullets are provided in **Appendix B**. No water wells were identified within a half-mile of the Site. However, the closest water well was identified approximately 0.88 miles northeast of the Site on the OSE Water Rights Reporting System (WRRS) database with a depth to water of 31 feet below ground surface (bgs).



- The Site is not located within 300 feet of a New Mexico ENMRD OCD-defined continuously flowing watercourse or significant watercourse.
- The Site is not located within 200 feet of a lakebed, sinkhole or playa lake.
- The Site is not located within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution or church.
- According to the OSE WRSS database there are no private, domestic freshwater wells used by less than five (5) households for domestic or stock water purposes identified within 500 feet of the Site.
- According to the OSE WRSS database there are no freshwater wells identified within 1,000 feet of the Site as declared in the previous bullet.
- The Site is not located within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3.
- The Site is not located within 300 feet of a wetland.
- Based on information identified on the New Mexico Mining and Minerals Division's GIS, Maps and Mine Data database, the Site is not located within an area overlying a subsurface mine.
- The Site is not located within an unstable area.
- The Site is not located within a 100-year floodplain.

Based on the identified siting criteria, cleanup goals for soils remaining in place at the Site include:

	Closure Criteria for	Soils Impacted by a Release	
Minimum depth below any point within horizontal boundary of the release to groundwater less than 10,000 mg/l TDS	Constituent	Method	Limit
	Chloride	EPA 300.0 or SM4500 CI B	600 mg/kg
	TPH (GRO+DRO+MRO)	EPA SW-846 Method 8015M	100 mg/kg
≤50 feet	BTEX	EPA SW-846 Method 8021B or 8260B	50 mg/kg
	Benzene	EPA SW-846 Method 8021B or 8260B	10 mg/kg

Closure Report Grama Ridge Release November 14, 2019



#### 3.0 SOIL REMEDIATION ACTIVITIES

On September 5, 2019, a Marathon operator observed and reported a release of crude oil from a leaking LACT unit air eliminator. Approximately 12.5 bbls of crude oil was released onto the ground surface and flowed approximately 100 feet west and 80 feet north of the LACT unit. Subsequent to the discovery of the release, Marathon dispatched a vacuum truck to recover standing crude oil that was released onto the pad surrounding the LACT unit. Approximately 10 bbls of crude oil were recovered the vacuum truck. During remediation activities, Lighthouse Environmental, LLC (Lighthouse) utilized a backhoe and hand digging during soil remediation activities, beginning near the point of release near the LACT unit and flow path. Remediation activities were conducted by Lighthouse, with oversight by Ensolum, on October 2, 2019 through October 4, 2019.

The flow path area measured approximately 4,800 square feet. The maximum depth of COC impacts measured approximately two and a half feet (2.5) bgs.

The lithology encountered during the completion of closure activities consisted primarily of caliche, underlain by silty sand.

A total of approximately 270 cubic yards (cy) of petroleum hydrocarbon affected soils were transported offsite for disposal. The excavation was backfilled with imported clean fill then contoured to surrounding grade.

**Figure 3** is a map that identifies approximate soil sample locations and depicts the approximate dimensions of the excavation with respect to the LACT unit (**Appendix A**). Photographic documentation of the field activities is included in **Appendix C**.

#### 4.0 SOIL SAMPLING PROGRAM

Ensolum's soil sampling program included the collection of five (5) confirmation soil samples (CS-1 through CS-5) from the impacted area for laboratory analysis. The location and depth of the five (5) confirmation soil samples were taken within the flow path to horizontally and vertically delineate the crude oil released from the on-site LACT unit. Prior to Ensolum's arrival, a portion of the impacted soil had been removed and placed on a plastic liner on the northwest portion of the site. A stockpile soil sample (STP) was also taken to characterize the impacted soil for disposal purposes.

The soil samples were collected and placed in laboratory prepared glassware, labeled/sealed using laboratory supplied labels and custody seals, and stored on ice in a cooler. The samples were relinquished to Xenco Laboratories in Midland, Texas, under proper chain-of-custody procedures.

#### 5.0 SOIL LABORATORY ANALYTICAL METHODS

The confirmation soil samples and stockpile soil sample were analyzed for benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and total xylenes (BTEX) using Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW-846 Method #8021B, total petroleum hydrocarbon (TPH) gasoline range organics (GRO), diesel range organics (DRO), and motor oil/lube oil range organics (MRO) using EPA SW-846 Method #8015M, and chloride using EPA Method #300.0.

Laboratory analytical results are summarized in **Table 1** in **Appendix D**. The executed chain-of-custody and laboratory documentation are provided in **Appendix E**.

Closure Report Grama Ridge Release November 14, 2019



#### 6.0 DATA EVALUATION

Ensolum compared the BTEX, TPH GRO/DRO/MRO, and chloride concentrations associated with the confirmation soil samples (CS-1 through CS-5) remaining in place to the New Mexico EMNRD OCD closure criteria.

- Laboratory analytical results indicate benzene concentrations for soils remaining in place do not exceed the laboratory sample detection limits (SDLs) or the New Mexico EMNRD OCD closure criteria of 10 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg).
- Laboratory analytical results indicate that total BTEX concentrations for soils remaining in place do not exceed the laboratory SDLs or the New Mexico EMNRD OCD closure criteria of 50 mg/kg.
- Laboratory analytical results indicate combined TPH GRO/DRO/MRO concentrations for soils remaining in place do not exceed the laboratory SDLs or the New Mexico EMNRD OCD closure criteria of 100 mg/kg.
- Laboratory analytical results indicate chloride concentrations for soils remaining in place do not exceed the laboratory SDLs or the New Mexico EMNRD OCD closure criteria of 600 mg/kg.

Laboratory analytical results are summarized in **Table 1** in **Appendix D**.

#### 7.0 RECLAMATION AND RE-VEGETATION

The impacted area was backfilled with clean backfill and then contoured to original surface grade. The release area is located inside an active oil and gas production and storage facility; therefore, Lighthouse compacted the backfilled excavation in order to minimize dust and erosion at the site.

#### 8.0 FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION

- The primary objective of the closure activities was to reduce COC concentrations in the on-Site soils to below the applicable New Mexico EMNRD OCD closure criteria using the New Mexico EMNRD OCD's NMAC 19.15.29 *Releases* as guidance.
- During remediation activities, Lighthouse utilized a backhoe and hand digging during soil remediation activities, beginning near the point of release near the LACT unit and flow path. Remediation activities were conducted by Lighthouse, with oversight by Ensolum, on October 2, 2019 through October 4, 2019.
- A total of five (5) confirmation soil samples were collected from the impacted area. Based on laboratory analytical results, soils remaining in place do not exhibit COC concentrations above the applicable New Mexico EMNRD OCD closure criteria.
- The location and depth of the five (5) confirmation soil samples taken within the flow path are adequate to effectively horizontally and vertically delineate the crude oil released from the on-site LACT unit.
- A total of approximately 270 cy of petroleum hydrocarbon affected soils were transported off-site for disposal. The excavation was backfilled with imported clean fill then contoured to surrounding grade.

Based on field observations and laboratory analytical results, no additional investigation or corrective action appears warranted at this time.



#### 9.0 STANDARDS OF CARE, LIMITATIONS, AND RELIANCE

#### 9.1 Standard of Care

Ensolum's services were performed in accordance with standards customarily provided by a firm rendering the same or similar services in the area during the same time period. Ensolum makes no warranties, express or implied, as to the services performed hereunder. Additionally, Ensolum does not warrant the work of third parties supplying information used in the report (e.g. laboratories, regulatory agencies, or other third parties). This scope of services was performed in accordance with the scope of work agreed with the client, as detailed in our proposal.

#### 9.2 Limitations

Findings, conclusions, and recommendations resulting from these services are based upon information derived from the on-site activities and other services performed under this scope of work and it should be noted that this information is subject to change over time. Certain indicators of the presence of hazardous substances, petroleum products, or other constituents may have been latent, inaccessible, unobservable, or not present during these services, and Ensolum cannot represent that the Site contains no hazardous substances, toxic materials, petroleum products, or other latent conditions beyond those identified during the investigation. Environmental conditions at other areas or portions of the Site may vary from those encountered at actual sample locations. Ensolum's findings, and recommendations are based solely upon data available to Ensolum at the time of these services.

#### 9.3 Reliance

This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of Marathon Oil Permian LLC, and any authorization for use or reliance by any other party (except a governmental entity having jurisdiction over the Site) is prohibited without the express written authorization Marathon Oil Permian LLC and Ensolum. Any unauthorized distribution or reuse is at the client's sole risk. Notwithstanding the foregoing, reliance by authorized parties will be subject to the terms, conditions and limitations stated in the Closure Report.

Page 7 of 64

## **ENSOLUM**

APPENDIX A

Figures









APPENDIX B

Supporting Figures & Documentation



All Rights Reserved

SF

. Tract No of Map No of the	CAPITAN		
STATEMENT       STATE ENGINEER         STATE ENGINEER         STATEMENT         State of Mark Scatter Sc	B	BASIN NAME	-1 17 184 APR 20 P
tame of Declarant_THE_MERCHANT_LIVESTOCK_COMPANY       STATE ENGINEER         failing Address_P.0. Box_5148       Carlsbad       SANTA FE, N.M. 8         Source of water supply_shallow       (artesian or shallow water aquifer)       State of		ivate received <b>Ap</b> .	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
County of	Name of Declarant THE MERCHANT LIVES	STOCK COMPANY	STATE ÉNGINEE SANTA FE, N.M
bescribe well location under one of the following subheadings: <u>KINE % NE % of Sec. 8</u> Twp. <u>22 S</u> Rge. <u>34 E</u> N.M.P.M., in <u>I tea</u>	County of Eddy	, State ofNew M	lexico
X =	Describe well location under one of the following subheadir a ¼ NE ¼ NE ¼ of S County.	ngs: Sec. <u>8</u> Twp. <u>22</u>	PS Rge. 34 E N.M.P.M.
On land owned by	c. X = feet, Y =	feet, N. M. Coordinate Sys	stem Zo
outside diameter of casing 5/8 inches; original capacitygal. per min.; present capacity3_ gal. per min.; pumping liftfeet; static water level 31feet (above) (below) land surface; make and type of pump			
gal. per min.; pumping liftfeet; static water level_31feet (above) (below) land surface; make and type of pump	Description of well: date drilled 1918	driller	depthfe
make and type of pump	outside diameter of casing 5/8 inches; original	capacitygal. pe	r min.; present capacity3
make, type, horsepower, etc., of power plant	gal. per min.; pumping liftfeet; static wate	er level_31_feet (above)	(below) land surface;
Fractitional or percentage interest claimed in well 100% Quantity of water appropriated and beneficially used up to 3 (acre feet per annum) for stock water Acreage actually irrigated acres, located and described as follows (describe only lands actually irrigated): Acreas Subdivision Sec. Twp. Ronge Irrigated Owner Subdivision Sec. Twp. Ronge Irrigated Owner Subdivision Sec. Twp. Ronge Irrigated (Note: locotion of well and acreage actually irrigated must be shown on plat on reverse side.) (Note: locotion of well and acreage actually irrigated must be shown on plat on reverse side.) (Note: locotion of well and acreage actually irrigated must be shown on plat on reverse side.) (Note: locotion of well and acreage actually irrigated must be shown on plat on reverse side.) (Note: locotion of well and acreage actually irrigated must be shown on plat on reverse side.) (Note: locotion of well and acreage actually irrigated must be shown on plat on reverse side.) (Note: locotion of well and acreage actually irrigated must be shown on plat on reverse side.) (Note: locotion of well and acreage actually irrigated must be shown on plat on reverse side.) (Note: locotion of well and acreage actually irrigated must be shown on plat on reverse side.) (Note: locotion of well and acreage actually irrigated must be shown on plat on reverse side.) (Note: locotion of well and acreage actually irrigated must be shown on plat on reverse side.) (Note: locotion of well and acreage actually irrigated must be shown on plat on reverse side.) (Note: locotion of well and acreage actually irrigated must be shown on plat on reverse side.) (Note: locotion of well and acreage actually irrigated must be shown on plat on reverse side.) (Note: locotion of well and acreage actually irrigated must be shown on plat on reverse side.) (Note: locotion of well and acreage actually irrigated must be shown on plat on reverse side.) (Note: locotion of well and acreage actually irrigated must be shown on plat on reverse side.) (Note: locotion of well and acrea	make and type of pump		
Quantity of water appropriated and beneficially used	make, type, horsepower, etc., of power plant		
Quantity of water appropriated and beneficially used	Fractitional or percentage interest claimed in well.	100%	
Acreage actually irrigated acres, located and described as follows (describe only lands actually irrigated):          Acreas         Subdivision       Sec.         Twp.       Ronge         Irrigated       Owner         Irrigated       Owner         Irrigated       Irrigated         Irrigated			(acre feet per annum)
Subdivision       Sec.       Twp.       Range       Irrigated       Owner		and described as follows (d	
(Note: location of well and acreage actually irrigated must be shown on plat on reverse side.) Water was first applied to beneficial use	Acreage actuary migated weres, iseated	and deserved us tono as (2	
(Note: location of well and acreage actually irrigated must be shown on plat on reverses side.) Water was first applied to beneficial use		Acres	
(Note: location of well and acreage actually irrigated must be shown on plat on reverse side.) Water was first applied to beneficial use	Subdivision Sec. Tw	vp. Range Irrigated	
(Note: location of well and acreage actually irrigated must be shown on plat on reverse side.) Water was first applied to beneficial use	Subdivision Sec. Tv	vp. Range Irrigated	
(Note: location of well and acreage actually irrigated must be shown on plat on reverse side.) Water was first applied to beneficial use	Subdivision Sec. Tv	vp. Range Irrigated	
(Note: location of well and acreage actually irrigated must be shown on plot on reverses side.) Water was first applied to beneficial use	Subdivision Sec. Tv 	vp. Range Irrigated	The Merchant Lives
Water was first applied to beneficial use	Subdivision         Sec.         Tv	vp. Range Irrigated	The Merchant Lives
month day year and the above described lands or for the above desc		vp. Range Irrigated	The Merchant Lives
as follows:	(Note: location of well and acreage actual	vp. Range Irrigated <u>stock_only</u>   Iy irrigated must be shown on p	The Merchant Lives
	(Note: location of well and acreage actual Water was first applied to beneficial use	vp. Ronge Irrigated 	Int on reverse side.)
	(Note: location of well and acreage actual Water was first applied to beneficial use	vp. Ronge Irrigated	Int on reverse side.)
	(Note: location of well and acreage actual Water was first applied to beneficial use	vp. Ronge Irrigated	Int on reverse side.)
	(Note: location of well and acreage actual Water was first applied to beneficial use	vp. Ronge Irrigated	Int on reverse side.)
Additional statements or explanations	(Note: location of well and acreage actual Water was first applied to beneficial use month has been used fully and continuously on all of the a as follows:	vp. Range Irrigated	Interchant Lives
Additional statements or explanations name of well - Hamilton	(Note: location of well and acreage actual Water was first applied to beneficial use	vp. Ronge Irrigated	The Merchant Lives
	(Note: location of well and acreage actual Water was first applied to beneficial use	vp. Ronge Irrigated	The Merchant Lives
	(Note: location of well and acreage actual Water was first applied to beneficial use	vp. Ronge Irrigated	The Merchant Lives
	(Note: location of well and acreage actual Water was first applied to beneficial use	vp. Ronge Irrigated	The Merchant Lives
name of well - Hamilton	(Note: location of well and acreage actual Water was first applied to beneficial use	vp. Ronge Irrigated	The Merchant Lives
name of well - Hamilton I, J. D. Merchant, In President being first duly sworn upon my oath, depose and say that the above is with the instructions on the re-	(Note: location of well and acreage actual Water was first applied to beneficial use	wp.       Ronge       Irrigated	The Merchant Lives
name of well - Hamilton           I, J. D. Merchant. In President         being first duly sworn upon my oath,           depose and say that the above is whether a bove is whether the instructions on the result of the first duly sworn upon my oath,	(Note: location of well and acreage actual Water was first applied to beneficial use	wp.       Ronge       Irrigated	The Merchant Lives
I. J. D. Merchant. Jr. President being first duly sworn upon my oath, depose and say that the above is the complete statement prepared in accordance with the instructions on the reverse side of this form and sublitted in the erec of ownership of a valid underground water right, that I have carefull read each and all of the terms contained therein and that the same are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.	(Note: location of well and acreage actual Water was first applied to beneficial use	wp.       Ronge       Irrigated	The Merchant Lives
name of well - Hamilton I. J. D. Merchant. In President being first duly sworn upon my oath, depose and say that the abaya is a complete statement prepared in accordance with the instructions on the re	(Note: location of well and acreage actual Water was first applied to beneficial use	wp.       Ronge       Irrigated	The Merchant Lives

My commission expires The rech. 20, 1980 Filed ONDER NEWE PROCO LAW A DECLARATION IS ONLY A STATEMENT OF DECLARANT'S CLAIM. ACCEPTANCE FOR FILING DOES NOT CONSTITUTE APPROVAL OR REJECTION OF THE CLAIM.

•

Locate well and areas actually irrigated as accurately as possible on following plat:

Section (s) ....., Township ....., Range .....

. N. M. P. M.



#### INSTRUCTIONS

Declaration shall be executed (preferably typewritten) in triplicate and must be accompanied by a \$1.00 filing fee. Each of triplicate copies must be properly signed and attested.

A separate declaration must be filed for each well in use.

All blanks shall be filled out fully. Required information which cannot be sworn to by declarant shall be supplied by affidavit of person or persons familiar with the facts and shall be submitted herewith.

Secs. 1-3. Complete all blanks.

Sec. 4. Fill out all blanks applicable as fully as possible.

Sec. 5. Irrigation use shall be stated in acre feet of water per acre per year applied on the land. If used for domestic, municipal. or other purposes, state total quantity in acre feet used annually.

Sec. 6. Describe only the acreage actually irrigated. When necessary to clearly define irrigated acreages, describe to nearest 2½ acre subdivision. If located on unsurveyed lands. describe by legal supdivision "as projected" from the nearest government survey corners, or describe by metes and bounds and tie survey to some permanent, easily-located natural object.

Sec. 7. Explain and give dates as nearly as possible of any years when all or part of acreage claimed was not irrigated.

Sec. 8. If well irrigates or supplies supplemental water to any other land than that described above, or if land is also irrigated from any other source, explain under this section. Give any other data necessary to fully describe water right.

If additional space is necessary, use a separate sheet or sheets and attach securely hereto.



#### April 17, 1979

Files: CP-584; CF-583; CP-586; CP-587; CF-588; (P-589; CP-590; CF-591; CP-592; CP-593; GP-594; CP-595; CP-596; CP-597; CP-598; CF-599; CF-600; CP-601; CP-602

The Herchant Livestock Company P. O. Box 548 Carlabad, CM 88220

#### Gentlemen:

Unclosed are your copies of Declarations of Owner of Underground Dater Night as numbered above, which have been filed for record in the office of the State Engineer.

Please refer to each individual number in all future correspondence concerning these declarations.

The filing of these declarations does not indicate affirmation or rejection of the statements contained therein.

Yours very truly,

J. C. Groseclose Basin Supervisor

JCG/fh Encls. cc: Santa Fe



### U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetlands Inventory

## Grama Ridge



#### October 15, 2019

#### Wetlands

- Estuarine and Marine Deepwater

Estuarine and Marine Wetland

- Freshwater Emergent Wetland
  - Freshwater Forested/Shrub Wetland

Freshwater Pond



This map is for general reference only. The US Fish and Wildlife Service is not responsible for the accuracy or currentness of the base data shown on this map. All wetlands related data should be used in accordance with the layer metadata found on the Wetlands Mapper web site.

## Active Mines in New Mexico



\* Aggregate, Stone etc.

U.S. Bureau of Land Management - New Mexico State Office, Sources: Esri, USGS, NOAA, Sources: Esri, Garmin, USGS, NPS



APPENDIX C

Photographic Documentation



View of the release area during remediation activities, facing south.



View of the release area during remediation activities, facing south.



View of the release area during remediation activities, facing east.



View of flow path during remediation activities, facing southwest.



View of the release area during remediation activities, facing south.



View of the release area during remediation activities, facing east.



View of the release area during remediation activities, facing east.



APPENDIX D

Table 1 – Soil Analytical Summary

.

### **ENSOLUM**

					Marathon Oil Pern	TABLE 1 AMPLE ANALYTICA nian LLC - Grama Ric Lea County, New Me olum Project No. 03E	lge 8 State Com #002 xico	2H							
Sample I.D.	Sample Date	Sample Depth (feet bgs)	Benzene (mg/kg)	Toluene (mg/kg)	Ethylbenzene (mg/kg)	Xylenes (mg/kg)	Total BTEX (mg/kg)	TPH GRO (mg/kg)	TPH DRO (mg/kg)	TPH MRO (mg/kg)	Total TPH (GRO+DRO+MRO) (mg/kg)	Chloride (mg/kg)			
	nservation Divis s Impacted by a (≤ 50 feet)	ion Closure Criteria Release	10	NE	NE	NE	50	NE	NE	NE	100	600			
	Confirmation Soil Sample Analytical Results														
CS-1	10/4/2019	1.5	<0.000207	<0.000998	<0.000335	<0.000436	<0.000207	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	6.36			
CS-2	10/4/2019	1	<0.000207	<0.000998	<0.000335	<0.000436	<0.000207	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	200			
CS-3	10/4/2019	2.5	<0.000206	<0.000996	<0.000334	<0.000435	<0.000206	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	<15.0	9.01			
CS-4	10/4/2019	2	<0.000207	<0.00100	<0.000336	<0.000438	<0.000207	<14.9	<14.9	<14.9	<14.9	4.02 J			
CS-5	10/4/2019	1	<0.000208	<0.00101	0.000795 J	0.00305	0.00385	<15.0	74.0	<15.0	74.0	201			
					Stockpi	le Soil Sample Analy	tical Results								
STP	10/4/2019	NA	0.0514	0.863	0.759	3.78	5.45	489	6,030	549	7,070	166			

Concentrations in **bold** and yellow exceed the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division Closure Criteria for Soils Impacted by a Release (±50 feet)

bgs: below ground surface

J: The target analyte was positively identified below the quantitation limit and above the detection limit.

mg/kg: milligrams per kilogram

NA: Not Applicable

.

NE: Not Established

BTEX = Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, and Xylenes

GRO = Gasoline Range Organics

DRO = Diesel Range Organics

MRO = Motor Oil/Lube Oil Range Organics

TPH = Total Petroleum Hydrocarbon

## **ENSOLUM**

APPENDIX E

Laboratory Analytical Reports & Chain-of-Custody Documentation

## Analytical Report 639137

for

Ensolum

**Project Manager: Beaux Jennings** 

Gramma Ridge

03B1206009

10-OCT-19

Collected By: Client





1211 W. Florida Ave Midland TX 79701

Xenco-Houston (EPA Lab Code: TX00122): Texas (T104704215-19-30), Arizona (AZ0765), Florida (E871002-24), Louisiana (03054) Oklahoma (2017-142), North Carolina (681)

> Xenco-Dallas (EPA Lab Code: TX01468): Texas (TX104704295-19-22), Arizona (AZ0809), Arkansas (17-063-0)

Xenco-El Paso (EPA Lab Code: TX00127): Texas (T104704221-19-16) Xenco-Lubbock (EPA Lab Code: TX00139): Texas (T104704219-19-21) Xenco-Midland (EPA Lab Code: TX00158): Texas (T104704400-19-19) Xenco-San Antonio (EPA Lab Code: TNI02385): Texas (T104704534-19-5) Xenco Phoenix (EPA Lab Code: AZ00901): Arizona (AZ0757) Xenco-Tampa: Florida (E87429), North Carolina (483)

•



## **Table of Contents**

Cover Page	1
Cover Letter	3
Sample ID Cross Reference	4
Case Narrative	5
Certificate of Analysis (Detailed Report)	6
Explanation of Qualifiers (Flags)	20
SURR_QC_V62	21
LCS / LCSD Recoveries	24
MS / MSD Recoveries	26
Chain of Custody	28
IOS_COC_49447	30
IOS_Check_List_49447	31
Sample Receipt Conformance Report	32

•





10-OCT-19

Project Manager: **Beaux Jennings Ensolum** 2351 W Northwest Highway Suite 1203 Dallas, TX 75220

Reference: XENCO Report No(s): 639137 Gramma Ridge Project Address:

#### **Beaux Jennings**:

We are reporting to you the results of the analyses performed on the samples received under the project name referenced above and identified with the XENCO Report Number(s) 639137. All results being reported under this Report Number apply to the samples analyzed and properly identified with a Laboratory ID number. Subcontracted analyses are identified in this report with either the NELAC certification number of the subcontract lab in the analyst ID field, or the complete subcontracted report attached to this report.

Unless otherwise noted in a Case Narrative, all data reported in this Analytical Report are in compliance with NELAC standards. The uncertainty of measurement associated with the results of analysis reported is available upon request. Should insufficient sample be provided to the laboratory to meet the method and NELAC Matrix Duplicate and Matrix Spike requirements, then the data will be analyzed, evaluated and reported using all other available quality control measures.

The validity and integrity of this report will remain intact as long as it is accompanied by this letter and reproduced in full, unless written approval is granted by XENCO Laboratories. This report will be filed for at least 5 years in our archives after which time it will be destroyed without further notice, unless otherwise arranged with you. The samples received, and described as recorded in Report No. 639137 will be filed for 45 days, and after that time they will be properly disposed without further notice, unless otherwise arranged with you. We reserve the right to return to you any unused samples, extracts or solutions related to them if we consider so necessary (e.g., samples identified as hazardous waste, sample sizes exceeding analytical standard practices, controlled substances under regulated protocols, etc).

We thank you for selecting XENCO Laboratories to serve your analytical needs. If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact us at any time.

Respectfully,

Jession KRAMER

 

 Jessica Kramer

 Project Assistant

 Recipient of the Prestigious Small Business Administration Award of Excellence in 1994. Certified and approved by numerous States and Agencies.

 A Small Business and Minority Status Company that delivers SERVICE and QUALITY

Houston - Dallas - Midland - San Antonio - Phoenix - Oklahoma - Latin America

.



### Sample Cross Reference 639137



#### Ensolum, Dallas, TX

Gramma Ridge

Sample Id	Matrix	Date Collected	Sample Depth	Lab Sample Id
CS-1	S	10-04-19 09:50	1.5 ft	639137-001
CS-2	S	10-04-19 09:52	1 ft	639137-002
CS-3	S	10-04-19 09:55	2.5 ft	639137-003
CS-4	S	10-04-19 10:00	2 ft	639137-004
CS-5	S	10-04-19 10:02	1 ft	639137-005
STP	S	10-04-19 09:25		639137-006

•



#### CASE NARRATIVE

Client Name: Ensolum Project Name: Gramma Ridge

Project ID: 03B1206009 Work Order Number(s): 639137 Report Date: 10-OCT-19 Date Received: 10/07/2019

This laboratory is NELAC accredited under the Texas Laboratory Accreditation Program for all the methods, analytes, and matrices reported in this data package except as noted. The data have been reviewed and are technically compliant with the requirements of the methods used, except where noted by the laboratory.

**Sample receipt non conformances and comments:** None

Sample receipt non conformances and comments per sample:

None

.



# Certificate of Analytical Results 639137

Ensolum, Dallas, TX

Gramma Ridge

Sample Id: CS-1		Matrix:	Soil		Sample	Depth: 1.5 ft		
Lab Sample Id: 639137-001		Date Collecte	ed: 10.04.190	9.50	Date R	eceived: 10.07.	19 08.	30
Analytical Method: Chloride by EPA 300					Prep M	lethod: E300P		
Analyst: CHE		% Moist:			Tech:	CHE		
Seq Number: 3103554		Date Prep: 10	0.07.19 14.00					
		Prep seq: 76	587628					
Parameter	CAS Number	Result	MQL	SDL	Units	Analysis Date	Flag	Dil Factor
Chloride	16887-00-6	6.36	4.96	0.852	mg/kg	10.07.19 18:53		1
Analytical Method: TPH by SW8015 Mod					Prep M	lethod: 8015		

Analyst: ARM		% Moist:			Tech:	DVM		
Seq Number: 3103878		Date Prep: 10	0.09.19 17.00					
		Prep seq: 76	587811					
Parameter	CAS Number	Result	MQL	SDL	Units	Analysis Date	Flag	Dil Factor
Gasoline Range Hydrocarbons (GRO)	PHC610	<15.0	50.0	15.0	mg/kg	10.09.19 22:41	U	1
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	C10C28DRO	<15.0	50.0	15.0	mg/kg	10.09.19 22:41	U	1
Motor Oil Range Hydrocarbons (MRO)	PHCG2835	<15.0	50.0	15.0	mg/kg	10.09.19 22:41	U	1
Total TPH	PHC635	<15.0		15.0	mg/kg	10.09.19 22:41	U	
Surrogate		% Recovery		Limits	Uni	its Analysis	Date	Flag
1-Chlorooctane		83		70 -	135 %	)		
o-Terphenyl		91		70 -	135 %	)		

•

1,2-Dichloroethane-D4

Toluene-D8



# Certificate of Analytical Results 639137



Gramma Ridge

Parameter	CAS Number	Result	MOL	SDL	A Units	Analysis Data	Flag	Dil Factor
Subcontractor: SUB: T104704215-19-30		Prep seq: 768	87687					
Seq Number: 3103624		Date Prep: 10.	08.19 13.45					
Analyst: CRL		% Moist:			Tech:	CRL		
Analytical Method: BTEX by SW 8260C					Prep Metho	d: 5035A		
Lab Sample Id: 639137-001		Date Collected	l: 10.04.19 09	9.50	Date Receiv	ed: 10.07.	19 08.30	)
Sample Id: CS-1		Matrix:	Soil		Sample Dep	th: 1.5 ft		

Parameter	Number	Result	MQL	SDL	Units	Date	Flag	
Benzene	71-43-2	< 0.000207	0.000998	0.000207	mg/kg	10.08.19 14:15	U	1
Toluene	108-88-3	< 0.000998	0.00499	0.000998	mg/kg	10.08.19 14:15	U	1
Ethylbenzene	100-41-4	< 0.000335	0.000998	0.000335	mg/kg	10.08.19 14:15	U	1
m,p-Xylenes	179601-23-1	< 0.000436	0.00200	0.000436	mg/kg	10.08.19 14:15	U	1
o-Xylene	95-47-6	< 0.000983	0.000998	0.000983	mg/kg	10.08.19 14:15	U	1
Total Xylenes	1330-20-7	< 0.000436		0.000436	mg/kg	10.08.19 14:15	U	
Total BTEX		< 0.000207		0.000207	mg/kg	10.08.19 14:15	U	
Surrogate		% Recovery		Limits	Uni	its Analysis	Date	Flag
Dibromofluoromethane		96		53 - 1	142 %	)		

91

96

53 - 150

70 - 130

%

%





# Certificate of Analytical Results 639137

Ensolum, Dallas, TX

Gramma Ridge

Sample Id: CS-2		Matrix:	Soil		Sample	e Depth: 1 ft		
Lab Sample Id: 639137-002		Date Collecte	d: 10.04.19 0	9.52	Date R	eceived: 10.07.	19 08.3	30
Analytical Method: Chloride by EPA 300					Prep M	lethod: E300P	•	
Analyst: CHE		% Moist:			Tech:	CHE		
Seq Number: 3103554		Date Prep: 10	.07.19 14.00					
		Prep seq: 76	87628					
Parameter	CAS Number	Result	MQL	SDL	Units	Analysis Date	Flag	Dil Factor
Chloride	16887-00-6	200	4.99	0.857	mg/kg	10.07.19 18:58		1
Analytical Method: TPH by SW8015 Mod					Prep M	lethod: 8015		

					r						
	% Moist:			Tech:	DVM						
	Date Prep: 10	0.09.19 17.00									
	Prep seq: 76	587811									
CAS Number	Result	MQL	SDL	Units	Analysis Date	Flag	Dil Factor				
PHC610	<15.0	50.0	15.0	mg/kg	10.09.19 23:43	U	1				
C10C28DRO	<15.0	50.0	15.0	mg/kg	10.09.19 23:43	U	1				
PHCG2835	<15.0	50.0	15.0	mg/kg	10.09.19 23:43	U	1				
PHC635	<15.0		15.0	mg/kg	10.09.19 23:43	U					
	% Recovery		Limits	Uni	its Analysis	Date	Flag				
	84		70 - 1	135 %	•						
	91		70	125 0/							
	Number PHC610 C10C28DRO PHCG2835	CAS Number       Date Prep: 10         Prep seq:       76         CAS Number       Result         PHC610       <15.0	Date Prep: 10.09.19 17.00         Prep seq: 7687811         CAS       Result       MQL         PHC610       <15.0	Date Prep: 10.09.19 17.00         Prep seq:       7687811         CAS Number       Result       MQL       SDL         PHC610       <15.0	% Moist:       Tech:         Date Prep: 10.09.19 17.00       Prep seq: 7687811         CAS       Result       MQL       SDL       Units         PHC610       <15.0	CAS Number         Result         MQL         SDL         Units         Analysis Date           PHC610         <15.0	% Moist:       Tech:       DVM         Date Prep:       10.09.19       17.00         Prep seq:       7687811         CAS Number       Result       MQL       SDL       Units       Analysis Date       Flag         PHC610       <15.0				

1,2-Dichloroethane-D4

Toluene-D8



# Certificate of Analytical Results 639137



Gramma Ridge

Parameter	CAS Number	Result	MOL	SDL	Units	Analysis	Dil Fa Flag	actor
Subcontractor: SUB: T104704215-19-30		Prep seq: 76	87687					
Seq Number: 3103624		Date Prep: 10	.08.19 13.45					
Analyst: CRL		% Moist:			Tech:	CRL		
Analytical Method: BTEX by SW 8260C					Prep Meth	od: 5035A	1	
Lab Sample Id: 639137-002		Date Collected	d: 10.04.19 09	9.52	Date Rece	ived: 10.07.	19 08.30	
Sample Id: CS-2		Matrix:	Soil		Sample De	epth: 1 ft		

Parameter	Number	Result	MQL	SDL	Units	Date	Flag	
Benzene	71-43-2	< 0.000207	0.000998	0.000207	mg/kg	10.08.19 14:33	U	1
Toluene	108-88-3	< 0.000998	0.00499	0.000998	mg/kg	10.08.19 14:33	U	1
Ethylbenzene	100-41-4	< 0.000335	0.000998	0.000335	mg/kg	10.08.19 14:33	U	1
m,p-Xylenes	179601-23-1	< 0.000436	0.00200	0.000436	mg/kg	10.08.19 14:33	U	1
o-Xylene	95-47-6	< 0.000983	0.000998	0.000983	mg/kg	10.08.19 14:33	U	1
Total Xylenes	1330-20-7	< 0.000436		0.000436	mg/kg	10.08.19 14:33	U	
Total BTEX		< 0.000207		0.000207	mg/kg	10.08.19 14:33	U	
Surrogate		% Recovery		Limits	Un	its Analysis	Date	Flag
Dibromofluoromethane		100		53 - 1	142 %	b		

96

97

53 - 150

70 - 130

%

%

.



# Certificate of Analytical Results 639137

Ensolum, Dallas, TX

Gramma Ridge

Sample Id:	CS-3		Matrix:	Soil		Sample	Depth: 2.5 f	t	
Lab Sample Id	1: 639137-003		Date Collected	: 10.04.19 09	0.55	Date Re	eceived: 10.0	7.19 08.	30
Analytical Me	thod: Chloride by EPA 300					Prep M	ethod: E300	)P	
Analyst:	CHE		% Moist:			Tech:	CHE		
Seq Number:	3103554		Date Prep: 10.07.19 14.00						
			Prep seq: 768	37628					
Parameter	r	CAS Number	Result	MQL	SDL	Units	Analysis Date	Flag	Dil Factor
Chloride		16887-00-6	9.01	4.95	0.850	mg/kg	10.07.19 19:14	4	1
Analytical Me	thod: TPH by SW8015 Mod					Prep M	ethod: 8015		
			0/ Maiste			<b>T</b> 1	DU		

Analyst: ARM		% Moist:			Tech:	DVM		
Seq Number: 3103878		Date Prep: 10	0.09.19 17.00					
		Prep seq: 76	587811					
Parameter	CAS Number	Result	MQL	SDL	Units	Analysis Date	Flag	Dil Factor
Gasoline Range Hydrocarbons (GRO)	PHC610	<15.0	49.9	15.0	mg/kg	10.10.19 00:04	U	1
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	C10C28DRO	<15.0	49.9	15.0	mg/kg	10.10.19 00:04	U	1
Motor Oil Range Hydrocarbons (MRO)	PHCG2835	<15.0	49.9	15.0	mg/kg	10.10.19 00:04	U	1
Total TPH	PHC635	<15.0		15.0	mg/kg	10.10.19 00:04	U	
Surrogate		% Recovery		Limits	Uni	ts Analysis	Date	Flag
1-Chlorooctane		81		70 - 1	135 %			
o-Terphenyl		89		70 - 1	135 %			


Toluene-D8



# Certificate of Analytical Results 639137



Gramma Ridge

Parameter	CAS Number	Result	MQL	SDL	A Units	nalysis Date	Dil Factor Flag
Subcontractor: SUB: T104704215-19-30		Prep seq: 76	87687				
Seq Number: 3103624		Date Prep: 10.	08.19 13.45				
Analyst: CRL		% Moist:			Tech:	CRL	
Analytical Method: BTEX by SW 8260C					Prep Method	: 5035A	
Lab Sample Id: 639137-003		Date Collected	1: 10.04.19 09.	55	Date Receive	ed: 10.07.1	9 08.30
Sample Id: CS-3		Matrix:	Soil		Sample Dept	h: 2.5 ft	

Number	Result	MQL	SDL	Units	Date	Flag	
71-43-2	< 0.000206	0.000996	0.000206	mg/kg	10.08.19 14:50	U	1
108-88-3	< 0.000996	0.00498	0.000996	mg/kg	10.08.19 14:50	U	1
100-41-4	< 0.000334	0.000996	0.000334	mg/kg	10.08.19 14:50	U	1
179601-23-1	< 0.000435	0.00199	0.000435	mg/kg	10.08.19 14:50	U	1
95-47-6	< 0.000981	0.000996	0.000981	mg/kg	10.08.19 14:50	U	1
1330-20-7	< 0.000435		0.000435	mg/kg	10.08.19 14:50	U	
	<0.000206		0.000206	mg/kg	10.08.19 14:50	U	
	% Recovery		Limits	Uni	its Analysis	Date	Flag
	95		53 -	142 %	•		
	92		53 -	150 %	)		
	71-43-2 108-88-3 100-41-4 179601-23-1 95-47-6	Number         0.000206           71-43-2         <0.000206	Number         Number         Number           71-43-2         <0.000206	Number         Incl         Incl           71-43-2         <0.000206	Number         Ince         Ince         Ince           71-43-2         <0.000206	Number         Number         Number         Number         Date         Date           71-43-2         <0.000206	Number         Intel         Intel <t< td=""></t<>

89

70 - 130

%

Page 37 of 64

.



# Certificate of Analytical Results 639137

Ensolum, Dallas, TX

Gramma Ridge

Sample Id: CS-4		Matrix:	Soil		Sample	Depth: 2 ft		
Lab Sample Id: 639137-004		Date Collected	l: 10.04.19 10	).00	Date Re	eceived: 10.07	.19 08.3	30
Analytical Method: Chloride by EPA 300					Prep M	ethod: E300	2	
Analyst: CHE		% Moist:			Tech:	CHE		
Seq Number: 3103554		Date Prep: 10.	07.19 14.00					
		Prep seq: 768	37628					
Parameter	CAS Number	Result	MQL	SDL	Units	Analysis Date	Flag	Dil Factor
Chloride	16887-00-6	4.02	5.00	0.858	mg/kg	10.07.19 19:20	J	1

Analytical Method: TPH by SW8015 Mo	d				Prep M	ethod: 8015		
Analyst: ARM		% Moist:			Tech:	DVM		
Seq Number: 3103878		Date Prep: 10	0.09.19 17.00					
		Prep seq: 76	587811					
Parameter	CAS Number	Result	MQL	SDL	Units	Analysis Date	Flag	Dil Factor
Gasoline Range Hydrocarbons (GRO)	PHC610	<14.9	49.8	14.9	mg/kg	10.10.19 00:25	U	1
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	C10C28DRO	<14.9	49.8	14.9	mg/kg	10.10.19 00:25	U	1
Motor Oil Range Hydrocarbons (MRO)	PHCG2835	<14.9	49.8	14.9	mg/kg	10.10.19 00:25	U	1
Total TPH	PHC635	<14.9		14.9	mg/kg	10.10.19 00:25	U	
Surrogate		% Recovery		Limits	Uni	its Analysis	Date	Flag
1-Chlorooctane		82		70 - 1	135 %	•		
o-Terphenyl		91		70 - 1	135 %	•		



1,2-Dichloroethane-D4

Toluene-D8



# Certificate of Analytical Results 639137

### Ensolum, Dallas, TX

Gramma Ridge

Parameter	CAS Number	Result	MOL	SDL	Units	Analysis	Flag	Dil Factor
Subcontractor: SUB: T104704215-19-30		Prep seq: 76	87687					
Seq Number: 3103624		Date Prep: 10	.08.19 13.45					
Analyst: CRL		% Moist:			Tech:	CRL		
Analytical Method: BTEX by SW 8260C					Prep Metho	od: 50354	4	
Lab Sample Id: 639137-004		Date Collected	d: 10.04.19 10	0.00	Date Recei	ved: 10.07	.19 08.3	0
Sample Id: CS-4		Matrix:	Soil		Sample De	pth: 2 ft		

Parameter	Number	Result	MQL	SDL	Units	Date	Flag	
Benzene	71-43-2	< 0.000207	0.00100	0.000207	mg/kg	10.08.19 15:08	U	1
Toluene	108-88-3	< 0.00100	0.00501	0.00100	mg/kg	10.08.19 15:08	U	1
Ethylbenzene	100-41-4	< 0.000336	0.00100	0.000336	mg/kg	10.08.19 15:08	U	1
m,p-Xylenes	179601-23-1	< 0.000438	0.00200	0.000438	mg/kg	10.08.19 15:08	U	1
o-Xylene	95-47-6	< 0.000987	0.00100	0.000987	mg/kg	10.08.19 15:08	U	1
Total Xylenes	1330-20-7	< 0.000438		0.000438	mg/kg	10.08.19 15:08	U	
Total BTEX		<0.000207		0.000207	mg/kg	10.08.19 15:08	U	
Surrogate		% Recovery		Limits	Uni	its Analysis	Date	Flag
Dibromofluoromethane		95		53 - 1	142 %	•		

89

93

53 - 150

70 - 130

%

%

.



# Certificate of Analytical Results 639137

Ensolum, Dallas, TX

Gramma Ridge

Sample Id:	CS-5		Matrix:	Soil		Sample	Depth: 1 f	t	
Lab Sample Id	: 639137-005		Date Collected	: 10.04.19 10	0.02	Date Re	eceived: 10	.07.19 08.	30
Analytical Me	thod: Chloride by EPA 300					Prep M	ethod: E3	00P	
Analyst:	CHE		% Moist:			Tech:	CH	ΙE	
Seq Number:	3103554		Date Prep: 10.0	07.19 14.00					
			Prep seq: 768	7628					
Parameter	•	CAS Number	Result	MQL	SDL	Units	Analysis Date	Flag	Dil Factor
Parameter Chloride			Result 201	<b>MQL</b> 5.04	<b>SDL</b> 0.865	Units mg/kg	•	Flag	Dil Factor
		Number		-			Date	Flag	Dil Factor
Chloride	thod: TPH by SW8015 Mod	Number		-			Date 10.07.19 19	Flag :25	Dil Factor
Chloride		Number		-		mg/kg	Date 10.07.19 19	Flag :25	Dil Factor

Prep seq: 7687811

Parameter	CAS Number	Result	MQL	SDL	Units	Analysis Date	Flag	Dil Factor
Gasoline Range Hydrocarbons (GRO)	PHC610	<15.0	50.0	15.0	mg/kg	10.10.19 00:46	U	1
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	C10C28DRO	74.0	50.0	15.0	mg/kg	10.10.19 00:46		1
Motor Oil Range Hydrocarbons (MRO)	PHCG2835	<15.0	50.0	15.0	mg/kg	10.10.19 00:46	U	1
Total TPH	PHC635	74.0		15.0	mg/kg	10.10.19 00:46		
Surrogate		% Recovery		Limits	Uni	ts Analysis	Date	Flag
1-Chlorooctane		82		70 - 13	35 %			
o-Terphenyl		91		70 - 13	35 %			

•



# Certificate of Analytical Results 639137

### Ensolum, Dallas, TX

Gramma Ridge

Parameter	CAS Number	Result	MQL	SDL	A Units	nalysis Date	Dil Factor Flag
Subcontractor: SUB: T104704215-19-30		Prep seq: 76	87687				
Seq Number: 3103624		Date Prep: 10	.08.19 13.45				
Analyst: CRL		% Moist:			Tech:	CRL	
Analytical Method: BTEX by SW 8260C					Prep Method	: 5035A	
Lab Sample Id: 639137-005		Date Collected	d: 10.04.19 10	0.02	Date Receive	ed: 10.07.	19 08.30
Sample Id: CS-5		Matrix:	Soil		Sample Dept	h: 1 ft	

Number	Result	MQL	SDL	Units	Date	Flag	
71-43-2	< 0.000208	0.00101	0.000208	mg/kg	10.08.19 15:25	U	1
108-88-3	< 0.00101	0.00503	0.00101	mg/kg	10.08.19 15:25	U	1
100-41-4	0.000795	0.00101	0.000338	mg/kg	10.08.19 15:25	J	1
179601-23-1	0.00168	0.00201	0.000439	mg/kg	10.08.19 15:25	J	1
95-47-6	0.00137	0.00101	0.000991	mg/kg	10.08.19 15:25		1
1330-20-7	0.00305		0.000439	mg/kg	10.08.19 15:25		
	0.00385		0.000208	mg/kg	10.08.19 15:25		
	% Recovery		Limits	Un	its Analysis	Date	Flag
	71-43-2 108-88-3 100-41-4 179601-23-1 95-47-6	71-43-2       <0.000208	Number         C           71-43-2         <0.000208	Number         C         A           71-43-2         <0.000208	71-43-2         <0.000208         0.00101         0.000208         mg/kg           108-88-3         <0.00101	Number         C         Date           71-43-2         <0.000208	Trimber         C         Date         Date <th< td=""></th<>

e	•		•	0
Dibromofluoromethane	99	53 - 142 %		
1,2-Dichloroethane-D4	97	53 - 150 %		
Toluene-D8	99	70 - 130 %		

.



# Certificate of Analytical Results 639137



Gramma Ridge

Sample Id:	STP		Matrix:	Soil		Sample	e Depth:		
Lab Sample Id	1: 639137-006		Date Collecte	ed: 10.04.19 0	9.25	Date R	eceived: 10.07.1	9 08.3	0
Analytical Me	ethod: Chloride by EPA 300					Prep M	lethod: E300P		
Analyst:	CHE		% Moist:			Tech:	CHE		
Seq Number:	3103554		Date Prep: 10	0.07.19 14.00					
			Prep seq: 76	587628					
Paramete	r	CAS Number	Result	MQL	SDL	Units	Analysis Date	Flag	Dil Factor
Chloride		16887-00-6	166	5.05	0.867	mg/kg	10.07.19 19:30		1
A polytical Ma									
Analyst: Seq Number:	ethod: TPH by SW8015 Mod ARM 3103878		% Moist: Date Prep: 10	).09.19 17.00		Prep M Tech:	lethod: 8015 DVM		
Analyst:	ARM					1			
Analyst:	ARM 3103878	CAS Number	Date Prep: 10		SDL	1		Flag	Dil Factor
Analyst: Seq Number: Parameter	ARM 3103878		Date Prep: 10 Prep seq: 76	587811	<b>SDL</b> 15.0	Tech:	DVM Analysis	Flag	Dil Factor
Analyst: Seq Number: Parameter Gasoline R Diesel Ran	ARM 3103878 r Range Hydrocarbons (GRO) ige Organics (DRO)	Number PHC610 C10C28DRO	Date Prep: 10 Prep seq: 76 Result 489 6030	587811 MQL 49.9 49.9	15.0 15.0	Tech: Units	DVM Analysis Date 10.10.19 01:07 10.10.19 01:07	Flag	Dil Factor
Analyst: Seq Number: Parameter Gasoline R Diesel Ran	ARM 3103878 r Range Hydrocarbons (GRO) ge Organics (DRO) tange Hydrocarbons (MRO)	Number PHC610	Date Prep: 10 Prep seq: 76 Result 489	587811 MQL 49.9	15.0	Tech: Units mg/kg	DVM Analysis Date 10.10.19 01:07	Flag	1
Analyst: Seq Number:	ARM 3103878		Date Prep: 10 Prep seq: 76	587811	SDI	Tech:	DVM Analysis	Flag	Dil Factor

Surrogate	% Recovery	Limits	Units	Analysis Date	Flag
1-Chlorooctane o-Terphenyl	121 108	70 - 135 70 - 135	% %		

•



# Certificate of Analytical Results 639137



## Ensolum, Dallas, TX

Gramma Ridge

Sample Id: STP		Matrix:	Soil		Sample De	epth:	
Lab Sample Id: 639137-006		Date Collected	1: 10.04.19 09	9.25	Date Rece	ived: 10.07.	19 08.30
Analytical Method: BTEX by SW 8260C					Prep Meth	od: 5035A	
Analyst: CRL		% Moist:			Tech:	CRL	
Seq Number: 3103624		Date Prep: 10.	08.19 13.45				
Subcontractor: SUB: T104704215-19-30		Prep seq: 768	87687				
Parameter	CAS Number	Result	MQL	SDL	Units	Analysis Date	Dil Factor Flag

Parameter	Number	Kesuit	MQL	SDL	Units	Date	Flag	
Benzene	71-43-2	0.0514	0.00100	0.000207	mg/kg	10.08.19 16:00		1
Toluene	108-88-3	0.863	0.125	0.0249	mg/kg	10.08.19 16:18	D	25
Ethylbenzene	100-41-4	0.759	0.0249	0.00836	mg/kg	10.08.19 16:18	D	25
m,p-Xylenes	179601-23-1	2.58	0.0498	0.0109	mg/kg	10.08.19 16:18	D	25
o-Xylene	95-47-6	1.20	0.0249	0.0245	mg/kg	10.08.19 16:18	D	25
Total Xylenes	1330-20-7	3.78		0.0109	mg/kg	10.08.19 16:18		
Total BTEX		5.45		0.000207	mg/kg	10.08.19 16:18		

Surrogate	% Recovery	Limits	Units	Analysis Date	Flag
Dibromofluoromethane	91	53 - 142	%		
1,2-Dichloroethane-D4	85	53 - 150	%		
Toluene-D8	123	70 - 130	%		

1,2-Dichloroethane-D4

Toluene-D8



# Certificate of Analytical Results 639137



## Ensolum, Dallas, TX

Gramma Ridge

Sample Id: <b>7687628-1-BLK</b>		Matrix:	Solid		Sample	e Depth:		
Lab Sample Id: 7687628-1-BLK		Date Collecte	ed:		Date R	eceived:		
Analytical Method: Chloride by I	EPA 300				Prep M	lethod: E300P		
Analyst: CHE		% Moist:			Tech:	CHE		
5		Date Prep: 10	07 10 14 00		reen.	CHE		
Seq Number: 3103554		1						
		Prep seq: 76	687628					
Parameter	CAS Number	Result	MQL	SDL	Units	Analysis Date	Flag	Dil Factor
Chloride	16887-00-6	<0.858	5.00	0.858	mg/kg	10.07.19 17:07	U	1
Sample Id: <b>7687687-1-BLK</b>		Matrix:	Solid		Sample	e Depth:		
Lab Sample Id: 7687687-1-BLK		Date Collecte	ed:		Date R	eceived:		
Analytical Method: BTEX by SW	V 8260C				Prep M	lethod: 5035A		
Analyst: CRL		% Moist:			Tech:	CRL		
Seq Number: 3103624		Date Prep: 10	).08.19 09.30	1				
Subcontractor: SUB: T10470421	5-19-30	Prep seq: 76	587687					
Parameter	CAS Number	Result	MQL	SDL	Units	Analysis Date	Flag	Dil Factor
Benzene	71-43-2	< 0.000207	0.00100	0.000207	mg/kg	10.08.19 11:41	U	1
Toluene	108-88-3	< 0.00100	0.00500	0.00100	mg/kg	10.08.19 11:41	U	1
Ethylbenzene	100-41-4	< 0.000336	0.00100	0.000336	mg/kg	10.08.19 11:41	U	1
m,p-Xylenes	179601-23-1	< 0.000437	0.00200	0.000437	mg/kg	10.08.19 11:41	U	1
o-Xylene	95-47-6	< 0.000985	0.00100	0.000985	mg/kg	10.08.19 11:41	U	1
Surrogate		% Recovery		Limits	Uni	its Analysis	Date	Flag
Dibromofluoromethane		95		53 -	142 %			

91

91

.

53 - 150

70 - 130

%

%

.



# Certificate of Analytical Results 639137





Ensolum, Dallas, TX

Gramma Ridge

Sample Id: <b>7687811-1-BLK</b>		Matrix:	Solid		Sample	Depth:		
Lab Sample Id: 7687811-1-BLK		Date Collected	l:		Date R	eceived:		
Analytical Method: TPH by SW8015 Mod					Prep M	ethod: 8015		
Analyst: ARM		% Moist:			Tech:	DVM		
Seq Number: 3103878		Date Prep: 10.	09.19 17.00					
		Prep seq: 768	37811					
Parameter	CAS Number	Result	MQL	SDL	Units	Analysis Date	Flag	Dil Factor
Gasoline Range Hydrocarbons (GRO)	PHC610	<15.0	50.0	15.0	mg/kg	10.09.19 21:39	U	1
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	C10C28DRO	<15.0	50.0	15.0	mg/kg	10.09.19 21:39	U	1
Motor Oil Range Hydrocarbons (MRO)	PHCG2835	<15.0	50.0	15.0	mg/kg	10.09.19 21:39	U	1

Surrogate	% Recovery	Limits	Units	Analysis Date	Flag
1-Chlorooctane	83	70 - 135	%		
o-Terphenyl	93	70 - 135	%		



•



## **Flagging Criteria**



- X In our quality control review of the data a QC deficiency was observed and flagged as noted. MS/MSD recoveries were found to be outside of the laboratory control limits due to possible matrix /chemical interference, or a concentration of target analyte high enough to affect the recovery of the spike concentration. This condition could also affect the relative percent difference in the MS/MSD.
- **B** A target analyte or common laboratory contaminant was identified in the method blank. Its presence indicates possible field or laboratory contamination.
- **D** The sample(s) were diluted due to targets detected over the highest point of the calibration curve, or due to matrix interference. Dilution factors are included in the final results. The result is from a diluted sample.
- E The data exceeds the upper calibration limit; therefore, the concentration is reported as estimated.
- F RPD exceeded lab control limits.
- J The target analyte was positively identified below the quantitation limit and above the detection limit.
- U Analyte was not detected.
- L The LCS data for this analytical batch was reported below the laboratory control limits for this analyte. The department supervisor and QA Director reviewed data. The samples were either reanalyzed or flagged as estimated concentrations.
- **H** The LCS data for this analytical batch was reported above the laboratory control limits. Supporting QC Data were reviewed by the Department Supervisor and QA Director. Data were determined to be valid for reporting.
- **K** Sample analyzed outside of recommended hold time.
- **JN** A combination of the "N" and the "J" qualifier. The analysis indicates that the analyte is "tentatively identified" and the associated numerical value may not be consistent with the amount actually present in the environmental sample.
- \*\* Surrogate recovered outside laboratory control limit.
- **BRL** Below Reporting Limit.
- RL Reporting Limit
- MDL Method Detection LimitSDLSample Detection LimitLOD Limit of Detection
- PQL Practical Quantitation Limit MQL Method Quantitation Limit LOQ Limit of Quantitation
- **DL** Method Detection Limit
- NC Non-Calculable

SMP Clie	ent Sample	BLK	Method Blank	
BKS/LCS	Blank Spike/Laboratory Control Sample	BKSD/LCSD	Blank Spike Duplicate/Labor	ratory Control Sample Duplicate
MD/SD	Method Duplicate/Sample Duplicate	MS	Matrix Spike	MSD: Matrix Spike Duplicate

+ NELAC certification not offered for this compound.

\* (Next to analyte name or method description) = Outside XENCO's scope of NELAC accreditation



## Form 2 - Surrogate Recoveries

## Project Name: Gramma Ridge

<b>ork Orders :</b> 639137	7, Sample: 7687687-1-BKS / I		0	<b>D:</b> 03B12060	009	
Lab Batch #: 3103624 Units: mg/kg	<b>Date Analyzed:</b> 10/08/19 10:25		h: <sup>1</sup> Matrix		STUDY	
	X by SW 8260C Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flags
Dibromofluoromethane	-	0.0492	0.0500	98	53-142	
1,2-Dichloroethane-D4		0.0468	0.0500	94	53-150	
Toluene-D8		0.0471	0.0500	94	70-130	
Lab Batch #: 3103624	Sample: 7687687-1-BSD / I	BSD Bate	h: 1 Matrix	Solid	•	
Units: mg/kg	Date Analyzed: 10/08/19 10:43	SU	RROGATE R	ECOVERY	STUDY	
BTE	X by SW 8260C Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flags
Dibromofluoromethane		0.0461	0.0500	92	53-142	
1,2-Dichloroethane-D4		0.0457	0.0500	91	53-150	
Toluene-D8		0.0440	0.0500	88	70-130	
Lab Batch #: 3103624	Sample: 7687687-1-BLK / 1	BLK Bate	h: <sup>1</sup> Matrix	:Solid	1	
Units: mg/kg	Date Analyzed: 10/08/19 11:41	SU	RROGATE R	ECOVERY	STUDY	
BTE	X by SW 8260C	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R	Control Limits %R	Flags
	Analytes			[D]		
Dibromofluoromethane		0.0473	0.0500	95	53-142	
1,2-Dichloroethane-D4		0.0457	0.0500	91	53-150	
Toluene-D8		0.0456	0.0500	91	70-130	
Lab Batch #: 3103624	Sample: 638964-003 S / MS	B Batc	ch: 1 Matrix	:Soil		
Units: mg/kg	Date Analyzed: 10/08/19 13:09	SU	RROGATE R	ECOVERY	STUDY	
BTE	X by SW 8260C Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flags
Dibromofluoromethane		0.0521	0.0500	104	53-142	
1,2-Dichloroethane-D4		0.0499	0.0500	100	53-150	
Toluene-D8		0.0479	0.0500	96	70-130	

\* Surrogate outside of Laboratory QC limits

\*\* Surrogates outside limits; data and surrogates confirmed by reanalysis

\*\*\* Poor recoveries due to dilution

Surrogate Recovery [D] = 100 \* A / B

All results are based on MDL and validated for QC purposes.



## Form 2 - Surrogate Recoveries

## Project Name: Gramma Ridge

<b>Vork Orders :</b> 639137	*			D:03B12060	09	
Lab Batch #: 3103624 Units: mg/kg	Sample: 638964-003 SD / M Date Analyzed: 10/08/19 13:27		h: <sup>1</sup> Matrix RROGATE R		STUDY	
	X by SW 8260C	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flags
Dibromofluoromethane		0.0514	0.0500	103	53-142	
1,2-Dichloroethane-D4		0.0461	0.0500	92	53-150	
Toluene-D8		0.0472	0.0500	94	70-130	
Lab Batch #: 3103878	Sample: 7687811-1-BLK /	BLK Batc	h: 1 Matrix	Solid	· · ·	
Units: mg/kg	Date Analyzed: 10/09/19 21:39	SU	RROGATE R	ECOVERY	STUDY	
ТРН І	by SW8015 Mod	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flags
	Analytes					
1-Chlorooctane		83.2	100	83	70-135	
o-Terphenyl		46.5	50.0	93	70-135	
Lab Batch #: 3103878	Sample: 7687811-1-BKS /					
Units: mg/kg	Date Analyzed: 10/09/19 21:59	SU	RROGATE R	ECOVERY	STUDY	
TPHI	by SW8015 Mod Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flags
1-Chlorooctane	1 mary ces	94.7	100	95	70-135	
o-Terphenyl		49.5	50.0	99	70-135	
Lab Batch #: 3103878	Sample: 7687811-1-BSD / 1	BSD Batc	h: <sup>1</sup> Matrix	Solid	1 1	
Units: mg/kg	Date Analyzed: 10/09/19 22:20	SU	RROGATE R	ECOVERY	STUDY	
ТРН І	by SW8015 Mod Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flags
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		100	93	70-135	
1-Chlorooctane		93.0	100	93	1 /0-155 1	

\* Surrogate outside of Laboratory QC limits

- \*\* Surrogates outside limits; data and surrogates confirmed by reanalysis
- \*\*\* Poor recoveries due to dilution
- Surrogate Recovery [D] = 100 \* A / B

All results are based on MDL and validated for QC purposes.



## Form 2 - Surrogate Recoveries

## Project Name: Gramma Ridge

<b>Work Orders :</b> 639137 Lab Batch #: 3103878	, Sample: 639137-001 S / M	MS Batch: 1 Matrix: Soil							
Units: mg/kg	Date Analyzed: 10/09/19 23:02	O/19 23:02 SURROGATE RECOVERY STUDY							
TPH	by SW8015 Mod	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R	Control Limits %R	Flags			
1-Chlorooctane	Analytes	106	99.8	[ <b>D</b> ] 106	70-135				
o-Terphenyl		52.5	49.9	105	70-135				
Lab Batch #: 3103878	Sample: 639137-001 SD / N	MSD Bate	h: <sup>1</sup> Matrix	Soil					
Units: mg/kg	Date Analyzed: 10/09/19 23:22	SU	IRROGATE RI	ECOVERYS	STUDY				
TPH	by SW8015 Mod Analytes	Amount Found [A]	True Amount [B]	Recovery %R [D]	Control Limits %R	Flags			
1-Chlorooctane		89.5	99.7	90	70-135				
o-Terphenyl		44.7	49.9	90	70-135				

\* Surrogate outside of Laboratory QC limits

\*\* Surrogates outside limits; data and surrogates confirmed by reanalysis

\*\*\* Poor recoveries due to dilution

Surrogate Recovery [D] = 100 \* A / B

All results are based on MDL and validated for QC purposes.



### **BS / BSD Recoveries**

#### **Project Name:** Gramma Ridge



Work Order	#: 639137							Proj	ject ID:	03B120600	)9	
Analyst:	CRL	D	ate Prepar	red: 10/08/20	19			Date A	nalyzed:	10/08/2019		
Lab Batch ID	<b>:</b> 3103624 <b>Sample:</b> 7687687-	I-BKS	Bate	<b>h #:</b> 1					Matrix: S	Solid		
Units:	mg/kg		BLAN	K /BLANK	SPIKE / 1	BLANK S	SPIKE DUP	LICATE	RECOV	ERY STUI	OY	
Analy	BTEX by SW 8260C	Blank Sample Result [A]	Spike Added [B]	Blank Spike Result [C]	Blank Spike %R [D]	Spike Added [E]	Blank Spike Duplicate Result [F]	Blk. Spk Dup. %R [G]	RPD %	Control Limits %R	Control Limits %RPD	Flag
Benzene		< 0.000207	0.0500	0.0455	91	0.0500	0.0429	86	6	62-132	25	
Toluene		<0.00100	0.0500	0.0433	87	0.0500	0.0409	82	6	66-124	25	
Ethylbenz	ene	<0.000336	0.0500	0.0430	86	0.0500	0.0408	82	5	71-134	25	
m,p-Xyler	nes	< 0.000437	0.100	0.0829	83	0.100	0.0791	79	5	69-128	25	
o-Xylene		< 0.000985	0.0500	0.0466	93	0.0500	0.0405	81	14	72-131	25	
Analyst:	CHE	D	ate Prepar	ed: 10/07/20	19	•		Date A	nalyzed:	10/07/2019	ł	+
Lab Batch ID	: 3103554 Sample: 7687628-	I-BKS	Batc	<b>h #:</b> 1					Matrix: S	Solid		
Units:	mg/kg		BLAN	K /BLANK	SPIKE / I	BLANK S	SPIKE DUP	LICATE	RECOV	ERY STUI	DY	
Analy	Chloride by EPA 300	Blank Sample Result [A]	Spike Added [B]	Blank Spike Result [C]	Blank Spike %R [D]	Spike Added [E]	Blank Spike Duplicate Result [F]	Blk. Spk Dup. %R [G]	RPD %	Control Limits %R	Control Limits %RPD	Flag
Chloride		<0.858	250	241	96	250	240	96	0	90-110	20	<u> </u>

Relative Percent Difference RPD = 200\*|(C-F)/(C+F)| Blank Spike Recovery [D] = 100\*(C)/[B] Blank Spike Duplicate Recovery [G] = 100\*(F)/[E] All results are based on MDL and Validated for QC Purposes

.



### **BS / BSD Recoveries**



#### Project Name: Gramma Ridge

Work Order #: 639137 Project										)3B120600	9	
Analyst:	ARM	D	ate Prepar	red: 10/09/201	9 Date Analyzed: 10/09/2019							
Lab Batch ID:	<b>Sample:</b> 7687811-1		Matrix: Solid									
Units:	mg/kg BLANK /BLANK SPIKE / BLANK SPIKE DUPLICATE RECOVERY STUDY											
	TPH by SW8015 Mod	Blank Sample Result [A]	Spike Added	Blank Spike Result	Blank Spike %R	Spike Added	Blank Spike Duplicate	Blk. Spk Dup. %R	RPD %	Control Limits %R	Control Limits %RPD	Flag
Analy	tes		[B]	[C]	[D]	[E]	Result [F]	[G]				
Gasoline R	ange Hydrocarbons (GRO)	<15.0	1000	1030	103	1000	1050	105	2	70-135	20	
Diesel Ran	ge Organics (DRO)	<15.0	1000	1040	104	1000	1040	104	0	70-135	20	

Relative Percent Difference RPD = 200\*|(C-F)/(C+F)| Blank Spike Recovery [D] = 100\*(C)/[B] Blank Spike Duplicate Recovery [G] = 100\*(F)/[E] All results are based on MDL and Validated for QC Purposes

.



## Form 3 - MS / MSD Recoveries



#### **Project Name: Gramma Ridge**

Work Order # :	639137						Project ID	<b>):</b> 03B12	06009			
Lab Batch ID:	3103624	QC- Sample ID:	638964-	003 S	Ba	tch #:	1 Matrix	k: Soil				
Date Analyzed:	10/08/2019	Date Prepared:	10/08/20	)19	An	alyst: (	CRL					
<b>Reporting Units:</b>	mg/kg		Μ	ATRIX SPIK	E / MAT	RIX SPI	KE DUPLICA'	TE REC	OVERY	STUDY		
	BTEX by SW 8260C	Parent Sample Result	Spike Added	Spiked Sample Result [C]	Sample %R	Spike Added	Duplicate Spiked Sample Result [F]	Spiked Dup. %R	RPD %	Control Limits %R	Control Limits %RPD	Flag
	Analytes	[A]	[B]		[D]	[E]		[G]				
Benzene		< 0.00105	0.253	0.234	92	0.250	0.224	90	4	62-132	25	
Toluene		<0.00505	0.253	0.208	82	0.250	0.208	83	0	66-124	25	
Ethylbenzene		< 0.00170	0.253	0.211	83	0.250	0.206	82	2	71-134	25	
m,p-Xylenes		<0.00221	0.505	0.402	80	0.500	0.381	76	5	69-128	25	
o-Xylene		0.00624	0.253	0.234	90	0.250	0.220	86	6	72-131	25	
Lab Batch ID:	3103554	QC- Sample ID:	620122	016.0	р.	tch #:	1 Matuir	c: Soil				
Lab Datti ID;	5105554	QC- Dampie ID.	039132-	010 5	Ба	tcn #:		<b>G</b> 301				
Date Analyzed:	10/07/2019	Date Prepared:				alyst: (		<b>C:</b> 5011				
Date Analyzed:			10/07/20	)19	An	alyst: (			OVERY	STUDY		
	10/07/2019	Date Prepared: Parent Sample	10/07/20 M Spike	)19 ATRIX SPIK Spiked Sample Result	An E / MAT Spiked Sample	alyst: ( RIX SPI	CHE KE DUPLICA' Duplicate Spiked Sample	TE REC Spiked Dup.	RPD	Control Limits	Control Limits	Flag
Date Analyzed:	10/07/2019 mg/kg	Date Prepared:	10/07/20 M	)19 ATRIX SPIK Spiked Sample	An E / MAT Spiked	alyst: ( RIX SPI	CHE KE DUPLICA' Duplicate	TE REC Spiked		Control		Flag
Date Analyzed:	10/07/2019 mg/kg Chloride by EPA 300	Date Prepared: Parent Sample Result	10/07/20 M Spike Added	)19 ATRIX SPIK Spiked Sample Result	An E / MAT Spiked Sample %R	alyst: ( RIX SPI Spike Added	CHE KE DUPLICA' Duplicate Spiked Sample	TE REC Spiked Dup. %R	RPD	Control Limits	Limits	Flag
Date Analyzed: Reporting Units:	10/07/2019 mg/kg Chloride by EPA 300	Date Prepared: Parent Sample Result [A]	10/07/20 M Spike Added [B] 249	019 ATRIX SPIK Spiked Sample Result [C] 483	An E / MAT Spiked Sample %R [D] 90	alyst: ( RIX SPI Spike Added [E]	CHE KE DUPLICA' Duplicate Spiked Sample Result [F]	TE REC Spiked Dup. %R [G] 90	RPD %	Control Limits %R	Limits %RPD	Flag
Date Analyzed: Reporting Units: Chloride	10/07/2019 mg/kg Chloride by EPA 300 Analytes	Date Prepared: Parent Sample Result [A] 260	10/07/20 M Spike Added [B] 249 639132-	019 ATRIX SPIK Spiked Sample Result [C] 483 026 S	An E / MAT Spiked Sample %R [D] 90 Ba	alyst: ( RIX SPI Spike Added [E] 249	CHE KE DUPLICA' Duplicate Spiked Sample Result [F] 483 1 Matrix	TE REC Spiked Dup. %R [G] 90	RPD %	Control Limits %R	Limits %RPD	Flag
Date Analyzed: Reporting Units: Chloride Lab Batch ID: Date Analyzed:	10/07/2019 mg/kg Chloride by EPA 300 Analytes 3103554	Date Prepared: Parent Sample Result [A] 260 QC- Sample ID:	10/07/20 M Spike Added [B] 249 639132- 10/07/20	019 ATRIX SPIK Spiked Sample Result [C] 483 026 S 019	An E / MAT Spiked Sample %R [D] 90 Ba An	alyst: ( RIX SPI Spike Added [E] 249 tch #: alyst: (	CHE KE DUPLICA' Duplicate Spiked Sample Result [F] 483 1 Matrix	TE REC Spiked Dup. %R [G] 90 c: Soil	<b>RPD</b> %	Control Limits %R 90-110	Limits %RPD	Flag
Date Analyzed: Reporting Units: Chloride Lab Batch ID:	10/07/2019 mg/kg Chloride by EPA 300 Analytes 3103554 10/07/2019	Date Prepared:          Parent         Sample         Result         [A]         260         QC- Sample ID:         Date Prepared:	10/07/20 M Spike Added [B] 249 639132- 10/07/20 M Spike	019 ATRIX SPIK Spiked Sample Result [C] 483 026 S 019 ATRIX SPIK Spiked Sample Result	An E / MAT Spiked Sample %R [D] 90 Ba An E / MAT Spiked Sample	alyst: ( RIX SPI Added [E] 249 tch #: alyst: ( RIX SPI Spike	CHE KE DUPLICA' Duplicate Spiked Sample Result [F] 483 1 Matrix CHE KE DUPLICA' Duplicate Spiked Sample	TE REC Spiked Dup. %R [G] 90 x: Soil TE REC Spiked Dup.	RPD % 0 OVERY RPD	Control Limits %R 90-110 STUDY Control Limits	Limits %RPD 20 Control Limits	Flag
Date Analyzed: Reporting Units: Chloride Lab Batch ID: Date Analyzed:	10/07/2019 mg/kg Chloride by EPA 300 Analytes 3103554 10/07/2019 mg/kg	Date Prepared: Parent Sample Result [A] 260 QC- Sample ID: Date Prepared: Parent	10/07/20 M Spike Added [B] 249 639132- 10/07/20 M	019 ATRIX SPIK Spiked Sample Result [C] 483 026 S 019 ATRIX SPIK Spiked Sample	An E / MAT Spiked Sample %R [D] 90 Ba An E / MAT Spiked	alyst: ( RIX SPI Added [E] 249 tch #: alyst: ( RIX SPI	CHE KE DUPLICA' Duplicate Spiked Sample Result [F] 483 1 Matrix CHE KE DUPLICA' Duplicate	TE REC Spiked Dup. %R [G] 90 x: Soil TE REC Spiked	RPD % 0 OVERY	Control Limits %R 90-110 STUDY Control	Limits %RPD 20 Control	

Matrix Spike Percent Recovery  $[D] = 100^{\circ}(C-A)/B$ Relative Percent Difference RPD =  $200^{\circ}[(C-F)/(C+F)]$ 

.

Matrix Spike Duplicate Percent Recovery [G] = 100\*(F-A)/E

ND = Not Detected, J = Present Below Reporting Limit, B = Present in Blank, NR = Not Requested, I = Interference, NA = Not Applicable N = See Narrative, EQL = Estimated Quantitation Limit, NC = Non Calculable - Sample amount is > 4 times the amount spiked.



## Form 3 - MS / MSD Recoveries



#### **Project Name: Gramma Ridge**

Work Order # :	639137						Project II	<b>D:</b> 03B12	06009			
Lab Batch ID:	3103878	C- Sample ID:	639137-	-001 S	Ba	tch #:	1 Matri	x: Soil				
Date Analyzed:	10/09/2019	Date Prepared:	10/09/2	019	An	alyst: A	ARM					
<b>Reporting Units:</b>	mg/kg	MATRIX SPIKE / MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE RECOVERY STUDY										
]	ГРН by SW8015 Mod	Parent Sample	Spike	Spiked Sample Result	Spiked Sample	Spike	Duplicate Spiked Sample		RPD	Control Limits	Control Limits	Flag
	Analytes	Result [A]	Added [B]	[C]	%R [D]	Added [E]	Result [F]	%R [G]	%	%R	%RPD	
Gasoline Range	Hydrocarbons (GRO)	<15.0	998	1180	118	997	1030	103	14	70-135	20	
Diesel Range Or	ganics (DRO)	<15.0	998	1120	112	997	946	95	17	70-135	20	

Matrix Spike Percent Recovery  $[D] = 100^{*}(C-A)/B$ Relative Percent Difference RPD =  $200^{*}|(C-F)/(C+F)|$  Matrix Spike Duplicate Percent Recovery [G] = 100\*(F-A)/E

ND = Not Detected, J = Present Below Reporting Limit, B = Present in Blank, NR = Not Requested, I = Interference, NA = Not Applicable N = See Narrative, EQL = Estimated Quantitation Limit, NC = Non Calculable - Sample amount is > 4 times the amount spiked.

Relinguished by: (Signature)	Total 200.7 / 6010         200.8 / 6020:           Circle Method(s) and Metal(s) to be analyzed           Notice: Signature of this document and relinquishment of sample of service. Xenco will be liable only for the cost of samples and of Xenco. A minimum charge of \$75.00 will be applied to each p	La III I A	STP SI	CS-3	-5	rix	Sample Custody Seals: Yes No N/A	600	SAMPLE RECEIPT Temp Blank:		Project Number: 63721202 mag	1210-218.	ate ZIP: Midland, T	-	e sul	Project Manager: Beaux Sennia		XENCO
Received by: (Signature) Date/Time	8RCRA 13PPM Texas 11 AI Sb As Ba E TCLP / SPLP 6010: 8RCRA Sb As Ba es constitutes a valid purchase order from client company to shall not assume any responsibility for any losses or expense roject and a charge of \$5 for each sample submitted to Xenco.		XX1 - 5260 61/1-101	0455 2.5' 1 1 1	X X 1 ,5' 1 25 by high	Sampled Sampled Depth Number	Total Containers:	Thermometeratio	Yes (No) Wet Ice: (rds No	Rush:	Turn Around	Email: bjanning S@ cus	City, State ZIP:	y Aw, Ste, 210 Address:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	R	Midland,TX (42-7) 2-to-7-to-0 Zalias, X (214) 902-0300 San Antonio,TX (210) 509-3334 Midland,TX (432-704-5440) EL Paso,TX (915)585-3443 Lubbock,TX (806)794-1296 Hobbs,NM (575-392-7550) Phoenix,AZ (480-355-0900) Atlanta,GA (770-449-8800) Tampa FL /81/2	Chain of Chain of House TY /9811 940-4900
Relinquished by: (Signature) Rei 2 4 6	3e B Cd Ca Cr Co Cu Fe Pb Mg Mn Mo Ni K Se Be Cd Cr Co Cu Pb Mn Mo Ni Se Ag TI U Xenco, its affiliates and subcontractors. It assigns standard terms and con xs incurred by the client if such losses are due to circumstances beyond the but not analyzed. These terms will be enforced unless previously neoplate					Ch	lorid		4 300		ANALYSIS REQUEST	Cusolum. Cour Deliverables: EDD	Reporting:Lev	State of Project:	Program: US		02-0300 San Antonio, I X (210) 509-3334 5)585-3443 Lubbock,TX (806)794-1296 tlanta,GA (770-449-8800) Tampa FL (813-620-2000)	Chain of Custody
Received by: (Signature) Date/Time	Ni K Se Ag SiO2 Na Sr TI Sn U V Zn U 1631 / 245.1 / 7470 / 7471 : Hg rms and conditions s beyond the control stv neoptiated					Sample Comments	TAT starts the day received by the lab, if received by 4:30pm				Work Order Notes	EDD ADaPT Other:	Reporting:Level II Level III PST/UST TRRP Level IV	roject:		Work Order Comments		Work Order No: 23137

Page 54 of 64



Final 1.000

## **Inter-Office Shipment**

.

#### IOS Number : 49447

Date/Time	: 10.07.2019	Created by:	Brianna Te	eel	Please send report to:	Jessica Kran	ner		
Lab# From	n: Midland	Delivery Price	ority:		Address:	1211 W. Flo	rida Av	e	
Lab# To:	Houston	Air Bill No.:	776572159	9733	E-Mail:	jessica.kram	er@xen	co.com	
Sample Id	Matrix Client Sample Id	Sample Collection	Method	Method Name	Lab Due	HT Due	РМ	Analytes	Sign
639137-001	S CS-1	10.04.2019 09:50	SW8260CBTEX	BTEX by SW 8260C	10.10.2019	10.18.2019	JKR	BZ BZME EBZ XYLENE	
639137-002	S CS-2	10.04.2019 09:52	SW8260CBTEX	BTEX by SW 8260C	10.10.2019	10.18.2019	JKR	BZ BZME EBZ XYLENE	
639137-003	S CS-3	10.04.2019 09:55	SW8260CBTEX	BTEX by SW 8260C	10.10.2019	10.18.2019	JKR	BZ BZME EBZ XYLENE	
639137-004	S CS-4	10.04.2019 10:00	SW8260CBTEX	BTEX by SW 8260C	10.10.2019	10.18.2019	JKR	BZ BZME EBZ XYLENE	
639137-005	S CS-5	10.04.2019 10:02	SW8260CBTEX	BTEX by SW 8260C	10.10.2019	10.18.2019	JKR	BZ BZME EBZ XYLENE	
639137-006	S STP	10.04.2019 09:25	SW8260CBTEX	BTEX by SW 8260C	10.10.2019	10.18.2019	JKR	BZ BZME EBZ XYLENE	

#### Inter Office Shipment or Sample Comments:

Relinquished By:

•

Brianna Teel

Date Relinquished: 10.07.2019

Received By:	Lucy Cinnung
	Travis Simmons
Date Received:	10.08.2019
Cooler Temperature:	1.5



#### **XENCO** Laboratories



Inter Office Report- Sample Receipt Checklist

Sent To: Houston IOS #: 49447

Acceptable Temperature Range: 0 - 6 degC Air and Metal samples Acceptable Range: Ambient Temperature Measuring device used : HOU-068

Sent By:	Brianna Teel	Date Sent:	10.07.2019 08.54 AM
Received By:	Travis Simmons	Date Received:	10.08.2019 09.20 AM

Sample Receipt Checklist		Comments
#1 *Temperature of cooler(s)?	1.5	
#2 *Shipping container in good condition?	Yes	
#3 *Samples received with appropriate temperature?	Yes	
#4 *Custody Seals intact on shipping container/ cooler?	Yes	
#5 *Custody Seals Signed and dated for Containers/coolers	Yes	
#6 *IOS present?	Yes	
#7 Any missing/extra samples?	No	
#8 IOS agrees with sample label(s)/matrix?	Yes	
#9 Sample matrix/ properties agree with IOS?	Yes	
#10 Samples in proper container/ bottle?	Yes	
#11 Samples properly preserved?	Yes	
#12 Sample container(s) intact?	Yes	
#13 Sufficient sample amount for indicated test(s)?	Yes	
#14 All samples received within hold time?	Yes	

\* Must be completed for after-hours delivery of samples prior to placing in the refrigerator

NonConformance:

**Corrective Action Taken:** 

Contact:

**Nonconformance Documentation** 

Contacted by :

Date:

Checklist reviewed by:

uaux

Travis Simmons

Date: 10.08.2019

Page 57 of 64



## **XENCO** Laboratories Prelogin/Nonconformance Report- Sample Log-In



Client: Ensolum Acceptable Temperature Range: 0 - 6 degC Air and Metal samples Acceptable Range: Ambient Date/ Time Received: 10/07/2019 08:30:00 AM Temperature Measuring device used : R8 Work Order #: 639137 Sample Receipt Checklist Comments #1 \*Temperature of cooler(s)? .3 #2 \*Shipping container in good condition? Yes #3 \*Samples received on ice? Yes #4 \*Custody Seals intact on shipping container/ cooler? Yes #5 Custody Seals intact on sample bottles? N/A #6\*Custody Seals Signed and dated? Yes #7 \*Chain of Custody present? Yes #8 Any missing/extra samples? No #9 Chain of Custody signed when relinquished/ received? Yes #10 Chain of Custody agrees with sample labels/matrix? Yes #11 Container label(s) legible and intact? Yes #12 Samples in proper container/ bottle? Yes #13 Samples properly preserved? Yes #14 Sample container(s) intact? Yes #15 Sufficient sample amount for indicated test(s)? Yes #16 All samples received within hold time? Yes #17 Subcontract of sample(s)? Yes Xenco Stafford-BTEX8260

#### \* Must be completed for after-hours delivery of samples prior to placing in the refrigerator

Analyst:

PH Device/Lot#:

Checklist completed by:

#18 Water VOC samples have zero headspace?

Checklist completed by: BUMA Tal Brianna Teel Checklist reviewed by: Jessica Warmer

Date: 10/07/2019

N/A

lessica Kramer

Date: 10/07/2019

C-141

APPENDIX F

**ENSOLUM** 

District I 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 District II 811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 District III 1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410 District IV 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505 State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources Department

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505 Form C-141 Revised August 24, 2018 Submit to appropriate OCD District office

)

NRM1927358476
1RP-5715
fBBB1215748931
pRM1927358619

## **Release Notification**

### **Responsible Party**

Responsible Party Marathon Oil Permian LLC	OGRID 372098
Contact Name Isaac Castro	Contact Telephone 575-988-0561
Contact email icastro@marathonoil.com	Incident # (assigned by OCD)
Contact mailing address 4111 S. Tidwell Rd., Carlsbad, NM 88220	

### **Location of Release Source**

Latitude	32.399529	Longitude						
	(NAD 83	33 in decimal degrees to 5 decimal places)						
Site Name	Site Name GRAMA RIDGE 8 STATE COM #002H       Site Type Oil and gas drilling facility							
Date Rele	ase Discovered 9/5/19	API# (if applicable) <b>30-025-43607</b>						

Unit Letter	Section	Township	Range	County
0	05	228	34E	Lea

Surface Owner: State Federal Tribal Private (Name:

### Nature and Volume of Release

Mater	ial(s) Released (Select all that apply and attach calculations or specif	ic justification for the volumes provided below)
Crude Oil	Volume Released (bbls) <u>12.5 bbls</u>	Volume Recovered (bbls) <u>10 bbls</u>
Produced Water	Volume Released (bbls)	Volume Recovered (bbls)
	Is the concentration of dissolved chloride in the produced water >10,000 mg/l?	Yes No
Condensate	Volume Released (bbls)	Volume Recovered (bbls)
Natural Gas	Volume Released (Mcf)	Volume Recovered (Mcf)
Other (describe)	Volume/Weight Released (provide units)	Volume/Weight Recovered (provide units)
Cause of Release		

Operator reported a spill due to the lact air eliminator leaking. Approximately 12.5 bbls were spilled to the ground. A vac truck was immediately dispatched to recover fluids and recovered 10 bbls. All spillage is contained on location.

Form C-141	State of New Mexico
Page 2	Oil Conservation Division

Incident ID	NRM1927358476
District RP	1RP-5715
Facility ID	fBBB1215748931
Application ID	pRM1927358619

Was this a major release as defined by 19.15.29.7(A) NMAC?	If YES, for what reason(s) does the responsible party consider this a major release? This was a major release as defined by NMAC 19.15.29.7(A) based on volume of material released.	
$\Box \text{ Yes } \boxtimes \text{ No}$		
If YES, was immediate notice given to the OCD? By whom? To whom? When and by what means (phone, email, etc)?		

### **Initial Response**

The responsible party must undertake the following actions immediately unless they could create a safety hazard that would result in injury

 $\square$  The source of the release has been stopped.

The impacted area has been secured to protect human health and the environment.

Released materials have been contained via the use of berms or dikes, absorbent pads, or other containment devices.

All free liquids and recoverable materials have been removed and managed appropriately.

If all the actions described above have not been undertaken, explain why:

Per 19.15.29.8 B. (4) NMAC the responsible party may commence remediation immediately after discovery of a release. If remediation has begun, please attach a narrative of actions to date. If remedial efforts have been successfully completed or if the release occurred within a lined containment area (see 19.15.29.11(A)(5)(a) NMAC), please attach all information needed for closure evaluation.

I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to OCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the OCD does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to groundwater, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, OCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.

Printed Name: Isaac Castro	Title: <u>Environmental Professional</u>
Signature: <u>Isaac Castro</u>	Date: <u>9/19/19</u>
email: <u>icastro@marathonoil.com</u>	Telephone: <u>575-988-0561</u>
OCD Only	
Received by: Ramona Marcus	Date: 09/30/2019

Form C-141 Page 3

State of New Mexico **Oil Conservation Division** 

Incident ID	
District RP	1RP-5715
Facility ID	
Application ID	

## Site Assessment/Characterization

This information must be provided to the appropriate district office no later than 90 days after the release discovery date.

What is the shallowest depth to groundwater beneath the area affected by the release?	<u>31</u> (ft bgs)			
Did this release impact groundwater or surface water?				
Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse or any other significant watercourse?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No			
Are the lateral extents of the release within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark)?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No			
Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of an occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No			
Are the lateral extents of the release within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No			
Are the lateral extents of the release within 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring?	🗌 Yes 🔀 No			
Are the lateral extents of the release within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No			
Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of a wetland?	🗌 Yes 🔀 No			
Are the lateral extents of the release overlying a subsurface mine?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No			
Are the lateral extents of the release overlying an unstable area such as karst geology?	🗌 Yes 🔀 No			
Are the lateral extents of the release within a 100-year floodplain?				
Did the release impact areas <b>not</b> on an exploration, development, production, or storage site?	🗌 Yes 🖂 No			

Attach a comprehensive report (electronic submittals in .pdf format are preferred) demonstrating the lateral and vertical extents of soil contamination associated with the release have been determined. Refer to 19.15.29.11 NMAC for specifics.

#### Characterization Report Checklist: Each of the following items must be included in the report.

Scaled site map showing impacted area, surface features, subsurface features, delineation points, and monitoring wells.

- Field data
- $\boxtimes$ Data table of soil contaminant concentration data
- $\square$  Depth to water determination
- $\square$ Determination of water sources and significant watercourses within 1/2-mile of the lateral extents of the release
- $\boxtimes$ Boring or excavation logs
- $\square$ Photographs including date and GIS information
- $\boxtimes$ Topographic/Aerial maps
- Laboratory data including chain of custody

If the site characterization report does not include completed efforts at remediation of the release, the report must include a proposed remediation plan. That plan must include the estimated volume of material to be remediated, the proposed remediation technique, proposed sampling plan and methods, anticipated timelines for beginning and completing the remediation. The closure criteria for a release are contained in Table 1 of 19.15.29.12 NMAC, however, use of the table is modified by site- and release-specific parameters.

eived by OCD: 11/15/				Page
orm C-141	State of New Mexico		Incident ID	
age 4	Oil Conservation	Oil Conservation Division		1RP-5715
			Facility ID	
			Application ID	
public health or the env failed to adequately inv	ironment. The acceptance of a C-14 estigate and remediate contaminatio	I report by the OCD does n that pose a threat to grou	and perform corrective actions for rele not relieve the operator of liability sh ndwater, surface water, human health ility for compliance with any other fe	ould their operations have or the environment. In
Printed Name:	Isaac Castro	Title:	Environmental Professional	
Signature:	Isaac Castro	Date:	11/13/19	
email: <u>icastro@ma</u>	rathonoil.com	Telephone: <u>575</u>	<u>-988-0561</u>	

Form C-141 Page 6 State of New Mexico Oil Conservation Division

Incident ID	
District RP	1RP-5715
Facility ID	
Application ID	

## Closure

The responsible party must attach information demonstrating they have complied with all applicable closure requirements and any conditions or directives of the OCD. This demonstration should be in the form of a comprehensive report (electronic submittals in .pdf format are preferred) including a scaled site map, sampling diagrams, relevant field notes, photographs of any excavation prior to backfilling, laboratory data including chain of custody documents of final sampling, and a narrative of the remedial activities. Refer to 19.15.29.12 NMAC.

Closure Report Attachment Checklist: Each of the following items must be included in the closure report.

A scaled site and sampling diagram as described in 19.15.29.11 NMAC

Photographs of the remediated site prior to backfill or photos of the liner integrity if applicable (Note: appropriate OCD District office must be notified 2 days prior to liner inspection)

Laboratory analyses of final sampling (Note: appropriate ODC District office must be notified 2 days prior to final sampling)

Description of remediation activities

I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to OCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the OCD does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to groundwater, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, OCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations. The responsible party acknowledges they must substantially restore, reclaim, and re-vegetate the impacted surface area to the conditions that existed prior to the release or their final land use in accordance with 19.15.29.13 NMAC including notification to the OCD when reclamation and re-vegetation are complete.

Printed Name:	Isaac Castro	Title:	Environmental Professional
Signature:	Asaac Castro	Date:]	1/13/19
email: <u>icastro@r</u>	marathonoil.com	_ Telephone:5	75-988-0561
OCD Only			
Received by:			Date:
Closure approval by the OCD does not relieve the responsible party of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that poses a threat to groundwater, surface water, human health, or the environment nor does not relieve the responsible party of compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.			
Closure Approved	by:		Date:
Printed Name:			Title: