NM OIL CONSERVATION

ARTESIA DISTRICT

District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

SEP 19 2017

Form C-141 Revised August 8, 2011

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505 Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in RECEIVED ance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

Release Notification and Corrective Action											
NAB1726356914						OPERA?		⊠ Init	ial Report	☐ Fin:	al Report
				OGRID] 22913		Contact: Robert McNeill Telephone No. 432-230-0077					
Address: 600 West Illinois Avenue, Midland TX 79701 Facility Name: ROAD LIZARD 5 FEDERAL COM #002H						Facility Type: Battery					
Surface Owner: Federal Mineral Owner											
LOCATION OF RELEASE											
Unit Letter	Section	Township	Range	Feet from the		South Line	Feet from the	East/West Line		County	
G	05	23S	29E	1715'		North 2220*		<u>East</u>		Eddy	
Latitude 32.3370132 Longitude -104.0055847											
NATURE OF RELEASE Volume of Polarest Volume Recovered:											
Type of Rele Produced Wa						Volume of Release: Volume Recovered: 4.5 bbls pw 4.5 bbls pw					
Source of Re						Date and Hour of Occurrence: Date and Hour of Discovered 9-15-2017 08:15 am 9-15-2017 08:15 am					
Fittings/Con Was Immedi						If YES, To Whom?					
			Yes 🗵	No Not R	equired						
By Whom? Was a Water	course Read	hed?			. ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Date and Hour: If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse.					
☐ Yes ⊠ No											
If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.*											
Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.*											
This release was caused by a leak that developed around the threads of a 3" hammer union. The union was tightened to stop the leak.											
Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* The release remained within the lived facility. Vegette trucks were dispetched to receive all free fluids. Conche will have the spill area evoluted for any											
The release remained within the lined facility. Vacuum trucks were dispatched to recover all free fluids. Concho will have the spill area evaluated for any possible impact from the release and we will present a remediation work plan to the NMOCD for approval prior to any significant remediation activities.											
I hereby cert	ify that the i	information vi	ven above	is true and come	lete to t	he hest of my	knowledge and u	nderstand that pu	rsuant to NM	OCD rules a	ınd
regulations a	ll operators	are required to	o report ai	nd/or file certain i	elease n	otifications a	nd perform correc	tive actions for re	leases which	may endang	ger
should their	or the envi operations h	ronment. The lave failed to s	acceptani dequately	ce of a C-141 report investigate and r	on by incerior	e NMOCD m e contaminati	arked as "Final Roon that pose a three	eport does not re eat to ground wat	er, surface w	rator of fluo ater, human	health
		ddition, NMC ws and/or regu		stance of a C-141	report d	oes not reliev	e the operator of	responsibility for	compliance v	vith any oth	er
reaciai, state	, or tocar ia	iva androt tege	nanons.		T	OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION					
Signature:	Di	クト							<i></i>		
Printed Nam	a: Dakota b	iaal				Approved by Environmental Specialist & Description					
		<u> </u>				OUG IO UIA					
Title: HSE C	<u>coordinator</u>					Approval Date:					
E-mail Addr	ess: <u>dneel2</u> 6	aconcho.com				Conditions of Approval: See affaired Attached SRP. 4408					
Date: Sep	tember 19, 2	2017 Ph	one: 575-	746-2010							
Attach Additional Sheets If Necessary Current forms are available and should be shoul											
Website are are a state.nm											
Attach Additional Sheets If Necessary Current forms are available on our regulatory does Current forms are available on our											
website and should be used when											
filing regulatory documents.											

auglin18

Operator/Responsible Party,

The OCD has received the form C-141 you provided on 9/19/2017 regarding an unauthorized release. The information contained on that form has been entered into our incident database and remediation case number 9/19/2017 has been assigned. Please refer to this case number in all future correspondence.

It is the Division's obligation under both the Oil & Gas Act and Water Quality Act to provide for the protection of public health and the environment. Our regulations (19.15.29.11 NMAC) state the following,

The responsible person shall complete <u>division-approved corrective action</u> for releases that endanger public health or the environment. The responsible person shall address releases in accordance with a remediation plan submitted to and approved by the division or with an abatement plan submitted in accordance with 19.15.30 NMAC. [emphasis added]

Release characterization is the first phase of corrective action unless the release is ongoing or is of limited volume and all impacts can be immediately addressed. Proper and cost-effective remediation typically cannot occur without adequate characterization of the impacts of any release. Furthermore, the Division has the ability to impose reasonable conditions upon the efforts it oversees. As such, the Division is requiring a workplan for the characterization of impacts associated with this release be submitted to the OCD District $\frac{2}{2}$ office in $\frac{ARTESIA}{ARTESIA}$ on or before $\frac{10/19/2017}{ARTESIA}$. If and when the release characterization workplan is approved, there will be an associated deadline for submittal of the resultant investigation report. Modest extensions of time to these deadlines may be granted, but only with acceptable justification.

The goals of a characterization effort are: 1) determination of the lateral and vertical extents along with the magnitude of soil contamination. 2) determine if groundwater or surface waters have been impacted. 3) If groundwater or surface waters have been impacted, what are the extents and magnitude of that impact. 4) The characterization of any other adverse impacts that may have occurred (examples: impacts on vegetation, impacts on wildlife, air quality, loss of use of property, etc.). To meet these goals as quickly as possible, the following items must, at a minimum, be addressed in the release characterization workplan and subsequent reporting:

- Horizontal delineation of soil impacts in each of the four cardinal compass directions. Adsorbed soil contamination must be characterized for the following constituents using the associated laboratory methods: benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes by either Method 8260 or 8021, total petroleum hydrocarbons by Method 8015 extended range (GRO+DRO+MRO; C₆ thru C₃₆), and for chloride by Method 300. This is not an exclusive list of potential contaminants. Analyzed parameters should be modified based on the nature of the released substance(s). Soil sampling must be both within the impacted area and beyond.
- Vertical delineation of soil impacts. Adsorbed soil contamination must be characterized for the following constituents using the associated laboratory methods: benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes by either Method 8260 or 8021, total petroleum hydrocarbons by Method 8015 extended range (GRO+DRO+MRO; C₆ thru C₃₆), and for chloride by Method 300. As above, this is not an exclusive list of potential contaminants and can be modified. Vertical characterization samples should be taken at depth intervals no greater than five feet apart. Lithologic description of encountered soils must also be provided. At least ten vertical feet of soils with contaminant concentrations at or below these values must be demonstrated as existing above the water table.
- Nominal detection limits for field and laboratory analyses must be provided.
- Composite sampling is not generally allowed.
- Field screening and assessment techniques are acceptable (headspace, titration, EC [include algorithm for validation purposes], EM, etc.), but the sampling and assay procedures must be clearly defined. Copies of field notes are highly desirable. A statistically significant set of split samples must be submitted for confirmatory laboratory analysis, including the laterally farthest and vertically deepest sets of soil samples. Make sure there are at least two soil samples submitted

for laboratory analysis from each borehole or test pit (highest observed contamination and deepest depth investigated). Copies of the actual laboratory results must be provided including chain of custody documentation.

- •Probable depth to shallowest protectable groundwater and lateral distance to nearest surface water. If there is an estimate of groundwater depth, the information used to arrive at that estimate must be provided. If there is a reasonable assumption that the depth to protectable water is 50 feet or less, the responsible party should anticipate the need for at least one groundwater monitoring well to be installed in the area of likely maximum contamination.
- If groundwater contamination is encountered, an additional investigation workplan may be required to determine the extents of that contamination. Groundwater and/or surface water samples, if any, must be analyzed by a competent laboratory for volatile organic hydrocarbons (typically Method 8260 full list), total dissolved solids, pH, major anions and cations including chloride and sulfate, dissolved iron, and dissolved manganese. The investigation workplan must provide the groundwater sampling method(s) and sample handling protocols. To the fullest extent possible, aqueous analyses must be undertaken using nominal method detection limits. As with the soil analyses, copies of the actual laboratory results must be provided including chain of custody documentation.
- Accurately scaled and well-drafted site maps must be provided providing the location of borings, test pits, monitoring wells, potentially impacted areas, and significant surface features including roads and site infrastructure that might limit either the release characterization or remedial efforts. Field sketches may be included in subsequent reporting, but should not be considered stand-alone documentation of the site's layout. Digital photographic documentation of the location and fieldwork is recommended, especially if unusual circumstances are encountered.

Nothing herein should be interpreted to preclude emergency response actions or to imply immediate remediation by removal cannot proceed as warranted. Nonetheless, characterization of impacts and confirmation of the effectiveness of remedial efforts must still be provided to the OCD before any release incident will be closed.

Jim Griswold
OCD Environmental Bureau Chief
1220 South St. Francis Drive
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505
505-476-3465
jim.griswold@state.nm.us