RECE	NED.
REVE	IAED

JUL 1 6 2018

F	orm	C	-141
Revised	April	3.	2017

'a *

District I 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 District II 811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 District III 1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410 District IV 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505 Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

DISTRICE WARTESWO. 0.00 popriate District Office in accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC. Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr.

Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico

		Release Notification and Corrective Action								<u></u>
NAB \820737351 312/37OPERATOR ☐ Initial Report ☐ Final Report										
Name of Co	mpany Čl	HISHOLM I	ENERGY	OPERATING,	LLC	Contact JI	ENNIFER ELROE	OFFICE)/PAUL	MARTINEZ (F	IELD)
Address801 (Address ⁸⁰¹ CHERRY STREET, SUITE 1200-UNIT 20 FORT WORTH, TX 75ID&lephone No. 817-953-3728(JENNIFER)/325-206-1722 (PAUL) Facility Name COTTONWOOD 29-32 FED COM WCA 3H/WCB 4H Facility Type WELL LOCATION									
Facility Nar	ne COTTO	NWOOD 29-	32 FED C	OM WCA 3H/W	CB 4H	Facinity Typ	e WELL LOCA	ATION		
Surface Owner STATE Mineral Owner FEDERAL API No 30-015-43703 & 30-015-43705										
LOCATION OF RELEASE										
Unit Letter	Section	Township	Range	Feet from the	North/	South Line	Feet from the	East/West Line	County	
A	29	26S	26E	100	NO	RTH	1300	EAST	EDDY	
			Latitud	le	Lo	ongitude		NAD83		
				NAT	URE	OF REL	EASE			
Type of Rele	ase FRAC	TANK OVE	RFLOW			Volume of	Release 50 BB		Recovered 0 BE	-
Source of Re Was Immedia	lease TAN	IK.						e 7/15/18Date and	Hour of Discover	ry 7/15/18
was Immedia	ate Notice (]Yes []	🕻 No 🔲 Not Re	equired	If YES, To	whom?		ï	
By Whom?				· · · · · · · · ·		Date and H	lour			
Was a Water	course Read			L		If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse.				
			Yes							
If a Watercou	irse was Im	pacted, Descr	ibe Fully.	•						
										j.
Describe Cau	se of Probl	lem and Reme	dial Actio					SING FRAC TAN		
						-	WAITING ON TA WAS ON WELL I	NK REPLACEME	ENT DUE TO LI	GHTNING
					SINK	. KELEASE	WAS ON WELL	TAD ONE 1.		
Describe Are	a Affected	and Cleanup	Action Tal							
Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* AREA WILL BE SCRAPED AND ANY OTHER REMEDIATION THAT IS NEEDED. PLANS										
TO START CLEANUP ON 7/16/18										
	<u> </u>			····	1					
								nderstand that purs tive actions for rele		
public health	or the envi	ronment. The	e acceptant	ce of a C-141 repo	ort by the	NMOCD m	arked as "Final Re	eport" does not reli	ieve the operator	of liability
	should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to ground water, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other									
	federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.									
				OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION						
Signature: Gennifer Elrod						the Browner				
Printed Name	ed Name: JENNIFER ELROD				Approved by Environmental Specialist:					
			VOT				Montie	2	ALIE	1
Title: SR.	KEGULAT	ORY ANAL	151			Approval Da		Expiration	Date: /////	
E-mail Addre	ess: jelro	d@chisholme	nergy.com		(Conditions o	f Approval:	1.00	Attached	
Date: 07/	16/2018		Phone	: 817-953-3728		1	Bu atta	UNIX	, Ak	1P. 481 D
* Attach Addi	tional She	ets If Necess			I					

Operator/Responsible Party,

It is the Division's obligation under both the Oil & Gas Act and Water Quality Act to provide for the protection of public health and the environment. Our regulations (19.15.29.11 NMAC) state the following,

The responsible person shall complete <u>division-approved corrective action</u> for releases that endanger public health or the environment. The responsible person shall address releases in accordance with a remediation plan submitted to and approved by the division or with an abatement plan submitted in accordance with 19.15.30 NMAC. [emphasis added]

Release characterization is the first phase of corrective action unless the release is ongoing or is of limited volume and all impacts can be immediately addressed. Proper and cost-effective remediation typically cannot occur without adequate characterization of the impacts of any release. Furthermore, the Division has the ability to impose reasonable conditions upon the efforts it oversees. As such, the Division is requiring a workplan for the characterization of impacts associated with this release be submitted to the OCD District 2 office in ARTESIA on or before $\frac{8/16/2018}{10}$. If and when the release characterization workplan is approved, there will be an associated deadline for submittal of the resultant investigation report. Modest extensions of time to these deadlines may be granted, but only with acceptable justification.

The goals of a characterization effort are: 1) determination of the lateral and vertical extents along with the magnitude of soil contamination. 2) determine if groundwater or surface waters have been impacted. 3) If groundwater or surface waters have been impacted, what are the extents and magnitude of that impact. 4) The characterization of any other adverse impacts that may have occurred (examples: impacts on vegetation, impacts on wildlife, air quality, loss of use of property, etc.). To meet these goals as quickly as possible, the following items must, at a minimum, be addressed in the release characterization workplan and subsequent reporting:

• Horizontal delineation of soil impacts in each of the four cardinal compass directions. Adsorbed soil contamination must be characterized for the following constituents using the associated laboratory methods: benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes by either Method 8260 or 8021, total petroleum hydrocarbons by Method 8015 extended range (GRO+DRO+MRO; C₆ thru C₃₆), and for chloride by Method 300. This is not an exclusive list of potential contaminants. Analyzed parameters should be modified based on the nature of the released substance(s). Soil sampling must be both within the impacted area and beyond.

• Vertical delineation of soil impacts. Adsorbed soil contamination must be characterized for the following constituents using the associated laboratory methods: benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes by either Method 8260 or 8021, total petroleum hydrocarbons by Method 8015 extended range (GRO+DRO+MRO; C₆ thru C₃₆), and for chloride by Method 300. As above, this is not an exclusive list of potential contaminants and can be modified. Vertical characterization samples should be taken at depth intervals no greater than five feet apart. Lithologic description of encountered soils must also be provided. At least ten vertical feet of soils with contaminant concentrations at or below these values must be demonstrated as existing above the water table.

• Nominal detection limits for field and laboratory analyses must be provided.

• Composite sampling is not generally allowed.

• Field screening and assessment techniques are acceptable (headspace, titration, EC [include algorithm for validation purposes], EM, etc.), but the sampling and assay procedures must be clearly defined. Copies of field notes are highly desirable. A statistically significant set of split samples must be submitted for confirmatory laboratory analysis, including the laterally farthest and vertically deepest sets of soil samples. Make sure there are at least two soil samples submitted

for laboratory analysis from each borehole or test pit (highest observed contamination and deepest depth investigated). Copies of the actual laboratory results must be provided including chain of custody documentation.

•Probable depth to shallowest protectable groundwater and lateral distance to nearest surface water. If there is an estimate of groundwater depth, the information used to arrive at that estimate must be provided. If there is a reasonable assumption that the depth to protectable water is 50 feet or less, the responsible party should anticipate the need for at least one groundwater monitoring well to be installed in the area of likely maximum contamination.

• If groundwater contamination is encountered, an additional investigation workplan may be required to determine the extents of that contamination. Groundwater and/or surface water samples, if any, must be analyzed by a competent laboratory for volatile organic hydrocarbons (typically Method 8260 full list), total dissolved solids, pH, major anions and cations including chloride and sulfate, dissolved iron, and dissolved manganese. The investigation workplan must provide the groundwater sampling method(s) and sample handling protocols. To the fullest extent possible, aqueous analyses must be undertaken using nominal method detection limits. As with the soil analyses, copies of the actual laboratory results must be provided including chain of custody documentation.

• Accurately scaled and well-drafted site maps must be provided providing the location of borings, test pits, monitoring wells, potentially impacted areas, and significant surface features including roads and site infrastructure that might limit either the release characterization or remedial efforts. Field sketches may be included in subsequent reporting, but should not be considered stand-alone documentation of the site's layout. Digital photographic documentation of the location and fieldwork is recommended, especially if unusual circumstances are encountered.

Nothing herein should be interpreted to preclude emergency response actions or to imply immediate remediation by removal cannot proceed as warranted. Nonetheless, characterization of impacts and confirmation of the effectiveness of remedial efforts must still be provided to the OCD before any release incident will be closed.

Jim Griswold OCD Environmental Bureau Chief 1220 South St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 505-476-3465 jim.griswold@state.nm.us