NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION Santa Fe, New Mexico

MISCELLANEOUS REPORTS ON WELLS

Submit this report in TRIPLICATE to the District Office, Oil Conservation Commission, within 10 days after the work specified is completed. It should be signed and filed as a report on Beginning Drilling Operations, Results of test of casing shut-off, result of plugging of well, result of well repair, and other important operations, even though the work was witnessed by an agent of the Commission. See additional instructions in the Rules and Regulations of the Commission.

REPORT ON BEGINNING DRILLING OPERATIONS REPORT ON RESULT OF TEST X REPORT ON REPAIRING WELL REPORT ON RESULT OF CASING SHUT-OFF REPORT ON RESULT OF PLUGGING WELL REPORT ON RECOMPLETION REPORT ON (Other) REPORT ON RECOMPLETION (Other) REPORT ON RECOMPLETION REPORT ON (Other) REPORT ON RESULT OF TEST X REPORT ON (Other) REPORT ON RESULT OF TEST X REPORT ON (Other) REPORT ON RESULT OF TEST X REPORT ON REPAIRING WELL REPORT ON RESULT OF TEST X REPORT ON REPAIRING WELL REPORT ON RESULT OF TEST X REPORT ON (Other) REPORT ON RESULT OF TEST X REPORT ON REPAIRING WELL REPORT ON RESULT OF TEST X REPORT ON REPORT ON REPAIRING WELL REPORT ON RESULT OF TEST X REPORT ON REPAIRING WELL REPORT ON RESULT OF TEST X REPORT ON REPAIRING WELL REPORT ON RESULT OF TEST X REPORT ON REPAIRING WELL REPORT ON REPAIRING WELL REPORT ON RESULT OF TEST X REPORT ON REPAIRING WELL REPORT ON REPAIRING WELL REPORT ON RECOMPLETION (Other) REPORT ON REPAIRING WELL REPORT ON RECOMPLETION (Other) REPORT ON REPAIRING WELL REPORT ON RECOMPLETION (Other) REPORT ON REPAIRING WELL REPORT ON REPORT ON REPAIRING WELL REPORT ON REPAIRING WELL REPORT ON REPAIRING WELL REPORT ON REPAIRING WELL REPORT ON REPORT ON REPAIRING WELL REPORT ON REPORT ON REPAIRING WELL REPORT ON REPAIRING WELL REPORT ON REPORT ON REPO	ce additional
DRILLING OPERATIONS OF CASING SHUT-OFF REPAIRING WELL REPORT ON RESULT OF PLUGGING WELL REPORT ON RECOMPLETION OPERATION REPORT ON (Other)	
OPERATION Peb. 24, 1953 Artesia, N. Med. (Place) Following is a report on the work done and the results obtained under the heading noted above at the Randel-State (Company or Operator) (Company or Operator) (Contractor) T. 173, R. 30B, NMPM, Grayburg-Jackson Pool, Feb. 16, 1953 Notice of intention to do the work (was) (was not) submitted on Form G-102 on. (Cross out incorrect words) and approval of the proposed plan (was) (was not) obtained.	
Following is a report on the work done and the results obtained under the heading noted above at the Kersey & Company (Company or Operator) Randel-State (Company or Operator) (Lease) Well No. 19 in the 1/4 No So (Contractor) T. 17S, R. 30E, NMPM., Grayburg-Jackson Pool, Fddy The Dates of this work were as follows: Feb. 16, 1953 Notice of intention to do the work (was) (was not) submitted on Form C-102 on (Cross out incorrect words) and approval of the proposed plan (was) (was not) obtained.	
Following is a report on the work done and the results obtained under the heading noted above at the Kersey & Company (Company or Operator) Randel-State (Company or Operator) (Lease) Well No. 19 in the 1/4 No So (Contractor) T. 17S, R. 30E, NMPM., Grayburg-Jackson Pool, Fddy The Dates of this work were as follows: Feb. 16, 1953 Notice of intention to do the work (was) (was not) submitted on Form C-102 on (Cross out incorrect words) and approval of the proposed plan (was) (was not) obtained.	·
Kersey & Company (Company or Operator) (Company or Operator) (Contractor) T. 17S, R. 30E, NMPM., Grayburg-Jackson Pool, Eddy The Dates of this work were as follows: Feb. 16, 1953 Notice of intention to do the work (was) (was not) submitted on Form C-102 on. (Cross out incorrect words) and approval of the proposed plan (was) (was not) obtained.)
(Company or Operator) (Lease) (Contractor) T. 17S, R. 30B, NMPM., Grayburg-Jackson (Contractor) The Dates of this work were as follows: (Contractor) Feb. 16, 1953 Notice of intention to do the work (was) (was not) submitted on Form C-102 on. (Cross out incorrect words) and approval of the proposed plan (was) (was not) obtained.	
(Contractor) T. 17S, R. 30B., NMPM., Greyburg-Jackson Peb. 16, 1953 Notice of intention to do the work (was) (was not) submitted on Form C-102 on (Cross out incorrect words) and approval of the proposed plan (was) (was not) obtained.	
T	34
T	ec,
The Dates of this work were as follows: Peb. 16, 1953 Notice of intention to do the work (was) (was not) submitted on Form C-102 on. (Cross out incorrect words) and approval of the proposed plan (was) (was not) obtained.	
Notice of intention to do the work (was) (was not) submitted on Form C-102 on(Cross out incorrect words) and approval of the proposed plan (was) (was not) obtained.	
and approval of the proposed plan (was) (was not) obtained.	••••••
and approval of the proposed plan (was) (was not) obtained.	, 19,
DETAILED ACCOUNT OF WORK DONE AND RESULTS OBTAINED	
DETAILED ACCOUNT OF WORK DONE AND RESURTS OBTAINED	
On February 16th ran 500' of 8 5/8" OD 28# casing anexemented with 50 sacks of cement. Let stand for 72 hours, drilled paug, bailed hole dry and let stand for one hour and found water shut-off to be complete.	
Witnessed by Harold Kersey Kersey & Company Partner (Name) (Company) (Title)	·····
Approved: OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION I hereby certify that the information given above is true a to the best of my knowledge.	and complete
L. G. Harred Kunny	
(Name) Position Partner	
Representing	

(Date)

Address....

(Title)