Received by OCD: 7/26/2019 6:15:15 PM

District I 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 District II 811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 District III 1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410 District IV 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505 State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources Department

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505 Form C-141 Revised August 24, 2018 Submit to appropriate OCD District office

)

Volume/Weight Recovered (provide units)

Incident ID	NCS 1735235018
District RP	AP-126
Facility ID	
Application ID	

Release Notification

Reviewed By CS 8/6/19 Email to Operator Attached

long his

Responsible Party

Responsible Party Hilcorp Energy Company	OGRID 372171
Contact Name Jennifer Deal	Contact Telephone 505-801-6517
Contact email jdeal@hilcorp.com	Incident NCS 1735235018 AP-126
Contact mailing address 382 Road 3100 Aztec, NM 87410	

Location of Release Source

Latitude 36.7536011

(NAD 83 in decimal degrees to 5 decimal places)

Site Name Standard #1	Site Type Gas Well
Date Release Discovered 11/28/2017 A 10:15 am	API# (if applicable) 30-045-08718

Unit Letter	Section	Township	Range	County	
J	04	29N	12W	San Juan	

Surface Owner: State Federal Tribal Private (Name:

Nature and Volume of Release

Material(s) Released (Select all that apply and attach calculations or specific justification for the volumes provided below)			
Crude Oil	Volume Released (bbls)	Volume Recovered (bbls)	
Produced Water	Volume Released (bbls) unknown (historic)	Volume Recovered (bbls) 0	
	Is the concentration of dissolved chloride in the produced water >10,000 mg/l?	Yes No	
Condensate	Volume Released (bbls) unknown (historic)	Volume Recovered (bbls) 0	
Natural Gas	Volume Released (Mcf)	Volume Recovered (Mcf)	

Cause of Release

Other (describe)

Operator discovered historic contamination while digging up the dump line to the tank.

Volume/Weight Released (provide units)

Smith, Cory, EMNRD

From:	Smith, Cory, EMNRD
Sent:	Tuesday, August 6, 2019 9:21 AM
То:	Jennifer Deal
Cc:	Ashley Ager; Griswold, Jim, EMNRD; Powell, Brandon, EMNRD; Daniel Burns
Subject:	RE: Hilcorp - Standard #1 Delineation

Jennifer,

OCD has reviewed the Q2 Quarterly Report for AP-126 (Standard #1) OCD concurs with LTE assessment and approves the path forward to pilot test in-situ remediation.

Also going forward in the future AP/Part 30 reports no longer have to be submitted on Form C-141 nor though the fee's portal. Please make sure you include all the relevant information on the first page of the Quarterly report directly behind the cover page. Ie (Operator, Ogrid, Incident#, RP/AP #, and some form of certification from the operator (Signature))

The quarterly report will be placed into AP-136 well file for record keeping. If you have any questions please give me a call.

Cory Smith Environmental Specialist Oil Conservation Division Energy, Minerals, & Natural Resources 1000 Rio Brazos, Aztec, NM 87410 (505)334-6178 ext 115 cory.smith@state.nm.us

From: Daniel Burns <dburns@ltenv.com>
Sent: Friday, July 26, 2019 6:45 PM
To: Smith, Cory, EMNRD <Cory.Smith@state.nm.us>
Cc: Jennifer Deal <jdeal@hilcorp.com>; Ashley Ager <aager@ltenv.com>
Subject: [EXT] RE: Hilcorp - Standard #1 Delineation

Cory,

The abatement plan update report with delineation report and C-141 for the Standard #1 have been uploaded to NMOCD online portal.

Thanks,

Danny Burns Project Geologist Cell 701-570-4727

From: Daniel Burns Sent: Monday, June 3, 2019 2:42 PM To: Smith, Cory, EMNRD <<u>Cory.Smith@state.nm.us</u>> Cc: Jennifer Deal <<u>ideal@hilcorp.com</u>>; Eric Carroll (<u>ecarroll@ltenv.com</u>) <<u>ecarroll@ltenv.com</u>> Subject: Hilcorp - Standard #1 Delineation

Cory,

As we discussed in person last week, we are resuming additional delineation activities at the Standard #1 this week. We will be setting up and preparing to drill 4 additional wells to delineate groundwater. Permits from the NMOSE have been processed and received. We will be onsite starting Tuesday, 6/3/19, at 8 AM, if you plan on coming by. We should be done in 3 days.

Thanks,



Danny Burns Project Geologist 701.570.4727 *cell* 970.385.1096 *office* 848 East Second Avenue Durango, CO 81301 www.ltenv.com Page 2

State of New Mexico Oil Conservation Division

Incident ID	NCS 1735235018
District RP	
Facility ID	
Application ID	

Was this a major release as defined by 19.15.29.7(A) NMAC?	If YES, for what reason(s) does the responsible party consider this a major release? Unknown volume released.
Xes No	
If YES, was immediate n Notice given to Cory Sn	otice given to the OCD? By whom? To whom? When and by what means (phone, email, etc)? aith, Vanessa Fields, and Whitney Thomas on November 28, 2017 at 10:15 am by Jennifer Deal (Hilcorp)

Initial Response

The responsible party must undertake the following actions immediately unless they could create a safety hazard that would result in injury

 \square The source of the release has been stopped.

The impacted area has been secured to protect human health and the environment.

Released materials have been contained via the use of berms or dikes, absorbent pads, or other containment devices.

All free liquids and recoverable materials have been removed and managed appropriately.

If all the actions described above have not been undertaken, explain why:

Per 19.15.29.8 B. (4) NMAC the responsible party may commence remediation immediately after discovery of a release. If remediation has begun, please attach a narrative of actions to date. If remedial efforts have been successfully completed or if the release occurred within a lined containment area (see 19.15.29.11(A)(5)(a) NMAC), please attach all information needed for closure evaluation.

I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to OCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the OCD does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to groundwater, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, OCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.

Printed Name:	Jennifer Deal	Title: Environmental Specialist
Signature:	Gennifer Deal	Date: 7/26/2019
email:	jdeal@hilcorp.com	Telephone: 505-324-5128
OCD Only		
Received by:		Date:

Form C-141 Page 3 State of New Mexico Oil Conservation Division

Incident ID	NCS 1735235018
District RP	
Facility ID	
Application ID	

Site Assessment/Characterization

This information must be provided to the appropriate district office no later than 90 days after the release discovery date.

What is the shallowest depth to groundwater beneath the area affected by the release?	<u>20</u> (ft bgs)
Did this release impact groundwater or surface water?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse or any other significant watercourse?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark)?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of an occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of a wetland?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release overlying a subsurface mine?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release overlying an unstable area such as karst geology?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Are the lateral extents of the release within a 100-year floodplain?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Did the release impact areas not on an exploration, development, production, or storage site?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No

Attach a comprehensive report (electronic submittals in .pdf format are preferred) demonstrating the lateral and vertical extents of soil contamination associated with the release have been determined. Refer to 19.15.29.11 NMAC for specifics.

Characterization Report Checklist: Each of the following items must be included in the report.

- Scaled site map showing impacted area, surface features, subsurface features, delineation points, and monitoring wells.
- Field data
- Data table of soil contaminant concentration data
- \boxtimes Depth to water determination
- Determination of water sources and significant watercourses within ¹/₂-mile of the lateral extents of the release
- Boring or excavation logs
- Photographs including date and GIS information
- Topographic/Aerial maps
- Laboratory data including chain of custody

If the site characterization report does not include completed efforts at remediation of the release, the report must include a proposed remediation plan. That plan must include the estimated volume of material to be remediated, the proposed remediation technique, proposed sampling plan and methods, anticipated timelines for beginning and completing the remediation. The closure criteria for a release are contained in Table 1 of 19.15.29.12 NMAC, however, use of the table is modified by site- and release-specific parameters.

Form C-141	State of New Mexico		Incident ID	NCS 1735235018
Page 4	Oil Conservation Divisi	on	District RP	1(0) 1/0220010
			Facility ID	
			Application ID	
I hereby certify th regulations all op public health or th failed to adequate addition, OCD ac and/or regulations Printed Name: Signature: email:	hat the information given above is true and complete to erators are required to report and/or file certain release the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by ely investigate and remediate contamination that pose a ceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operators. Jennifer Deal jdeal@hilcorp.com	the best of my knowledge a contifications and perform control of the OCD does not relieve the a threat to groundwater, surfator of responsibility for comp Title: Environme Date: <u>7/26/20</u> Telephone: 505-3 2	nd understand that purs prrective actions for rele e operator of liability shace water, human health liance with any other fea ntal Specialist 19 24-5128	uant to OCD rules and eases which may endanger ould their operations have or the environment. In deral, state, or local laws
OCD Only Received by:		Date:		

Form C-141 Page 5 State of New Mexico Oil Conservation Division

<u>Remediation Plan Checklist</u>: Each of the following items must be included in the plan.

Detailed description of proposed remediation technique

Incident ID	NCS 1735235018
District RP	
Facility ID	
Application ID	

Remediation Plan

 Scaled sitemap with GPS coordinates showing delineation points Estimated volume of material to be remediated Closure criteria is to Table 1 specifications subject to 19.15.29.12(C)(4) NMAC Proposed schedule for remediation (note if remediation plan timeline is more than 90 days OCD approval is required) 										
<u>Deferral Requests Only</u> : Each of the following items must be con	nfirmed as part of any request for deferral of remediation.									
Contamination must be in areas immediately under or around production equipment where remediation could cause a major facility deconstruction.										
Extents of contamination must be fully delineated.										
Contamination does not cause an imminent risk to human health	n, the environment, or groundwater.									
I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to OCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the OCD does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to groundwater, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, OCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.										
Signature:	Date:									
email:	Telephone:									
OCD Only										
Received by:	Date:									
Approved Approved with Attached Conditions of	Approval Denied Deferral Approved									
Signature:	Date:									

State of New Mexico Oil Conservation Division

Incident ID	NCS 1735235018
District RP	
Facility ID	
Application ID	

Closure

The responsible party must attach information demonstrating they have complied with all applicable closure requirements and any conditions or directives of the OCD. This demonstration should be in the form of a comprehensive report (electronic submittals in .pdf format are preferred) including a scaled site map, sampling diagrams, relevant field notes, photographs of any excavation prior to backfilling, laboratory data including chain of custody documents of final sampling, and a narrative of the remedial activities. Refer to 19.15.29.12 NMAC.

<u>Closure Report Attachment Checklist</u> : Each of the following	items must be included in the closure report.
A scaled site and sampling diagram as described in 19.15.29.	11 NMAC
Photographs of the remediated site prior to backfill or photographs be notified 2 days prior to liner inspection)	s of the liner integrity if applicable (Note: appropriate OCD District office
Laboratory analyses of final sampling (Note: appropriate OD	C District office must be notified 2 days prior to final sampling)
Description of remediation activities	
I hereby certify that the information given above is true and compl and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certa may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance o should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and re- human health or the environment. In addition, OCD acceptance of compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regul restore, reclaim, and re-vegetate the impacted surface area to the co- accordance with 19.15.29.13 NMAC including notification to the O Printed Name:	ete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to OCD rules in release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which f a C-141 report by the OCD does not relieve the operator of liability emediate contamination that pose a threat to groundwater, surface water, F a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for lations. The responsible party acknowledges they must substantially onditions that existed prior to the release or their final land use in OCD when reclamation and re-vegetation are complete.
Signature:	_ Date:
email:	Telephone:
OCD Only	
Received by:	Date:
Closure approval by the OCD does not relieve the responsible party remediate contamination that poses a threat to groundwater, surface party of compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and	y of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and water, human health, or the environment nor does not relieve the responsible for regulations.
Closure Approved by:	Date:
Printed Name:	Title:

LT Environmental, Inc.



848 East Second Avenue Durango, Colorado 81301 970.385.1096

July 26, 2019

Mr. Cory Smith New Mexico Oil Conservation Division 1000 Rio Brazos Road Aztec, New Mexico 87410

RE: Stage 1 Abatement Plan (AP-126-0) – July 2019 Update and Supplemental Report Hilcorp Energy Company Standard #1 API # 30-045-08718 NCS1735235018 San Juan County, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Smith:

LT Environmental, Inc. (LTE), on behalf of Hilcorp Energy Company (Hilcorp), presents the following update and supplemental report to the *Stage 1 Abatement Plan* (AP-126-0; Abatement Plan) associated with subsurface hydrocarbon impacts encountered at the Standard #1 natural gas production well (Site) (Figure 1). This report details the activities conducted since approval of the Abatement Plan which received status as "administratively complete" by the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) on January 22, 2019. This report documents the latest efforts to achieve full lateral and vertical delineation for approval by the NMOCD. Now that delineation is complete, continued monitoring is proposed with a schedule for subsequent submittal of the Stage 2 Abatement Plan per New Mexico Administrative Code (NMAC) 19.15.30.

STAGE 1 ABATEMENT PLAN

On November 30, 2018, LTE, on behalf of Hilcorp, submitted the Abatement Plan to the NMOCD and was approved on January 22, 2019. Public notice was served followed by a 30-day public comment period according to 19.15.30.15 NMAC. The Abatement Plan proposed additional soil boring investigation and quarterly groundwater monitoring. Additional delineation activities were conducted from March 19, 2019 to March 21, 2019 and reported to NMOCD in a letter dated May 13, 2109. The letter report proposed more soil borings and monitoring wells to finalize lateral delineation of the soil and groundwater impact. The report was approved by NMOCD and the results of the final delineation event are reported in the subsequent sections of this report.

Additional Investigation

From June 4, 2019, to June 5, 2019, LTE conducted additional soil and groundwater assessment activities at the Site. A total of four boreholes were advanced in locations approved in the May





13, 2019 letter report ranging from 30 feet to 45 feet below ground surface (bgs). Soil borings were advanced north, east, south and west of the known impacted area to define the lateral extent of previously identified impacted soil and groundwater. The soil borings were logged by an LTE geologist who inspected the soil for the presence or absence of petroleum hydrocarbon odor and/or staining. The soil was characterized by visually inspecting the soil samples and field screening the soil headspace using a photo-ionization detector (PID) to monitor for the presence of volatile organic vapors (VOCs). Groundwater monitoring wells were constructed in each borehole by installing screened casing across the groundwater interface and solid casing to surface. Monitoring wells were constructed out of 2-inch diameter Schedule 40 polyvinyl chloride (PVC) casing and 2-inch Schedule 40 PVC 0.010-inch slotted screen. Wells were completed with 10-20 silica sand pack to two feet above the screened interval, then two feet of hydrated bentonite seal, and then bentonite-cement slurry grout to ground surface. The wells were completed aboveground with a locking, steel protective casing cemented into the ground.

After construction, LTE surveyed the new groundwater monitoring wells with a Trimble[®] GeoExplorer[®] 3000 series Global Positioning System (GPS) to determine the latitude and longitude. Top-of-casing elevations were surveyed using a Dewalt[®] DW074 Rotary Laser Level to an accuracy of no less than plus or minus (±) 0.01 feet so that groundwater flow direction and gradient could be determined relative to mean seal level. Once the top of well casing was surveyed, the depth to groundwater or phase separated hydrocarbon (PSH) below top of casing was measured with an oil/water interface probe. The wells were developed by purging a minimum of ten casing volumes, or until the well was purged dry. Four additional monitoring wells were installed in an effort to fully delineate impacted soil and groundwater. Newly installed monitoring well locations are depicted on Figure 2. Soil boring logs and monitoring well construction diagrams are included as Attachment 1.

Soil Sampling

Two soil samples from each soil boring were submitted for laboratory analysis: the most impacted sample based on field screening techniques and the terminus of the boring above the field identified groundwater table. Each sample was analyzed for benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes (BTEX) using United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) Method 8021 and total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) – gasoline range organics (GRO), diesel range organics (DRO), and motor-oil range organics (MRO) via US EPA Method 8015.

Concentrations of benzene, BTEX, and TPH were all below laboratory reporting limits. No samples exceeded the NMOCD remediation action levels for benzene of five milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg), total BTEX of 50 mg/kg, or TPH of 100 mg/kg. The soil analytical results from existing and newly advanced soil borings are summarized and compared to the NMOCD remediation action levels in Figure 3 and Table 1. The laboratory analytical reports for the newly collected soil samples are included as Attachment 3.





Groundwater Monitoring

As required in the Abatement Plan, LTE conducted quarterly groundwater monitoring on all monitoring wells (newly installed and existing) in June 2019. Static groundwater level monitoring included measuring depth to groundwater and/or depth to PSH in all 26 monitoring wells with an oil/water interface probe. The interface probe was decontaminated with Alconox[™] soap and rinsed with deionized water prior to each measurement.

Monitoring wells MW01, MW02, MW06, MW10, and MW14 contained measurable PSH. When PSH was measured in a monitoring well, a correction factor of 0.8 was applied to the elevation to account for the depression of the water column caused by the weight of the overlying PSH. Groundwater elevations and PSH thickness are summarized in Table 2 and depicted on Figure 4.

PSH removal was completed using a dedicated bailer and total volume removed was recorded. All PSH was disposed of in the onsite pit tank. Approximately 0.75 gallons of PSH was removed from five different monitoring wells during the June 2019 groundwater sampling event. A total of 3.15 gallons of PSH have been removed since remediation and site investigation activities began. MW01 generally has the greatest PSH thickness and, therefore, PSH recovery, which is nearly double the volume recovered from the other wells. The occurrence of PSH is greatest near the original release location but is present as far northeast as MW14. PSH thickness measurements are summarized in Table 2 and displayed on Figure 4.

Presence of groundwater is highly variable, and no continuous groundwater aquifer can be observed or defined. Ten monitoring wells are dry and have never contained groundwater or do not have an adequate volume of groundwater to collect a sample for submission of laboratory analysis. No saturated sediments were observed during soil boring advancement. It is difficult to interpret groundwater flow direction, as dry wells often exist between wells containing groundwater, and when water is present, it can exhibit large differences in elevation. Lithologic controls are not evident in the existing borehole/lithologic data. Interpretive geologic cross sections along the groundwater gradient (A to A') and cross-gradient (B to B') are shown in Figure 2 and included as Attachment 2. MW03 and MW15 are likely influenced by the presence of the open excavation.

The cross sections detail the heterogeneous geology throughout the Nacimiento Formation which the Site is located upon. The regional and local geology and hydrology were previously described in the *Stage 1 Abatement Plan*. As seen during the delineation events and in the open excavation, the majority of the location consists of coarse-grained dominated lithologies overlying a thinner layer of finer-grained sediments. There is no observable groundwater bearing strata with true aquifer properties, so the groundwater distribution at the Site varies. Any groundwater encountered at the Site has likely migrated from the surface from precipitation





events over time through preferential pathways and accumulated on the less porous, finergrained sediments until they are partially saturated.

On June 18 and 28, 2019, groundwater samples were collected and submitted for analysis of BTEX from eleven monitoring wells (MW05, MW08, MW11, MW12, MW15, MW16, MW18, MW19, MW22, MW23 and MW26) that had adequate volume of groundwater for sampling and did not contain PSH. Groundwater samples were submitted under strict chain-of-custody protocol to Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory (Hall) in Albuquerque, New Mexico, for analysis of BTEX by US EPA Method 8021B. Due to the variability of groundwater presence and recharge rates, LTE used new disposable polyethylene bailers on each well to collect grab samples before the wells purged dry or once stabilization parameters were met. LTE used a YSI 556 handheld multi-probe water quality field meter to record pH, electric conductivity (EC), and temperature of the groundwater. Stabilization was defined as three consecutive stable readings for each water property (plus or minus (\pm) 0.4 units for pH, ± 10 percent for EC, and ± 2 degrees Celsius (°C) for temperature. There was a measurable depth to water in monitoring wells MW03, MW20 and MW25, but an insufficient volume within the water column to collect a groundwater sample for submission of laboratory analysis. The interface probe was decontaminated with Alconox[™] soap and rinsed with de-ionized water prior to each use to prevent crosscontamination.

Laboratory analytical results of groundwater samples indicated benzene concentrations exceeded the New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission (NMWQCC) standard in MW05, MW12, MW15, MW16, MW18, and MW19 with concentrations ranging from 0.81 milligrams per liter (mg/L) in MW12 to 24mg/L in MW15. Toluene concentrations exceeded the NMWQCC standard in MW15 and MW18 with concentrations of 28 mg/L and 18 mg/L, respectively. Ethylbenzene concentrations exceeded the NMWQCC standard in MW15, MW16, MW18, and MW19, with concentrations exceeded the NMWQCC standard in MW12, MW15, MW18, and MW19, with concentrations ranging from 0.77 mg/L in MW18 to 1.1 mg/L in MW15. Total xylenes concentrations exceeded the NMWQCC standard in MW05, MW16, MW18 and MW19 ranging from 1.5 mg/L in MW12 to 11 mg/L in MW03. The groundwater analytical results as compared to the NMWQCC standards are presented on Figure 5 and summarized in Table 3. The laboratory analytical reports are included in Attachment 3.

QUALITY ASSURANCE

Sampling and analytical techniques have been identified in the text above and conform with the references identified in Subsection B of 20.6.2.3107 NMAC and with 20.6.4.14 NMAC of the water quality standards for interstate and intrastate surface waters in New Mexico.





CONCLUSIONS

With the installation of the new boreholes and monitoring wells, soil and groundwater are delineated vertically and laterally by borings from which soil and groundwater samples contain concentrations of constituents of concern below the applicable remediation action levels or by soil borings from which soil samples contain concentrations of constituents of concern below applicable remediation action levels and no groundwater. Results from previous delineation activities have been included in Table 1 and Figure 3 detailing the lateral and vertical extents of identified hydrocarbon impacts to soil. The volume of TPH impacted soil above NMOCD remediation standards is estimated to be between 25,000 cubic yards and 30,000 cubic yards, depending on thickness of impact in the subsurface. Groundwater delineation activities and quarterly sampling results are included in Table 3. The most recent groundwater sampling results are depicted on Figure 5. The inferred lateral extent of benzene impacts to groundwater is estimated to be an area approximately 66,700 square feet.

It appears groundwater is discontinuous, with isolated pockets or channels forming preferential pathways for any liquid migration that are difficult to identify or predict. Alternatively, the monitoring wells may be serving as sumps, collecting water over time that percolates into the wells from variable depths. Impact consists of a central area of soil impact, mostly restricted to depth intervals ranging from 15 feet bgs to 25 feet bgs, with impacts closer to the source extending to 35 feet bgs. Presence of PSH and/or groundwater containing dissolved phase impact occurs in isolated pockets that are extensive, but discontinuous. Impacts likely occurred, not from plume migration via continuously flowing groundwater, but from a long-term historical release that followed preferential pathways in the subsurface, mostly likely through the coarse grained (cobbles within sandy and silty matrix) lithology before perching above and within the finer grained (clay and silt) sediments.

PROPOSED GROUNDWATER MONITORING

LTE will continue quarterly groundwater monitoring of all existing wells that have adequate volume in the water column to collect a sample. Fluid-level measurements will be monitored in all wells using an oil/water interface probe. Based on fluid-level measurements, wells containing sufficient groundwater will be purged and sampled. Each well will be purged of three well casing volumes or until the well is purged dry. Groundwater samples will be collected from each monitoring and submitted for laboratory analysis of BTEX by USEPA 8021B. Wells with measurable PSH will not be sampled and PSH recovery will be conducted with the volume of recovered PSH recorded.

PROPOSED IN-SITU REMEDIATION PILOT TESTING

Based on the lateral extent of the impacts to the subsurface and the lithology encountered during delineation activities, LTE proposes an in-situ remediation pilot test using existing monitoring





wells. Due to the large cobbles and boulders encountered in the limited excavation trench and delineation activities, mechanical remediation via excavation would prove to be difficult, cost prohibitive, and would require hundreds of heavy truck loads of material passing through the nearby residential areas. The limited and variable groundwater at the Site is discontinuous which prevents the impacts to groundwater from migrating further.

The pilot test results will be used to determine if in-situ remediation technologies such as soil vapor extraction, air sparge, groundwater recovery and treatment, or any combination thereof are viable means of treating existing impacts to the subsurface. If pilot test results are conducive to in-situ remediation, additional remediation design testing and remediation well installation may be required.

PROPOSED SCHEDULE

Quarterly groundwater sampling will resume in September 2019. The in-situ remediation pilot test will occur in August 2019. The results from the September 2019 groundwater sampling event and the pilot test will be summarized and submitted to the NMOCD as part of the Stage 2 Abatement Plan for approval from the NMOCD. The Stage 2 Abatement Plan will be submitted no later than September 30, 2019.

LTE appreciates the opportunity to provide this report to the NMOCD. If you have any questions or comments regarding this update to the Stage 1 Abatement Plan, do not hesitate to contact me at (970) 385-1096 or via email at <u>dburns@ltenv.com</u> or Jennifer Deal at (505) 324-5128 or at <u>ideal@hilcorp.com</u>.

Sincerely,

LT ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.

Danny Burns Project Geologist

Ashley L. Ager

Ashley Ager, P.G. Senior Geologist

cc: Jennifer Deal, Hilcorp Energy Company





Smith, C. Page 7

Attachments:

Figure 1 – Site Location Map Figure 2 – Monitoring Well Locations Figure 3 – Soil Analytical Results Figure 4 – June 2019 Groundwater Potentiometric and PSH Thickness Map Figure 5 – June 2019 Groundwater Analytical Results Table 1 – Soil Analytical Results Table 2 – Groundwater Elevations Table 3 – Groundwater Analytical Results Attachment 1 – Soil Boring Logs Attachment 2 – Cross Sections Attachment 3 – Laboratory Analytical Reports



FIGURES





P:\Hilcorp\GIS\MXD\017817006_STANDARD 1\017817006_FIG01_SL.mxd



P:\Hilcorp\GIS\MXD\017817006_STANDARD 1\017817006_FIG02_MW LOC_2019.mxd





P:\Hilcorp\GIS\MXD\017817006_STANDARD 1\017817006_FIG04_POTENTIOMETRIC_PSH_JUNE_2019.mx



P:\Hilcorp\GIS\MXD\017817006_STANDARD 1\017817006_FIG05_GWA_GWE_JUNE_2019_1.mx



TABLE 1SOIL ANALYTICAL RESULTS

STANDARD #1 SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO HILCORP ENERGY COMPANY

Soil Sample Identification	Sample Date	PID (ppm)	Benzene (mg/kg)	Toluene (mg/kg)	Ethyl- benzene (mg/kg)	Total Xylenes (mg/kg)	Total BTEX (mg/kg)	GRO (mg/kg)	DRO (mg/kg)	MRO (mg/kg)	TPH (mg/kg)
MW01 @ 23' - 25'	8/16/2018	111	<0.023	<0.047	<0.047	<0.093	<0.210	9.9	16	70	95.9
MW01 @ 30' - 35'	8/17/2018	67.3	<0.024	0.20	0.14	1.8	2.14	46	26	74	146
MW02 @ 18' - 20'	8/20/2018	1,809	0.046	0.64	0.26	2.9	3.85	38	37	<49	75
MW02 @ 23' - 25'	8/20/2018	11.0	0.030	0.14	<0.047	<0.094	0.17	<4.7	<10	<50	<64.7
MW03 @ 30' - 32'	10/5/2018	24.79	0.24	1.7	0.42	4.4	6.76	120	9.9	<49	129.9
MW03 @ 43' - 45'	10/5/2018	11.8	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.096	<0.216	<4.8	<9.6	<48	62.4
MW04 @ 25' - 27'	10/5/2018	2,014	0.11	0.82	0.31	3.2	4.44	150	330	120	600
MW04 @ 39' - 40'	10/5/2018	51.4	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.221	<4.9	<9.8	<49	<63.7
MW05 @ 21' - 23'	10/8/2018	496.7	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.096	<0.216	<4.8	<9.3	<47	<61.1
MW05 @ 33' - 35'	10/8/2018	19.2	<0.023	<0.046	<0.046	<0.092	<0.207	<4.6	<9.8	<49	<63.4
MW06 @ 21' - 23'	8/22/2018	233	1.1	25	5.3	55	86.4	950	140	<49	1,090
MW07 @ 18' - 20'	10/9/2018	18.6	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.099	<0.224	<5.0	<9.5	<47	<61.5
MW07 @ 31' - 32'	10/9/2018	4.2	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.096	<0.216	<4.8	<9.5	<48	<62.3
MW08 @ 30' - 32'	10/10/2018	11.5	0.037	0.076	<0.047	<0.095	0.113	<4.7	<9.9	<50	<64.6
MW08 @ 34' - 35'	10/10/2018	10.6	<0.023	<0.047	<0.047	<0.094	<0.211	<4.7	<9.6	<48	<62.3
MW09 @ 15' - 17'	10/6/2018	1,821	0.25	3.0	1.5	17	21.75	430	36	<46	466
MW09 @ 42' - 43'	10/6/2018	5.6	<0.023	<0.047	<0.047	<0.094	<0.211	<4.7	<10	<50	<64.7
MW10 @ 33' - 35'	10/4/2018	2,615	0.53	8.2	2.1	19	29.83	360	93	<48	453
MW10 @ 38' - 40'	10/4/2018	6.5	<0.023	<0.047	<0.047	<0.093	<0.21	<4.7	<9.6	<48	<62.3
MW11 @ 15' - 17'	10/6/2018	32.8	<0.024	0.060	0.094	1.2	1.354	28	<9.5	<47	28
MW11 @ 39' - 40'	10/6/2018	8	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.22	<4.9	<9.8	<49	<63.7
MW12 @ 17' - 19'	10/8/2018	28.9	1.7	19	6.0	57	83.7	1,300	130	<46	1,430
MW12 @ 29' - 30'	10/8/2018	10	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.097	<0.219	<4.9	<9.8	<49	<63.7



TABLE 1SOIL ANALYTICAL RESULTS

STANDARD #1 SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO HILCORP ENERGY COMPANY

Soil Sample Identification	Sample Date	PID (ppm)	Benzene (mg/kg)	Toluene (mg/kg)	Ethyl- benzene (mg/kg)	Total Xylenes (mg/kg)	Total BTEX (mg/kg)	GRO (mg/kg)	DRO (mg/kg)	MRO (mg/kg)	TPH (mg/kg)
MW13 @ 6' - 8'	10/10/2018	10	<0.024	<0.047	<0.047	<0.094	<0.212	<4.7	<9.8	<49	<63.5
MW13 @ 34' - 35'	10/10/2018	3	<0.023	<0.047	<0.047	<0.093	<0.210	<4.7	<9.6	<48	<62.3
MW14 @ 24' - 26'	10/10/2018	18.8	<0.024	<0.047	<0.047	<0.095	<0.210	<4.7	<9.5	<47	<61.2
MW14 @ 32' - 34'	10/10/2018	2.3	<0.023	<0.046	<0.046	<0.092	<0.204	<4.6	<9.9	<49	<63.5
MW15 @ 18' - 20'	3/19/2019	1,569	0.83	23	6.7	74	104.53	800	231	310	1,341
MW15 @ 33' - 35'	3/19/2019	129.7	0.31	8.9	2.7	30	41.91	350	100	<47	450
MW16 @15' - 17'	3/19/2019	1,417	0.032	0.28	0.28	3.3	3.892	55	34	<50	89
MW16 @ 25' - 27'	3/20/2019	4.6	0.054	0.38	<0.048	0.38	0.814	<4.8	<9.8	<49	<63.6
MW17 @ 18' - 20'	3/20/2019	12.8	<0.023	0.11	<0.046	0.10	0.210	<4.6	<9.8	<49	<63.4
MW17 @ 25' - 27'	3/20/2019	11.5	<0.024	0.067	<0.048	<0.097	0.067	<4.8	<9.7	<49	<63.5
MW18 @ 18' - 20'	3/20/2019	2,642	0.14	1.9	0.19	6.0	8.23	48	71	<48	119
MW18 @ 25' - 27'	3/20/2019	2,222	1.8	41	9.5	100	152.30	1,400	190	<48	1,590
MW19 @ 13' - 15'	3/20/2019	2,580	<0.024	1.3	0.7	6.7	8.7	220	20	<49	240
MW19 @ 25' - 27'	3/20/2019	11.3	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.097	<0.219	<4.9	<9.8	<49	<63.7
MW20 @ 18' - 20'	3/20/2019	26.3	<0.023	<0.047	<0.047	<0.093	<0.210	<4.7	<9.9	<50	<64.6
MW20 @ 25' - 27'	3/20/2019	26.0	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.220	<4.9	<9.9	<50	<64.8
MW21 @ 13' - 15'	3/21/2019	2.6	<0.024	<0.049	<0.049	<0.097	<0.219	<4.9	<9.7	<48	<62.6
MW21 @ 25' - 27'	3/21/2019	2.3	<0.023	<0.046	<0.046	<0.093	<0.208	<4.6	<9.8	<49	<63.4
MW22 @ 8' - 10'	3/21/2019	0.6	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.099	<0.224	<5.0	<9.9	<49	<63.9
MW22 @ 18' - 20'	3/21/2019	0.3	<0.024	<0.048	<0.048	<0.095	<0.215	<4.8	<9.8	<49	<63.6
MW23 @ 25'-30'	6/4/2019	11.4	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.099	<0.224	<5.0	<9.5	<47	<61.5
MW23 @ 40'-45'	6/4/2019	3.4	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.098	<0.221	<4.9	<8.8	<44	<57.7
MW24 @ 15'-20'	6/5/2019	19.1	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<0.225	<4.9	<9.1	<46	<60



TABLE 1SOIL ANALYTICAL RESULTS

STANDARD #1 SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO HILCORP ENERGY COMPANY

Soil Sample Identification	Sample Date	PID (ppm)	Benzene (mg/kg)	Toluene (mg/kg)	Ethyl- benzene (mg/kg)	Total Xylenes (mg/kg)	Total BTEX (mg/kg)	GRO (mg/kg)	DRO (mg/kg)	MRO (mg/kg)	TPH (mg/kg)
MW24 @ 25'-30'	6/5/2019	5.8	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<0.225	<5.0	<9.6	<48	<62.6
MW25 @ 10'-15'	6/5/2019	10.1	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.099	<0.222	<4.9	<10	<50	<64.9
MW25 @ 25'-30'	6/5/2019	3.1	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<0.225	<5.0	<9.6	<48	<62.6
MW26 @ 10'-15'	6/5/2019	10.4	<0.025	<0.049	<0.049	<0.099	<0.222	<4.9	<10	<51	<65.9
MW26 @ 25'-30'	6/5/2019	1.7	<0.025	<0.050	<0.050	<0.10	<0.225	<5.0	<9.8	<49	<63.8
NMOCD Remediation Action Level		10	NE	NE	NE	50	NE	NE	NE	100	

NOTES:

BTEX - benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes analyzed by US EPA Method 8021B

DRO - diesel range organics analyzed by US EPA Method 8015D

GRO - gasoline range organics analyzed by US EPA Method 8015D

mg/kg - milligrams per kilogram

MRO - motor oil range organics analyzed by US EPA method 8015D

NA - not applicable

NE - not established

NMOCD - New Mexico Oil Conservation Division

PID - photo-ionization detector

ppm - parts per million

Table 1 - Closure Criteria for Soils Impacted by a Release per 19.15.19 August 2018

TPH - total petroleum hydrocarbons (sum of GRO, DRO, and MRO)

< - indicates result is less than the stated laboratory reporting limit

Bold - indicates value exceeds stated NMOCD standard



TABLE 2 GROUNDWATER ELEVATION SUMMARY

STANDARD #1 SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO HILCORP ENERGY COMPANY

Well Name	Date	Top of Casing Elevation (feet)	Depth to Product (feet BTOC)	Depth to Groundwater (feet BTOC)	Product Thickness (feet)	Groundwater Elevation (feet)
	10/17/2018		20.85	21.00	0.15	5,768.20
N4\A/O1	10/22/2018	E 790 09	20.80	20.97	0.17	5,768.25
	3/29/2019	5,789.08	20.69	21.35	0.66	5,768.26
	6/28/2019		20.70	21.44	0.74	5,768.23
	10/17/2018			21.22		5,768.14
N4\A/O2	10/22/2018	E 790 26		21.12		5,768.24
101002	3/29/2019	5,769.50	20.85	21.11	0.26	5,768.46
	6/28/2019		20.95	21.30	0.35	5,768.34
	10/17/2018			32.52		5.759.54
	10/22/2018			DRY		DRY
MW03	3/29/2019	5,792.06		30.90		5,761.16
	6/28/2019			32.14		5,759.92
	10/17/2018			31.84		5,760,51
MW04	10/22/2018			31.80		5.760.55
	3/29/2019	5,792.35		DRY		DRY
	6/28/2019			DRY		DRY
10/17/2018				28 54		5 764 06
	10/17/2018			28.34		5 764 21
MW05	3/29/2019	5,792.60		24.65		5 767 95
	6/28/2019			24.53		5.768.07
	10/17/2018		24.60	24.93	0.33	5,767.64
MW06	10/22/2018	5,792.31	24.08	24.48	0.40	5,768.15
	3/29/2019		23.55	24.00	0.45	5,768.67
	6/28/2019		23.72	23.95	0.23	5,768.54
	10/17/2018			DRY		DRY
MW07	10/22/2018	5 791 15		DRY		DRY
	3/29/2019	3,731.13		DRY		DRY
	6/28/2019			DRY		DRY
	10/17/2018			DRY		DRY
	10/22/2018	5 702 42		DRY		DRY
1010000	3/29/2019	5,792.42		DRY		DRY
	6/28/2019			24.07		5,768.35



TABLE 2 GROUNDWATER ELEVATION SUMMARY

STANDARD #1 SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO HILCORP ENERGY COMPANY

Well Name	Date	Top of Casing Elevation (feet)	Depth to Product (feet BTOC)	Depth to Groundwater (feet BTOC)	Product Thickness (feet)	Groundwater Elevation (feet)
	10/17/2018			DRY		DRY
N4\\A/QQ	10/22/2018	E 796 16		DRY		DRY
1010009	3/29/2019	5,760.10		DRY		DRY
	6/28/2019			DRY		DRY
	10/17/2018			DRY		DRY
	10/22/2018	5 700 20		32.26		5,757.04
MW10	3/29/2019	5,789.30	21.73	22.04	0.31	5,767.51
	6/28/2019		21.55	21.94	0.39	5,767.67
	10/17/2019			20.00		5 767 00
	10/17/2018			10.80		5 768 10
MW11	3/29/2019	5,787.99		19.63		5,768.36
	6/28/2019			19.37		5.768.62
	0, =0, =0 =0					0,700.01
	10/17/2018			21.90		5,767.67
MW12	10/22/2018	5,789.57		21.77		5,767.80
	3/29/2019			21.88		5,767.69
	6/28/2019			21.67		5,767.90
	10/17/2018			DRY		DRY
M/M/13	10/22/2018	5 785 16		DRY		DRY
1010015	3/29/2019	5,785.10		DRY		DRY
	6/28/2019			DRY		DRY
	10/17/2018			DRY		DRY
	10/22/2018			22.87		5.762.59
MW14	3/29/2019	5,785.46	20.26	20.47	0.21	5,765.16
	6/28/2019		19.15	19.16	0.01	5,766.31
	3/29/2019			DRV		DRV
MW15	6/28/2019	5,792.19		35.95		5 756 24
	0,20,2010					3,730.21
MW16	3/29/2019	5,786.54		28.59		5,757.95
	6/28/2019			21.00		5,765.54
	3/29/2019	E 705 35		DRY		DRY
	6/28/2019	5,785.25		DRY		DRY
	3/29/2019			DRY		DRY
MW18	6/28/2019	5,789.34		20.39		5,768.95
	0, 20, 2010			_0.00		0,.00100



TABLE 2 GROUNDWATER ELEVATION SUMMARY

STANDARD #1 SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO HILCORP ENERGY COMPANY

Well Name	Date	Top of Casing Elevation (feet)	Depth to Product (feet BTOC)	Depth to Groundwater (feet BTOC)	Product Thickness (feet)	Groundwater Elevation (feet)
N4)4/10	3/29/2019	E 796 49		19.60		5,766.88
1010019	6/28/2019	5,780.48		19.55		5,766.93
MW20	3/29/2019	5 783 3/		29.61		5,753.73
1010020	6/28/2019	5,785.54		30.00		5,753.34
MW/21	3/29/2019	5 800 20		DRY		DRY
	6/28/2019	5,800.30		DRY		DRY
	3/29/2019			22.56		5 763 69
MW22	6/28/2019	5,786.25		17.62		5,768.63
MW23	6/28/2019	5,804.80		45.99		5,758.81
MW24	6/28/2019	5,782.50		DRY		DRY
MW25	6/28/2019	5,775.65		32.98		5,742.67
MW26	6/28/2019	5,789.96		19.71		5,770.25

Notes:

BTOC - below top of casing

NA- not assessed

A product density factor of 0.8 was used to account for the presence of free product.



TABLE 3 GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS

STANDARD #1 SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO HILCORP ENERGY COMPANY

Monitoring Well Identification	Sample Date	Benzene Toluene (mg/L) (mg/L)		Ethylbenzene (mg/L)	Total Xylenes (mg/L)
MW02	10/22/2018	14	7.1	1.2	12
MW03	3/29/2019	21	0.110	0.270	11
MW05	3/29/2019	10	0.880	0.450	2.9
	6/28/2019	5.9	0.160	0.200	1.4
MW08	6/28/2019	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.002
MW10	10/22/2018	22	21	1.6	13
	10/22/2018	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.0015
MW11	3/29/2019	0.0036	<0.001	<0.001	<0.0015
	6/28/2019	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.0015
	10/22/2018	2.4	3.8	1.1	5.0
MW12	3/29/2019	0.870	0.018	1.2	1.5
	6/28/2019	0.810	0.055	1.0	0.5
MW14	10/22/2018	13	26	1.1	10
MW15	6/28/2019	24	28	1.1	10
NAV4/1 C	3/29/2019	7.7	14	0.940	8.6
1010010	6/28/2019	3.4	0.620	0.080	2.1
MW18	6/28/2019	15	18	0.770	9.4
M/M/10	3/29/2019	14	10	0.930	6.2
1010019	6/28/2019	13	0.230	0.900	4.9
MW20	3/29/2019	1.0	0.900	0.030	0.230
144/22	3/29/2019	0.001	0.002	<0.001	0.002
1010022	6/28/2019	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.002
MW23	6/18/2019	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.002
MW26	6/18/2019	0.0052	<0.001	<0.001	<0.002
NMWQCC	Standard	0.01	0.750	0.750	0.620

NOTES: mg/L - milligrams per liter

NMWQCC - New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission < - indicates result is less than the stated laboratory reporting limit **Bold** - indicates value exceeds stated NMWQCC standard





Elevation: 5,795 PID Drilling Method: Sonic Continuous Gravel Pack: 10-20 Silica Sand (15'-27') Seal: Gravel Gravel Gravel Gravel Gravel Seal: Gravel Gravel Gravel Gravel Seal: Gravel Gravel Gravel Diameter: Length: Seal: Gravel Gravel Gravel Gravel Gravel Diameter: Length: Sonic Gravel Diameter: Diameter:									
Screen Type:	VC	Slot:	10"			Diameter:	Length:	Total Depth: 45	Depth to Water: 3L - WA
Penetration Resistance Moisture Content	Vapor (ppm)	HC Staining? Sample #	Depth (ft. bgs.)	Sample Run	Recovery	Soil/Rock Type	Lithology/Ren	narks	Well Completion
Dry Q moise Dry Q	0.0 N 0.0 N 0.4 N 3.7 N	10 10 10 10	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	1 2 3		SP GP SP	Dry, 1005e, Sand an very It reddish br Dry, 100se, It reddish and gravel, Cobbles No Stain rodor moist, med. dense, Pou hose Coarse Gand it. brown, cobbles up Dry, med dense, It br graded Sand W/gra 76" Moist 15.5'-	nd gravel own brown, Sand up to g" own, poorly vel cobbles 16.0'	

								Well ID Location	MW-23 Standard + 1		
1	17	5	Ad	vancii	ng Opporti	ınitv		Project H Date	017817006		
C.			1	1 1	- II -			W.UE	1		
'enetra \esista	Moistun	linder	HC Strin	Sample H	Gample Run	80 50;	CK I Or	Litholog	V		
	-	- WOT OF	1.2011		15	- Y	r~				KX
	-				16						
	-				17 + 4						$\begin{array}{c c} & X_{\chi} \\ & X_{\chi} \\ & X_{\chi} \end{array}$
	-	ac	NO		19	GI	D	GAA nosi	tain/odor	±∠ ×	× XX
	- D/Y	7.7			20						$\begin{pmatrix} X \\ X \end{pmatrix}$
	-				21					Ŧ,	< 74
	-				22					†× ×	XX XX
	-					51	ס	GAA no s	stuin/odgr	Ī, X	XX
	Dry	11.4	NO		25						× +7
	-				26					款	+X +X
	-									+22	
						ri	2	Diy, med dense	brown, coarse	f_{λ}	XY
	Dry	11.4	NP	MW-21 25-30	30			trale green	dark brown of moist	T>	$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ x \\ y \\ y \end{pmatrix}$
	-				31				11N 100000		Tr L
					32 \mp 7						4
	-				33 + 34 +	13	,	MOISE, COMPAN	T, dark brown, lean		2.
	moist	9.7	NO		35	CL	-	Clay some so no socia/on	and and gravel < 20 lor	9, †	35
		1 m	Ne		36 4	L'D	51	moist com der	15e, It yellow brown		
	livet?	10,0	<u>ee</u>		37 V 40 i	JP	J1"	fine sand wit	h sitt no stain lador	<u> </u>	
											451

Moist 3.4 Ne MW-79 40-45 45

CL moiss, compact, black organize 2 Clay (organics) no stain lot or 2

		14 - C	Non 6 .		X	1	1 N	Ľ	Advancing Oppo 848 E. 2nd Av Durango, Colo	rtunity re orado 81301	
1- 2-1	1 .	Shert .	P AND A				1	BORIN	G LOG/MONITORING WI	ELL COMPLETIO	N DIAGRAM
	. 00	No.		109	-			oring/Well 1	Number: MW-24	Project: Standard	1#1
.050			1.11					Pate:	61512019	Project Number: 0178170)06
-	10					-		ogged By:		Drilled By:	1
Elevation:	CA S	2 S .	Detector:	1. 1.			13 .	Drilling Meth	eric Carroll	Sampling Method:	le
Gravel Pacl	5,795 «				PID			Seal:	Sonic	Grout:	ous
10-20	0 Silica	Sand	(15'	- 27')				Be	ntonite Chips (14' - 15')	Bentonite Slurry	(0' - 14')
Casing Typ Sche	e: dule 40	PVC						Diameter:	2" Length: 25'	Hole Diameter: 6"	Depth to Liquid:
Screen Typ Sche	e: dule 40	PVC		Slot: 0.0	10"			Diameter:	Length:	Total Depth: 30	Depth to Water:
Penetration Resistance	Moisture Content	Vapor (ppm)	HC Staining?	Sample #	Depth (ft. bgs.)	Sample Run	Recovery	Soil/Rock Type	Lithology/Ren	narks	Well Completion
	Dry	0.4	NP					SP	Dry, Loose, It reddish	brown, Silby Sand-	1 1
	Ery	6.4	Np			1		SP SP	DAY GAA NO Stain DAY GAA NO Stain Dry Inose, 12 brou	n, siltv	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
	Dry	8.1 6.1	No ND		8 9 10 11 12			SP	SAA no stain?	Cobbles up tain i odar Padar	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
	Noist	Ə19	No		13 14 15	-			Moist, compact, day Clay, fusc mottling	k brown, Silty, , NO Stain lodor	

1

Advancing Opportunity							ity	6/5/2019 MW-24 Standard #1 017817006				
Penetrat Resistar								$\begin{pmatrix} \chi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} $				
moist	19.1	No	ты-эц 15-20	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		сЬ	Moist, Compacty Yellow brown Silty Ican Clay, NO Stain/odor mi				
moi st	12.0	NP		23 _ 24 _ 25 _			CL	SAA no stain/odor	sv/			
Wet	7.3	NØ		26 27	+ + +		5ML	Saturd, dense, fine Sand and Silt : File It reddish brown, no stain/odor				
phoist	5.6	No	мш.эн д5-30	28 29 30 31	-	-	CL	Be moist, dans compact, it brown, lean clay no stain Odor				
				32				TD = 30'				

Hevation: 5,795 Gravel Pack: 10-20 Silica Sand Casing Type: Schedule 40 PVC Screen Type:	Detector: (15' - 27') Slot:	PID		N	BORING oring/Well ate: ogged By: Drilling Mett: Seal: Be Diameter:	Advancing Opport 848 E. 2nd Av Durango, Colo G LOG/MONITORING WI Number: MW-W 25 C/5/19 Eric Carroll tod: Sonic ntonite Chips (14' - 15') Length: Office	rtunity re orado 81301 ELL COMPLETIO Project: Project Number: 0178170 Drilled By: Cascad Sampling Method: Continu Grout: Bentonite Slurry Hole Diameter: 6'' Total Depth:	N DIAGRAM 1 #1 006 de ous (0' - 14') Depth to Liquid: A Depth to Water:
Schedule 40 PVC)).010"			2	<u>. 75</u>	30'	25
Penetration Resistance Moisture Content Vapor (ppm)	HC Staining' Sample #	Depth (ft. bgs.)	Sample Run	Recovery	Soil/Rock Type	Lithology/Rer	narks	Well Completion
Dry 00 Dry 1.7 Mbist 4.1	NO	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	7		SP SP CL SR	Dry, 100se, reddigy Sand GAA NO Stain Moist Compact, du etay silty clay Coal present	brown Silty n/odor ark brown no Stain/odor	

Advancing Opportunity_							ity	MIN-25 Standard H 0/78/2006 6/5/2019	
Penetrat									
Pener Proise	9,9 8.4 3_1	NO	MW 25 25-30	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35	5 5 6 6		CL ML CL	moist, compact, dark brown lean clay no Stain/odor finc Sand & silt, no stain/odor Sandey clay, no Stain/odor TD = 30 ¹	
				37	-				

	1.300	N.P.C.						Ľ	PAdvancing Oppo	rtunity		
		1		Para .			-		848 E. 2nd Av	e		
maine	· ···	· Terf	1		A				Durango, Col	orado 81301		1
6 4 4	1 .	Tai						BORIN oring/Well	G LOG/MONITORING W	ELL COMPLETIO	N DIAG	RAM
		W.S.	. 1.	MA .	: 6				MW-20	Standard	d #1	
. 0.50	10	.0 2.						ate:	61512019	Project Number: 017817	006	
OB -	-					1		ogged By:	Eric Carroll	Drilled By:	de	
Elevation:	A	10 M	Detector:			書		Drilling Meth	uod:	Sampling Method:	uc	
Gravel Pac	5,795 k:				PID			Seal:	Sonic	Grout:	ious	
10-2 Casing Type	0 Silica	Sand	(15'	- 27')			_	Be Diameter:	ntonite Chips (14' - 15')	Bentonite Slurry	(0' -	14') iquid:
Sche	dule 40	PVC		61				2	2" 10'	6"	N	1
Screen Typ Sche	e: dule 40	PVC		Slot: 0.01	10"		_	Diameter:	2" Length: 25'	Total Depth:	Depth to W	/ater:
c o	e t	(mc	ing?	#			Z	sk				
etrati	istur	r (pj	tain	nple	Depth	Sample	ove	l/Ro ype	Lithology/Rer	narks	We	
Pene	မိ ပိ	Vapo	HCS	Sar	(n. ogs.)	Kuii	Rec	Soil T				euon
	maise	4.3	N'					SP.SM	1005e, dark reddish br	own, sity sant _		
					1	+		, -	Organics, no Stain,	lodor .		XX
										-		x
					2 -							ŶΧ
					3	ŧ.					x l	x
	DAY				4	+				C' thu Canad		. X
	9.8	9.8	NO			ŧ		SP	brith grainel Con	5. 169 Solvio -		V
					5 -			-	no Stain/odar		X	XX
	1				6	ţ.					$\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{X}}$	
					7	+					Γ'χ	1
	1					ţ.				-	5	Ň
					8 -	+				-	fγ	x
	DAV				9	ŧ.		49	SAA no sta	ain jodor	L	
	10-2	10.2	Ne		10	+		//				^
]					-				-	Į γ	×
						H				-	f_{λ}	X
					12 -	-				-	X	X
					13	t l				-		X
	-			MLC-21.	14	+					<u>-</u> Υ	x
	n.			Ir IL		ţ		5P	SAA no Stain.	Codor -	‡x	×
	ιωιγ	10.9	1/1/1	רו שו	15	11		,		~0	Γ×	X



	~		7						61512019 MW-74	
	70	2	Ad	vancii	ng Op	portu	unity	V	0/7&1700G	
Penetrat Resistar										
					15				+() ×	
					16	-			± X ×	
					17	4				
					18	-				
				x,	- 19	-			The Company brown,	
	mo; 5+	4.0	NO		20	-		CL	Sandy Clay, no stain/odor	
					20 -	-				
					21	-			here (land and almose dusis	-W
	Wet	5.5	NO		22 -	Ē	L .	SP	brown Sandand gravel, rust coating +:	
					23				Sands, no stubledor	
	monife	24			24				moist dark healway compart filty + 1	
	µ×v41)€-	2.0	NO		25	-		CL	Clay, trace sand <5%, no Stain/odor	
					26	-				7
					27	-				
					28	6			moibe dark provint wayand to IT'	
				MW-26	29	-		CL.	brown, lean clay some highs Ti	
	MOISE	1.7	Ne	25-30		-			(organic) clay no Stain Indar T. Tir	
					30 -	-	11			ł
					31 -				<u>+</u>	
					32 -	H			TD = 30'	
	1				33 _	H			1 1	
					34 _				1 1	
					35	-				
					36	-			1 1	
					37	-		_	<u> </u>	











Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

June 13, 2019

Jennifer Deal Hilcorp Energy PO Box 61529 Houston, TX 77208-1529 TEL: (337) 276-7676 FAX

RE: Standard 1

OrderNo.: 1906389

Dear Jennifer Deal:

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory received 8 sample(s) on 6/7/2019 for the analyses presented in the following report.

These were analyzed according to EPA procedures or equivalent. To access our accredited tests please go to www.hallenvironmental.com or the state specific web sites. In order to properly interpret your results, it is imperative that you review this report in its entirety. See the sample checklist and/or the Chain of Custody for information regarding the sample receipt temperature and preservation. Data qualifiers or a narrative will be provided if the sample analysis or analytical quality control parameters require a flag. When necessary, data qualifiers are provided on both the sample analysis report and the QC summary report, both sections should be reviewed. All samples are reported, as received, unless otherwise indicated. Lab measurement of analytes considered field parameters that require analysis within 15 minutes of sampling such as pH and residual chlorine are qualified as being analyzed outside of the recommended holding time.

Please don't hesitate to contact HEAL for any additional information or clarifications.

ADHS Cert #AZ0682 -- NMED-DWB Cert #NM9425 -- NMED-Micro Cert #NM0901

Sincerely,

andy

Andy Freeman Laboratory Manager 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109

Date Reported: 6/13/2019

CLIENT: Hilcorp Energy Project: Standard 1 Lab ID: 1906389-001	Client Sample ID: MW-23 25'-30' Collection Date: 6/4/2019 12:00:00 PM Matrix: SOIL Received Date: 6/7/2019 7:55:00 AM							
Analyses	Result	RL	Qual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch		
EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE	ORGANICS				Analyst	том		
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	9.5	mg/Kg	1	6/12/2019 4:42:10 PM	45482		
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	47	mg/Kg	1	6/12/2019 4:42:10 PM	45482		
Surr: DNOP	120	70-130	%Rec	1	6/12/2019 4:42:10 PM	45482		
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANG	E				Analyst	NSB		
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	5.0	mg/Kg	1	6/11/2019 11:03:48 AM	45462		
Surr: BFB	104	73.8-119	%Rec	1	6/11/2019 11:03:48 AM	45462		
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analyst	NSB		
Benzene	ND	0.025	mg/Kg	1	6/11/2019 11:03:48 AM	45462		
Toluene	ND	0.050	mg/Kg	1	6/11/2019 11:03:48 AM	45462		
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.050	mg/Kg	1	6/11/2019 11:03:48 AM	45462		
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.099	mg/Kg	1	6/11/2019 11:03:48 AM	45462		
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	98.7	80-120	%Rec	1	6/11/2019 11:03:48 AM	45462		

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers: *

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 1 of 11

Date Reported: 6/13/2019

6/11/2019 12:11:54 PM 45462

	•					1				
CLIENT: Project:	Hilcorp Energy Standard 1	Client Sample ID: MW-23 40'-45' Collection Date: 6/4/2019 1:00:00 PM Matrix: SOIL Received Date: 6/7/2019 7:55:00 AM								
	1900389-002	Matrix: SOIL		Received Dat	e: 0/	7/2019 7:35:00 AM				
Analyses		Result	RL	Qual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch			
EPA MET	HOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE	ORGANICS				Analyst	том			
Diesel Ra	ange Organics (DRO)	ND	8.8	mg/Kg	1	6/12/2019 5:56:18 PM	45482			
Motor Oil	Range Organics (MRO)	ND	44	mg/Kg	1	6/12/2019 5:56:18 PM	45482			
Surr: D	DNOP	124	70-130	%Rec	1	6/12/2019 5:56:18 PM	45482			
EPA MET	HOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANGE					Analyst	NSB			
Gasoline	Range Organics (GRO)	ND	4.9	mg/Kg	1	6/11/2019 12:11:54 PM	45462			
Surr: E	3FB	103	73.8-119	%Rec	1	6/11/2019 12:11:54 PM	45462			
EPA MET	HOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analyst	NSB			
Benzene		ND	0.025	mg/Kg	1	6/11/2019 12:11:54 PM	45462			
Toluene		ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	6/11/2019 12:11:54 PM	45462			
Ethylben	zene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	6/11/2019 12:11:54 PM	45462			
Xylenes,	Total	ND	0.098	mg/Kg	1	6/11/2019 12:11:54 PM	45462			

98.1

80-120

%Rec

1

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit

Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene

S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 2 of 11

Date Reported: 6/13/2019

6/11/2019 12:34:40 PM 45462

6/11/2019 12:34:40 PM 45462

CLIENT: Hilcorp Energy Project: Standard 1	Client Sample ID: MW-24 15'-20' Collection Date: 6/5/2019 1:30:00 PM									
Lab ID: 1906389-003	Matrix: SOIL	Matrix: SOIL Received Date: 6/7/2019 7:55:00 AM								
Analyses	Result	RL	Qual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch				
EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANG	GE ORGANICS				Analyst	том				
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	9.1	mg/Kg	1	6/12/2019 6:21:16 PM	45482				
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	46	mg/Kg	1	6/12/2019 6:21:16 PM	45482				
Surr: DNOP	112	70-130	%Rec	1	6/12/2019 6:21:16 PM	45482				
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RAN	IGE				Analyst	: NSB				
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	4.9	mg/Kg	1	6/11/2019 12:34:40 PM	45462				
Surr: BFB	105	73.8-119	%Rec	1	6/11/2019 12:34:40 PM	45462				
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analyst	: NSB				
Benzene	ND	0.025	mg/Kg	1	6/11/2019 12:34:40 PM	45462				
Toluene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	6/11/2019 12:34:40 PN	45462				
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	6/11/2019 12:34:40 PM	45462				

ND

101

0.099

80-120

mg/Kg 1

%Rec 1

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

Xylenes, Total

Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix S

- в Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Е Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 3 of 11

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Date Reported: 6/13/2019

CLIENT:	Hilcorp Energy		Client Sample ID: MW-24 25'-30'								
Project:	Standard 1		(Collection Dat	e: 6/	5/2019 2:15:00 PM					
Lab ID:	1906389-004	Matrix: SOIL		7/2019 7:55:00 AM							
Analyses		Result	RL	Qual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch				
EPA MET	THOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RAN	GE ORGANICS				Analyst	том				
Diesel R	ange Organics (DRO)	ND	9.6	mg/Kg	1	6/12/2019 6:45:58 PM	45482				
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)		ND	48	mg/Kg	1	6/12/2019 6:45:58 PM	45482				
Surr: I	DNOP	108	70-130	%Rec	1	6/12/2019 6:45:58 PM	45482				
EPA MET	THOD 8015D: GASOLINE RAI	NGE				Analyst	NSB				
Gasoline	e Range Organics (GRO)	ND	5.0	mg/Kg	1	6/11/2019 12:57:26 PM	45462				
Surr: I	BFB	107	73.8-119	%Rec	1	6/11/2019 12:57:26 PM	45462				
EPA MET	THOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analyst	NSB				
Benzene	9	ND	0.025	mg/Kg	1	6/11/2019 12:57:26 PM	45462				
Toluene		ND	0.050	mg/Kg	1	6/11/2019 12:57:26 PM	45462				
Ethylber	izene	ND	0.050	mg/Kg	1	6/11/2019 12:57:26 PM	45462				
Xylenes,	Total	ND	0.10	mg/Kg	1	6/11/2019 12:57:26 PM	45462				
Surr: 4	4-Bromofluorobenzene	100	80-120	%Rec	1	6/11/2019 12:57:26 PM	45462				

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 4 of 11

Date Reported: 6/13/2019

CLIENT: Hilcorp Energy		Cl	ient Sample II	D: M	W-25 10'-15'					
Project: Standard 1		Collection Date: 6/5/2019 4:00:00 PM								
Lab ID: 1906389-005	Matrix: SOIL	L Received Date: 6/7/2019 7:55:00 AM								
Analyses	Result	RL	Qual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch				
EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANG	BE ORGANICS				Analyst	том				
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	10	mg/Kg	1	6/12/2019 7:10:35 PM	45482				
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	50	mg/Kg	1	6/12/2019 7:10:35 PM	45482				
Surr: DNOP	105	70-130	%Rec	1	6/12/2019 7:10:35 PM	45482				
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RAN	GE				Analyst	: NSB				
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	4.9	mg/Kg	1	6/11/2019 1:20:10 PM	45462				
Surr: BFB	107	73.8-119	%Rec	1	6/11/2019 1:20:10 PM	45462				
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analyst	: NSB				
Benzene	ND	0.025	mg/Kg	1	6/11/2019 1:20:10 PM	45462				
Toluene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	6/11/2019 1:20:10 PM	45462				
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	6/11/2019 1:20:10 PM	45462				
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.099	mg/Kg	1	6/11/2019 1:20:10 PM	45462				
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	99.4	80-120	%Rec	1	6/11/2019 1:20:10 PM	45462				

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 5 of 11

S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

Date Reported: 6/13/2019

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT:	Hilcorp Energy	Client Sample ID: MW-25 25'-30'										
Project: Lab ID:	1906389-006	Matrix: SOIL	,	Received Date	e: 6/3 e: 6/3	7/2019 4:45:00 PM 7/2019 7:55:00 AM						
Analyses		Result	RL	Qual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch					
EPA MET	HOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE	ORGANICS				Analyst:	том					
Diesel Ra	ange Organics (DRO)	ND	9.6	mg/Kg	1	6/12/2019 7:35:08 PM	45482					
Motor Oil	Range Organics (MRO)	ND	48	mg/Kg	1	6/12/2019 7:35:08 PM	45482					
Surr: D	NOP	128	70-130	%Rec	1	6/12/2019 7:35:08 PM	45482					
EPA MET	HOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANG	E				Analyst:	NSB					
Gasoline	Range Organics (GRO)	ND	5.0	mg/Kg	1	6/11/2019 1:42:59 PM	45462					
Surr: E	3FB	107	73.8-119	%Rec	1	6/11/2019 1:42:59 PM	45462					
EPA MET	HOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analyst:	NSB					
Benzene		ND	0.025	mg/Kg	1	6/11/2019 1:42:59 PM	45462					
Toluene		ND	0.050	mg/Kg	1	6/11/2019 1:42:59 PM	45462					
Ethylben	zene	ND	0.050	mg/Kg	1	6/11/2019 1:42:59 PM	45462					
Xylenes,	Total	ND	0.10	mg/Kg	1	6/11/2019 1:42:59 PM	45462					
Surr: 4	-Bromofluorobenzene	101	80-120	%Rec	1	6/11/2019 1:42:59 PM	45462					

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

*

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit

- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Е Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits Sample pH Not In Range
- Р
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 6 of 11

S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

Date Reported: 6/13/2019

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT:	Hilcorp Energy		C	ient Sa	ample II	D: M	W-26 10'-15'	
Project:	Standard 1		(Collect	ion Dat	e: 6/5	5/2019 10:20:00 AM	
Lab ID:	1906389-007	Matrix: SOIL		Recei	ved Dat	e: 6/7	7/2019 7:55:00 AM	
Analyses		Result	RL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA MET	HOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANG	GE ORGANICS					Analyst	том
Diesel Ra	ange Organics (DRO)	ND	10		mg/Kg	1	6/12/2019 7:59:40 PM	45482
Motor Oil	Range Organics (MRO)	ND	51		mg/Kg	1	6/12/2019 7:59:40 PM	45482
Surr: D	DNOP	134	70-130	S	%Rec	1	6/12/2019 7:59:40 PM	45482
EPA MET	HOD 8015D: GASOLINE RAN	GE					Analyst	NSB
Gasoline	Range Organics (GRO)	ND	4.9		mg/Kg	1	6/11/2019 2:05:47 PM	45462
Surr: E	3FB	107	73.8-119		%Rec	1	6/11/2019 2:05:47 PM	45462
EPA MET	HOD 8021B: VOLATILES						Analyst	NSB
Benzene		ND	0.025		mg/Kg	1	6/11/2019 2:05:47 PM	45462
Toluene		ND	0.049		mg/Kg	1	6/11/2019 2:05:47 PM	45462
Ethylben	zene	ND	0.049		mg/Kg	1	6/11/2019 2:05:47 PM	45462
Xylenes,	Total	ND	0.099		mg/Kg	1	6/11/2019 2:05:47 PM	45462
Surr: 4	I-Bromofluorobenzene	98.4	80-120		%Rec	1	6/11/2019 2:05:47 PM	45462

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

*

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit

- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Е Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 7 of 11

S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Date Reported: 6/13/2019

CLIENT:	Hilcorp Energy	Client Sample ID: MW-26 25'-30'											
Project:	Standard 1		(Collect	ion Dat	e: 6/5	5/2019 11:00:00 AM						
Lab ID:	1906389-008	Matrix: SOIL		Recei	ved Dat	e: 6/7	7/2019 7:55:00 AM						
Analyses		Result	RL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch					
EPA MET	HOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE	E ORGANICS					Analyst	том					
Diesel Ra	ange Organics (DRO)	ND	9.8		mg/Kg	1	6/12/2019 8:24:07 PM	45482					
Motor Oi	I Range Organics (MRO)	ND	49		mg/Kg	1	6/12/2019 8:24:07 PM	45482					
Surr: E	DNOP	131	70-130	S	%Rec	1	6/12/2019 8:24:07 PM	45482					
EPA MET	HOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANG	Ε					Analyst	NSB					
Gasoline	Range Organics (GRO)	ND	5.0		mg/Kg	1	6/11/2019 2:28:39 PM	45462					
Surr: E	3FB	109	73.8-119		%Rec	1	6/11/2019 2:28:39 PM	45462					
EPA MET	HOD 8021B: VOLATILES						Analyst	NSB					
Benzene		ND	0.025		mg/Kg	1	6/11/2019 2:28:39 PM	45462					
Toluene		ND	0.050		mg/Kg	1	6/11/2019 2:28:39 PM	45462					
Ethylben	zene	ND	0.050		mg/Kg	1	6/11/2019 2:28:39 PM	45462					
Xylenes,	Total	ND	0.10		mg/Kg	1	6/11/2019 2:28:39 PM	45462					
Surr: 4	1-Bromofluorobenzene	101	80-120		%Rec	1	6/11/2019 2:28:39 PM	45462					

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit

S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 8 of 11

QC SUMMARY REPORT
Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: **1906389**

13-Jun-19

Client: Project:	Hilcorp E Standard	nergy 1									
Sample ID:	MB-45482	Samo	Type: MI	RI K	Tes	tCode: FI	PA Method	8015M/D· Di	esel Rang	Organics	
	DRS	Bata	- JD: 45	192			0572	001011/2121	ooornang	organioo	
			111D. 4 3	402							
Prep Date:	6/10/2019	Analysis L	Jate: 6/	12/2019	5	eqino: 2	050612	Units: mg/r	٨g		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range C	Organics (DRO)	ND	10								
Motor Oil Rang	e Organics (MRO)	ND	50								
Surr: DNOP		14		10.00		138	70	130			S
Sample ID:	LCS-45482	Samp	Гуре: LC	s	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8015M/D: Di	esel Rang	e Organics	
Client ID:	LCSS	Batc	h ID: 45	482	F	RunNo: 6	0572				
Prep Date:	6/10/2019	Analysis E	Date: 6/	12/2019	S	SeqNo: 2	050615	Units: mg/k	٢g		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range C	Organics (DRO)	58	10	50.00	0	116	63.9	124			
Surr: DNOP		6.5		5.000		130	70	130			S
Sample ID:	1906389-001AMS	Samp	Гуре: М	6	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8015M/D: Di	esel Rang	e Organics	
Client ID:	MW-23 25'-30'	Batc	h ID: 45	482	F	RunNo: 6	0572				
Prep Date:	6/10/2019	Analysis [Date: 6/	12/2019	S	SeqNo: 2	050628	Units: mg/k	٢g		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range C	Organics (DRO)	51	9.7	48.54	0	105	57	142			
Surr: DNOP		5.5		4.854		113	70	130			
Sample ID:	1906389-001AMSE) Samp	Гуре: М	SD	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8015M/D: Di	esel Rang	e Organics	
Client ID:	MW-23 25'-30'	Batc	h ID: 45	482	F	RunNo: 6	0572				
Prep Date:	6/10/2019	Analysis E	Date: 6/	12/2019	S	SeqNo: 2	050660	Units: mg/k	٢g		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range C	Organics (DRO)	54	9.8	48.97	0	110	57	142	5.61	20	
Surr: DNOP		5.7		4.897		117	70	130	0	0	

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range

RL Reporting Limit

WO#: **1906389**

13-Jun-19

Client: Project:	Hilcorp E Standard	nergy 1										
Sample ID: N	MB-45462	SampT	Гуре: МЕ	BLK	Test	tCode: EF	PA Method	8015D: Gaso	line Rang	e		
Client ID: F	PBS	Batc	h ID: 454	462	R	lunNo: 60	0551					
Prep Date:	6/10/2019	Analysis E	Date: 6/	11/2019	S	eqNo: 20	049131	Units: mg/Kg				
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual	
Gasoline Range Surr: BFB	Organics (GRO)	ND 1000	5.0	1000		104	73.8	119				
Sample ID: L	-CS-45462	SampT	Type: LC	S	Test	tCode: EF	PA Method	8015D: Gaso	line Rang	e		
Client ID: L	CSS	Batc	h ID: 45	462	R	unNo: 60	0551					
Prep Date:	6/10/2019	Analysis E	Date: 6/	11/2019	S	eqNo: 20	049132	Units: mg/K	g			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual	
Gasoline Range	Organics (GRO)	23	5.0	25.00	0	93.7	80.1	123				
Surr: BFB		1100		1000		115	73.8	119				

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 10 of 11

Hall Er	nvironmenta	al Anal	ysis I	Laborat	ory, Inc.						13-Jun-19
Client: Project:	Hilcorp I Standard	Energy 1									
Sample ID:	MB-45462	Samp	Туре: М	BLK	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	8021B: Vola	tiles		
Client ID:	PBS	Batc	h ID: 45	462	F	RunNo: 6	0551				
Prep Date:	6/10/2019	Analysis [Date: 6/	/11/2019	5	SeqNo: 2	049158	Units: mg/l	٢g		
Analvte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HiahLimit	- %RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene		ND	0.025			,					
Toluene		ND	0.050								
Ethylbenzene		ND	0.050								
Xylenes, Total		ND	0.10								
Surr: 4-Bron	nofluorobenzene	0.99		1.000		98.6	80	120			
Sample ID:	LCS-45462	Samp	Type: LC	s	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	8021B: Vola	tiles		
Client ID:	LCSS	Batc	h ID: 45	462	F	RunNo: 6	0551				
Prep Date:	6/10/2019	Analysis [Date: 6/	/11/2019	5	SeqNo: 2	049159	Units: mg/l	٢g		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene		1.0	0.025	1.000	0	103	80	120			
Toluene		1.0	0.050	1.000	0	103	80	120			
Ethylbenzene		1.0	0.050	1.000	0	101	80	120			
Xylenes, Total		3.0	0.10	3.000	0	99.9	80	120			
Surr: 4-Bron	nofluorobenzene	1.1		1.000		108	80	120			
Sample ID:	1906389-001AMS	Samp	Туре: М	3	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	8021B: Vola	tiles		
Client ID:	MW-23 25'-30'	Batc	h ID: 45	462	F	RunNo: 6	60551				
Prep Date:	6/10/2019	Analysis [Date: 6/	/11/2019	5	SeqNo: 2	2049161	Units: mg/l	٢g		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene		1.1	0.025	0.9930	0	109	63.9	127			
Toluene		1.1	0.050	0.9930	0	110	69.9	131			
Ethylbenzene		1.1	0.050	0.9930	0	109	71	132			
Xylenes, Total		3.2	0.099	2.979	0	107	71.8	131			
Surr: 4-Bron	nofluorobenzene	1.0		0.9930		103	80	120			
Sample ID:	1906389-001AMS	D Samp	Type: MS	SD	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	8021B: Vola	tiles		
Client ID:	MW-23 25'-30'	Batc	h ID: 45	462	F	RunNo: 6	60551				
Prep Date:	6/10/2019	Analysis [Date: 6/	/11/2019	S	SeqNo: 2	2049162	Units: mg/l	٢g		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene		1.1	0.025	0.9843	0	107	63.9	127	2.95	20	
Toluene		1.1	0.049	0.9843	0	107	69.9	131	4.08	20	
Ethylbenzene		1.0	0.049	0.9843	0	107	71	132	3.18	20	
Xylenes, Total		3.1	0.098	2.953	0	104	71.8	131	3.59	20	
Surr: 4-Bron	nofluorobenzene	1.0		0.9843		106	80	120	0	0	

Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. *
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix

- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix S

QC SUMMARY REPORT

В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

- Е Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

WO#:



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

Sample Log-In Check List

Received By: Jevon Campisi 67/2019 7.55:00 AM Jew Carpit Completed By: Erin Melendrez 67/2019 2.55:41 PM Jew Carpit Reviewed By: EVM C/17/1Q Jew Carpit Chain of Custody complete? Yes No Not Present 1. Is Chain of Custody complete? Yes No No 2. How was the sample delivered? Courier Image: Courier Log In Nves an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes No NA 3. Ware all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C Yes No NA 5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes No NA 6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes No NA 9. VOA viais have zero headspace? Yes No Ma 9. VOA viais have zero headspace? Yes No Image: Correctly identified on Chain of Custody? 11. Dess papervork match bottle labels? Yes No Adjusted? 12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No Adjusted? <th>Client Name: HILCORP ENERGY Wo</th> <th>rk Order Number:</th> <th>1906389</th> <th></th> <th>RcptNo</th> <th>): 1</th> <th></th>	Client Name: HILCORP ENERGY Wo	rk Order Number:	1906389		RcptNo): 1	
Completed By: Erin Melendrez 6/7/2019 2:54:41 PM WMM Reviewed By: CMM G/17/19 WMM Chain of Custody MM G/17/19 MMM 1. Is Chain of Custody complete? Yes No No Not Present 2. How was the sample delivered? Courier Courier Log In 3. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes No NA 4. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C Yes No NA 5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes No NA 6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes No NA 7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes No No No 8. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes No No VOA Vials # 9. VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes No In OvoA Vials # F 10. Were all indigitimes able to bottles? Yes No In OvoA Vials # F F F F<	Received By: Jevon Campisi 6/7/20	019 7:55:00 AM		Juan Campisi			
Reviewed By: ENM G17119 Chain of Custody No 1. Is Chain of Custody complete? Yes Ø 2. How was the sample delivered? Courier Log In	Completed By: Erin Melendrez 6/7/20	019 2:54:41 PM		VI-WA	-		
Chain of Custody 1. Is Chain of Custody complete? Yes V No Not Present 2. How was the sample delivered? Courier Log In	Reviewed By: ENM Col-	7/19					
1. Is Chain of Custody complete? Yes No Not Present 2. How was the sample delivered? Courier Log In	Chain of Custody						
2. How was the sample delivered? Courier Log In 3. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes No NA 4. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C Yes No NA 5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes No NA 6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes No NA 7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes No NA 9. VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes No Ma 10. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes No If of preserved bottles hotels ables? 11. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes No If of preserved bottles for ph: (2 or >12 unless noted) 6 - 7 + 9 12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No No Adjusted? 13. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes No NA Image: Clear analysis 15. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No NA Image: Clear analysis 13. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes No NA Image: Clear analysis Im	1. Is Chain of Custody complete?		Yes 🗹	No 🗌	Not Present		
Log In 3. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes No NA 4. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C Yes No NA 5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes No NA 6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes No NA 7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes No NA 8. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes No NA 9. VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes No No VA 10. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes No No If of preserved bottles checked for prt: Turm (<2 or >12 unless noted) 6 - 7 - 1 -9 11. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes No No Adjusted? 12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No Adjusted? 6 - 7 - 1 -9 13. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes No NA 14. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes No NA 15. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes <td< td=""><td>2. How was the sample delivered?</td><td></td><td><u>Courier</u></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	2. How was the sample delivered?		<u>Courier</u>				
3. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes No NA 4. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C Yes No NA 5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes No NA 6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes No NA 7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes No NA 8. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes No NA 9. VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes No No No VOA Vials 10. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes No If of preserved bottles checked for pH: (2 or >12' unless noted) 12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No If of preserved bottles checked For pH: (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) Yes No If of preserved bottles checked For pH: (12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No If of preserved bottles checked by: For pH: (14. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes No If of preserved bottles checked by: For pH: (If no, notify customer for authorization.)	<u>Log In</u>						
4. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C Yes No NA 5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes No 6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes No 7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes No 8. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes No Na 9. VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes No 10. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes No 11. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes No 12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No 13. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes No 14. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes No Adjusted? <td>3. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?</td> <td></td> <td>Yes 🗹</td> <td>No 🗌</td> <td>NA 🗌</td> <td></td> <td></td>	3. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?		Yes 🗹	No 🗌	NA 🗌		
5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes No 6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes No 7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes No 8. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes No 9. VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes No 10. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes No 11. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes No (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) Yes No 12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No 13. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes No 14. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes No 15. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No 15. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No Person Notified: Date: Via: eMail Person Notified: Date: Via: In Person Regarding: Client Instructions: Via: eMail 16. Additional remarks: Via: Mail Phone	4. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° 0	C to 6.0°C	Yes 🗹	No 🗌			
6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes No 7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes No 8. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes No 9. VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes No 10. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes No 11. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes No (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) Yes No 12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No 13. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes No (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Adjusted? Criecked by: Special Handling (if applicable) 15. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No NA Person Notified: By Whom: Uia: Date: In Person Regarding: In Person In Person Client Instructions: Via: eMail 16. Additional remarks:	5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?		Yes 🗹	No 🗌			
7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes No 8. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes No NA 9. VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes No No VOA Vials 10. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes No # of preserved bottles 11. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes No # of preserved bottles checked 11. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes No # of preserved bottles checked 12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No Adjusted? 13. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes No Checked by: 14. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes No NA Person Notified in authorization.) Date: Date: Encode By Whom: Via: eMail Phone Fax In Person Regarding: Client Instructions: In Person In Person 16. Additional remarks:	6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?		Yes 🗹	No 🗌			
8. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes No NA 9. VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes No No 10. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes No If of preserved bottles checked for pH: 11. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes No If of preserved bottles checked for pH: (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) Yes No If of pH: 12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No If of pH: (Note all clear what analyses were requested? Yes No If of ph: 14. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes No If of necked by: (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Special Handling (if applicable) 15. Was client notified: Date: By Whom: Via: eMail Person Notified: Date: By Whom: Via: Client Instructions:	7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preser	ved?	Yes 🗸	No 🗌			
9. VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes No No VOA Vials 10. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes No 11. Does papenwork match bottle labels? Yes No (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) Yes No 12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No 13. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes No 14. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes No (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Special Handling (if applicable) 15. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No No Na Ma Person Notified: By Whom: Client Instructions: 16. Additional remarks:	8. Was preservative added to bottles?		Yes 🗌	No 🗹	NA 🗌		
10. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes No # of preserved bottles checked for pH: (<2 or >12 unless noted) 11. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes No # of preserved bottles checked for pH: (<2 or >12 unless noted) 12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No Adjusted? 13. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes No Adjusted? 14. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Yes No Checked by: 15. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No NA 15. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No NA By Whom:	9. VOA vials have zero headspace?		Yes 🗌	No 🗌	No VOA Vials 🗹		
11. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes No bottles checked for pH: bottles checked for pH: (<2 or >12 unless noted) 6-7-19 12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No Adjusted? Adjusted? 13. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes No Adjusted? Adjusted? 14. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes No Checked by: Checked by: (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Special Handling (if applicable) No NA 15. Was client notified:	10. Were any sample containers received broken?		Yes	No 🗹	# of preserved		
(Note discrepancies on chain of custody) 12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? 13. Is it clear what analyses were requested? 14. Were all holding times able to be met? 15. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? 15. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? 15. Was client notified: 16. Additional remarks:	11. Does paperwork match bottle labels?		Yes 🔽	No 🗌	bottles checked for pH:		This
12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No 13. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes No 14. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes No (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Yes No Special Handling (if applicable) 15. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No Person Notified: By Whom: Client Instructions: 16. Additional remarks:	(Note discrepancies on chain of custody)				(<2 o	r >12 unless noted)	6-7-19
13. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes 14. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Special Handling (if applicable) 15. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No No NA Person Notified: By Whom: Via: eMail Phone Fax In Person Client Instructions: 16. Additional remarks:	12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody	?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌	Adjusted?		
14. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes No Checked by: (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Special Handling (if applicable) 15. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No NA Person Notified: Date:	13. Is it clear what analyses were requested?		Yes 🗹	No 🗌			
Special Handling (if applicable) 15. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No NA ✓ Person Notified: Date: ✓	 Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.) 		Yes 🗹	No 🗌	Checked by:		
15. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No NA ✓ Person Notified: Date: ✓	Special Handling (if applicable)						
Person Notified: Date: By Whom: Via: Regarding: Client Instructions: Client Instructions: 10	15. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this orde	er?	Yes 🗌	No 🗌	NA 🗹		
By Whom: Via: eMail Phone Fax In Person Regarding: In Person In Person In Person Client Instructions: In Person In Person 16. Additional remarks: In Person In Person	Person Notified:	Date:	en al Alfred Hand School Alfred Hand A	an a			
Regarding: Client Instructions: 16. Additional remarks:	By Whom:	Via:	eMail	Phone Fax	In Person		
Client Instructions: 16. Additional remarks:	Regarding:						
16. Additional remarks:	Client Instructions:						
	16. Additional remarks:						
17. Cooler Information	17. Cooler Information						

Cooler No	Temp °C	Condition	Seal Intact	Seal No	Seal Date	Signed By
1	4.9	Good	Yes			

	HALL ENVIRONMENTAL ANAI YSTS I AROPATOPY		www.nallenvironmental.com awkins NF - Alburnierune NM 87109	5-345-3075 Eav 505-345-4107	Analysis Reminest	.O8 (1m	əsq S ^{, tr}	09 A\\r	322(0 ²⁺	504. 0r 8 3 3 (Pre	103 103 103 103	ethor Mee Mee Mee Mee Mee Mee Mee Mee Mee Me	(Med s by) (Se) (V() (Se	EDB RCR CI, F 8260 8270 101a													b-contracted data will be clearly notated on the analytical report.
			4901 H			(0)	R's B's	ьc о \	ЯQ 282)8/s	abi ide:	5D(۶01 Pe	НЧТ 1808	~	v					~				irks:		ty. Any su
						(1:	208	}) s ,	BM.	L-/	BE	ŦM	K	BTE	×	×	×	\times	×	×	×	\times			Rema		possibili
d Time:	d 🗆 Rush	le:	andard 1		, v	ager:	mifer Deal - HILONP	INY BUNDS- LTE	Evic Carroll	LA Yes DNo		D(including CF): 11.5° + 0.4CF = 4.9°		Type 1900339	100- 1000	200-1	-003	- 004	500-	-006	-007	Y -008			Via: Date Time	Via: Countrier Date / Time	accredited laboratories. This serves as notice of this
Turn-Around	R Standar	Project Nam	540	Project #:		Project Man	Jen	Dai	Sampler:	On Ice:	# of Coolers	Cooler Tem		Container Type and #	20/1	¥					V	21			Received by:	Received by:	ontracted to other a
Chain-of-Custody Record	Client: Hilcorp	Jenni Ker Den 1	Mailing Address: 282 R.d. 3100	AZTOC NIM 87410	Phone #: 205 - 540	Ellian UI FaxH. UNENI OU DILCOLD. COM	QA/QC Package:	K Standard D Level 4 (Full Validation)	Accreditation: Az Compliance	NELAC Other	X EDD (Type) PDF			Date Time Matrix Sample Name	CH 1200 Soil MW-23 25'-30'	614 1300 1 MW-23 40'-45'	615 1330 MW- 24 15'-20'	1415 MW-24 25'-30'	1600 MW -25 10'-15'	1645 MW-35 25'-30'	1020 1, MW-26 10'-15'	1100 MW-76 25'-30'			Date: Time: Relinquished by:	Date: Time: Relinquished by:	If necessary, samples submitted to Hall Environmental may be subc

 \sum



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

June 21, 2019

Jennifer Deal Hilcorp Energy PO Box 61529 Houston, TX 77208-1529 TEL: (337) 276-7676 FAX

RE: Standard 1

OrderNo.: 1906A31

Dear Jennifer Deal:

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory received 2 sample(s) on 6/19/2019 for the analyses presented in the following report.

These were analyzed according to EPA procedures or equivalent. To access our accredited tests please go to www.hallenvironmental.com or the state specific web sites. In order to properly interpret your results, it is imperative that you review this report in its entirety. See the sample checklist and/or the Chain of Custody for information regarding the sample receipt temperature and preservation. Data qualifiers or a narrative will be provided if the sample analysis or analytical quality control parameters require a flag. When necessary, data qualifiers are provided on both the sample analysis report and the QC summary report, both sections should be reviewed. All samples are reported, as received, unless otherwise indicated. Lab measurement of analytes considered field parameters that require analysis within 15 minutes of sampling such as pH and residual chlorine are qualified as being analyzed outside of the recommended holding time.

Please don't hesitate to contact HEAL for any additional information or clarifications.

ADHS Cert #AZ0682 -- NMED-DWB Cert #NM9425 -- NMED-Micro Cert #NM0901

Sincerely,

andy

Andy Freeman Laboratory Manager 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109

Lab Order: 1906A31

Date Reported: 6/21/2019

CLIENT: Project:	Hilcorp Energy Standard 1				Ι	.ab C)rder: 1906A	31
Lab ID:	1906A31-001		C	Collecti	on Date	: 6/1	18/2019 2:40:00 PM	1
Client Sample	ID: MW-26				Matrix	: A(QUEOUS	
Analyses		Result	RL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch ID
EPA METHO	D 8021B: VOLATILES						Ana	lyst: NSB
Benzene		5.2	1.0		µg/L	1	6/20/2019 3:06:57 F	PM B60803
Toluene		ND	1.0		μg/L	1	6/20/2019 3:06:57 F	PM B60803
Ethylbenzene		ND	1.0		µg/L	1	6/20/2019 3:06:57 F	PM B60803
Xylenes, Tota	l	ND	2.0		µg/L	1	6/20/2019 3:06:57 F	PM B60803
Surr: 4-Bro	mofluorobenzene	104	80-120		%Rec	1	6/20/2019 3:06:57 F	PM B60803
Lab ID:	1906A31-002		C	Collecti	on Date	: 6/1	18/2019 3:00:00 PM	1
Client Sample	ID: MW-23				Matrix	: A(QUEOUS	
Analyses		Result	RL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch ID
ΕΡΑ ΜΕΤΗΟΙ	D 8021B: VOLATILES						Ana	lyst: NSB
Benzene		ND	1.0		µg/L	1	6/20/2019 3:30:34 F	PM B60803
Toluene		ND	1.0		µg/L	1	6/20/2019 3:30:34 F	PM B60803
Ethylbenzene		ND	1.0		µg/L	1	6/20/2019 3:30:34 F	PM B60803
Xylenes, Tota	l	ND	2.0		µg/L	1	6/20/2019 3:30:34 F	PM B60803
Surr: 4-Bro	mofluorobenzene	102	80-120		%Rec	1	6/20/2019 3:30:34 F	PM B60803

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

PQL Practical Quanitative Limit

% Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix S

Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank Е Value above quantitation range

Analyte detected below quantitation limits J

Р Sample pH Not In Range

RL Reporting Limit

в

Page 1 of 2

QC SUMMARY REPORT
Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: **1906A31** 21-Jun-19

Client: Project:	Hilcorp End Standard 1	ergy									
Sample ID: RB		SampTy	pe: MI	BLK	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8021B: Volat	iles		
Client ID: PBW	V	Batch	ID: B6	0803	F	RunNo: 6	0803				
Prep Date:	A	Analysis Da	te: 6/	20/2019	S	SeqNo: 20	058308	Units: µg/L			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene		ND	1.0								
Toluene		ND	1.0								
Ethylbenzene		ND	1.0								
Xylenes, Total		ND	2.0								
Surr: 4-Bromofluoro	obenzene	20		20.00		102	80	120			
Sample ID: 100N	NG BTEX LCS	SampTy	pe: LC	S	Tes	tCode: EF	PA Method	8021B: Volat	iles		
Client ID: LCS	w	Batch	ID: B6	0803	F	RunNo: 60	0803				
Prep Date:	A	Analysis Da	te: 6/	20/2019	5	SeqNo: 20	058309	Units: µg/L			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene		20	1.0	20.00	0	98.1	80	120			
Toluene		20	1.0	20.00	0	102	80	120			
Ethylbenzene		21	1.0	20.00	0	104	80	120			
Xylenes, Total		62	2.0	60.00	0	104	80	120			
Surr: 4-Bromofluoro	obenzene	22		20.00		108	80	120			

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 2 of 2

HALL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS LABORATORY	Hall Environmental Albi TEL: 505-345-3975 Website: www.ha	Analysis Lat 4901 Haw uquerque, NI FAX: 505-3 illenvironmen	horatory kins NE 487109 Sar 45-4107 htal.com	mple Log-In C	heck List
Client Name: HILCORP ENERGY	Work Order Number:	1906A31		RcptNo:	1
Received By: Anne Thorne	6/19/2019 8:10:00 AM		anne H.	in-	
Completed By: Erin Melendrez	6/19/2019 5:06:47 PM		VL MA		
Reviewed By:	6/19				
<u>Chain of Custody</u>					
1. Is Chain of Custody complete?		Yes 🗹	No 🗌	Not Present	
2. How was the sample delivered?		Courier			
Log In 3. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?		Yes 🗹	No 🗌	NA 🗌	
4. Were all samples received at a temperature	of >0° C to 6.0°C	Yes 🗹	No 🗌		
5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?		Yes 🗹	No 🗌		
6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)	?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌		
7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly	preserved?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌		
8. Was preservative added to bottles?		Yes 🗌	No 🗹	NA 🗌	
9. VOA vials have zero headspace?		Yes 🗹	No 🗌	No VOA Vials	
0, Were any sample containers received broke	1?	Yes	No 🗹	# of preserved bottles checked	105/01
1. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody)		Yes ⊻	No 🗌	for pH: (<2 or >	>12 unless noted)
2. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of	Custody?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌	Adjusted?	
3. Is it clear what analyses were requested?		Yes 🖌	No 🗌		
4. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.)		Yes 🗹	No 🗌	Checked by:	
pecial Handling (if applicable)			2		
15. Was client notified of all discrepancies with t	his order?	Yes 🗌	No 🗌	NA 🗹	
Person Notified:	Date:		Rosen and a second s		
By Whom:	Via:	eMail] Phone 🗌 Fax	In Person	
Regarding:					
Client Instructions:					
16. Additional remarks:					
17. <u>Cooler Information</u> Cooler No Temp °C Condition Se	al Intact Seal No	eal Date	Signed By		
1 1.3 Good Yes		Sal Bato	oighed by		

Cha	lin-oi	f-Cu	istody Record	Turn-Around	Time:								L		0			Ē		
Client: H	ilcorp	0		⊠ Standard		h							l X							
20	mnife	100	Devel	Project Nam	e:					150			lenvi		ental	a de la	5)		
Mailing Adc	Iress:			Stan	dard H	T			4901	Haw	kins	ШZ	Albi	Ionor	ane	NM 8	7109			
				Project #:					Tel.	505-	345-3	975		ax 5	95-34	5-410	201.1			
Phone #:	-016	-385	5-1096									A	naly	sis R	edue	st		Ta.		
email or Fa.	x#: Jde	2010	hilcorp. com	Project Mana	ager:			-(1	(C	_	_		₽O		(+0	(11	i.	-		
QA/QC Pack	age:			Jenni	for Dcal	- Hidea	fre	208	NB(S.g	SN		S '*		.034	Iasa				
R Standard	~		Level 4 (Full Validation)	Dann	Y BUNNS	- LTE		3) s'{	/ 08	<u>-</u>	IIS0		ΡО		V/tc					
Accreditatio	n:	Az Coi	mpliance	Sampler:	Evil Ca	rypil.		amt	HD \	7809	228		' ⁷ ON		(1969				
		Other		On Ice:	X Yes	ON []		1	ر ٥٢	2/58	or	S	^٤ ا)				
EDD (Ty	pe) P	202		# of Coolers:	/			38.	 	; pc	018	lete	ON	(ΔΛ-					
				Cooler Temp	(including CF): /	8-0. SLEF	:)=/,300	±Μ	., 19D	onse	88 1	∋M s	r, 1	(AO	ime				- Eta	
9 9				Container	Preservativ	e HEA	AL No.	EX	08:H	94 18	d sH.	8 AA:	Е, В	V) 05	S) 02	ומו הר				
Date Tim	e Ma	atrix	Sample Name	Type and #	Type	1906	9A31	ТB	dT	ED	AЧ	ЗЯ	'IO	826	728 701	101				
C/18 14	40 6	n hu	NW - 36	3 VOA	Hc1	-MN		12						_	-					
6/18 151	20 61	in	MW-73	3 104	17H	-0N7		7												
						a constant and a constant								-						
							1		-	-					-			-		Τ
													-							
													7							
																	÷.			
	_		21						-									_		
	+								+						- 1					
Doto: Timo		doined			1.6	ć	F			_				-	6 11 6 11			_		
CAR 16:				MMT	ANJ.	18/18/19	163 C	Kem	arks:											
Date: Time $\left[\frac{b}{8} \right]_{8} $	k Reli	inquishe		Recdived by:	Via:	Date Dull	Time													
If nece	issary, samp	ples subr	mitted to Hall Environmental may be subc	ontracted to other a	ccredited laborato	nries. This serves	s as notice of this	possibi	lity. Any	/ sub-co	ntracte	d data	will be o	dearly r	notated	on the a	nalytical	report.		



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

July 10, 2019

Danny Burns HILCORP ENERGY PO Box 4700 Farmington, NM 87499 TEL: (505) 564-0733 FAX:

OrderNo.: 1906G46

RE: Standard 1

Dear Danny Burns:

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory received 9 sample(s) on 6/29/2019 for the analyses presented in the following report.

These were analyzed according to EPA procedures or equivalent. To access our accredited tests please go to www.hallenvironmental.com or the state specific web sites. In order to properly interpret your results, it is imperative that you review this report in its entirety. See the sample checklist and/or the Chain of Custody for information regarding the sample receipt temperature and preservation. Data qualifiers or a narrative will be provided if the sample analysis or analytical quality control parameters require a flag. When necessary, data qualifiers are provided on both the sample analysis report and the QC summary report, both sections should be reviewed. All samples are reported, as received, unless otherwise indicated. Lab measurement of analytes considered field parameters that require analysis within 15 minutes of sampling such as pH and residual chlorine are qualified as being analyzed outside of the recommended holding time.

Please don't hesitate to contact HEAL for any additional information or clarifications.

ADHS Cert #AZ0682 -- NMED-DWB Cert #NM9425 -- NMED-Micro Cert #NM0901

Sincerely,

andy

Andy Freeman Laboratory Manager 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109

Lab Order: 1906G46

Date Reported: 7/10/2019

					_		
CLIENT: Project:	HILCORP ENERGY Standard 1			Ι	Lab Order: 1	906G46	
Lab ID:	1906G46-001		C	collection Date	e: 6/28/2019 8:00:0	00 AM	
Client Sample ID): MW-15			Matrix	k: AQUEOUS		
Analyses		Result	RL	Qual Units	DF Date Analyz	ed Ba	atch ID
EPA METHOD 8	021B: VOLATILES					Analyst	NSB
Benzene		24000	500	µg/L	500 7/8/2019 10:1	0:07 AM	B61223
Toluene		28000	500	μg/L	500 7/8/2019 10:1	0:07 AM	B61223
Ethylbenzene		1100	50	μg/L	50 7/6/2019 3:04	:24 AM	A61170
Xylenes, Total		10000	1000	µg/L	500 7/8/2019 10:1	0:07 AM	B61223
Surr: 4-Bromo	fluorobenzene	111	80-120	%Rec	50 7/6/2019 3:04	:24 AM	A61170
Lab ID:	1906G46-002		C	collection Date	e: 6/28/2019 8:40:0	00 AM	
Client Sample ID	D: MW 05			Matrix	k: AQUEOUS		
Analyses		Result	RL	Qual Units	DF Date Analyz	ed Ba	atch ID
EPA METHOD 8	021B: VOLATILES					Analyst	NSB
Benzene		5900	200	µq/L	200 7/6/2019 3:28	:19 AM	A61170
Toluene		160	20	μg/L	20 7/6/2019 3:52	:17 AM	A61170
Ethylbenzene		200	20	μg/L	20 7/6/2019 3:52	:17 AM	A61170
Xylenes, Total		1400	40	μg/L	20 7/6/2019 3:52	:17 AM	A61170
Surr: 4-Bromo	fluorobenzene	102	80-120	%Rec	20 7/6/2019 3:52	:17 AM	A61170
Lab ID:	1906G46-003		C	collection Date	e: 6/28/2019 11:00	:00 AM	
Client Sample ID): MW 19			Matrix	k: AQUEOUS		
Analyses		Result	RL	Qual Units	DF Date Analyz	ed Ba	atch ID
EPA METHOD 8	021B: VOLATILES					Analyst	NSB
Benzene		13000	200	µq/L	200 7/8/2019 10:3	2:47 AM	B61223
Toluene		230	20	µg/L	20 7/5/2019 6:46	:55 PM	A61171
Ethylbenzene		900	20	µg/L	20 7/5/2019 6:46	:55 PM	A61171
Xylenes, Total		4900	400	µg/L	200 7/5/2019 6:24	:09 PM	A61171
Surr: 4-Bromo	fluorobenzene	118	80-120	%Rec	20 7/5/2019 6:46	:55 PM	A61171

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

PQL Practical Quanitative Limit

S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

B Analyte detected in the associated Method BlankE Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

P Sample pH Not In Range

RL Reporting Limit

Page 1 of 5

Lab Order: 1906G46

Date Reported: 7/10/2019

							-		
CLIENT: Project:	HILCORP ENERGY Standard 1				Ι	.ab O	rder: 19	06G46	
Lab ID:	1906G46-004		C	ollecti	on Date	e: 6/2	8/2019 9:25:00) AM	
Client Sample ID	: MW 18				Matrix	: AQ	UEOUS		
Analyses		Result	RL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyze	d B	atch ID
EPA METHOD 8	021B: VOLATILES							Analyst	: NSB
Benzene		15000	500		µg/L	500	7/8/2019 10:55	:28 AM	B61223
Toluene		18000	500		μg/L	500	7/8/2019 10:55	:28 AM	B61223
Ethylbenzene		770	500		µg/L	500	7/8/2019 10:55	:28 AM	B61223
Xylenes, Total		9400	1000		µg/L	500	7/8/2019 10:55	:28 AM	B61223
Surr: 4-Bromot	fluorobenzene	94.9	80-120		%Rec	500	7/8/2019 10:55	:28 AM	B61223
Lab ID:	1906G46-005		C	ollecti	on Date	e: 6/2	8/2019 8:40:00) AM	
Client Sample ID	• MW 12				Matrix	k: AQ	UEOUS		
Analyses		Result	RL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyze	d B	atch ID
EPA METHOD 8	021B: VOLATILES							Analyst	: NSB
Benzene		810	50		µg/L	50	7/8/2019 11:18	:06 AM	B61223
Toluene		55	5.0		μg/L	5	7/5/2019 9:02:	56 PM	A61171
Ethylbenzene		1000	50		μg/L	50	7/5/2019 8:40:	17 PM	A61171
Xylenes, Total		500	10		μg/L	5	7/5/2019 9:02:	56 PM	A61171
Surr: 4-Bromot	fluorobenzene	157	80-120	S	%Rec	5	7/5/2019 9:02:	56 PM	A61171
Lab ID:	1906G46-006		C	ollecti	on Date	e: 6/2	8/2019 10:10:0	00 AM	
Client Sample ID	•: MW 08				Matrix	k: AQ	UEOUS		
Analyses		Result	RL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyze	d B	atch ID
EPA METHOD 8	021B: VOLATILES							Analyst	: NSB
Benzene		ND	1.0		ua/L	1	7/8/2019 11:40	:49 AM	B61223
Toluene		ND	1.0		µg/L	1	7/8/2019 11:40	:49 AM	B61223
Ethylbenzene		ND	1.0		µg/L	1	7/8/2019 11:40	:49 AM	B61223
Xylenes, Total		ND	2.0		µg/L	1	7/8/2019 11:40	:49 AM	B61223
Surr: 4-Bromot	fluorobenzene	93.4	80-120		%Rec	1	7/8/2019 11:40	:49 AM	B61223

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

PQL Practical Quanitative Limit

% Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix S

Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank Е Value above quantitation range

Analyte detected below quantitation limits J

Р Sample pH Not In Range

RL Reporting Limit

в

Page 2 of 5

Lab Order: 1906G46

Date Reported: 7/10/2019

CLIENT: H Project: S	IILCORP ENERGY tandard 1]	Lab ()rder: 19	06G46	
Lab ID:	1906G46-007		С	collection Date	e: 6/2	28/2019 7:45:00) AM	
Client Sample ID:	MW 11			Matri	K: A	QUEOUS		
Analyses		Result	RL	Qual Units	DF	Date Analyze	d B	atch ID
EPA METHOD 802	1B: VOLATILES						Analys	t: NSB
Benzene		ND	1.0	µg/L	1	7/8/2019 12:03	:34 PM	B61223
Toluene		ND	1.0	µg/L	1	7/8/2019 12:03	:34 PM	B61223
Ethylbenzene		ND	1.0	µg/L	1	7/8/2019 12:03	:34 PM	B61223
Xylenes, Total		ND	2.0	µg/L	1	7/8/2019 12:03	:34 PM	B61223
Surr: 4-Bromofluc	probenzene	94.5	80-120	%Rec	1	7/8/2019 12:03	:34 PM	B61223
Lab ID:	1906G46-008		C	collection Dat	e: 6/2	28/2019 8:15:00) AM	
Client Sample ID:	MW 22			Matri	K: A	QUEOUS		
Analyses		Result	RL	Qual Units	DF	Date Analyze	d B	atch ID
EPA METHOD 802	1B: VOLATILES						Analys	t: NSB
Benzene		ND	1.0	ua/L	1	7/8/2019 12:26	:16 PM	B61223
Toluene		ND	1.0	µg/L	1	7/8/2019 12:26	:16 PM	B61223
Ethylbenzene		ND	1.0	µg/L	1	7/8/2019 12:26	:16 PM	B61223
Xylenes, Total		ND	2.0	μg/L	1	7/8/2019 12:26	:16 PM	B61223
Surr: 4-Bromofluc	probenzene	95.8	80-120	%Rec	1	7/8/2019 12:26	:16 PM	B61223
Lab ID:	1906G46-009		С	ollection Dat	e: 6/2	28/2019 11:00:0	00 AM	
Client Sample ID:	MW 16			Matri	K: A	QUEOUS		
Analyses		Result	RL	Qual Units	DF	Date Analyze	d B	atch ID
EPA METHOD 802	1B: VOLATILES						Analvs	t: NSB
Benzene		3400	200	ua/l	20	0 7/8/2019 12:48	57 PM	B61223
Toluene		620	200	ua/l	20	7/5/2019 10:56	:54 PM	A61171
Ethylbenzene		80	20	ua/L	20	7/5/2019 10:56	:54 PM	A61171
Xylenes, Total		2100	40	µg/L	20	7/5/2019 10:56	:54 PM	A61171
Surr: 4-Bromofluc	probenzene	116	80-120	%Rec	20	7/5/2019 10:56	:54 PM	A61171

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

PQL Practical Quanitative Limit

% Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix S

Е Value above quantitation range

Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

Analyte detected below quantitation limits J

Sample pH Not In Range

P Sample pH Not I RL Reporting Limit

В

Page 3 of 5

QC SUMMARY REPORT	
Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.	

WO#: **1906G46**

10-Jul-19

Client: Proiect:	HILCOR Standard	P ENERG	Y								
Sample ID:	PR-II	Samo			Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	8021B: Volat	ilos		
Client ID:		Botol	пуре. М.	1170	103		1470	0021D. V0iat	lies		
Cilent ID.	FDW			F/0040	г с		70000	Linitar			
Prep Date:		Analysis L	Date: 11	5/2019	2	seqino: 2	073608	Units: µg/L			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene		ND	1.0								
Toluene		ND	1.0								
Ethylbenzene		ND	1.0								
Xylenes, Total		ND	2.0								
Surr: 4-Brome	ofluorobenzene	20		20.00		97.6	80	120			
Sample ID:	100NG BTEX LCS	S-II SampT	Гуре: LC	S	Tes	tCode: EF	PA Method	8021B: Volat	iles		
Client ID:	LCSW	Batc	h ID: A6	1170	F	RunNo: 6'	1170				
Prep Date:		Analysis D	Date: 7/	5/2019	S	SeqNo: 20	073609	Units: µg/L			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene		19	1.0	20.00	0	96.5	80	120			
Toluene		20	1.0	20.00	0	101	80	120			
Ethylbenzene		20	1.0	20.00	0	102	80	120			
Xylenes, Total		60	2.0	60.00	0	101	80	120			
Surr: 4-Bromo	ofluorobenzene	19		20.00		96.0	80	120			
Sample ID:	RB	SampT	Гуре: МЕ	BLK	Tes	tCode: EF	PA Method	8021B: Volat	iles		
Client ID:	PBW	Batc	h ID: A6	1171	F	RunNo: 6	1171				
Prep Date:		Analysis [Date: 7/	5/2019	5	SeqNo: 20	073643	Units: µg/L			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Toluene		ND	1.0								
Ethylbenzene		ND	1.0								
Xylenes, Total		ND	2.0								
Surr: 4-Bromo	ofluorobenzene	19		20.00		97.2	80	120			
Sample ID:	100NG BTEX LCS	S Samp1	Гуре: LC	s	Tes	tCode: EF	PA Method	8021B: Volat	iles		
Client ID:	LCSW	Batc	h ID: A6	1171	F	RunNo: 6'	1171				
Prep Date:		Analysis E	Date: 7/	5/2019	5	SeqNo: 20	073644	Units: µg/L			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Toluene		19	1.0	20.00	0	93.6	80	120			
Ethylbenzene		19	1.0	20.00	0	94.0	80	120			
Xylenes, Total		56	2.0	60.00	0	92.8	80	120			
Surr: 4-Brome	ofluorobenzene	21		20.00		103	80	120			

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

Hall Er	nvironmenta	l Analy	rsis I	Laborat	ory, Inc.						10-Jul-19
Client: Project:	HILCORI Standard	P ENERGY 1	(
Sample ID:	1906G46-003AMS	SampTy	/pe: M \$	8	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8021B: Volat	iles		
Client ID:	MW 19	Batch	ID: A6	1171	F	RunNo: 6	1171				
Prep Date:		Analysis Da	ate: 7/	5/2019	S	SeqNo: 2	073646	Units: µg/L			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Toluene		700	20	400.0	230.7	119	80	120			
Ethylbenzene		1300	20	400.0	903.5	109	80	120			
Xylenes, Total		6200	40	1200	4959	103	80	120			E
Surr: 4-Brom	nofluorobenzene	480		400.0		119	80	120			
Sample ID:	1906G46-003AMSI	D SampTy	/pe: M \$	SD	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8021B: Volat	iles		
Client ID:	MW 19	Batch	ID: A6	1171	F	RunNo: 6	1171				
Prep Date:		Analysis Da	ate: 7/	5/2019	S	SeqNo: 2	073647	Units: µg/L			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Toluene		700	20	400.0	230.7	118	80	120	0.270	20	
Ethylbenzene		1300	20	400.0	903.5	106	80	120	0.933	20	
Xylenes, Total		6100	40	1200	4959	93.4	80	120	1.92	20	E
Surr: 4-Brom	nofluorobenzene	480		400.0		119	80	120	0	0	
Sample ID:	RB	SampTy	/pe: MI	BLK	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8021B: Volat	iles		
Client ID:	PBW	Batch	ID: B6	61223	F	RunNo: 6	1223				
Prep Date:		Analysis Da	ate: 7/	8/2019	S	SeqNo: 2	075449	Units: µg/L			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene		ND	1.0								
Toluene		ND	1.0								
Ethylbenzene		ND	1.0								
Xylenes, Total		ND	2.0								
Surr: 4-Brom	nofluorobenzene	19		20.00		96.9	80	120			
Sample ID:	100NG BTEX LCS	SampTy	/pe: LC	s	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8021B: Volat	iles		
Client ID:	LCSW	Batch	ID: B6	1223	F	RunNo: 6	1223				
Prep Date:		Analysis Da	ate: 7/	8/2019	S	SeqNo: 2	075450	Units: µg/L			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene		18	1.0	20.00	0	92.1	80	120			
Toluene		18	1.0	20.00	0	91.0	80	120			
Ethylbenzene		18	1.0	20.00	0	90.7	80	120			
Xylenes, Total		54	2.0	60.00	0	90.2	80	120			
Surr: 4-Brom	nofluorobenzene	20		20.00		102	80	120			

Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. *
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

QC SUMMARY REPORT

- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix S

- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Е Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Limit

HALL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS LABORATORY	Hall Environmental Albu TEL: 505-345-3975 Website: www.ha	Analysis Laborate 4901 Hawkins uquerque, NM 871 FAX: 505-345-41 Illenvironmental.co	ory NE 09 San 07 07	nple Log-In Check Lis	st
Client Name: HILCORP ENERGY FAR	Work Order Number:	1906G46		RcptNo: 1	
Received By: Erin Melendrez	6/29/2019 9:30:00 AM		int	7	
Completed By: Erin Melendrez	6/29/2019 10:38:39 AM	Л	MAR	7	
Reviewed By: DAD 07/01/19					
Chain of Custody					
1. Is Chain of Custody complete?		Yes 🗹	No 🗌	Not Present	
2. How was the sample delivered?		Courier			
Log In 3. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?		Yes 🖌	No 🗌	NA 🗌	
4. Were all samples received at a temperature of	f >0° C to 6.0°C	Yes 🗹	No 🗌		
5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?		Yes 🗹	No 🗌		
6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?	?	Yes 🔽	No 🗌		
7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly	preserved?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌		
8. Was preservative added to bottles?		Yes	No 🗹	NA 🗌	
9. VOA vials have zero headspace?		Yes 🖌	No 🗌	No VOA Vials 🗌	
10. Were any sample containers received broken	?	Yes 🗌	No 🗹	# of preserved	7
11.Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody)		Yes 🗹	No 🗌	for pH: (#2 or >12 unless no	oted)
12. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of C	ustody?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌	Adjusted?	
13. Is it clear what analyses were requested?		Yes 🗹	No 🗌	2-1-19	
 Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.) 		Yes 🗹	No	Checked by:	
Special Handling (if applicable)					
15. Was client notified of all discrepancies with the	is order?	Yes	No 🗌	NA 🔽	
Person Notified:	Date:		and a second second second		
By Whom:	Via:	eMail Phe	one 🗌 Fax	In Person	
Regarding:					
Client Instructions:					
16. Additional remarks:					
17. <u>Cooler Information</u> Cooler No Temp °C Condition Sea	al Intact Seal No S	eal Date S	Signed By		
1 1.2 Good Yes					

)