District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources Department Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe. NM 87505

Form C-144 Revised June 6, 2013

For temporary pits, below-grade tanks, and multi-well fluid management pits, submit to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

For permanent pits submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

	Bailta 1 0, 1411 07303	
12605	Pit, Below-Grade Tank, or	RECEIVED By OCD at 10:10 am, Jan 27, 2015
39-05563	Proposed Alternative Method Permit or Closure Plan Application	
	Type of action: Below grade tank registration Permit of a pit or proposed alternative method Closure of a pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method Modification to an existing permit/or registration Closure plan only submitted for an existing permitted or non-permitted pit or proposed alternative method	, below-grade tank,
	Instructions: Please submit one application (Form C-144) per individual pit, below-grade tank or altern	
environment. Nor	hat approval of this request does not relieve the operator of liability should operations result in pollution of surface does approval relieve the operator of its responsibility to comply with any other applicable governmental authority	's rules, regulations or ordinances.
1. Operator: _Burl	lington Resources OGRID #:14538_	
	PO BOX 4289, Farmington, NM 87499	
Facility or well	name: Canyon Largo Unit 116	
API Number:	3003905563 OCD Permit Number:	
U/L or Qtr/Qtr	I (NESE) Section 12 Township 24N Range 6W County: Rio Arriba	
Center of Propo	sed Design: Latitude <u>36.32396000 °N</u> Longitude <u>-107.43174000 °W</u> NAD: 🛛 1927] 1983
	□ Federal □ State □ Private □ Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment OCD NAD83 36.323936 1	
☐ Permanent [☐ Lined ☐ U☐ String-Rein	Drilling Workover	g Fluid 🔲 yes 🔲 no
Volume: Tank Construct Secondary Visible sid	de tank: Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC 120 bbl Type of fluid: Produced Water tion material: Metal containment with leak detection ☑ Visible sidewalls, liner, 6-inch lift and automatic overflow shut-off lewalls and liner ☐ Visible sidewalls only ☐ Other sickness 45	
4. Alternative Submittal of an	e Method: n exception request is required. Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office	for consideration of approval.
☐ Chain link, institution or c	section D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits, temporary pits, and below-grade tanks) six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top (Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent reschurch) neight, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet Please specify	idence, school, hospital,

Netting: Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks)	
Screen Netting Other	
Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)	
Signs: Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC 12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC	
Variances and Exceptions: Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance. Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank: Variance(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district for consideration of approval. Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.	a
9. Siting Criteria (regarding permitting): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of accep material are provided below. Siting criteria does not apply to drying pads or above-grade tanks.	table source
General siting	
Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of a low chloride temporary pit or below-grade tank. - □ NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; □ USGS; ☑ Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ⊠ No ☐ NA
Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of a Temporary pit, permanent pit, or Multi-Well Fluid Management pit. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☑ NA
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	☐ Yes ☐ No
 Within an unstable area. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map 	Yes No
Within a 100-year floodplain. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) - FEMA map	Yes No
Below Grade Tanks	
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, significant watercourse, lake bed, sinkhole, wetland or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ⊠ No
Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for public or livestock consumption; NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ⊠ No
Temporary Pit using Low Chloride Drilling Fluid (maximum chloride content 15,000 mg/liter)	
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). (Applies to low chloride temporary pits.) - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet from a occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.	Yes No
 Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 300feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of the initial application. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	☐ Yes ☐ No

Within 100 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Temporary Pit Non-low chloride drilling fluid	
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse, or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in the existence at the time of the initial application; - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet of a wetland US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Permanent Pit or Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit	
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the deattached. Hydrogeologic Report (Below-grade Tanks) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Hydrogeologic Data (Temporary and Emergency Pits) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.1 Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19 and 19.15.17.13 NMAC Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number: or Permit Number:	9 NMAC 9.15.17.9 NMAC
Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the datached. Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC A List of wells with approved application for permit to drill associated with the pit. Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Hydrogeologic Data - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number:	19.15.17.9 NMAC
T Transmit which are a second from the	

	11.
ermanent Pits Permit Application Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the de	ocuments are
ttached. Hydrogeologic Report - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC	
Climatological Factors Assessment Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Leak Detection Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC	
Liner Specifications and Compatibility Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.13.17.11 NMAC Quality Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installation Plan Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC	
Freeboard and Overtopping Prevention Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.13.17.11 NMAC Nuisance or Hazardous Odors, including H ₂ S, Prevention Plan Emergency Response Plan	
☐ Oil Field Waste Stream Characterization ☐ Monitoring and Inspection Plan	
☐ Erosion Control Plan ☐ Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC	
13. Proposed Closure: 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan.	
Type: Drilling Workover Emergency Cavitation P&A Permanent Pit Below-grade Tank Multi-well Fl	uid Management Pit
Proposed Closure Method: Waste Excavation and Removal Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only) On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems)	
☐ In-place Burial ☐ On-site Trench Burial ☐ Alternative Closure Method	
Waste Excavation and Removal Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be a closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. □ Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC □ Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.13 NMAC □ Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings) □ Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC □ Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC □ Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	
15. Siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendations of acceptable sou provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria require justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency. 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance.	rce material are Please refer to
Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of the buried waste.	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
- NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells Ground water is between 25-50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste	Yes No
- NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste.	Yes No
- NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, lakebed, sinkhole, or playa	Yes No
lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	Yes No
Within 300 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No
Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance	

adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality	y; Written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM	EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within an unstable area. - Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM F Society; Topographic map	Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within a 100-year floodplain FEMA map		☐ Yes ☐ No
by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the Proof of Surface Owner Notice - based upon the appropriation Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) Construction/Design Plan of Temporary Pit (for in-place be Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requestration Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the procedures - based upo	the requirements of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 twitten based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection K of 19.15 purial of a drying pad) - based upon the appropriate requirements of juirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC te requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC trilling fluids and drill cuttings or in case on-site closure standards cents of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC ents of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	17.11 NMAC 19.15.17.11 NMAC
Name (Print):	_ * *	
Signature:e-mail address:	m to to	
18. OCD Approval: Permit Application (including closure plant)	an) Closure Plan (only) OCD Conditions (see attachment	
OCD Representative Signature:	Approval Date:	Mar 27, 2015
Title: Environmental Specialst	OCD Permit Number:	
19. Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure complet Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved of the closure report is required to be submitted to the division of section of the form until an approved closure plan has been of	tosure plan prior to implementing any closure activities. Please a within 60 days of the completion of the closure activities. Please a	itting the closure report. to not complete this
20. Closure Method: ☐ Waste Excavation and Removal ☐ On-Site Closure Me ☐ If different from approved plan, please explain.	thod Alternative Closure Method Waste Removal (Clo	sed-loop systems only)
21. Closure Report Attachment Checklist: Instructions: Each mark in the box, that the documents are attached.		2 79

Operator Closure Certification: I hereby certify that the information and attachments submitted with this closurelief. I also certify that the closure complies with all applicable closure requirements.	ure report is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and irements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.
Name (Print): Kenny Davis	Title: Staff Regulatory Technician
Signature:	Date: <u>12/3/14</u>
e-mail address: kenny.r.davis@conocophillips.com	Telephone: 505-599-4045

Burlington Resources Oil Gas Company, LP San Juan Basin Below Grade Tank Closure Report

Lease Name: Canyon Largo Unit 116

API No.: 3003905563

In accordance with Rule 19.15.17.13 NMAC the following information describes the closure of the below-grade tank referenced above. All proper documentation regarding closure activities is being included with the C-144.

General Plan:

- 1. BR shall close a below-grade tank within 60 days of cessation of operations per Subsection G.4 of 19.15.17.13 NMAC. This will include a) below-grade tanks that do not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC or is not included in Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC within five years, if not retrofitted to comply with Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC; b) an earlier date that the division requires because of imminent danger to fresh water, public health or the environment. For any closure, BR will file the C144 Closure Report as required.
- 2. The below-grade tank referenced above was permitted and closed within 60 days of cessation of the below-grade tanks operation.
- 3. BR shall remove liquids and sludge from a below-grade tank prior to implementing a closure method and shall dispose of the liquids and sludge in a division-approved facility. The facilities to be used will be Basin Disposal (Permit #NM-01-005), JFJ Landfarm % Industrial Ecosystem Inc. (Permit # NM-01-0010B) and Envirotech Land Farm (Permit #NM-01-011). The liner after being cleaned well (Subsection D, Paragraph 1, Subparagraph (m) of 19.15.9.712 NMAC) will be disposed of at the San Juan County Regional Landfill located on CR 3100.

All recovered liquids were disposed of at Basin Disposal (Permit #NM-01-005) and any sludge or soil required to be removed to facilitate closure was hauled to Envirotech Land Farm (Permit #NM-01-011) and JFJ Landfarm % IEI (Permit #NM-01-0010B). The liner was cleaned per Subsection D, Paragraph 1, Subparagraph (m) of 19.15.9.712 NMAC was disposed of at the San Juan County Regional Landfill located on CR 3100.

4. BR Will receive prior approval to remove the below-grade tank and dispose of it in a division-approved facility or recycle, reuse, or reclaim it in a manner that the appropriate division district office approves.

The below-grade tank was disposed of in a division-approved manner.

5. If there is any on-site equipment associated with a below-grade tank, then BR shall remove the equipment, unless the equipment is required for some other purpose.

All on-site equipment associated with the below-grade tank was removed.

6. BR will test the soils beneath the below-grade tank to determine whether a release has occurred. COPC shall collect, at a minimum, a five point, composite sample; collect individual grab samples from any area that is wet, discolored or showing other evidence of a release; and analyzed for the constituents listed in Table I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC. COPC shall notify the division of its results on form C-141.



7. A five point composite sample was taken of the below-grade tank using sampling tools and all samples tested per Subsection B of 19.15.17.1 3(B)(1)(b). (Sample results attached).

Components	Tests Method	Limit (mg/kg)
Benzene	EPA SW-846 8021B or 8260B	0.2
BTEX	EPA SW-846 8021B or 8260B	50
TPH	EPA SW-846 418.1	100
Chlorides	EPA 300.1	250

8. If BR or the division determines that a release has occurred, then BR shall comply with 19.15.3.116 NMAC and 19.15.1.19 NMAC, as appropriate.

A release was not determined for the above referenced well.

If the sampling program demonstrates that a release has not occurred or that any release does not exceed the
concentrations specified in Table I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, then BR shall backfill the excavation with compacted,
non-waste containing, earthen material; construct a division-prescribed soil cover; recontour and re-vegetate the
site

The below-grade tank area passed all requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 NMAC and was backfilled with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material.

- 10. Notice of Closure will be given prior to closure to the Aztec Division office between 72 hours and one week via email or verbally. The notification of closure will include the following:
 - i. Operator's name
 - ii. Location by Unit Letter, Section, Township, and Range. Well name and API number.

Notification is missing due to employee turnovers. ConocoPhillips has reviewed our internal processes and has updated them to include the required 72 hour notification.

11. The surface owner shall be notified of BR's closing of the below-grade tank 72 hours, but not more than one week, prior to closure as per the approved closure plan via certified mail, return receipt requested.

The closure process notification to the landowner not found. COPC was not aware that the original notification sent at the time of Permitting was not the only closure notification required.

ConocoPhillips has reviewed our internal processes and has updated them to include the required 72 hour notification.

12. Re-contouring of location will match fit, shape, line, form and texture of the surrounding. Re-shaping will include drainage control, prevent ponding, and prevent erosion. Natural drainages will be unimpeded and water bars and/or silt traps will be place in areas where needed to prevent erosion on a large scale. Final re-contour shall have a uniform appearance with smooth surface, fitting the natural landscape.

The below-grade tank area was re-contoured to match fit, shape, line, form and texture of the surrounding area. Re-shaping, including drainage control, to prevent ponding and erosion. Natural drainages were unimpeded and water bars and/or silt traps were placed in areas where needed to prevent erosion on a large scale. Final recontour has a uniform appearance with smooth surface, fitting the natural landscape.

13. BR Shall seed the disturbed areas the first favorable growing season following closure of a below-grade tank. Seeding will be accomplished via drilling on the contour whenever practical or by other division-approved

methods. BLM stipulated seed mixes will used on federally regulated lands and division-approved seed mixtures (administratively approved if required) will be utilized on all State or private lands. A uniform vegetative cover has been established that reflects a life-form ratio of plus or minus fifty percent (50%) of pre- disturbance levels and a total percent plant cover of at least seventy percent (70%) of pre-disturbance levels, excluding noxious weeds. If alternate seed mix is required by the state, private owner or tribe, it will be implemented with administrative approval if needed. COPC will repeat seeding or planting will be continued until successful vegetative growth occurs.

Provision 13 was accomplished through complying with BLM seeding requirements as allowed by the BLM/OCD MOU.

14. A minimum of four feet of cover shall be achieved and the cover shall include one foot of suitable material, with chloride concentrations less than 600 mg/kg as analyzed by EPA Method 300.0, to establish vegetation at the site, or the background thickness of topsoil, whichever is greater.

The below-grade tank area was backfilled and more than four feet of cover was achieved and the cover included one foot of suitable material to establish vegetation at the site.

- 15. All closure activities will include proper documentation and be available for review upon request and will be submitted to OCD within 60 days of closure of the below-grade tank. Closure report will be filed on C-144 and incorporate the following:
 - Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation (See Report)
 - Re-vegetation application rates and seeding techniques (See Report)
 - Photo documentation of the site reclamation (Included as an attachment)
 - Confirmation Sampling Results (Included as an attachment)
 - Proof of closure notice (Included as an attachment)

Closure Documentation was not submitted within the 60 day requirement due to employee turnovers. ConocoPhillips has reviewed our internal processes and has updated them to ensure closure documentation is submitted with the 60 day time frame.

District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
1301 W. Grand Avenue, Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

Surface Owner Federal

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505 Revised October 10, 2003 Submit 2 Copies to appropriate District Office in accordance

Form C-141

Submit 2 Copies to appropriate
District Office in accordance
with Rule 116 on back
side of form

Lease No. SF-078877

Release Notification and Corrective Action OPERATOR Initial Report Final Report Name of Company Burlington Resources Contact Kenny Davis Address 3401 East 30th St, Farmington, NM Telephone No.(505) 599-4045 Facility Name: Canyon Largo Unit 116 Facility Type: Gas Well

LOCATION OF RELEASE Feet from the North/South Line Feet from the East/WestLine County Section Township Range Unit Letter 990 Rio Arriba 24N 6W 1490 South East 12

Latitude36.32396000 Longitude-107.41374000

N/A

Type of Release BGT Closure Summary

Volume of Release N/A

Volume Recovered N/A

Source of Release: NONE

Date and Hour of Occurrence N/A

Was Immediate Notice Given?

If YES, To Whom?

Mineral Owner Federal

By Whom? N/A

Was a Watercourse Reached?

N/A

Date and Hour N/A

If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse.

N/A

N/A

☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Required

If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.* N/A

INL

Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.*
N/A

Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.*

BGT Closure: NO RELEASE FOUND UPON REMOVAL

I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to ground water, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other

federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.

	OIL CO	NSERVATION DIVISION
Signature:		
Printed Name: Kenny Davis	Approved by District Superv	isor:
Title: Staff Regulatory Technician	Approval Date:	Expiration Date:
E-mail Address: Kenny.r.davis@conocophillips.com	Conditions of Approval:	Attached

Date: 12/3/14 Phone: (505) 599-4045

* Attach Additional Sheets If Necessary



August 25, 2010

Project No. 92115-1352

Ms. Kelsi Harrington ConocoPhillips 3401 East 30th Street Farmington, New Mexico 87401

Phone: (505) 599-3403

RE: BELOW GRADE TANK CLOSURE DOCUMENTATION FOR THE CANYON LARGO UNIT #116 (HBR) WELL SITE, RIO ARRIBA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

Dear Ms. Harrington,

Enclosed please find the field notes and analytical results for below grade tank (BGT) closure activities conducted at the Canyon Largo Unit #116 (hBr) well site located in Section 12, Township 24N, Range 6W, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. On July 13, 2010, a five (5)-point composite sample was collected from directly beneath the BGT; see attached *Field Notes*. The sample was screened in the field for total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) using USEPA Method 418.1, for organic vapors using a photo-ionization detector (PID), and for chlorides. Additionally, the sample was placed into a four (4)-ounce glass jar, capped headspace free, and transported on ice under chain of custody to Envirotech's Laboratory to be analyzed for benzene and BTEX using USEPA Method 8021 and for total chlorides using USEPA Method 4500. The sample returned results below the regulatory standards for all constituents analyzed, confirming a release did not occur; see attached *Analytical Results*. Envirotech, Inc. recommends no further action in regards to this incident.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service. If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact our office at (505) 632-0615.

Respectfully Submitted,

ENVIROTECH, INC.

Robyn Jones, EIT

Staff Engineer

rjones@envirotech-inc.com

Enclosures:

Field Notes

Analytical Results

Cc:

Client File No. 92115

4		1 -	ENVI	ROTEC	H INC		ENTABONA	ATTANTO A I
PAGE NO: OF	1	ENIVIDO			STS & ENGI	JEERS	ENVIRON	
		ENATICE		HIGHWAY		ILLINO	SPECIALIS	Dines
DATE STARTED: 7-1	0 -10	EA			EXICO 8740	1	LAT・ ウ/	2 32412279
all and a second a	3-10	P.F		TE: (505) 63		ı.		69.4143295
DATE FINISHED: 7-	1.3-1D	J					TARRA IN CONTROL OF THE CONTROL OF T	0 12 7140270
NAME OF		EPORT: E		ALEXAND MICEO	URE VE		TION VENT PIT:	BGT: \checkmark
LOCATION: NAME:	familian La		WELL#:)	1 636		11 Part Carlotte Control		PM: NMPH
LEGAL ADD: UNIT:	5 00 15	SEC: 12	The second secon	TWP: DU	The state of the s	RNG: LOS		HAT IONIVI
QTR/FOOTAGE: 1490	3 99 00		CHIT: K	id pruci	10/Pr	ST: NN	1 2 1707 2 2370	- Harding and the state of the
EXCAVATION APPROX:	15	FT. X /	5	FT. X	5	FT. DEEP	CUBIC YA	RDAGE:
DISPOSAL FACILITY:	N/A	∇		REMEDIA'	TION METH	OD;		
LAND OWNER:			API:	With the Res	- IIII	BGT / PIT		
CONSTRUCTION MATE	RIAL: 🔔	Cities - Cities	DOUBLE-	WALLED, V	VITH LEAK	DETECTIO:	N:	
LOCATION APPROXIMA	ATELY:	50	FT. 162	00	FROM WELI	HEAD	Complete Services	
DEPTH TO GROUNDWA		50' > 100	1					
TEMPORARY PIT -								
BENZENE ≤ 0.2 mg/kg,	BTEX ≤ 50 mg/k	g, GRO & DRO	FRACTION	$N(8015) \le 50$	0 mg/kg, TPH	$(418.1) \le 250$	0 mg/kg, CHL	ORIDES ≤ 500 mg/kg
TEMPORARY PIT -	GROUNDWA	TER >100 FEI	ET DEEP					
BENZENE ≤ 0.2 mg/kg, l				I (8015) ≤ 50	mg/kg, TPH (418.1) ≤ 250¢	mg/kg, CHL	ORIDES ≤ 1000 mg/kg
\wedge					,			
PERMANENT PIT C		4. TDVY (410	1) 4 100 7	- cin opn	DEC = 250 = /			
BENZENE ≤ 0.2 mg/k	g, B I E X ≤ 50 mg	g/kg, IPH (418.	1) ≤ 100 mg/	kg, CHLORII	JES ≤ 230 mg/.	kg		
	2 % 1		X		D 418.1 ANAL		1	
	TIME	SAMPLE I.D.			mL FREON	DILUTION	READING	CALC. (mg/kg)
INDER	11:10	1/200 STD	(146.6)	1	20	4	193	52
1- Baseath BOTT	11:20	1	2	5	w	7, 12-7	1.5	32
			3		- 1	7 1	2	
			4	a kene,		The second	1885	
	A Viscourie	Reserved by	5		176 N. W.			
			U	-			James Maria	
PERI	METER		FIELD C	HLORIDE	S RESULTS		PRO	FILE
	200		SAMPLE	DELDDIG	CALC.			
		\	ID	READING	(mg/kg)			4
	图		1	0.0	227			
		1		in House Autor		- 11		1.0
Connex.		1				111		· 11
1 Porcor	(%)	/		1		1 //	X	- 11
4	/		= 11 _14_3501	The state of the s		$\frac{1}{x}$	X	V
\ Ψ]	PID RESU				\sim 1
. /			SAM	PLE ID	RESULTS	1 1		
			. 0 0	1-1	(mg/kg)	^	1	
	1,		10057	<u>v</u>	D.D	4		E**
\ [Fig.	/				0.0	X=Q	lanin(e points
/ /					2		SOMPO	c bollas
\/	1					-		
TAB GLAD	T IDO	Ixiompe		rector Englisher	Plan areas	A SECTION OF STREET		
LAB SAMP		NOTES:	. 🍱	. 114-	7-7			
SAMPLE ID ANALY BENZE	SIS RESULTS	Leas	u SF	6188	1 1			
M BTEX		-						
GRO & E	ORO							
1 CHLORI	DES	4						
	WINT NO	TIODECOR	co #		ממוס סממים	חמת		
	Artista de la companione de la companion	WORKORD	BK#	1	WHO ORDE	KED		



EPA METHOD 418.1 TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS

Client:

ConocoPhillips

Project #:

92115-1352

Sample No.:

1

Date Reported:

7/20/2010

Sample ID:

5 Point Composite beneath BGT

Date Sampled:

7/13/2010

Sample Matrix:

Soil

Date Analyzed:

7/13/2010

Preservative:

Cool

Analysis Needed:

TPH-418.1

Condition:

Cool and Intact

		Det.
	Concentration	Limit
Parameter	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons

52

5.0

ND = Parameter not detected at the stated detection limit.

References:

Method 418.1, Petroleum Hydrocarbons, Total Recoverable, Chemical Analysis

of Water and Waste, USEPA Storet No. 4551, 1978.

Comments:

Canyon Largo Unit #116 (hBr)

Instrument calibrated to 200 ppm standard. Zeroed before each sample

Analyst

Review

Robyn Jones, EIT

Printed

Sarah Rowland, EIT

Printed



Field Chloride

Client:

ConocoPhillips

Project #:

92115-1352

Sample No.:

Date Reported:

7/20/2010

Sample ID:

5 point Composite beneath BGT Date Sampled: Soil

Date Analyzed:

7/13/2010

Sample Matrix: Preservative:

Cool

Analysis Needed:

7/13/2010 Chloride

Condition:

Cool and Intact

		Det.
	Concentration	Limit
Parameter	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)

Field Chloride

ND

27.0

ND = Parameter not detected at the stated detection limit.

References:

"Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater", 18th ed., 1992

Hach Company Quantab Titrators for Chloride

Comments:

Canyon Largo Unit #116 (hBr)

Robyn Jones, EIT

Printed

Sarah Rowland, EIT

Printed



CONTINUOUS CALIBRATION EPA METHOD 418.1 TOTAL PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS

Cal	Date:
(.521	I PATE

13-Jul-10

Parameter	Standard Concentration mg/L	Concentration Reading mg/L	
TPH	100		
	200	193	
	500		
	1000		

The accepted percent relative deviation (%RSD) of the calibration factor is less than 20% over the working range.

Analyst

Date

Robyn Jones, EIT

Print Namo

Poviou

7/29 Date

Sarah Rowland, EIT

Print Name



EPA METHOD 8021 AROMATIC VOLATILE ORGANICS

Det.

Client:	ConocoPhillips	Project #:	92115-1352
Sample ID:	Beneath BGT	Date Reported:	07-14-10
Laboratory Number:	55137	Date Sampled:	07-13-10
Chain of Custody:	9943	Date Received:	07-13-10
Sample Matrix:	Soil	Date Analyzed:	07-14-10
Preservative:	Cool	Date Extracted:	07-13-10
Condition:	Intact	Analysis Requested:	BTEX

Parameter	Concentration (ug/Kg)	Limit (ug/Kg)
Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene	ND ND ND	0.9 1.0 1.0
p,m-Xylene	ND	1.2
o-Xylene	5.3	0.9
Total BTEX	5.3	

ND - Parameter not detected at the stated detection limit.

Surrogate Recoveries:	Parameter	Percent Recovery
	Fluorobenzene	100 %
	1,4-difluorobenzene	100 %
	Bromochlorobenzene	100 %

References: Method 5030B, Purge-and-Trap, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, SW-846, USEPA, December 1996.

Method 8021B, Aromatic Volatile Organics, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, SW-846,

USEPA, December 1996.

Comments: Canyon Largo Unit 116

Analyst

Review



EPA METHOD 8021 AROMATIC VOLATILE ORGANICS

Client: Sample ID:	N/A 0714BBLK QA/QC	Project #: Date Reported: Date Sampled:	N/A 07-14-10 N/A
Laboratory Number: Sample Matrix:	55136 Soil	Date Received:	N/A
Preservative:	N/A	Date Analyzed: Analysis:	07-14-10 BTEX
Condition:	N/A	Analysis.	DILA

Calibration and	I-Cal RE;	C-Cal RF:	%Diff.	Blank	Detect.
Detection Limits (ug/L)		Accept. Rang	ge 0 - 15%	Conc	Limit
Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene p,m-Xylene o-Xylene	7.8762E+005 8.6277E+005 7.8752E+005 1.9616E+006 6.8035E+005	7.8920E+005 8.6450E+005 7.8910E+005 1.9655E+006 6.8171E+005	0.2% 0.2% 0.2% 0.2% 0.2%	ND ND ND ND	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1

Duplicate Conc. (ug/Kg)	Sample	Duplicate	%Diff.	Accept Range	Detect. Limit
Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene p,m-Xylene	1.9 4.0 5.3 ND	1.3 3.9 5.6 ND	29.7% 2.5% 5.7% 0.0%	0 - 30% 0 - 30% 0 - 30% 0 - 30%	0.9 1.0 1.0 1.2
o-Xylene	7.7	7.0	9.1%	0 - 30%	0.9

Spike Conc. (ug/Kg)	Sample	Amount Spiked	Spiked Sample	% Recovery	Accept Range
Benzene	1.9	50.0	50.6	101%	39 - 150
Toluene	4.0	50.0	50.4	100%	46 - 148
Ethylbenzene	5.3	50.0	49.7	98.4%	32 - 160
p,m-Xylene	ND	100	97.9	97.9%	46 - 148
o-Xylene	7.7	50.0	50.1	98.6%	46 - 148

ND - Parameter not detected at the stated detection limit.

References:

Method 5030B, Purge-and-Trap, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, SW-846, USEPA,

December 1996.

Method 8021B, Aromatic and Halogenated Volatiles by Gas Chromatography Using

Photoionization and/or Electrolytic Conductivity Detectors, SW-846, USEPA December 1996.

Comments:

QA/QC for Samples 55034-55038; 55115; 55132-55133; 55136-5513

Analyst



Chloride

Project #: 92115-1352 ConocoPhillips Client: 07-15-10 Date Reported: Beneath BGT Sample ID: 07-13-10 Date Sampled: 55137 Lab ID#: Date Received: 07-13-10 Soil Sample Matrix: 07-14-10 Date Analyzed: Cool Preservative: Chain of Custody: 9943 Condition: Intact

Parameter

Concentration (mg/Kg)

Total Chloride

30

Reference:

U.S.E.P.A., 4500B, "Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes", 1983. Standard Methods For The Examination of Water And Waste Water", 18th ed., 1992.

Comments:

Canyon Largo Unit 116

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD

Cheff Address: Sample Mark Cheff Address: Cheff	Prom Philling	Y		MALIENA	-	COU	11 Mil	011		.,						1				
Colemn No. Col	Client Address:	2	u,	Sampler-Name:	4 2		-	3	(3108		-								ALBERT .	1
Sample Sample Sample Sample NavVolume Preservative Time Lab No. Matrix Continues Navious Continues Navious Nav	Client Phone No.:		0 0	121 5-1	352	1			boriteM					I/H Atiw	1. 2.4/	~~~	3604		looO əl	
7-13-19 11:20	Sample No./		Sample	Lab No.	0 =	ıple ırix	No.Volume of Containers	Preservativ					IOA	AJOT			2010		Samp	
Solid Aqueous Solid Aqueou	Breadh Rest	7-13-10	11:20	SE VENT	No light	ge	1-400	×	3	2							0		70	70
Solid Aqueous Solid Aqueou)				Soil	Sludge							-			,			_	
Solid Aqueous Solid Aqueou					Solid	Sludge Aqueous			107.73		75 10	4 X 1	14							
Solid Aqueous Solid Aqueou					Solid	Sludge				7 0 To			= 5							
Soil Sludge					Solid	Sludge Aqueous				7 2	6 L									
Solid Aqueous Solid Aqueou					Soil	Sludge Aqueous					14			1 g = 1		- 11-00				
Solid Aqueous So					Soil	Sludge Aqueous										17.	, ,			
Soil Sludge Soil Sludge Soil Sludge Soil Aqueous Soil Aqueous Soil Aqueous Soil Sludge Soil Aqueous Soil Aqueous Soil Sludge Soil Aqueous Soil Sludge Soil Aqueous Soil Sludge Soil Aqueous Soil Sludge Soil Sludge Soil Aqueous Soil Aqueou					Solid	Siudge										- 1				
Solid Aqueous Solid Aqueous Time Bacelved by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature)					Soil	Sludge										2 3 2		1 1		
Date Time Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature)			2		Soil	Sludge														
Received by: (Signature) Received by: (Signature)	ingalished by: (Bign	ature)		T		Date 7	TIZ X	Receiv	ed by:	(Signat	(deal)	3	3	6	2			- Office	-0	Time (3.
	inquished by:\{Sign	atrine)				aletzi	VIV.	Receiv	1 8 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	(Signat) (are			1	1		5			
	U inquished by: (Sign	(ature)		A				Receiv	ed by:	(Signat	(nue)		Ö 215		- 9					





