District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources Department Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

Form C-144 Revised June 6, 2013

For temporary pits, below-grade tanks, and multi-well fluid management pits, submit to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

For permanent pits submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

12756 Pit, Below-Grade	Γank, or	RECEIVED
45-27768 Proposed Alternative Method Permit		By OCD 3-4-15
· ·	/ Clobare Flam Fighteation	
Type of action: Below grade tank registration Permit of a pit or proposed alternative n	nethod	
Closure of a pit, below-grade tank, or pi		
Modification to an existing permit/or re		
Closure plan only submitted for an exist or proposed alternative method	ing permitted or non-permitted pit, belo	ow-grade tank,
		•
Instructions: Please submit one application (Form C-144) per ind Please be advised that approval of this request does not relieve the operator of liability should		14 7 C 2 2 1 April 20 C 20 20 C 20 C
environment. Nor does approval relieve the operator of its responsibility to comply with any	other applicable governmental authority's rules	s, regulations or ordinances.
1.		
Operator: Burlington Resources OGRID	#:14538	
Address: PO BOX 4289, Farmington, NM 87499	- 12	
Facility or well name: <u>Kelly B 1R</u>		
API Number: _3004527768 OCD Permit Number: _		
U/L or Qtr/Qtr <u>H (SENE)</u> Section <u>8</u> Township <u>30N</u> Range <u>10</u>	W County: <u>San Juan</u>	
Center of Proposed Design: Latitude 36.82846000 •N Longitude -107.90	113000 •W NAD: ⊠1927 □ 1983	
Surface Owner: ☑ Federal ☐ State ☐ Private ☐ Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment		
2.		
Pit: Subsection F, G or J of 19.15.17.11 NMAC		
Temporary: Drilling Workover	losed Prior to Closure Plan Ap	oproval
☐ Permanent ☐ Emergency ☐ Cavitation ☐ P&A ☐ Multi-Well Fluid Managen	Low Chloride Drilling Fluid	i 🗌 yes 🗌 no
☐ Lined ☐ Unlined Liner type: Thicknessmil ☐ LLDPE ☐ HDP	E PVC Other	
☐ String-Reinforced		
Liner Seams: Welded Factory Other Volum	e: bbl Dimensions: L	¢W xD
3. Below-grade tank: Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC		
Volume: 120 bbl Type of fluid: Produced Water		
Tank Construction material: Metal		
Secondary containment with leak detection Visible sidewalls, liner, 6-inch life	t and automatic overflow shut-off	
☐ Visible sidewalls and liner ☐ Visible sidewalls only ☐ Other		-
Liner type: Thickness45mil ☐ HDPE ☐ PVC ☒ Other	LLDPE	
4.		
Alternative Method:		
Submittal of an exception request is required. Exceptions must be submitted to the Sa	nta Fe Environmental Bureau office for con	sideration of approval.
5.	-	
Fencing: Subsection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits, temporary		
Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top (Required if located institution or abweb)	within 1000 feet of a permanent residence,	school, hospital,
institution or church) Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four in the four foot height.	eet	
☐ Alternate. Please specify		
F		

Fencing: Subsection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits, temporary pits, and below-grade tanks)	
Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top (Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent residence, school, linstitution or church)	nospital,
Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet	
Alternate. Please specify	
6.	
Netting: Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks)	
Screen Netting Other	
Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)	
7.	
Signs: Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC	
12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers	
Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC	
s. Variances and Exceptions:	
Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance.	
Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank: Variance(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district for consideration of approval.	
Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.	
9. Siling Coling (constitution), 10.15.17.10.NMAC	
Siting Criteria (regarding permitting): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of acceptance.	otable source
material are provided below. Siting criteria does not apply to drying pads or above-grade tanks.	
General siting	
Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of a low chloride temporary pit or below-grade tank.	☐ Yes ⊠ No
- ☐ NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; ☐ USGS; ☒ Data obtained from nearby wells	□ NA
Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of a Temporary pit, permanent pit, or Multi-Well Fluid Management pit.	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	Z IVA
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance	☐ Yes ☐ No
adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	
Within the case exacting a subsurface mine (Door not apply to below goods tonks)	
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within an unstable area. (Does not apply to below grade tanks)	
 Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map 	Yes No
Within a 100-year floodplain. (Does not apply to below grade tanks)	☐ Yes ☐ No
- FEMA map	
Below Grade Tanks	
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, significant watercourse, lake bed, sinkhole, wetland or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).	☐ Yes ☒ No
- Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	
Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for public or livestock consumption;.	☐ Yes ☒ No
- NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	
Temporary Pit using Low Chloride Drilling Fluid (maximum chloride content 15,000 mg/liter)	
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole,	□ 37 - □ 37
or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). (Applies to low chloride temporary pits.) - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No
Topographic map, Thousa inspection (certained only of the proposed site	

Within 300 feet from a occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial	☐ Yes ☐ No
application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	
Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 300feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of the initial application. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 100 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Temporary Pit Non-low chloride drilling fluid	
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse, or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in the existence at the time of the initial application; - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet of a wetland US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Permanent Pit or Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit	
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of	
initial application NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 Naturations: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the do attached. Hydrogeologic Report (Below-grade Tanks) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Hydrogeologic Data (Temporary and Emergency Pits) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19 and 19.15.17.13 NMAC Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number:	O NMAC 15.17.9 NMAC
Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC	¥
Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the do attached. Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC A List of wells with approved application for permit to drill associated with the pit. Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19 and 19.15.17.13 NMAC Hydrogeologic Data - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number:	
The control of the co	

Permanent Pits Permit Application Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the de attached. Hydrogeologic Report - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Climatological Factors Assessment Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Leak Detection Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Liner Specifications and Compatibility Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Quality Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installation Plan Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Freeboard and Overtopping Prevention Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Nuisance or Hazardous Odors, including H ₂ S, Prevention Plan Emergency Response Plan Oil Field Waste Stream Characterization Monitoring and Inspection Plan Erosion Control Plan Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC	ocuments are
Proposed Closure: 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan. Type: Drilling Workover Emergency Cavitation P&A Permanent Pit Below-grade Tank Multi-well Flue Alternative Proposed Closure Method: Waste Excavation and Removal Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only) On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems) In-place Burial On-site Trench Burial Alternative Closure Method	iid Management Pit
Waste Excavation and Removal Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be at closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. □ Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC □ Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.13 NMAC □ Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings) □ Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC □ Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	ttached to the
Siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendations of acceptable source provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria require justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency. Pl. 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance.	
Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Ground water is between 25-50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance	

adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	
	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within an unstable area. - Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map	gical Yes No
Within a 100-year floodplain FEMA map	Yes No
	· ·
On-Site Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Proof of Surface Owner Notice - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection K of Construction/Design Plan of Temporary Pit (for in-place burial of a drying pad) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Waste Material Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings or in case on-site closure stand Soil Cover Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	f 19.15.17.11 NMAC ents of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
17. Operator Application Contifications	
Operator Application Certification:	an and hallof
I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowleds	ge and bener.
Name (Print): Title:	
Signature: Date:	
e-mail address: Telephone:	
18.	
18. OCD Approval: Permit Application (including closure plan) Closure Plan (only) OCD Conditions (see attack	nment)
18. OCD Approval: Permit Application (including closure plan) Closure Plan (only) OCD Conditions (see attached) OCD Representative Signature: Approval Date:	nment)
18. OCD Approval: Permit Application (including closure plan) Closure Plan (only) OCD Conditions (see attack	nment)
18. OCD Approval: Permit Application (including closure plan) Closure Plan (only) OCD Conditions (see attached) OCD Representative Signature: Approval Date:	Apr 24, 2015 Apr 24, 2015 submitting the closure report. ease do not complete this
OCD Approval: Permit Application (including closure plan) Closure Plan (only) OCD Conditions (see attach OCD Representative Signature: Title: Environmental Specialst OCD Permit Number: Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion): 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved closure plan prior to implementing any closure activities and The closure report is required to be submitted to the division within 60 days of the completion of the closure activities. Ple section of the form until an approved closure plan has been obtained and the closure activities have been completed.	Apr 24, 2015 Apr 24, 2015 submitting the closure report. ease do not complete this

Operator Closure Certification:	
I hereby certify that the information and attachments submitted with this closure belief. I also certify that the closure complies with all applicable closure require	report is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and ments and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.
Name (Print): Kenny Davis	Title: _Staff Regulatory Technician_
Signature:	Date:12/3/14
e-mail address: kenny.r.davis@conocophillips.com	Telephone: <u>505-599-4045</u>

Burlington Resources Oil Gas Company, LP San Juan Basin Below Grade Tank Closure Report

(Without Reclamation)

Lease Name: KELLY B 1 R API No.: 30-045-27768

In accordance with Rule 19.15.17.13 NMAC the following information describes the closure of the below-grade tank referenced above. All proper documentation regarding closure activities is being included with the C-144.

General Plan:

- 1. BR shall close a below-grade tank within 60 days of cessation of operations per Subsection G.4 of 19.15.17.13 NMAC. This will include a) below-grade tanks that do not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC or is not included in Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC within five years, if not retrofitted to comply with Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC; b) an earlier date that the division requires because of imminent danger to fresh water, public health or the environment. For any closure, BR will file the C144 Closure Report as required.
- 2. The below-grade tank referenced above was permitted and closed within 60 days of cessation of the below-grade tanks operation.
- 3. BR shall remove liquids and sludge from a below-grade tank prior to implementing a closure method and shall dispose of the liquids and sludge in a division-approved facility. The facilities to be used will be Basin Disposal (Permit #NM-01-005), JFJ Landfarm % Industrial Ecosystem Inc. (Permit # NM-01-0010B) and Envirotech Land Farm (Permit #NM-01-011). The liner after being cleaned well (Subsection D, Paragraph 1, Subparagraph (m) of 19.15.9.712 NMAC) will be disposed of at the San Juan County Regional Landfill located on CR 3100.

All recovered liquids were disposed of at Basin Disposal (Permit #NM-01-005) and any sludge or soil required to be removed to facilitate closure was hauled to Envirotech Land Farm (Permit #NM-01-011) and JFJ Landfarm % IEI (Permit #NM-01-0010B). The liner was cleaned per Subsection D, Paragraph 1, Subparagraph (m) of 19.15.9.712 NMAC was disposed of at the San Juan County Regional Landfill located on CR 3100.

4. BR Will receive prior approval to remove the below-grade tank and dispose of it in a division-approved facility or recycle, reuse, or reclaim it in a manner that the appropriate division district office approves.

The below-grade tank was disposed of in a division-approved manner.

5. If there is any on-site equipment associated with a below-grade tank, then BR shall remove the equipment, unless the equipment is required for some other purpose.

All on-site equipment associated with the below-grade tank was removed.

6. BR will test the soils beneath the below-grade tank to determine whether a release has occurred. COPC shall collect, at a minimum, a five point, composite sample; collect individual grab samples from any area that is wet, discolored or showing other evidence of a release; and analyzed for the constituents listed in Table I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC. COPC shall notify the division of its results on form C-141.

7. A five point composite sample was taken of the below-grade tank using sampling tools and all samples tested per Subsection B of 19.15.17.1 3(B)(1)(b). (Sample results attached).

Components	Tests Method	Limit (mg/kg)
Benzene	EPA SW-846 8021B or 8260B	0.2
BTEX	EPA SW-846 8021B or 8260B	50
TPH	EPA SW-846 418.1	100
Chlorides	EPA 300.1	250

8. If BR or the division determines that a release has occurred, then BR shall comply with 19.15.3.116 NMAC and 19.15.1.19 NMAC, as appropriate.

A release was not determined for the above referenced well.

If the sampling program demonstrates that a release has not occurred or that any release does not exceed the
concentrations specified in Table I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, then BR shall backfill the excavation with compacted,
non-waste containing, earthen material; construct a division-prescribed soil cover; recontour and re-vegetate the
site.

The below-grade tank area passed all requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 NMAC and was backfilled with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material.

- 10. Notice of Closure will be given prior to closure to the Aztec Division office between 72 hours and one week via email or verbally. The notification of closure will include the following:
 - i. Operator's name
 - ii. Location by Unit Letter, Section, Township, and Range. Well name and API number.

Notification is missing due to employee turnovers. ConocoPhillips has reviewed our internal processes and has updated them to include the required 72 hour notification.

11. The surface owner shall be notified of BR's closing of the below-grade tank 72 hours, but not more than one week, prior to closure as per the approved closure plan via certified mail, return receipt requested.

The closure process notification to the landowner not found. COPC was not aware that the original notification sent at the time of Permitting was not the only closure notification required.

ConocoPhillips has reviewed our internal processes and has updated them to include the required 72 hour notification.

12. Re-contouring of location will match fit, shape, line, form and texture of the surrounding. Re-shaping will include drainage control, prevent ponding, and prevent erosion. Natural drainages will be unimpeded and water bars and/or silt traps will be place in areas where needed to prevent erosion on a large scale. Final re-contour shall have a uniform appearance with smooth surface, fitting the natural landscape.

The below-grade tank area will be re-contoured to match fit, shape, line, form and texture of the surrounding area. Re-shaping, including drainage control, to prevent ponding and erosion. Natural drainages were unimpeded and water bars and/or silt traps were placed in areas where needed to prevent erosion on a large scale. Final recontour has a uniform appearance with smooth surface, fitting the natural landscape.

13. BR Shall seed the disturbed areas the first favorable growing season following closure of a below-grade tank. Seeding will be accomplished via drilling on the contour whenever practical or by other division-approved

methods. BLM stipulated seed mixes will used on federally regulated lands and division-approved seed mixtures (administratively approved if required) will be utilized on all State or private lands. A uniform vegetative cover has been established that reflects a life-form ratio of plus or minus fifty percent (50%) of pre- disturbance levels and a total percent plant cover of at least seventy percent (70%) of pre-disturbance levels, excluding noxious weeds. If alternate seed mix is required by the state, private owner or tribe, it will be implemented with administrative approval if needed. COPC will repeat seeding or planting will be continued until successful vegetative growth occurs.

Provision 13 will be accomplished through complying with BLM seeding requirements as allowed by the BLM/OCD MOU.

14. A minimum of four feet of cover shall be achieved and the cover shall include one foot of suitable material, with chloride concentrations less than 600 mg/kg as analyzed by EPA Method 300.0, to establish vegetation at the site, or the background thickness of topsoil, whichever is greater.

The below-grade tank area was backfilled and more than four feet of cover was achieved and the cover included one foot of suitable material to establish vegetation at the site.

- 15. All closure activities will include proper documentation and be available for review upon request and will be submitted to OCD within 60 days of closure of the below-grade tank. Closure report will be filed on C-144 and incorporate the following:
 - Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation (See Report)
 - Re-vegetation application rates and seeding techniques (See Report)
 - Photo documentation of the site reclamation (Included as an attachment)
 - Confirmation Sampling Results (Included as an attachment)
 - Proof of closure notice (Included as an attachment)

Closure Documentation was not submitted within the 60 day requirement due to employee turnovers. ConocoPhillips has reviewed our internal processes and has updated them to ensure closure documentation is submitted with the 60 day time frame.

AES

Animas Environmental Services, LLC

August 18, 2014

Crystal Tafoya ConocoPhillips San Juan Business Unit Office 214-05 5525 Hwy 64 Farmington, New Mexico 87401 www.animasenvironmental.com

624 E. Comanche Farmington, NM 87401 505-564-2281

> Durango, Colorado 970-403-3084

Via electronic mail to: SJBUE-Team@ConocoPhillips.com

RF:

Below Grade Tank Closure Report

Kelly B #1R

San Juan County, New Mexico

Dear Ms. Tafoya:

Animas Environmental Services, LLC (AES) is pleased to provide the final report associated with the below grade tank (BGT) closure at ConocoPhillips (CoP) San Juan Kelly B #1R, located in San Juan County, New Mexico. Tank removal had been completed by CoP contractors prior to AES' arrival at the location.

1.0 Site Information

1.1 Location

Site Name – Kelly B #1R
Legal Description – SE¼ NE¼, Section 8, T30N, R10W, San Juan County, New Mexico
Well Latitude/Longitude – N36.82857 and W107.90170, respectively
BGT Latitude/Longitude – N36.82880 and W107.90193, respectively
Land Jurisdiction – Private
Figure 1. Topographic Site Location Map
Figure 2. Aerial Site Map, June 2014

1.2 NMOCD Ranking

In accordance with the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) *Guidelines for Remediation of Leaks, Spills, and Releases* (August 1993), the location was given a ranking score of 30 based on the following factors:

- Depth to Groundwater: A cathodic protection report form dated February 1992 reported the depth to groundwater as 80 feet below ground surface (bgs). (10 points)
- Wellhead Protection Area: Domestic water wells SJ 01102 and SJ 02808 are located 500 feet east-southeast and 705 feet southwest of the tank location, respectively. (20 points)
- Distance to Surface Water Body: The wash in the North Fork of Knowlton Canyon is located approximately 1,450 feet east of the location. (0 points)

1.3 BGT Closure Assessment

AES was initially contacted by Steve Welch, CoP representative, on June 2, 2014, and on June 3, 2014, Emilee Skyles and Corwin Lameman of AES mobilized to the location. AES personnel collected six soil samples from below the BGT liner. Four samples were collected from the perimeter of the BGT footprint, one sample was collected from the center of the BGT footprint, and one sample was composited from the four perimeter samples and one center sample.

2.0 Soil Sampling

On June 3, 2014, AES personnel collected five soil samples (S-1 through S-5) and one 5-point composite (SC-1) from below the BGT. Soil samples were collected from approximately 0.5 feet below the former BGT for field screening of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and analysis of total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH). Soil sample SC-1 was field screened for VOCs and chloride and was submitted for confirmation laboratory analysis. Soil sample locations are included on Figure 2.

2.1 Field Sampling

2.1.1 Volatile Organic Compounds

A portion of each sample was utilized for field screening of VOC vapors with a photo-ionization detector (PID) organic vapor meter (OVM). Before beginning field screening, the PID-OVM was first calibrated with 100 parts per million (ppm) isobutylene gas.

2.1.2 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons

Soil samples were also analyzed in the field for TPH per U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method 418.1 using a Buck Scientific Model HC-404 Total Hydrocarbon Analyzer Infrared Spectrometer (Buck). A 3-point calibration was completed prior to conducting soil analyses. Field analytical protocol followed AES's Standard Operating Procedure: Field Analysis Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons per EPA Method 418.1.

2.1.3 Chlorides

Soil sample SC-1 was field screened for chlorides using Chloride Drop Count Titration with silver nitrate. Sampling and analysis methods followed procedures provided by Hach Company.

2.2 Laboratory Analyses

The composite soil sample SC-1 collected for laboratory analysis was placed into a new, clean, laboratory-supplied container, which was then labeled, placed on ice, and logged onto a sample chain of custody record. The sample was maintained on ice until delivery to the analytical laboratory, Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory (Hall), in Albuquerque, New Mexico. Soil sample SC-1 was laboratory analyzed for:

- Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene (BTEX) per USEPA Method 8021B;
- TPH for gasoline range organics (GRO) and diesel range organics (DRO) per USEPA Method 8015D; and
- Chloride per USEPA Method 300.0.

2.3 Field and Laboratory Analytical Results

Field screening readings for VOCs via OVM ranged from 0.1 ppm in S-2 up to 0.3 ppm in S-3 and S-5. Field TPH concentrations ranged from less than 20.0 mg/kg in S-1, S-2, and S-5 up to 22.7 mg/kg in S-3. The field chloride concentration in SC-1 was 60 mg/kg. Field sampling results are summarized in Table 1 and presented on Figure 2. The AES Field Sampling Report is attached.

Table 1. Soil Field Sampling VOCs, TPH, and Chloride Results
Kelly B #1R BGT Closure, June 2014

Sample ID	Date Sampled	Depth below BGT (ft)	VOCs OVM Reading (ppm)	TPH 418.1 (mg/kg)	Field Chlorides (mg/kg)
NMOCD Action	Level (NMAC 19.	.15.17.13E)	aces	100	250
S-1	6/3/14	0.5	0.2	<20.0	NA
S-2	6/3/14	0.5	0.1	<20.0	NA
S-3	6/3/14	0.5	0.3	22.7	NA
S-4	6/3/14	0.5	0.2	20.1	NA
S-5	6/3/14	0.5	0.3	<20.0	NA
SC-1	6/3/14	0.5	0.2	NA	60

NA - not analyzed

Laboratory analytical results reported benzene and total BTEX concentrations in SC-1 as less than 0.038 mg/kg and 0.19 mg/kg, respectively. TPH concentrations as GRO and DRO were reported at less than 3.8 mg/kg and 9.9 mg/kg, respectively. The laboratory chloride concentration was reported below the laboratory detection limit of 30 mg/kg. Laboratory analytical results are summarized in Table 2 and included on Figure 2. The laboratory analytical report is attached.

Table 2. Soil Laboratory Analytical Results

Sample ID	Date Sampled	Depth (ft)	Benzene (mg/kg)	Total BTEX (mg/kg)	TPH- GRO (mg/kg)	TPH- DRO (mg/kg)	Chlorides (mg/kg)
	NMOCD Ad (NMAC 19.1		0.2	50	1	00	250
SC-1	6/3/14	0.5	<0.038	<0.19	<3.8	<9.9	<30

NA - not analyzed

3.0 Conclusions and Recommendations

NMOCD action levels for BGT closures are specified in New Mexico Administrative Code (NMAC) 19.15.17.13E. Field TPH concentrations were below the NMOCD action level of 100 mg/kg, with the highest concentration reported in S-3 with 22.7 mg/kg. Benzene and total BTEX concentrations in SC-1 were below the NMOCD action levels of 0.2 mg/kg and 50 mg/kg, respectively. Chloride concentrations in SC-1 were below the NMOCD action level of 250 mg/kg. Based on field and laboratory analytical results for benzene, total BTEX, TPH, and chlorides, no further work is recommended at Kelly B #1R.

If you have any questions about this report or site conditions, please do not hesitate to contact Emilee Skyles at (505) 564-2281.

Sincerely,

David J. Reese

Environmental Scientist

Dail g Reve

Crystal Tafoya Kelly B #1R BGT Closure Report August 18, 2014 Page 5 of 5


Elizabeth V MiNelly


Elizabeth McNally, P.E.

Attachments:

Figure 1. Topographic Site Location Map Figure 2. Aerial Site Map, June 2014 AES Field Sampling Report 060314 Hall Analytical Report 1406151

C:\Users\emcnally.AES\Dropbox (Animas Environmental)\0000 Animas Server Dropbox EM\2014 Projects\ConocoPhillips\Kelly B #1R\Kelly B #1R BGT Closure Report 081814.docx





AES Field Sampling Report

Project Location: Kelly B #1R Date: 6/3/2014

Client: ConocoPhillips

624 E. Comanche Farmington, NM 87401 505-564-2281

Durango, Colorado 970-403-3084

Animas Environmental Services, LLC

www.animasenvironmental.com

Matrix: Soil

		3000			Field	TPH				ТРН
		in the co	olumes	200	Chloride	Analysis	TPH*	TPH PQL		Analysts
	Collection	Sample	Sample	(maa)	(mø/kg)	Time	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	DF	Initials
Sample ID	Date	Collection	Location	(11144)	10, 10,		5		7	ENAC
,	1100/0/2	8.18	North	0.2	NA	00:6	15.0	20.0	-	EIVIS
T-5	4T07/c/0	07.0						TO PERSONAL STANDARD	,	L
Ć	1100/0/1	0.10	South	0.1	AZ	9:03	17.6	20.0	1	EMIS
2-5	D/ 2/ 7014	0.13							,	L
(7,00/0/	00:00	Fact	0.3	AZ.	9:02	22.7	20.0	1	EIMIS
5-3	b/2/7014	07.0	Last							
	1,00,00	10.0	Wort	0.2	N N	9:07	20.1	20.0	1	EIVIS
5-4	b/2/5014	17.0	36744							CVAL
L	1100/0/3	8.33	Center	0.3	NA	60:6	17.6	20.0	-	EINIS
ر- ر-ک	9/2/20T4	77.0								
()	1,100,11	20.0	Composite	0.2	09		Not	Not Analyzed for TPH	Н	
5	6/3/70T4	67.0	COLLINGT	!						

Field Chloride - Quantab Chloride Titrators or Drop Count Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons - USEPA 418.1 Sinh Shi Titration with Silver Nitrate

Analyst:

*TPH concentrations recorded may be below PQL. PQL

Practical Quantitation Limit

Not Detected at the Reporting Limit Dilution Factor Not Analyzed ND ND M

Report Finalized: 6/3/14



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

June 06, 2014

Debbie Watson
Animas Environmental
624 East Comanche
Farmington, NM 87401
TEL: (505) 486-4071

FAX

RE: KELLY B #1R

OrderNo.: 1406151

Dear Debbie Watson:

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory received 1 sample(s) on 6/4/2014 for the analyses presented in the following report.

These were analyzed according to EPA procedures or equivalent. To access our accredited tests please go to www.hallenvironmental.com or the state specific web sites. In order to properly interpret your results it is imperative that you review this report in its entirety. See the sample checklist and/or the Chain of Custody for information regarding the sample receipt temperature and preservation. Data qualifiers or a narrative will be provided if the sample analysis or analytical quality control parameters require a flag. When necessary, data qualifers are provided on both the sample analysis report and the QC summary report, both sections should be reviewed. All samples are reported, as received, unless otherwise indicated. Lab measurement of analytes considered field parameters that require analysis within 15 minutes of sampling such as pH and residual chlorine are qualified as being analyzed outside of the recommended holding time.

Please don't hesitate to contact HEAL for any additional information or clarifications.

ADHS Cert #AZ0682 -- NMED-DWB Cert #NM9425 -- NMED-Micro Cert #NM0190

Sincerely,

Andy Freeman

Laboratory Manager

andyl

4901 Hawkins NE

Albuquerque, NM 87109

Analytical Report Lab Order 1406151

Date Reported: 6/6/2014

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Animas Environmental

KELLY B #1R

Client Sample ID: SC-1

Collection Date: 6/3/2014 8:25:00 AM

Lab ID: 1406151-001

Project:

Matrix: MEOH (SOIL)

Received Date: 6/4/2014 10:00:00 AM

Analyses	Result	RL Qu	al Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 8015D: DIESEL RANGE	ORGANICS				Analyst	BCN
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	9.9	mg/Kg	1	6/4/2014 11:20:03 AM	13510
Surr: DNOP	99.1	57.9-140	%REC	1	6/4/2014 11:20:03 AM	13510
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RAI	NGE				Analyst	: NSB
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	3.8	mg/Kg	1	6/4/2014 11:05:45 AM	R19040
Surr: BFB	84.8	80-120	%REC	1	6/4/2014 11:05:45 AM	R19040
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analys	: NSB
Benzene	ND	0.038	mg/Kg	1	6/4/2014 11:05:45 AM	R19040
Toluene	ND	0.038	mg/Kg	1	6/4/2014 11:05:45 AM	R19040
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.038	mg/Kg	1	6/4/2014 11:05:45 AM	R19040
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.076	mg/Kg	1	6/4/2014 11:05:45 AM	R19040
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	102	80-120	%REC	1	6/4/2014 11:05:45 AM	R19040
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analys	t: JRR
Chloride	ND	30	mg/Kg	20	6/4/2014 11:44:39 AM	13512

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit Page 1 of 5
- P Sample pH greater than 2.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

RPDLimit

1406151

06-Jun-14

Client:

Animas Environmental

Project:

KELLY B #1R

Sample ID MB-13512

SampType: MBLK

TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

PBS Client ID:

Batch ID: 13512

RunNo: 19055

Prep Date: 6/4/2014 Analysis Date: 6/4/2014

SeqNo: 550654

Units: mg/Kg

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit

Analyte Chloride

Result PQL 1.5 ND

TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

HighLimit

Sample ID LCS-13512

SampType: LCS Batch ID: 13512

RunNo: 19055

Client ID: LCSS

Prep Date: 6/4/2014

Analysis Date: 6/4/2014

SeqNo: 550655

Units: mg/Kg

Analyte

PQL Result

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit 94.9

%RPD HighLimit

RPDLimit

Qual

Qual

1.5 14

110

Chloride

15.00

0

90

%RPD

Qualifiers:

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

Value above quantitation range Ε

Analyte detected below quantitation limits

RSD is greater than RSDlimit 0

RPD outside accepted recovery limits R

Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded Η

Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

Sample pH greater than 2. P

Reporting Detection Limit

Page 2 of 5

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1406151

06-Jun-14

Client:

Animas Environmental

Project

KELLY B #1R

Project: KELLY	B #IK									
Sample ID MB-13510	SampTy	pe: MB	LK	Test	Code: EF	A Method	8015D: Diese	I Range C	rganics	
Client ID: PBS	Batch	ID: 135	10	R	unNo: 19	9030				
Prep Date: 6/4/2014	Analysis Da	te: 6/4	1/2014	S	eqNo: 5	50025	Units: mg/K	g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	10			04.0	57.9	140			
Surr: DNOP	8.2		10.00		81.9					
Sample ID LCS-13510	SampTy	/pe: LC	S	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8015D: Diese	el Range (Organics	
Client ID: LCSS	Batch	ID: 13	510	F	RunNo: 1	9030				
Prep Date: 6/4/2014	Analysis Da	ate: 6/	4/2014	5	SeqNo: 5	50027	Units: mg/k	(g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	47	10	50.00	0	94.7	60.8	145			
Surr: DNOP	4.5		5.000		90.5	57.9	140			

Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 3 of 5

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

1000

WO#:

120

80

100

1406151

06-Jun-14

Client:

Animas Environmental

Project:

Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)

Surr: BFB

KELLY B #1R

roject: Keller	D IIII														
Sample ID MB-13489 MK	SampTy	ype: MB	BLK	TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range											
Client ID: PBS	Batch	ID: R1	9040	R											
Prep Date:	Analysis D	ate: 6/-	4/2014	S	SeqNo: 5	50213	Units: mg/Kg								
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual					
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) Surr: BFB	ND 870	5.0	1000		86.6	80	120								
Sample ID LCS-13489 MK	SampT	ype: LC	S	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8015D: Gaso	line Rang	e						
Client ID: LCSS	Batch	ID: R1	19040	F	RunNo: 1	9040									
Prep Date:	Analysis D	ate: 6	/4/2014		SeqNo: 5	50214	Units: mg/k	(g							
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC		HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual					
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	26	5.0	25.00	0	103	71.7	134								

1000

Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- Value above quantitation range E
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- RSD is greater than RSDlimit 0
- RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank В
- Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded Н
- Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2.
- Reporting Detection Limit RL

Page 4 of 5

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1406151

06-Jun-14

Client:

Animas Environmental

Project:

KELLY B #1R

Sample ID MB-13489 MK	SampT	ype: ME	BLK	TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles										
Client ID: PBS	Batch	ID: R1	9040	R	RunNo: 19	9040								
Prep Date:	Analysis Date: 6/4/2014			S	SeqNo: 5	50232	Units: mg/Kg							
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual				
Benzene	ND	0.050												
Toluene	ND	0.050												
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.050												
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.10				00	400							
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.1		1.000		106	80	120							

Sample ID LCS-13489 MK	SampT	ype: LC	s	Test	Code: EF	A Method	8021B: Volat	iles					
Client ID: LCSS	Batch	ID: R1	9040	R	RunNo: 19040								
Prep Date:	Analysis D	ate: 6/-	4/2014	5	SeqNo: 5	50233	Units: mg/Kg						
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual			
	1.2	0.050	1.000	0	118	80	120						
Benzene	1.1	0.050	1.000	0	108	80	120						
Toluene	1.1	0.050	1.000	0	106	80	120						
Ethylbenzene	3.1	0.10	3.000		104	80	120						
Xylenes, Total Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.2	5.10	1.000		116	80	120						

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 5 of 5



LIGHT ENVERONMENTAL PRIMAPSIS ERRORMONY

4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87105

TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

Sample Log-In Check List

Work Order Number: 1406151 RcptNo: 1 Client Name: Animas Environmental Received by/date: 6/4/2014 10:00:00 AM Lindsay Mangin Logged By: 6/4/2014 10:11:39 AM Lindsay Mangin Completed By: AT 06/04/14 Reviewed By: Chain of Custody No Not Present Yes 1. Custody seals intact on sample bottles? Not Present No 🗌 Yes V 2. Is Chain of Custody complete? Courier 3. How was the sample delivered? Log In NA 🗌 No 🗆 Yes V 4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? NA [] No [Yes 🗸 Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C No 🗆 Yes 🗸 6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? No 🗆 Yes V 7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? No Yes V 8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? NA 🗆 No V Yes 🗌 9. Was preservative added to bottles? No VOA Vials Yes No 10.VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes No V 11. Were any sample containers received broken? # of preserved bottles checked No 🗌 for pH: Yes V 12. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (<2 or >12 unless noted) (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) Adjusted? No 🗆 Yes V 13. Are matrices correctly Identified on Chain of Custody? Yes 🗸 Mn 14. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Checked by: No 🗌 Yes V 15. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Special Handling (if applicable) NA V Yes No 16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Date: Person Notified: eMail Phone Fax In Person By Whom: Regarding: Client Instructions: 17. Additional remarks: 18. Cooler Information Temp C Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date

ITAL	antal.com						(N	IU /	() SE	ulddu 8 yi A			+			1					A Insport.
HALL ENVIRONMENT	5	60				521	, UI	Ily	כו	300.0	X	+	+	+	1	+	1				S. UPUR. CARLOS REY ISER. LARLOS REY USER. LARLOS REY OCORRO BY: STENE WELL!
$\frac{2}{3}$	בֿ בֿ	4901 Hawkins NE - Albuquerque, NM 87109	107		115			_		162) 0728		1	1	1	1	1			\Box		NOCO PWILLDS SUPER: CARLOS INSER: FEMARCH OROUND BY: STENE
O S	www.hallenvironmental.com	Ž.	505-345-4107 Pogmost	100			187.	-,	(AC	8260B (V											Philips E. Carlo Ferale N. Stew vrotated on tree
꾭.	lents	rdne	05-3	reduesi	S,	S bCB	808	/ SE	bioi	1808 Pest						T					A SOLOR
> !	Www.hallenvironme	enbr	Fax		†OS	2,PO4,5	ON'	103	CI'V	귀) snoinA						115				1	S upce S upce is set.
П }	le IV	Albi		Analysis	ori e i i Se juli			S	leta	N 8 AROR											1 8 0 2 8 4 W
1	v.hal	<u> </u>	375	4		(SMIS	072	11.8	10 0	88) e'HA9		==									
\$	Z	lins N	15-3		98 V) T	Ta -	(1.1	2 09	pou	EDB (Meti											P 0 1
- ·		awk	15-3		7			100		theM) H9T			A	0							12 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
		7 10	Tel. 505-345-3975							3108 H9T	\times				U.O.						Remarks: 731
		49	F		- 1111			_		BTEX + M						_					No: 10 -
					(1	208) e ⁴	TWE TWE	+-	湖	M + X3T8	X							leer Lee			A A A
Cante Nov	Rush OHMY UNI	サノイ				N	S			We TEANO.	100-										The Libe les 43/4 /645/W0:10359650 Time Arch: 3 CU 04/4 /60 Arc. 606: Time Archive sub-contracted data
5		2			ger:	Matson	Styles]z/6Yes	mperature	Preservative Type	CONTROOM										3
	☐ Standard Project Name:	7 1	Project #:		Project Manager:	A	Sampler: 6	Ju (cer	Sample Tem	Container Type and #	1-4 02 MEDW										Received by:
Chain-or-Custody Recold	ANIMA ENVIRONMENTAL GRENCES	Mailing Address: 624 Compacke	FARMINGTON, IV M 87401	1872-499-505		□ Level 4 (Full Validation)				Sample Request ID	SC-1										Time: Relinquished by: 11/2 Whather Wolfers Received by Receive
01-CU	TANK PON	1479	27 2	下564				□ Other		Matrix	20%										Relinquished by:
-uain-	NIMA	Address:	NINGTO	# 88°	r Fax#:	OA/OC Package:	tation	AP	(Type)	Time	8:25										Time:
) liont:	Client	Mailing	72	Phone #:	email or Fax#:	QA/QC Packa	Accreditation	O NELAP	□ EDD (Type)	Date	41/5/9										93/14 03/14

<u>District I</u> 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 District III

1301 W. Grand Avenue, Artesia, NM 88210

District III 1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410 District IV 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

Revised October 10, 2003

Form C-141

Submit 2 Copies to appropriate District Office in accordance with Rule 116 on back side of form

Release Notification and Corrective Action

	OPERATOR	☐ Initial Report ☐ Final Repo										
Name of Company Burlington Resources	Contact Kenny Davis											
Address 3401 East 30 th St, Farmington, NM	Telephone No.(505) 599-4045											
Facility Name: Kelly B 1R	Facility Type: Gas Well											
Surface Owner Federal Mineral Owner	Federal	Lease No	s. SF-0777	54A								
LOCATIO	ON OF RELEASE											
			County									
H 8 30N 10W 1760 Nort	h 1165 East		San Juan									
Latitude <u>36.82846000</u> Longitude <u>-107.90113000</u>												
NATURE OF RELEASE												
Type of Release BGT Closure Summary	Volume of Release N/A	_	covered N/.		NT / A							
Source of Release: NONE Was Immediate Notice Given?	Date and Hour of Occurrence N/A If YES, To Whom?	Date and H	lour of Disc	overy I	N/A							
Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Required												
By Whom? N/A	Date and Hour N/A											
Was a Watercourse Reached? N/A ☐ Yes ☒ No	If YES, Volume Impacting the Wa	atercourse.										
	N/A											
If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.* N/A												
N/A	Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* N/A											
Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* BGT Closure: NO RELEASE FOUND UPON REMOVAL												
I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remedi or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.	notifications and perform corrective a the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" ate contamination that pose a threat to does not relieve the operator of respon	actions for release does not relied ground water, nsibility for con	ases which in eve the opera surface wat mpliance w	may en ator of ter, hur ith any	danger liability nan health							
Signature:	OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION Approved by District Supervisor:											
Printed Name: Kenny Davis	***											
Title: Staff Regulatory Technician	Approval Date:	Approval Date: Expiration Date										
E-mail Address: Kenny.r.davis@conocophillips.com	Conditions of Approval:		Attached									
Date: 12/8/14 Phone: (505) 599-4045 * Attach Additional Sheets If Necessary												



