

District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico
Energy Minerals and Natural Resources
Department
Oil Conservation Division
1220 South St. Francis Dr.
Santa Fe, NM 87505

Form C-144
Revised June 6, 2013

For temporary pits, below-grade tanks, and multi-well fluid management pits, submit to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.
For permanent pits submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

Pit, Below-Grade Tank, or
Proposed Alternative Method Permit or Closure Plan Application

RECEIVED
By kcollins at 8:34 am, Apr 05, 2016

14682

Type of action: ☐ Below grade tank registration
☐ Permit of a pit or proposed alternative method
☒ Closure of a pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method
☐ Modification to an existing permit/or registration
☐ Closure plan only submitted for an existing permitted or non-permitted pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method

Instructions: Please submit one application (Form C-144) per individual pit, below-grade tank or alternative request

Please be advised that approval of this request does not relieve the operator of liability should operations result in pollution of surface water, ground water or the environment. Nor does approval relieve the operator of its responsibility to comply with any other applicable governmental authority's rules, regulations or ordinances.

1.
Operator: Burlington Resources Oil & Gas Company, LP OGRID #: 14538
Address: PO BOX 4289, Farmington, NM 87499
Facility or well name: HUERFANO UNIT 182
API Number: 30-045-20309 OCD Permit Number: _____
U/L or Qtr/Qtr D (NWNW) Section 28 Township 26N Range 9W County: San Juan
Center of Proposed Design: Latitude 36.46374167 °N Longitude -107.8000279 °W NAD: ☐ 1927 ☒ 1983
Surface Owner: ☒ Federal ☐ State ☐ Private ☐ Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment

Constituents Exceed Standards outline
by 19.15.17.13 NMAC. Please submit a
separate C-141 under 19.15.29 NMAC

BGT
CLOSED
PRIOR TO
CLOSURE
PLAN

2.
☐ **Pit:** Subsection F, G or J of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
Temporary: ☐ Drilling ☐ Workover
☐ Permanent ☐ Emergency ☐ Cavitation ☐ P&A ☐ Multi-Well Fluid Management Low Chloride Drilling Fluid ☐ yes ☐ no
☐ Lined ☐ Unlined Liner type: Thickness _____ mil ☐ LLDPE ☐ HDPE ☐ PVC ☐ Other _____
☐ String-Reinforced
Liner Seams: ☐ Welded ☐ Factory ☐ Other _____ Volume: _____ bbl Dimensions: L _____ x W _____ x D _____

3.
☒ **Below-grade tank:** Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
Volume: MAX 120 bbl Type of fluid: Produced Water
Tank Construction material: Metal
☐ Secondary containment with leak detection ☐ Visible sidewalls, liner, 6-inch lift and automatic overflow shut-off
☒ Visible sidewalls and liner ☐ Visible sidewalls only ☐ Other _____
Liner type: Thickness _____ mil ☐ HDPE ☐ PVC ☒ Other UNSPECIFIED

4.
☐ **Alternative Method:**
Submittal of an exception request is required. Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

5.
Fencing: Subsection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits, temporary pits, and below-grade tanks)
☐ Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top (Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution or church)
☐ Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet
☐ Alternate. Please specify _____

216

6.

Netting: Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (*Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks*)

- ☐ Screen ☐ Netting ☐ Other _____
- ☐ Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)

7.

Signs: Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC

- ☐ 12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers
- ☐ Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC

8.

Variances and Exceptions:

Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance.

Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank:

- ☐ Variance(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district for consideration of approval.
- ☐ Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

9.

Siting Criteria (regarding permitting): 19.15.17.10 NMAC

Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of acceptable source material are provided below. Siting criteria does not apply to drying pads or above-grade tanks.

General siting

Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of a low chloride temporary pit or below-grade tank.

- ☐ NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; ☐ USGS; ☐ Data obtained from nearby wells

☐ Yes ☐ No
☒ NA

Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of a Temporary pit, permanent pit, or Multi-Well Fluid Management pit.

NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells

☐ Yes ☐ No
☒ NA

Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. (**Does not apply to below grade tanks**)

- Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. (**Does not apply to below grade tanks**)

- Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within an unstable area. (**Does not apply to below grade tanks**)

- Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within a 100-year floodplain. (**Does not apply to below grade tanks**)

- FEMA map

☐ Yes ☐ No

Below Grade Tanks

Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, significant watercourse, lake bed, sinkhole, wetland or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).

- Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

☐ Yes ☒ No

Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for public or livestock consumption;

- NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

☐ Yes ☒ No

Temporary Pit using Low Chloride Drilling Fluid (maximum chloride content 15,000 mg/liter)

Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). (Applies to low chloride temporary pits.)

- Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within 300 feet from a occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.

- Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 300feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of the initial application.

NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within 100 feet of a wetland.

- US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

☐ Yes ☐ No

Temporary Pit Non-low chloride drilling fluid

Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse, or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).

- Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.

- Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in the existence at the time of the initial application;

- NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within 300 feet of a wetland.

- US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

☐ Yes ☐ No

Permanent Pit or Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit

Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).

- Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.

- Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application.

- NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within 500 feet of a wetland.

- US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

☐ Yes ☐ No

10.

Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC

Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.

- ☐ Hydrogeologic Report (Below-grade Tanks) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC
- ☐ Hydrogeologic Data (Temporary and Emergency Pits) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC
- ☐ Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC
- ☐ Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- ☐ Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC
- ☐ Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC

and 19.15.17.13 NMAC

☐ Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: _____ or Permit Number: _____

11.

Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC

Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.

- ☐ Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- ☐ Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC
- ☐ A List of wells with approved application for permit to drill associated with the pit.
- ☐ Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC

and 19.15.17.13 NMAC

- ☐ Hydrogeologic Data - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC
- ☐ Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC

☐ Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: _____ or Permit Number: _____

12.

Permanent Pits Permit Application Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC

Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.

- ☐ Hydrogeologic Report - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC
☐ Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC
☐ Climatological Factors Assessment
☐ Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
☐ Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
☐ Leak Detection Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
☐ Liner Specifications and Compatibility Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
☐ Quality Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installation Plan
☐ Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC
☐ Freeboard and Overtopping Prevention Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
☐ Nuisance or Hazardous Odors, including H₂S, Prevention Plan
☐ Emergency Response Plan
☐ Oil Field Waste Stream Characterization
☐ Monitoring and Inspection Plan
☐ Erosion Control Plan
☐ Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC

13.

Proposed Closure: 19.15.17.13 NMAC

Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan.

- Type: ☐ Drilling ☐ Workover ☐ Emergency ☐ Cavitation ☐ P&A ☐ Permanent Pit ☐ Below-grade Tank ☐ Multi-well Fluid Management Pit
☐ Alternative
- Proposed Closure Method: ☒ Waste Excavation and Removal
☐ Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only)
☐ On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems)
☐ In-place Burial ☐ On-site Trench Burial
☐ Alternative Closure Method

14.

Waste Excavation and Removal Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) **Instructions:** Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.

- ☒ Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
☒ Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
☒ Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings)
☒ Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
☒ Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
☒ Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC

15.

Siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only): 19.15.17.10 NMAC

Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendations of acceptable source material are provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria require justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency. Please refer to 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance.

Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Ground water is between 25-50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Within 300 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Within 300 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance	

adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Within an unstable area. - Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Within a 100-year floodplain. - FEMA map	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

16.
On-Site Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) *Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.*

<input type="checkbox"/> Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC
<input type="checkbox"/> Proof of Surface Owner Notice - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
<input type="checkbox"/> Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection K of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
<input type="checkbox"/> Construction/Design Plan of Temporary Pit (for in-place burial of a drying pad) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
<input type="checkbox"/> Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
<input type="checkbox"/> Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
<input type="checkbox"/> Waste Material Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
<input type="checkbox"/> Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings or in case on-site closure standards cannot be achieved)
<input type="checkbox"/> Soil Cover Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
<input type="checkbox"/> Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
<input type="checkbox"/> Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC

17.
Operator Application Certification:
 I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Name (Print): _____ Title: _____

Signature: _____ Date: _____

e-mail address: _____ Telephone: _____

18.
OCD Approval: ☐ Permit Application (including closure plan) ☒ Closure ~~Plan (only)~~ ☒ OCD Conditions (see attachment) **See Front Page**

OCD Representative Signature: Jonath D. Kelly Approval Date: 7/12/2016

Title: Compliance Officer OCD Permit Number: _____

19.
Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion): 19.15.17.13 NMAC
Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved closure plan prior to implementing any closure activities and submitting the closure report. The closure report is required to be submitted to the division within 60 days of the completion of the closure activities. Please do not complete this section of the form until an approved closure plan has been obtained and the closure activities have been completed.

☒ Closure Completion Date: 1/17/2011

20.
Closure Method:
☒ Waste Excavation and Removal ☐ On-Site Closure Method ☐ Alternative Closure Method ☐ Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only)
☐ If different from approved plan, please explain.

21.
Closure Report Attachment Checklist: *Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure report. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.*

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Proof of Closure Notice (surface owner and division)
<input type="checkbox"/> Proof of Deed Notice (required for on-site closure for private land only)
<input type="checkbox"/> Plot Plan (for on-site closures and temporary pits)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Confirmation Sampling Analytical Results (if applicable)
<input type="checkbox"/> Waste Material Sampling Analytical Results (required for on-site closure)
<input type="checkbox"/> Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Re-vegetation Application Rates and Seeding Technique
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Site Reclamation (Photo Documentation)

On-site Closure Location: Latitude °N Longitude °W NAD: ☐ 1927 ☐ 1983

Operator Closure Certification:

I hereby certify that the information and attachments submitted with this closure report is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I also certify that the closure complies with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.

Name (Print) Crystal Walker Title: Regulatory Coordinator

Signature:  Date: 4/1/16

e-mail address: crystal.walker@cop.com Telephone: (505) 326-9837

Burlington Resources Oil & Gas Company
San Juan Basin: New Mexico Assets
Below Grade Tank Closure Report

Lease Name: Huerfano Unit 182
API No.: 30-045-20309

In accordance with Rule 19.15.17.13 NMAC, the following information describes the closure of the below-grade tank referenced above. All proper documentation regarding closure activities is being included with the C-144.

General Plan Requirements:

1. Prior to initiating any BGT closure, except in the case of an emergency, BR will notify the surface owner of the intent to close the BGT by certified mail no later than 72 hours or one week before closure and a copy of this notification will be included in the closure report. In the case of an emergency, the surface owner will be notified as soon as practical.

The surface owner notification was not found.

2. Notice of closure will be given to the District Division office between 72 hours and one week of the scheduled closure via email or phone. The notification of closure will include the following:
 - a. Operators Name
 - b. Well Name and API Number
 - c. Location

Notification was not found.

3. All liquids will be removed from the BGT following cessation of operation. Produced water will be disposed of at one of COP's approved Salt Water Disposal facilities or at a District Division approved facility.

All recovered liquids were disposed of at an approved SWD facility or an approved District Division facility within 60 days of cessation of operation.

4. Solids and sludge's will be shoveled and/or vacuumed out for disposal at one of the District Division approved facilities, depending on the proximity of the BGT site: Envirotech Land Farm (Permit #NM-01-011), JFJ Land Farm % Industrial Ecosystems Inc. (Permit #NM-01-0010B), and Basin Disposal (Permit #NM-01-005).

Any sludge or soil required to be removed to facilitate closure was transported to Envirotech Land Farm (Permit # NM-01-011) and/or JFJ Landfarm % IEI (Permit# NM-01-0010B).

5. BR will obtain prior approval from District Division to dispose, recycle, reuse, or reclaim the BGT and provide documentation of the disposition of the BGT in the closure report. Steel materials will be recycled or reused as approved by the District Division. Fiberglass tanks will be empty, cut up or shredded, and EPA cleaned for disposal as solid waste. Liner materials will be cleaned without soils or contaminated material for disposal as solid waste. Fiberglass tanks and liner materials will meet the conditions of 19.15.35 NMAC. Disposal

will be at a licensed disposal facility, presently San Juan County Landfill operated by Waste Management under NMED Permit SWM-052426.

The below-grade tank was disposed of in a division-approved manner. The liner was cleaned per 19.15.35.8.C(1)(m) NMAC and disposed of at the San Juan County Regional Landfill located on CR 3100.

6. Any equipment associated with the BGT that is no longer required for some other purpose, following the closure, will be removed.

All on-site equipment associated with the below-grade tank was removed.

7. Following removal of the tank and any liner material, BR will test the soils beneath the BGT as follows:
 - a. At a minimum, a five-point composite sample will be taken to include any obvious stained or wet soils or any other evidence of contamination.
 - b. The laboratory sample shall be analyzed for the constituents listed in Table I of 19.15.17.13.

A five point composite sample was taken of the below-grade tank using sampling tools and all samples tested per Table I of 19.15.17.13 and the results are attached.

8. If the District Division and/or BR determine there is a release, BR will comply with 19.15.17.13.C.3b.

A release was not determined for the above referenced well.

9. Upon completion of the tank removal, pursuant to 19.15.17.13.C.3c, if all contaminant concentrations are less than or equal to the parameters listed in Table I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, the excavation will be backfilled with non-waste earthen material compacted and covered with a minimum of one foot top soil or background thickness whichever is greater and to existing grade. The surface will be re-contoured to match the native grade and to prevent ponding.

The tank removal area passed all requirements of Table I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC and was backfilled with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material which included at least one foot of suitable material to establish vegetation at the site.

10. For those portions of the former BGT area no longer required for production activities, BR will seed the disturbed area the first favorable growing season after the BGT is covered. Seeding will be accomplished via drilling on the contour whenever practical, or by other District Division-approved methods. BR will notify the District Division when reclamation and re-vegetation is complete.

Reclamation of the BGT shall be considered complete when:

- Vegetative cover reflects a life form ratio of +/- 50% of pre disturbance levels.
- Total percent plant cover of at least 70% of pre-disturbance levels (Excluding noxious weeds) OR
- Pursuant to 19.15.17.13.H.5d BR will comply with obligations imposed by other applicable federal or tribal agencies in which there re-vegetation and reclamation

requirements provide equal or better protection of fresh water, human health and the environment.

Provision 10 will be accomplished pursuant to 19.15.17.H.5d and notification will be submitted upon completion.

11. For those portions of the former BGT area required for production activities, reseeding will be done at well abandonment, and following the procedure noted above.

The former BGT area is not required for production activities and reseeding was completed on 4/19/12 per the procedure noted above.

Closure Report:

All closure activities will include proper documentation and will be submitted to OCD within 60 days of the BGT closure on a Closure Report using District Division Form C-144. The Report will include the following:

- Proof of Closure Notice (surface owner and District Division) **(Not Attached)**
- Backfilling & cover installation **(See Report)**
- Confirmation Sampling Analytical Results **(Attached)**
- Application Rate & Seeding techniques **(See Report)**
- Photo Documentation of Reclamation **(Attached)**

District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
1301 W. Grand Avenue, Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico
Energy Minerals and Natural Resources
Oil Conservation Division
1220 South St. Francis Dr.
Santa Fe, NM 87505

Form C-141
Revised October 10, 2003

Submit 2 Copies to appropriate
District Office in accordance
with Rule 116 on back
side of form

Release Notification and Corrective Action

OPERATOR

☐ Initial Report ☒ Final Report

Name of Company Burlington Resources, a Wholly Owned Subsidiary of ConocoPhillips Company	Contact Shelly Cook-Cowden
Address 3401 E. 30th St., Farmington, NM 87402	Telephone No. 505-324-5140
Facility Name Huerfano Unit #182	Facility Type Gas Well API# 3004520309

Surface Owner Federal	Mineral Owner Federal	Lease No. NMSF-078060A
------------------------------	------------------------------	-------------------------------

LOCATION OF RELEASE

Unit Letter D	Section 28	Township 026N	Range 009W	Feet from the 990'	North/South Line North	Feet from the 890'	East/West Line West	County San Juan County
-------------------------	----------------------	-------------------------	----------------------	------------------------------	----------------------------------	------------------------------	-------------------------------	----------------------------------

Latitude **36.46365 ° N** Longitude **107.79941 ° W**

NATURE OF RELEASE

Type of Release – Produced Water	Volume of Release - Unknown	Volume Recovered -
Source of Release – Below Grade Tank	Date and Hour of Occurrence – Unknown	Date and Hour of Discovery – 1/17/11
Was Immediate Notice Given? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Required	If YES, To Whom?	
By Whom?	Date and Hour -	
Was a Watercourse Reached? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse.	

If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.*

Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.*
Below Grade Tank Closure Activities.

Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.*

The below grade tank sample results were above the regulatory standard for TPH, confirming a release. A site assessment was then conducted and the closure standard was determined to be 100 ppm TPH. Since the sample results were below the regulatory standards set forth in the NMOCD Guidelines for Remediation of Leaks, Spills and Releases no further action is required.

I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to ground water, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.

Signature: <i>Shelly Cook-Cowden</i>		OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION	
Printed Name: Shelly Cook-Cowden		Approved by District Supervisor:	
Title: Environmental Technician	Approval Date:	Expiration Date:	
E-mail Address: Shelly.g.Cook-Cowden@ConocoPhillips.com	Conditions of Approval:		Attached <input type="checkbox"/>
Date: March 1, 2011	Phone: 505-324-5140		



February 3, 2011

Project Number 92115-1559

Ms. Kelsi Harrington
ConocoPhillips
3401 East 30th Street
Farmington, New Mexico 87401

Phone: (505) 599-3403

**RE: BELOW GRADE TANK CLOSURE DOCUMENTATION FOR THE HUERFANO #182 (hBr)
WELL SITE, SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO**

Dear Ms. Harrington:

Enclosed please find the field notes and analytical results for below grade tank (BGT) closure activities performed at the Huerfano #182 (hBr) well site located in Section 28, Township 26 North, Range 9 West, San Juan County, New Mexico. Upon Envirotech personnel's arrival on January 17, 2011 one (1) five (5)-point composite sample was collected from beneath the former BGT. The sample was analyzed in the field for TPH using USEPA Method 418.1, for organic vapors using a photoionization detector (PID) and for chlorides. The sample returned results above the BGT closure standard of 100 ppm for TPH confirming a release had occurred.

A brief site assessment was conducted and the regulatory standards were determined to be 1000 parts per million (ppm) total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH) and 100 ppm organic vapors due to horizontal distance to surface water being between 200 and 1000 feet, pursuant to New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) Guidelines for Remediation of Spills, Leaks, and Releases. The sample was placed into a four (4)-ounce glass jar, capped headspace free, and transported on ice, under chain of custody, to Envirotech's Analytical Laboratory to be analyzed for benzene and BTEX using USEPA Method 8021 and for total chlorides using USEPA Method 4500. The sample returned results below the regulatory standards for all constituents analyzed; see attached *Analytical Results*. Envirotech, Inc. recommends no further action in regards to this incident.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service. If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact our office at (505) 632-0615.

Respectfully Submitted,
ENVIROTECH, INC.


Crystal Delgai
Environmental Field Technician
cdelgai@envirotech-inc.com

Enclosures: Field Notes
Analytical Results

Cc: Client File 92115

PAGE NO: <u>1</u> OF <u>2</u>	ENVIROTECH INC ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTISTS & ENGINEERS 5796 U.S. HIGHWAY 64 - 3014 FARMINGTON, NEW MEXICO 87401 PHONE: (505) 632-0615	ENVIRONMENTAL SPECIALIST: <u>CD</u> LAT: <u>36.46374167</u> LONG: <u>-107.8000279</u>
DATE STARTED: <u>1-17-11</u>		
DATE FINISHED: <u>1-17-11</u>		

FIELD REPORT: BGT / PIT CLOSURE VERIFICATION

LOCATION: NAME: <u>Huerfano</u>	WELL #: <u>182</u>	TEMP PIT: <u> </u>	PERMANENT PIT: <u> </u>	BGT: <u>X</u>
LEGAL ADD: UNIT: <u> </u>	SEC: <u>28</u>	TWP: <u>26N</u>	RNG: <u>9W</u>	PM: <u> </u>
QTR/FOOTAGE: <u>890W 990N</u>	CNTY: <u>San Juan</u>	ST: <u>NM</u>		

EXCAVATION APPROX: <u>20</u> FT. X <u>20</u> FT. X <u>4</u> FT. DEEP	CUBIC YARDAGE: <u> </u>
DISPOSAL FACILITY: <u>NA</u>	REMEDICATION METHOD: <u>NA</u>
LAND OWNER: <u>BLM</u>	API: <u>3004520304</u>
CONSTRUCTION MATERIAL: <u>Steel</u>	BGT / PIT VOLUME: <u>170 barrel</u>
DOUBLE-WALLED, WITH LEAK DETECTION: <u> </u>	

LOCATION APPROXIMATELY: <u>100</u> FT. <u>250°</u> FROM WELLHEAD
DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER: <u>7100'</u>

TEMPORARY PIT - GROUNDWATER 50-100 FEET DEEP
BENZENE ≤ 0.2 mg/kg, BTEX ≤ 50 mg/kg, GRO & DRO FRACTION (8015) ≤ 500 mg/kg, TPH (418.1) ≤ 2500 mg/kg, CHLORIDES ≤ 500 mg/kg
TEMPORARY PIT - GROUNDWATER ≥ 100 FEET DEEP
BENZENE ≤ 0.2 mg/kg, BTEX ≤ 50 mg/kg, GRO & DRO FRACTION (8015) ≤ 500 mg/kg, TPH (418.1) ≤ 2500 mg/kg, CHLORIDES ≤ 1000 mg/kg
<u>X</u> PERMANENT PIT OR BGT
BENZENE ≤ 0.2 mg/kg, BTEX ≤ 50 mg/kg, TPH (418.1) ≤ 100 mg/kg, CHLORIDES ≤ 250 mg/kg


FIELD 418.1 ANALYSIS

TIME	SAMPLE I.D.	LAB NO.	WEIGHT (g)	mL FREON	DILUTION	READING	CALC. (mg/kg)
<u>7:50</u>	<u>200 STD</u>					<u>190</u>	
<u>10:20</u>	<u>5pt Bottom</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>92</u>	<u>368</u>
<u>10:20</u>	<u>BGT</u>	<u>2</u>					
		<u>3</u>					
		<u>4</u>					
		<u>5</u>					
		<u>6</u>					

PERIMETER


FIELD CHLORIDES RESULTS

PROFILE



SAMPLE ID	READING	CALC. (mg/kg)
<u>1</u>	<u>0.9</u>	<u>533</u>

PID RESULTS	
SAMPLE ID	RESULTS (mg/kg)
<u>1</u>	<u>1.3</u>



LAB SAMPLES


NOTES:

SAMPLE ID	ANALYSIS	RESULTS
	BENZENE	
	BTEX	
	GRO & DRO	
	CHLORIDES	

Sample to Lab for:
8021 & Cl⁻
surface water 200-1000'

WORKORDER #

WHO ORDERED

Client: <u>COPC</u>	 envirotech (505) 632-0615 (800) 362-1879 5788 U.S. Hwy 64, Farmington, NM 87401	Location No:
		C.O.C. No: <u>92115-1557</u>

FIELD REPORT: SPILL CLOSURE VERIFICATION

LOCATION: NAME: <u>Huertano</u> WELL #: <u>182</u>	PAGE NO: <u>2</u> OF <u>2</u>
QUAD/UNIT: _____ SEC: <u>28</u> TWP: <u>26N</u> RNG: <u>9W</u> PM: <u>NM</u> CNTY: <u>ST</u> ST: <u>NM</u>	DATE STARTED: <u>1-17-11</u>
QTR/FOOTAGE: _____ CONTRACTOR: _____	DATE FINISHED: <u>1-17-11</u>
	ENVIRONMENTAL SPECIALIST: <u>CD</u>

EXCAVATION APPROX: 20 FT. X 20 FT. X 4 FT. DEEP CUBIC YARDAGE: _____

DISPOSAL FACILITY: _____ REMEDIATION METHOD: _____

LAND USE: _____ LEASE: _____ LAND OWNER: _____

CAUSE OF RELEASE: _____ MATERIAL RELEASED: _____

SPILL LOCATED APPROXIMATELY: 100± FT. 250° FROM Wellhead


DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER: >100' NEAREST WATER SOURCE: _____ NEAREST SURFACE WATER: 200'-1000'

NMOCD RANKING SCORE: 10 NMOCD TPH CLOSURE STD: 1000 PPM

SOIL AND EXCAVATION DESCRIPTION:

SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	TIME	SAMPLE I.D.	LAB NO.	WEIGHT (g)	mL FREON	DILUTION	READING	CALC. ppm
<u>200 STD</u>	<u>7:56</u>	—	—	<u>5</u>	—	—	<u>190</u>	
<u>3pt BGT</u>	<u>10:20</u>	<u>1</u>		<u>5</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>92</u>	<u>368</u>

SPILL PERIMETER




OVM RESULTS

SAMPLE ID	FIELD HEADSPACE PID (ppm)
<u>1</u>	<u>1.3</u>

LAB SAMPLES

SAMPLE ID	ANALYSIS	TIME

SPILL PROFILE



TRAVEL NOTES: _____ CALLED OUT: _____ ONSITE: _____



EPA METHOD 418.1
TOTAL PETROLEUM
HYDROCARBONS

Client: ConocoPhillips
Sample No.: 1
Sample ID: BGT
Sample Matrix: Soil
Preservative: Cool
Condition: Cool and Intact

Project #: 92115-1559
Date Reported: 2/3/2011
Date Sampled: 1/17/2011
Date Analyzed: 1/17/2011
Analysis Needed: TPH-418.1

Parameter	Concentration (mg/kg)	Det. Limit (mg/kg)
-----------	--------------------------	--------------------------

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons	368	5.0
------------------------------	-----	-----

ND = Parameter not detected at the stated detection limit.

References: Method 418.1, Petroleum Hydrocarbons, Total Recoverable, Chemical Analysis of Water and Waste, USEPA Storet No. 4551, 1978.

Comments: **Huerfano #182 (hBr)**

Instrument calibrated to 200 ppm standard. Zeroed before each sample



Analyst

Crystal Delgai
Printed



Review

Toni McKnight, EIT
Printed



CONTINUOUS CALIBRATION
EPA METHOD 418.1
TOTAL PETROLEUM
HYDROCARBONS

Cal. Date: 17-Jan-11

Parameter	Standard Concentration mg/L	Concentration Reading mg/L
TPH	100	190
	200	
	500	
	1000	

The accepted percent relative deviation (%RSD) of the calibration factor is less than 20% over the working range.


Analyst

1/20/2011
Date

Crystal Delgai
Print Name


Review

1/20/2011
Date

Toni McKnight, EIT
Print Name



Field Chloride

Client:	ConocoPhillips	Project #:	92115-1559
Sample No.:	1	Date Reported:	2/3/2011
Sample ID:	BGT Sample	Date Sampled:	1/17/2011
Sample Matrix:	Soil	Date Analyzed:	1/17/2011
Preservative:	Cool	Analysis Needed:	Chloride
Condition:	Cool and Intact		

Parameter	Concentration (mg/kg)	Det. Limit (mg/kg)
Field Chloride	ND	33.0

ND = Parameter not detected at the stated detection limit.

References: "Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater", 18th ed., 1992
Hach Company Quantab Titrators for Chloride

Comments: **Huerfano #182 (hBr)**


Analyst

Crystal Delgai
Printed


Review

Toni McKnight, EIT
Printed

**EPA METHOD 8021
 AROMATIC VOLATILE ORGANICS**

Client:	ConocoPhillips	Project #:	92115-1559
Sample ID:	BGT	Date Reported:	01-18-11
Laboratory Number:	57015	Date Sampled:	01-17-11
Chain of Custody:	11020	Date Received:	01-17-11
Sample Matrix:	Soil	Date Analyzed:	01-18-11
Preservative:	Cool	Date Extracted:	01-17-11
Condition:	Intact	Analysis Requested:	BTEX
		Dilution:	10

Parameter	Concentration (ug/Kg)	Det. Limit (ug/Kg)
Benzene	ND	0.9
Toluene	ND	1.0
Ethylbenzene	ND	1.0
p,m-Xylene	ND	1.2
o-Xylene	ND	0.9
Total BTEX	ND	


ND - Parameter not detected at the stated detection limit.

Surrogate Recoveries:	Parameter	Percent Recovery
	Fluorobenzene	88.9 %
	1,4-difluorobenzene	93.6 %
	Bromochlorobenzene	83.3 %

References: Method 5030B, Purge-and-Trap, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, SW-846, USEPA, December 1996.

Method 8021B, Aromatic Volatile Organics, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, SW-846, USEPA, December 1996.

Comments: Huerfano #182


 Analyst


 Review

Client:	N/A	Project #:	N/A
Sample ID:	0118BBLK QA/QC	Date Reported:	01-18-11
Laboratory Number:	57015	Date Sampled:	N/A
Sample Matrix:	Soil	Date Received:	N/A
Preservative:	N/A	Date Analyzed:	01-18-11
Condition:	N/A	Analysis:	BTEX
		Dilution:	10

Calibration and Detection Limits (ug/L)	I-Cal RF:	C-Cal RF:	%Diff.	Blank Conc	Detect. Limit
		Accept. Range 0 - 15%			
Benzene	1.5723E+005	1.5755E+005	0.2%	ND	0.1
Toluene	1.7592E+005	1.7627E+005	0.2%	ND	0.1
Ethylbenzene	1.5340E+005	1.5371E+005	0.2%	ND	0.1
p,m-Xylene	3.4128E+005	3.4196E+005	0.2%	ND	0.1
o-Xylene	1.3972E+005	1.4000E+005	0.2%	ND	0.1

Duplicate Conc. (ug/Kg)	Sample	Duplicate	%Diff.	Accept Range	Detect. Limit
Benzene	ND	ND	0.0%	0 - 30%	0.9
Toluene	ND	ND	0.0%	0 - 30%	1.0
Ethylbenzene	ND	ND	0.0%	0 - 30%	1.0
p,m-Xylene	ND	ND	0.0%	0 - 30%	1.2
o-Xylene	ND	ND	0.0%	0 - 30%	0.9

Spike Conc. (ug/Kg)	Sample	Amount Spiked	Spiked Sample	% Recovery	Accept Range
Benzene	ND	500	488	97.5%	39 - 150
Toluene	ND	500	467	93.4%	46 - 148
Ethylbenzene	ND	500	456	91.2%	32 - 160
p,m-Xylene	ND	1000	938	93.8%	46 - 148
o-Xylene	ND	500	462	92.4%	46 - 148

ND - Parameter not detected at the stated detection limit.

Dilution: Spike and spiked sample concentration represent a dilution proportional to sample dilution.

References: Method 5030B, Purge-and-Trap, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, SW-846, USEPA, December 1996.
 Method 8021B, Aromatic and Halogenated Volatiles by Gas Chromatography Using Photoionization and/or Electrolytic Conductivity Detectors, SW-846, USEPA December 1996.

Comments: QA/QC for Samples 57015-57016

Analyst

Review

Client:	ConocoPhillips	Project #:	92115-1559
Sample ID:	BGT	Date Reported:	01/18/11
Lab ID#:	57015	Date Sampled:	01/17/11
Sample Matrix:	Soil	Date Received:	01/17/11
Preservative:	Cool	Date Analyzed:	01/18/11
Condition:	Intact	Chain of Custody:	11020

Parameter**Concentration (mg/Kg)****Total Chloride****10**

Reference: U.S.E.P.A., 4500B, "Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes", 1983.
Standard Methods For The Examination of Water And Waste Water", 18th ed., 1995

Comments: **Huerfano #182**



Analyst



Review

11020

5796 US Highway 64 • Farmington, NM 87401 • 505-632-0615 • lab@envirotech-inc.com

