State of New Mexico **Energy Minerals and Natural Resources**

Oil Conservation Division

Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

<u>District IV</u> 1220 S. St. Fran	cis Dr., Santa	a Fe, NM 87505	5	1220 Sa	South nta Fe	St. Franc , NM 875	15 Dr. 05					
		<u> </u>	Rele	ease Notific	ation	and Co	rrective A	ction				
						OPERA	ГOR		🗌 Initia	al Report	\boxtimes	Final Report
Name of Co	mpany C	onocoPhilli	ps Comp	any	(Contact A	shley Maxwell	l				
Address 340	1 E. 30th S	st., Farmingt	on, NM 8	7402		Felephone N	lo. 505-324-51	69				
Facility Nar	ne San Ju	an 29-5 Uni	t 7A			Facility Typ	e Gas Well	Lease	# SF-078	277		
Surface Ow	ner Feder	al		Mineral O	wner F	ederal			API No	. 30039213	40	
		•		LOCA	TION	OF REI	LEASE					
Unit Letter	Section	Township	Range	Feet from the	North/	South Line	Feet from the	East/W	/est Line	County		
1	07	29N	05W	1700'	So	uth	810'	Ea	nst	Rio Arrib	a Cour	nty
			Ι	atitude 36.7374_	916° N	Longitu	de -107.39189°	W				
				NAT	URE	OF RELI	EASE					
Type of Relea	ase Prod	uced Fluid	S			Volume of	Release 936 yd	ls ³	Volume F	Recovered	936 yds	s ³
Source of Rel	ease Below	w Grade Tan	k			Date and H 5/3/2012	our of Occurrenc	e	Date and	Hour of Dis	covery	
Was Immedia	ite Notice C	Given?	Yes [] No 📋 Not Re	equired	If YES, To	Whom?		R	CVD NOV	16 '1 5. DIV	12
By Whom?						Date and H	our			DIST	. 3	
Was a Watero	course Reac	hed?	Vag 🕅	1 No		If YES, Vo	lume Impacting t	he Wate	rcourse.			
10 111 /	T			J NO								
If a watercou		pacted, Descr	ibe Fully.									
Describe Cau	se of Proble	em and Reme	dial Actio	n Taken.*								
Below Grade Describe Area Historical hy Guidelines for land farm. S NMOCD G application excavation,	Tank Close A Affected a drocarbon or Remedia amples we uidelines of KMNC excavatio	sure Activitie and Cleanup A impacted so ation of Leak ere collected for Remedi D4 based on on was then	Action Tak il was fou s, Spills a d for ben ation of depth to back fill	ten.* nd during the BC nd Releases. The zene, BTEX, Tl Leaks, Spills an ground water. ed, therefore no	GT closu excavat PH, and d Relea On Ma o furthe	ire for the su ion was 45'? d chlorides. ases. On Ma ay 16, 2012 er action is	abject well. Exca (80'X8' and 936) Based on anal ay 7, 2012, Bra , KNMO4 was required.	avation y yds ³ of s lytical r Indon P applied	was requit soil was tr results, to lowell, NI l to the w	red based o ansported t otal BTEX MOCD, ap valls and b	n NMC o a thi exceed oprove ase of	DCD rd party ded the ed the the
I hereby certi- regulations al public health should their o or the enviror federal, state,	fy that the in l operators a or the envir perations ha ment. In a or local lav	nformation gi are required to onment. The ave failed to a ddition, NMC vs and/or regu	ven above o report ar acceptanc idequately OCD accep ilations.	is true and compl ind/or file certain re- ce of a C-141 repo investigate and re- tance of a C-141 r	ete to th elease no rt by the mediate report do	e best of my otifications ar NMOCD ma contaminations bes not relieve	knowledge and un nd perform correc arked as "Final Ro on that pose a thre e the operator of r	nderstan tive actio eport" do eat to gro responsil	d that purs ons for rele oes not reli ound water oility for co	uant to NM eases which eve the oper , surface wa ompliance w	OCD ru may en ator of ter, hun vith any	ales and adanger Tiability man health vother
	00	0 2 .					OIL CONS	SERV	ATION	<u>DIVISIC</u>	<u>N</u>	
Signature:	-8-								Aust	$+ \alpha_{\nu}$	//	
Printed Name	: Ashley N	laxwell			A	Approved by	Environmental Sp	pecialist:	pra	$\Lambda U/P$	lay	
Title: Field E	nvironment	al Specialist			/	Approval Dat	:: 3/07/201	3 6	xpiration 1	Date:	0	
E-mail Addre	ss: ashley.p	.wethington@	conocopl	nillips.com	(Conditions of	Approval:		Attached			
Date: Nover	nber 12, 20	912 P	hone: 505	-324-5169								
Attach Addit	ional Shee	ts If Necess	ary				NJR	130	6648	,087		



October 19, 2012

Animas Environmental Services, LLC

www.animasenvironmental.com

Ashley Maxwell ConocoPhillips San Juan Business Unit Office 216-2 5525 Hwy 64 Farmington, New Mexico 87401

San Juan 29-5 #7A

624 E. Comanche Farmington, NM 87401 505-564-2281

> Durango, Colorado 970-403-3274

Rio Arriba County, New Mexico

Release Assessment and Final Excavation Report

Dear Ms. Maxwell:

RE:

On April 24 and May 4, 2012, Animas Environmental Services, LLC (AES) completed a release assessment and environmental clearance of the final excavation limits at the San Juan 29-5 #7A, located in Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. The release was discovered during a below grade tank closure and site reset in November 2011. The release assessment was completed by AES on April 24, 2012. The final excavation was completed by contractors prior to AES' arrival to the location on May 4, 2012. On May 16, 2012, while AES personnel were on location, potassium permanganate was applied to the walls and base of the excavation.

1.0 Site Information

1.1 Location

Location – NE¼ SE¼, Section 7, T29N, R5W, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico Well Head Latitude/Longitude – N36.73758 and W107.39245, respectively Release Location Latitude/Longitude – N36.27866 and W107.16848, respectively Land Jurisdiction – Bureau of Land Management (BLM) Figure 1. Topographic Site Location Map Figure 2. Aerial Site Map, May 2012

1.2 NMOCD Ranking

Prior to site work, the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) database was reviewed, and a pit closure report dated September 28, 2005, recorded groundwater as being greater than 100 feet below ground surface (bgs). A cathodic well data sheet dated April 28, 1979, was located in the CoP files by Shelly Cook-Cowden and reported groundwater at 100 feet bgs. Additionally, the New Mexico Office of the State Engineer

Ashley Maxwell San Juan 29-5 #7A Release Assessment and Final Excavation Report October 19, 2012 Page 2 of 7

(NMOSE) database was reviewed, and no registered water wells are located within 1,000 feet of the location. Once on site, AES personnel assessed the ranking using topographical interpretation, Global Positioning System (GPS) elevation readings, and visual reconnaissance. AES personnel concluded that the depth to groundwater at the site was less than 100 feet bgs, and the location is not within a well-head protection area. Distance to the nearest surface water, a tributary to Frances Creek, is located 1,100 feet to the northwest. The site location has been assigned a ranking score of 10 per the *NMOCD Guidelines for Leaks, Spills, and Releases* (1993).

1.3 Release Assessments

AES was initially contacted by Shelly Cook-Cowden of CoP on April 23, 2012, and on April 24, 2012, Tami Ross and Zachary Trujillo of AES completed the release assessment field work. The assessment included collection and field screening of 15 soil samples from five soil borings (S-1 through S-5) and eight test holes (TH-1 through TH-8). Based on the field screening results, AES recommended further excavation of the release area. Sample locations are shown on Figure 3.

On May 4, 2012, AES returned to the location to collect confirmation soil samples from the excavation. The field screening activities included collection of five confirmation soil samples (SC-1 through SC-5) of the walls and base of the excavation. The final excavation was approximately 53 feet by 41 feet by 8 feet in depth. The base of the excavation was limited by competent sandstone at 8 feet bgs. Sample locations and final excavation extents are shown on Figure 4.

2.0 Soil Sampling

A total of 15 soil samples and 5 composite soil samples were collected during the assessments. All soil samples were field screened for volatile organic compounds (VOCs), and selected samples were also analyzed for total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH). Samples TH-2, SC-1, and SC-3 from the confirmation sampling of the excavation were submitted for laboratory analysis.

2.1 Field Screening

2.1.1 Volatile Organic Compounds

Field screening for VOC vapors was conducted with a photo-ionization detector (PID) organic vapor meter (OVM). Before beginning field screening, the PID-OVM was first calibrated with 100 parts per million (ppm) isobutylene gas.

2.1.2 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons

Field TPH samples were analyzed per USEPA Method 418.1 using a Buck Scientific Model HC-404 Total Hydrocarbon Analyzer Infrared Spectrometer (Buck). A 3-point calibration was completed prior to conducting soil analyses. Field analytical protocol followed AES's Standard Operating Procedure: Field Analysis Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons per EPA Method 418.1.

2.2 Laboratory Analyses

The soil samples (TH-2, SC-1, and SC-3) collected for laboratory analysis were placed into new, clean, laboratory-supplied containers, which were then labeled, placed on ice, and logged onto a sample chain of custody record. Samples were maintained on ice until delivery to the analytical laboratory, Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory (Hall) in Albuquerque, New Mexico. Soil samples were laboratory analyzed for:

 Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene (BTEX) per U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method 8021B or 8260B.

2.2 Field Screening and Laboratory Analytical Results

On April 24, 2012, assessment field screening results for VOCs via OVM showed concentrations ranging from 3.8 ppm in TH-6 up to 4,502 ppm in TH-2. Field TPH concentrations ranged from 61.0 mg/kg in TH-6 up to 9,860 mg/kg in TH-5.

On May 4, 2012, final excavation field screening results for VOCs via OVM showed concentrations ranging from 3.3 ppm in SC-5 to 4,350 ppm in SC-2. Field TPH concentrations ranged from 90.7 mg/kg in SC-4 up to 4,400 mg/kg in SC-3. Results are included below in Table 1 and on Figures 3 and 4. The AES Field Screening Reports are attached.

April and May 2012										
Sample ID	Date Sampled	Sample Depth (ft bgs)	VOCs via OVM (ppm)	Field TPH (mg/kg)						
	NMOCD A	ction Level*	100	1,000						
S-1	4/24/12	8	2,835	802						
S-2	4/24/12	6	47.8	69.6						
S-3	4/24/12	6	2,853	7,670						
S-4	4/24/12	6	4,473	1,180						
S-5	4/24/12	6	10.5	104						
TH-1	4/24/12	4	3,337	7,840						
TH-2	4/24/12	4	4,502	217						
TH-3	4/24/12	4	6.7	NA						
TH-4	4/24/12	2	88.3	91.6						
T U F		4	3,420	9,860						
IH-5	4/24/12	7	3,628	NA						
THE	4/24/42	4	10.8	NA						
IH-b	4/24/12	7	3.8	61.0						
TH-7	4/24/12	4	5.0	94.0						
TH-8	4/24/12	5.5	4.4	164						
SC-1	5/4/12	1 to 8	1,055	146						
SC-2	5/4/12	1 to 8	4,350	1,370						
SC-3	5/4/12	8	4,276	4,400						
SC-4	5/4/12	1 to 8	55.4	90.7						
SC-5	5/4/12	1 to 8	3.3	291						

Table 1. Soil Field Screening VOCs and TPH Results San Juan 29-5 #7A Release Assessment and Final Excavation

NA – Not Analyzed

*Action level determined by the NMOCD ranking score per *NMOCD Guidelines for Leaks, Spills, and Releases* (August 1993)

Laboratory results for TH-2 collected on April 24, 2012, were used to confirm field screening results from the release assessment. The benzene concentration was reported at less than 0.22 mg/kg. Total BTEX was reported at 15.6 mg/kg. Laboratory analytical results for SC-1 and SC-3 were used to confirm field screening results during excavation activities on May 24, 2012. Reported benzene for SC-1 and SC-3 were less Ashley Maxwell San Juan 29-5 #7A Release Assessment and Final Excavation Report October 19, 2012 Page 5 of 7

than 0.050 mg/kg and 0.43 mg/kg, respectively. Total BTEX concentrations were reported at 0.13 mg/kg in SC-1 and 145 mg/kg in SC-3. Results are presented in Table 2 and on Figures 3 and 4. Laboratory analytical reports are attached.

Table 2. Laboratory Analytical Results – Benzene and BTEX	
San Juan 29-5 #7A Release Assessment and Final Excavation	
April and May 2012	

		and may.		
Sample ID	Date Sampled	Sample Depth (ft bgs)	Benzene (mg/kg)	Total BTEX (mg/kg)
NMC	OCD Action L	evel*	10	50
TH-2	4/24/12	4	<0.12	15.6
SC-1	5/4/12	1 to 8	<0.050	0.13
SC-3	5/4/12	8	0.43	145

*Action level determined by the NMOCD ranking score per *NMOCD Guidelines for Leaks, Spills, and Releases* (August 1993)

3.0 Potassium Permanganate Application

to the second second

On May 16, 2012, AES along with Alpha Bioscience and CoP contractors arrived on site to apply a 3 percent solution of potassium permanganate (KMNO₄) into the open excavation at the San Juan 29-5 #7A. Due to the presence of competent sandstone at 8 feet bgs, removal of contamination at the base of the excavation to below the NMOCD action level of 50 mg/kg for BTEX was not achieved. In consultation with NMOCD, an area of approximately 2,310 square feet was treated with 431 gallons of water and 107 pounds of KMNO₄ (3 percent solution)

4.0 Conclusions and Recommendations

On April 24, 2012, AES conducted a release assessment of an existing excavation associated with a historical release discovered during BGT removal at the location in November 2011. Action levels for releases are determined by the NMOCD ranking score per *NMOCD Guidelines for Leaks, Spills, and Releases* (August 1993), and the release was assigned a rank of 10. Field screening showed concentrations above the NMOCD action levels of 100 ppm VOCs in S-3, S-4, TH-1, and TH-5, with the highest VOC concentration in TH-2 (4,502 ppm). Field screening of TPH exceeded the NMOCD action level of 1,000 mg/kg in S-3, S-4, TH-1, and TH-5, with the highest concentration reported in TH-5 (9,860 mg/kg). Laboratory analytical results for TH-2 reported total BTEX concentrations below the NMOCD action level of 50 mg/kg.

Ashley Maxwell San Juan 29-5 #7A Release Assessment and Final Excavation Report October 19, 2012 Page 6 of 7

On May 4, 2012, final assessment of the additional excavation area was completed. Field screening results of the excavation extents showed that VOC concentrations were reported above the applicable NMOCD action levels in SC-2 (4,350 ppm) and SC-3 (4,276 ppm). The field TPH reported in SC-2 (1,370 mg/kg) and SC-3 (4,400 mg/kg) also exceeded the NMOCD action level of 1,000 mg/kg. Analytical results showed that benzene concentrations were below the NMOCD action level of 10 mg/kg in SC-2 and SC-3; however total BTEX concentrations exceeded the NMOCD action level of 50 mg/kg in SC-3 with 145 mg/kg. Based on field screening and laboratory results, additional mitigation was recommended for the east wall and base of the excavation due to the presence of the pipeline and competent sandstone layer, respectively.

On May 16, 2012, per CoP and NMOCD recommendation, a 3 percent solution of KMNO₄ was applied to the walls and base of the excavation to enhance biodegradation of residual petroleum hydrocarbons.

Based on the final field screening and laboratory analytical results of the excavation of petroleum contaminated soils at the San Juan 29-5 #7A, benzene, total BTEX, VOC and TPH concentrations along the north, east, west, and south walls were below applicable NMOCD action levels. Further mitigation of the base (i.e. application of KMNO₄ solution) was completed in consultation with NMOCD. No further work is recommended.

If you have any questions about this report or site conditions, please do not hesitate to Deborah Watson at (505) 564-2281.

Sincerely,

Sandrea R. Cupps

Landrea Cupps Environmental Scientist

Ulpotent V Mendly

Elizabeth McNally, PE

Attachments:

Figure 1. Topographic Site Location Map

Figure 2. General Site Map, April 2012

Figure 3. Release Assessment Soil Sample Locations and Results, April 2012

Figure 4. Final Excavation Soil Sample Locations and Results, May 2012

AES Field Screening Report 042412

AES Field Screening Report 050412

Hall Laboratory Analytical Report 1204A03

Hall Laboratory Analytical Report 1205271

R:\Animas 2000\2012 Projects\Conoco Phillips\SJ 29-5 Unit #7A\CoP San Juan 29-5 #7A Release and Final Excavation Report 101912.docx







· ·



.

AES Field Screening Report

. .

Client: ConocoPhillips

Project Location: San Juan 29-5 #7A

Date: 4/24/2012

Matrix: Soil



Animas Environmental Services. LLC

www.animasenvironmental.com

624 E. Comanche Farmington, NM 87401 505-564-2281

> Durango, Coloradc 970-403-327,4

	Collection	Callestian	0.44	Time of				TPH
Sample ID	Date	Time	(ppm)	Analysis	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	DF	Initials
	4/24/2012	11:30	2,835	12:00	802	20.0	1	TCR
S-2	4/24/2012	11:43	47.8	12:40	69.6	20.0	1	TCR
S-3	4/24/2012	12:25	2,853	12:52	7,670	200	10	TCR
S-4	4/24/2012	13:05	4,473	13:36	1,180	20.0	· 1	TCR
S-5	4/24/2012	13:28	10.5	14:00	104	20.0	: 1	TCR
TH-1	4/24/2012	14:27	3337	14:58	7,840	200	10	TCR
TH-2	4/24/2012	15:00	4502	15:25	217	20.0	1	· TCR
TH-3	4/24/2012	15:26	6.7		Not A	nalyzed for TPI	Н	·
TH-4	4/24/2012	15:43	88.3	16:20	91.6	20.0	1	TCR
TH-5	4/24/2012	16:07	3420	16:39	9,860	200	10	TCR
TH-5@7'	4/24/2012	16:24	3628		Not A	nalyzed for TPI	Ч	
TH-6	4/24/2012	17:10	10.8		Not A	nalyzed for TPI	4 -	
TH-6@7'	4/24/2012	17:20	3.8	17:40	61.0	20.0	1	TCR

Report finalized 042412

				Time of				ТРН
-	Collection	Collection	OVM	Sample	Field TPH*	TPH PQL		Analysts
Sample ID	Date	Time	(ppm)	Analysis	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	· DF	Initials
TH-7	4/24/2012	17:37	5.0	17:35	94.0	20.0	1	TCR
TH-8	4/24/2012	18:00	4.4	18:33	164	20.0	1	TCR

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons - USEPA 418.1

PQL Practical Quantitation Limit

- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- DF Dilution Factor
- NA Not Analyzed

Analyst:

Jami Ross

Report finalized 042412

÷

ĩ

. .

AES Field Screening Report

Client: ConocoPhillips

Project Location: San Juan 29-5 #7A

Date: 5/4/2012

Matrix: Soil



Animas Environmental Services. LLC

www.animasenvironmental.com

624 E. Comanche Farmington, NM 87401 505-564-2281

> Durango; Colorado 970-403-3274

Sa	ample ID	Collection Date	Collection Time	OVM (ppm)	Time of Sample Analysis	Field TPH (mg/kg)	TPH PQL (mg/kg)	DF	TPH Analysts Initials
	SC-1	5/4/2012	9:40	1,055	10:31	146	20.0	1	TCR
	SC-2	5/4/2012	9:43	4,350	10:41	1,370	20.0	1 ·	TCR
	SC-3	5/4/2012	9:45	4,276	10:50	4,400	200	10	TCR
• •	SC-4	5/4/2012	9:47	55	10:44	90.7	20.0	1	TCR
	SC-5	5/4/2012	11:19	3.3	11:34	291	20.0	1 ,	TCR

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons - USEPA 418.1

PQL Practical Quantitation Limit Not Detected at the Reporting Limit **Dilution Factor**

Not Analyzed

ND

DF

NA

Analyst:

Report Finalized 042412

HALL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS LABORATORY

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE , Albuquerque, NM 87109 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: <u>www.hallenvironmental.com</u>

April 30, 2012

Ross Kennemer Animas Environmental Services 624 East Comanche Farmington, NM 87401 TEL: (505) 486-1776 FAX (505) 324-2022

RE: SJ 29-5 #7A

OrderNo.: 1204A03

Dear Ross Kennemer:

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory received 1 sample(s) on 4/26/2012 for the analyses presented in the following report.

These were analyzed according to EPA procedures or equivalent. To access our accredited tests please go to <u>www.hallenvironmental.com</u> or the state specific web sites. See the sample checklist and/or the Chain of Custody for information regarding the sample receipt temperature and preservation. Data qualifiers or a narrative will be provided if the sample analysis or analytical quality control parameters require a flag. All samples are reported as received unless otherwise indicated.

Please don't hesitate to contact HEAL for any additional information or clarifications.

Sincerely,

andig

Andy Freeman Laboratory Manager 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109

Hall Er	vironmental Analysis	Labora	tory, Inc.		Lab C Date	Order 1204A03 Reported: 4/30/2012
CLIENT: Project: Lab ID:	Animas Environmental Services SJ 29-5 #7A 1204A03-001	Matrix:	(MEOH (SOIL)	lient Samp Collection Received	le ID: TH-2 Date: 4/24/201 Date: 4/26/201	2 3:00:00 PM 2 9:58:00 AM
Analyses		Result	RL Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed
EPA MET	HOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analyst: NSB
Benzene		ND	0.12	mg/Kg	5	4/26/2012 11:25:52 AM
Toluene		0.63	0.25	mg/Kg	5	4/26/2012 11:25:52 AM
Ethylben:	zene	0.92	0.25 ·	mg/Kg	5	4/26/2012 11:25:52 AM
Xylenes,	Total	14	0.50	mg/Kg	5	4/26/2012 11:25:52 AM

80-120

105

%REC

5

Qualifiers:	*/X	Value exceeds Maximum Contam

J

ï

Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene

- inant Level. E Value above quantitation range
 - Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- RPD outside accepted recovery limits R
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank Β.

Analytical Report

4/26/2012 11:25:52 AM

- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 1 of 1

.

HALL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS LABORATORY

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque. NM 87105 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

Sample Log-In Check List

Client Name: Animas Environmental W	ork Order Number: 1204A03	
Received by/date A QUI20112	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Logged By: Ashley Gallegos 4/26/2012 9:58:00 AM	Shing .	
Completed By: Ashley Gallegos 4/26/2012 10:00:47 AM,	And	
Reviewed By: 1-1-110 Miloro	la	
Chain of Guotody	11 0	
	V No Not December 2	
1. Were seals intact?	Yes No Not Present	
2. How was the cample delivered?		
3. How was the sample derivered?		
Log In		
4. Coolers are present? (see 19. for cooler specific information)	Yes 🗸 No 🛛 NA	
5. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?	Yes 🗸 No 🛛 NA	
	Var de No. NA. (
6. Where all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0° C		
7 Sample(s) in proper container(s)?	Yes 🗸 No	
8 Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?	Yes 🗸 No	
9 Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved?	Yes 🗸 No	
10. Was preservative added to bottles?	Yes No 🗸 NA	
11, VOA vials have zero headspace?	Yes No No VOA Vials 🗸	
12. Were any sample containers received broken?	Yes No # of preserved	
 Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) 	Yes V No bottles checked for pH:	
14. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?	Yes ✓ No (<2 or >12 unless noted)	
15. Is it clear what analyses were requested?	Yes 🗸 No Adjusted?	
 16. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.) 	Yes V No	
Special Handling (if applicable)	choice by.	
17. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order?	Yes No NA 🗸	
	n na	
	oMail Phana Fay in Dargan	
	CIVICII FIUTE FCX (TEFCISUU)	
	nana manana kana kana kana kana kana kan	
18 Additional remarks		
19 <u>Cooler Information</u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seal Intact Seal No S	eal Date Signed By	

Page 1 of 1

C	hain	-of-Cı	stody Record	Turn-Around	Time:						NL.			-			2	RIF		'NIT	6 a 1	
Client:	Anima	3 Env	honmental Services	🗆 Standard	X Rusi	-SAME	DAY		Service Grant		A		LL Al	EI YS	SIS	1 5 L		141 30	۰۱۲ R/		DR	- Y
	. *•			Project Name	9:		U	۲.	÷	°	1	ww	.hall	envi	ironn	nent	al.co	m				
Mailing	Address	624	E COMANCHE	SJS	29-5	#7A			49	01 H	awkii	ns N	E -	Albi	uque	erque	e, Nî	VI 87	'109			
PAV	HIN	15TON) NM 97401	Project #:					Те	el. 50	5-34	5-39	75	F	ax {	505-	345-	4107	7		·	
Phone	#: 505	5 5Le	1 22B1								: 	a * 1.	Å	naly	sis I	Req	uest	1. S	1. A 	ە. بارغ		er z i
<u>email o</u>	r Fax#:	nsso	animasenvironmental.com	Project Mana	iger:			ਜ਼	(yln	(lasi					(⁴)	<i>"</i>		•				
QA/QC I	Package: dard	[.]	Level 4 (Full Validation)	Tami	Ko	55		e (80 2	(Gas o	as/Die					PO4,S	PCB'		1		•		
Accredi	itation AP	□ Othe	er	Sampler:	AMI VOYes	ROSS INNOX			HdT +	15B (G	18.1)	04.1)	(HA)		D ₃ ,NO ₂	s / 8082		(A)	r			or N
	(Type)	i		Sample Ten	peratures	2.5		W.	ВЕ	d 8(d 4	od 5	P.	etals	Ň	ide	Â	2				Σ
Date	Time	Matrix	Sample Request ID	Container Type and #	Preservative Type	1904 1204		BTEX +-M7	BTEX + MT	TPH Metho	TPH (Meth	EDB (Meth	8310 (PNA	RCRA 8 M	Anions (F,C	8081 Pestic	8260B (VO	8270 (Semi				Air Bubbles
4124112	1500	SOIL	TH-#2	HEOH Lit	Meolt	~0	0	X											·			Τ
		•															-		·			T
								·												\neg		
			· ·							-				\neg					•••••		-+-	
				<u> </u>		1				†		•						$\neg \uparrow$	\neg	-	_	-
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·											-+		•	-f		•	-+	-+	+
		<u></u>											-+	$\neg \uparrow$								+
													-+	\neg			-+	\dashv	\rightarrow		-+	+
		:								-†							-+	\neg			-+	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· .									-					; +	-+	+
			· - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·										-+							-	-+-	+
Date:	Time: 1337		ed by: Nu Ross	Received by: Mustra	Walter	Date 4/ 12 5 /12	Time 1337	Ren C	narks		ID	 (2)	LL LOC	ື້	PH	14	ЦĤ	25				
Date:	Time:	Relinquishe	https:// 10102	Received by:	8 04/2	Date	Time 958	WE MS	: V :S	NIL DN		PKO B	W	E E	B fe	LL ZE	INC IVE	פ [πυ Τ.	0		

• .

•

. •

.

•

1

4

•

...

If necessary, samples submitted to Hall Environmental may be subcontracted to other accedited laborationes. This serves as notice of this possibility. Any sub-contracted data will be clearly notated on the analytical report.

HALL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS LABORATORY

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

.

May 08, 2012

Tami Ross Animas Environmental Services 624 East Comanche Farmington, NM 87401 TEL: (505) 793-2072 FAX

RE: SJ 29-5 #7A

OrderNo.: 1205271

Dear Tami Ross:

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory received 2 sample(s) on 5/5/2012 for the analyses presented in the following report.

These were analyzed according to EPA procedures or equivalent. To access our accredited tests please go to <u>www.hallenvironmental.com</u> or the state specific web sites. See the sample checklist and/or the Chain of Custody for information regarding the sample receipt temperature and preservation. Data qualifiers or a narrative will be provided if the sample analysis or analytical quality control parameters require a flag. All samples are reported as received unless otherwise indicated.

Please don't hesitate to contact HEAL for any additional information or clarifications.

Sincerely,

andig

Andy Freeman Laboratory Manager 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109

CLIENT: Animas Environmental Se	rvices		Client Sample	ID: SC-1	:			
Project: SJ 29-5 #7A			Collection D	ate: 5/4/20	12 9:40:00 AM			
Lab ID: 1205271-001	Matrix:	SOIL	Received Date: 5/5/2012 10:00:					
Analyses	Result	RL Q	ual Units	DF	Date Analyzed			
EPA METHOD 8260B: VOLATILES	SHORT LIST				Analyst: BDH			
Benzene	ND	0.050	mg/Kg	1	5/7/2012 1:04:31 PM			
Toluene	ND	0.050	mg/Kg	· 1	5/7/2012 1:04:31 PM			
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.050	mg/Kg	1	5/7/2012 1:04:31 PM			
Xylenes, Total	0.13	0.10	mg/Kg	· 1	5/7/2012 1:04:31 PM			
Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	95.3	70-130	%REC	. 1	5/7/2012 1:04:31 PM			
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	. 82.3	70-130	%REC	1	5/7/2012 1:04:31 PM			
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	88.3	71.7-132	%REC	1	5/7/2012 1:04:31 PM			
Surr: Toluene-d8	87.6	, 70-130	%REC	1	5/7/2012 1:04:31 PM			

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Lab Order **1205271** Date Reported: **5/8/2012**

Analytical Report

 Qualifiers:
 */X
 Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

 E
 Value above quantitation range

 J
 Analyte detected below quantitation limits

 R
 RPD outside accepted recovery limits

S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 1 of 4

Analytical Report

Lab Order 1205271

Date Reported: 5/8/2012

5/7/2012 10:16:16 AM

5/7/2012 10:16:16 AM

5/7/2012 1:34:27 PM

5/7/2012 10:16:16 AM

5/7/2012 10:16:16 AM

5/7/2012 10:16:16 AM

5/7/2012 10:16:16 AM

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Toluene

Ethylbenzene

Xylenes, Total

Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4

Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene

Surr: Dibromofluoromethane

Surr: Toluene-d8

CLIENT: Animas Environmental Services **Client Sample ID: SC-3** SJ 29-5 #7A Collection Date: 5/4/2012 9:45:00 AM **Project:** Lab ID: 1205271-002 Received Date: 5/5/2012 10:00:00 AM Matrix: SOIL Analyses Result **RL** Qual Units DF **Date Analyzed EPA METHOD 8260B: VOLATILES SHORT LIST** Analyst: BDH Benzene 0.43 0.25 mg/Kg 5 5/7/2012 10:16:16 AM

19

5.4

120

95.9

101

95.4

90.2

0.25

0.25

5.0

70-130

70-130

70-130

71.7-132

mg/Kg

mg/Kg

mg/Kg

%REC

%REC

%REC

%REC

5

5

50

5

5

5

5

 Qualifiers:
 */X
 Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

 E
 Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 2 of 4

QC SUMMARY REPORT

WO#: 1205271

08-May-12

Ha	11	Envir	onmental	l Analysis	Laboratory,	Inc.
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Client: Animas	Environme	ntal Serv	vices			<i>t</i>										
Project: SJ 29-5	#7A			•	.•	•										
Sample.ID 5mL rb SampType: MBLK				TestCode: EPA Method 8260B: Volatiles Short List												
Client ID: PBS	Batch	n ID: R2	603	. R	tunNo: 2	603										
Prep Date:	Analysis D)ate: 5/	7/2012	, s	eqNo: 7	2499	Units: mg/K	Kg								
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual						
enzene	ND	0.050														
oluene	ND	0.050														
thylbenzene	ND	0.050	.1				•			N.						
ylenes, Total	ND	0.10		;	•											
Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	0.46		0.5000		93.0	70	130									
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.39		0.5000		77.7	70	130		•							
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	0.44		0.5000		87.7	. 71.7	132									
Surr: Toluene-d8	0.43		0.5000		85.9	70	130									
Sample ID 100ng Ics	Samp1	ype: LC	s	TestCode: EPA Method 8260B: Volatiles Short List												
Client ID: LCSS	Batcl	h ID: R2	603	F	RunNo: 2	603										
Prep Date:	Analysis E	Date: 5/	7/2012	S	SeqNo: 7	2500	Units: mg/K	(g								
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual						
enzene	0.93	0.050	1.000	0	93.3	70.7	123									
oluene	0.93	0.050	1.000	0	93.0	80	120									
Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	0.45		0.5000		90.4	70	130		•							
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.39		0.5000		78.7	70	130									
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	0.44		0.5000		87.7	71.7	132									
Surr: Toluene-d8	0.41		0.5000		81.7	70	130									
Sample ID 1205271-001ams	Sampl	Гуре: МS	3	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	8260B: Vola	tiles Shor	t List							
Client ID: SC-1	Batcl	h ID: R2	603	F	RunNo: 2	603										
Prep Date:	Analysis E	Date: 5/	7/2012	5	SeqNo: 7	2677	Units: mg/k	۲g								
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual						
enzene	0.61	0.050	0.5883	0	104	81.3	119									
oluene	0.61	0.050	0.5883	0	104	75	121									
Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	0.28		0.2942		93.6	70	130									
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.25		0.2942		85.1	70	130									
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	0.26		0.2942		87.9	71.7	132									
Surr: Toluene-d8	0.26		0.2942		87.5	70	130									
Sample ID 1205271-001ams	d Samp	Гуре: М\$	SD	Tes	tCode: E	de: EPA Method 8260B: Volatiles Short List										
Client ID: SC-1	Batc	h ID: R2	603	F	RunNo: 2	603										
	Analysis Date: 5/7/2012			c	SeaNo 7	2678	Units: mg/k	(g	÷ •	•						
Prep Date:	Analysis [Date: 5/	7/2012		Juq 10. 1	20.0	-	•	:	• •						
Prep Date: Analyte	Analysis [Result	Date: 5 / PQL	7/2012 SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual						
Prep Date: Analyte lenzene	Analysis [Result 0.59	Date: 5 / PQL 0.050	7/2012 SPK value 0.5883	SPK Ref Val	%REC 101	LowLimit 81.3	HighLimit 119	%RPD 3.43	RPDLimit 15.7	Qual						
Prep Date: Analyte lenzene oluene	Analysis [Result 0.59 0.58	Date: 5/ PQL 0.050 0.050	7/2012 SPK value 0.5883 0.5883	SPK Ref Val 0 0	%REC 101 98.1	LowLimit 81.3 75	HighLimit 119 121	%RPD 3.43 5.55	RPDLimit 15,7 16.2	Qual						
Prep Date: Analyte lenzene oluene Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	Analysis [Result 0.59 0.58 0.29	Date: 5 / PQL 0.050 0.050	7/2012 SPK value 0.5883 0.5883 0.2942	SPK Ref Val 0 0	%REC 101 98.1 . 98.4	LowLimit 81.3 75 70	HighLimit 119 121 130	%RPD 3.43 5.55 0	RPDLimit 15.7 16.2 0	Qual						

Qualifiers:

*/X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

E Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

RL Reporting Detection Limit

QC SUMMARY REPORT

WO#: 1205271

08-May-12

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Client: Project:	Animas Eng SJ 29-5 #7/	vironmen <u>tal</u> S A	Services			•	.*
Sample ID	1205271-001amsd	SampType:	MSD	TestCode	EPA Method 8260B:	Volatiles Short List	
Client ID:	SC-1	Batch ID:	R2603	RunNo	2603		

Prep Date:	Analysis E	Date: 5	7/2012	5	SeqNo: 7	2678	Units: mg/K	ίg .			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual	
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	0.26		0.2942		87.5	71.7	132	0	0		
Surr: Toluene-d8	0.26		0.2942		86.8	70	130	0	0		

Qualifiers:

- */X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- Е Value above quantitation range
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits J
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- Not Detected at the Reporting Limit ND
- Reporting Detection Limit RL

Page 4 of 4

- 14			MALL	
-	15	3	ENVIRONMENTAL	
- 16	t av	~ 3	ANALYSIS	
		<u>م</u> رد .	LABORATORY	

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquergue, NM 87105 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

Sample Log-In Check List

Received by/date: Ar 05/05/12 Logged By: Anne Thome 5/5/2012 10:00:00 AM Jm. Jm. Completed By: Anne Thome 5/7/2012 Jm. Jm. Reviewed By: Display Jm. Jm. Jm. Jm. Jm. Chain of Custody Im. Jm. Jm. Jm. Jm. Jm. 1. Were seals intact? Yes No Not Present Jm. 2. Is Chain of Custody complete? Yes No Not Present Jm. 3. How was the sample delivered? Courier Courier Log In No NA 4. Coolers are present? (see 19. for cooler specific information) Yes No NA NA 5. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes No NA NA 6. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C Yes No NA NA 7. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes No NA NA 7. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes No No NA Im. 8. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s	
Logged By: Anne Thome 5/5/2012 10:00:00 AM Im Im Completed By: Anne Thome 5/7/2012 Im Im Reviewed By: Im Im Im Im Im Im Chain of Custody Im Im Im Im Im Im 1. Were seals infact? Yes No Not Present 2. Is Chain of Custody complete? Yes No Not Present 3. How was the sample delivered? Courier Log In 4. Coolers are present? (see 19. for cooler specific information) Yes No NA 5. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes No NA Im 6. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C Yes No NA Im 7. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes No NA Im 9. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes No NA Im 10. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes No NA Im 11. VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes No Im	
Completed By: Anne Thome 5/7/2012 Reviewed By: TO System Chain of Custody I Were seals intact? Yes No Not Present 1. Were seals intact? Yes No Not Present Image: Second S	
Reviewed By: TO DO DO DO Chain of Custody 1. Were seals intact? Yes No Not Present 2. is Chain of Custody complete? Yes No Not Present 3. How was the sample delivered? Courier Log In 4. Coolers are present? (see 19. for cooler specific information) Yes No NA 5. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes No NA 6. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C Yes No NA 7. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes No NA 9. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes No NA 10. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes No NA If of preserved bot(not discrepancies on chain of custody) 11. VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes No If of preserved bot(not for pH: (<2 or >12 unleas r 13. Does paperwork match bottlie labels? Yes No If of preserved bot(not pH: (<2 or >12 unleas r 15. Is it dear what analyses were requested? Yes No If of preserved bot(hor pH: (<2 or >12 unleas r 16. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes <t< th=""><th></th></t<>	
Chain of Custody 1. Were seals intact? Yes No Not Present 2. Is Chain of Custody complete? Yes No Not Present 3. How was the sample delivered? Courier Log In 4. Coolers are present? (see 19. for cooler specific information) Yes No NA 5. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes No NA 6. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C Yes No NA 7. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes No NA 9. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes No NA 10. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes No NA # of preserved 11. VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes No Ho # of preserved 13. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes No Ho # dijusted? 16. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes No Ho Acjusted? 16. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes No Ho Acjusted? 17. Was client notified of all discrepancices with this order? Yes No	
1. Were seals intact? Yes No Not Present Ø 2. Is Chain of Custody complete? Yes No Not Present 3. How was the sample delivered? Courier Log In 4. Coolers are present? (see 19. for cooler specific information) Yes No NA 5. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes No NA	
2. Is Chain of Custody complete? Yes No Not Present 3. How was the sample delivered? Courier Log In 4. Coolers are present? (see 19. for cooler specific information) Yes No NA 5. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes No NA NA 6. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C Yes No NA NA 7. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes No NA NA 9. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) property preserved? Yes No NA NA 10. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes No NA NA Image: Solution of the sample container for indicated test(s)? Yes No NA Image: Solution of the sample container for indicated test(s)? Yes No NA Image: Solution of the sample container for indicated test(s)? Yes No NA Image: Solution of the sample container for indicated test(s)? Yes No NA Image: Solution of the sample container for indicated test(s)? Yes No Image: Solution of the sample container for indicated test(s)? Yes No Image: Solution of the sample container for indicated test(s)? Yes N	
3. How was the sample delivered? Courier Log In 4. Coolers are present? (see 19. for cooler specific information) Yes ♥ No □ NA □ 5. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes ♥ No □ NA □ 6. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C Yes ♥ No □ NA □ 7. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes ♥ No □ NA □ 8. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes ♥ No □ NA □ 9. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes ♥ No □ NA □ 10. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes ♥ No □ NA □ 11. VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes ♥ No □ No ∨OA Vials № 12. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes ♥ No □ # of preserved bottles of the preserved? 13. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes ♥ No □ # of preserved bottles checked for prt: 13. Lose paperwork match bottle labels? Yes ♥ No □ Adjusted?	
Log In 4. Coolers are present? (see 19. for cooler specific information) Yes No NA 5. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes No NA 6. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C Yes No NA 7. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes No NA 8. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes No NA 9. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes No NA 10. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes No NA 11. VOA viats have zero headspace? Yes No Ma 12. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes No # of preserved bottles checked for pH: 13. Does papework match bottle labels? Yes No # of preserved bottles checked for pH: (<2 or >12 unless r 15. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes No Adjusted? Adjusted? 16. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes No NA 17. Vas client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No NA 17. Was client n	·
4. Coolers are present? (see 19. for cooler specific information) Yes No NA 5. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes No NA 6. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C Yes No NA 7. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes No NA 8. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes No NA 9. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes No NA 10. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes No NA 11. VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes No No Va 12. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes No Wo # of preserved bottles checked for pH: 13. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes No # of preserved bottles checked for pH: (<2 or >12 unless r 15. Is it dear what analyses were requested? Yes No Adjusted?	
5. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes No NA 6. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C Yes No NA 7. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes No NA 8. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes No NA 9. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes No NA 10. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes No NA 11. VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes No No 12. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes No Wo 13. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes No # of preserved bottles checked for pH: 14. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No Image: Correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No 16. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes No Image: Correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No Adjusted? 16. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes No NA Image: Correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No NA 17. Was client notified of all discrepanc	
6. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C Yes No NA 7. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes No NA 8. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes No 9. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes No 10. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes No NA 11. VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes No NA 12. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes No Wo 13. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes No # of preserved bottles checked for pH: (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) Yes No # dipusted? 14. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No # dipusted? 15. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes No Adjusted? 16. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes No NA (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Secient notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No NA Person Notified: Date	,
7. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes No 8. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes No 9. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes No 10. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes No 11. VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes No 12. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes No 13. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes No (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) # of preserved bottles checked for pH: 14. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No 15. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes No 16. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes No (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Special Handling (if applicable) 17. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No NA 17. Was client notified: Date	
8. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes No 9. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes No 10. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes No 11. VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes No 12. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes No 13. Does paperwork match bottle tabels? Yes No (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) Yes No 14. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No 15. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes No 16. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes No (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Checked by: Checked by: Special Handling (if applicable) Person Notified: Date 17. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No NA 17. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No NA 17. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No NA 18. genarding: Date Person Notified: Date In Person	
9. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes ☑ No □ 10. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes ☑ No ☑ NA □ 11. VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes ☑ No ☑ No VOA Vials ☑ 12. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes ☑ No ☑ No VOA Vials ☑ 13. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes ☑ No ☑ # of preserved bottles checked for pH: 14. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes ☑ No ☑ # of preserved bottles checked for pH: 15. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes ☑ No ☑ Adjusted? 16. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes ☑ No ☑ Checked by: (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Yes ☑ No ☑ NA ☑ Special Handling (if applicable) In Person Notified: Date ☑ 17. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes ☑ No ☑ NA ☑ Person Notified: Date ☑ Date ☑ In Person By Whom: Via: ☑ eMail ☑ Phone ☑ Fax ☑ In Person In Person	
10. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes No NA 11. VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes No No VOA Vials 12. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes No Image: Containers received broken? 13. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes No Image: Containers received broken? Yes 13. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes No Image: Containers received broken? Yes 14. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No Image: Containers requested? Yes No 15. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes No Image: Containers received? Yes No Image: Containers received? 16. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes No Image: Containers received? Yes No Image: Containers received? 17. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No NA Image: Containers received? 17. Was client notified if all discrepancies with this order? Yes No NA Image: Containers received? 17. Was client notified if all discrepancies with this order? Yes No NA Image: Containe	
11. VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes No No VOA Vials 12. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes No ✓ 13. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes No ✓ (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) Yes No ✓ # of preserved bottles checked for pH: 14. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No ✓ (<2 or >12 unless r 15. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes No ✓ Adjusted? 16. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes No Checked by: (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Special Handling (if applicable) Na ✓ 17. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No NA ✓ Person Notified: Date Date	
12. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes No ✓ 13. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes No ✓ 13. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes No ✓ 14. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No ✓ 15. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes No ✓ 16. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes No ✓ (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Yes No ✓ Special Handling (if applicable) 17. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No NA 17. Was client notified:	
13. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) Yes ✓ No # of preserved bottles checked for pH: 14. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes ✓ No (<2 or >12 unless n 15. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes ✓ No Adjusted? 16. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Yes ✓ No Checked by: Special Handling (if applicable) 17. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No NA ✓ Person Notified: Date Date	
14. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes ✓ No (<2 or >12 unless in Adjusted? 15. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes ✓ No Adjusted? 16. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes ✓ No Adjusted? 16. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes ✓ No Checked by: 17. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No NA 17. Was client notified: Date	
15. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes No Adjusted? 16. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes No Checked by: (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Checked by: Checked by: Special Handling (if applicable) 15. Ves No NA 17. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No NA Person Notified: Date Date In Person By Whom: Via: eMail Phone Fax In Person	noted)
16. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes ♥ No □ (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Checked by: Special Handling (if applicable) 17, Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes □ No □ NA ♥ 17, Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes □ No □ NA ♥ Person Notified: □ □ □ By Whom: □ Via: □ eMail □ Phone □ Fax □ In Person □	
Special Handling (if applicable) 17, Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No Person Notified: By Whom: Via: eMail Phone Fax	
17. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No NA Person Notified: Date By Whom: Via: eMail Phone Fax In Person	
Person Notified: Date Date By Whom: Via: eMail Phone Fax In Person Regarding	
By Whom: Via: eMail Phone Fax I In Person	
Regarding	
Client Instructions:	
18. Additional remarks:	
	,
19. <u>Cooler Information</u>	
Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By	
1 1.0 Good Yes	•
	<u> </u>

Chain-of-Custody Record				Turn-Around	Time:						R LI			eri	\/T						
Client:	thing	13 En	vironmental Someo	□ Standard	K Rush	SAME	DAY					IAL N <i>i</i>	-L- \]	en Ysi	VI S I		BO	NC.		RY	
+				Project Name	ə:		- 0-						halle		nmer	ntol o	om				
Mailing	Address	1,21	C 1 manahe	Tes 1	29-4	5 #'	7A		400	างเม			=	Aibua			M 97	7100			
Henry	Nine -	ton	M RTUDI	Project #:			<u></u>		450		awkii	5 191 5 191	' 75	Fou	uerqi	ыс, н : олб	A 107	7			
Phone		57	N 2281					•	le	91. DU	0-34	0-39	ro An	alysi	s Re	gues	-410 ť			ترجع	
email o	r Fax#	REEPO	nimasenuwonmentel. com	Project Mana	iger:) E	(la				1	4/						
QA/QC I	Package:				D)		021	sor	Dies				U.	B's		;				
Stan	dard		Level 4 (Full Validation)	10	MI N	0	>	s (8	ପ୍ର	as/				C							
Accredi	tation			Sampler: 1	CR			₽	H	8	Ę	,	⊋	ģ	3082				ł		?
	AP	□ Othe	er	On Ice	. ≫ Yest	I North		Ŧ	+	015	418.	504	₹	s	s / S		(AC				P
	<u>(lype)</u>	<u> </u>		Sampleatem	peraturen ora				HE L	8 pg	po	ğ	P V	C letal	cide	R	-ic				ح s
Dete	T :	B.4 a tuit.	Comple Desusatio	Container	Preservative			₽	≥ +	leth	Meth	Meth	Žd	8 1	pest.	S	Sen				bble
Date	Time	Matrix	Sample Request ID	Type and #	Туре	III IIII IIII	LINO	Ш	Ш	E E	E H	B	ę l	ERA S I S	81 F	60B	70 (- Bul
tidio	Outo			Meot 1-1-		200		6		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	回	<u></u>	x 4		8	82	┢──╋	_ _	╧	<u> </u>
514112	940	201	50-1	Kits io	MeOH		-001	X			_	-+						\vdash		┶	
514112	945	501L	<u>SC-3</u>	KITS W	Medit		-002_	X									Ĺ				·,
																					_
																<u> </u> _					
									Ì									·		++	_
																				$\uparrow \uparrow$	
		[-								\uparrow				1	_
												-+							-+	╉╼╋	-
																				┨━╂	—
									_		-+	-+	·		+	+		┢╌╼╊		+	—
								-			_		· ;			+-		┢━━┼╴		++	
Date:	Time:	Relinquish	ed by:	Received by:	l	Date	Time	Rem	narks	 :				 		<u> </u>				┛	
51412	isnla	K')a	mi kosa	Christ.	hlad be	5/4/in	15710		~	13	nu D		\mathcal{O}	CC	Nα	\mathcal{D}	PH	1(1	P	2	
Date:	Time:	Relinquish	ed by:	Received by:		Date	Time	8	HIV	E	Y	LEV	10		ł	SIL	£1,	NG			
5/4/12	1526	MAR	tublela	Jul	K	5/5/1	10:00		/N	FO) F	ÐĽ	2	· P/L	QT i	CC	1-	5	ee Mar	(
	necessarv.	samples sub	mitted to Hall Environmental may be subc	ontracted to other ad	credited laboratorie	s. This serves	as notice of this	possit	nility A	Any su	h-contr	acted	lata w	ill he cle	arly no	tated o	n the a	nalvtica	i report		

.

.

. . e

If necessary, samples submitted to Hall Environmental may be subcontracted to other accredited laboratories. This serves as notice of this possibility. Any sub-contracted data will be clearly notated on the analytical report.

1