District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
1301 W. Grand Avenue, Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

# State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources Department Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

Form C-144 July 21, 2008

For temporary pits, closed-loop systems, and below-grade tanks, submit to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.
For permanent pits and exceptions submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

11635	
/,	

#### Pit, Closed-Loop System, Below-Grade Tank, or Proposed Alternative Method Permit or Closure Plan Application

Type of action:    Permit of a pit, closed-loop system, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method   Closure of a pit, closed-loop system, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method   Modification to an existing permit   Closure plan only submitted for an existing permitted or non-permitted pit, closed-loop system, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method
Instructions: Please submit one application (Form C-144) per individual pit, closed-loop system, below-grade tank or alternative request
Please be advised that approval of this request does not relieve the operator of liability should operations result in pollution of surface water, ground water or the environment. Nor does approval relieve the operator of its responsibility to comply with any other applicable governmental authority's rules, regulations or ordinances.
Operator: BP AMERICA PRODUCTION.COMPANY OGRID #: 778
Address: 200 Energy Court, Farmington, NM 87401
Facility or well name: GALLEGOS CANYON UNIT 223
API Number: 3004511619 OCD Permit Number:
U/L or Qtr/Qtr N Section 9.0 Township 28.0N Range 12W County: San Juan County
Center of Proposed Design: Latitude <u>36.67134</u> Longitude <u>-108.12132</u> NAD: ☐1927 ■ 1983
Surface Owner: 🗷 Federal 🗌 State 🔲 Private 🔲 Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment
Pit: Subsection F or G of 19.15.17.11 NMAC   RCVD JAN 22 '14     Temporary:   Drilling   Workover   OIL CONS. DIV.     Permanent   Emergency   Cavitation   P&A   DIST. 3     Lined   Unlined Liner type: Thickness   mil   LLDPE   HDPE   PVC   Other     String-Reinforced     Liner Seams:   Welded   Factory   Other   Volume:   bbl Dimensions: L x W x D
Closed-loop System: Subsection H of 19.15.17.11 NMAC   Type of Operation:   P&A   Drilling a new well   Workover or Drilling (Applies to activities which require prior approval of a permit or notice of intent)   Drying Pad   Above Ground Steel Tanks   Haul-off Bins   Other   Lined   Unlined Liner type: Thickness   mil   LLDPE   HDPE   PVC   Other   Liner Seams:   Welded   Factory   Other   Other   Close   Clo
Below-grade tank: Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC   Tank ID:   A
5.  Alternative Method:  Submittal of an exception request is required. Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

6. Fencing: Subsection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits, temporary pits, and below-grade tanks)  ☐ Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top (Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent residence, school, institution or church)  ☐ Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet  ★ Alternate. Please specify 4' Hogwire with single barbed wire	hospital,
Netting: Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks)  Screen Netting Other  Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)	
Signs: Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC  □ 12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers  ■ Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC	
9. Administrative Approvals and Exceptions: Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance.  Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank:  Administrative approval(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district or the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau consideration of approval.  Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.	office for
Siting Criteria (regarding permitting): 19.15.17.10 NMAC  Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of accept material are provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria may require administrative approval from the approoffice or may be considered an exception which must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of a Applicant must attach justification for request. Please refer to 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance. Siting criteria does not apply to drying above-grade tanks associated with a closed-loop system.	priate district pproval.
Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of the temporary pit, permanent pit, or below-grade tank.  - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS: Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes 🗷 No
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).  - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes 🗷 No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.  (Applies to temporary, emergency, or cavitation pits and below-grade tanks)  - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes 🗷 No ☐ NA
Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.  (Applies to permanent pits)  - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No ※ NA
Within 500 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring that less than five households use for domestic or stock watering purposes, or within 1000 horizontal feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of initial application.  - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes 🗷 No
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended.  - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes 🗷 No
Within 500 feet of a wetland.  - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes 🗷 No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	Yes 🗷 No
Within an unstable area.  - Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map	☐ Yes 🗷 No
Within a 100-year floodplain FEMA map	☐ Yes 🗷 No

Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.
Hydrogeologic Report (Below-grade Tanks) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Hydrogeologic Data (Temporary and Emergency Pits) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Sitting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
Design Fig. 1 - Dased upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC  Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC  Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC
Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number:
12.
Closed-loop Systems Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.
Geologic and Hydrogeologic Data (only for on-site closure) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (3) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9  Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations (only for on-site closure) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC  Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC
Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design)  API Number:
Previously Approved Operating and Maintenance Plan API Number:
above ground steet tanks or naut-off bins and propose to implement waste removal for closure)
Permanent Pits Permit Application Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC
Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are
attached.
Hydrogeologic Report - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC
Climatological Factors Assessment
Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Leak Detection Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
Liner Specifications and Compatibility Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
Quality Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installation Plan
Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Freeboard and Overtopping Prevention Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
Nuisance or Hazardous Odors, including H <sub>2</sub> S, Prevention Plan
☐ Emergency Response Plan ☐ Oil Field Waste Stream Characterization
Monitoring and Inspection Plan
☐ Erosion Control Plan ☐ Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC
Closure Fran - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.13.17.9 NMAC and 19.13.17.13 NMAC
Proposed Closure: 19.15.17.13 NMAC
Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan.
Type: Drilling Workover Emergency Cavitation P&A Permanent Pit Below-grade Tank Closed-loop System
Alternative Proposed Closure Method: Waste Excavation and Removal
Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only)
On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems)
In-place Burial On-site Trench Burial Alternative Closure Method (Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau for consideration)
15.
Waste Excavation and Removal Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the
closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.  Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
<ul> <li>☑ Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings)</li> <li>☑ Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC</li> </ul>
Son Backini and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection G of 19.15.17.13 NMAC

facilities are required.   Disposal Facility Name:   Disposal Facility Permit Number:   Disposal Facility Name:   Disposal Facility Permit Number:   Disposal Facility Permit Number:   Disposal Facility Permit Number:   Will any of the proposed closed-loop system operations and associated activities occur on or in areas that will not be used for full Yes (If yes, please provide the information below)   No	
Disposal Facility Name: Disposal Facility Permit Number: Will any of the proposed closed-loop system operations and associated activities occur on or in areas that will not be used for fu	
Will any of the proposed closed-loop system operations and associated activities occur on or in areas that will not be used for fu	
	ture service and operations?
Required for impacted areas which will not be used for future service and operations:  Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.12  Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection G of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	3 NMAC
17.  Siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only): 19.15.17.10 NMAC  Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendations of accepta, provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria may require administrative approval from the appropri considered an exception which must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approva demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance.	ate district office or may be
Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste.  - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes No
Ground water is between 50 and 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste  - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes No
Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste.  - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes No
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse or lakebed, sinkhole, or lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).  - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	playa Yes No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.  - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring that less than five households use for domestic or sto watering purposes, or within 1000 horizontal feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of initial application.  NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinal adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended.  - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	ance Yes No
Within 500 feet of a wetland US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed si	te ☐ Yes ☐ No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	Yes No
Within an unstable area.	
- Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geologic Society; Topographic map	cal Yes No
Within a 100-year floodplain FEMA map	Yes No
On-Site Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the cloby a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.  Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC  Proof of Surface Owner Notice - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC  Construction/Design Plan of Temporary Pit (for in-place burial of a drying pad) - based upon the appropriate requirements  Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings or in case on-site closure standard Soil Cover Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection G of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	C . s of 19.15.17.11 NMAC

19.   Operator Application Certification:	
I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.	
Name (Print): Jeffrey Peace Title: Field Environmental Advisor	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Signature:	
e-mail address: Peace.Jeffrey@bp.com Telephone: 505-326-9479	
OCD Approval: Permit Application (including closure plan Closure plan (only) OCD 90 ditions (see attachment)	
( SHX V. 1 1/33/2014 / / -	
Title: Serior Hydrodogist (Smplittice) OCD Permit Number:	
Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion): Subsection K of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved closure plan prior to implementing any closure activities and submitting the closure closure report is required to be submitted to the division within 60 days of the completion of the closure activities. Please do not complet section of the form until an approved closure plan has been obtained and the closure activities have been completed.  Closure Completion Date: 7-22-7 013	
22. Closure Method:	
Waste Excavation and Removal On-Site Closure Method Alternative Closure Method Waste Removal (Closed-loop system of the first from approved plan, please explain.	ms only)
Closure Report Regarding Waste Removal Closure For Closed-loop Systems That Utilize Above Ground Steel Tanks or Haul-off Bins Constructions: Please indentify the facility or facilities for where the liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings were disposed. Use attachment if two facilities were utilized.	if more than
Disposal Facility Name: Disposal Facility Permit Number:	
Disposal Facility Name: Disposal Facility Permit Number:	7
Yes (If yes, please demonstrate compliance to the items below) No	•
Required for impacted areas which will not be used for future service and operations:	
Site Reclamation (Photo Documentation) Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation	
Re-vegetation Application Rates and Seeding Technique	
24. <u>Closure Report Attachment Checklist</u> : Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure report. Please indicate, by mark in the box, that the documents are attached.	y a check
Proof of Closure Notice (surface owner and division)  Proof of Deed Notice (required for on-site closure)	
Plot Plan (for on-site closures and temporary pits)	•
Confirmation Sampling Analytical Results (if applicable)  Waste Material Sampling Analytical Results (required for on-site closure)	
Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number	
Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation  Re-vegetation Application Rates and Seeding Technique	
Re-vegetation Application Rates and Seeding Technique  Site Reclamation (Photo Documentation) On-site Closure Location: Latitude 36.67/34 Longitude 1983	2
	3
Operator Closure Certification:	
I hereby certify that the information and attachments submitted with this closure report is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge belief. I also certify that the closure complies with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.	ge and
Name (Print): Jeff Peace Title: Field Environmental Advis	or
Signature: Jeff Passe Date: January 21, 2014 e-mail address: peace. Jeffrey @ bp. com Telephone: (505) 326-9479	
e-mail address: peace. Jeffrey @ bp. com Telephone: (505) 326-9479	

Barrell He

#### BP AMERICA PRODUCTION COMPANY

SAN JUAN BASIN, NORTHWEST NEW MEXICO

#### BELOW-GRADE TANK CLOSURE PLAN

# Gallegos Canyon Unit 223 API No. 3004511619 Unit Letter N, Section 9, T28N, R12W

RCVD JAN 22'14 DIL CONS. DIV. DIST. 3

This plan will address the standard protocols and procedures for closure of below-grade tanks (BGTs) on BP America Production Company (BP) well sites. As stipulated in Paragraph A of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall close a BGT within the time periods provided in 19.15.17.13 NMAC, or by an earlier date that the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) requires because of imminent danger to fresh water, public health, safety or the environment. If deviations from this plan are necessary, any specific changes will be included on form C-144 and approved by the NMOCD. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC or is not included in Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC within five years after June 16, 2008, if not retrofit with a BGT that complies with the BP NMOCD approved BGT design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC, if not previously retrofitted to comply with the BP NMOCD approve BGT Design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan, prior to any sale or change in operator pursuant to 19.15.9.9 NMAC. BP shall close the permitted BGT within 60 days of cessation of the BGTs operation or as required by the transitional provisions of Subsection B, D, or E of 19.15.17.17 NMAC.

#### **General Closure Plan**

- 1. BP shall notify the surface owner by certified mail that it plans to close a BGT. Evidence of mailing of the notice to the address of the surface owner shown in the county tax records demonstrates compliance with this requirement.
  - No notice was made due to misunderstanding of the notice requirements. BP did not think notice was necessary if BGT was removed as part of plug and abandon operations. Closure notices will be made for all BGT closures from this point forward.
- 2. BP shall notify the division District III office verbally or by other means at least 72 hours, but not more than one (1) week, prior to any closure operation. The notice shall include the operator's name, and the location to be closed by unit letter, section, township and range. If the BGT closure is associated with a particular well, then the notice shall also include the well's name, number and API number.
  - No notice was made due to misunderstanding of the notice requirements. BP did not think notice was necessary if BGT was removed as part of plug and abandon operations. Closure notices will be made for all BGT closures from this point forward.

- 3. BP shall remove liquids and sludge from the BGT prior to implementing a closure method and dispose of the liquids and sludge in a NMOCD's division-approved facility. The facilities to be used are:
  - a. BP Crouch Mesa Landfarm, Permit NM-02-003 (Solids)
  - b. JFJ Landfarm, Permit NM-01-010(B) (Solids and Sludge)
  - c. Basin Disposal, Permit NM-01-0005 (Liquids)
  - d. Envirotech Inc Soil Remediation Facility, Permit NM-01-0011 (Solids and Sludge)
  - e. BP Operated E.E. Elliott SWD #1, API 30-045-27799 (Liquids)
  - f. BP Operated 13 GCU SWD #1, API 30-045-28601 (Liquids)
  - g. BP Operated GCU 259 SWD, API 30-045-20006 (Liquids)
  - h. BP Operated GCU 306 SWD, API 30-045-24286 (Liquids)
  - i. BP Operated GCU 307 SWD, API 30-045-24248 (Liquids)
  - j. BP Operated GCU 328 SWD, API 30-045-24735 (Liquids)
  - k. BP Operated Pritchard SWD #1, API 30-045-28351 (Liquids)

All liquids and sludge in the BGT were removed and sent to one of the above NMOCD approved facilities for disposal.

4. BP shall remove the BGT and dispose of it in a NMOCD approved facility or recycle, reuse, or reclaim it in a manner that the NMOCD approves. If a liner is present and must be disposed of it will be cleaned by scraping any soils or other attached materials on the liner to a de minimus amount and disposed at a permitted solid waste facility, pursuant to Subparagraph (m) of Paragraph (1) of Subsection C of 19.15.35.8 NMAC. Documentation as to the final disposition of the removed BGT will be provided in the final closure report.

The BGT was transported to a storage area for sale and re-use.

5. BP shall remove any on-site equipment associated with a BGT unless the equipment is required for well production.

All equipment associated with the BGT has been removed.

6. BP shall test the soils beneath the BGT to determine whether a release has occurred. BP shall collect at a minimum: a five (5) point composite sample and individual grab samples from any area that is wet, discolored or showing other evidence of a release and analyze for BTEX, TPH and chlorides. The testing methods for those constituents are as follows;

Constituents	Testing Method	Release Verification	Sample
		(mg/Kg)	results
Benzene	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	0.2	ND
Total BTEX	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	50	ND
TPH	US EPA Method SW-846 418.1	100	ND
Chlorides	US EPA Method 300.0 or 4500B	250 or background	ND

Notes: mg/Kg = milligram per kilogram, BTEX = benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes, TPH = total petroleum hydrocarbons. Other EPA methods that the division approves may be applied to all constituents listed. Chloride closure standards will be determined by which ever concentration level is greatest.

Soil under the BGT was sampled and TPH, BTEX, and chloride levels were below the stated limits. Sampling data is attached.

- 7. BP shall notify the division District III office of its results on form C-141. C-141 is attached.
- 8. If it is determined that a release has occurred, then BP will comply with 19.15.30 NMAC and 19.15.29 NMAC, as appropriate.

Sampling results indicate no release occurred.

9. If the sampling demonstrates that a release has not occurred or that any release does not exceed the concentrations specified above, then BP shall backfill the excavation, with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material; construct a division-prescribed soil cover, re-contour and re-vegetate the location. The location will be reclaimed if it is not with in the active process area

The area under the BGT was backfilled with clean soil. It is within the area that will be reclaimed as part of final reclamation.

10. BP shall reclaim the BGT location and all areas associated with the BGT including associated access roads to a safe and stable condition that blends with the surrounding undisturbed area. BP shall substantially restore the impacted surface area to the condition that existed prior to oil and gas operations by placement of the soil cover as provided in Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, re-contour the location and associated areas to a contour that approximates the original contour and blends with the surrounding topography and re-vegetate according to Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC.

The area under the BGT was backfilled with clean soil. It is within the area that will be reclaimed as part of final reclamation.

11. The soil cover for closures where the BGT has been removed or remediated to the NMOCD's satisfaction shall consist of the background thickness of topsoil or one foot of suitable material to establish vegetation at the site, whichever is greater. The soil cover will be constructed to the site's existing grade and all practicable efforts will be made to prevent ponding of water and erosion of the cover material.

The area under the BGT was backfilled with clean soil. It is within the area that will be reclaimed as part of final reclamation.

12. BP shall seed the disturbed area the first growing season after closure of the BGT. Seeding will be accomplished by drilling on the contour whenever practical or by other division-approved methods. Vegetative cover will be, at a minimum, 70% of the native perennial vegetative cover (un-impacted by overgrazing, fire or other intrusion damaging to native vegetation), consisting of at least three native plant species, including at least one grass, but not including noxious weeds, and maintenance of that cover through two successive growing seasons. During the two growing seasons that prove viability, there shall be no artificial irrigation of the vegetation.

The area under the BGT was backfilled with clean soil. It is within the area that will be reclaimed as part of final reclamation.

- 13. BP shall seed, plant and re-seed pursuant to Paragraph (3) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, until the location successfully achieves the required vegetative cover.
  - BP will seed the area as part of final reclamation.
- 14. Pursuant to Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall notify the NMOCD when it has seeded or planted and when it successfully achieves revegetation.

BP will notify NMOCD when re-vegetation is successful.

- 15. Within 60 days of closure completion, BP shall submit a closure report on NMOCD's form C-144, and will include the following;
  - a. proof of closure notification (surface owner and NMOCD)
  - b. sampling analytical reports; information required by 19.15.17 NMAC;
  - c. disposal facility name and permit number
  - d. details on back-filling, capping, covering, and where applicable re-vegetation application rates and seeding techniques and
  - e. site reclamation, photo documentation.

    Closure report on C-144 form is included.
- 16. BP shall certify that all information in the report and attachments is accurate, truthful, and compliant with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.

Certification section of C-144 has been completed.

District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

# State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

Form C-141 Revised August 8, 2011

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505 Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

			Rele	ease Notific	atio	n and Co	rrective A	ction					
•						OPERA'	ГOR		nitial I	Report	$\boxtimes$	Final Report	
				M 87401									
Facility Nar	ne: Galleg	os Canyon U	Jnit 223			Facility Typ	e: Natural gas v	vell					
Surface Owner: Federal Mineral Owner: Federal API No. 30045116								19					
LOCATION OF RELEASE													
Unit Letter	it Letter   Section   Township   Range   Feet from the   Nor					/South Line	Feet from the	East/West L	ne C	County: Sa	an Juan		
N	9	28N	12W	795	South	1	1,490	West	1				
L	L	Lati	tude3	6.67134	<u>L</u>	Longitud	e108.12132		<del>\</del>				
				NAT	URE	OF REL	EASE						
Type of Rele	ase: none		****					Volu	me Rec	covered: N	I/A		
Name of Company: BP  Address: 200 Energy Court, Farmington, NM 87401  Facility Name: Gallegos Canyon Unit 223  Surface Owner: Federal  Mineral Owner: Federal  LOCATION OF RELEASE  Unit Letter Section Township Range Feet from the North/South Line Feet from the East/West Line County: San Juan													
Was Immedia	ate Notice (		Yes [	No 🛛 Not Re	equired		Whom?					:	
By Whom?				****		Date and I	lour						
Was a Water	course Read		Yes 🗵	] No		If YES, Vo	olume Impacting t	he Watercours		RCVD J	AN 2	2'14	
If a Watercou	ırse was İm	pacted, Descr	ibe Fully.	*						nu ci	NS.	MU.	
			,										
the BGT. So  Describe Are	il analysis i a Affected	esulted in TP	H, BTEX	and chloride beloven.* BGT was re	w stanc	lards. Analysi	s results are attack	hed. T was sample					
	_												
regulations a public health should their or or the environ	Il operators or the envi operations h nment. In a	are required to ronment. The nave failed to addition, NMC	o report and acceptance acceptanc	nd/or file certain report of a C-141 report investigate and report i	elease ort by the emedia	notifications a he NMOCD mate contaminat	nd perform correct arked as "Final Ricon that pose a three the operator of the	etive actions for eport" does not eat to ground or responsibility	r releas t relieve vater, se for com	ses which we the oper surface wan pliance w	may er rator of iter, hu vith any	ndanger `liability man health	
	0.0	_				OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION							
Signature:	off	Peace											
Printed Name	e: Jeff Peac	e				Approved by	Environmental S	pecialist:					
Title: Field E	nvironmen	tal Advisor				Approval Da	te:	Expiration Date:					
Name of Company: BP													
		ets If Necess		: 505-326-9479		<del></del>		-					

(\$505) 632-1199  (\$705)					
FIELD REPORT:	(circle one): BGT CONFIRMATION /	RELEASE INVESTIGATION / OT	HER:	PAGE#:	of
SITE INFORMATION	J: SITE NAME: GCU # 2	23		DATE STARTED:	07/22/13
			ST: NM		01,722.10
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
LEASE #: SF 078109	PROD. FORMATION: <b>DK</b> CO	CROSSFIR NTRACTOR: MBF - C. D	E AVIS	SPECIALIST(S):	
REFERENCE POINT	Γ: WELL HEAD (W.H.) GPS (	COORD.: 36.67169	X 108.12106	GL ELEV	v.: <b>5,491'</b>
1) 95 BGT (SW/SB)	GPS COORD.: <b>36</b>	.67134 X 108.12132	DISTANCE/BE/		4001 000144
2)	GPS COORD.:		DISTANCE/BE/	ARING FROM W.H.:	
P.O. BOX 87, BLOOMFIELD, NM 87413 (505) 632-1199  FIELD REPORT: (circle one): (BCTCORRIBATION) / RELEASE INVESTIGATION / OTHER:  PAGE # 1 of 1  DATE STARTED 07/22/13  DATE STARTED 07/					
P.O. BOX 87, BLOOMFIELD, NM 87413 (505) 632-1199  FIELD REPORT: (crick one): BST CONFIRMATION / RELEASE INVESTIGATION / OTHER:  SITE INFORMATION / SEC 9 TO 28N RING COU # 22N  MAY HAPPOTAGE: 795'S/1,490'W SEISW LEASE TYPE (FEDERAL) STATE / FEE / INDIAN  MAY HAPPOTAGE: 795'S/1,490'W SEISW LEASE TYPE (FEDERAL) STATE / FEE / INDIAN  MAY HAPPOTAGE: 795'S/1,490'W SEISW LEASE TYPE (FEDERAL) STATE / FEE / INDIAN  MAY HAPPOTAGE: 795'S/1,490'W SEISW LEASE TYPE (FEDERAL) STATE / FEE / INDIAN  MAY HAPPOTAGE: 795'S/1,490'W SEISW LEASE TYPE (FEDERAL) STATE / FEE / INDIAN  MAY HAPPOTAGE: 795'S/1,490'W SEISW LEASE TYPE (FEDERAL) STATE / FEE / INDIAN  MAY HAPPOTAGE: 795'S/1,490'W SEISW LEASE TYPE (FEDERAL) STATE / FEE / INDIAN  MAY HAPPOTAGE: 795'S/1,490'W SEISW LEASE TYPE (FEDERAL) STATE / FEE / INDIAN  MAY HAPPOTAGE: 795'S/1,490'W SEISW LEASE TYPE (FEDERAL) STATE / FEE / INDIAN  MAY HAPPOTAGE: 795'S/1,490'W SEISW LEASE TYPE (FEDERAL) STATE / FEE / INDIAN  MAY HAPPOTAGE: 795'S/1,490'W SEISW LEASE TYPE (FEDERAL) STATE / FEE / INDIAN  MAY HAPPOTAGE: 795'S/1,490'W SEISW LEASE TYPE (FEDERAL) STATE / FEE / INDIAN  MAY HAPPOTAGE: 795'S/1,490'W SEISW LEASE TYPE (FEDERAL) STATE / FEE / INDIAN  MAY HAPPOTAGE: 795'S/1,490'W SEISW LEASE TYPE (FEDERAL) STATE / FEE / INDIAN  MAY HAPPOTAGE (FEDERAL) AND HAPPOTAGE (FEDERAL) STATE / FEE / INDIAN  MAY HAPPOTAGE (FED					
SAMPLING DATA:	CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD(S) # OF	R LAB USED:			
				3015B/8021B/300	(ppm)
2) SAMPLE ID:	SAMPLE DATE:	SAMPLE TIME: L	LAB ANALYSIS:		
3) SAMPLE ID:	SAMPLE DATE:	SAMPLE TIME:	LAB ANALYSIS:		
4) SAMPLE ID:	SAMPLE DATE:	SAMPLE TIME: L	LAB ANALYSIS:		
SOIL COLOR: DARK Y COHESION (ALL OTHERS): NON COHESIVE SLIGHT CONSISTENCY (NON COHESIVE SOILS): L MOISTURE: DRY SLIGHTLY MOIST MOIST / W SAMPLE TYPE: GRAB COMPOSITE- DISCOLORATION/STAINING OBSERVED ANY AREAS DISPLAYING WETNESS: YES N APPARENT EVIDENCE OF A RELEASE ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: BGT - 15' D ABANDONED (P&A) - AUGUST 2011.	ELLOWISH ORANGE LY COHESIVE / COHESIVE / HIGHLY COHESIVE OOSE / FIRM / DENSE / VERY DENSE WET / SATURATED / SUPER SATURATED # OF PTS. 5 D: YES / NO EXPLANATION - DESERVED AND/OR OCCURRED: Y	PLASTICITY (CLAYS): NON PLA DENSITY (COHESIVE CI HC ODOR DETECTED CONTROL OF THE CONTROL OF THE	STIC / SLIGHTLY PLASTIC / (LAYS & SILTS): SOFT D: YES NO EXPL	COHESME / MEDIUM PLASTIC / FIRM / STIFF / VERY ANATION - ECENTLY PLUGGEI	STIFF / HARD
.4001				•	
SITE SKETCH	P&A	PLOT PLAN circle	OVM	CALIB. GAS = <u>100</u> : <u>11:40</u> am)pm D <i>i</i>	ppm RF = 1.00 ATE: <b>07/22/13</b>
			• [	MISCELL.	NOTES
	•	•	<u> </u>	O: N150582	65
			I -		
(x			I —		
		X	Or Tar ID	CD Appr. date(s):  OVM = Organic ppm = parts per BGT Sidewalls Visib	04/08/13 Vapor Meter million ble: Y N
		_OW; T.H. = TEST HOLE; ~ = APPROX.; W	/.H. = WELL HEAD;		
			VALL; NA - NOT	lagnetic declination	on: <b>10</b> E
TDAVEL NOTES:		07/0	2/13		

### **Analytical Report**

Lab Order 1307A96 Date Reported: 7/29/2013

#### Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

**CLIENT:** Blagg Engineering

Client Sample ID: 95 BGT 5-pt @ 4'

Project: GCU 223 Collection Date: 7/22/2013 11:35:00 AM

1307A96-001 Lab ID:

Matrix: SOIL

Received Date: 7/24/2013 10:00:00 AM

Result	RL Qu	al Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
ORGANICS				Analys	t: JME
ND	10	mg/Kg	1	7/26/2013 5:32:28 PM	8492
75.3	63-147	%REC	1	7/26/2013 5:32:28 PM	8492
NGE				Analys	t: DAM
ND	4.8	mg/Kg	1	7/25/2013 4:45:29 PM	8541
93.9	80-120	%REC	1	7/25/2013 4:45:29 PM	8541
				Analys	t: DAM
ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	7/25/2013 4:45:29 PM	8541
ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	7/25/2013 4:45:29 PM	8541
ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	7/25/2013 4:45:29 PM	8541
ND	0.097	mg/Kg	1	7/25/2013 4:45:29 PM	8541
96.6	80-120	%REC	1	7/25/2013 4:45:29 PM	8541
				Analys	t: JRR
ND	1.5	mg/Kg	1	7/24/2013 10:25:10 PM	1 8548
				Analys	t: jmb
ND	20	mg/Kg	1	7/24/2013 12:00:00 PM	1 8542
	ND 75.3  NGE ND 93.9  ND 96.6	ND 10 75.3 63-147  NGE  ND 4.8 93.9 80-120  ND 0.048 ND 0.048 ND 0.048 ND 0.048 ND 0.097 96.6 80-120  ND 1.5	ND 10 mg/Kg 75.3 63-147 %REC  NGE  ND 4.8 mg/Kg 93.9 80-120 %REC  ND 0.048 mg/Kg ND 0.097 mg/Kg 96.6 80-120 %REC	ND 10 mg/Kg 1 75.3 63-147 %REC 1  NGE  ND 4.8 mg/Kg 1 93.9 80-120 %REC 1  ND 0.048 mg/Kg 1 ND 0.048 mg/Kg 1 ND 0.048 mg/Kg 1 ND 0.048 mg/Kg 1 ND 0.048 mg/Kg 1 ND 0.048 mg/Kg 1 ND 0.097 mg/Kg 1 96.6 80-120 %REC 1	Analys  ND 10 mg/Kg 1 7/26/2013 5:32:28 PM 75.3 63-147 %REC 1 7/26/2013 5:32:28 PM  NGE  ND 4.8 mg/Kg 1 7/25/2013 4:45:29 PM 93.9 80-120 %REC 1 7/25/2013 4:45:29 PM  Analys  ND 0.048 mg/Kg 1 7/25/2013 4:45:29 PM ND 0.097 mg/Kg 1 7/25/2013 4:45:29 PM 96.6 80-120 %REC 1 7/25/2013 4:45:29 PM 96.6 80-120 %REC 1 7/25/2013 4:45:29 PM Analys  ND 1.5 mg/Kg 1 7/24/2013 10:25:10 PM Analys

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

#### Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- RPD outside accepted recovery limits

- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

- Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

  Page 1 of 6
  Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

C	<u>hain</u>	<u>-of-Cu</u>	stody Record	I urn-Around	Time:			1.		ı.	1.6		F	NIN	/TE	20	N	ae:	NTA	A I	
Client:	BLAC	SO ENC	GINEERING INC.	Standard	□ Rush		] [	200											TO		7
	RP A	1 MER	ICA	Project Name	э:			÷.	4,50						ment						
Mailing	Address	PO	1CA Box 87	60	LU 22	.3		49	01 H									'109			
Z	22002	FIELD	NM 87413	Project #:			1		el. 50					-	-		4107				
Phone :			632-1199						; 4: ; 3:43 <u></u>				naly	/sis	Req	uest		1		3	
email o	Fax#:			Project Mana	iger:			廥	<b>P</b>					04)				. 1			l
QA/QC I	Package: dard		☐ Level 4 (Full Validation)	J.	J. Bu	<i></i>	±MB's (8021)	TPH (Gas only)	30 (14FR)			SIMS)		,PO4,S	PCB's					}	
Accredi		□ Othe	r	Sampler:	J BL	466 1102		+ TPH	O / DRO	418.1)	£.4	8270 8		3,NO <sub>2</sub>	/ 808		<b>&amp;</b>	141	i		
□ EDD	(Type)_					Zerom manifest on		ᇤ	(GRO	d 4,	d 5(	Ö	tals	I,NC	ides	ا ہر	0	(9)			2
Date	Time	Matrix	Sample Request ID		Preservative Type		BTEX + WHEN	BTEX + MTBE	TPH 8015B	TPH (Method	EDB (Method 504.1)	PAH's (8310 or 8270	RCRA 8 Metals	Anions (F,CI,NO3,NO2,PO4,SO4)	8081 Pesticides / 8082	8260B (VOA)	8270 (Semi-VOA)	CHLURIDE			Air Duhhloo
1/13	(135	SOIL	95 BGT / 5- Pt @ 4	402×1	COUL	-01	×		Χ	X				<b>,</b>				X	7	$\top$	T
				100			<del>                                     </del>													+	T
							<del> </del>										$\neg$			1	t
							<u> </u>											$\top$		+	†
							T					i						$\top$	1	†	t
																				$\top$	T
												Ī								1	T
																				$\top$	Γ
_																		$\perp$			
							<u> </u>														
Date: 7/23/2013	Time: 1016	Relinquishe	1 Blagg	Received by:	Icela	Date Time 7/23/2013 LOUG	Rer	nark				3P . :		- F	ΓŊ	le-1	ଦ ୯	JS			
Date:	Time: 1754	Relinquishe	to take	Received by.	A	Date Time		,	מ ז הפש									JJ			
	necessary.	amples subn	nitted to Hall Environmental may be subc	contracted to other a	ccredited laboratorie	es. This serves as notice of thi	s possi											ıalytical	report.		_

#### Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1307A96

29-Jul-13

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

GCU 223

Sample ID MB-8548

SampType: MBLK

TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

Client ID: PBS

Batch ID: 8548

PQL

RunNo: 12182

Prep Date: 7/24/2013

Analysis Date: 7/24/2013

SeqNo: 346530

Units: mg/Kg HighLimit

Analyte

Result

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit

**RPDLimit** Qual

Chloride

ND 1.5

Sample ID LCS-8548

SampType: LCS

TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

Client ID: LCSS Prep Date: 7/24/2013 Batch ID: 8548

RunNo: 12182

Analysis Date: 7/24/2013

SeqNo: 346531

Units: mg/Kg

Analyte

1.5

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit

HighLimit %RPD

%RPD

**RPDLimit** Qual

97.0

Chloride

Result 15

15.00

0

110

Qualifiers:

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

E Value above quantitation range

Analyte detected below quantitation limits

RPD outside accepted recovery limits

0 RSD is greater than RSDlimit В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit Page 2 of 6

Reporting Detection Limit

P

#### Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1307A96

29-Jul-13

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

GCU 223

Sample ID MB-8542	SampT	ype: ME	BLK	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	418.1: TPH			
Client ID: PBS	Batch	1D: 85	42	F	lunNo: 1	2153				
Prep Date: 7/24/2013	Analysis D	ate: 7/	24/2013	S	SeqNo: 3	45813	Units: mg/k	(g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	ND	20								

Sample ID LCS-8542 SampType: LCS TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH Batch ID: 8542 Client ID: LCSS RunNo: 12153 Prep Date: 7/24/2013 Analysis Date: 7/24/2013 SeqNo: 345814 Units: mg/Kg Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit %RPD **RPDLimit** Analyte HighLimit Qual 100 20 100.0 101 Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR 120

Sample ID LCSD-8542	SampT	SD	Tes	TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH						
Client ID: LCSS02	Batch	n ID: 85	42	RunNo: 12153						
Prep Date: 7/24/2013	Analysis D	)ate: 7/	24/2013	SeqNo: <b>345815</b>			Units: mg/Kg			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Petroleum Hydrocarbons TR	100	20	100.0	0	101	80	120	0	20	

#### Qualifiers:

\* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

E Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

O RSD is greater than RSDlimit

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

P Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.

RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 3 of 6

#### Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1307A96

29-Jul-13

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

GCU 223

Project: GCU 22	23	<u> </u>					
Sample ID MB-8492	SampType: MBLK TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics						
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 8492	RunNo: 12141					
Prep Date: 7/22/2013	Analysis Date: 7/24/2013	SeqNo: 345745 Units: mg/Kg					
Analyte	Result PQL SPK valu	ue SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual					
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND 10						
Surr: DNOP	13 10.0	00 127 63 147					
Sample ID LCS-8492	SampType: LCS	TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics					
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 8492	RunNo: 12141					
Prep Date: 7/22/2013	Analysis Date: 7/24/2013	SeqNo: 345791 Units: mg/Kg					
Analyte	Result PQL SPK valu	ue SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual					
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	52 10 50.0	00 0 104 77.1 128					
Surr: DNOP	5.6 5.00	00 112 63 147					
Sample ID MB-8571	SampType: MBLK	TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics					
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 8571	RunNo: 12209					
Prep Date: 7/25/2013	Analysis Date: 7/26/2013	SeqNo: 347324 Units: %REC					
Analyte	Result PQL SPK valu	ue SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual					
Surr: DNOP	10 10.0	99.9 63 147					
Sample ID LCS-8571	SampType: LCS	TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics					
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 8571	RunNo: 12209					
Prep Date: 7/25/2013	Analysis Date: 7/26/2013	SeqNo: 347332 Units: %REC					
Analyte	Result PQL SPK valu	ue SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual					
Surr: DNOP	4.0 5.00	00 79.2 63 147					

#### Qualifiers:

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 4 of 6

#### Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Result

28

1000

PQL

5.0

WO#:

**RPDLimit** 

1307A96

29-Jul-13

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)

Surr: BFB

GCU 223

Sample ID MB-8541 Client ID: PBS	SampType: MBLK Batch ID: 8541				TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range RunNo: 12184						
Prep Date: 7/24/2013	Analysis D	ate: 7/	25/2013	SeqNo: <b>347415</b>			Units: mg/Kg				
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual	
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	5.0			•						
Surr: BFB	930		1000		92.7	80	120				
Sample ID LCS-8541	SampT	ype: LC	s	TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range							
Client ID: LCSS	Batcl	n ID: <b>85</b>	41	F	RunNo: 1	2184					
Prep Date: 7/24/2013	Analysis D	ate: 7/	25/2013	S	eqNo: 3	47417	Units: mg/K	ζg			

%REC

111

99.7

LowLimit

62.6

80

HighLimit

136

120

SPK value SPK Ref Val

25.00

1000

#### Qualifiers:

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

E Value above quantitation range

Analyte detected below quantitation limits

O RSD is greater than RSDlimit

RPD outside accepted recovery limits

В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

P Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.

Reporting Detection Limit

Page 5 of 6

RL

#### Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1307A96

29**-**Jul-13

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

GCU 223

Sample ID MB-8541	SampType: MBLK			Tes							
Client ID: PBS	Batcl	n ID: <b>85</b> 4	41	RunNo: <b>12184</b> SeqNo: <b>347493</b> U							
Prep Date: 7/24/2013	Analysis [	)ate: 7/	25/2013				Units: mg/F	(g			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual	
Benzene	ND	0.050									
Toluene	ND	0.050									
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.050									
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.10									
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.98		1.000		97.6	80	120				

Sample ID LCS-8541	SampType: LCS				TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles							
Client ID: LCSS	Batcl	h ID: <b>85</b> 4	11 RunNo: 12184									
Prep Date: 7/24/2013	Analysis D	Date: 7/	25/2013	SeqNo: <b>347495</b>			Units: mg/Kg					
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual		
Benzene	1.0	0.050	1.000	0	103	80	120					
Toluene	1.0	0.050	1.000	0	105	80	120					
Ethylbenzene .	1.0	0.050	1.000	0	104	80	120					
Xylenes, Total	3.1	0.10	3.000	0	104	80	120					
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.0		1.000		101	80	120					

#### Qualifiers:

\* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

E Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

O RSD is greater than RSDlimit

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

P Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.

RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 6 of 6



riau Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109

TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

# Sample Log-In Check List

Client Name: BLAGG Work Order N	Number: 1307A96		RcptNo:	1
Received by/date: LM 07/24//3				
Logged By: Anne Thorne 7/24/2013 10:0	0:00 AM	anne Sham	_	
Completed By: Anne Thorne 7/24/2013		anne Som		
Reviewed By: 01/24/13		and Jim		
Chain of Custody	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-	
1. Custody seals intact on sample bottles?	Yes 🗌	No 🗆	Not Present	
2. Is Chain of Custody complete?	Yes 🗹	No 🗆	Not Present	
3. How was the sample delivered?	Courier			
<u>Log In</u>				•
Was an attempt made to cool the samples?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌	na 🗆	
5. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0	°C Yes 🗹	No 🗌	na 🗆	
6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?	Yes 🗹	No 🗆		
7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?	Yes 🗹	No 🔲		
8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌		
9. Was preservative added to bottles?	Yes	No 🗹	NA 🗆	
10.VOA vials have zero headspace?	Yes 🗀	No 🗌	No VOA Vials 🗹	
11. Were any sample containers received broken?	Yes	No 🗹	# of preserved	
12. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody)	Yes 🗹	No 🗀	bottles checked for pH:	r >12 unless noted)
13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?	Yes 🗹	No 🗀	Adjusted?	
14. Is it clear what analyses were requested?	Yes 🗹	No 🗆		
15. Were all holding times able to be met?	Yes 🗹	No 🗆	Checked by:	· 
(If no, notify customer for authorization.)				
Special Handling (if applicable)				•
16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order?	Yes 🗌	No 🗔	NA 🗹	•
Person Notified:	Date			]
By Whom:	<b>'</b>	Phone Fax	☐ In Person	
Regarding:				
Client Instructions:				
17. Additional remarks:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Ī
18. Cooler Information				
Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seal Intact Seal	No Seal Date	Signed By		
[			I	



