 <u>District 1</u> 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 <u>District 11</u> 1301 W. Grand Avenue, Artesia, NM 88210 <u>District 111</u> 1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410 <u>District 1V</u> 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505 	State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources Department Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505	Form C-144 July 21, 2008 For temporary pits, closed-loop systems, and below-grade tanks, submit to the appropriate NMOCD District Office. For permanent pits and exceptions submit to the Santa Fc Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.
	osed-Loop System, Below-Grade The Institute Method Permit or Closure I	
Closure Modific Closure below-grade tank, or proposed	of a pit, closed-loop system, below-grade tank, of of a pit, closed-loop system, below-grade tank, ation to an existing permit plan only submitted for an existing permitted of d alternative method on (Form C-144) per individual pit, closed-loop syst relieve the operator of liability should operations result i	or proposed alternative method or proposed alternative method r non-permitted pit, closed-loop system, tem, below-grade tank or alternative request in pollution of surface water, ground water or the
1. Operator: BP AMERICA PRODUCTION CO Address: 200 Energy Court, Farmington, NA		
API Number: 3004506884 U/L or Qtr/Qtr GSection 5.0	OCD Permit Number: Township 27.0N Range 12W Longitude -108.13182	County: San Juan County
2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	&A mil [] LLDPE [] HDPE [] PVC [] O	RCVD FEB 6 '14 OIL CONS. DIV. DIST. 3 ther
3. Closed-loop System: Subsection H of 19.15.1 Type of Operation: P&A Drilling a new we intent) Drying Pad Above Ground Steel Tanks	17.11 NMAC II I Workover or Drilling (Applies to activities wh Haul-off Bins I Other	ich require prior approval of a permit or notice of
Tank Construction material: Steel Secondary containment with leak detection Image: Steel Visible sidewalls and liner Visible sidewalls	1 NMAC (Closure Plan submittal only) id: Produced Water	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
5. <u>Alternative Method</u> : Submittal of an exception request is required. Exce	eptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environme	ntal Bureau office for consideration of approval.

Fencing: Subsection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits, temporary pits, and below-grade tanks)

Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top (Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution or church)

Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet

Alternate. Please specify_

Netting: Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks)

Screen 🗌 Netting 🗌 Other

Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)

Signs: Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC

🗌 12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers

Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC

Administrative Approvals and Exceptions:

Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance.

Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank:

Administrative approval(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district or the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

10. Siting Criteria (regarding permitting): 19.15.17.10 NMAC

Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of accel material are provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria may require administrative approval from the appro office or may be considered an exception which must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of a Applicant must attach justification for request. Please refer to 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance. Siting criteria does not apply to dry above-grade tanks associated with a closed-loop system.	opriate district approval.
Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of the temporary pit, permanent pit, or below-grade tank. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
 Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	Yes No
 Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. (Applies to temporary, emergency, or cavitation pits and below-grade tanks) Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image 	Yes No NA
 Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. (Applies to permanent pits) Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image 	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
 Within 500 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring that less than five households use for domestic or stock watering purposes, or within 1000 horizontal feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of initial application. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	Yes No
 Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
 Within 500 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
 Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
 Within an unstable area. Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
Within a 100-year floodplain. - FEMA map	🗋 Yes 🗌 No

	11. 11. 11. 12mporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC 1nstructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Hydrogeologic Data (Temporary and Emergency Pits) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Important Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number:
	Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number:
	Previously Approved Operating and Maintenance Plan API Number:
	above ground steel tanks or haul-off bins and propose to implement waste removal for closure)
1	13.
	Permanent Pits Permit Application Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Hydrogeologic Report - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Climatological Factors Assessment Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Leak Detection Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Quality Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installation Plan Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Freeboard and Overtopping Prevention Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Musiance or Hazardous Odors, including H ₂ S, Prevention Plan Emergency Response Plan Oil Field Waste Stream Characterization Monitoring and Inspection Plan Errosion Control Plan Errosion Control Plan Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC
	Proposed Closure: 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan.
	Type: Drilling Workover Emergency Cavitation P&A Permanent Pit Below-grade Tank Closed-loop System
	Proposed Closure Method: X Waste Excavation and Removal Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only)
	On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems)
	 In-place Burial On-site Trench Burial Alternative Closure Method (Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau for consideration)
	 ^{15.} Waste Excavation and Removal Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings) Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection G of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection G of 19.15.17.13 NMAC

.

,

.

.

16. Waste Removal Closure For Closed-loop Systems That Utilize Above Ground Steel Ta Instructions: Please indentify the facility or facilities for the disposal of liquids, drilling facilities are required.	nks or Haul-off Bins Only: (19.15.17.13.E fluids and drill cuttings. Use attachment if n) NMAC) nore than two
Disposal Facility Name: Disposa	I Facility Permit Number:	
	Facility Permit Number:	
Will any of the proposed closed-loop system operations and associated activities occur on o Yes (If yes, please provide the information below) No		
Required for impacted areas which will not be used for future service and operations: Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications based upon the appropriate requirer Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection 1 of 19.1 Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection G of	5.17.13 NMAC	2
^{17.} <u>Siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only)</u> : 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the closure provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria may require admin considered an exception which must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guida	istrative approval from the appropriate disti i office for consideration of approval. Justi	rict office or may be
Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained	d from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Ground water is between 50 and 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained	d from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained	d from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark) - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	watercourse or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existe - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	nce at the time of initial application.	🗋 Yes 🗌 No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring that less than five watering purposes, or within 1000 horizontal feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certifica	existence at the time of initial application.	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well fi adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtain	-	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
Within 500 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspec	tion (certification) of the proposed site	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Min	neral Division	🗋 Yes 🗌 No
 Within an unstable area. Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Min Society; Topographic map 	eral Resources; USGS; NM Geological	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
Within a 100-year floodplain. - FEMA map		🗌 Yes 🗌 No
18. On-Site Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the follow by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirement Proof of Surface.Owner Notice - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsect Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) based upon the appropriat Construction/Design Plan of Temporary Pit (for in-place burial of a drying pad) - base Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 N Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirement	is of 19.15.17.10 NMAC ion F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC e requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC ed upon the appropriate requirements of 19.1 NMAC	

 Commation Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
 Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings or in case on-site closure
 Soil Cover Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
 Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
 Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection G of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings or in case on-site closure standards cannot be achieved)

. j

^{19.} <u>Operator Application Certification</u> :	
I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true, accurate and complete to the best of my k	nowledge and belief.
Name (Print): Jeffrey Peace Title: Field Environmen	tal Advisor
Signature: Rene Date: 06/14/2010	
e-mail address: Perce. Jeffrer@bp.com Telephone: _505-326-947	9
<u>OCD Approval</u> : Permit Application (including closure plan) Closure Plan (only) OCD (fonditions (see	ec attachment)
	1 Date: 5/10/1
Title: Environmental Engreer OCD Permit Number:	-
^{21.} <u>Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion)</u> : Subsection K of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved closure plan prior to implementing any closure activit The closure report is required to be submitted to the division within 60 days of the completion of the closure activit section of the form until an approved closure plan has been obtained and the closure activities have been complete	ties. Please do not complete this d.
🔀 Closure Completion Date: 🖌	1-20-2012
 <u>Closure Method</u>: <u>X</u> Waste Excavation and Removal On-Site Closure Method Alternative Closure Method Waste Re <u>If different from approved plan, please explain.</u> 	emovał (Closed-loop systems only)
^{23.} <u>Closure Report Regarding Waste Removal Closure For Closed-loop Systems That Utilize Above Ground Steel</u> <i>Instructions: Please indentify the facility or facilities for where the liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings were d</i> <i>two facilities were utilized.</i>	
Disposal Facility Name: Disposal Facility Permit Number:	
Disposal Facility Name: Disposal Facility Permit Number:	
Were the closed-loop system operations and associated activities performed on or in areas that <i>will not</i> be used for fut Yes (If yes, please demonstrate compliance to the items below) No	ure service and operations?
Required for impacted areas which will not be used for future service and operations: Site Reclamation (Photo Documentation) Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation Description Application Research Specifica Technicus	
Re-vegetation Application Rates and Seeding Technique	
^{24.} <u>Closure Report Attachment Checklist</u> : <i>Instructions:</i> Each of the following items must be attached to the closure mark in the box, that the documents are attached.	report. Please indicate, by a check
 Proof of Closure Notice (surface owner and division) Proof of Deed Notice (required for on-site closure) 	
Plot Plan (for on-site closures and temporary pits)	
Confirmation Sampling Analytical Results (if applicable) Waste Material Sampling Analytical Results (required for on-site closure)	
Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number	
Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation Re-vegetation Application Rates and Secding Technique	
X Site Reclamation (Photo Documentation)	
On-site Closure Location: Latitude <u>36-6069</u> Longitude <u>-/08.13182</u>	_ NAD: []1927 🔀 1983
25. Operator Closure Certification:	
I hereby certify that the information and attachments submitted with this closure report is true, accurate and complete belief. I also certify that the closure complies with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the	approved closure plan
Name (Print): Jeff Peace Title: Field Environ	nmental Advisor , 4, 2014 26-9479
Signature: Off Loose Date: February	4,2014
e-mail address: <u>peace</u> . jeffrey Obp. com Telephone: (505) 3.	26-9479

BP AMERICA PRODUCTION COMPANY SAN JUAN BASIN, NORTHWEST NEW MEXICO

BELOW-GRADE TANK CLOSURE PLAN

<u>Gallegos Canyon Unit 63</u> <u>API No. 3004506884</u> <u>Unit Letter G, Section 5, T27N, R12W</u>

RCVD FEB 6'14 OIL CONS. DIV. DIST. 3

This plan will address the standard protocols and procedures for closure of below-grade tanks (BGTs) on BP America Production Company (BP) well sites. As stipulated in Paragraph A of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall close a BGT within the time periods provided in 19.15.17.13 NMAC, or by an earlier date that the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) requires because of imminent danger to fresh water, public health, safety or the environment. If deviations from this plan are necessary, any specific changes will be included on form C-144 and approved by the NMOCD. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC or is not included in Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC within five years after June 16, 2008, if not retrofit with a BGT that complies with the BP NMOCD approved BGT design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC, if not previously retrofitted to comply with the BP NMOCD approve BGT Design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan, prior to any sale or change in operator pursuant to 19.15.9.9 NMAC. BP shall close the permitted BGT within 60 days of cessation of the BGTs operation or as required by the transitional provisions of Subsection B, D, or E of 19.15.17.17 NMAC.

General Closure Plan

- 1. BP shall notify the surface owner by certified mail that it plans to close a BGT. Evidence of mailing of the notice to the address of the surface owner shown in the county tax records demonstrates compliance with this requirement. Notice is attached.
- 2. BP shall notify the division District III office verbally or by other means at least 72 hours, but not more than one (1) week, prior to any closure operation. The notice shall include the operator's name, and the location to be closed by unit letter, section, township and range. If the BGT closure is associated with a particular well, then the notice shall also include the well's name, number and API number. **Notice is attached.**
- 3. BP shall remove liquids and sludge from the BGT prior to implementing a closure method and dispose of the liquids and sludge in a NMOCD's division-approved facility. The facilities to be used are:
 - a. BP Crouch Mesa Landfarm, Permit NM-02-003 (Solids)
 - b. JFJ Landfarm, Permit NM-01-010(B) (Solids and Sludge)
 - c. Basin Disposal, Permit NM-01-0005 (Liquids)

- d. Envirotech Inc Soil Remediation Facility, Permit NM-01-0011 (Solids and Sludge)
- e. BP Operated E.E. Elliott SWD #1, API 30-045-27799 (Liquids)
- f. BP Operated 13 GCU SWD #1, API 30-045-28601 (Liquids)
- g. BP Operated GCU 259 SWD, API 30-045-20006 (Liquids)
- h. BP Operated GCU 306 SWD, API 30-045-24286 (Liquids)
- i. BP Operated GCU 307 SWD, API 30-045-24248 (Liquids)
- j. BP Operated GCU 328 SWD, API 30-045-24735 (Liquids)
- k. BP Operated Pritchard SWD #1, API 30-045-28351 (Liquids)

All liquids and sludge in the BGT were removed and sent to one of the above NMOCD approved facilities for disposal.

4. BP shall remove the BGT and dispose of it in a NMOCD approved facility or recycle, reuse, or reclaim it in a manner that the NMOCD approves. If a liner is present and must be disposed of it will be cleaned by scraping any soils or other attached materials on the liner to a de minimus amount and disposed at a permitted solid waste facility, pursuant to Subparagraph (m) of Paragraph (1) of Subsection C of 19.15.35.8 NMAC. Documentation as to the final disposition of the removed BGT will be provided in the final closure report.

The BGT was transported to a storage area for sale and re-use.

- BP shall remove any on-site equipment associated with a BGT unless the equipment is required for well production.
 All equipment associated with the BGT has been removed.
- 6. BP shall test the soils beneath the BGT to determine whether a release has occurred. BP shall collect at a minimum: a five (5) point composite sample and individual grab samples from any area that is wet, discolored or showing other evidence of a release and analyze for BTEX, TPH and chlorides. The testing methods for those constituents are as follows;

Constituents	Testing Method	Release Verification	Sample
	95 bbl BGT	(mg/Kg)	results
Benzene	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	0.2	ND
Total BTEX	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	50	ND
ТРН	US EPA Method SW-846 8015D	100	36
Chlorides	US EPA Method 300.0 or 4500B	250 or background	ND

Notes: mg/Kg = milligram per kilogram, BTEX = benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes, TPH = total petroleum hydrocarbons. Other EPA methods that the division approves may be applied to all constituents listed. Chloride closure standards will be determined by which ever concentration level is greatest.

Soil under the BGT was sampled and TPH, BTEX and chloride levels were below the stated limits. Sampling data is attached.

7. BP shall notify the division District III office of its results on form C-141.

C-141 is attached.

- If it is determined that a release has occurred, then BP will comply with 19.15.30 NMAC and 19.15.29 NMAC, as appropriate.
 Sampling results indicate no release occurred.
- 9. If the sampling demonstrates that a release has not occurred or that any release does not exceed the concentrations specified above, then BP shall backfill the excavation, with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material; construct a division-prescribed soil cover, re-contour and re-vegetate the location. The location will be reclaimed if it is not with in the active process area

The area under the BGT was backfilled with clean soil and will become part of the active crop area for NAPI.

10. BP shall reclaim the BGT location and all areas associated with the BGT including associated access roads to a safe and stable condition that blends with the surrounding undisturbed area. BP shall substantially restore the impacted surface area to the condition that existed prior to oil and gas operations by placement of the soil cover as provided in Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, re-contour the location and associated areas to a contour that approximates the original contour and blends with the surrounding topography and re-vegetate according to Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC.

The area under the BGT was backfilled with clean soil and will become part of the active crop area for NAPI. No further reclamation will be required.

11. The soil cover for closures where the BGT has been removed or remediated to the NMOCD's satisfaction shall consist of the background thickness of topsoil or one foot of suitable material to establish vegetation at the site, whichever is greater. The soil cover will be constructed to the site's existing grade and all practicable efforts will be made to prevent ponding of water and erosion of the cover material.

The area under the BGT was backfilled with clean soil and will become part of the active crop area for NAPI. No further reclamation will be required.

12. BP shall seed the disturbed area the first growing season after closure of the BGT. Seeding will be accomplished by drilling on the contour whenever practical or by other division-approved methods. Vegetative cover will be, at a minimum, 70% of the native perennial vegetative cover (un-impacted by overgrazing, fire or other intrusion damaging to native vegetation), consisting of at least three native plant species, including at least one grass, but not including noxious weeds, and maintenance of that cover through two successive growing seasons. During the two growing seasons that prove viability, there shall be no artificial irrigation of the vegetation.

The area under the BGT was backfilled with clean soil and will become part of the active crop area for NAPI. No further reclamation will be required.

13. BP shall seed, plant and re-seed pursuant to Paragraph (3) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, until the location successfully achieves the required vegetative cover.

The area under the BGT was backfilled with clean soil and will become part of the active crop area for NAPI. No further reclamation will be required. The well was

plugged and abandoned and the P&A marker was placed underground so the former well site can be used for crops.

14. Pursuant to Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall notify the NMOCD when it has seeded or planted and when it successfully achieves revegetation.

The area under the BGT was backfilled with clean soil and will become part of the active crop area for NAPI. No further reclamation will be required. The well was plugged and abandoned and the P&A marker was placed underground so the former well site can be used for crops.

- 15. Within 60 days of closure completion, BP shall submit a closure report on NMOCD's form C-144, and will include the following;
 - a. proof of closure notification (surface owner and NMOCD)
 - b. sampling analytical reports; information required by 19.15.17 NMAC;
 - c. disposal facility name and permit number
 - d. details on back-filling, capping, covering, and where applicable re-vegetation application rates and seeding techniques and
 - e. site reclamation, photo documentation. Closure report on C-144 form is included.
- 16. BP shall certify that all information in the report and attachments is accurate, truthful, and compliant with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.

Certification section of C-144 has been completed.

District III 1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 8741 District IV 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 8		1220	South	ervation Division th St. Francis Dr. Fe, NM 87505 Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Offic accordance with 19.15.29 NM								
	Relo	ease Notific	catior	and Co	orrective A	ction						
				OPERAT	ГOR	🗌 In	itial Report	\boxtimes	Final Report			
Name of Company: BP				Contact: Jeff Peace								
Address: 200 Energy Court, Fa		M 87401			No.: 505-326-94							
Facility Name: Gallegos Canyo	on Unit 63			Facility Typ	e: Natural gas v	well						
Surface Owner: Federal		Mineral C	Owner: I	Federal		API	No. 3004506	884				
		LOCA	ATIO	N OF REI	LEASE							
Unit Letter Section Townsh		Feet from the	North/	South Line	Feet from the	East/West Lin	e County: S	San Jua	n			
G 5 27N	12W	1,650	North		1,650	East						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	atitudo 2	6.60692	I	Longitud	109 12192	<u> </u>						
1	Januar3						-					
T		NAI	URE	OF REL				NI/A	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Type of Release: none Source of Release: below grade ta	nk – 95 bbl	<u> </u>			Release: N/A		nd Hour of Di		/.			
Was Immediate Notice Given?			· ··· <u> </u>	If YES, To				<u></u>	·• _=			
	Yes	No 🛛 Not R	equired	<u> </u>								
By Whom? Was a Watercourse Reached?				Date and H			·······					
was a watercourse Reached?	🗌 Yes 🛛] No		IT YES, VO	lume Impacting t	ine watercourse	RCVD FE	B6":	14			
If a Watercourse was Impacted, D	escribe Fully.	*		·			OIL COM DIST					
Describe Cause of Problem and Ro the BGT. Soil analysis resulted in	TPH, BTEX	and chloride belo	w standa	ards. Analysi	s results are attac	hed.						
Describe Area Affected and Clean backfilled and compacted and will	become part	of the active crop	area for	NAPI.								
I hereby certify that the informatic regulations all operators are requir public health or the environment. should their operations have failed or the environment. In addition, N federal, state, or local laws and/or	ed to report an The acceptand to adequately MOCD accept	nd/or file certain r ce of a C-141 repo v investigate and r	release no ort by the remediate	otifications an e NMOCD m e contaminati	nd perform correc arked as "Final R on that pose a thr	ctive actions for eport" does not eat to ground wa	releases which relieve the ope ater, surface w	n may e erator o rater, hu	ndanger f liability 1man health			
Signature: Off Pene	e				OIL CON	SERVATIO	N DIVISI	<u>NC</u>				
Printed Name: Jeff Peace				Approved by	Environmental S	pecialist:						
Title: Field Environmental Adviso	r			Approval Dat	e:	Expirati	on Date:					
E-mail Address: peace.jeffrey@bp	.com			Conditions of	Approval:		Attached	d 🗌				
Date: February 4, 2014		505-326-9479										
* Attach Additional Sheets If Neo	cessary											

	P.O. BOX 87, BLC	GINEERING, I DOMFIELD, N 632-1199		API #:3 TANK ID (if applicble):		384
FIELD REPORT:	(circle one): BGT CONFIRMATION / RE	LEASE INVESTIGATION /	OTHER:	PAGE #:	1 of	_1
SITE INFORMATION QUAD/UNIT: G SEC: 5 TWP: 1/4 -1/4/FOOTAGE: 1,650'N / 1,650 LEASE #: NM097716	27N RNG: 12W PM: I	NM CNTY: SJ FEDERAL/STATE		DATE FINISHED	:	
REFERENCE POINT 1) 95 BBL BGT (SW/DB) 2)	WELL HEAD (W.H.) GPS CO	0692 X 108.13182	60697 X 108 DISTA DISTA DISTA	3.13169 GL INCE/BEARING FROM W.H.: INCE/BEARING FROM W.H.: INCE/BEARING FROM W.H.:	ELEV.: 45', S8	5,681'
SAMPLING DATA: 1) SAMPLE ID: 5 PC-TB @ 5' (95 B) 2) SAMPLE ID:	SAMPLE DATE:SAMPLE DATE:	SAMPLE TIME:	LAB ANALYSIS:			OVM READING (ppm) NA
SOIL DESCRIPTION SOIL COLOR: DARK COHESION (ALL OTHERS): NON COHESIVE SLIGHTLY CONSISTENCY (NON COHESIVE SOILS): LC MOISTURE: DRY / SLIGHTLY MOIST MOIST / W SAMPLE TYPE: GRAB / COMPOSITE - # DISCOLORATION/STAINING OBSERVED ANY AREAS DISPLAYING WETNESS: YES / NO ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: NO APPAREN	YELLOWISH ORANGE (COHESIVE / COHESIVE / HIGHLY COHESIVE DOSE / FIRM) DENSE / VERY DENSE ET / SATURATED / SUPER SATURATED COF PTS5 : YES / NO EXPLANATION] EXPLANATION]	PLASTICITY (CLAYS): NON DENSITY (COHESIV HC ODOR DETEC	I PLASTIC / SLIGHTLY PI E CLAYS & SILTS):	LASTIC / COHESIVE / MEDIUM PL : SOFT / FIRM / STIFF / V EXPLANATION -	ERY STIFF / HA	RD
SOIL IMPACT DIMENSION ESTIMATION: DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER:		X NA ft. IEAREST SURFACE WATER PLOT PLAN C	R: <u>>1,000'</u>	ON ESTIMATION (Cubic NMOCD TPH CLOSURE OVM CALIB. READ. =	STD: 100 NA ppm NA ppm	10 - 0.02
X X X X X X	(WELL HEAD MARKER	N	MISCEL wo: N1511 Po#: 70297	.L. NOT 1444	NA ES
PBGTL T.B. ~ 5' B.G. NOTES: BGT = BELOW-GRADE TANK; E.D. = EXCAVATI T.B. = TANK BOTTOM; PBGTL = PREVIOUS BEL		, T.H. = TEST HOLE; ~ = APPRO		A BGT Sidewalls) NA

.

Analytical Report Lab Order 1201668 Date Reported: 1/30/2012

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Blagg Engineering			Client Sample	ID: 5PC-T	B @ 5' (95 BGT)
Project: GCU #63			Collection D	ate: 1/20/2	012 3:40:00 PM
Lab ID: 1201668-001	Matrix:	SOIL	Received D	ate: 1/24/2	012 10:00:00 AM
Analyses	Result	RL Qu	al Units	DF	Date Analyzed
EPA METHOD 8015B: DIESEL RANG	E ORGANICS				Analyst: JMP
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	9.8	mg/Kg	1	1/26/2012 11:56:57 AM
Sur: DNOP	86.9	77.4-131	%REC	1	1/26/2012 11:56:57 AM
EPA METHOD 8015B: GASOLINE RA	NGE				Analyst: RAA
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	4.9	mg/Kg	1	1/26/2012 12:50:42 AM
Sur: BFB	94.9	69.7- 121	%REC	1	1/26/2012 12:50:42 AM
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analyst: RAA
Benzene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	1/26/2012 12:50:42 AM
Toluene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	1/26/2012 12:50:42 AM
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	1/26/2012 12:50:42 AM
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.098	mg/Kg	1	1/26/2012 12:50:42 AM
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	101	85.3-139	%REC	1	1/26/2012 12:50:42 AM
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analyst: BRM
Chloride	ND	7.5	mg/Kg	5	1/26/2012 8:06:57 PM
EPA METHOD 418.1: TPH					Analyst: JMP
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	36	20	mg/Kg	1	1/30/2012

Qualifiers:

*/X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

E Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 1 of 6

С	hain-c	of-Cus	stody Record	Turn-Around T	ime:				•	ŀ	{A	4 8	F	NV	TE	20	Nŕ	ИE	EN 1	Г۵		
Client:	BLAG	G ENGR.	/ BP AMERICA	Standard	🗌 Rush																	, .
	· · · · ·		······································	Project Name:													.com				4 . N	
Mailing A	ddress:	P.O. BO	X 87		GCU # 63	3		491	01 H	lawk									PI			
	·	BLOOM	FIELD, NM 87413	Project #:			1)5-34					-		-410		5			
Phone #:		(505) 63		-								Á	inal	ýsis	Rêc	lues	t			Maryar		
email or F	ax#:			Project Manag	jer:	<u> </u>	See St. 1		5.° a		, nrs :	1949 A. 1. 1949 A. 1.			- 8 ⁶	- <u>-</u>	14 T	<u> </u>				4.44
QA/QC Pa	-		Level 4 (Full Validation)			ELEZ	s (8021B)	only)	(Diesel)					PO4, SO4)	PCB's							
Accreditat		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Sampler:	NELSON V	ELEZ BU	8	Gas	Gas/					05, 1	32 PC						du	
	>	D Other		On Ice:	¥ Yes			E	158 (8.1)	11	Ŧ)3, N	/ 808			• •			e sa	Î
	Гуре)			Sample Temp	erature: 🖉	1,5°	Ļ	÷	8	d 41	d 50	or PA	als	, NC	des	-	NON NON	0.0)		e	osit	ر IO (ک
Date	Time	Matrix	Sample Request ID	Container Type and #	Preservative Type	HEAL NO. Iコロビビルを	BTEX +-MHB	BTEX + MTBE + TPH (Gas only)	TPH Method 8015B (Gas/Diesel)	TPH (Method 418.1)	EDB (Method 504.1)	8310 (PNA or PAH)	RCRA 8 Metals	Anions (F, Cl, NO3, NO2, PO4,	8081 Pesticides / 8082	8260B (VOA)	8270 (Semi-VOA)	Chloride (300.0)		Grab sample	5 pt. composite sample	Air Bubbles (Y or N)
1/20/12	1540	SOIL	5PC-TB @ 5' (95 BGT)	4 oz 2	Cool	-)	V		V	V								۷			٧	
																						<u> </u>
					· · · ·									_				:				—
	[┝─┥											:		-+	-+	
·							┟╼╴┨									_						—
				1			┝╼┥								_			;	┝╼╉	-+		
				<u> </u>														<u> </u>	┟──┤			
·····	<u> </u>						┝─┥											: •	┝╼╌╉	-+		
•							┝╼╴╽									-			┢━┥			<u> </u>
							┼╌┦		{									<u>. </u>	 			<u> </u>
······						<u> </u>	┝╼╌╢												┝─┤			
<u> </u>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				┟┈┥											:	┟──┨			
Date:	Time:	Relinguish	ed by:	Received by:	I	Date Time	Rem	arks		TPH	(80)15E	3) - (GRO	8	DRO		LY			l	L
1/23/17	1347	91	den Vf	Charte	1. Jack	123/12/347	BIL	L DIF	RECT	Ί Υ Τ() BP	:	•.									
Date:	Time:	Relinquishe	ed by:	Received by:		Date Time	l	f Pea			-				-	-					-	ł
1/23/12	1627	Christ	the Walters	Mihl	Opria	1/24/12 1000	Wo	ork O	rder	: <u>N</u>	115	114	<u>'44</u>	Pa	aykey	/: <u>Z</u>	<u>₹</u> €	\$50	0 <u>1</u> R	<u>[</u> 62	<u> </u>	

.

If necessary, samples submitted to Hall Environmental may be subcontracted to other accedited laboratories. This serves as notice of this possibility. Any sub-contracted data will be clearly notated on the analytical report.

QC SUMMARY REPORT Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1201668

30-Jan-12

.....

Client: Blagg Engineering
Project: GCU #63

Sample ID MB-451	SampTyp	pe: MBLK	Tes	tCode: EPA	Method	300.0: Anion	S					
Client ID: PBS	Batch II	D: 451	RunNo: 608									
Prep Date: 1/26/2012	Analysis Date	te: 1/26/2012	S	GegNo: 172	73	Units: mg/M	ζg					
Analyte	Result	PQL SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC L	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual			
Chloride	ND	1.5										
Sample ID LCS-451	SampTyp	De: LCS	Tesi	tCode: EPA	Method	300.0: Anion	8	<u> </u>				
•	SampTyp Batch II			tCode: EPA RunNo: 608		300.0: Anion	8					
Client ID: LCSS		D: 451	R			300.0: Anion Units: mg/K	-					
	Batch II Analysis Dat	D: 451 le: 1/ 26/2012	R	RunNo: 608 SeqNo: 172 7			-	RPDLimit	Qual			

Qualifiers:

- */X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 2 of 6

OC SUMMARY REPORT Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1201668

30-Jan-12

Client: Blagg Engineering -----GCU #63 **Project:** Sample ID MB-465 SampType: MBLK TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH Client ID: PBS Batch ID: 465 RunNo: 636 Prep Date: 1/27/2012 Analysis Date: 1/30/2012 SeqNo: 17966 Units: mg/Kg SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit Analyte Result PQL HighLimit %RPD **RPDLimit** Qual ND 20 Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR Sample ID LCS-465 SampType: LCS TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH Client ID: LCSS Batch ID: 465 RunNo: 636 Prep Date: 1/27/2012 Analysis Date: 1/30/2012 SeqNo: 17967 Units: mg/Kg Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC %RPD RPDLimit Analyte LowLimit HighLimit Qual Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR 100 20 100.0 0 103 87.8 115 Sample ID LCSD-465 SampType: LCSD TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH Client ID: LCSS02 Batch ID: 465 RunNo: 636 Prep Date: 1/27/2012 Analysis Date: 1/30/2012 SeqNo: 17968 Units: mg/Kg Analyte Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR 100 20 100.0 87.8 8.04 0 101 115 0

Qualifiers:

- */X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- Ε Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit Page 3 of 6

RL **Reporting Detection Limit**

QC SUMMARY REPORT

۰

.

WO#: 1201668

30-Jan-12

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Elient: Project:	Blagg Eng GCU #63							· · · · ·			· · …
Sample ID	MB-425	Samp1	ype: M	BLK	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	8015B: Dies	el Range (Drganics	
Client ID:	PBS	Batch	n ID: 42	25	F	RunNo: 5	78				
Prep Date:	1/25/2012	Analysis D	Date: 1	/26/2012	5	SeqNo: 1	6626	Units: mg/h	(g		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range O Surr: DNOP	rganics (DRO)	ND 8.9	10	10.00		89.1	77.4	131		<u>.</u>	
Sample ID	LCS-425	SampT	ype: L	cs	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	8015B: Dies	el Range (Drganics	
Client ID:	LCSS	Batch	n ID: 42	25	E	RunNo: 5	78				
Prep Date:	1/25/2012	Analysis D)ate: 1	/26/2012	5	SeqNo: 1	6627	Units: mg/H	(g		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
iesel Range O	rganics (DRO)	40	10		0	80.9	62.7	139			
Surr: DNOP		4.8		5.000		95.9	77.4	131			
Sample ID	1201667-001AMS	SampT	'ype: M	S	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	8015B: Dies	el Range C	Organics	
Client ID:	BatchQC	Batch	n ID: 42	25	F	RunNo: 5	78				
Prep Date:	1/25/2012	Analysis D	ate: 1	/26/2012	5	SeqNo: 1	6722	Units: mg/k	(g		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Xiesel Range O	rganics (DRO)	40	9.9		0	81.7	57.2	146			
Surr: DNOP		4.6		4.941		92.9	77.4	131			
Sample ID	1201667-001AMSD	SampT	уре: М	SD	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8015B: Dies	el Range C	rganics	
Client ID:	BatchQC	Batch	1 ID: 42	25	F	RunNo: 5	78				
				126/2042	5	BeqNo: 1	6755	Units: mg/K	(g		
Prep Date:	1/25/2012	Analysis D	ale. 1	12012012							
Anaiyte		Analysis D Result	PQL		SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
•				SPK value		%REC 84.0 89.4	LowLimit 57.2 77.4	HighLimit 146 131	%RPD 2.46	RPDLimit 26.7	Qual

Qualifiers:

*/X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

E Value above quantitation range

- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
 - Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

Page 4 of 6

RL Reporting Detection Limit

ND

QC SUMMARY REPORT	
Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, I	nc.

WO#: 1201668

30-Jan-12

Client: Blagg Engineering GCU #63 **Project:** Sample ID MB-416 SampType: MBLK TestCode: EPA Method 8015B: Gasoline Range Client ID: PBS Batch ID: 416 RunNo: 587 Prep Date: 1/24/2012 Analysis Date: 1/25/2012 SeqNo: 16706 Units: mg/Kg Analyte Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) ND 5.0 Surr: BFB 920 1,000 92.5 69.7 121 Sample ID LCS-416 SampType: LCS TestCode: EPA Method 8015B: Gasoline Range Client ID: LCSS Batch ID: 416 RunNo: 587 Analysis Date: 1/25/2012 Prep Date: 1/24/2012 SeqNo: 16712 Units: mg/Kg Analyte Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) 30 5.0 25.00 ۵ 120 86.4 132 980 1.000 Sun: BFB 98.5 69.7 121 Sample ID 1201641-001AMS SampType: MS TestCode: EPA Method 8015B: Gasoline Range Client ID: BatchQC Batch ID: 416 RunNo: 587 Prep Date: 1/24/2012 Analysis Date: 1/26/2012 SeqNo: 16714 Units: mg/Kg SPK value SPK Ref Val Analyte Result PQL %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD **RPDLimit** Qual Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) 770 24 24.25 815.1 -186 85.4 147 s 684 Surr: BFB 33,000 4,850 69.7 s 121 Sample ID 1201641-001AMSD SampType: MSD TestCode: EPA Method 8015B: Gasoline Range Client ID: BatchQC Batch ID: 416 RunNo: 587 Prep Date: 1/24/2012 Analysis Date: 1/26/2012 SeqNo: 16715 Units: mg/Kg RPDLimit SPK value SPK Ref Val %RPD PQL %REC HighLimit Analyte Result LowLimit Qual 24 815.1 Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) 760 24.32 -218 1.02 S 85.4 147 19.2 Surr: BF8 34,000 4,864 704 69.7 121 0 0 s

Qualifiers:

*/X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

E Value above quantitation range

- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

Page 5 of 6

RL Reporting Detection Limit

ND

QC SUMMARY REPORT Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

SampType: MBLK

Batch ID: 416

*/X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

Е Value above quantitation range

Qualifiers:

- l Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- RPD outside accepted recovery limits R

- в Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- Reporting Detection Limit RL

Page 6 of 6

Prep Date: 1/24/2012	Analysis [Date: 1/	25/2012	5	SeqNo: 1	16756	Units: mg/H	(g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	ND	0.050								
Toluene	NĎ	0.050								
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.050								
Xylenes, ⊺otal	ND	0.10								
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.99		1.000		99.2	85.3	139		·	
Sample ID LCS-416	Samp	Гуре: LC	s	Tes	TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles					
Client ID: LCSS	Batc	h ID: 41	6	F	RunNo: 5	687				
Prep Date: 1/24/2012	Analysis I	Date: 1/	25/2012	8	SeqNo: 16760		Units: mg/Kg			
Analyte	Result	PQL		SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	0.99	0.050	1.000	0	99.2	83.3	107			
Toluene	1.0	0.050	1.000	0	102	74.3	115			
Ethylbenzene	1.0	0.050	1.000	0	104	80.9	122			
Xylenes, Total	3.0	0.10	3.000	0	102	85.2	123			
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.0		1.000		103	85.3	139			
Sample ID 1201667-001AMS	Samp	Гуре: М\$	3	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	8021B: Volat	iles		
Client ID: BatchQC	Batc	h iD: 41	6	F	RunNo: 587					
Prep Date: 1/24/2012	Analysis Date: 1/25/2012			SeqNo: 16761			Units: mg/Kg			
	·									
Analyte	Result	PQL		SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	1.1	0.048	0.9524	0	113	67.2	113	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual S
Benzene Toluene	1.1 1.1	0.048 0.048	0.9524 0.9524	0	113 116	67.2 62.1	113 116	%RPD	RPDLimit	
Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene	1.1 1.1 1.1	0.048 0.048 0.048	0.9524 0.9524 0.9524	0 0 0	113 116 120	67.2 62.1 67.9	113 116 127	%RPD	RPDLimit	
Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total	1.1 1.1 1.1 3.4	0.048 0.048	0.9524 0.9524 0.9524 	0	113 116 120 120	67.2 62.1 67.9 60.6	113 116 127 134	%RPD	RPDLimit	
Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene	1.1 1.1 1.1	0.048 0.048 0.048	0.9524 0.9524 0.9524	0 0 0	113 116 120	67.2 62.1 67.9	113 116 127	%RPD	RPDLimit	
Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total	1.1 1.1 1.1 3.4 0.98	0.048 0.048 0.048	0.9524 0.9524 0.9524 2.857 0.9524	0 0 0	113 116 120 120 103	67.2 62.1 67.9 60.6 85.3	113 116 127 134		RPDLimit	
Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.1 1.1 1.1 3.4 0.98 D Samp	0.048 0.048 0.048 0.095	0.9524 0.9524 0.9524 2.857 0.9524 0.9524	0 0 0 	113 116 120 120 103	67.2 62.1 67.9 60.6 85.3 PA Method	113 116 127 134 139		RPDLimit	_
Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene Sample ID 1201667-001AMS	1.1 1.1 1.1 3.4 0.98 D Samp	0.048 0.048 0.048 0.095 Type: MS	0.9524 0.9524 0.9524 2.857 0.9524 3D 6	0 0 0 Tes	113 116 120 120 103	67.2 62.1 67.9 60.6 85.3 PA Method	113 116 127 134 139		RPDLimit	
Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene Sample ID 1201667-001AMSE Client ID: BatchQC Prep Date: 1/24/2012 Analyte	1.1 1.1 1.1 3.4 0.98 D Samp Batc Analysis I Result	0.048 0.048 0.048 0.095 Type: MS h ID: 410 Date: 1/ PQL	0.9524 0.9524 2.857 0.9524 3D 6 25/2012 SPK value	0 0 0 Tes F SPK Ref Val	113 116 120 120 103 tCode: E RunNo: 5 SeqNo: 1 %REC	67.2 62.1 67.9 60.6 85.3 PA Method 87 6762 LowLimit	113 116 127 134 139 8021B: Volat Units: mg/K HighLimit	illes g %RPD	RPDLimit	_
Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene Sample ID 1201667-001AMSE Client ID: BatchQC Prep Date: 1/24/2012 Analyte Benzene	1.1 1.1 1.1 3.4 0.98 D Samp Batc Analysis I Result 1.1	0.048 0.048 0.048 0.095 Fype: MS h ID: 410 Date: 1/ PQL 0.048	0.9524 0.9524 2.857 0.9524 5D 6 25/2012 SPK value 0.9606	0 0 0 Tes F S SPK Ref Val 0	113 116 120 120 103 tCode: E RunNo: 5 SeqNo: 1 %REC 110	67.2 62.1 67.9 60.6 85.3 PA Method i87 6762 LowLimit 67.2	113 116 127 134 139 8021B: Volat Units: mg/K HighLimit 113	illes 9 %RPD 2.38	RPDLimit 14.3	S
Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene Sample ID 1201667-001AMSE Cilent ID: BatchQC Prep Date: 1/24/2012 Analyte Benzene Toluene	1.1 1.1 1.1 3.4 0.98 D Samp Batc Analysis I Result 1.1 1.1	0.048 0.048 0.048 0.095 Fype: MS h ID: 410 Date: 1/ PQL 0.048 0.048	0.9524 0.9524 0.9524 2.857 0.9524 6 5 5 6 25/2012 SPK value 0.9606 0.9606	0 0 0 Tes 5 SPK Ref Val 0 0	113 116 120 120 103 tCode: E RunNo: 5 SeqNo: 1 %REC 110 110	67.2 62.1 67.9 60.6 85.3 PA Method 887 6762 LowLimit 67.2 62.1	113 116 127 134 139 8021B: Volat Units: mg/K HighLimit 113 116	iiles 9 %RPD 2.38 4.21	RPDLimit 14.3 15.9	S
Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene Sample ID 1201667-001AMSE Client ID: BatchQC Prep Date: 1/24/2012 Analyte Benzene Totuene Ethylbenzene	1.1 1.1 1.1 3.4 0.98 D Samp ^T Batc Analysis I <u>Result</u> 1.1 1.1	0.048 0.048 0.095 Fype: MS h ID: 410 Date: 1/ PQL 0.048 0.048 0.048	0.9524 0.9524 0.9524 2.857 0.9524 3D 6 25/2012 SPK value 0.9606 0.9606 0.9606	0 0 0 Tesi SPK Ref Val 0 0 0	113 116 120 120 103 tCode: E SunNo: 5 SeqNo: 1 %REC 110 110 110	67.2 62.1 67.9 60.6 85.3 PA Method 887 6762 LowLimit 67.2 62.1 67.9	113 116 127 134 139 8021B: Volat Units: mg/K HighLimit 113 116 127	iiles 9 %RPD 2.38 4.21 2.61	RPDLimit 14.3 15.9 14.4	S
Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene Sample ID 1201667-001AMSE Client ID: BatchQC Prep Date: 1/24/2012 Analyte Benzene Toluene	1.1 1.1 1.1 3.4 0.98 D Samp Batc Analysis I Result 1.1 1.1	0.048 0.048 0.048 0.095 Fype: MS h ID: 410 Date: 1/ PQL 0.048 0.048	0.9524 0.9524 0.9524 2.857 0.9524 6 5 5 6 25/2012 SPK value 0.9606 0.9606	0 0 0 Tes 5 SPK Ref Val 0 0	113 116 120 120 103 tCode: E RunNo: 5 SeqNo: 1 %REC 110 110	67.2 62.1 67.9 60.6 85.3 PA Method 887 6762 LowLimit 67.2 62.1	113 116 127 134 139 8021B: Volat Units: mg/K HighLimit 113 116	iiles 9 %RPD 2.38 4.21	RPDLimit 14.3 15.9	S

Blagg Engineering

GCU #63

Client:

Project:

Client ID:

Sample ID MB-416

PBS

WO#: 1201668

.....

RunNo: 587

TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles

30-Jan-12

HALL ENVIRC NTAL ANALYSIS LABORATORY

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87105 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-410; Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

Sample Log-In Check List

	ent Name: BLAGG ceived by/date: <u>Mf1 Ə4 २</u>		ork Ord	er Nu	nber:	1201668
Log	ged By: Michelle Garcia	1/24/2012 10:00:00 AM			m	Turell Comins
Cor	npleted By: Michelle Garcia	1/24/2012 11:19:32 AM			-m	Junut Gonus
Rev	riewed By: MG/JO 1/24/20	<u>ر</u> بر			r	quine
	ain of Custody	212	<u> </u>			
	Were seals intact?		Yes	□ N	• 🗆	Not Present 🗹
1. 2.			Yes			
2. 3.			Courie	_	•	
Log	<u>1 In</u>					_
4 .	Coolers are present? (see 19. for cooler sp	pecific information)	Yes	V N	• 🗆	
5.	Was an attempt made to cool the samples	?	Yes	V N	•	NA 🗔
6.	Were all samples received at a temperature	re of >0°C to 6.0°C	Yes (V N	• 🗆	
7.	Sample(s) in proper container(s)?		Yes	V N	•	
8.	Sufficient sample volume for indicated test	t(s)?	Yes	🗸 N	•	
9.	Are samples (except VOA and ONG) prop	erly preserved?	Yes	🗸 N	•	
10.	Was preservative added to bottles?		Yes [N	•	NA 🗌
	VOA viale have zero hoodeagaa?		Yee [No VOA Vials 🗹
	VOA vials have zero headspace? Were any sample containers received brok		Yes 1 Yes [
	Does paperwork match bottle labels?			N	_	# of preserved
10.	(Note discrepancies on chain of custody)			_		bottles checked for pH:
14.	Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of	of Custody?	Yes	V N	<u>ا</u> د	(<2 or >12 unless noted)
15.	Is it clear what analyses were requested?			N N		Adjusted?
16.	Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.)		Yes	V N		Observable
Sno	cial Handling (if applicable)					Checked by:
	Was client notified of all discrepancies with	this order?	Yes [,	
17.						
	Person Notified:	Date:				
	By Whom:	Via:] eMail		hone	Fax In Person
	Regarding: Client Instructions:		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			

19. Cooler Information

Cooler N	lo Temp °C	Condition	Seal Intact	Seal No	Seal Date	Signed By
1	2.5	Good	Yes			

Page 1 of 1



BP America Production Company 200 Energy Court Farmington, NM 87401 Phone: (505) 326-9200

January 23, 2012

Bureau of Land Management Mark Kelly 1235 La Plata Hwy Farmington, NM 87401

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL – RETURN RECEIPT REUESTED

Re: Notification of plans to close/remove a below grade tank Well Name: GCU-063-FT

Dear Mark Kelly,

As part of the NM "Pit Rule": 19.15.17.13 Closure Requirements, Paragraph J. BP America Production Company (BP) is required to notify the surface owner of BP's plans to close/remove a below grade tank. BP wishes to inform you of our plans to close/remove the below grade tank on its well pad located on your surface. BP plans to commence this work on or about January 17, 2012. If there aren't any unforeseen problems, the work should be completed within 10 working days.

As a point of clarification, BP will be closing the below grade tank and either operating without one or replacing it with an above ground tank, the well site will continue to operate.

Unless you have questions about this notice, there is no need to respond to this letter. If you do have any questions or concerns, please contact me at 505-326-9214

Sincerely,

J.VUe.

Jerry Van Riper Surface Coordinator/Business Security Representative BP America Production Company

BP America Production Company 200 Energy Court Farmington, NM 87401 Phone: (505) 326-9200

SENT VIA E-MAIL TO: BRANDON.POWELL@STATE.NM.US

January 23, 2011

•

New Mexico Oil Conservation Division 1000 Rio Brazos Road Aztec, New Mexico 87410

RE: Notice of Proposed Below-Grade Tank (BGT) Closure

GCU 063-FT API 30-045-06884A (M) Section 5 – T27N – R12W San Juan County, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Brandon Powell:

In regards to the captioned subject and requirements of the NMOCD pit rule, this letter is notification that BP is planning to close a 95 bbl. BGT that will no longer be operational at this well site.

Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact BP at our Farmington office.

Sincerely,

Buddy Shaw BP Environmental Advisor

(505) 320-0401

