Form C-144 July 21, 2008

<u>District I</u> 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 District II
1301 W. Grand Avenue, Artesia, NM 88210 District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources ·Department Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr.

For temporary pits, closed-loop systems, and below-grade tanks, submit to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

For permanent pits and exceptions submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

Pit, Closed-Loop System, Below-Grade Tank, or Proposed Alternative Method Permit or Closure Plan Application

Santa Fe, NM 87505

Closure of a pit, of Modification to a Modification to a Closure plan only below-grade tank, or proposed alternation	submitted for an existing permitted or non-permitted prive method	native method it, closed-loop system,
Instructions: Please submit one application (Form of Please be advised that approval of this request does not relieve the environment. Nor does approval relieve the operator of its respons		e water, ground water or the
Operator: BP AMERICA PRODUCTION COMPANY Address: 200 Energy Court, Farmington, NM 87401 Facility or well name: HOWELL 001A		
API Number: <u>3004522248</u> U/L or Qtr/Qtr <u>I Section 20.0</u> Tow	ynship <u>30.0N </u>	luan County
Center of Proposed Design: Latitude <u>36.79471</u> Surface Owner: ▼ Federal ☐ State ☐ Private ☐ Tribal Tru		NAD: [_]1927 x 1983
Pit: Subsection F or G of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Temporary: Drilling Workover Permanent Emergency Cavitation P&A Lined Unlined Liner type: Thicknessmi	II	RCVD JAN 31'14 OIL CONS. DIV. DIST. 3
Liner Seams: Welded Factory Other	Volume:bbl Dimensions: L	x W x D
Type of Operation: □ P&A □ Drilling a new well □ Worintent) □ Drying Pad □ Above Ground Steel Tanks □ Haul-off □ Lined □ Unlined Liner type: Thickness □ Liner Seams: □ Welded □ Factory □ Other □	kover or Drilling (Applies to activities which require prior ap Bins Other	
	uced Water idewalls, liner, 6-inch lift and automatic overflow shut-off	:
s. Alternative Method: Submittal of an exception request is required. Exceptions mu	st be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office	for consideration of approval.
Form C-144	Oil Conservation Division	Page 1 of 5

Fencing: Subsection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits, temporary pits, and below-grade tanks) Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top (Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent residence, school	, hospital,
institution or church) Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet	·
Alternate. Please specify 4' Hogwire with single barbed wire	
7.	
Netting: Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks)	
Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)	
8.	
Signs: Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC	
12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC	
9.	·····
Administrative Approvals and Exceptions: Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance.	
Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank: Administrative approval(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district or the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau	office for
consideration of approval. Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.	
Siting Criteria (regarding permitting): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of accematerial are provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria may require administrative approval from the approffice or may be considered an exception which must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of Applicant must attach justification for request. Please refer to 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance. Siting criteria does not apply to dry above-grade tanks associated with a closed-loop system.	opriate district approval.
Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of the temporary pit, permanent pit, or below-grade tank. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	➤ Yes □ No
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes 🗷 No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. (Applies to temporary, emergency, or cavitation pits and below-grade tanks) - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	Yes No
Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. (Applies to permanent pits) - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No ➤ NA
Within 500 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring that less than five households use for domestic or stock watering purposes, or within 1000 horizontal feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of initial application. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes 🗷 No
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	Yes 🗷 No
Within 500 feet of a wetland US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes 🗷 No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	Yes 🗷 No
Within an unstable area. - Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map	Yes 🗷 No
Within a 100-year floodplain FEMA map	☐ Yes 🗷 No

Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the	nit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC e application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are
Hydrogeologic Data (Temporary and Emergency Pits) - based Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19. Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate	.15.17.11 NMAC te requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC
and 19.15.17.13 NMAC	cable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC umber: or Permit Number:
12.	
Closed-loop Systems Permit Application Attachment Checklist	
attached. Geologic and Hydrogeologic Data (only for on-site closure) Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations (only for on-site Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19 Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriat Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if appli and 19.15.17.13 NMAC	te requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC icable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC
	Number: (Applies only to closed-loop system that use
above ground steel tanks or haul-off bins and propose to implemen	
attached. Hydrogeologic Report - based upon the requirements of Para Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the Climatological Factors Assessment Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon Leak Detection Design - based upon the appropriate requirer Liner Specifications and Compatibility Assessment - based upon Quality Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installa Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate Freeboard and Overtopping Prevention Plan - based upon the Nuisance or Hazardous Odors, including H ₂ S, Prevention Plan Emergency Response Plan Oil Field Waste Stream Characterization Monitoring and Inspection Plan Erosion Control Plan Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of S	agraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC riate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC at the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC ments of 19.15.17.11 NMAC upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC ation Plan the requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC at requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC at requirements of 19.15.17.14 NMAC at requirements of 19.15.17.15 NMAC at requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC an
☐ Alternative Proposed Closure Method: ■ Waste Excavation and Removal □ Waste Removal (Closed-loop syste □ On-site Closure Method (Only for t	□ P&A □ Permanent Pit ☑ Below-grade Tank □ Closed-loop System ems only) temporary pits and closed-loop systems)
15.	
closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requir Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drill	rements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC appropriate requirements of Subsection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC ling fluids and drill cuttings) the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC ts of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC

Instructions: Please indentify the facility or facilities for the	lize Above Ground Steel Tanks or Haul-off Bins Only: (19.15.17.13.E disposal of liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings. Use attachment if n								
facilities are required.									
Disposal Facility Name: Disposal Facility Permit Number:									
Disposal Facility Name: Disposal Facility Permit Number:									
Will any of the proposed closed-loop system operations and associated activities occur on or in areas that will not be used for future service and operations? Yes (If yes, please provide the information below)									
Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate require Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requ	upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC ments of Subsection 1 of 19.15.17.13 NMAC								
provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting	of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendations of acceptable sour g criteria may require administrative approval from the appropriate disti ta Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval. Justi,	rict office or may be							
Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of the burie NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database		☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA							
Ground water is between 50 and 100 feet below the bottom of NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database		☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA							
Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the but NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database		☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA							
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of	feet of any other significant watercourse or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa the proposed site	Yes No							
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site;	institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No							
	vell or spring that less than five households use for domestic or stock fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of initial application. e; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No							
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. - Written confirmation or verification from the municipal		Yes No							
Within 500 feet of a wetland US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Top	pographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site .	☐ Yes ☐ No							
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. - Written confirmation or verification or map from the N	NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	☐ Yes ☐ No							
Within an unstable area. - Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NI Society; Topographic map	M Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological	Yes No							
Within a 100-year floodplain FEMA map		Yes No							
by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon Proof of Surface Owner Notice - based upon the approp Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) Construction/Design Plan of Temporary Pit (for in-place Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate romain Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon Waste Material Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate romain Confirmation Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate romain Confirmation Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate romain Confirmation Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate romain Confirmation Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate romain Confirmation Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate romain Confirmation Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate romain Confirmation Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate romain Confirmation Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate romain Confirmation Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate romain Confirmation Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate romain Confirmation Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate romain Confirmation Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate romain Confirmation Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate romain Confirmation Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate romain Confirmation Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate romain Confirmation Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate romain Confirmation Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate romain Confirmation Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate romain Confirmation Sampling Plan - based upon the confirmation Confirmation Sampling Plan - based upon the confirmation Confir	n the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC priate requirements of Subsection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC le) based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC et burial of a drying pad) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC in the appropriate requirements of Subsection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC riate requirements of Subsection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC appropriate requirements of Subsection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC ments of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC ments of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	15.17.11 NMAC							

0). Operator Application Certification:
I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.
Name (Print): Peffrey Peace Title: Field Environmental Advisor
Signature: Date: 06/14/2010
e-mail address: Peace.Jeffrey@bp.com Telephone: 505-326-9479
20. OCD Approval: Permit Application (including closure plant) Closure Plan (only) OCD Conditions (see attachment)
OCD Representative Signature. 2/8/12
Title: Environmental English (Compliance Utila)
Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion): Subsection K of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved closure plan prior to implementing any closure activities and submitting the closure report. The closure report is required to be submitted to the division within 60 days of the completion of the closure activities. Please do not complete this section of the form until an approved closure plan has been obtained and the closure activities have been completed. Closure Completion Date: 2-22-2013
22. Closure Method: Waste Excavation and Removal On-Site Closure Method Alternative Closure Method Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only) If different from approved plan, please explain.
23. Closure Report Regarding Waste Removal Closure For Closed-loop Systems That Utilize Above Ground Steel Tanks or Haul-off Bins Only:
Instructions: Please indentify the facility or facilities for where the liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings were disposed. Use attachment if more than two facilities were utilized.
Disposal Facility Name: Disposal Facility Permit Number:
Disposal Facility Name: Disposal Facility Permit Number:
Were the closed-loop system operations and associated activities performed on or in areas that will not be used for future service and operations? Yes (If yes, please demonstrate compliance to the items below) \(\sigma\) No
Required for impacted areas which will not be used for future service and operations: Site Reclamation (Photo Documentation) Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation Page resetting Application Potos and Specific Technique
Re-vegetation Application Rates and Seeding Technique
Closure Report Attachment Checklist: Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure report. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Proof of Closure Notice (surface owner and division) Proof of Deed Notice (required for on-site closure) Plot Plan (for on-site closures and temporary pits) Confirmation Sampling Analytical Results (if applicable) Waste Material Sampling Analytical Results (required for on-site closure) Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation Re-vegetation Application Rates and Seeding Technique Site Reclamation (Photo Documentation) On-site Closure Location: Latitude 36.79 H71 Longitude —107.69431 NAD: 1927 1983
Operator Closure Certification: I hereby certify that the information and attachments submitted with this closure report is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I also certify that the closure complies with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan. Name (Print): Title: Field Environmental Advisor Date: January 29, 2014 e-mail address: Peace je Kray & bp-com Telephone: (505) 326-9479
e-mail address: peace. jeffrey @ bp.com Telephone: (505) 326-9479

BP AMERICA PRODUCTION COMPANY

SAN JUAN BASIN, NORTHWEST NEW MEXICO

BELOW-GRADE TANK CLOSURE PLAN

RCVD JAN 31'14 OIL CONS. DIV. DIST. 3

Howell 1A – Tank B (21 bbl)

API No. 3004522248

Unit Letter I, Section 20, T30N, R8W

This plan will address the standard protocols and procedures for closure of below-grade tanks (BGTs) on BP America Production Company (BP) well sites. As stipulated in Paragraph A of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall close a BGT within the time periods provided in 19.15.17.13 NMAC, or by an earlier date that the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) requires because of imminent danger to fresh water, public health, safety or the environment. If deviations from this plan are necessary, any specific changes will be included on form C-144 and approved by the NMOCD. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC or is not included in Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC within five years after June 16, 2008, if not retrofit with a BGT that complies with the BP NMOCD approved BGT design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC, if not previously retrofitted to comply with the BP NMOCD approve BGT Design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan, prior to any sale or change in operator pursuant to 19.15.9.9 NMAC. BP shall close the permitted BGT within 60 days of cessation of the BGTs operation or as required by the transitional provisions of Subsection B, D, or E of 19.15.17.17 NMAC.

General Closure Plan

- 1. BP shall notify the surface owner by certified mail that it plans to close a BGT. Evidence of mailing of the notice to the address of the surface owner shown in the county tax records demonstrates compliance with this requirement.

 Notice is attached.
- 2. BP shall notify the division District III office verbally or by other means at least 72 hours, but not more than one (1) week, prior to any closure operation. The notice shall include the operator's name, and the location to be closed by unit letter, section, township and range. If the BGT closure is associated with a particular well, then the notice shall also include the well's name, number and API number.

Notice is attached.

- 3. BP shall remove liquids and sludge from the BGT prior to implementing a closure method and dispose of the liquids and sludge in a NMOCD's division-approved facility. The facilities to be used are:
 - a. BP Crouch Mesa Landfarm, Permit NM-02-003 (Solids)
 - b. JFJ Landfarm, Permit NM-01-010(B) (Solids and Sludge)
 - c. Basin Disposal, Permit NM-01-0005 (Liquids)

- d. Envirotech Inc Soil Remediation Facility, Permit NM-01-0011 (Solids and Sludge)
- e. BP Operated E.E. Elliott SWD #1, API 30-045-27799 (Liquids)
- f. BP Operated 13 GCU SWD #1, API 30-045-28601 (Liquids)
- g. BP Operated GCU 259 SWD, API 30-045-20006 (Liquids)
- h. BP Operated GCU 306 SWD, API 30-045-24286 (Liquids)
- i. BP Operated GCU 307 SWD, API 30-045-24248 (Liquids)
- j. BP Operated GCU 328 SWD, API 30-045-24735 (Liquids)
- k. BP Operated Pritchard SWD #1, API 30-045-28351 (Liquids)

All liquids and sludge in the BGT were removed and sent to one of the above NMOCD approved facilities for disposal.

4. BP shall remove the BGT and dispose of it in a NMOCD approved facility or recycle, reuse, or reclaim it in a manner that the NMOCD approves. If a liner is present and must be disposed of it will be cleaned by scraping any soils or other attached materials on the liner to a de minimus amount and disposed at a permitted solid waste facility, pursuant to Subparagraph (m) of Paragraph (1) of Subsection C of 19.15.35.8 NMAC. Documentation as to the final disposition of the removed BGT will be provided in the final closure report.

The BGT was transported to a storage area for sale and re-use.

5. BP shall remove any on-site equipment associated with a BGT unless the equipment is required for well production.

All equipment associated with the BGT has been removed.

6. BP shall test the soils beneath the BGT to determine whether a release has occurred. BP shall collect at a minimum: a five (5) point composite sample and individual grab samples from any area that is wet, discolored or showing other evidence of a release and analyze for BTEX, TPH and chlorides. The testing methods for those constituents are as follows;

Constituents	Testing Method	Release Verification	Sample
_	Tank $B-21$ bbl	(mg/Kg)	results
Benzene	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	0.2	ND
Total BTEX	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	50	ND
TPH	US EPA Method SW-846 418.1	100	ND
Chlorides	US EPA Method 300.0 or 4500B	250 or background	ND

Notes: mg/Kg = milligram per kilogram, BTEX = benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes, TPH = total petroleum hydrocarbons. Other EPA methods that the division approves may be applied to all constituents listed. Chloride closure standards will be determined by which ever concentration level is greatest.

Soil under the BGT was sampled and TPH, BTEX and chloride levels were below the stated limits. Sampling data is attached.

- 7. BP shall notify the division District III office of its results on form C-141. C-141 is attached.
- 8. If it is determined that a release has occurred, then BP will comply with 19.15.30 NMAC and 19.15.29 NMAC, as appropriate.

 Sampling results indicate no release occurred.
- 9. If the sampling demonstrates that a release has not occurred or that any release does not exceed the concentrations specified above, then BP shall backfill the excavation, with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material; construct a division-prescribed soil cover, re-contour and re-vegetate the location. The location will be reclaimed if it is not with in the active process area

The area under the BGT was backfilled with clean soil and is covered by the LPT.

10. BP shall reclaim the BGT location and all areas associated with the BGT including associated access roads to a safe and stable condition that blends with the surrounding undisturbed area. BP shall substantially restore the impacted surface area to the condition that existed prior to oil and gas operations by placement of the soil cover as provided in Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, re-contour the location and associated areas to a contour that approximates the original contour and blends with the surrounding topography and re-vegetate according to Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC.

The area over the BGT is still within the active well area and is covered by the LPT. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

11. The soil cover for closures where the BGT has been removed or remediated to the NMOCD's satisfaction shall consist of the background thickness of topsoil or one foot of suitable material to establish vegetation at the site, whichever is greater. The soil cover will be constructed to the site's existing grade and all practicable efforts will be made to prevent ponding of water and erosion of the cover material.

The area over the BGT is still within the active well area and is covered by the LPT. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

12. BP shall seed the disturbed area the first growing season after closure of the BGT. Seeding will be accomplished by drilling on the contour whenever practical or by other division-approved methods. Vegetative cover will be, at a minimum, 70% of the native perennial vegetative cover (un-impacted by overgrazing, fire or other intrusion damaging to native vegetation), consisting of at least three native plant species, including at least one grass, but not including noxious weeds, and maintenance of that cover through two successive growing seasons. During the two growing seasons that prove viability, there shall be no artificial irrigation of the vegetation.

The area over the BGT is still within the active well area and is covered by the LPT. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

13. BP shall seed, plant and re-seed pursuant to Paragraph (3) of Subsection 1 of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, until the location successfully achieves the required vegetative cover.

BP will seed the area when the well is plugged and abandoned.

14. Pursuant to Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall notify the NMOCD when it has seeded or planted and when it successfully achieves revegetation.

BP will notify NMOCD when re-vegetation is successful.

- 15. Within 60 days of closure completion, BP shall submit a closure report on NMOCD's form C-144, and will include the following;
 - a. proof of closure notification (surface owner and NMOCD)
 - b. sampling analytical reports; information required by 19.15.17 NMAC;
 - c. disposal facility name and permit number
 - d. details on back-filling, capping, covering, and where applicable re-vegetation application rates and seeding techniques and
 - e. site reclamation, photo documentation.

 Closure report on C-144 form is included.
- 16. BP shall certify that all information in the report and attachments is accurate, truthful, and compliant with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.

Certification section of C-144 has been completed.

District 1
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

Form C-141

Revised August 8, 2011

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe. NM 87505

Santa Fe, NM 87505

			Rele	ease Notific	atior	and Co	rrective A	ction						
				OPERATOR ☐ Initial Report ☒ Final Report										
							Contact: Jeff Peace							
		Court, Farm	ington, N	M 87401			No.: 505-326-94							
Facility Nar	ne: Howel	ll 1A				Facility Typ	e: Natural gas v	vell	 					
Surface Ow	ner: Feder	al		Mineral C	wner:	Federal			API No	. 30045222	48			
				LOCA	TIOI	N OF REI	LEASE							
Unit Letter	Section	Township	Range	Feet from the										
I	20	30N	8W	1,850	South									
-		L	L					<u></u>						
		Lat	itude3	6.79471		_ Longitud	e107.69431_							
				NAT	URE	OF RELI	EASE							
Type of Rele	ase: none						Release: N/A			Recovered: N				
		w grade tank -	<u>- 21 bbl Ta</u>	ank B			lour of Occurrence	e:	Date and	Hour of Dis	covery:			
Was Immedia	ate Notice (Ves T] No ⊠ Not Re	equired	If YES, To	Whom?							
By Whom?		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				Date and H	lour							
Was a Water	course Rea	ched?						the Water	course					
, , , as a			Yes ⊠] No		If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse. RCVD JAN 31 *14								
If a Watercou	ırse was Irr	pacted, Descr	ibe Fully.	*		<u> </u>			Г	IL CONS	DIE			
		,	,							DIST.		u.		
				n Taken.* Sampli and chloride belo					removal t	to ensure no	soil im	pacts from		
		and Cleanup A		cen.* BGT was re LPT.	moved a	and the area u	nderneath the BO	iT was sa	mpled. T	he excavated	l area w	/as		
I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to ground water, surface water, human healt or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.									danger liability nan health					
^	00 0						OIL CON	SERV	ATION	DIVISIO	N			
Signature:	off f	esco												
Printed Name	Approved by Environmental Specialist:													
Title: Field E				,		Approval Dat	e:	Е	xpiration l	Date:				
E-mail Addre	ess: peace.j	effrey@bp.co	m			Conditions of Approval:								
Date: January 29, 2014 Phone: 505-326-9479														

^{*} Attach Additional Sheets If Necessary

CLIENT: BP	BLAGG ENGINEERING, I P.O. BOX 87, BLOOMFIELD, N (505) 632-1199		API #: 300452 TANK ID (if applicble): A	
FIELD REPORT:	(circle one): BGT CONFIRMATION / RELEASE INVESTIGATION /	OTHER:	PAGE #: 1	of 1
SITE INFORMATION	: SITE NAME: HOWELL #1A		DATE STARTED: 02	2/22/13
QUAD/UNIT: SEC: 20 TWP:	30N RNG: 8W PM: NM CNTY: SJ	ST: NM	DATE FINISHED:	
1/4 -1/4/FOOTAGE: 1,850'S/1,105'E	NE/SE LEASE TYPE: FEDERAL/ STATE		ENVIRONMENTAL	
LEASE #: SF 078578	PROD. FORMATION: MV CONTRACTOR: MBF - J.	RN YEAGER	SPECIALIST(S): .	NJV
REFERENCE POINT	WELL HEAD (W.H.) GPS COORD.: 36.79	04879 X 107.694	104 GL ELEV.:	5,749'
1) 95 BGT (SW/DB) - A	GPS COORD.: 36.79456 X 107.49407		ARING FROM W.H.:109	
2) 21 BGT (SW/DB) - B	GPS COORD.: 36.79471 X 107.69431	DISTANCE/BE	ARING FROM W.H.:95.	5', S48W
3)300 BBL PROD. TANK	GPS COORD.: 36.794645 X 107.69435	DISTANCE/BE	ARING FROM W.H.:112	2', S40W
4)	GPS COORD.:	DISTANCE/BE	ARING FROM W.H.:	
SAMPLING DATA:	CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD(S) # OR LAB USED:	ALL		OVM READING
1) SAMPLE ID:	SAMPLE DATE: 02/22/13 SAMPLE TIME: 1005	LAB ANALYSIS: 418.1/8	8015B/8021B/300.0(0	CI) NA
2) SAMPLE ID: 5PC-TB_@_7'_(21)	SAMPLE DATE: 02/22/13 SAMPLE TIME: 1000	LAB ANALYSIS: 418.1/8	8015B/8021B/300.0(0	CI) NA
3) SAMPLE ID:	SAMPLE DATE: SAMPLE TIME:	LAB ANALYSIS:		
4) SAMPLE ID:	SAMPLE DATE:SAMPLE TIME:	LAB ANALYSIS:		
SOIL DESCRIPTION	SOIL TYPE: SAND / SILTY SAND / SILT / SILTY CLAY	/ CLAY / GRAVEL / OT	HER	
SOIL COLOR: MODERATE BROWN				
COHESION (ALL OTHERS): NON COHESIVE SUIGHTLY CONSISTENCY (NON COHESIVE SOILS): LC MOISTURE: DRY (SLIGHTLY MOIST) MOIST / M SAMPLE TYPE: GRAB (COMPOSITE - # DISCOLORATION/STAINING OBSERVED	OSE / FIRM DENSE / VERY DENSE DENSITY (COHESIVE T/ SATURATED SUPER SATURATED HC ODOR DETECTION OF PTS	E CLAYS & SILTS): SOFT	COHESIVE / MEDIUM PLASTIC / HIGH I / FIRM / STIFF / VERY STIFI ANATION -	-/ HARD
ANY AREAS DISPLAYING WETNESS: YES IND APPARENT EVIDENCE OF A RELEASE O ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:	EXPLANATION - BSERVED AND/OR OCCURRED: YES/NO EXPLANATION	:		
SOIL IMPACT DIMENSION ESTIMATION: DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER: <50' N	NA ft. XNA ft. XNA ft. EAREST WATER SOURCE:		TIMATION (Cubic Yards) : CD TPH CLOSURE STD:1	NA 00ppm
SITE SKETCH (21) PBGTL T.B. ~ 7' B.G.	PLOT PLAN C	N TIME	CALIB. READ. = NA CALIB. GAS = NA E: NA am/pm DATE: _ MISCELL. NO	ppm RF=0.52 ppm NA
300 BBL PROD. TANK	BERM SEP. (95) PBGTL T.B. ~ 5 B.G.	P P P P	OCD Appr. date(s): 02/	14/10 08/12 Meter
T.B. = TANK BOTTOM; PBGTL = PREVIOUS BEL	N DEPRESSION; B.G. = BELOW GRADE; B = BELOW; T.H. = TEST HOLE; ~ = APPRO DW-GRADE TANK LOCATION; SPD = SAMPLE POINT DESIGNATION; R.W. = RETAINI WALL; DW - DOUBLE WALL; SB - SINGLE BOTTOM; DB - DOUBLE BOTTOM. ONSITE:	- S.P.D.		' / N

Analytical Report

Lab Order 1302853

Date Reported: 3/1/2013

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Blagg Engineering

Client Sample ID: 5PC-TB@7'(21)

Project: Howell #1A

Collection Date: 2/22/2013 10:00:00 AM

Lab ID: 1302853-001

Matrix: SOIL Received Date: 2/26/2013 10:00:00 AM

Analyses	Result	RL Qu	al Units	DF	Date Analyzed
EPA METHOD 8015B: DIESEL RANGE (DRGANICS				Analyst: MMD
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	9.8	mg/Kg	1	2/28/2013 5:13:16 PM
Surr: DNOP	112	72.4-120	%REC	1	2/28/2013 5:13:16 PM
EPA METHOD 8015B: GASOLINE RANG	E				Analyst: NSB
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	4.7	mg/Kg	1	2/27/2013 10:47:26 PM
Surr: BFB	106	84-116	%REC	1	2/27/2013 10:47:26 PM
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analyst: NSB
Benzene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	2/27/2013 10:47:26 PM
Toluene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	2/27/2013 10:47:26 PM
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	2/27/2013 10:47:26 PM
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.094	mg/Kg	1	2/27/2013 10:47:26 PM
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	105	80-120	%REC	1	2/27/2013 10:47:26 PM
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analyst: JRR
Chloride	ND	7.5	mg/Kg	5	2/27/2013 11:21:54 AM
EPA METHOD 418.1: TPH					Analyst: LRW
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	ND	20	mg/Kg	1	2/27/2013

Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH greater than 2
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits 1 of 7

C	hain-d	of-Cus	stody Record	Turn-Around	Time:		HALL ENVIRONM					MF	:N:	TA	L						
Client:	BLAG	G ENGR.	/ BP AMERICA	☑ Standard ☐ Rush																OF	
				Project Name			S .				ww	w.ha	allen	viro	nme	ntai	.con	n			
Mailing A	ddress:	P.O. BO	X 87		HOWELL#	1A	4901 Hawkins NE ~ Albuquerque, NM 87109														
		BLOOM	FIELD, NM 87413	Project #:				Te	el. 50	05-3	45-3	975	1	Fax	505	-345	-410	07	•		
Phone #:		(505) 63	32-1199				The state of	4.4		, 13 . 14		, 1	Anal	ysis	Red	lues	st .				- 18 m
email or F	ax#:			Project Manag	ger:									504)							T
QA/QC Pad Standa	_		Level 4 (Full Validation)		NELSON VE	LEZ	(8021B)	only)	/Diesel)					PO4, SC	PCB's		i				e
Accreditat	ion:			Sampler:	NELSON VE	LEZ) Š	(Gas	(Gas					102,	82 P						m du
□ NELAF		☐ Other		On ice	Y Yes		1	H	15B	(8.1))4.1)	Œ		33, N	8		-				e sa
	уре)	, 		Sample Temp	erature: 49			+ W	08 p)d 4])d 5(or P/	als	Cl, NO3, NO2,	ides	~	Š	0.00		흥	osit
Date	Time	Matrix	Sample Request ID	Container Type and #	Preservative Type	HEAL No. 1307853	BTEX + MITE	BTEX + MTBE + TPH (Gas only)	TPH Method 8015B (Gas/Diesel)	TPH (Method 418.1)	EDB (Method 504.1)	8310 (PNA or PAH)	RCRA 8 Metals	Anions (F, C	8081 Pesticides / 8082	8260B (VOA)	8270 (Semi-VOA)	Chloride (300.0)	-	Grab sample	5 pt. composite sample
2/22/13	1000	SOIL	5PC-TB @ 7' (21)	4 oz 2	Cool	-001	٧		7	٧								٧			٧
2/22/13	1005	SOIL	5PC-TB @ 5' (95)	4 oz 2	Cool	-002	٧		٧	٧								٧			٧
	<u> </u>																				
						·															
																				\perp	
																				\dashv	
						!															
Date:	Time:	Relinquish	ed by:	Received by:	<u>-</u>	Date Time	Ren	nark	5:	TPH	1 (80	158	3) - (GRC	8	ORO	ON	LY.			
4 25 13 0800 Men V			ny	Christin	Waster	7/25/13 815				LY T (- 2	inal-		NA O	7404			
Date:	Time:	Relinquishe	ed by:	Received by:	11/2	Date Time				200 E :	-	-			_				01B0	277	
725/13	1725	1 Mis	tu Wales	July		02/2/13/00					1840				rd	, NCY.	<u></u> _	V I ()			

.

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

15

7.5

15.00

2.762

83.9

64.4

117

3.45

20

WO#:

1302853

01-Mar-13

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:	Howell #	1A									
Sample ID	MB-6262	SampTy	pe: ME	BLK	Tes	Code: E	PA Method	300.0: Anion:	5		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Client ID:	PBS	Batch I	D: 62 6	62	F	tunNo: 8	876				
Prep Date:	2/27/2013	Analysis Da	te: 2/	27/2013	S	eqNo: 2	253705	Units: mg/K	g		
Analyte Chloride		Result ND	PQL 1.5	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Sample ID	LCS-6262	SampTy	oe: LC	s	Tes	Code: E	PA Method	300.0: Anion	3	<u>2</u>	<u>_</u>
Client ID:	LCSS	Batch I	D: 62	62	F	tunNo: 8	876				
Prep Date:	2/27/2013	Analysis Da	te: 2/	27/2013	S	eqNo: 2	53706	Units: mg/K	g		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride		14	1.5	15.00	0	94.8	90	110			
Sample ID	1302868-001AMS	SampTy	oe: MS		Tes	Code: E	PA Method	300.0: Anion	5	,	
Client ID:	BatchQC	Batch I	D: 62 0	62	F	unNo: 8	876				
Prep Date:	2/27/2013	Analysis Da	te: 2/ :	27/2013	S	eqNo: 2	53708	Units: mg/K	g		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride		15	7.5	15.00	2.762	80.4	64.4	117			
Sample ID	1302868-001AMSI) SampTy	oe: MS	D .	Tes	Code: E	PA Method	300.0: Anions	<u> </u>		
Client ID:	BatchQC	Batch I	D: 62 0	62	F	unNo: 8	876				
Prep Date:	2/27/2013	Analysis Da	te: 2/ :	27/2013	S	eqNo: 2	53709	Units: mg/K	g		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual

Qualifiers:

Chloride

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

E Value above quantitation range

I Analyte detected below quantitation limits

P Sample pH greater than 2

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

Page 3 of 7

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1302853

01-Mar-13

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

Howell #1A

Sample ID MB-6252

SampType: MBLK

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

Client ID:

PBS

Batch ID: 6252

PQL

20

RunNo: 8878

Prep Date: 2/26/2013 Analysis Date: 2/27/2013

SeqNo: 253779

HighLimit

Units: mg/Kg

Analyte

%RPD **RPDLimit**

Qual

Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR

Sample ID LCS-6252

Prep Date: 2/26/2013

LCSS

Result ND

> SampType: LCS Batch ID: 6252

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

RunNo: 8878

Units: mg/Kg

Analyte

Client ID:

Analysis Date: 2/27/2013

SeqNo: 253780

HighLimit

Qual

Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR

Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR

100

SPK value SPK Ref Val 100.0 7.440

100.0

%REC 93.6

80

120

RPDLimit

Sample ID LCSD-6252

SampType: LCSD Batch ID: 6252

PQL

20

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit

RunNo: 8878 SeqNo: 253781

Units: mg/Kg

%RPD

Qual

Prep Date: Analyte

Client ID:

LCSS02 2/26/2013

Result

100

Analysis Date: 2/27/2013 PQL

20

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit 7.440

96.2

HighLimit 120 %RPD **RPDLimit** 2.50

20

Qualifiers:

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

Value above quantitation range Е

Analyte detected below quantitation limits

Sample pH greater than 2

В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

RPD outside accepted recovery limits

Not Detected at the Reporting Limit ND

Page 4 of 7

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

9.7

48.54

4.854

50

6.0

WO#: 1302853

01-Mar-13

Client:	
Project:	

Blagg Engineering

Howell #1A

Project:	Howell #	1A 									
Sample ID	MB-6265	SampType: MBLK			TestCode: EPA Method 8015B: Diesel Range Organics						·
Client ID:	PBS	Batch	ID: 62	65	F	RunNo: 8892					
Prep Date:	2/27/2013	Analysis Da	ate: 2/	28/2013	S	SeqNo: 2	54079	Units: mg/k	(g		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range (Organics (DRO)	ND	10			_					
Surr: DNOP		11		10.00		109	72.4	120			
Sample ID	LCS-6265	SampTy	/pe: LC	s	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8015B: Dies	el Range (Organics	
Client ID:	LCSS	Batch	ID: 62	65	F	RunNo: 8	892				
Prep Date:	2/27/2013	Analysis Da	ate: 2/	28/2013	S	SeqNo: 2	54112	Units: mg/k	(g		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range (Organics (DRO)	51	10	50.00	0	103	47.4	122			
Surr: DNOP		5.9		5.000		117	72.4	120			
Sample ID	1302844-003AMS	SampTy	/pe: M \$	3	TestCode: EPA Method 8015B: Diesel Range Organics						
Client ID:	BatchQC	Batch	ID: 62	65	F	RunNo: 8	892				
Prep Date:	2/27/2013	Analysis Da	ate: 2/	28/2013	S	SeqNo: 2	54355	Units: mg/k	(g		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range (Organics (DRO)	56	10	51.23	0	109	12.6	148			
Surr: DNOP		5.6		5.123		109	72.4	120			
Sample ID	1302844-003AMSI) SampTy	/pe: M\$	SD	TestCode: EPA Method 8015B: Diesel Range Organics						
Client ID:	BatchQC	Batch	ID: 62	65	F	RunNo: 8	892				
Prep Date:	2/27/2013	Analysis Da	ate: 2/	28/2013	. s	SeqNo: 2	54472	Units: mg/K	(g		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual

0

104

123

12.6

72.4

148

120

10.2

0

22.5

S

Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- Value above quantitation range E
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Sample pH greater than 2

Diesel Range Organics (DRO)

Surr: DNOP

- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

RPD outside accepted recovery limits

- Not Detected at the Reporting Limit ND
- Page 5 of 7

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

49

2600

4.9

24.61

984.3

WO#:

1302853

01-Mar-13

S

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

Howell #1A

Sample ID MB-6247	SampType: MBLK	TestCode: EPA Method	8015B: Gasoline Range
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 6247	RunNo: 8875	
Prep Date: 2/26/2013	Analysis Date: 2/27/2013	SeqNo: 253914	Units: mg/Kg
 Analyte	Result PQL SPK v	alue SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit	HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) Surr: BFB	ND 5.0 1100 1	000 107 84	116
Sample ID LCS-6247	SampType: LCS	TestCode: EPA Method	8015B: Gasoline Range
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 6247	RunNo: 8875	
Prep Date: 2/26/2013	Analysis Date: 2/27/2013	SeqNo: 253915	Units: mg/Kg
Analyte	Result PQL SPK v	alue SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit	HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	29 5.0 2	5.00 0 115 62.6	136
Surr: BFB	1200 1	000 115 84	116
Sample ID 1302842-001AMS	SampType: MS	TestCode: EPA Method	8015B: Gasoline Range
Client ID: BatchQC	Batch ID: 6247	RunNo: 8875	
Prep Date: 2/26/2013	Analysis Date: 2/27/2013	SeqNo: 253917	Units: mg/Kg
Analyte	Result PQL SPK v	alue SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit	HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual

Sample ID 1302842-001AMS	D SampT	ype: MS	SD	TestCode: EPA Method 8015B; Gasoline Range						
Client ID: BatchQC	Batch	1D: 62 4	47	F	RunNo: 8	875				
Prep Date: 2/26/2013	Analysis D	ate: 2/	27/2013	9	SeqNo: 2	53918	Units: mg/h	(g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	56	4.9	24.61	29.69	105	70	130	12.5	22.1	
Surr: BFB	2700		984.3		269	84	116	0	0	S

29.69

78.8

262

70

84

130

116

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH greater than 2

Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)

Surr: BFB

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

RPD outside accepted recovery limits

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

Page 6 of 7

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1302853

01-Mar-13

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

Howell #1A

Sample ID MB-6247	SampType: MBLK		Tes							
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 6247		RunNo: 8875							
Prep Date: 2/26/2013	Analysis [Date: 2/	27/2013	SeqNo: 253934			Units: mg/K	ίg		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	ND	0.050								
Toluene	ND	0.050								
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.050								
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.10								
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.1		1.000		107	80	120			

Sample ID LCS-6247 SampType: LCS			TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles							
Client ID: LCSS	Batcl	Batch ID: 6247			RunNo: 8875					
Prep Date: 2/26/2013	Analysis Date: 2/27/2013			SeqNo: 253935			Units: mg/Kg			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	0.92	0.050	1.000	0	92.3	80	120			
Toluene	0.92	0.050	1.000	0	91.8	80	120			
Ethylbenzene	0.92	0.050	1.000	0	91.9	80	120			
Xylenes, Total	2.8	0.10	3.000	0	92.9	80	120			
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.1		1.000		110	80	120			

Sample ID 1302844-001AMS	SampType: MS			TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles						
Client ID: BatchQC	Batcl	Batch ID: 6247			RunNo: 8875					
Prep Date: 2/26/2013	Analysis D	Date: 2/	27/2013	SeqNo: 253938			Units: mg/k	(g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	1.0	0.049	0.9852	0	107	67.2	113			
Toluene	1.0	0.049	0.9852	0.004008	106	62.1	116			
Ethylbenzene	1.1	0.049	0.9852	0.01148	107	67.9	127			
Xylenes, Total	3.2	0.099	2.956	0.09455	106	60.6	134			
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	. 1.2		0.9852		117	80	120			

Sample ID 1302844-001AMSD SampType: MSD				TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles						
Client ID: BatchQC	nt ID: BatchQC Batch ID: 6247				RunNo: 8875					
Prep Date: 2/26/2013	2/26/2013 Analysis Date: 2/27/2013			9	SeqNo: 2	53939	Units: mg/Kg			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	1.0	0.047	0.9390	0	111	67.2	113	0.725	14.3	
Toluene	1.1	0.047	0.9390	0.004008	112	62.1	116	0.489	15.9	
Ethylbenzene	1.1	0.047	0.9390	0.01148	115	67.9	127	2.21	14.4	
Xylenes, Total	3.3	0.094	2.817	0.09455	113	60.6	134	1.77	12.6	
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.1		0.9390		114	80	120	0	0	

Qualifiers:

* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

E Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

P Sample pH greater than 2

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

Page 7 of 7



Hall Environmental Analysis Laborator) 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87105

TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-410; Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

Sample Log-In Check List

Client Name: BLAGG	Work Order Number: 1302853
Received by/date: 00 00 00 18	
Logged By: Ashley Gallegos 2/26/2013 10:00:00	O AM
Completed By: Ashley Gallegos 2/26/2013 12:02:5	2 PM
Reviewed By: AT 02/26/13	Ü
Chain of Custody	
1. Were seals intact?	Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Present 🗹
2. Is Chain of Custody complete?	Yes ☑ No ☐ Not Present ☐
3. How was the sample delivered?	Courier
<u>Log In</u>	
4. Coolers are present? (see 19. for cooler specific information)	Yes 🗹 No 🗌 NA 🗔
5. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?	Yes ☑ No ☐ NA ☐
6. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C	Yes ☑ No ☐ NA ☐
7. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?	Yes ☑ No □
8. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?	Yes ☑ No 🗆
9. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved?	Yes 🗹 No 🗌
10. Was preservative added to bottles?	Yes 🗌 No 🗹 NA 🗍
11. VOA vials have zero headspace?	Yes 🗌 No 🔲 No VOA Vials 🗹
12. Were any sample containers received broken?	Yes No 🗹
13. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody)	Yes No ho foreserved bottles checked for pH:
14. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?	Yes ✓ No ☐ (<2 or >12 unless noted)
15. is it clear what analyses were requested?	Yes V No Adjusted?
16. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.)	Yes ☑ No ☐ Checked by:
Special Handling (if applicable)	
17. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order?	Yes No No NA 🗹
Person Notified: Dat	te:
By Whom: Via	: eMail Phone Fax In Person
Regarding:	
Client Instructions:	
18. Additional remarks:	
19. Cooler Information	
Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seal Intact Seal No	Seal Date Signed By
1 1.9 Good Not Present	





BP America Production Company 200 Energy Court Farmington, NM 87401 Phone: (505) 326-9200

November 12, 2012

Bureau of Land Management Mark Kelly 1235 La Plata Hwy Farmington, NM 87401

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL - RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Re: Notification of plans to close/remove a below grade tank Well Name: HOWELL 001A

Dear Mr. Kelly,

As part of the NM "Pit Rule": 19.15.17.13 Closure Requirements, Paragraph J. BP America Production Company (BP) is required to notify the surface owner of BP's plans to close/remove a below grade tank. BP wishes to inform you of our plans to close/remove the below grade tank on its well pad located on your surface. BP plans to commence this work on or about November 25, 2012. If there aren't any unforeseen problems, the work should be completed within 10 working days.

As a point of clarification, BP will be closing the below grade tank and either operating without one or replacing it with an above ground tank, the well site will continue to operate.

Unless you have questions about this notice, there is no need to respond to this letter. If you do have any questions or concerns, please contact me at 505-326-9214

Sincerely,

Jerry Van Riper

Surface Land Negotiator

BP America Production Company

BP America Production Company

200 Energy Court Farmington, NM 87401 Phone: (505) 326-9200

SENT VIA E-MAIL TO: BRANDON.POWELL@STATE.NM.US

November 14, 2012

New Mexico Oil Conservation Division 1000 Rio Brazos Road Aztec, New Mexico 87410

RE: Notice of Proposed Below-Grade Tank (BGT) Closure

HOWELL 001A API 30-045-22248 (M) Section 20 – T30N – R08W San Juan County, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Brandon Powell:

In regards to the captioned subject and requirements of the NMOCD pit rule, this letter is notification that BP is planning to close a 21 bbl. BGT that will no longer be operational at this well site.

Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact BP at our Farmington office.

Sincerely,

Buddy Shaw BP Environmental Advisor

(505) 320-0401



