Form C-144 July 21, 2008

District I 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 District II 1301 W. Grand Avenue, Artesia, NM 88210 District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aziec, NM 87410 <u>District IV</u> 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

### State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources Department Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

For temporary pits, closed-loop systems, and below-grade tanks, submit to the appropriate NMOCD District Office. For permanent pits and exceptions submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office

1749
------

Pit, Closed-Loop System, Below-Grade Tank, or Proposed Alternative Method Permit or Closure Plan Application
Type of action: Permit of a pit, closed-loop system, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method Closure of a pit, closed-loop system, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method Modification to an existing permit Closure plan only submitted for an existing permitted or non-permitted pit, closed-loop system, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method
Instructions: Please submit one application (Form C-144) per individual pit, closed-loop system, below-grade tank or alternative request  Please be advised that approval of this request does not relieve the operator of hability should operations result in pollution of surface water, ground water or the environment. Nor does approval relieve the operator of its responsibility to comply with any other applicable governmental authority's rules, regulations or ordinance.
I. Operator: BP AMERICA PRODUCTION COMPANY OGRID #: 778
Address: 200 Energy Court, Farmington, NM 87401
Facility or well name: A L ELLIOTT D 007
API Number: 3004522928 OCD Permit Number:
U/L or Qtr/Qtr K Section 11.0 Township 29.0N Range 09W County: San Juan County
Center of Proposed Design:         Latitude 36.73627         Longitude -107.75246         NAD:         □1927 ▼ 1983
Surface Owner: ▼ Federal ☐ State ☐ Private ☐ Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment
Pit: Subsection F or G of 19.15.17.11 NMAC   RCVD FEB 26 14     Temporary:   Drilling   Workover   OIL CONS. DIV.     Permanent   Emergency   Cavitation   P&A   OIL CONS. DIV.     Lined   Unlined Liner type: Thickness   mil   LLDPE   HDPE   PVC   Other   DIST. 3     String-Reinforced   Volume:   bbl Dimensions: L   x W   x D
3.  Closed-loop System: Subsection H of 19.15.17.11 NMAC  Type of Operation: P&A Drilling a new well Workover or Drilling (Applies to activities which require prior approval of a permit or notice of intent)  Drying Pad Above Ground Steel Tanks Haul-off Bins Other  Lined Unlined Liner type. Thicknessmil LLDPE HDPE PVC Other  Liner Seams: Welded Factory Other
4.  ■ Below-grade tank: Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Tank ID: A  Volume: 21.0 bbl Type of fluid: Produced Water  Tank Construction material: Steel
□ Secondary containment with leak detection □ Visible sidewalls, liner, 6-inch lift and automatic overflow shut-off     □ Visible sidewalls and liner ▼ Visible sidewalls only □ Other SINGLE WALLED DOUBLE BOTTOMED
Liner type. Thickness mil
5.  Alternative Method:

Form C-144

Oil Conservation Division

Submittal of an exception request is required. Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

Page 1 of 5

Fencing: Subsection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits, temporary pits, and below-grade tanks)	
Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top (Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent residence, school, institution or church)	поѕрнаі,
Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet	
Alternate. Please specify 4' Hogwire with single barbed wire	
Netting: Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks)  Screen Netting Other  Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)	
8.	
Signs: Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC	
12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers	
Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC	
Administrative Approvals and Exceptions:  Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance.  Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank:  Administrative approval(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district or the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau consideration of approval.  Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.	office for
Siting Criteria (regarding permitting): 19.15.17.10 NMAC  Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of acceptant material are provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria may require administrative approval from the appropriate of fice or may be considered an exception which must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of a Applicant must attach justification for request. Please refer to 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance. Siting criteria does not apply to dry above-grade tanks associated with a closed-loop system.	opriate district approval.
Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of the temporary pit, permanent pit, or below-grade tank.  - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes 🗷 No
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).  - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes 🗷 No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.  (Applies to temporary, emergency, or cavitation pits and below-grade tanks)  - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	Yes No
Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.  (Applies to permanent pits)  - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site, Aerial photo; Satellite image	Yes No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring that less than five households use for domestic or stock watering purposes, or within 1000 horizontal feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of initial application.  - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes 🗷 No
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended.  - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes ➤ No
Within 500 feet of a wetland.  - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map, Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes 🗷 No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine.  - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	☐ Yes 🗷 No
Within an unstable area.  - Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources: USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map	☐ Yes 🗷 No
Within a 100-year floodplain FEMA map	➤ Yes □ No

Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC  Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.  Hydrogeologic Report (Below-grade Tanks) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC  Hydrogeologic Data (Temporary and Emergency Pits) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC  Sitting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC  Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC  Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC  Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC  The second of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.  Note that the box, that the documents are attached.  Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC
Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number:
Closed-loop Systems Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC  Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.  Geologic and Hydrogeologic Data (only for on-site closure) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (3) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9  Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations (only for on-site closure) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC
Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15 17 13 NMAC
Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design)  API Number
□ Previously Approved Operating and Maintenance Plan API Number:(Applies only to closed-loop system that use
above ground steel tanks or haul-off bins and propose to implement waste removal for closure)
Permanent Pits Permit Application Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC  Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.    Hydrogeologic Report - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15 17.9 NMAC   Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC   Cilimatological Factors Assessment   Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC   Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC   Leak Detection Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC   Liner Specifications and Compatibility Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC   Quality Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installation Plan   Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC   Freeboard and Overtopping Prevention Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC   Nuisance or Hazardous Odors, including H <sub>2</sub> S, Prevention Plan   Emergency Response Plan   Construction Plan   Erosion Control Plan   Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC
Proposed Closure: 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan.
Type: Drilling Workover Emergency Cavitation P&A Permanent Pit Below-grade Tank Closed-loop System Alternative Proposed Closure Method: Waste Excavation and Removal Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only) On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems) In-place Burial On-site Trench Burial Alternative Closure Method (Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau for consideration)
Is.  Waste Excavation and Removal Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.  Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings)  Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection G of 19.15.17.13 NMAC

Waste Removal Closure For Closed-loop Systems That Utilize Above Ground Steel Tanks or Haul-off Bins Only: (19.15.17.1) Instructions: Please indentify the facility or facilities for the disposal of liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings. Use attachment	
facilities are required.   Disposal Facility Name: Disposal Facility Permit Number:	
Disposal Facility Name: Disposal Facility Permit Number:	
Will any of the proposed closed-loop system operations and associated activities occur on or in areas that will not be used for future s  Yes (If yes, please provide the information below) No	
Required for impacted areas which will not be used for future service and operations:  Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NM Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection G of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	AC
Siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendations of acceptable so provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria may require administrative approval from the appropriate d considered an exception which must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval. Judemonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance.	istrict office or may be
Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste.  - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Ground water is between 50 and 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste  - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes No
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark)  Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.  - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	Ycs No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring that less than five households use for domestic or stock watering purposes, or within 1000 horizontal feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of initial application - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended.  - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	Yes No
Within 500 feet of a wetland.  - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine.  - Written confirmation or verification or inap from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	Yes No
Within an unstable area.  - Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources: USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map	Yes No
Within a 100-year floodplain FEMA map	☐ Yes ☐ No
18.  On-Site Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15 17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.  Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15 17.10 NMAC  Proof of Surface Owner Notice - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC  Construction/Design Plan of Temporary Pit (for in-place burial of a drying pad) - based upon the appropriate requirements of I Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Waste Material Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings or in case on-site closure standards can Soil Cover Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	9.15.17.11 NMAC
Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection G of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	

19.
Operator Application Certification:  I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.
Name (Print) Veffrey Peace Title: Field Environmental Advisor
Signature:
e-mail address: Peace.Jeffrey@bp.com Telephone: 505-326-9479
20.  OCD Approval: Permit Application (including closure plan) Closure Plan (only) QCD Conditions (see attagement)
OCD Representative Signature: 8/4/11
VO - OK (Lam
Title:
Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion): Subsection K of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved closure plan prior to implementing any closure activities and submitting the closure report. The closure report is required to be submitted to the division within 60 days of the completion of the closure activities. Please do not complete this section of the form until an approved closure plan has been obtained and the closure activities have been completed.  Closure Completion Date:
22.  Closure Method:  Waste Excavation and Removal On-Site Closure Method Alternative Closure Method Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only)
If different from approved plan, please explain.
Closure Report Regarding Waste Removal Closure For Closed-loop Systems That Utilize Above Ground Steel Tanks or Haul-off Bins Only: Instructions: Please indentify the facility or facilities for where the liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings were disposed. Use attachment if more than two facilities were utilized.
Disposal Facility Name: Disposal Facility Permit Number:
Disposal Facility Name: Disposal Facility Permit Number:
Were the closed-loop system operations and associated activities performed on or in areas that will not be used for future service and operations?  Yes (If yes, please demonstrate compliance to the items below) No
Required for impacted areas which will not be used for future service and operations:
Site Reclamation (Photo Documentation) Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation
Re-vegetation Application Rates and Seeding Technique
Closure Report Attachment Checklist: Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure report. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.  Proof of Closure Notice (surface owner and division) Proof of Deed Notice (required for on-site closure) Plot Plan (for on-site closures and temporary pits) Confirmation Sampling Analytical Results (if applicable)
Waste Material Sampling Analytical Results (required for on-site closure) Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number
Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation  Re-vegetation Application Rates and Seeding Technique
Ste Reclamation (Photo Documentation) On-site Closure Location. Latitude 36.73637 Longitude -107.75246 NAD: 1927 1983
25.  Operating Closure Cartifications
Operator Closure Certification:  I hereby certify that the information and attachments submitted with this closure report is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I also certify that the closure complies with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.
Name (Print): Jeff Peace Title: Field Environmental Advisor
Name (Print): Jeff Peace  Signature: Peace: Seffrey Obf. com  Title: Field Environmental Advisor  Date: February 25, 2014  Telephone: (505) 326-9479
e-mail address: Peace-jeffrey Obf. com Telephone: (505) 37-6-9479

### BP AMERICA PRODUCTION COMPANY

SAN JUAN BASIN, NORTHWEST NEW MEXICO

#### BELOW-GRADE TANK CLOSURE PLAN

# A. L. Elliott D 7 API No. 3004522928 Unit Letter K, Section 11, T29N, R9W

RCVD FEB 26 '14 OIL CONS. DIV. DIST. 3

This plan will address the standard protocols and procedures for closure of below-grade tanks (BGTs) on BP America Production Company (BP) well sites. As stipulated in Paragraph A of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall close a BGT within the time periods provided in 19.15.17.13 NMAC, or by an earlier date that the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) requires because of imminent danger to fresh water, public health, safety or the environment. If deviations from this plan are necessary, any specific changes will be included on form C-144 and approved by the NMOCD. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC or is not included in Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC within five years after June 16, 2008, if not retrofit with a BGT that complies with the BP NMOCD approved BGT design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC, if not previously retrofitted to comply with the BP NMOCD approve BGT Design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan, prior to any sale or change in operator pursuant to 19.15.9.9 NMAC. BP shall close the permitted BGT within 60 days of cessation of the BGTs operation or as required by the transitional provisions of Subsection B, D, or E of 19.15.17.17 NMAC.

### **General Closure Plan**

- 1. BP shall notify the surface owner by certified mail that it plans to close a BGT. Evidence of mailing of the notice to the address of the surface owner shown in the county tax records demonstrates compliance with this requirement.

  Notice is attached.
- 2. BP shall notify the division District III office verbally or by other means at least 72 hours, but not more than one (1) week, prior to any closure operation. The notice shall include the operator's name, and the location to be closed by unit letter, section, township and range. If the BGT closure is associated with a particular well, then the notice shall also include the well's name, number and API number.

Notice is attached.

- 3. BP shall remove liquids and sludge from the BGT prior to implementing a closure method and dispose of the liquids and sludge in a NMOCD's division-approved facility. The facilities to be used are:
  - a. BP Crouch Mesa Landfarm, Permit NM-02-003 (Solids)
  - b. JFJ Landfarm, Permit NM-01-010(B) (Solids and Sludge)
  - c. Basin Disposal, Permit NM-01-0005 (Liquids)

- d. Envirotech Inc Soil Remediation Facility, Permit NM-01-0011 (Solids and Sludge)
- e. BP Operated E.E. Elliott SWD #1, API 30-045-27799 (Liquids)
- f. BP Operated 13 GCU SWD #1, API 30-045-28601 (Liquids)
- g. BP Operated GCU 259 SWD, API 30-045-20006 (Liquids)
- h. BP Operated GCU 306 SWD, API 30-045-24286 (Liquids)
- i. BP Operated GCU 307 SWD, API 30-045-24248 (Liquids)
- i. BP Operated GCU 328 SWD, API 30-045-24735 (Liquids)
- k. BP Operated Pritchard SWD #1, API 30-045-28351 (Liquids)

All liquids and sludge in the BGT were removed and sent to one of the above NMOCD approved facilities for disposal.

4. BP shall remove the BGT and dispose of it in a NMOCD approved facility or recycle, reuse, or reclaim it in a manner that the NMOCD approves. If a liner is present and must be disposed of it will be cleaned by scraping any soils or other attached materials on the liner to a de minimus amount and disposed at a permitted solid waste facility, pursuant to Subparagraph (m) of Paragraph (1) of Subsection C of 19.15.35.8 NMAC. Documentation as to the final disposition of the removed BGT will be provided in the final closure report.

The BGT was transported to a storage area for sale and re-use.

5. BP shall remove any on-site equipment associated with a BGT unless the equipment is required for well production.

All equipment associated with the BGT has been removed.

6. BP shall test the soils beneath the BGT to determine whether a release has occurred. BP shall collect at a minimum: a five (5) point composite sample and individual grab samples from any area that is wet, discolored or showing other evidence of a release and analyze for BTEX, TPH and chlorides. The testing methods for those constituents are as follows;

Constituents	Testing Method	Release Verification	Sample
	21 bbl BGT	(mg/Kg)	results
Benzene	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	0.2	ND
Total BTEX	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	50	ND
TPH	US EPA Method SW-846 418.1	100	ND
Chlorides	US EPA Method 300.0 or 4500B	250 or background	ND

Notes: mg/Kg = milligram per kilogram, BTEX = benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes, TPH = total petroleum hydrocarbons. Other EPA methods that the division approves may be applied to all constituents listed. Chloride closure standards will be determined by which ever concentration level is greatest.

Soil under the BGT was sampled and TPH, BTEX and chloride levels were below the stated limits. Sampling data is attached.

7. BP shall notify the division District III office of its results on form C-141.

8. If it is determined that a release has occurred, then BP will comply with 19.15.30 NMAC and 19.15.29 NMAC, as appropriate.

Sampling results indicate no release occurred.

9. If the sampling demonstrates that a release has not occurred or that any release does not exceed the concentrations specified above, then BP shall backfill the excavation, with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material; construct a division-prescribed soil cover, re-contour and re-vegetate the location. The location will be reclaimed if it is not with in the active process area

The area under the BGT was backfilled with clean soil and is still within the active well area.

10. BP shall reclaim the BGT location and all areas associated with the BGT including associated access roads to a safe and stable condition that blends with the surrounding undisturbed area. BP shall substantially restore the impacted surface area to the condition that existed prior to oil and gas operations by placement of the soil cover as provided in Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, re-contour the location and associated areas to a contour that approximates the original contour and blends with the surrounding topography and re-vegetate according to Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC.

The area over the BGT is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

11. The soil cover for closures where the BGT has been removed or remediated to the NMOCD's satisfaction shall consist of the background thickness of topsoil or one foot of suitable material to establish vegetation at the site, whichever is greater. The soil cover will be constructed to the site's existing grade and all practicable efforts will be made to prevent ponding of water and erosion of the cover material.

The area over the BGT is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

12. BP shall seed the disturbed area the first growing season after closure of the BGT. Seeding will be accomplished by drilling on the contour whenever practical or by other division-approved methods. Vegetative cover will be, at a minimum, 70% of the native perennial vegetative cover (un-impacted by overgrazing, fire or other intrusion damaging to native vegetation), consisting of at least three native plant species, including at least one grass, but not including noxious weeds, and maintenance of that cover through two successive growing seasons. During the two growing seasons that prove viability, there shall be no artificial irrigation of the vegetation.

The area over the BGT is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

13. BP shall seed, plant and re-seed pursuant to Paragraph (3) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, until the location successfully achieves the required vegetative cover

BP will seed the area when the well is plugged and abandoned.

14. Pursuant to Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall notify the NMOCD when it has seeded or planted and when it successfully achieves revegetation.

### BP will notify NMOCD when re-vegetation is successful.

- 15. Within 60 days of closure completion, BP shall submit a closure report on NMOCD's form C-144, and will include the following;
  - a. proof of closure notification (surface owner and NMOCD)
  - b. sampling analytical reports; information required by 19.15.17 NMAC;
  - c. disposal facility name and permit number
  - d. details on back-filling, capping, covering, and where applicable re-vegetation application rates and seeding techniques and
  - e. site reclamation, photo documentation.

    Closure report on C-144 form is included.
- 16. BP shall certify that all information in the report and attachments is accurate, truthful, and compliant with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the

Certification section of C-144 has been completed.

approved closure plan.

District I . 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 District II 811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 District III 1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410 <u>District IV</u> 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

### State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

Form C-141

Revised August 8, 2011

-		]	Rele	ase Notific	cation	n and Co	orrective A	ction							
						<b>OPERA</b>	$\boxtimes$	Final Report							
Name of Co	mpany: BP					Contact: Jeff Peace									
Address: 20	0 Energy Court	, Farmingt	on, NI	M 87401		Telephone No.: 505-326-9479									
Facility Nat	ne: A. L. Elliott	t D 7				Facility Typ	e: Natural gas v	well							
Surface Ow	ner: Federal			Mineral C	Owner:	Federal		А	PI No	. 30045229	928				
				LOCA	ATIO	N OF RE	LEASE								
Unit Letter K	Section Tow 11 29N		ange W	Feet from the 1,530	North/ South	South Line	Feet from the 1,450	East/West West	Line	County: S:	an Juan	ı			
		Latitud	le36	5.73627		_ Longitud	<b>e</b> 107.75246_								
				NAT	CURE	OF REL	EASE								
Latitude36.73627Longitude107.75246  NATURE OF RELEASE  Type of Release: none															
Source of Re	lease: below grad	le tank – 21	bbl					ce: Da	te and	Hour of Dis	covery:				
Was Immedia	ate Notice Given?		es 🔲	No 🛭 Not R	equired	If YES, To	Whom?								
By Whom?						Date and I	Hour								
By Whom? Was a Watercourse Reached?  ☐ Yes ☒ No							olume Impacting t	the Watercou	ırse.						
						RCVD FEB 26'14									
If a Watercou	irse was Impacted	d, Describe	Fully.*							JIL CONS	DIU				
			• •		٠					DIST.		ų			
Describe Cau	ise of Problem an	d Remedial	Action	Taken.* Sampli	ng of the	e soil beneath	the BGT was do	ne during re	moval 1	to ensure no	soil im	pacts from			
							s results are attacl								
Dil A	A CFooted and Cl	1 A - 4 -	- a Tala	* PCT	a.uad s	J than aman	- Lamanth that DC	т	ייי ביי		4l- D	CT			
	a Affected and Cl d compacted and				moved a	ind the area u	inderneath the BG	i I was samp	led. 11	ne area unde	r the b	GI was			
Dack micu an	u compacicu and	18 Still Wittin	II the a	ctive wen area.											
							knowledge and u								
							nd perform correc								
							arked as "Final R								
							on that pose a three the operator of i								
	or local laws and			ance of a C-141	теропсы	oes not renev	e the operator or i	гезронают	y 101 CC	лириансе w	Itii airy	Other			
10001		<u>** ** * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * </u>	<u> </u>	<del></del>		<del>_</del>	OIL CONS	SERVAT	ION	DIVISIO					
Signature:	All Va	200	,				OIL COL.	<u>DLICTIE</u>	1011	DIVISIO	111				
	800					Annroved hy	Environmental S	necialist:							
Printed Name	e: Jeff Peace						Environmental 5	pecianst.							
Title: Field E	nvironmental Adv	visor				Approval Da	te:	Expir	ration I	Date:					
						G III						,			
E-mail Addre	ess: peace.jeffrey@	<u>a</u> bp.com		'	Conditions of Approval:										

Phone: 505-326-9479

Date: February 25, 2014

<sup>\*</sup> Attach Additional Sheets If Necessary

BP		•		API#: 300	45229	928	
CLIENT:	•	· ·	3/413	TANK ID (if applicble):	Α		
FIELD REPORT:	(circle one): BGT CONFIRMATION / RELI	EASE INVESTIGATION / OTHE	ER:	PAGE #:	<b>1</b> of	1	
SITE INFORMATION	J: SITE NAME: A.L. ELLIO	TT D #7		DATE STARTED	07/2	7/12	
QUAD/UNIT: K SEC: 11 TWP:			st: NM	-		· · · -	
		EL MUIODAL		SPECIALIST(S):	N	<b>V</b>	
REFERENCE POINT				24 GLELF	=V.: <b>5</b>	5.887'	
1) 21 BBL BGT (SW/DB)							
2)	GPS COORD.:		DISTANCE/BEA	ARING FROM W.H.:			
3)	GPS COORD.:		DISTANCE/BEA	ARING FROM W.H.:	<del></del>		
4)	GPS COORD.:		DISTANCE/BEA	ARING FROM W.H.;			
SAMPLING DATA:	_			<del></del> .		OVM READING	
					0.0 (CI)	NA	
,							
<del></del>							
		ID / SILT / SILTY CLAY / CLA	Y/GRAVEL/OTH	HER			
	RATE BROWN	TOTAL CONTRACTOR AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF					
COHESION (ALL OTHERS): NON COHESIVE SLIGHTLY CONSISTENCY (NON COHESIVE SOILS): LC							
MOISTURE: DRY/SLIGHTLY MOIST/ MOIST/ WI	ET / SATURATED / SUPER SATURATED	1					
SAMPLE TYPE: GRAB / COMPOSITE - #							
DISCOLORATION/STAINING OBSERVED:	YES (NO EXPLANATION -						
ANY AREAS DISPLAYING WETNESS: YES / NO	TEXPLANATION -						
APPARENT EVIDENCE OF A RELEASE O	BSERVED AND/OR OCCURRED : YES						
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: NO APPAREN	T EVIDENCE OF A RELEASE FROM BGT O	BSERVED.			·		
SOIL IMPACT DIMENSION ESTIMATION:						NA	
DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER: <a href="#">&lt;50'</a> N	EAREST WATER SOURCE: >1,000' NE	AREST SURFACE WATER:	<1,000' NMOCE	D TPH CLOSURE STD	: <u>100</u>	ppm	
SITE SKETCH		PLOT PLAN circle:	attached OVM (	CALIB. READ. = N	A ppm	DE - 0.52	
						111 - 0.02	
PBGTL TB. 6		$\oplus$	N TIME:	: <b>NA</b> am/pm [	TANK ID applicble):  PAGE #: 1 of 1  ATE STARTED: 07/27/12  ATE FINISHED:  MIRONMENTAL PECIALIST(S): NV  GLELEV: 5,887'  GFROM WH: 64', S69W  GFROM WH:  GFROM WH:  GFROM WH:  GFROM WH:  ATION (Cubic Yards): NA  PHICLOSURE STD: 100 ppm  B. READ: NA ppm ATION -  ATI		
T.B. ~ 6' ∫ B.G.		WELL HEAD		MISCELL.	NOT	ES_	
		I the Char	l w				
$(\mathbf{x} \overset{\mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{x})$							
			PY	K: ZSCHW	LLBGT	•	
			<u>PJ</u>	J#: <b>Z2-0069</b>	0-C		
			_		<u> </u>		
			]	2021	00/04/	44	
					A  1 of		
		V 61		TANK ID (if applicable):  PAGE #: 1 of  DATE STARTED: 07/27/1  DATE FINISHED:  ENVIRONMENTAL SPECIALIST(S): NV  4 GL ELEV: 5,88  RING FROM WH:  PAGE TO BE T			
NOT - DELOW/ODADE TANK E D - EYCAVATIC	JAI DEDDESCIONI- D.C REI OW/ CRADE: B = REI OW/	Code					
T.B. = TANK BOTTOM; PBGTL = PREVIOUS BELO	OW-GRADE TANK LOCATION; SPD = SAMPLE POINT DI	ESIGNATION; R.W. = RETAINING WALI	L; NA - NOT	agnetic declinati	ion: 10°	E	
TRAVEL NOTES: CALLOUT:	01/27/12	ONSITE: UIIZII IZ	- 3CHED.				

### **Analytical Report**

Lab Order 1202125

Date Reported: 2/9/2012

# Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

**CLIENT:** Blagg Engineering

Client Sample ID: 5PC-TB@6' (21BGT)

Project: A.L. Elliott D #7

Collection Date: 1/27/2012 12:00:00 PM

Lab ID: 1202125-001

Matrix: SOIL

Received Date: 2/3/2012 10:15:00 AM

Analyses	Result	RL (	Qual 1	Units	DF	Date Analyzed
EPA METHOD 8015B: DIESEL RANGE	ORGANICS	-	-			Analyst: SCC
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	10		mg/Kg	1	2/4/2012 7:49:51 PM
Surr: DNOP	84.9	77.4-131		%REC	1	2/4/2012 7:49:51 PM
EPA METHOD 8015B: GASOLINE RAI	NGE					Analyst: RAA
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	4.9		mg/Kg	1	2/6/2012 2:00:04 PM
Surr: BFB	83.0	69.7-121		%REC	1	2/6/2012 2:00:04 PM
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES						Analyst: RAA
Benzene	ND	0.049		mg/Kg	1	2/6/2012 2:00:04 PM
Toluene	ND	0.049		mg/Kg	1	2/6/2012 2:00:04 PM
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.049		mg/Kg	1	2/6/2012 2:00:04 PM
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.097		mg/Kg	1	2/6/2012 2:00:04 PM
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	84.6	85.3-139	S	%REC	1	2/6/2012 2:00:04 PM
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS						Analyst: BRM
Chloride	ND	7.5		mg/Kg	5	2/6/2012 3:21:30 PM
EPA METHOD 418.1: TPH						Analyst: JMP
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	ND	20		mg/Kg	1	2/9/2012

Qualifiers:

<sup>\*/</sup>X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

E Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

RL Reporting Detection Limit

	nain-c	of-Cus	tody Record	Lurn-Around T	ıme:				g*	ŀ	ΙA	LL	E	NV	/IF	<b>5</b> 0	Ni	ME	EN-	ΓΑ	L	
Client:	BLAG	G ENGR.	/ BP AMERICA		Rush			* <b>(P</b> .)											AT			,
				Project Name:				e., 145			ww	w.ha	allen	viro	nme	ntal	.con	1				
Mailing A	ddress:	P.O. BO	X 87	] /	A.L. Elliott (	<b>)</b> # 7		49	01 H	lawk	ins I	NE -	· Alk	ouqu	ıerqı	ıe, N	1M 8	3710	9			
		BLOOM	FIELD, NM 87413	Project #:				Te	el. 50	)5-3	45-3	975		Fax	505	-345	-410	)7				
hone #:		(505) 63	2-1199	1	•				,			I	Anal	ysis	Rec	ues	t					3.T.
email or F	ax#:			Project Manag	jer:									504)								Г
⊋A/QC Pad ☑ Standa			Level 4 (Full Validation)		NELSON V	ELEZ	8021B)	only)	/Diesel)					PO4, SC	[						a	
√ccreditat	lion:			Sampler:	NELSON V	ELEZ 95	T <sub>F</sub>	(Gas	(Gas					NO2,	/ 8082 PCB's						u d u	
□ NELAF	······································	□ Other	<del></del>	On Ice:	©∕Yes	at the property of the street of the following the	] ₽	+ TPH (Gas	15B	(18.1)	74.1)	Ŧ		33, N	/ 80		2	_			e sa	Ş
J EDD (	Гуре)			Sample Temp	e <b>rat</b> ur <b>e</b> :: / <i>,./</i>	<u>C</u> .		+ #	d 80	)d 4.	)d 5(	or P/	Ses	Į×,	ides	-	Ņ	0.00		흥	osit	≥
Date	Time	Matrix	Sample Request ID	Container Type and #	Preservative Type	HEAL No.	BTEX + TOTAL	BTEX + MTBE	TPH Method 8015B (Gas/Diesel)	TPH (Method 418.1)	EDB (Method 504.1)	8310 (PNA or PAH)	RCRA 8 Metals	Anions (F, Cl, NO3,	8081 Pesticides	8260B (VOA)	8270 (Semi-VOA)	Chloride (300.0)		Grab sample	5 pt. composite sample	Air Bubbles (Y or N)
1/27/12	1200	SOIL	5PC-TB @ 6' (21 BGT)	4 oz 2	Cool	- j	V		٧	٧			_					٧			٧	
																						$\top$
							<b>†</b>									_						Γ
								<u> </u>						<b> </b>								
		1					<del>                                     </del>	<del>                                     </del>														$\vdash$
<del>.</del>				<u> </u>			<b>†</b>	<del>                                     </del>					一									T
	<del> </del>	<del>                                     </del>				<del> </del>							-									┢
<del></del>	<b> </b>	ļ				<del> </del>	<del> </del>	<del>                                     </del>						-								$\vdash$
							╁	†					-									<del>                                     </del>
	<del> </del>			<del>                                     </del>	<del>                                     </del>		<del>  -</del>	+-						<del> </del>	<del> </del>						<u> </u>	+
				<del>                                     </del>			$\vdash$	<del>                                     </del>					-									+
	<del> </del> -	<del>                                     </del>				<u> </u>	<del>  -                                    </del>	┢╾				┢╾	-	-	_				H		<del></del>	-
)ate: /	Time:	Relinquish	ed by:	Received by:		Date Time	Rer	<u>l</u> nark	s:	TP	1 (80	015	L B) -	GRO	) & (	DRC		ILY.	ш		<u> </u>	<u></u>
12/12	1252	MA	In I	Christin	Walter	1/2/12 1252	. BI	LL DI	REC1	LY T	O BP	<b>)</b> :	-									
)ate:	Time:	Relinquish	ed by:	Received by:		Date Time	1	ff Pe ork (			_				_				L LBGT			
	<del></del>	<del></del>			7 0	13/13 103	D	<u> </u>														

## Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1202125

09-Feb-12

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

A.L. Elliott D#7

Sample ID: MB-571

SampType: MBLK

TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

Client ID:

Prep Date:

PBS

2/3/2012

Batch ID: 571 Analysis Date: 2/6/2012 RunNo: 784

SeqNo: 22392

Units: mg/Kg

Analyte

Result

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit

**RPDLimit** Qual

Chloride

PQL ND 1.5

Sample ID: LCS-571

SampType: LCS

TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

Client ID: LCSS

2/3/2012

Batch ID: 571

RunNo: 784

SPK value SPK Ref Val

15.00

15.00

Prep Date:

Analysis Date: 2/6/2012

73.44

73.44

SeqNo: 22393

Units: mg/Kg

Analyte

Result PQL

SPK value SPK Ref Val 15.00

%REC 95.8

LowLimit

HighLimit 110 **RPDLimit** 

Qual

Chloride

SampType: MS

1.5

TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

Client ID: BatchQC

Sample ID: 1202104-001AMS

Batch ID: 571

Result

89

RunNo: 790

%RPD

%RPD

%RPD

Analyte

Prep Date: 2/3/2012

Analysis Date: 2/6/2012 **PQL** 

SeqNo: 22535 %REC

101

Units: mg/Kg HighLimit

118

**RPDLimit** 

Qual

Chloride

Sample ID: 1202104-001AMSD

SampType: MSD

7.5

7.5

TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

Batch ID: 571

RunNo: 790

Prep Date:

2/3/2012

Client ID: BatchQC

SeqNo: 22536

Units: mg/Kg

Analyte

Analysis Date: 2/6/2012

HighLimit

**RPDLimit** 

Qual

Chloride

Result PQL

84

SPK value SPK Ref Val

%REC LowLimit 68.8

74.6

LowLimit

74.6

118

%RPD 5.52

20 S

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. \*/X

Ε

Analyte detected below quantitation limits

Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit Reporting Detection Limit

RL

Page 2 of 6

Qualifiers:

Value above quantitation range

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

### Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1202125

09-Feb-12

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

A.L. Elliott D#7

SampType: MBLK

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

Client ID: PBS

Batch ID: 638

RunNo: 861

Prep Date: 2/8/2012

Sample ID: MB-638

Analysis Date: 2/9/2012

**PQL** 

SeqNo: 24630

Units: mg/Kg

Analyte

Result

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit

HighLimit

%RPD **RPDLimit** 

Qual

Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR

Sample ID: LCS-638

ND 20

SampType: LCS

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

Batch ID: 638

Result

100

**PQL** 

20

RunNo: 861

87.8

Units: mg/Kg

Prep Date: 2/8/2012 Analyte

Client ID: LCSS

Analysis Date: 2/9/2012

SeqNo: 24631 SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC

LowLimit

115

HighLimit

**RPDLimit** 

Qual

Qual

Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR Sample ID: LCSD-638

SampType: LCSD

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

RunNo: 861

Prep Date: 2/8/2012

Client ID: LCSS02

Batch ID: 638

Analysis Date: 2/9/2012

SeqNo: 24634

102

Units: mg/Kg HighLimit

%RPD

**RPDLimit** 

Analyte Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR Result

PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit

20

100.0

100.0

103

0

87.8

115

%RPD

100

1.06

8.04

### Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. \*/X
- Value above quantitation range Е
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- RPD outside accepted recovery limits R

- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- Page 3 of 6

RLReporting Detection Limit

# Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1202125

09-Feb-12

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

A.L. Elliott D #7

Sample ID: MB-581	SampT	ype: ME	BLK	TestCode: EPA Method 8015B: Diesel Range Organics						
Client ID: PBS	Batcl	n ID: <b>58</b>	1	F	RunNo: 7	57				
Prep Date: 2/3/2012	Analysis D	)ate: 2/	4/2012	SeqNo: 21814			Units: mg/K	ζg		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	10								
Surr: DNOP	8.0		10.00		80.4	77.4	131			
Sample ID: LCS-581	SampT	ype: LC	s	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8015B: Diese	el Range (	Drganics	
Client ID: LCSS	Batch	n ID: <b>58</b>	1	F	RunNo: 7	57				
Prep Date: 2/3/2012	Analysis D	Analysis Date: 2/4/2012			SeqNo: 2	1815	Units: mg/K	(g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Allalyte	rvesuit									
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	37	10	50.00	0	74.9	62.7	139			

Sample ID: 1201382-001AMS	SampT	ype: <b>MS</b>	3	TestCode: EPA Method 8015B: Diesel Range Organics						
Client ID: BatchQC	Batch	ID: 58	1	F	RunNo: 7	57				
Prep Date: 2/3/2012	Analysis D	ate: <b>2/</b> -	4/2012	S	SeqNo: 2	1817	Units: mg/k	(g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	42	9.9	49.70	0	85.0	57.2	146	,		Н
Surr: DNOP	4.2		4.970		84.9	77.4	131			Н

Sample ID: 1201382-001AMSE	) SampTy	/pe: <b>M</b> \$	SD	TestCode: EPA Method 8015B: Diesel Range Organics						
Client ID: BatchQC	Batch	ID: <b>58</b>	1	F	RunNo: 7	57				
Prep Date: 2/3/2012	Analysis Da	ate: 2/	4/2012	S	eqNo: 2	1818	Units: mg/K	(g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	42	10	50.40	0	84.3	57.2	146	0.513	26.7	Н
Surr: DNOP	4.4		5.040		87.8	77.4	131	0	0	Н

#### Qualifiers:

\*/X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 4 of 6

# Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

29

840

4.8

23.97

958.8

WO#:

1202125

09-Feb-12

Client: . . Blagg Engineering

A L Elliott D #7

Project: A.L. Ell	iott D #7									
Sample ID: MB-579	SampType	MBLK	TestCode: EPA Method 8015B: Gasoline Range							
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID:	579	F	RunNo: <b>785</b>						
Prep Date: 2/3/2012	Analysis Date:	2/6/2012	S	SeqNo: <b>22958</b>	3 U	Jnits: mg/K	g			
Analyte	Result P	QL SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC Lov	wLimit I	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual	
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	5.0								
Surr: BFB	1,100	1,000		107	69.7	121				
Sample ID: LCS-579	SampType	: LCS	Tes	Code: EPA M	ethod 80	15B: Gaso	ine Rang	e		
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID:	579	R	lunNo: <b>785</b>						
Prep Date: 2/3/2012	Analysis Date:	2/6/2012	S	eqNo: <b>22961</b>	ı u	Inits: mg/K	g			
Analyte	Result P	QL SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC Lov	wLimit I	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual	
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	30	5.0 25.00	0	119	98.5	133				
Surr: BFB	890	1,000		88.8	69.7	121				
Sample ID: 1202124-001AMS	SampType	: MS	Test	TestCode: EPA Method 8015B: Gasoline Range						
Client ID: BatchQC	Batch ID:	579	RunNo: 785							
Prep Date: 2/3/2012	Analysis Date:	2/6/2012	S	eqNo: <b>22962</b>	2 U	Inits: mg/K	9			
Analyte	Result P	QL SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC Lov	wLimit I	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual	
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	29	4.9 24.68	0	117	85.4	147				
Surr: BFB	1,000	987.2		106	69.7	121				
Sample ID: 1202124-001AMS	SD SampType	: MSD	Test	TestCode: EPA Method 8015B: Gasoline Range						
Client ID: BatchQC	Batch ID:	579	R	unNo: <b>785</b>						
Prep Date: 2/3/2012	Analysis Date:	2/6/2012	S	eqNo: <b>22964</b>	ı u	nits: mg/K	3			
Analyte	Result Po	QL SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC Lov	wLimit H	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual	

0

121

87.2

85.4

69.7

147

121

0.214

0

19.2

0

### Qualifiers:

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. \*/X

Value above quantitation range Е

Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)

Surr: BFB

Analyte detected below quantitation limits

RPD outside accepted recovery limits R

В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

Reporting Detection Limit

Page 5 of 6

# Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1202125

09-Feb-12

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

A.L. Elliott D #7

Sample ID: MB-579	SampType: MBLK		Tes	TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles						
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 579			R	lunNo: 7	<b>8</b> 5				
Prep Date: 2/3/2012	Analysis Da	ate: <b>2/</b>	6/2012	SeqNo: 22982			Units: mg/K	ζg		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	ND	0.050								
Toluene	ND	0.050								
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.050								
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.10								
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.0		1.000		105	85.3	139			

Sample ID: <b>1202125-001AM</b>	SampT	ype: MS	3	TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles						
Client ID: 5PC-TB@6' (21	BGT) Batch	ID: <b>57</b> 9	9	F	RunNo: 7	85				
Prep Date: 2/3/2012	Analysis Date: 2/6/2012			SeqNo: <b>22986</b>			Units: mg/K			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	0.90	0.048	0.9579	0	93.7	67.2	113			
Toluene	0.84	0.048	0.9579	0	88.1	62.1	116			
Ethylbenzene	0.91	0.048	0.9579	0	95.1	67.9	127			
Xylenes, Total	2.8	0.096	2.874	0	98.1	60.6	134			
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.0		0.9579		105	85.3	139			

Sample ID: 1202125-001AM	TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles									
Client ID: 5PC-TB@6' (21)	F	RunNo: 7								
Prep Date: 2/3/2012 Analysis Date: 2/6/2012				S	SeqNo: 2	2987	Units: mg/K			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	0.95	0.049	0.9794	0	96.7	67.2	113	5.38	14.3	
Toluene	0.90	0.049	0.9794	0	91.6	62.1	116	6.21	15.9	
Ethylbenzene	0.98	0.049	0.9794	0	99.8	67.9	127	7.04	14.4	
Xylenes, Total	3.0	0.098	2.938	0	102	60.6	134	6.19	12.6	
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.87		0.9794		88.5	85.3	139	0	0	

Sample ID: LCS-579	Samp	SampType: LCS			TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles						
Client ID: LCSS	Batc	h ID: <b>57</b> 9	9	F	RunNo: <b>785</b>						
Prep Date: 2/3/2012	Analysis Date: 2/6/2012			8	SeqNo: 2	2993	Units: mg/Kg				
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual	
Benzene	0.99	0.050	1.000	0	99.5	83.3	107				
Toluene	0.96	0.050	1.000	0	96.4	74.3	115				
Ethylbenzene	1.0	0.050	1.000	0	101	80.9	122				
Xylenes, Total	3.1	0.10	3.000	0	105	85.2	123				
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.1		1.000		115	85.3	139				

#### Qualifiers:

\*/X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

Value above quantitation range

Analyte detected below quantitation limits

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 6 of 6



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87105

TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

# Sample Log-In Check List

Client Name: BLAGG	Work Order Number: 1202125
Received by/date: 3/3/12	
Logged By: Ashley Gallegos 2/3/2012 10:15:00 A	M
Completed By: Ashley Gallegos 2/3/2012 10:32:43 A	M Ag
Reviewed By: / 2/3/20(2	V
Chain of Custody	
1. Were seals intact?	Yes No Not Present ✔
2. Is Chain of Custody complete?	Yes ✔ No Not Present
3. How was the sample delivered?	Courier
Log In	
4. Coolers are present? (see 19. for cooler specific information)	Yes ✔ No NA
5. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?	Yes ✔ No NA
6. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C	Yes ✔ No NA
7 Sample(s) in proper container(s)?	Yes ✔ No
8. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?	Yes ✔ No
9. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved?	Yes ✔ No
10. Was preservative added to bottles?	Yes No ✓ NA
11, VOA vials have zero headspace?	Yes No No VOA Vials ✔
12. Were any sample containers received broken?	Yes No ✓
13. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody)	Yes ✓ No # of preserved bottles checked for pH:
14. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?	Yes ✓ No (<2 or >12 unless noted)
15. Is it clear what analyses were requested?	Yes ✔ No Adjusted?
16. Were all holding times able to be met?  (If no, notify customer for authorization.)	Yes  ✓ No Checked by:
Special Handling (if applicable)	·
17. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order?	Yes No NA ✔
Person Notified: Date	
By Whom: Via:	eMail Phone Fax In Person
Regarding:	
Client Instructions:	
18. Additional remarks:	
19. Cooler Information	
Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seal Intact Seal No	Seal Date Signed By
1 1.1 Good Yes	





BP America Production Company 200 Energy Court Farmington, NM 87401 Phone: (505) 326-9200

January 17, 2012

Bureau of Land Management Mark Kelly 1235 La Plata Hwy Farmington, NM 87401

#### VIA CERTIFIED MAIL - RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Re: Notification of plans to close/remove a below grade tank Well Name: A L ELLIOTT D 007

Dear Mark Kelly,

As part of the NM "Pit Rule": 19.15.17.13 Closure Requirements, Paragraph J. BP America Production Company (BP) is required to notify the surface owner of BP's plans to close/remove a below grade tank. BP wishes to inform you of our plans to close/remove the below grade tank on its well pad located on your surface. BP plans to commence this work on or about January 13, 2012. If there aren't any unforeseen problems, the work should be completed within 10 working days.

As a point of clarification, BP will be closing the below grade tank and either operating without one or replacing it with an above ground tank, the well site will continue to operate.

Unless you have questions about this notice, there is no need to respond to this letter. If you do have any questions or concerns, please contact me at 505-326-9214

Sincerely,

Jerry Van Riper

AD Vakin

Surface Coordinator/Business Security Representative

**BP America Production Company** 

### **BP America Production Company**

200 Energy Court Farmington, NM 87401 Phone: (505) 326-9200

### SENT VIA E-MAIL TO: BRANDON.POWELL@STATE.NM.US

January 17, 2011

New Mexico Oil Conservation Division 1000 Rio Brazos Road Aztec, New Mexico 87410

RE: Notice of Proposed Below-Grade Tank (BGT) Closure

A L ELLIOTT D 007 API 30-045-22928 (M) Section 11 – T29N – R9W San Juan County, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Brandon Powell:

In regards to the captioned subject and requirements of the NMOCD pit rule, this letter is notification that BP is planning to close a 95 bbl. BGT that will no longer be operational at this well site.

Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact BP at our Farmington office.

Sincerely,

Buddy Shaw BP Environmental Advisor

(505) 320-0401



