Form C-144 July 21, 2008

District I'
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
1301 W. Grand Avenue, Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Bis Brown Road Artes NM 87410 1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico **Energy Minerals and Natural Resources** Department Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr.

Santa Fe, NM 87505

For temporary pits, closed-loop systems, and below-grade tanks, submit to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.
For permanent pits and exceptions submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

(8 ²	
11,	

Pit Closed-Loop System Relow-Grade Tank or

Tit, Closed-Loop bystem, Delow-Orace Talik, or										
Proposed Alternative Method Permit or Closure Plan Application Type of action: Permit of a pit, closed-loop system, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method										
Type of action: Permit of a pit, closed-loop system, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method Closure of a pit, closed-loop system, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method Modification to an existing permit Closure plan only submitted for an existing permitted or non-permitted pit, closed-loop system,										
below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method										
Instructions: Please submit one application (Form C-144) per individual pit, closed-loop system, below-grade tank or alternative request										
Please be advised that approval of this request does not relieve the operator of liability should operations result in pollution of surface water, ground water or the										
environment. Nor does approval relieve the operator of its responsibility to comply with any other applicable governmental authority's rules, regulations or ordinances.										
Operator: BP AMERICA PRODUCTION COMPANY OGRID #:778										
Address: 200 Energy Court, Farmington, NM 87401										
Facility or well name: GALLEGOS CANYON UNIT 367										
API Number: 3004526880 OCD Permit Number:										
U/L or Qtr/Qtr F Section 31.0 Township 29.0N Range 12W County: San Juan County										
Center of Proposed Design: Latitude 36.6861 Longitude -108.14293 NAD: ☐1927 ▼ 1983										
Surface Owner: ▼ Federal ☐ State ☐ Private ☐ Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment										
2.										
Pit: Subsection F or G of 19.15.17.11 NMAC RCVD APR 4.14										
Temporary: Drilling Workover OIL CONS. DIU.										
Permanent Emergency Cavitation P&A										
Lined Unlined Liner type: Thicknessmil LLDPE HDPE PVC Other										
String-Reinforced										
Liner Seams: Welded Factory Other Volume: bbl Dimensions: L x W x D										
3.										
☐ Closed-loop System: Subsection H of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Type of Operation: ☐ P&A ☐ Drilling a new well ☐ Workover or Drilling (Applies to activities which require prior approval of a permit or notice of										
intent)										
☐ Drying Pad ☐ Above Ground Steel Tanks ☐ Haul-off Bins ☐ Other										
Lined Unlined Liner type: Thicknessmil LLDPE HDPE PVC Other										
Liner Seams: Welded Factory Other										
4.										
Below-grade tank: Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Tank ID: A										
Volume: 21.0 bbl Type of fluid: Produced Water										
Tank Construction material: Steel										
Secondary containment with leak detection ☐ Visible sidewalls, liner, 6-inch lift and automatic overflow shut-off Visible sidewalls and liner ☐ Visible sidewalls only ☑ Other ☐ SINGLE WALLED ☐ DOUBLE BOTTOMED SIDE WALLS NOT VISIBLE Other ☐ Visible sidewalls and liner ☐ Visible sidewalls only ☑ Other ☐ SINGLE WALLED ☐ DOUBLE BOTTOMED SIDE WALLS NOT VISIBLE										
Visible sidewalls and liner Visible sidewalls only X Other Visible Sidewalls only X Other										
Liner type: Thicknessmil										

Alternative Method:

Submittal of an exception request is required. Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

Fencing: Subsection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits, temporary pits, and below-grade tanks) Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top (Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent residence, school,	hospital,
institution or church) ☐ Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet ☐ Alternate. Please specify 4' Hogwire with single barbed wire	
Netting: Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks) Screen Netting Other Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)	
Signs: Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC 12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC	
Administrative Approvals and Exceptions: Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance. Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank: Administrative approval(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district or the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau consideration of approval. Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.	office for
Siting Criteria (regarding permitting): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of accematerial are provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria may require administrative approval from the approfice or may be considered an exception which must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of a Applicant must attach justification for request. Please refer to 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance. Siting criteria does not apply to dry above-grade tanks associated with a closed-loop system.	opriate district approval.
Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of the temporary pit, permanent pit, or below-grade tank. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes 🗷 No
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ※ No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. (Applies to temporary, emergency, or cavitation pits and below-grade tanks) - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☑ Yes 🗷 No □ NA
Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. (Applies to permanent pits) - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No ▼ NA
Within 500 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring that less than five households use for domestic or stock watering purposes, or within 1000 horizontal feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of initial application. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes 🗷 No
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	Yes 🗷 No
Within 500 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes 🗷 No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	Yes 🗷 No
 Within an unstable area. Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map 	☐ Yes 🗷 No
Within a 100-year floodplain FEMA map	Ø Yes ⋉ No

11. Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. □ Hydrogeologic Report (Below-grade Tanks) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC □ Hydrogeologic Data (Temporary and Emergency Pits) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC □ Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC □ Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC □ Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC □ Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC □ Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number:
Closed-loop Systems Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Geologic and Hydrogeologic Data (only for on-site closure) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (3) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations (only for on-site closure) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC
and 15.15.17.15 NIVING
Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number:
Previously Approved Operating and Maintenance Plan API Number:(Applies only to closed-loop system that use
above ground steel tanks or haul-off bins and propose to implement waste removal for closure)
Permanent Pits Permit Application Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Hydrogeologic Report - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Climatological Factors Assessment Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Leak Detection Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Liner Specifications and Compatibility Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Quality Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installation Plan Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Freeboard and Overtopping Prevention Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Nuisance or Hazardous Odors, including H ₂ S, Prevention Plan Diffield Waste Stream Characterization Monitoring and Inspection Plan Erosion Control Plan Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC
Proposed Closure: 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan. Type: Drilling Workover Emergency Cavitation P&A Permanent Pit Below-grade Tank Closed-loop System Alternative Proposed Closure Method: Waste Excavation and Removal Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only) On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems) In-place Burial On-site Trench Burial
Alternative Closure Method (Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau for consideration)
Maste Excavation and Removal Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings) Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection G of 19.15.17.13 NMAC

Waste Removal Closure For Closed-loop Systems That Utilize Above Ground Instructions: Please indentify the facility or facilities for the disposal of liquids facilities are required. Disposal Facility Name:	I Steel Tanks or Haul-off Bins Only: (19.15.17.13.D. drilling fluids and drill cuttings. Use attachment if I	more than two
Disposal Facility Name:	Disposal Facility Permit Number:	
Will any of the proposed closed-loop system operations and associated activities o ☐ Yes (If yes, please provide the information below) ☐ No		
Required for impacted areas which will not be used for future service and operation of Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications based upon the appropriate Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection of Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection	te requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAO n I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	2
Siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria may required an exception which must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environment demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17.10 NMAC	ire administrative approval from the appropriate dist al Bureau office for consideration of approval. Justi	rict office or may be
Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Da	ta obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Ground water is between 50 and 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Da	ta obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Database search; USGS	ta obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other si lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	gnificant watercourse or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or churc Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satelli		☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring that le watering purposes, or within 1000 horizontal feet of any other fresh water well or - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection	spring, in existence at the time of initial application.	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh wa adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written appro	•	Yes No
Within 500 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visu	nal inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Minim	g and Mineral Division	Yes No
Within an unstable area. - Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geolog Society; Topographic map	gy & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological	Yes No
Within a 100-year floodplain FEMA map		Yes No
On-Site Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) based upon the appropriate requirements of Construction/Design Plan of Temporary Pit (for in-place burial of a drying Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.1 Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and Soil Cover Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection	quirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC of Subsection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC ppropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC pad) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC quirements of Subsection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC of Subsection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC drill cuttings or in case on-site closure standards cannot H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	15.17.11 NMAC

• 4	
Operator Application Certification: I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true, accur	rate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.
Name (Print): Jeffrey Reace	Title: Field Environmental Advisor
Signature: Phay H. Seare	Date: 6/8/10
e-mail address: Peace.Jeffery@bp.com	Telephone: 505-326-9479
OCD Approval: Permit Application (including closure plant Closure) OCD Representative Signature:	Jonati V. Kelly Approval Date: 9/11/13
Title: Serior Hydrologist	OCD Permit Number
Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion): Subsection Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved closure plan prior. The closure report is required to be submitted to the division within 60 days of section of the form until an approved closure plan has been obtained and the complete the complete that the complete the complete that the complete the complete that the com	to implementing any closure activities and submitting the closure report. the completion of the closure activities. Please do not complete this
22. Closure Method: Waste Excavation and Removal On-Site Closure Method Alternation of the Control of the Contr	ative Closure Method Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only)
Closure Report Regarding Waste Removal Closure For Closed-loop Systems Instructions: Please indentify the facility or facilities for where the liquids, drift two facilities were utilized.	
Disposal Facility Name:	Disposal Facility Permit Number:
Disposal Facility Name:	Disposal Facility Permit Number:
Were the closed-loop system operations and associated activities performed on or Yes (If yes, please demonstrate compliance to the items below) No	r in areas that will not be used for future service and operations?
Required for impacted areas which will not be used for future service and operated. Site Reclamation (Photo Documentation) Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation Re-vegetation Application Rates and Seeding Technique	ions:
Closure Report Attachment Checklist: Instructions: Each of the following it mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Proof of Closure Notice (surface owner and division) Proof of Deed Notice (required for on-site closure) Plot Plan (for on-site closures and temporary pits) Confirmation Sampling Analytical Results (if applicable) Waste Material Sampling Analytical Results (required for on-site closure)	tems must be attached to the closure report. Please indicate, by a check
Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation Re-vegetation Application Rates and Seeding Technique Site Reclamation (Photo Documentation) On-site Closure Location: Latitude 36.6% Longit	tude <u>-108.14293</u> NAD: []1927 🔀 1983
25. Operator Closure Certification:	
I hereby certify that the information and attachments submitted with this closure to belief. I also certify that the closure complies with all applicable closure requirem	
Name (Print): Jeff Peace	Title: Area Envivonmental Advisor
Signature: Jeff Poses	Date: April 2, 2014
e-mail address: paace jettrey @ bp.com	Telephone: (505) 376-9479

BP AMERICA PRODUCTION COMPANY

SAN JUAN BASIN, NORTHWEST NEW MEXICO

BELOW-GRADE TANK CLOSURE PLAN

Gallegos Canyon Unit No. 367 API No. 3004526880 Unit Letter F, Section 31, T29N, R12W

This plan will address the standard protocols and procedures for closure of below-grade tanks (BGTs) on BP America Production Company (BP) well sites. As stipulated in Paragraph A of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall close a BGT within the time periods provided in 19.15.17.13 NMAC, or by an earlier date that the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) requires because of imminent danger to fresh water, public health, safety or the environment. If deviations from this plan are necessary, any specific changes will be included on form C-144 and approved by the NMOCD. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC or is not included in Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC within five years after June 16, 2008, if not retrofit with a BGT that complies with the BP NMOCD approved BGT design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC, if not previously retrofitted to comply with the BP NMOCD approve BGT Design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan, prior to any sale or change in operator pursuant to 19.15.9.9 NMAC. BP shall close the permitted BGT within 60 days of cessation of the BGTs operation or as required by the transitional provisions of Subsection B, D, or E of 19.15.17.17 NMAC.

General Closure Plan

- 1. BP shall notify the surface owner by certified mail that it plans to close a BGT. Evidence of mailing of the notice to the address of the surface owner shown in the county tax records demonstrates compliance with this requirement.
 - No notice was made due to misunderstanding of the notice requirements. Closure notices will be made for all BGT closures from this point forward.
- 2. BP shall notify the division District III office verbally or by other means at least 72 hours, but not more than one (1) week, prior to any closure operation. The notice shall include the operator's name, and the location to be closed by unit letter, section, township and range. If the BGT closure is associated with a particular well, then the notice shall also include the well's name, number and API number.
 - No notice was made due to misunderstanding of the notice requirements. Closure notices will be made for all BGT closures from this point forward.
- 3. BP shall remove liquids and sludge from the BGT prior to implementing a closure method and dispose of the liquids and sludge in a NMOCD's division-approved facility. The facilities to be used are:
 - a. BP Crouch Mesa Landfarm, Permit NM-02-003 (Solids)
 - b. JFJ Landfarm, Permit NM-01-010(B) (Solids and Sludge)

- c. Basin Disposal, Permit NM-01-0005 (Liquids)
- d. Envirotech Inc Soil Remediation Facility, Permit NM-01-0011 (Solids and Sludge)
- e. BP Operated E.E. Elliott SWD #1, API 30-045-27799 (Liquids)
- f. BP Operated 13 GCU SWD #1, API 30-045-28601 (Liquids)
- g. BP Operated GCU 259 SWD, API 30-045-20006 (Liquids)
- h. BP Operated GCU 306 SWD, API 30-045-24286 (Liquids)
- i. BP Operated GCU 307 SWD, API 30-045-24248 (Liquids)
- j. BP Operated GCU 328 SWD, API 30-045-24735 (Liquids)
- k. BP Operated Pritchard SWD #1, API 30-045-28351 (Liquids)

All liquids and sludge in the BGT were removed and sent to one of the above NMOCD approved facilities for disposal.

4. BP shall remove the BGT and dispose of it in a NMOCD approved facility or recycle, reuse, or reclaim it in a manner that the NMOCD approves. If a liner is present and must be disposed of it will be cleaned by scraping any soils or other attached materials on the liner to a de minimus amount and disposed at a permitted solid waste facility, pursuant to Subparagraph (m) of Paragraph (1) of Subsection C of 19.15.35.8 NMAC. Documentation as to the final disposition of the removed BGT will be provided in the final closure report.

The BGT was transported to a storage area for sale and re-use.

5. BP shall remove any on-site equipment associated with a BGT unless the equipment is required for well production.

All equipment associated with the BGT has been removed.

6. BP shall test the soils beneath the BGT to determine whether a release has occurred. BP shall collect at a minimum: a five (5) point composite sample and individual grab samples from any area that is wet, discolored or showing other evidence of a release and analyze for BTEX, TPH and chlorides. The testing methods for those constituents are as follows:

Constituents	Testing Method	Release Verification	Sample
	21 bbl BGT	(mg/Kg)	résults
Benzene	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	0.2	ND
Total BTEX	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	50	ND
TPH	US EPA Method SW-846 418.1	100	36
Chlorides	US EPA Method 300.0 or 4500B	250 or background	32

Notes: mg/Kg = milligram per kilogram, BTEX = benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes, TPH = total petroleum hydrocarbons. Other EPA methods that the division approves may be applied to all constituents listed. Chloride closure standards will be determined by which ever concentration level is greatest.

Soil under the BGT was sampled and TPH, BTEX and chloride levels were below the stated limits. Sampling data is attached.

- 7. BP shall notify the division District III office of its results on form C-141. C-141 is attached.
- 8. If it is determined that a release has occurred, then BP will comply with 19.15.30 NMAC and 19.15.29 NMAC, as appropriate.

 Sampling results indicate no release occurred.
- 9. If the sampling demonstrates that a release has not occurred or that any release does not exceed the concentrations specified above, then BP shall backfill the excavation, with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material; construct a division-prescribed soil cover, re-contour and re-vegetate the location. The location will be reclaimed if it is not with in the active process area

The area under the BGT was backfilled with clean soil and is still within the active area.

10. BP shall reclaim the BGT location and all areas associated with the BGT including associated access roads to a safe and stable condition that blends with the surrounding undisturbed area. BP shall substantially restore the impacted surface area to the condition that existed prior to oil and gas operations by placement of the soil cover as provided in Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, re-contour the location and associated areas to a contour that approximates the original contour and blends with the surrounding topography and re-vegetate according to Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC.

The area over the BGT is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

11. The soil cover for closures where the BGT has been removed or remediated to the NMOCD's satisfaction shall consist of the background thickness of topsoil or one foot of suitable material to establish vegetation at the site, whichever is greater. The soil cover will be constructed to the site's existing grade and all practicable efforts will be made to prevent ponding of water and erosion of the cover material.

The area over the BGT is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

12. BP shall seed the disturbed area the first growing season after closure of the BGT. Seeding will be accomplished by drilling on the contour whenever practical or by other division-approved methods. Vegetative cover will be, at a minimum, 70% of the native perennial vegetative cover (un-impacted by overgrazing, fire or other intrusion damaging to native vegetation), consisting of at least three native plant species, including at least one grass, but not including noxious weeds, and maintenance of that cover through two successive growing seasons. During the two growing seasons that prove viability, there shall be no artificial irrigation of the vegetation.

The area over the BGT is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

13. BP shall seed, plant and re-seed pursuant to Paragraph (3) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, until the location successfully achieves the required vegetative cover.

BP will seed the area when the well is plugged and abandoned.

14. Pursuant to Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall notify the NMOCD when it has seeded or planted and when it successfully achieves revegetation.

BP will notify NMOCD when re-vegetation is successful.

- 15. Within 60 days of closure completion, BP shall submit a closure report on NMOCD's form C-144, and will include the following;
 - a. proof of closure notification (surface owner and NMOCD)
 - b. sampling analytical reports; information required by 19.15.17 NMAC;
 - c. disposal facility name and permit number
 - d. details on back-filling, capping, covering, and where applicable re-vegetation application rates and seeding techniques and
 - e. site reclamation, photo documentation.

 Closure report on C-144 form is included.
- 16. BP shall certify that all information in the report and attachments is accurate, truthful, and compliant with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.

Certification section of C-144 has been completed.

District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

Form C-141 Revised August 8, 2011

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505 Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

			Rele	ease Notific	eation	and Co	rrective A	ction					
						OPERA	OR		Initia	al Report	\boxtimes	Final Repor	
Name of Co	mpany: B	P				Contact: Jeff Peace							
		Court, Farmi		M 87401	7	Telephone No.: 505-326-9479							
Facility Nat	ne: Galleg	os Canyon U	Init 367		F	Facility Typ	e: Natural gas v	vell					
Surface Ow	ner: Feder	al		Mineral (Owner: F	ederal		A	API No	. 30045268	880		
				LOCA	ATION	OF REI	LEASE						
Unit Letter F	Section 31	Township 29N	Range 12W	Feet from the 1,560	North/S North	South Line	Feet from the 1,625	East/West West	t Line	County: Sa	an Juan		
		Lat	itude3	36.6861		Longitude	108.14293						
				NAT	URE	OF RELI	EASE						
Type of Rele	ase: none					Volume of	Release: N/A	Vo	olume R	Recovered: N	V/A		
	Source of Release: below grade tank – 21 bbl Was Immediate Notice Given?						our of Occurrenc	e: Da	ate and	Hour of Dis	covery:		
Was Immedi	ate Notice (Yes [] No 🛛 Not R	equired	If YES, To	Whom?						
By Whom?						Date and H							
Was a Water	Was a Watercourse Reached? ☐ Yes ☒ No					If YES, Vo	lume Impacting t	he Waterco	urse.				
the BGT. So	ea Affected	and Cleanup	H, BTEX Action Tal	n Taken.* Sampli and chloride belo ken.* BGT was re active well area.	w standa	rds. Analysi	s results are attacl	hed.					
regulations a public health should their or the enviro	II operators or the envi operations I onment. In a	are required to ironment. The have failed to	o report as acceptan adequately OCD acce	e is true and comp nd/or file certain ce of a C-141 rep y investigate and ptance of a C-141	release no ort by the remediate	otifications a e NMOCD m e contaminat	nd perform correct arked as "Final R on that pose a three the operator of	ctive actions deport" does reat to grour responsibili	s for rele s not rele nd water ity for c	eases which ieve the ope r, surface we ompliance v	may en erator of ater, hur with any	ndanger Fliability man health	
Signature: Printed Nam	off Peac	Pouce				Approved by	OIL CON Environmental S	_	<u>TION</u>	DIVISIO	<u>N</u>		
Title: Field		-				Approval Da	te:	Exp	piration	Date:			
E-mail Add	ress: peace.	jeffrey@bp.co		05 226 0470		Conditions of				Attached	i 🗆		
Date: April	2, 2014 itional She	eets If Neces		05-326-9479									

CLIENT: BP		G ENGINEERI 7, BLOOMFIEI (505) 632-119	_D, NM 8741	3	API #: 300 TANK ID (if applicble):	Α	B80
FIELD REPORT:	(circle one): BGT CONFIRMA				PAGE #:	1 of	1
SITE INFORMATION	I: SITE NAME: GC	U #367			DATE STARTED:	06/2	0/13
QUAD/UNIT: F SEC: 31 TWP:	29N RNG: 12W	PM: NM CNT	y: SJ st:	NM_	DATE FINISHED:		
1/4 -1/4/FOOTAGE: 1,560'N / 1,62	5'W SE/NW L		STATE / FEE / INC	DIAN	ENVIRONMENTAL		
	PROD. FORMATION: PC	CONTRACTOR: M	BF - B. SCHURM			N	<u> </u>
REFERENCE POINT	: WELL HEAD (W.H	I.) GPS COORD.:	36.68613 X 108.	14310	GL EL		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	GPS COORD.:	-			_	52', S	85E
2)			DIS	STANCE/BE/	ARING FROM W.H.:		
3)							
	GPS COORD.:		DIS	STANCE/BE/	ARING FROM W.H.:		I OVM I
SAMPLING DATA:	CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECOR						READING (ppm)
1) SAMPLE ID: 5 PC-TB @ 6' (21						00.0(CI)_	NA NA
2) SAMPLE ID:							
3) SAMPLE ID:							
4) SAMPLE ID:							
SOIL COLOR: DARK YE COHESION (ALL OTHERS): NON COHESIVE SLIGHTLY CONSISTENCY (NON COHESIVE SOILS): LC MOISTURE: DRY SLIGHTLY MOIST MOIST / W SAMPLE TYPE: GRAB COMPOSITE + DISCOLORATION/STAINING OBSERVED ANY AREAS DISPLAYING WETNESS: YES NO APPARENT EVIDENCE OF A RELEASE C ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: SOIL IMPACT DIMENSION ESTIMATION: DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER: >100' N	COHESIVE / COHESIVE / HIGHLY CO COSE / FIRM / DENSE / VERY DET / SATURATED / SUPER SATURATED / SUPER SATURATED / SUPER SATURATION COF PTS	HESIVE PLASTICITY (CENSE DENSITY (CENSE) ATED HC ODOF RED: YES NO EXPLANT NA ft. X NA 1,000' NEAREST SURFA	CLAYS): NON PLASTIC / SLIGHTL COHESIVE CLAYS & SILT R DETECTED: YES NO NATION:	YPLASTIC (O'S): SOFT EXPLA	COHESIVE / MEDIUM PLAST / FIRM / STIFF / VER	Y STIFF / H,	ARD
X-S.P.D. NOTES: BGT = BELOW-GRADE TANK, E.D. = EXCAVATIK T.B. = TANK BOTTOM; PBGTL = PREVIOUS BEL	PUMP JACK DN DEPRESSION; B.G. = BELOW GRAD	PBGTL T.B. ~ 6' B.G. X X X	×) ~= APPROX.; W.H. = WELL HE	OMM TIME: W PY PP PR OCCURRENCE AAA	MISCELL O: N15189 O#: C: ZEVH01 J#: Z2-006L ermit date(s): CD Appr. date(s): by OVM = Organi ppm = parts p	DATE: I NOT 394 IBGT2 3-C c Vapor Meteer million ible: Y / N ible: Y / N ible: Y / N	NA ES
APPLICABLE OR NOT AVAILABLE; SW - SINGLE	WALL; DW - DOUBLE WALL; SB - SIN	GLE BOTTOM; DB - DOUBLE BOTT	<u>OM.</u>	101	agricus decimal	ion. IV	
TRAVEL NOTES: CALLOUT:		ONSITE	06/20/13				

Analytical Report

Lab Order 1306966

Date Reported: 7/1/2013

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Blagg Engineering

Client Sample ID: 5PC-TB @ 6' (21)

Project: GCU # 367

Collection Date: 6/20/2013 12:55:00 PM

Lab ID: 1306966-001

Received Date: 6/22/2013 11:00:00 AM

Result	RL Qu	al Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
ORGANICS				Analys	t: JME
ND	10	mg/Kg	1	6/26/2013 2:37:24 AM	8058
109	63-147	%REC	1	6/26/2013 2:37:24 AM	8058
IGE				Analys	t: NSB
ND	4.7	mg/Kg	1	6/25/2013 6:51:50 PM	8070
90.0	80-120	%REC	1	6/25/2013 6:51:50 PM	8070
				Analys	t: NSB
ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	6/25/2013 6:51:50 PM	8070
ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	6/25/2013 6:51:50 PM	8070
ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	6/25/2013 6:51:50 PM	8070
ND	0.094	mg/Kg	1	6/25/2013 6:51:50 PM	8070
105	80-120	%REC	1	6/25/2013 6:51:50 PM	8070
				Analys	t: JRR
32	1.5	mg/Kg	1	6/25/2013 2:58:10 PM	8092
				Analys	t: jmb
36	20	mg/Kg	1	6/28/2013	8126
	ORGANICS ND 109 IGE ND 90.0 ND ND ND ND 105	ORGANICS ND 10 109 63-147 IGE ND 4.7 90.0 80-120 ND 0.047 ND 0.047 ND 0.047 ND 0.047 ND 0.094 105 80-120 32 1.5	ORGANICS ND 10 mg/Kg 109 63-147 %REC IGE ND 4.7 mg/Kg 90.0 80-120 %REC ND 0.047 mg/Kg ND 0.094 mg/Kg 105 80-120 %REC	ORGANICS ND 10 mg/Kg 1 109 63-147 %REC 1 IGE ND 4.7 mg/Kg 1 90.0 80-120 %REC 1 ND 0.047 mg/Kg 1 ND 0.047 mg/Kg 1 ND 0.047 mg/Kg 1 ND 0.047 mg/Kg 1 105 80-120 %REC 1 32 1.5 mg/Kg 1	ORGANICS Analyse ND 10 mg/Kg 1 6/26/2013 2:37:24 AM 109 63-147 %REC 1 6/26/2013 2:37:24 AM IGE Analyse ND 4.7 mg/Kg 1 6/25/2013 6:51:50 PM 90.0 80-120 %REC 1 6/25/2013 6:51:50 PM ND 0.047 mg/Kg 1 6/25/2013 6:51:50 PM ND 0.094 mg/Kg 1 6/25/2013 6:51:50 PM ND 0.094 mg/Kg 1 6/25/2013 6:51:50 PM ND 80-120 %REC 1 6/25/2013 6:51:50 PM Analys 32 1.5 mg/Kg 1 6/25/2013 2:58:10 PM Analys

Matrix: SOIL

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSD limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

Page 1 of 6

- P Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1306966

01-Jul-13

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

GCU # 367

Sample ID MB-8092

SampType: MBLK

TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

Client ID:

PBS

Batch ID: 8092

PQL

1.5

RunNo: 11560

SeqNo: 327531

Units: mg/Kg

Prep Date: Analyte

6/25/2013

Analysis Date: 6/25/2013

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit

HighLimit

RPDLimit %RPD

Qual

Chloride

ND

Result

Sample ID LCS-8092

SampType: LCS

TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

Client ID: LCSS Batch ID: 8092

RunNo: 11560

Prep Date: 6/25/2013

SeqNo: 327532

Units: mg/Kg

Analysis Date: 6/25/2013 PQL

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit

%RPD HighLimit **RPDLimit** Qual

15.00

92.8

Chloride

Analyte

14

1.5

90

110

Qualifiers:

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

Value above quantitation range Е

Analyte detected below quantitation limits J

RSD is greater than RSDlimit 0

RPD outside accepted recovery limits R

Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

Η Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

Not Detected at the Reporting Limit ND

Page 2 of 6

Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only. P

Reporting Detection Limit

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1306966

01-Jul-13

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

GCU # 367

Sample ID MB-8126

SampType: MBLK

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

Client ID:

Prep Date:

Analyte

PBS

6/26/2013

Batch ID: 8126

PQL

20

RunNo: 11642

Analysis Date: 6/28/2013

Result

SeqNo: 330336

Units: mg/Kg

HighLimit

RPDLimit

Qual

Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR

Sample ID LCS-8126

ND

SampType: LCS

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

RunNo: 11642

Prep Date: 6/26/2013

LCSS

Batch ID: 8126

Analysis Date: 6/28/2013

SeqNo: 330337

Units: mg/Kg

Analyte

PQL

Prep Date:

Client ID:

Result 100

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit

Qual

Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR

Batch ID: 8126

101 80 HighLimit %RPD **RPDLimit** 120

%RPD

Sample ID LCSD-8126

Client ID: LCSS02

6/26/2013

SampType: LCSD

97

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

RunNo: 11642

Units: mg/Kg

Analyte

Analysis Date: 6/28/2013

SeqNo: 330338

%REC LowLimit

HighLimit

%RPD **RPDLimit**

Qual

PQL

20

SPK value SPK Ref Val

Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR

20

100.0

100.0

97.1

80

120

4.06

20

Qualifiers:

R

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

Value above quantitation range Ε

Analyte detected below quantitation limits

RPD outside accepted recovery limits

RSD is greater than RSDlimit 0

Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

Н

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only. P

RLReporting Detection Limit

Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

Page 3 of 6

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: **1306966**

01-Jul-13

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

GCU # 367

Sample ID MB-8095	SampType: MBLK	TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics					
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 8095	RunNo: 11523					
Prep Date: 6/25/2013	Analysis Date: 6/25/2013	SeqNo: 326782	Units: %REC				
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value	SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit	HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit	Qual			
Surr: DNOP	8.5 10.00	85.3 63	147				
Sample ID LCS-8095	SampType: LCS	TestCode: EPA Method	8015D: Diesel Range Organics				
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 8095	RunNo: 11523					
Prep Date: 6/25/2013	Analysis Date: 6/25/2013	SeqNo: 326783	Units: %REC				
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value	SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit	HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit	Qual			
Surr: DNOP	4.4 5.000	88.3 63	147				
Sample ID MB-8058	SampType: MBLK	TestCode: EPA Method	8015D: Diesel Range Organics	<u> </u>			
Client ID: PB\$	Batch ID: 8058	RunNo: 11523					
Prep Date: 6/21/2013	Analysis Date: 6/25/2013	SeqNo: 327121	Units: mg/Kg				
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value	SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit	HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit	Qual			
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND 10						
Surr: DNOP	8.9 10.00	89.0 63	147				

Sample ID LCS-8058 SampType: LCS			TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics							
Client ID: LCSS	Batch	n ID: 80	58	. F	RunNo: 1	1523				
Prep Date: 6/21/2013	Analysis D)ate: 6/	25/2013	8	SeqNo: 3	27122	Units: mg/h	(g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	51	10	50.00	0	103	77.1	128			
Surr: DNOP	4.7		5.000		93.0	63	147			

Qualifiers:

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

E Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

O RSD is greater than RSDlimit

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

P Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.

RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 4 of 6

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1

1306966 *01-Jul-13*

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

GCU # 367

Prep Date: 6/24/2013	Analysis [Date: 6/	25/2013	S	SeqNo: 3	27416	Units: mg/Kg						
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual			
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	5.0				<u>.</u>							
Surr: BFB	910		1000		90.7	80	120						

Sample ID LCS-8070	SampT	ype: LC	S	TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range											
Client ID: LCSS	Batch	ı ID: 80	70	RunNo: 11540											
Prep Date: 6/24/2013	Analysis Date: 6/25/2013			S	SeqNo: 3	27419	Units: mg/Kg								
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual					
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	23	5.0	25.00	0	91.5	62.6	136								
Surr: BFB	970		1000		96.8	80	120								

Qualifiers:

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

E Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

O RSD is greater than RSDlimit

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

P Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.

RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 5 of 6

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1306966 *01-Jul-13*

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

GCU # 367

le ID MB-8070 SampType: MBLK					TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles										
PBS Batch ID: 8070					RunNo: 11540										
Analysis Date: 6/25/2013			5	SeqNo: 3	27472	Units: mg/K	(g								
Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual						
ND	0.050														
ND	0.050														
ND	0.050														
ND	0.10														
1.0		1.000		101	80	120									
	Batci Analysis E Result ND ND ND ND	Batch ID: 80 Analysis Date: 6/ Result PQL ND 0.050 ND 0.050 ND 0.050 ND 0.10	Batch ID: 8070 Analysis Date: 6/25/2013 Result PQL SPK value ND 0.050 ND 0.050 ND 0.050 ND 0.050 ND 0.10	Batch ID: 8070 F Analysis Date: 6/25/2013 S Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val ND 0.050 ND 0.050 ND 0.050 ND 0.050 ND 0.10	Batch ID: 8070 RunNo: 1 Analysis Date: 6/25/2013 SeqNo: 3 Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC ND 0.050 ND 0.050 ND 0.050 ND 0.10	Batch ID: 8070 RunNo: 11540 Analysis Date: 6/25/2013 SeqNo: 327472 Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit ND 0.050 ND 0.050 ND 0.050 ND 0.050 ND 0.10	Batch ID: 8070 RunNo: 11540 Analysis Date: 6/25/2013 SeqNo: 327472 Units: mg/K Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit ND 0.050 ND 0.050 ND 0.050 ND 0.010	Batch ID: 8070 RunNo: 11540 Analysis Date: 6/25/2013 SeqNo: 327472 Units: mg/Kg Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD ND 0.050 ND 0.050 ND 0.050 ND 0.050 ND 0.10	Batch ID: 8070 RunNo: 11540 Analysis Date: 6/25/2013 SeqNo: 327472 Units: mg/Kg Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit ND 0.050 ND 0.050 ND 0.050 ND 0.10						

Sample ID LCS-8070	SampT	Type: LC	s	TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles											
Client ID: LCSS	70	RunNo: 11540													
Prep Date: 6/24/2013	Analysis [Date: 6/	25/2013	8	SeqNo: 3	27473	Units: mg/Kg								
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual					
Benzene	0.97	0.050	1.000	0	97.0	80	120								
Toluene	0.95	0.050	1.000	0	94.6	80	120								
Ethylbenzene	0.96	0.050	1.000	0	96.4	80	120								
Xylenes, Total	2.9	0.10	3.000	0	97.9	80	120								
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.1		1.000		109	80	120								

Qualifiers:

* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

E Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

O RSD is greater than RSDlimit

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

P Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.

RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 6 of 6

Chain-of-Custody Record			Turn-Around Time:				WALL SNUTDONMENTAL														
Client:	BLAG	G ENGR.	/ BP AMERICA	✓ Standard Project Name	HALL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS LABORATORY																
Mailing Address: P.O. BOX 87				www.hallenvironmental.com GCU # 367 4901 Hawkins NE - Albuquerque, NM 87109									9								
			FIELD, NM 87413	Project #:	1	Tel. 505-345-3975 Fax 505-345-4107															
Phone #: (505) 632-1199							3 - 47	F	No. Committee					*	Red			e di Braga			
email or Fax#:				Project Manag	ger:																
QA/QC Package: Standard Level 4 (Full Validation)			NELSON VELEZ				1	(CHINE)			S)		05,50,	PCB's			er - 300.1)			a	
Accreditat	ccreditation:			Sampler:	NELSON VI	ELEZ カン	FIMB's (8021B)	(Gas	80/	=	7	SIS		102,	8087	ļ		/ wat			m m
□ NELAP □ Other				⊅ Yes	Control of the contro	Į.	표	10	418	504	827	<u>ر</u> ا	ြိ	/ Si		8	0.0			e Sa	
☐ EDD (1	ype)			Sample Temp	erature: 3,4		Ļ	± ±	(GR)	pol	pot	ō	etal	N,	cide	₹	<u>:</u>	il - 3		e l	osit
Date	Time	Matrix	Sample Request ID	Container Type and #	Preservative Type	HEALNO!	BTEX +-MIT	BTEX + MTBE + TPH (Gas only)	TPH 8015B (GRO / DRO	TPH (Method 418.1)	EDB (Method 504.1)	PAH (8310 or 8270SIMS)	RCRA 8 Metals	Anions (F,Cl,NO ₃ ,NO ₂ ,PO ₄ ,SO ₄)	8081 Pesticides / 8082 PCB's	8260B (VOA)	8270 (Semi-VOA)	Chloride (soil - 300.0 / water	[Grab sample	5 pt. composite sample
6/20/13	1255	SOIL	5РС-ТВ @ 6' (21)	4 oz 2	Cool	-201	٧		٧	٧								٧			V
																					\Box
																			\neg		
																			\neg	寸	
																			1		
																				\exists	
																				\neg	\exists
			·										_							\exists	
										_										1	
Date: /3	Time:	Relinquish	ed by:	Received by:	· Wasta	Date Time	Bil	nark LL DI	RECT				L	ı	<u> </u>	L	<u></u>				
Date:	Date: Time: Relinquished by:			Received by		Date Time	Work Order: N15189394 Pavkey: ZFVH01BGT2														
_	14	.f		whentented to other	anneand laboratoric	e. This serves as notice of	this n	ossibi	itv. A	nv sub	-contr	acted	data v	vill be	clearly	/ notat	ed on	the ana	alytica	герог	t.



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87105

Sample Log-In Check List

TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: www.hallenvironmental.com Client Name: BLAGG Work Order Number: 1306966 RcptNo: 1 Received by/date: Logged By: **Lindsay Mangin** 6/22/2013 11:00:00 AM Completed By: Lindsay Mangin 6/24/2013 9:06:22 AM Reviewed By: Chain of Custody No 🗌 Yes Not Present 🗹 1 Custody seals intact on sample bottles? No 🗌 Yes 🗹 Not Present 2. Is Chain of Custody complete? 3. How was the sample delivered? Courier <u>Log In</u> NA \square No 🗆 4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes 🔽 NA 🗌 5. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C Yes 🗹 No 🗌 6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes 🗸 No 🗆 7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes 🗸 Yes 🔽 No 🗌 8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? No 🗹 NA 🗆 Yes 9. Was preservative added to bottles? No VOA Vials Yes \square No 🗌 10.VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes 🗆 No 🗹 11. Were any sample containers received broken? # of preserved bottles checked No 🗌 for pH: Yes 🗹 12. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (<2 or >12 unless noted) (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) Adjusted? 13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? V No 🗌 14. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes V No 🗌 Checked by: Yes 🗸 No 🗌 15. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Special Handling (if applicable) 16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No 🗌 NA 🗹 Person Notified: Date: By Whom: Via: ☐ eMail ☐ Phone ☐ Fax ☐ In Person Regarding: Client Instructions: 17. Additional remarks:

Cooler No | Temp °C | Condition | Seal Intact | Seal No | Seal Date | Signed By

Yes

Good

18. Cooler Information

3.6



