Form C-144 July 21, 2008

District I 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 District II 1301 W. Grand Avenue, Artesia, NM 88210 District III 1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410 District IV 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

# State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources Department Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

For temporary pits, closed-loop systems, and below-grade tanks, submit to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.
For permanent pits and exceptions submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

1795

# Pit, Closed-Loop System, Below-Grade Tank, or Proposed Alternative Method Permit or Closure Plan Application

Type of action:    Permit of a pit, closed-loop system, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method   Closure of a pit, closed-loop system, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method   Modification to an existing permit   Closure plan only submitted for an existing permitted or non-permitted pit, closed-loop system, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method
Instructions: Please submit one application (Form C-144) per individual pit, closed-loop system, below-grade tank or alternative request
Please be advised that approval of this request does not relieve the operator of liability should operations result in pollution of surface water, ground water or the environment. Nor does approval relieve the operator of its responsibility to comply with any other applicable governmental authority's rules, regulations or ordinance
I. Operator: BP AMERICA PRODUCTION COMPANY OGRID #: 778
Address: 200 Energy Court, Farmington, NM 87401
Facility or well name: FIELDS A 007R
API Number: 3004526996 OCD Permit Number:
U/L or Qtr/Qtr G Section 34.0 Township 32.0N Range 11W County: San Juan County
Center of Proposed Design: Latitude         36.943385         Longitude -107.975685         NAD: ☐1927 ▼ 1983
Surface Owner: ■ Federal ☐ State ☐ Private ☐ Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment
2.    Pit: Subsection F or G of 19.15.17.11 NMAC   RCVD MAR 20 '14
Temporary: Drilling Workover OIL CONS. DIV.
Permanent Emergency Cavitation P&A
☐ Lined ☐ Unlined Liner type: Thicknessmil ☐ LLDPE ☐ HDPE ☐ PVC ☐ Other
String-Reinforced
Liner Seams: Welded Factory Other Volume: bbl Dimensions: L x W x D
Closed-loop System: Subsection H of 19.15.17.11 NMAC   Type of Operation: P&A Drilling a new well Workover or Drilling (Applies to activities which require prior approval of a permit or notice of intent)   Drying Pad Above Ground Steel Tanks Haul-off Bins Other Lined Unlined Liner type: Thickness Mil LLDPE HDPE PVC Other Liner Seams: Welded Factory Other
4.    R   Below-grade tank: Subsection 1 of 19.15.17.11 NMAC   Tank ID:   B
Liner type: Thicknessmil
5.  Alternative Method:  Submittal of an exception request is required. Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

Form C-144

Oil Conservation Division

Page 1 of 5

6. Fencing: Subsection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits, temporary pits, and below-grade tanks)	
Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top (Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent residence, school,	hospital,
institution or church)  [ Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet	
Alternate. Please specify 4' Hogwire with single barbed wire	
Netting: Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks)	
Screen Netting Other	
☐ Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)	
8.	
Signs: Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC	
12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers	
■ Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC	····
9. Administrative Approvals and Exceptions:	
Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance.	
Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank:  Administrative approval(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district or the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau	office for
consideration of approval.	
Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.	
Siting Criteria (regarding permitting): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of accept material are provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria may require administrative approval from the approoffice or may be considered an exception which must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of a Applicant must attach justification for request. Please refer to 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance. Siting criteria does not apply to dry	priate district pproval.
above-grade tanks associated with a closed-loop system.	
Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of the temporary pit, permanent pit, or below-grade tank.  - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes 🗷 No
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).  - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes 🗷 No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital; institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.  (Applies to temporary, emergency, or cavitation pits and below-grade tanks)  - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	Yes No
Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.  (Applies to permanent pits)	☐ Yes ☐ No 🗷 NA
- Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site: Aerial photo; Satellite image	
Within 500 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring that less than five households use for domestic or stock watering purposes, or within 1000 horizontal feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of initial application.  NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes 🗷 No
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended.  - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes ※ No
Within 500 feet of a wetland.  - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes 🗷 No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	☐ Yes 🗷 No
Within an unstable area.  - Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map	☐ Yes 🗷 No
Within a 100-year floodplain FEMA map	☐ Yes 🗷 No

Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.	
Hydrogeologic Report (Below-grade Tanks) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Hydrogeologic Data (Temporary and Emergency Pits) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC	
<ul> <li>☑ Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC</li> <li>☑ Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC</li> </ul>	
Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number:	_
12.	_
Closed-loop Systems Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.	
Geologic and Hydrogeologic Data (only for on-site closure) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (3) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9  Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations (only for on-site closure) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC  Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC  Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC	
Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC	2
Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design)  API Number:	
Previously Approved Operating and Maintenance Plan API Number:(Applies only to closed-loop system that use	
above ground steel tanks or haul-off bins and propose to implement waste removal for closure)	
Permanent Pits Permit Application Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC	=
Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.	
☐ Hydrogeologic Report - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC ☐ Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC	
☐ Climatological Factors Assessment ☐ Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC	
Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC  Leak Detection Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC	
Liner Specifications and Compatibility Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC  Quality Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installation Plan	
☐ Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC ☐ Freeboard and Overtopping Prevention Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC ☐ Nuisance or Hazardous Odors, including H <sub>2</sub> S, Prevention Plan	
Emergency Response Plan  Oil Field Waste Stream Characterization	
Monitoring and Inspection Plan	
Erosion Control Plan  Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC	
14.	_
Proposed Closure: 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan.	
Type: ☐ Drilling ☐ Workover ☐ Emergency ☐ Cavitation ☐ P&A ☐ Permanent Pit ☒ Below-grade Tank ☐ Closed-loop System ☐ Alternative	
Proposed Closure Method:   Waste Excavation and Removal  Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only)	
☐ On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems) ☐ In-place Burial ☐ On-site Trench Burial	
Alternative Closure Method (Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau for consideration)	
15.	
Waste Excavation and Removal Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.	
<ul> <li>Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC</li> <li>Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>☑ Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings)</li> <li>☑ Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC</li> </ul>	
Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection G of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	

Waste Removal Closure For Closed-loop Systems That Utilize Above Ground Steel Tanks or Haul-off Bins Only Instructions: Please indentify the facility or facilities for the disposal of liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings. Us facilities are required.									
Disposal Facility Name: Disposal Facility Permit Number:									
Disposal Facility Name: Disposal Facility Permit Number:									
Will any of the proposed closed-loop system operations and associated activities occur on or in areas that will not be used for future service and operation  Yes (If yes, please provide the information below)  No									
Required for impacted areas which will not be used for future service and operations:  Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - · based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19 Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection G of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	9.15.17.13 NMAC								
Siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendations of provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria may require administrative approval from the considered an exception which must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance.	appropriate district	office or may be							
Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste.  - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells		] Ycs							
Ground water is between 50 and 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste  NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells		] Yes □ No ] NA							
Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste.  - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells		] Yes □ No ] NA							
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse or lakebed, sin lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).  - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	khole, or playa	Yes No							
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial ap  Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	plication.	] Yes □ No							
Within 500 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring that less than five households use for dome watering purposes, or within 1000 horizontal feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of init - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database: Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	stic or stock tial application.	] Yes □ No							
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended.  - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	pal ordinance	] Yes □ No							
Within 500 feet of a wetland US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the pro-	oposed site	Yes No							
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine.  Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division		Yes No							
<ul> <li>Within an unstable area.</li> <li>Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology &amp; Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Society; Topographic map</li> </ul>	Geological	Yes No .							
Within a 100-year floodplain FEMA map		Yes No							
On-Site Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.  Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Proof of Surface Owner Notice - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17. Construction/Design Plan of Temporary Pit (for in-place burial of a drying pad) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection F of 19.15.  Waste Material Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings or in case on-site closur Soil Cover Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	C 11 NMAC juirements of 19.15.17	7.11 NMAC							

Form C-144 Oil Conservation Division

Page 4 of 5

19.	
Operator Application Certification:	
I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true, accu	rate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.
Name (Print): Aleffrey Peace	Title: Field Environmental Advisor
Signature: Phen H. Seare	Date: 06/14/2010
010	
e-mail address: Peace.Jeffrey@bp.com	Telephone: 505-326-9479
OCD Approval: Permit Application (including closure plan Closure)	Control on the second of the s
OCD Representative Signature:	pritt D. Kelly 4/1/2014 Approval Date: 12/11/13
Title: Environmental Engineer	OCD Pennil Number:
21. Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion): Subsection Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved closure plan prior The closure report is required to be submitted to the division within 60 days of section of the form until an approved closure plan has been obtained and the complete the complete that the complete the complete that	to implementing any closure activities and submitting the closure report. the completion of the closure activities. Please do not complete this
22.	
Closure Method:  Waste Excavation and Removal ☐ On-Site Closure Method ☐ Altern  If different from approved plan, please explain.	ative Closure Method
23. Closure Report Regarding Waste Removal Closure For Closed-loop System	a That Hilling Above Council Start Teachers Hard St. C. O. I.
Instructions: Please indentify the facility or facilities for where the liquids, dri	s that Chinze Above Ground Steel Tanks or Haul-off Bins Only: illing fluids and drill cuttings were disposed. Use attachment if more than
two facilities were utilized.	,
Disposal Facility Name:	Disposal Facility Permit Number:
Disposal Facility Name:	Disposal Facility Permit Number:
Were the closed-loop system operations and associated activities performed on o  Yes (If yes, please demonstrate compliance to the items below)  No	r in areas that will not be used for future service and operations?
Required for impacted areas which will not be used for future service and operate	tions;
Site Reclamation (Photo Documentation)	
Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation  Respective Application Pates and Seeding Technique	
Re-vegetation Application Rates and Seeding Technique	
Closure Report Attachment Checklist: Instructions: Each of the following is	tems must be attached to the closure report. Please indicate, by a check
mark in the box, that the documents are attached.	massion and the crossic reports Fields marcure, by a criteri
Proof of Closure Notice (surface owner and division)	
Proof of Deed Notice (required for on-site closure)  Plot Plan (for on-site closures and temporary pits)	
Confirmation Sampling Analytical Results (if applicable)	
Waste Material Sampling Analytical Results (required for on-site closure)	·
Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number	
Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation	
Re-vegetation Application Rates and Seeding Technique Site Reclamation (Photo Documentation)	<b>&gt; 0</b>
On-site Closure Location: Latitude 36.943385 Longi	tude <u>~107.975685</u> NAD: □1927 <b>⊠</b> 1983
25.	
Operator Closure Certification:	
I hereby certify that the information and attachments submitted with this closure	
belief. I also certify that the closure complies with all applicable closure requirer	
Name (Print): Jeff Peace	Title: Area Gnovon mental Advisor
Signature: Jeff Panco	Date: March 19,2014
e-mail address: peace-je-ffrey & bf.com	Telephone: (505) 326-9479

#### BP AMERICA PRODUCTION COMPANY

SAN JUAN BASIN, NORTHWEST NEW MEXICO

#### BELOW-GRADE TANK CLOSURE PLAN

# Fields A 7R Tank B (45 bbl) API No. 3004526996 Unit Letter G, Section 34, T32N, R11W

This plan will address the standard protocols and procedures for closure of below-grade tanks (BGTs) on BP America Production Company (BP) well sites. As stipulated in Paragraph A of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall close a BGT within the time periods provided in 19.15.17.13 NMAC, or by an earlier date that the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) requires because of imminent danger to fresh water, public health, safety or the environment. If deviations from this plan are necessary, any specific changes will be included on form C-144 and approved by the NMOCD. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC or is not included in Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC within five years after June 16, 2008, if not retrofit with a BGT that complies with the BP NMOCD approved BGT design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC, if not previously retrofitted to comply with the BP NMOCD approve BGT Design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan, prior to any sale or change in operator pursuant to 19.15.9.9 NMAC. BP shall close the permitted BGT within 60 days of cessation of the BGTs operation or as required by the transitional provisions of Subsection B, D, or E of 19.15.17.17 NMAC.

#### **General Closure Plan**

- 1. BP shall notify the surface owner by certified mail that it plans to close a BGT. Evidence of mailing of the notice to the address of the surface owner shown in the county tax records demonstrates compliance with this requirement.

  Notice is attached.
- 2. BP shall notify the division District III office verbally or by other means at least 72 hours, but not more than one (1) week, prior to any closure operation. The notice shall include the operator's name, and the location to be closed by unit letter, section, township and range. If the BGT closure is associated with a particular well, then the notice shall also include the well's name, number and API number.

#### Notice is attached.

- 3. BP shall remove liquids and sludge from the BGT prior to implementing a closure method and dispose of the liquids and sludge in a NMOCD's division-approved facility. The facilities to be used are:
  - a. BP Crouch Mesa Landfarm, Permit NM-02-003 (Solids)
  - b. JFJ Landfarm, Permit NM-01-010(B) (Solids and Sludge)
  - c. Basin Disposal, Permit NM-01-0005 (Liquids)
  - d. Envirotech Inc Soil Remediation Facility, Permit NM-01-0011 (Solids and Sludge)
  - e. BP Operated E.E. Elliott SWD #1, API 30-045-27799 (Liquids)

- f. BP Operated 13 GCU SWD #1, API 30-045-28601 (Liquids)
- g. BP Operated GCU 259 SWD, API 30-045-20006 (Liquids)
- h. BP Operated GCU 306 SWD, API 30-045-24286 (Liquids)
- i. BP Operated GCU 307 SWD, API 30-045-24248 (Liquids)
- j. BP Operated GCU 328 SWD, API 30-045-24735 (Liquids)
- k. BP Operated Pritchard SWD #1, API 30-045-28351 (Liquids)
  - All liquids and sludge in the BGT were removed and sent to one of the above NMOCD approved facilities for disposal.

4. BP shall remove the BGT and dispose of it in a NMOCD approved facility or recycle, reuse, or reclaim it in a manner that the NMOCD approves. If a liner is present and must be disposed of it will be cleaned by scraping any soils or other attached materials on the liner to a de minimus amount and disposed at a permitted solid waste facility, pursuant to Subparagraph (m) of Paragraph (1) of Subsection C of 19.15.35.8 NMAC. Documentation as to the final disposition of the removed BGT will be provided in the final closure report.

The BGT was transported to a storage area for sale and re-use.

5. BP shall remove any on-site equipment associated with a BGT unless the equipment is required for well production.

All equipment associated with the BGT has been removed.

6. BP shall test the soils beneath the BGT to determine whether a release has occurred. BP shall collect at a minimum: a five (5) point composite sample and individual grab samples from any area that is wet, discolored or showing other evidence of a release and analyze for BTEX, TPH and chlorides. The testing methods for those constituents are as follows;

Constituents	Testing Method	Release Verification	Sample
	45 bbl BGT – Tank B	(mg/Kg)	results
Benzene	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	0.2	ND
Total BTEX	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	50	ND
TPH	US EPA Method SW-846 418.1	100	ND
Chlorides	US EPA Method 300.0 or 4500B	250 or background	ND

Notes: mg/Kg = milligram per kilogram, BTEX = benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes, TPH = total petroleum hydrocarbons. Other EPA methods that the division approves may be applied to all constituents listed. Chloride closure standards will be determined by which ever concentration level is greatest.

Soil under the BGT's was sampled and TPH, BTEX and chloride levels were below the stated limits. Sampling data is attached.

7. BP shall notify the division District III office of its results on form C-141. C-141 is attached.

- 8. If it is determined that a release has occurred, then BP will comply with 19.15.30 NMAC and 19.15.29 NMAC, as appropriate.
  - Sampling results indicate no release occurred.
- 9. If the sampling demonstrates that a release has not occurred or that any release does not exceed the concentrations specified above, then BP shall backfill the excavation, with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material; construct a division-prescribed soil cover, re-contour and re-vegetate the location. The location will be reclaimed if it is not with in the active process area
  - The area under the BGT was backfilled with clean soil and is covered by the LPT.
- 10. BP shall reclaim the BGT location and all areas associated with the BGT including associated access roads to a safe and stable condition that blends with the surrounding undisturbed area. BP shall substantially restore the impacted surface area to the condition that existed prior to oil and gas operations by placement of the soil cover as provided in Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, re-contour the location and associated areas to a contour that approximates the original contour and blends with the surrounding topography and re-vegetate according to Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC.

The area under the BGT is covered by the LPT and is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

11. The soil cover for closures where the BGT has been removed or remediated to the NMOCD's satisfaction shall consist of the background thickness of topsoil or one foot of suitable material to establish vegetation at the site, whichever is greater. The soil cover will be constructed to the site's existing grade and all practicable efforts will be made to prevent ponding of water and erosion of the cover material.

The area under the BGT is covered by the LPT and is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

12. BP shall seed the disturbed area the first growing season after closure of the BGT. Seeding will be accomplished by drilling on the contour whenever practical or by other division-approved methods. Vegetative cover will be, at a minimum, 70% of the native perennial vegetative cover (un-impacted by overgrazing, fire or other intrusion damaging to native vegetation), consisting of at least three native plant species, including at least one grass, but not including noxious weeds, and maintenance of that cover through two successive growing seasons. During the two growing seasons that prove viability, there shall be no artificial irrigation of the vegetation.

The area under the BGT is covered by the LPT and is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

13. BP shall seed, plant and re-seed pursuant to Paragraph (3) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, until the location successfully achieves the required vegetative cover.

BP will seed the area when the well is plugged and abandoned.

14. Pursuant to Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall notify the NMOCD when it has seeded or planted and when it successfully achieves revegetation.

BP will notify NMOCD when re-vegetation is successful.

- 15. Within 60 days of closure completion, BP shall submit a closure report on NMOCD's form C-144, and will include the following;
  - a. proof of closure notification (surface owner and NMOCD)
  - b. sampling analytical reports; information required by 19.15.17 NMAC;
  - c. disposal facility name and permit number
  - d. details on back-filling, capping, covering, and where applicable re-vegetation application rates and seeding techniques and
  - e. site reclamation, photo documentation.
    - Closure report on C-144 form is included.
- 16. BP shall certify that all information in the report and attachments is accurate, truthful, and compliant with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.

Certification section of C-144 has been completed.

District I 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 District II 811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410 District IV 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

#### State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

Form C-141 Revised August 8, 2011

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

			Rele	ease Notific	eation	and Co	orrective A	ction				
						OPERA'	ГOR		Initia	al Report	$\boxtimes$	Final Report
Name of Co	mpany: B	Р			(	Contact: Jef	f Peace					
		Court, Farmi	ngton, N	M 87401			No.: 505-326 <b>-</b> 94					
Facility Nar	ne: Fields	A 7R		·····	F	Facility Typ	e: Natural gas v	vell		~~		
Surface Ow	ner: Feder	al		Mineral C	)wner: F	ederal		I A	API No	. 3004526	996	
				LOCA	ATION	OF RE	LEASE					
Unit Letter G	Section 34	Township 32N	Range 11W	Feet from the 1,965	North/S North	South Line	Feet from the 2,060	East/Wes East	t Line	County: S	an Juan	
		Latit	ude36	.943385		Longitud	e107.975685_					
				NAT	URE	OF REL	EASE					
Type of Rele						Volume of	Release: N/A	V	olume F	Recovered: 1	N/A	
Source of Re	lease: belov	w grade tank -	45 bbl, T	ank B		Date and F N/A	lour of Occurrenc	e: Da	ate and	Hour of Dis	scovery:	N/A
Was Immedi	ate Notice (	iven?				If YES, To	Whom?	<u> </u>				
			Yes [	No 🛛 Not Re	equired							
By Whom?						Date and I	lour					
Was a Water	course Read		Yes 🗵	] No		If YES, Vo	olume Impacting t	he Waterco	ourse.			-
If a Watercon	irse was Im	pacted, Descr	ihe Fully *			L					<del></del>	
the BGT. So	il analysis i	esulted in TP	H, BTEX	and chloride beloven.* BGT was re	wstandar	rds. Analysi	the BGT was dor s results are attack	hed.				
I hereby certi regulations a public health should their of	fy that the illinois or the envi	are required to ronment. The tave failed to a	ven above o report ar acceptance	is true and comp ad/or file certain rece of a C-141 repo	elease no ort by the emediate	tifications a NMOCD m contaminati	knowledge and und perform correctarked as "Final Roon that pose a three the operator of r	tive actions eport" does eat to groun	for relations for formal for	eases which eve the ope , surface wa	may en rator of ater, hur	danger liability nan health
federal, state,	or local la	ws and/or regu	lations.							B.W. 11616		
Signature:	loff	Peace					OIL CONS	SERVA	<u>HON</u>	DIVISIO	<u>)N</u>	
Printed Name	e: Jeff Peac	<u>e</u>			- A	Approved by	Environmental S <sub>1</sub>	pecialist:				
Title: Field E	nvironmen	tal Advisor	·····		·	Approval Da	e:	Ехр	iration ]	Date:		
E-mail Addre	ess: peace.j	effrey@bp.coi	n			Conditions o	f Approval:			Attached		
Date: March		ets If Necess		505-326-9479				······				

CLIENT: BP	P.O. BOX 87, BI	NGINEERING, IN LOOMFIELD, NN 5) 632-1199		TANK (ID	526996 A & B
FIELD REPORT:	(circle one): BGT CONFIRMATION /	RELEASE INVESTIGATION / O	THER:	PAGE #:	of <u>1</u>
SITE INFORMATION	J: SITE NAME: FIELDS	A #7R		DATE STARTED:	01/30/14
QUAD/UNIT: G SEC: 34 TWP:	32N RNG: 11W PM:	NM CNTY: SJ	st: NM	DATE FINISHED:	
1/4 -1/4/FOOTAGE: 1,965'N / 2,06	O'E SW/NE LEASE T			ENVIRONMENTAL	
LEASE #: <b>NM 010989</b>	PROD. FORMATION: MV CO	ELKHORN ONTRACTOR: MBF -	J	SPECIALIST(S):	NJV
REFERENCE POINT	WELL HEAD (W.H.) GPS	COORD.: 36.9431	15 X 107.97547	GL ELEV.:	6.114'
1) 30 BOT (OW/OB) - A	GPS COURD 29.	<del>949440 X 497.97577</del> 4	DISTÁNCE/BEÁ	RING FROM W.H	
2) 45 BGT (SW/DB) - B	GPS COORD.: <b>36.</b>	943385 X 107.975685	DISTANCE/BEA		
3)	GPS COORD.;		DISTANCE/BEA	RING FROM W.H.:	
4)	GPS COORD.:		DISTANCE/BEA	RING FROM W.H.:	
SAMPLING DATA:	CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD(S) # O	OR LAB USED: HAL	L		OVM . READING (ppm)
1) SAMPLE ID:	95) SAMPLE DATE: 01/30	/14 SAMPLE TIME:1250_	LAB ANALYSIS: 418.1/8	8015B/8021B/300.0	
2) SAMPLE ID:	45) SAMPLE DATE: 01/30	114 SAMPLE TIME:1300_	LAB ANALYSIS: 418.1/8	3015B/8021B/300.0	(CI) NA
3) SAMPLE ID:	SAMPLE DATE:	SAMPLE TIME:	LAB ANALYSIS:		
4) SAMPLE ID:	SAMPLE DATE:	SAMPLE TIME:	LAB ANALYSIS:		
SOIL DESCRIPTION	<del></del> -	SILT / SILTY CLAY / CLAY   GRAVE	EL / OTHER		
SOIL COLOR: VARYING B		PLASTICITY (CLAYS): NON PLASTIC	_		
COHESION (ALL OTHERS): NON COHESIVE SLIGHTL CONSISTENCY (NON COHESIVE SOILS): LO					RD
MOISTURE: DRY/SLIGHTLY MOIST (MOIST) W		HC ODOR DETECTED: YES NO	JEXPLANATION -		
SAMPLE TYPE: GRAB COMPOSITE	# OF PTS <b>5</b>	ANY AREAS DISPLAYING WETNES	SS: YES / NO EXPLAN	NATION - 45 BGT SATU	JRATED FROM
DISCOLORATION/STAINING OBSERVED: YES		I GRAY - NO HYDROCARBO	N ODOR.		IOW/ICE MELT.
SITE OBSERVATION					
APPARENT EVIDENCE OF A RELEASE OBSERVE EQUIPMENT SET OVER RECLAIMED AREA:			S DOT CHEE		
OTHER:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
SOIL IMPACT DIMENSION ESTIMATION	: NA ft. X NA	ft. X <b>NA</b> ft.	EXCAVATION EST	FIMATION (Cubic Yards)	): <b>NA</b>
	NEAREST WATER SOURCE: >1,000'	NEAREST SURFACE WATER:	4.000	CD TPH CLOSURE STD:	1,000 ppm
SITE SKETCH	BGT Located: off lon site	e PLOT PLAN circ	cle: attached OVM	CALIB. READ. = NA	ppm   DE =1.00
				CALIB. GAS = NA	ppm   <u>RF =1.00</u>
	$\mathbf{x}$			:: <b>NA</b> am/pm DATE	''
	<i>? }</i>		<b>'</b> "\ =	MISCELL. N	
BERM			\ \	/O: N1538723	
	(45)			0#:	1
PRIII	PBGTL T.B. ~ 5'			K: ZEVH01B	GT2
IONIA	B.G.		I —	J#: Z2-006Q0	
			<u>P</u> (	ermit date(s):	06/14/10
	W.H.		O Tan		12/11/13
	$\oplus$		<u>ID</u>	ppm = parts per mi	illion
			A	·	<u> </u>
			N - 3.P.D.	BGT Sidewalls Visible BGT Sidewalls Visible	
NOTES: BGT = BELOW-GRADE TANK; E.D. = EXCAVATI	ION DEPRESSION; B.G. = BELOW GRADE; B = BE LOW-GRADE TANK LOCATION; SPD = SAMPLE P		W.H. = WELL HEAD;     L WALL: NA - NOT   L	lagnetic declination	
	E WALL; DW - DOUBLE WALL; SB - SINGLE BOT	TOM; DB - DOUBLE BOTTOM.		<u>agnetic declination</u>	. IU ⊑
NOTES: GOOGLE EARTH IMAGE	RY DATE: 11/17/13	ONSITE: 01/3	0/14		

#### Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

**CLIENT:** Blagg Engineering

Client Sample 1D: 5PC-TB@5'(45)

Project:

Fields A #7R

**Collection Date:** 1/30/2014 1:00:00 PM

**Lab ID:** 14021

1402110-002

Matrix: SOIL

Received Date: 2/4/2014 10:36:00 AM

Analyses	Result	RL Qu	al Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 8015D: DIESEL RANG	E ORGANICS			<u>-</u>	Analys	t: BCN
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	10	mg/Kg	1	2/6/2014 7:49:36 PM	11569
Surr: DNOP	97.0	66-131	%REC	1	2/6/2014 7:49:36 PM	11569
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RA	NGE				Analys	t: JMP
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	4.7	mg/Kg	1	2/6/2014 7:14:14 PM	11577
Surr: BFB	83.4	74.5-129	%REC	1	2/6/2014 7:14:14 PM	11577
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analys	t: JMP
Benzene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	2/6/2014 7:14:14 PM	11577
Toluene .	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	2/6/2014 7:14:14 PM	11577
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	2/6/2014 7:14:14 PM	11577
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.095	mg/Kg	1	2/6/2014 7:14:14 PM	11577
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	89.6	80-120	%REC	1	2/6/2014 7:14:14 PM	11577
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analys	t: JRR
Chloride	ND	30	mg/Kg	20	2/7/2014 1:04:22 PM	11622
EPA METHOD 418.1: TPH					Analys	t: BCN
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	ND	20	mg/Kg	1	2/6/2014	11576

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

#### **Qualifiers:**

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R · RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

Page 2 of 7

- P Sample pH greater than 2.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

			tody record	_			[				48		F	Ni	/T [	<b>SC</b>	NI	ME	NT	A	L
Client:	BLAG	G ENGR.	/ BP AMERICA		☐ Rush _													R			
				Project Name				g is Arage		•							l.com				
Mailing A	ddress:	P.O. BO	X 87	1	FIELDS A #	7R		49	01 H	lawl								37109	€		
		BLOOM	FIELD, NM 87413	Project #:				Te	el. 50	05-3	45-3	3975		Fax	505	-345	-410	)7			
Phone #:		(505) 63	2-1199				e.	gar y	*	4	,		Anal	ysis	Red	ļues	t i				e sin
email or F	ax#:			Project Manag	jer:				m					4)				ਜ			$\neg$
QA/QC Pad			Level 4 (Full Validation)_		NELSON VI	ELEZ	(8021B)	(Aluo	<b>Falle</b>			(S)	<u> </u> 	PO4,SO	2 PCB's			ter - 300.1)		ľ	e
Accreditat		□ Other		Sampler: On Ice:	NELSON VI ☑ Yes	71,0	8 5 6	PH (Gas		18.1)	504.1)	8270SIMS)	i I	3,NO <sub>2</sub> ,	/ 8082		8	3.0 / wa			samp
□ EDD (1					erature:		F	<del> </del>	GRO	2d 4	2d 5		tals	18	ides	7	9	30		ارو	Site
Date	Time	Matrix	Sample Request ID	Container Type and #	Preservative Type	HEALNO:	BTEX +************************************	BTEX + MTBE + TPH (Gas only)	TPH 8015B (GRO / DRO	TPH (Method 418.1)	EDB (Method	PAH (8310 or	RCRA 8 Metals	Anions (F,CI,NO <sub>3</sub> ,NO <sub>2</sub> ,PO <sub>4</sub> ,SO <sub>4</sub> )	8081 Pesticides	8260B (VOA)	8270 (Semi-VOA)	Chloride (soil - 300.0 / water		Grab sample	5 pt. composite sample
-1/30/14	1230	SOR	37 0 10 @ 1 (35)	402-1	Cool	001	4	_	4	4			-	_		-		4	<del>-</del>	$\dashv$	*
																				$\Box$	$\top$
1/30/14	1300	SOIL	5PC - TB @ 5' (45)	4 oz 1	Cool	-002	7		٧	٧								7	I		٧
																				$\perp$	
				ļ																	
																				$\perp$	
																		$\perp$		$\perp$	
																			$\perp$	_	_
	ļ														_					$\rightarrow$	_
				ļ															$\dashv$	$\dashv$	$\downarrow$
				<del> </del>															$\dashv$	_	
																					丄
Date: 14	Time: 835	Relinquish	in of	Received by:	120010	Date Time	Bil		RECT	LY T											
Date:	Time:	Relinquish	ed by:	Received by:	/	Date Time 02/04/14						gy Co 5387					1M 87		)1 D &	т2	
2/3/14	1740	Hi	atur Davar	philes	Jallego	$\frac{1}{10}$									•	-		EVH0			
	it necessa	ary, samples s	ubmitted to Hall Environmental may be s	subcontracted to other	accredited laboratorie	s. This serves as notice of	this p	ossibil	ity. Ai	ny sub	-contr	acted	data v	/ili be	dearly	notati	or no t	ha eno	heinel		

#### Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1402110

13-Feb-14

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

Fields A #7R

Sample ID MB-11576

SampType: MBLK

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

Client ID: PBS

Batch ID: 11576

20

RunNo: 16539

Prep Date: 2/5/2014 Analysis Date: 2/5/2014

SeqNo: 476327

Units: mg/Kg

Analyte

Result PQL

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit

HighLimit

%RPD

**RPDLimit** 

Qual

Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR

ND

SampType: LCS

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

Sample ID LCS-11576 Client ID: LCSS

Batch ID: 11576

100.0

RunNo: 16539 SeqNo: 476328

Units: mg/Kg

Analyte

Prep Date: 2/5/2014

Analysis Date: 2/5/2014 **PQL** 

20

SPK value SPK Ref Val

%REC LowLimit 98.1

HighLimit 120 %RPD

Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR

98

Result

95

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

SeqNo: 476329

%REC

**RPDLimit** 

Qual

Sample ID LCSD-11576

Client ID: LCSS02

SampType: LCSD Batch ID: 11576

Analysis Date: 2/5/2014

RunNo: 16539

LowLimit

80

Units: mg/Kg

**RPDLimit** Qual

Analyte Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR

Prep Date: 2/5/2014

**PQL** SPK value SPK Ref Val 20

100.0

95.3

0

80

HighLimit 120

%RPD 2.92

20

#### Qualifiers:

Ε

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- Value above quantitation range Analyte detected below quantitation limits J
- RSD is greater than RSDlimit 0 RPD outside accepted recovery limits R
- Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits S
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- Sample pH greater than 2. Reporting Detection Limit

P

Page 3 of 7

# Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1402110

13-Feb-14

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Sample ID MB-11569	Tes	tCode: El	A Method	8015D: Dies	el Range (	Organics				
Client ID: PBS	Batc	h ID: 11	569	F	RunNo: 10	6554				
Prep Date: 2/5/2014	Analysis [	Date: <b>2/</b>	6/2014	S	SeqNo: 4	76906	Units: mg/h	(g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	10						-		
Surr: DNOP	6.8		10.00		68.3	66	131			
Sample ID LCS-11569	Samp	Type: LC	s	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8015D: Dies	el Range (	Organics	
Client ID: LCSS	Batc	h ID: 11	569	F	RunNo: 1	6554				
Prep Date: 2/5/2014	Analysis [	Date: <b>2</b> /	6/2014	S	SeqNo: 4	76908	Units: mg/k	<b>(</b> g		
			CDV	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	of Kitter var	701 NE C	CONCINI	· ngiiiiii	701 (1 2	Titl O'LITTIC	Guai
Analyte Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	Result 52	PQL 10	50.00	0	104	60.8	145	70111 2		Quai

#### Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits -J
- RSD is greater than RSDlimit 0
- RPD outside accepted recovery limits R
- Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded Н
- Not Detected at the Reporting Limit ND
- P Sample pH greater than 2.
- Reporting Detection Limit RL

Page 4 of 7

# Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Result

WO#:

1402110

13-Feb-14

Qual

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

Analyte

Fields A #7R

Sample ID MB-11577	SampType: <b>M</b>	BLK	TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range							
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 1	Batch ID: 11577 RunNo: 16565								
Prep Date: 2/5/2014	Analysis Date: 2	5	SeqNo: 47	77086	Units: mg/Kg					
Analyte	Result PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual	
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) Surr: BFB	ND 5.0 840	1000		83.5	74.5	129				
Sample ID LCS-11577	SampType: L	cs	Tes	tCode: <b>EF</b>	PA Method	8015D: Gaso	line Rang	e		
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 1	Batch ID: 11577 RunNo: 16565								
Prep Date: 2/5/2014	Analysis Date: 2	2/6/2014	9	SeqNo: 47	77087	Units: mg/K	g			
Analyte	Result PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual	
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	28 5.0	25.00	0	111	74.5	126				
Surr: BFB	890	1000		88.7	74.5	129				
Sample ID 5ML RB	SampType: <b>M</b>	BLK	Tes	tCode: EF	A Method	8015D: Gaso	line Rang	e		
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: R	16598	F	RunNo: <b>16</b>	6598					
Prep Date:	Analysis Date: 2	7/7/2014	ş	SegNo: 47	78175	Units: %RE0	2			

Surr: BFB	830	1000		83.2	74.5	129			
Sample ID 2.5UG GRO LCS	SampType:	LCS	S TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range						
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID:	R16598	RunNo: 16598						
Prep Date:	Analysis Date:	2/7/2014	5	SeqNo: 4	78176	Units: %RE	c		
Analyte	Result PG	L SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Surr BEB	900	1000		90.2	74.5	129			

%REC

LowLimit

HighLimit

%RPD

**RPDLimit** 

SPK value SPK Ref Val

#### Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- Value above quantitation range Е
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- RSD is greater than RSDlimit O
- RPD outside accepted recovery limits R
- Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- Not Detected at the Reporting Limit ND
- Sample pH greater than 2.
- Reporting Detection Limit RL

Page 5 of 7

## Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1402110

13-Feb-14

Client:	Blagg Engineering
Project:	Fields A #7R

Sample ID MB-11577	Samp <sup>1</sup>	Type: MI	BLK	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	8021B: Vola	tiles		
Client ID: PBS	Batc	h ID: 11	577 RunNo: 16565							
Prep Date: 2/5/2014	Analysis [	Date: 2/	6/2014	9	SeqNo: <b>477123</b> U			Units: mg/Kg		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	ND	0.050								
Toluene	ND	0.050								
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.050								
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.10								
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.90		1.000		90.3	80	120			
Sample ID LCS-11577	Samp	Type: <b>LC</b>	s	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	8021B: Vola	tiles		-
Client ID: LCSS	Batc	h ID: <b>11</b>	577	F	RunNo: 1	6565				
Prep Date: 2/5/2014	Analysis [	Date: <b>2</b> /	6/2014	5	SeqNo: 4	77124	Units: mg/Kg			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	1.1	0.050	1.000	0	111	80	120			
Toluene	1.1	0.050	1.000	0	110	80	120			
Ethylbenzene	1.1	0.050	1.000	0	110	80	120			
Xylenes, Total	3.3	0.10	3.000	0	109	80	120			
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.97		1.000		96.6	80	120			
Sample ID MB-11577	Samp	Type: MI	BLK	TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles						
Client ID: PBS	Batc	h ID: <b>11</b>	577	F	RunNo: 16565					
Prep Date: 2/5/2014	Analysis โ	Date: <b>2</b> /	6/2014	5	SeqNo: 4	77126	Units: mg/H	(g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	ND	0.050								
Toluene	ND	0.050								

Client ID: PBS	Batc	h ID: <b>11</b>	577	F	RunNo: 1	6565					
Prep Date: 2/5/2014	Analysis Date: 2/6/2014			S	SeqNo: 4	77126	Units: mg/Kg				
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual	
Benzene	ND	0.050									
Toluene	ND	0.050									
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.050		•							
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.10									
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.90		1.000		90.3	80	120				

Sample ID LCS-11577	SampT	SampType: LCS TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles								
Client ID: LCSS	Batch	1D: <b>11</b>	577	RunNo: 16565						
Prep Date: <b>2/5/2014</b>	Analysis [	)ate: <b>2/</b>	6/2014	9	SeqNo: 4	77127	Units: mg/k	(g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	1.1	0.050	1.000	0	111	80	120			
Toluene	1.1	0.050	1.000	0	110	80	120			
Ethylbenzene	1.1	0.050	1.000	0	110	80	120			
Xylenes, Total	3.3	0.10	3.000	. 0	109	80	120			
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.97		1.000		96.6	80	120			

#### Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- Ε Value above quantitation range
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- RSD is greater than RSDlimit  $^{\rm o}$
- RPD outside accepted recovery limits R
- Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded Н
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2.
- Reporting Detection Limit

Page 6 of 7

#### Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1402110

13-Feb-14

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

Fields A #7R

Sample ID 5ML RB

SampType: MBLK

TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles

80

Client ID:

PBS

Batch ID: R16598

RunNo: 16598

Analysis Date: 2/7/2014

SeqNo: 478191

Units: %REC

Prep Date: Analyte

Result

HighLimit

Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene

SPK value SPK Ref Val

%REC LowLimit

0.89

1.000

89.3

120

%RPD

**RPDLimit** 

Qual

Sample ID 100NG BTEX LCS

SampType: LCS

TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles

Client ID: LCSS

Batch ID: R16598

RunNo: 16598

Prep Date:

Analysis Date: 2/7/2014

SeqNo: 478192

Units: %REC

Analyte

Result

SPK value SPK Ref Val

%REC

LowLimit HighLimit

**RPDLimit** %RPD

Qual

95.9

80

Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene

0.96

1.000

Page 7 of 7

120

#### Qualifiers:

R

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- Value above quantitation range Ε
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits J  $^{\rm O}$ RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

RPD outside accepted recovery limits

- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
  - Sample pH greater than 2.
- Reporting Detection Limit

P



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107

Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

# Sample Log-In Check List

Client Name: BLAGG	Work Order Number	1402	110			RcptN	lo: 1
Received by/date:	02/04	14					
Logged By: Ashley Gallegos	2/4/2014 10:36:00 AM	'		A	F		
Completed By: Ashley Gailegos 2	2/4/2014 4:49:49 PM			A	}	•	
Reviewed By:	2/5/14			(	)		
Chain of Custody							
Custody seals intact on sample bottles?		Yes		No		Not Present ছ	<u> </u>
2. Is Chain of Custody complete?		Yes	Y	No		Not Present	]
3. How was the sample delivered?		Cour	<u>ier</u>				
<u>Log In</u>							
4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?		Yes	V	No	Ш	NA Ĺ	
5. Were all samples received at a temperature of	of >0° C to 6.0°C	Yes	V	No		NA [	
6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?		Yes	V	No			
7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)	?	Yes	<b>Y</b>	No			
8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly	preserved?	Yes	V	No			
9. Was preservative added to bottles?		Yes		No	V	NA L	1
10.VOA vials have zero headspace?		Yes		No	[]	No VOA Vials 📝	)
11. Were any sample containers received broker	n?	Yes		No	V	# of preserved	
10 -			1772			bottles checked	
12. Does paperwork match bottle labels?  (Note discrepancies on chain of custody)		Yes	<b>Y</b>	No	Ш	for pH:	2 or >12 unless noted)
13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of C	Custody?	Yes	V	No		Adjusted?	
14. Is it clear what analyses were requested?		Yes	$\checkmark$	No			
15. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.)		Yes	V	No		Checked by	· ·
Special Handling (if applicable)							
16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with th	is order?	Yes		No		NA 🗹	
Person Notified:	Date:			THE PROTECTION OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF	-		
By Whom:	Via:	eMa	 ii	Phone	Fax	[ ] In Person	
Regarding:		N. D. C.	***************************************		*******		
Client Instructions:	ZALL SALL SALLSAN SALANSAN SAL	***************************************		The state of the s		**************************************	
17. Additional remarks:						·	;
18. Cooler Information  Cooler No Temp C Condition Sea	ni Intact   Seal No   S	Seal Da	te	Signed B	sy l		
1 1.0 Good Yes		**********					

bp



BP America Production Company 200 Energy Court Farmington, NM 87401 Phone: (505) 326-9200

January 30, 2014

Bureau of Land Management Mark Kelly 6251 College Blvd Suite A Farmington, NM 87402

#### VIA CERTIFIED MAIL - RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Re: Notification of plans to close/remove a below grade tank Well Name: FIELDS A 007R

Dear Mr. Kelly,

As part of the NM "Pit Rule": 19.15.17.13 Closure Requirements, Paragraph J. BP America Production Company (BP) is required to notify the surface owner of BP's plans to close/remove a below grade tank. BP wishes to inform you of our plans to close/remove the below grade tank on its well pad located on your surface. BP plans to commence this work on or about January 30, 2014. If there aren't any unforeseen problems, the work should be completed within 10 working days.

As a point of clarification, BP will be closing the below grade tank and either operating without one or replacing it with an above ground tank, the well site will continue to operate.

Unless you have questions about this notice, there is no need to respond to this letter. If you do have any questions or concerns, please contact me at 505-326-9214

Sincerely,

Jerry Van Riper

Surface Land Negotiator

**BP** America Production Company

#### **BP America Production Company**

200 Energy Court Farmington, NM 87401 Phone: (505) 326-9200

#### SENT VIA E-MAIL TO: BRANDON.POWELL@STATE.NM.US

January 30, 2014

New Mexico Oil Conservation Division 1000 Rio Brazos Road Aztec, New Mexico 87410

RE: Notice of Proposed Below-Grade Tank (BGT) Closure

FIELDS A 007R API 30-045-26996 (G) Section 34 – T32N – R11W San Juan County, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Brandon Powell:

In regards to the captioned subject and requirements of the NMOCD pit rule, this letter is notification that BP is planning to close a 45 bbl BGT and a 95 bbl BGT that will no longer be operational at this well site.

Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact BP at our Farmington office.

Sincerely,

Jeff Peace

BP Field Environmental Advisor

(505) 326-9479



