Form C-144 July 21, 2008

<u>District I</u> 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 District II 1301 W. Grand Avenue, Artesia, NM 88210 District III 1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410 District IV 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico **Energy Minerals and Natural Resources** Department Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

For temporary pits, closed-loop systems, and below-grade tanks, submit to the appropriate NMOCD District Office. For permanent pits and exceptions submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

| 179 ¹ |
|------------------|
| // |

Volume: <u>95.0</u>

Alternative Method:

Tank Construction material: Steel

| Pit, Closed-Loop System, Below-Grade Tank, or |
|--|
| Proposed Alternative Method Permit or Closure Plan Application |
| Type of action: Permit of a pit, closed-loop system, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method Closure of a pit, closed-loop system, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method Modification to an existing permit Closure plan only submitted for an existing permitted or non-permitted pit, closed-loop system, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method Instructions: Please submit one application (Form C-144) per individual pit, closed-loop system, below-grade tank or alternative request |
| |
| Please be advised that approval of this request does not relieve the operator of liability should operations result in pollution of surface water, ground water or the environment. Nor does approval relieve the operator of its responsibility to comply with any other applicable governmental authority's rules, regulations or ordinances. |
| Operator: BP AMERICA PRODUCTION COMPANY OGRID #: 778 |
| Address: 200 Energy Court, Farmington, NM 87401 RCVD MAR 20 '14 |
| Facility or well name: FIELDS A 007R OIL CONS. DIV. |
| API Number: 3004526996 OCD Permit Number: DIST 3 |
| U/L or Qtr/Qtr G Section 34.0 Township 32.0N Range 11W County: San Juan County |
| Center of Proposed Design: Latitude <u>36.943149</u> Longitude <u>-107.975774</u> NAD: ☐1927 🗷 1983 |
| Surface Owner: X Federal State Private Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment |
| Pit: Subsection F or G of 19.15.17.11 NMAC |
| |
| Temporary: Drilling Workover Permanent Emergency Cavitation P&A |
| |
| Lined Unlined Liner type: Thicknessmil LLDPE HDPE PVC Other |
| String-Reinforced |
| Liner Seams: Welded Factory Other Volume: bbl Dimensions: L x W x D |
| 3. Closed-loop System: Subsection H of 19.15.17.11 NMAC |
| Type of Operation: P&A Drilling a new well Workover or Drilling (Applies to activities which require prior approval of a permit or notice of intent) |
| Drying Pad Above Ground Steel Tanks Haul-off Bins Other |
| milLLDPE PVCOther |
| Liner Seams: Welded Factory Other |

Form C-144

■ Below-grade tank: Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Tank ID: A

__bbl Type of fluid: Produced Water

☐ Secondary containment with leak detection ☐ Visible sidewalls, liner, 6-inch lift and automatic overflow shut-off ☐ Visible sidewalls and liner ■ Visible sidewalls only ☐ Other SINGLE WALLED SINGLE BOTTOMED

Oil Conservation Division

Submittal of an exception request is required. Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

Page Lot 5

| | |
|--|-----------------|
| 6. <u>Fencing:</u> Subsection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits, temporary pits, and below-grade tanks) | |
| Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top (Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent residence, school, institution or church) | hospital, |
| Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet | |
| ▼ Alternate. Please specify 4' Hogwire with single barbed wire | |
| 7. | |
| Netting: Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks) | |
| Screen Netting Other | |
| Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible) | |
| 8. | |
| Signs: Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC | |
| 12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers | |
| ■ Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC | |
| 9. Administrative Approvals and Exceptions: | |
| Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance. | |
| Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank: Administrative approval(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district or the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau of the Santa Fe En | office for |
| consideration of approval. | office for |
| Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval. | |
| 10. Siting Criteria (regarding permitting): 19.15.17.10 NMAC | |
| Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of accept | otable source |
| material are provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria may require administrative approval from the appro office or may be considered an exception which must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of a | priate district |
| Applicant must attach justification for request. Please refer to 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance. Siting criteria does not apply to dryi | ing pads or |
| above-grade tanks associated with a closed-loop system. | |
| Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of the temporary pit, permanent pit, or below-grade tank. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells | Yes No |
| Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). | ☐ Yes 🗷 No |
| - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | |
| Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. | ☐ Yes 🗷 No |
| (Applies to temporary, emergency, or cavitation pits and below-grade tanks) - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image | □ NA |
| Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. | ☐ Yes ☐ No |
| (Applies to permanent pits) | ₩ NA |
| - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image Within 500 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring that less than five households use for domestic or stock | ☐ Yes 🗷 No |
| watering purposes, or within 1000 horizontal feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of initial application. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | |
| Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance | ☐ Yes ➤ No |
| adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality | |
| Within 500 feet of a wetland. | |
| - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | ☐ Yes 🗷 No |
| Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division | ☐ Yes 🗷 No |
| Within an unstable area. | ☐ Yes 🗷 No |
| - Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map | |
| Within a 100-year floodplain FEMA map | ☐ Yes 🗷 No |

Form C-144

| Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are |
|--|
| attached. ☐ Hydrogeologic Report (Below-grade Tanks) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC ☐ Hydrogeologic Data (Temporary and Emergency Pits) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC ☐ Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC ☐ Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC ☐ Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC ☐ Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC ☐ and 19.15.17.13 NMAC |
| Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number: |
| Closed-loop Systems Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. |
| Geologic and Hydrogeologic Data (only for on-site closure) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (3) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations (only for on-site closure) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC |
| ☐ Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: |
| ☐ Previously Approved Operating and Maintenance Plan API Number:(Applies only to closed-loop system that use |
| above ground steel tanks or haul-off bins and propose to implement waste removal for closure) |
| 13. Permanent Pits Permit Application Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are |
| attached. Hydrogeologic Report - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19,15.17.9 NMAC |
| Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC |
| ☐ Climatological Factors Assessment ☐ Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC |
| Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Leak Detection Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC |
| Liner Specifications and Compatibility Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC |
| Quality Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installation Plan Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC |
| Freeboard and Overtopping Prevention Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Nuisance or Hazardous Odors, including H ₂ S, Prevention Plan |
| ☐ Emergency Response Plan |
| ☐ Oil Field Waste Stream Characterization ☐ Monitoring and Inspection Plan |
| ☐ Erosion Control Plan |
| Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC |
| Proposed Closure: 19.15.17.13 NMAC |
| Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan. |
| Type: ☐ Drilling ☐ Workover ☐ Emergency ☐ Cavitation ☐ P&A ☐ Permanent Pit ☒ Below-grade Tank ☐ Closed-loop System ☐ Alternative |
| Proposed Closure Method: Waste Excavation and Removal |
| ☐ Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only) ☐ On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems) |
| ☐ In-place Burial ☐ On-site Trench Burial ☐ Alternative Closure Method (Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau for consideration) |
| 15. |
| Waste Excavation and Removal Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. |
| Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC |
| Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings) |
| Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC |
| Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection G of 19.15.17.13 NMAC |

| Waste Removal Closure For Closed-loop Systems That Utilize Above Ground Instructions: Please indentify the facility or facilities for the disposal of liquids, facilities are required. | | |
|--|---|-----------------------|
| Disposal Facility Name: | Disposal Facility Permit Number: | |
| Disposal Facility Name: | Disposal Facility Permit Number: | |
| Will any of the proposed closed-loop system operations and associated activities of Yes (If yes, please provide the information below) \(\subseteq \text{No} \) | ecur on or in areas that will not be used for future serv | vice and operations? |
| Required for impacted areas which will not be used for future service and operatio Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications based upon the appropriate Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection | e requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAG I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC | 2 |
| Siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria may require considered an exception which must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmenta demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17.10 NMAC. | e administrative approval from the appropriate disti I Bureau office for consideration of approval. Justi | rict office or may be |
| Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Dat | a obtained from nearby wells | ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA |
| Ground water is between 50 and 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Dat | a obtained from nearby wells | ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA |
| Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Dat | a obtained from nearby wells | ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA |
| Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other sig lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | nificant watercourse or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa | Yes No |
| Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellita | | ☐ Yes ☐ No |
| Within 500 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring that les watering purposes, or within 1000 horizontal feet of any other fresh water well or s - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (| pring, in existence at the time of initial application. | Yes No |
| Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality: Written approv | • | Yes No |
| Within 500 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visu: | al inspection (certification) of the proposed site | ☐ Yes ☐ No |
| Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining | and Mineral Division | ☐ Yes ☐ No |
| Within an unstable area. - Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geolog, Society; Topographic map | y & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological | ☐ Yes ☐ No |
| Within a 100-year floodplain FEMA map | | Yes No |
| On-Site Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) based upon the appropriate of Construction/Design Plan of Temporary. Pit (for in-place burial of a drying p Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15 Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and d Soil Cover Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection | pairements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Subsection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC propriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC ad) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.1 5.17.13 NMAC priments of Subsection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Subsection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC rill cuttings or in case on-site closure standards cannot of 19.15.17.13 NMAC I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC | 5.17.11 NMAC |
| Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsecti | on G of 19.15.17.13 NMAC | |

| 19. | |
|---|--|
| Operator Application Certification: I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true, according to the control of the control | curate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. |
| Name (Print): Aeffrey Peace | Title: Field Environmental Advisor |
| Signature: Phrey H. Vesce | Date: 06/14/2010 |
| c-mail address: Peace.Jeffery@bp.com | Telephone: _505-326-9479 |
| 20. OCD Approval: ☐ Permit Application (including closure plan) ☐ Closure | Blan (only) OGD Conditions (see attachment) |
| OCD Representative Signature: | prot D. Kelly 4/1/2014 12/11/13 |
| Title: Environmental Engineer | Compliance Office |
| | OCD Perimit Number: |
| 21. Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion): Subsecti Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved closure plan prio The closure report is required to be submitted to the division within 60 days of section of the form until an approved closure plan has been obtained and the | or to implementing any closure activities and submitting the closure report. If the completion of the closure activities. Please do not complete this closure activities have been completed. |
| · | Closure Completion Date: 1-30-2014 |
| 22. Closure Method: Nature Method: On-Site Closure Method ☐ Alte If different from approved plan, please explain. | rnative Closure Method |
| Closure Report Regarding Waste Removal Closure For Closed-loop Syste Instructions: Please indentify the facility or facilities for where the liquids, a two facilities were utilized. | |
| Disposal Facility Name: | Disposal Facility Permit Number: |
| Disposal Facility Name: | Disposal Facility Permit Number: |
| Were the closed-loop system operations and associated activities performed on Yes (If yes, please demonstrate compliance to the items below) No | or in areas that will not be used for future service and operations? |
| Required for impacted areas which will not be used for future service and open Site Reclamation (Photo Documentation) | rations: |
| Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation | |
| Re-vegetation Application Rates and Seeding Technique | |
| Closure Report Attachment Checklist: Instructions: Each of the following | items must be attached to the closure report. Please indicate, by a check |
| mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Proof of Closure Notice (surface owner and division) | |
| Proof of Deed Notice (required for on-site closure) | |
| Plot Plan (for on-site closures and temporary pits) Confirmation Sampling Analytical Results (if applicable) | |
| Waste Material Sampling Analytical Results (required for on-site closur | e) |
| Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation | |
| Re-vegetation Application Rates and Seeding Technique | |
| On-site Closure Location: Latitude 36.943149 Lon | gitude <u>-167.975774</u> NAD: []1927] 1983 |
| 25. Operator Closure Certification: | |
| I hereby certify that the information and attachments submitted with this closur | |
| belief. I also certify that the closure complies with all applicable closure require | |
| Name (Print): Jatt Vegce | Title: Area Gnrivonmental Advisor |
| Signature: Off Panel | Date: March 19, 2014 |
| e-mail address: Degca jettra, @ bl. com | Telephone: (505) 326-9479 |

BP AMERICA PRODUCTION COMPANY

SAN JUAN BASIN, NORTHWEST NEW MEXICO

BELOW-GRADE TANK CLOSURE PLAN

Fields A 7R Tank A (95 bbl) API No. 3004526996 Unit Letter G, Section 34, T32N, R11W

This plan will address the standard protocols and procedures for closure of below-grade tanks (BGTs) on BP America Production Company (BP) well sites. As stipulated in Paragraph A of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall close a BGT within the time periods provided in 19.15.17.13 NMAC, or by an earlier date that the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) requires because of imminent danger to fresh water, public health, safety or the environment. If deviations from this plan are necessary, any specific changes will be included on form C-144 and approved by the NMOCD. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC or is not included in Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC within five years after June 16, 2008, if not retrofit with a BGT that complies with the BP NMOCD approved BGT design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC, if not previously retrofitted to comply with the BP NMOCD approve BGT Design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan, prior to any sale or change in operator pursuant to 19.15.9.9 NMAC. BP shall close the permitted BGT within 60 days of cessation of the BGTs operation or as required by the transitional provisions of Subsection B, D, or E of 19.15.17.17 NMAC.

General Closure Plan

- 1. BP shall notify the surface owner by certified mail that it plans to close a BGT. Evidence of mailing of the notice to the address of the surface owner shown in the county tax records demonstrates compliance with this requirement.

 Notice is attached.
- 2. BP shall notify the division District III office verbally or by other means at least 72 hours, but not more than one (1) week, prior to any closure operation. The notice shall include the operator's name, and the location to be closed by unit letter, section, township and range. If the BGT closure is associated with a particular well, then the

Notice is attached.

- 3. BP shall remove liquids and sludge from the BGT prior to implementing a closure method and dispose of the liquids and sludge in a NMOCD's division-approved facility. The facilities to be used are:
 - a. BP Crouch Mesa Landfarm, Permit NM-02-003 (Solids)
 - b. JFJ Landfarm, Permit NM-01-010(B) (Solids and Sludge)
 - c. Basin Disposal, Permit NM-01-0005 (Liquids)

notice shall also include the well's name, number and API number.

- d. Envirotech Inc Soil Remediation Facility, Permit NM-01-0011 (Solids and Sludge)
- e. BP Operated E.E. Elliott SWD #1, API 30-045-27799 (Liquids)

- f. BP Operated 13 GCU SWD #1, API 30-045-28601 (Liquids)
- g. BP Operated GCU 259 SWD, API 30-045-20006 (Liquids)
- h. BP Operated GCU 306 SWD, API 30-045-24286 (Liquids)
- i. BP Operated GCU 307 SWD, API 30-045-24248 (Liquids)
- j. BP Operated GCU 328 SWD, API 30-045-24735 (Liquids)
- k. BP Operated Pritchard SWD #1, API 30-045-28351 (Liquids)

All liquids and sludge in the BGT were removed and sent to one of the above NMOCD approved facilities for disposal.

4. BP shall remove the BGT and dispose of it in a NMOCD approved facility or recycle, reuse, or reclaim it in a manner that the NMOCD approves. If a liner is present and must be disposed of it will be cleaned by scraping any soils or other attached materials on the liner to a de minimus amount and disposed at a permitted solid waste facility, pursuant to Subparagraph (m) of Paragraph (1) of Subsection C of 19.15.35.8 NMAC. Documentation as to the final disposition of the removed BGT will be provided in the final closure report.

The BGT was transported to a storage area for sale and re-use.

5. BP shall remove any on-site equipment associated with a BGT unless the equipment is required for well production.

All equipment associated with the BGT has been removed.

6. BP shall test the soils beneath the BGT to determine whether a release has occurred. BP shall collect at a minimum: a five (5) point composite sample and individual grab samples from any area that is wet, discolored or showing other evidence of a release and analyze for BTEX, TPH and chlorides. The testing methods for those constituents are as follows;

| Constituents | Testing Method | Release Verification | Sample |
|--------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------|
| | 95 bbl BGT – Tank A | (mg/Kg) | results |
| Benzene | US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B | 0.2 | ND |
| Total BTEX | US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B | 50 | ND |
| TPH | US EPA Method SW-846 418.1 | 100 | ND |
| Chlorides | US EPA Method 300.0 or 4500B | 250 or background | 310 |

Notes: mg/Kg = milligram per kilogram, BTEX = benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes, TPH = total petroleum hydrocarbons. Other EPA methods that the division approves may be applied to all constituents listed. Chloride closure standards will be determined by which ever concentration level is greatest.

Soil under the BGT's was sampled and TPH and BTEX were below the stated limits. Chloride was 310 mg/kg, which is above the previous standard of 250 mg/kg, but is below the new standard of 600 mg/kg. Sampling data is attached.

7. BP shall notify the division District III office of its results on form C-141.

8. If it is determined that a release has occurred, then BP will comply with 19.15.30 NMAC and 19.15.29 NMAC, as appropriate.

Sampling results indicate no release occurred.

9. If the sampling demonstrates that a release has not occurred or that any release does not exceed the concentrations specified above, then BP shall backfill the excavation, with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material; construct a division-prescribed soil cover, re-contour and re-vegetate the location. The location will be reclaimed if it is not with in the active process area

The area under the BGT was backfilled with clean soil and is still within the active well area.

10. BP shall reclaim the BGT location and all areas associated with the BGT including associated access roads to a safe and stable condition that blends with the surrounding undisturbed area. BP shall substantially restore the impacted surface area to the condition that existed prior to oil and gas operations by placement of the soil cover as provided in Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, re-contour the location and associated areas to a contour that approximates the original contour and blends with the surrounding topography and re-vegetate according to Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC.

The area under the BGT is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

11. The soil cover for closures where the BGT has been removed or remediated to the NMOCD's satisfaction shall consist of the background thickness of topsoil or one foot of suitable material to establish vegetation at the site, whichever is greater. The soil cover will be constructed to the site's existing grade and all practicable efforts will be made to prevent ponding of water and erosion of the cover material.

The area under the BGT is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

12. BP shall seed the disturbed area the first growing season after closure of the BGT. Seeding will be accomplished by drilling on the contour whenever practical or by other division-approved methods. Vegetative cover will be, at a minimum, 70% of the native perennial vegetative cover (un-impacted by overgrazing, fire or other intrusion damaging to native vegetation), consisting of at least three native plant species, including at least one grass, but not including noxious weeds, and maintenance of that cover through two successive growing seasons. During the two growing seasons that prove viability, there shall be no artificial irrigation of the vegetation.

The area under the BGT is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

13. BP shall seed, plant and re-seed pursuant to Paragraph (3) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, until the location successfully achieves the required vegetative cover

BP will seed the area when the well is plugged and abandoned.

14. Pursuant to Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall notify the NMOCD when it has seeded or planted and when it successfully achieves revegetation.

BP will notify NMOCD when re-vegetation is successful.

- 15. Within 60 days of closure completion, BP shall submit a closure report on NMOCD's form C-144, and will include the following;
 - a. proof of closure notification (surface owner and NMOCD)
 - b. sampling analytical reports; information required by 19.15.17 NMAC;
 - c. disposal facility name and permit number
 - d. details on back-filling, capping, covering, and where applicable re-vegetation application rates and seeding techniques and
 - e. site reclamation, photo documentation.

 Closure report on C-144 form is included.
- 16. BP shall certify that all information in the report and attachments is accurate, truthful, and compliant with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.

Certification section of C-144 has been completed.

District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

Revised August 8, 2011

bmit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in

Form C-141

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505 Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

| | | | Kele | ease Nothic | ation | and Co | rrective A | ction | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|---------------------------------|--|--|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| | | | | | | OPERA | TOR . | Γ | Initia | al Report 🛛 Final Repo |
| Name of Co | mpany: B | P | | | | Contact: Jef | f Peace | | | |
| Address: 20 | 0 Energy (| Court, Farmi | ngton, N | M 87401 | | | | | | |
| Facility Nan | ne: Fields | A 7R | | | | Facility Typ | e: Natural gas v | vell | | |
| Surface Ow | ner: Feder | al | | Mineral O | wner: I | Federal | | | API No | . 3004526996 |
| | | | | LOCA | TION | OF REI | LEASE | | | |
| Unit Letter G | Section 34 | Township 32N | Range 11W | Feet from the 1,965 | North/S North | South Line | Feet from the 2,060 | East/We East | est Line | County: San Juan |
| | | Latit | ude36 | - | upr. | | | | | |
| Tymo of Dolor | 250, 2020 | | | NAI | UKE | | | | Valore D | 1. NI/A |
| | | v orade tank _ | 95 bbl. T | ank A | | | | | | |
| | case. ociov | · grade tank | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | | N/A | oar or occurrenc | · . | Date and) | riodi di Discovery. NA |
| Was Immedia | ate Notice (| | Yes [| No ⊠ Not Re | quired | If YES, To | Whom? | | | |
| By Whom? | | | | | | | | * | | |
| Was a Watero | course Reac | | Yes 🛚 | l No | | If YES, Vo | lume Impacting t | he Water | course. | |
| If a Watercou | rse was Im | pacted, Descri | be Fully.* | • | | l | | | | |
| Describe Cau | se of Proble | em and Remed | lial Action | ı Taken.* Samplin | g of the | soil beneath | the BGT was do | ne during | removal t | o ensure no soil impacts from |
| | | | | | | ride was 310 | mg/kg, which is | above the | e previous | standard of 250 mg/kg, but is |
| | | | | | noved a | nd the area u | nderneath the BG | T was sai | mpled. Th | ne area under the BGT was |
| regulations al public health should their o or the enviror | I operators or the envir perations homent. In a | are required to conment. The ave failed to a ddition, NMO | report ar acceptance dequately CD accep | nd/or file certain re te of a C-141 report investigate and re | lease no t by the mediate | otifications ar NMOCD ma contamination | nd perform correct arked as "Final Roon that pose a three | tive actio eport" do eat to gro | ons for rele es not reli- und water | eases which may endanger eve the operator of liability , surface water, human health |
| Λ | 00 (|) | | | | | OIL CONS | SERVA | ATION | <u>DIVISION</u> |
| Signature: | ago P | apel | | | | A managed be- | Environmental C | manialist. | | |
| Name of Company: BP Contact: Jeff Peace Address: 200 Bergy Court, Farmington, NM 87401 Telephone Nos: 305-326-0479 Facility Name: Fields A 7R Surface Owner: Federal LOCATION OF RELEASE Unit Letter Section Township Range Feet from the North/South Line Feet from the 2,066 East LOCATION OF RELEASE Location Section Township Range Feet from the North/South Line Feet from the East/West Line County: San Juan Range Section S | | | | | | | | | | |
| Title: Field E | nvironment | al Advisor | | | / | Approval Dat | e: | E | xpiration [| Date: |
| E-mail Addre | ss: peace.je | Court, Farmington, NM 87401 A 7R Tal | | | (| Conditions of | Approval: | | | Attached |
| Date: March | 19, 2014 | | Phone: | 505-326-9479 | | | | | · · | |

^{*} Attach Additional Sheets If Necessary

| CLIENT: BP | P.O. BOX 87, B | • | | API #: 3004526996 TANK ID (if applicble): A & B |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------|--|
| FIELD REPORT: | <u> </u> | • | HER: | PAGE #: 1 of 1 |
| | | | N. 4 B. 4 | DATE STARTED: 01/30/14 |
| | | | | DATE FINISHED: |
| l | | FLKHORN | FEE / INDIAN | ENVIRONMENTAL SPECIALIST(S): NJV |
| | | | Y 107 07547 | GLELEV: 6 114' |
| 1) 95 BGT (SW/SB) - A | GPS COORD : 36 | .943149 X 107,975774 | | 401 00001 |
| 45.505 (014455) | | | | 1001-110011 |
| 3) | | | | |
| 4) | GP\$ COORD.: | | DISTANCE/BEAI | RING FROM W.H.: |
| SAMPLING DATA: | CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD(S) # C | DR LAB USED: HALL | | OVM READING |
| | 95) SAMPLE DATE:01/30 | | - | |
| | | | | ` ' |
| 3) SAMPLE ID: | SAMPLE DATE: | SAMPLE TIME: L | .AB ANALYSIS: | |
| 4) SAMPLE ID: | SAMPLE DATE: | SAMPLE TIME: | .AB ANALYSIS: | |
| SOIL DESCRIPTION | SOIL TYPE: SAND SILTY SAND | SILT / SILTY CLAY / CLAY GRAVEL | /OTHER | |
| | | | | OHESIVE MEDIUM PLASTIC / HIGHLY PLASTIC |
| | | DENSITY (COHESIVE CLAYS & S | ILTS): SOFT / FIRM | |
| | | HC ODOR DETECTED: YES (NO E | XPLANATION - | |
| | | ANY AREAS DISPLAYING WETNESS | S: YES / NO EXPLAN | IATION - ALBORIA TERRITORIA |
| DISCOLORATION/STAINING OBSERVED: YES/ | NO EXPLANATION - TO BOT MEDIUM | | | |
| 1 | | | | |
| | | | BGT ONLY. | |
| COLL IMPACT DIMENSION ESTIMATION | . NA & Y NA | e Y NA e | EVCAVATION EST | TMATION (Cubic Vorde): NA |
| *** | | NEAREST SURFACE WATER: | | 4 000 |
| | | | | |
| 0112 0112 011 | \ | O TEOTIENT CHOICE | | |
| P.O. BOX 87, BLOOMFIELD, NM 87413 (505) 632-1199 Cicide one; BGT CONFIRMATION RELEASE INVESTIGATION OTHER: PAGE #: 1 of 1 SITE INFORMATION: SITE MAILE FIELDS A #7R DATE STARTED DATE | | | | |
| | | | | TANK ID f applicble): A & B PAGE #: 1 of 1 DATE STARTED: 01/30/14 DATE FINISHED: INVIRONMENTAL SPECIALIST(S): NJV GL ELEV:: 6,114' 92', S88W FROM WH.: G FROM WH.: G FROM WH.: G FROM WH.: G FROM WH.: ISB/8021B/300.0 (CI) NA H3D/3021B/300.0 (CI) NA H3D/3021B/300 |
| RERM - 1 | | | 1,0 | |
| DENIII | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| \ | | | Pe | ermit date(s): 06/14/10 |
| (95) | W.H. | | | |
| PBGTL | | | <u>ID</u> | ppm = parts per million |
| \ \ \ / / | | | | |
| | | | - J.P.D. | |
| NOTES: BGT = BELOW-GRADE TANK; E.D. = EXCAVATI T.B. = TANK BOTTOM: PBGTL = PREVIOUS RFI | ON DEPRESSION; B.G. = BELOW GRADE; B = BELOW-GRADE | ::LOW; | ALL NOT I | 0 |
| APPLICABLE OR NOT AVAILABLE; SW - SINGL | <u>E WALL; DW - DOUBLE WALL; SB - SINGLE BOT</u> | TOM; DB - DOUBLE BOTTOM. | | agricus decimation. TO E |
| NOTES: GOOGLE EARTH IMAGE | RY DATE: 11/17/13 | ONSITE: 01/30 | /14 | |

Analytical Report

Lab Order 1402110

Date Reported: 2/13/2014

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Blagg Engineering

Project:

Fields A #7R

Lab ID: 1402110-001

Client Sample ID: 5PC-TB@4'(95)

Collection Date: 1/30/2014 12:50:00 PM

Received Date: 2/4/2014 10:36:00 AM

| Analyses | Result | RL Qu | ial Units | DF | Date Analyzed | Batch |
|-------------------------------|-------------|----------|-----------|----|----------------------|--------|
| EPA METHOD 8015D: DIESEL RANG | SE ORGANICS | - | | | Analys | t: BCN |
| Diesel Range Organics (DRO) | ND | 9.9 | mg/Kg | 1 | 2/6/2014 7:27:32 PM | 11569 |
| Surr: DNOP | 89.6 | 66-131 | %REC | 1 | 2/6/2014 7:27:32 PM | 11569 |
| EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RA | ANGE | | | | Analys | t: JMP |
| Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) | ND | 4.8 | mg/Kg | 1 | 2/6/2014 6:45:42 PM | 11577 |
| Surr: BFB | 83.9 | 74.5-129 | %REC | 1 | 2/6/2014 6:45:42 PM | 11577 |
| EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES | | | | | Analys | t: JMP |
| Benzene | ND | 0.048 | mg/Kg | 1 | 2/6/2014 6:45:42 PM | 11577 |
| Toluene | ND | 0.048 | mg/Kg | 1 | 2/6/2014 6:45:42 PM | 11577 |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.048 | mg/Kg | 1 | 2/6/2014 6:45:42 PM | 11577 |
| Xylenes, Total | ND | 0.096 | mg/Kg | 1 | 2/6/2014 6:45:42 PM | 11577 |
| Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 90.6 | 80-120 | %REC | 1 | 2/6/2014 6:45:42 PM | 11577 |
| EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS | | | | | Analys | t: JRR |
| Chloride | 310 | 30 | mg/Kg | 20 | 2/7/2014 12:27:09 PM | 11622 |
| EPA METHOD 418.1: TPH | | | | | Analys | t: BCN |
| Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR | ND | 20 | mg/Kg | 1 | 2/6/2014 | 11576 |
| | | | | | | |

Matrix: SOIL

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- 0 RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

Page 1 of 7

- Sample pH greater than 2. P
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

| WOA) -300.0 / water - 300.1) est e site sample |
|--|
| r-300.1) |
| r-300.1) |
| |
| |
| 00.0 / wa |
| 90.0 S |
| |
| Sosit 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| 8250B (VOA) 8270 (Semi-VOA) Chloride (soil - 300.0 / wate Grab sample 5 pt. composite sample |
| VV |
| |
| |
| 11111 |
| |
| +++++ |
| |
| |
| - - - |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| NINA 97404 |
| , NM 87401 |
| |

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1402110

13-Feb-14

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

Fields A #7R

Sample ID MB-11576

SampType: MBLK

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

Client ID: PBS

Batch ID: 11576

RunNo: 16539

Prep Date: 2/5/2014

Analysis Date: 2/5/2014 PQL

SeqNo: 476327

Units: mg/Kg

%RPD

Analyte

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit

HighLimit

RPDLimit Qual

Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR

ND 20

Sample ID LCS-11576

SampType: LCS

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

Client ID: LCSS

Batch ID: 11576

RunNo: 16539

Prep Date: 2/5/2014

Analysis Date: 2/5/2014

SeqNo: 476328

Units: mg/Kg

%RPD

Analyte Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR Result

95

SPK value SPK Ref Val 20 100.0

%REC 98.1

LowLimit

HighLimit 120 **RPDLimit**

Qual

Sample ID LCSD-11576

SampType: LCSD LCSS02

Batch ID: 11576

RunNo: 16539

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1; TPH

Prep Date:

Client ID:

2/5/2014

Analysis Date: 2/5/2014

SeqNo: 476329

Units: mg/Kg

Analyte Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR Result **PQL**

20

SPK value SPK Ref Val 100.0

%REC

LowLimit

HighLimit

%RPD 2.92

RPDLimit

Qualifiers:

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

Value above quantitation range Е

Analyte detected below quantitation limits O RSD is greater than RSDlimit

RPD outside accepted recovery limits R

В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

Sample pH greater than 2.

Reporting Detection Limit

Page 3 of 7

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1402110 13-Feb-14

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

Fields A #7R

| Sample ID MB-11569 | SampType: MBLK | | | TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------|-----------|---|------------------|--------------|-----------|------|----------|------|
| Client ID: PBS | Batch ID: 11569 RunN | | | RunNo: 1 | lo: 16554 | | | | | |
| Prep Date: 2/5/2014 | Analysis D | ate: 2/ | 6/2014 | SeqNo: 476906 | | Units: mg/Kg | | | | |
| Analyte | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Diesel Range Organics (DRO) | ND | 10 | | | | | | | | |
| Surr: DNOP | 6.8 | | 10.00 | | 68.3 | 66 | 131 | | | |

| Sample ID LCS-11569 | SampType: LCS | | | TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|-----------|---|---------------|----------|-----------|------------|----------|------|--|--|
| Client ID: LCSS | Batcl | n ID: 11: | 569 | F | 6554 | | | | | | | |
| Prep Date: 2/5/2014 | Analysis D |)ate: 2/ | 6/2014 | S | SeqNo: 476908 | | | (g | | | | |
| Analyte | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual | | |
| Diesel Range Organics (DRO) | 52 | 10 | 50.00 | 0 | 104 | 60.8 | 145 | | | | | |
| Surr: DNOP | 4.3 | | 5.000 | | 86.7 | 66 | 131 | | | | | |

| Our | ıi | fi | a | re |
|-----|----|----|---|----|

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 4 of 7

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

900

1000

WO#:

1402110

13-Feb-14

Client:

Blagg Engineering

| Project: Fields A | #7R | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|------------------|--|--------------|-------|-----------|------|--|--|
| Sample ID MB-11577 | SampType: MBLK | Те | TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range | | | | | | |
| Client ID: PBS | Batch ID: 11577 | | RunNo: 16565 | | | | | | |
| Prep Date: 2/5/2014 | Analysis Date: 2/6/2014 | | SeqNo: 477086 | Units: mg/Kg | | | | | |
| Analyte | Result PQL SPK v | alue SPK Ref Val | %REC LowLimit | HighLimit ' | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual | | |
| Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) Surr: BFB | ND 5.0 840 1 | 000 | 83.5 74.5 | 129 | | | | | |
| Sample ID LCS-11577 | SampType: LCS TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range | | | | | | | | |
| Client ID: LCSS | Batch ID: 11577 | | RunNo: 16565 | | | | | | |
| Prep Date: 2/5/2014 | Analysis Date: 2/6/2014 | | SeqNo: 477087 | Units: mg/Kg | | | 1 | | |
| Analyte | Result PQL SPK v | alue SPK Ref Val | %REC LowLimit | HighLimit ' | %RPD_ | RPDLimit | Qual | | |
| Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) | | 5.00 0 | 111 74.5 | 126 | | | | | |
| Surr: BFB | 890 1 | 000 | 88.7 74.5 | 129 | | · · · · · | | | |
| Sample ID 5ML RB | SampType: MBLK TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range | | | | | | | | |
| Client ID: PBS | Batch ID: R16598 RunNo: 16598 | | | | | | | | |
| Prep Date: | Analysis Date: 2/7/2014 | | SeqNo: 478175 | Units: %REC | | | | | |
| Analyte | Result PQL SPK v | alue SPK Ref Val | %REC LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual | | |
| Surr: BFB | 830 1 | 000 | 83.2 74.5 | 129 | | _ | | | |
| Sample ID 2.5UG GRO LCS | SampType: LCS TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range | | | | | | | | |
| Client ID: LCSS | Batch ID: R16598 | | RunNo: 16598 | | | | | | |
| Prep Date: | Analysis Date: 2/7/2014 | | SeqNo: 478176 | Units: %REC | | | | | |
| Analyte . | Result PQL SPK va | alue SPK Ref Val | %REC LowLimit | HighLimit 9 | %RPD_ | RPDLimit | Qual | | |

Qualifiers:

Surr: BFB

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

90.2

74.5

129

- P Sample pH greater than 2.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 5 of 7

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1402110

13-Feb-14

Client:

Blagg Engineering

| Project: Fields . | A #7R | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|--|-----------------|----------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|-------|----------|------|--|
| Sample ID MB-11577 | SampType: MBLK | | | Tes | TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles | | | | | | |
| Client ID: PBS | Batch ID: 11577 | | RunNo: 16565 | | | | | | | | |
| Prep Date: 2/5/2014 | Analysis Date: 2/6/2014 | | SeqNo: 477123 | | | Units: mg/Kg | | | | | |
| Analyte | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual | |
| Benzene | ND | 0.050 | | | - | | | | | | |
| Toluene | ND | 0.050 | | | | | | | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.050 | | | | | | | | | |
| Xylenes, Total | ND | 0.10 | | | | | | | | | |
| Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 0.90 | | 1.000 | | 90.3 | 80 | 120 | _ | | | |
| Sample ID LCS-11577 | SampT | ype: LC | s | Tes | TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles | | | | | | |
| Client ID: LCSS | Batch ID: 11577 | | F | RunNo: 1 | 6565 | | | | | | |
| Prep Date: 2/5/2014 | Analysis D | oate: 2/ | 6/2014 | 5 | SeqNo: 4 | 77124 | Units: mg/k | (g | | | |
| Analyte | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual | |
| Benzene | 1.1 | 0.050 | 1.000 | 0 | 111 | 80 | 120 | | | | |
| Toluene | 1.1 | 0.050 | 1.000 | 0 | 110 | 80 | 120 | | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | 1.1 | 0.050 | 1.000 | 0 | 110 | 80 | 120 | | | | |
| Xylenes, Total | 3.3 | 0.10 | 3.000 | 0 | 109 | 80 | 120 | | | | |
| Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 0.97 | | 1.000 | | 96.6 | 80 | 120 | | | | |
| Sample ID MB-11577 | SampType: MBLK TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles . | | | | | | | | | | |
| Client ID: PBS | Batch | n ID: 11: | 577 | RunNo: 16565 | | | | | | | |
| Prep Date: 2/5/2014 | Analysis D | ate: 2/ | 6/2014 | S | SeqNo: 4 | 77126 | Units: mg/k | (g | | | |
| Analyte | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual | |
| Benzene | ND | 0.050 | | | _ | | | | | | |
| Toluene | ND | 0.050 | | | | | | | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.050 | | | | | | | | | |
| Xylenes, Total | ND | 0.10 | | | | | | | | | |
| Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 0.90 | | 1.000 | | 90.3 | 80 | 120 | | | | |
| Sample ID LCS-11577 | SampT | ype: LC | s | Tes | tCode: E | PA Method | 8021B: Volat | tiles | | | |
| Client ID: LCSS | Batch | n ID: 11 | 577 | F | RunNo: 1 | 6565 | | | | | |
| Prep Date: 2/5/2014 | Analysis D | ate: 2/ | 6/2014 | S | SeqNo: 4 | 77127 | Units: mg/K | (g | | | |
| Analyte | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual | |
| Benzene | 1.1 | 0.050 | 1.000 | 0 | 111 | 80 | 120 | | | | |
| Toluene | 1.1 | 0.050 | 1.000 | 0 | - 110 | 80 | 120 | | - | | |
| Ethylbenzene | 1.1 | 0.050 | 1.000 | 0 | 110 | . 80 | . 120 | | | | |
| Xylenes, Total | 3.3 | 0.10 | 3.000 | 0 | 109 | 80 | 120 | | | | |
| Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 0.97 | | 1.000 | | 96.6 | 80 | 120 | | | | |

Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits J
- RSD is greater than RSDlimit 0
- RPD outside accepted recovery limits R
- Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank В
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2.
- RLReporting Detection Limit

Page 6 of 7

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1402110

13-Feb-14

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

Fields A #7R

Sample ID 5ML RB

SampType: MBLK

TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles

80

TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles

LowLimit

Client ID: PBS

Batch ID: R16598

RunNo: 16598

Analysis Date: 2/7/2014

SeqNo: 478191

Units: %REC

Prep Date: Analyte

Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene

Result 0.89

SPK value SPK Ref Val 1.000

%REC LowLimit HighLimit

120

%RPD

RPDLimit

Qual

Sample ID 100NG BTEX LCS

SampType: LCS

89.3

Client ID: LCSS Batch ID: R16598

RunNo: 16598

Prep Date:

Analysis Date: 2/7/2014

SeqNo: 478192

Units: %REC

Analyte

Result

%REC

80

HighLimit %RPD Qual

Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene

0.96

1.000

SPK value SPK Ref Val

95.9

RPDLimit

120

Qualifiers:

S

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

Value above quantitation range E

Analyte detected below quantitation limits J

RSD is greater than RSDlimit O

RPD outside accepted recovery limits R

В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded Н

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

Page 7 of 7

Sample pH greater than 2.

Reporting Detection Limit



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109

TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

Sample Log-In Check List

| Client Name: BLAGG Wor | k Order Number: | 14021 | 10 | | RcptNo | o: 1 |
|--|--|---|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Received by/date: | 2/04/ | 中 | | | | |
| Logged By: Ashley Gallegos 2/4/20 | 14 10:36:00 AM | ' | | A | | |
| Completed By: Ashley Gallegos 2/4/20 | 14 4:49:49 PM | | | A | 1 | |
| Reviewed By: | 5/14 | | | . 0 | | ; ; |
| Chain of Custody | Z.iL | | | | | |
| Custody seals intact on sample bottles? | | Yes | | No 🗆 | Not Present ✓ | j |
| 2. Is Chain of Custody complete? | | Yes | V | No 🗆 | Not Present | |
| 3. How was the sample delivered? | | Courie | €T. | | | |
| <u>Log In</u> | | | | | | |
| 4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? | · | Yes | Y | No L | NA L | - |
| 5. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° | ' C to 6.0°C | Yes | Ž | No 🗍 | NA 🗀 | |
| 6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? | | Yes | ¥ | No 🗆 | | |
| 7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? | | Yes | y | No 🗌 | | |
| 8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly prese | erved? | Yes | y | No 🗔 | | |
| 9. Was preservative added to bottles? - | | Yes | | No 🗹 | NA . | |
| 10.VOA vials have zero headspace? | | Yes | | No 🗔 | No VOA Vials | |
| 11. Were any sample containers received broken? | | Yes | | No 🗹 | # of preserved | |
| 40 | | | r::=1 | 🗆 | bottles checked | |
| 12. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) | | Yes | ¥ | No 📖 | for pH: | or >12 unless noted) |
| 13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custoo | ly? | Yes | ✓ | No 🗌 | Adjusted? | |
| 14 is it clear what analyses were requested? | | Yes | V | No 🗌 | | |
| 15. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.) | | Yes | y | No 🗔 | Checked by: | |
| Special Handling (if applicable) | | | | | | |
| 16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this ord | er? | Yes | | No 🗔 | NA 🛂 | |
| Person Notified: | Date: | | terminanti | and the state of the second | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | - |
| By Whom: | Via: | eMai | | Phone Fax | In Person | |
| Regarding: | Communication and the second s | | 10.00.0re | | | |
| Client Instructions: | | *************************************** | LOCKET IN THE | Contract of the contract of th | | |
| 17. Additional remarks: | # 1 1 | | | | | i |
| 18. Cooler Information | | | | | | |
| Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seal Inta | ct Seal No . | Seal Dat | e | Signed By | 4 | |
| 1 1.0 Good Yes | | | i | and the second s | | |





BP America Production Company 200 Energy Court Farmington, NM 87401 Phone: (505) 326-9200

January 30, 2014

Bureau of Land Management Mark Kelly 6251 College Blvd Suite A Farmington, NM 87402

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL - RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Re: Notification of plans to close/remove a below grade tank

Well Name: FIELDS A 007R

Dear Mr. Kelly,

As part of the NM "Pit Rule": 19.15.17.13 Closure Requirements, Paragraph J. BP America Production Company (BP) is required to notify the surface owner of BP's plans to close/remove a below grade tank. BP wishes to inform you of our plans to close/remove the below grade tank on its well pad located on your surface. BP plans to commence this work on or about January 30, 2014. If there aren't any unforeseen problems, the work should be completed within 10 working days.

As a point of clarification, BP will be closing the below grade tank and either operating without one or replacing it with an above ground tank, the well site will continue to operate.

Unless you have questions about this notice, there is no need to respond to this letter. If you do have any questions or concerns, please contact me at 505-326-9214

Sincerely,

Jerry Van Riper

Surface Land Negotiator

BP America Production Company

BP America Production Company

200 Energy Court Farmington, NM 87401 Phone: (505) 326-9200

SENT VIA E-MAIL TO: BRANDON.POWELL@STATE.NM.US

January 30, 2014

New Mexico Oil Conservation Division 1000 Rio Brazos Road Aztec, New Mexico 87410

RE: Notice of Proposed Below-Grade Tank (BGT) Closure

FIELDS A 007R API 30-045-26996 (G) Section 34 – T32N – R11W San Juan County, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Brandon Powell:

In regards to the captioned subject and requirements of the NMOCD pit rule, this letter is notification that BP is planning to close a 45 bbl BGT and a 95 bbl BGT that will no longer be operational at this well site.

Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact BP at our Farmington office.

Sincerely,

Jeff Peace

BP Field Environmental Advisor

(505) 326-9479



