District 1
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
1301 W. Grand Avenue, Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources Department

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505 For temporary pits, closed-loop systems, and below-grade tanks, submit to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.
For permanent pits and exceptions submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

1	Pit, Closed-Loop System, Below-Grade Tank, or
a la	Proposed Alternative Method Permit or Closure Plan Application
Please be advised th	Type of action: Permit of a pit, closed-loop system, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method Closure of a pit, closed-loop system, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method Modification to an existing permit Closure plan only submitted for an existing permitted or non-permitted pit, closed-loop system, below-grade tank; or proposed alternative method ons: Please submit one application (Form C-144) per individual pit, closed-loop system, below-grade tank or alternative request at approval of this request does not relieve the operator of liability should operations result in pollution of surface water, ground water or the loes approval relieve the operator of its responsibility to comply with any other applicable governmental authority's rules, regulations or ordinances.
1.	
	MERICA PRODUCTION COMPANY OGRID #:778 nergy Court, Farmington, NM 87401
	04507244 OCD Permit Number:
<u> </u>	
U/L or Qtr/Qtr	Section 21.0 Township 28.0N Range 08W County: San Juan County
	ed Design: Latitude 36.64252 Longitude -107.69172 NAD: ☐1927 × 1983
Surface Owner:	▼ Federal ☐ State ☐ Private ☐ Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment
Temporary: Permanent Lined Un String-Reinfort Liner Seams: 3. Closed-loop Strype of Operation intent) Drying Pad Temporary: In Permanent In	OIL CONS. DIV DIST. 3 Emergency Cavitation P&A
Liner Seams:	Welded Factory Other
Volume: 95.0 Tank Construction Secondary co	tank: Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Tank ID: A
5. Alternative N	fethod:

Submittal of an exception request is required. Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. □ Hydrogeologic Report (Below-grade Tanks) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC □ Hydrogeologic Data (Temporary and Emergency Pits) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC □ Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC □ Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC □ Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC □ Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC □ Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number:
Closed-loop Systems Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Geologic and Hydrogeologic Data (only for on-site closure) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (3) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations (only for on-site closure) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC
Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number:
Previously Approved Operating and Maintenance Plan API Number: (Applies only to closed-loop system that use above ground steel tanks or haul-off bins and propose to implement waste removal for closure)
13.
Permanent Pits Permit Application Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Hydrogeologic Report - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Climatological Factors Assessment Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Liner Specifications and Compatibility Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Quality Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installation Plan Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Freeboard and Overtopping Prevention Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Nuisance or Hazardous Odors, including H ₂ S, Prevention Plan Emergency Response Plan Oil Field Waste Stream Characterization Monitoring and Inspection Plan Erossion Control Plan Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC
Proposed Closure: 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan.
Type: Drilling Workover Emergency Cavitation P&A Permanent Pit Below-grade Tank Closed-loop System
☐ Alternative Proposed Closure Method: ☑ Waste Excavation and Removal
Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only) On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems)
☐ In-place Burial ☐ On-site Trench Burial
Alternative Closure Method (Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau for consideration)
Waste Excavation and Removal Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. ➤ Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC ➤ Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC ➤ Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings) ➤ Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC ➤ Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection G of 19.15.17.13 NMAC

Fencing: Subsection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits, temporary pits, and below-grade tanks)	
Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top (Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent residence, school, institution or church)	hospital,
Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet	
Alternate. Please specify 4' Hogwire with single barbed wire	
Netting: Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks) Screen Netting Other Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)	
8.	
Signs: Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC	
12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers	
Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC	
Administrative Approvals and Exceptions: Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance. Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank: Administrative approval(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district or the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau consideration of approval. Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.	office for
Siting Criteria (regarding permitting): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of acceptant are provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria may require administrative approval from the approoffice or may be considered an exception which must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of a Applicant must attach justification for request. Please refer to 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance. Siting criteria does not apply to dry above-grade tanks associated with a closed-loop system.	priate district pproval.
Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of the temporary pit, permanent pit, or below-grade tank. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes 🗷 No
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes 🗷 No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. (Applies to temporary, emergency, or cavitation pits and below-grade tanks) - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ➤ No ☐ NA
Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. (Applies to permanent pits)	☐ Yes ☐ No ■ NA
- Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes 🗷 No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring that less than five households use for domestic or stock watering purposes, or within 1000 horizontal feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of initial application. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	Yes 🗷 No
Within 500 feet of a wetland US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes 🗷 No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	☐ Yes 🗵 No
Within an unstable area. - Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map	☐ Yes ⊠ No
Within a 100-year floodplain FEMA map	☐ Yes 🗷 No

Waste Removal Closure For Closed-loop Systems That Utilize Above Ground Steel Tanks or Haul-off Bins Only: (19.15.17.13.1 Instructions: Please indentify the facility or facilities for the disposal of liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings. Use attachment if facilities are required.	
Disposal Facility Name: Disposal Facility Permit Number:	
Disposal Facility Name: Disposal Facility Permit Number:	
Will any of the proposed closed-loop system operations and associated activities occur on or in areas that <i>will not</i> be used for future ser Yes (If yes, please provide the information below) No	vice and operations?
Required for impacted areas which will not be used for future service and operations: Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMA Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection G of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	С
Siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendations of acceptable sou provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria may require administrative approval from the appropriate disting considered an exception which must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval. Just demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance.	trict office or may be
Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Ground water is between 50 and 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes No
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring that less than five households use for domestic or stock watering purposes, or within 1000 horizontal feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of initial application. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	☐ Yes ☐ No
 Within an unstable area. Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map 	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within a 100-year floodplain FEMA map	☐ Yes ☐ No
On-Site Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plan check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Proof of Surface Owner Notice - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Construction/Design Plan of Temporary Pit (for in-place burial of a drying pad) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19. Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Waste Material Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings or in case on-site closure standards cann Soil Cover Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	15.17.11 NMAC
Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection G of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	

Operator Application Certification: I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true, accu	rate and complete to the best of my knowledge and bolief
Name (Print): Jeffrey Peace	Title: Field Environmental Advisor
Signature:	Date: 6/10/10
e-mail address: Peace.Jeffery@bp.com	Telephone: 505-326-9479
20. OCD Approval: ☐ Permit Application (including closure plan Closure)	Han_(only) ☐ QCD Conditions (see attachment)
OCD Representative Signature:	Kelly 5/2/2014
Title: Envianced France	Compliance Office
Title:	OCD Permit Number:
21. Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion): Subsection Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved closure plan prior The closure report is required to be submitted to the division within 60 days of section of the form until an approved closure plan has been obtained and the o	to implementing any closure activities and submitting the closure report. the completion of the closure activities. Please do not complete this
22.	
Closure Method:	native Closure Method
Closure Report Regarding Waste Removal Closure For Closed-loop System Instructions: Please indentify the facility or facilities for where the liquids, dr. two facilities were utilized.	illing fluids and drill cuttings were disposed. Use attachment if more than
Disposal Facility Name:	Disposal Facility Permit Number:
Disposal Facility Name:	Disposal Facility Permit Number:
Were the closed-loop system operations and associated activities performed on o Yes (If yes, please demonstrate compliance to the items below) No	ir in areas that will not be used for future service and operations?
Required for impacted areas which will not be used for future service and opera Site Reclamation (Photo Documentation) Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation Re-vegetation Application Rates and Seeding Technique	tions:
Operator Closure Certification:	
I hereby certify that the information and attachments submitted with this closure belief. I also certify that the closure complies with all applicable closure requires	ments and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.
Name (Print): Tell Years	Title: Area Environmental Advisor
Name (Print): Jeff Peace Signature: Jeff Peace e-mail address: peace jeffrey @ bp. com	Date: May1, 2014
e-mail address: peace jettrey & bp. com	Telephone: (505) 326-9479

BP AMERICA PRODUCTION COMPANY

SAN JUAN BASIN, NORTHWEST NEW MEXICO

BELOW-GRADE TANK CLOSURE PLAN

Dryden LS 3 API No. 3004507244 Unit Letter M, Section 21, T28N, R8W

This plan will address the standard protocols and procedures for closure of below-grade tanks (BGTs) on BP America Production Company (BP) well sites. As stipulated in Paragraph A of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall close a BGT within the time periods provided in 19.15.17.13 NMAC, or by an earlier date that the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) requires because of imminent danger to fresh water, public health, safety or the environment. If deviations from this plan are necessary, any specific changes will be included on form C-144 and approved by the NMOCD. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC or is not included in Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC within five years after June 16, 2008, if not retrofit with a BGT that complies with the BP NMOCD approved BGT design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC, if not previously retrofitted to comply with the BP NMOCD approve BGT Design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan, prior to any sale or change in operator pursuant to 19.15.9.9 NMAC. BP shall close the permitted BGT within 60 days of cessation of the BGTs operation or as required by the transitional provisions of Subsection B, D, or E of 19.15.17.17 NMAC.

General Closure Plan

- 1. BP shall notify the surface owner by certified mail that it plans to close a BGT. Evidence of mailing of the notice to the address of the surface owner shown in the county tax records demonstrates compliance with this requirement.
 - No notice was made due to misunderstanding of the notice requirements. Closure notices will be made for all BGT closures from this point forward.
- 2. BP shall notify the division District III office verbally or by other means at least 72 hours, but not more than one (1) week, prior to any closure operation. The notice shall include the operator's name, and the location to be closed by unit letter, section, township and range. If the BGT closure is associated with a particular well, then the notice shall also include the well's name, number and API number.
 - No notice was made due to misunderstanding of the notice requirements. Closure notices will be made for all BGT closures from this point forward.
- 3. BP shall remove liquids and sludge from the BGT prior to implementing a closure method and dispose of the liquids and sludge in a NMOCD's division-approved facility. The facilities to be used are:
 - a. BP Crouch Mesa Landfarm, Permit NM-02-003 (Solids)
 - b. JFJ Landfarm, Permit NM-01-010(B) (Solids and Sludge)

- c. Basin Disposal, Permit NM-01-0005 (Liquids)
- d. Envirotech Inc Soil Remediation Facility, Permit NM-01-0011 (Solids and Sludge)
- e. BP Operated E.E. Elliott SWD #1, API 30-045-27799 (Liquids)
- f. BP Operated 13 GCU SWD #1, API 30-045-28601 (Liquids)
- g. BP Operated GCU 259 SWD, API 30-045-20006 (Liquids)
- h. BP Operated GCU 306 SWD, API 30-045-24286 (Liquids)
- i. BP Operated GCU 307 SWD, API 30-045-24248 (Liquids)
- j. BP Operated GCU 328 SWD, API 30-045-24735 (Liquids)
- k. BP Operated Pritchard SWD #1, API 30-045-28351 (Liquids)

All liquids and sludge in the BGT were removed and sent to one of the above NMOCD approved facilities for disposal.

4. BP shall remove the BGT and dispose of it in a NMOCD approved facility or recycle, reuse, or reclaim it in a manner that the NMOCD approves. If a liner is present and must be disposed of it will be cleaned by scraping any soils or other attached materials on the liner to a de minimus amount and disposed at a permitted solid waste facility, pursuant to Subparagraph (m) of Paragraph (1) of Subsection C of 19.15.35.8 NMAC. Documentation as to the final disposition of the removed BGT will be provided in the final closure report.

The BGT was transported to a storage area for sale and re-use.

5. BP shall remove any on-site equipment associated with a BGT unless the equipment is required for well production.

All equipment associated with the BGT has been removed.

6. BP shall test the soils beneath the BGT to determine whether a release has occurred. BP shall collect at a minimum: a five (5) point composite sample and individual grab samples from any area that is wet, discolored or showing other evidence of a release and analyze for BTEX, TPH and chlorides. The testing methods for those constituents are as follows;

Constituents	Testing Method	Release Verification	Sample
	95 bbl BGT	(mg/Kg)	results
Benzene	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	0.2	ND
Total BTEX	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	50	ND
TPH	US EPA Method SW-846 418.1	100	ND
Chlorides	US EPA Method 300.0 or 4500B	250 or background	ND

Notes: mg/Kg = milligram per kilogram, BTEX = benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes, TPH = total petroleum hydrocarbons. Other EPA methods that the division approves may be applied to all constituents listed. Chloride closure standards will be determined by which ever concentration level is greatest.

Soil under the BGT was sampled and TPH, BTEX and chloride levels were below the stated limits. Sampling data is attached.

- 7. BP shall notify the division District III office of its results on form C-141. C-141 is attached.
- 8. If it is determined that a release has occurred, then BP will comply with 19.15.30 NMAC and 19.15.29 NMAC, as appropriate.

Sampling results indicate no release occurred.

9. If the sampling demonstrates that a release has not occurred or that any release does not exceed the concentrations specified above, then BP shall backfill the excavation, with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material; construct a division-prescribed soil cover, re-contour and re-vegetate the location. The location will be reclaimed if it is not with in the active process area

The area under the BGT was backfilled with clean soil and is still within the active area.

10. BP shall reclaim the BGT location and all areas associated with the BGT including associated access roads to a safe and stable condition that blends with the surrounding undisturbed area. BP shall substantially restore the impacted surface area to the condition that existed prior to oil and gas operations by placement of the soil cover as provided in Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, re-contour the location and associated areas to a contour that approximates the original contour and blends with the surrounding topography and re-vegetate according to Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC.

The area over the BGT is covered by the LPT and is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

11. The soil cover for closures where the BGT has been removed or remediated to the NMOCD's satisfaction shall consist of the background thickness of topsoil or one foot of suitable material to establish vegetation at the site, whichever is greater. The soil cover will be constructed to the site's existing grade and all practicable efforts will be made to prevent ponding of water and erosion of the cover material.

The area over the BGT is covered by the LPT and is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

12. BP shall seed the disturbed area the first growing season after closure of the BGT. Seeding will be accomplished by drilling on the contour whenever practical or by other division-approved methods. Vegetative cover will be, at a minimum, 70% of the native perennial vegetative cover (un-impacted by overgrazing, fire or other intrusion damaging to native vegetation), consisting of at least three native plant species, including at least one grass, but not including noxious weeds, and maintenance of that cover through two successive growing seasons. During the two growing seasons that prove viability, there shall be no artificial irrigation of the vegetation.

The area over the BGT is covered by the LPT and is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

13. BP shall seed, plant and re-seed pursuant to Paragraph (3) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, until the location successfully achieves the required vegetative cover.

BP will seed the area when the well is plugged and abandoned.

14. Pursuant to Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall notify the NMOCD when it has seeded or planted and when it successfully achieves revegetation.

BP will notify NMOCD when re-vegetation is successful.

- 15. Within 60 days of closure completion, BP shall submit a closure report on NMOCD's form C-144, and will include the following;
 - a. proof of closure notification (surface owner and NMOCD)
 - b. sampling analytical reports; information required by 19.15.17 NMAC;
 - c. disposal facility name and permit number
 - d. details on back-filling, capping, covering, and where applicable re-vegetation application rates and seeding techniques and
 - e. site reclamation, photo documentation.

 Closure report on C-144 form is included.
- 16. BP shall certify that all information in the report and attachments is accurate, truthful, and compliant with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.

Certification section of C-144 has been completed.

District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

Form C-141 Revised August 8, 2011

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505 Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

				58	anta F	e, NM 8/3	000					
		** ***	Rel	ease Notific	catio	n and Co	rrective A	ction		-		
						OPERA'	ГOR	[Initia	al Report	\boxtimes	Final Repor
Name of Co	mpany: B	P								,		
			ington N	M 87401				70				
			ington, IV	WI 07401								
racinty Nai	ile. Diyue	11 L3 3				racinty Typ	e. Naturai gas v	ve11				
Surface Ow	ner: Feder	al		Mineral C)wner:	Federal			API No	. 30045072	244	
				LOCA	ATIO	N OF REI	LEASE					,
Unit Letter	Section	Township	Range		,			East/W	est Line	County: S:	an Juar	1
	l							1	ost Billo	County. S.	III suuii	
			•		}			11 650				
		Y -4	4 1 2	((() () () () () () () () ()			107 (0172	·				-
		Lat	itude3	6.64252		_ Longitud	e107.69172_	-				
				NAT	URE							
		 .		 .								
			Contact: Jeff Peace Telephone No.: 505-326-9479 Facility Type: Natural gas well Mineral Owner: Federal API No. 3004507244 LOCATION OF RELEASE South Peet from the South South Peet from the South Peet from the South Peet from the Peet f	<u>:</u>								
Was Immedia	ite Notice (lar Marin		If YES, To	Whom?					
		لــا	Yes _	No 🔯 Not Re	equired							
By Whom?						Date and F	lour					
Was a Watero	course Read	ched?				If YES, Vo	lume Impacting t	he Water	course.			
			Yes 🛚	No								
If a Waterson	raa waa Im	nostad Dagar	ha Eullu 8		-							
II a watercou	iise was iiii	pacied, Desci	ide runy.									
Describe Cau	se of Probl	em and Reme	dial Action	n Taken * Sampli	no of th	e soil heneath	the BGT was do	ne durino	removal t	o ensure no	soil in	nacts from
									Temovart	o choure no	3011 1111	ipacis from
ale BOT. Gol	ii allalysis i	esaited in 11	ii, D1D7	and emoriae sero	m Starrat	ards. Amarysi	, resures are actuer	ica.				
Describe Area	Affected	and Cleanup A	Action Tak	en.* BGT was re	moved a	and the area u	nderneath the BG	T was sar	npled. Th	ne excavated	area v	was
									•			
	•											
public health	or the envi	ronment. The	acceptance	e of a C-141 repo	ort by th	e NMOCD m	arked as "Final Ro	eport" do	es not reli	eve the oper	ator of	liability
				tance of a C-141	report d	oes not reliev	e the operator of r	esponsib	ility for co	ompliance w	ith any	other /
federal, state,	or local lay	ws and/or regu	lations.									
		_					OIL CONS	SERV <i>A</i>	ATION	DIVISIC	N	
•		0.										
Signature:	XI	reall	<u> </u>									
	0 / /					Approved by	Environmental Sp	pecialist:				
Name of Company: BP Address: 200 Energy Court, Farmington, NM 87401 Surface Owner: Federal Mineral Owner: Federal API No. 3004507244 LOCATION OF RELEASE Unit Letter Section 28N 8W 990 South South Pet Peace Latitude 36.64252 Longitude 107.69172 NATURE OF RELEASE Volume of Release: None Source of Release: below grade tank - 95 bbl Date and Hour of Occurrence: Date and Hour of Discovery Was Immediate Notice Given? Was a Watercourse Reached? Was a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.* Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* Sampling of the soil beneath the BGT was done during removal to ensure no soil in the BGT. Soil analysis resulted in TPH, BTEX and chloride below standards. Analysis results are attached. Thereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to NMOCD are regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may en public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operation is referred. State, or local laws and/or regulations. OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION Signature: Apt Andresses.												
Title: Area Er	nvironment	al Advisor				Approval Dat	e:	Ex	kpiration I	Date:		
E-mail Address: peace.jeffrey@bp.com						Conditions of Approval: Attached						

Date: May 1, 2014 Phone: 505-326-9479

* Attach Additional Sheets If Necessary

CLIENT: BP		•	112	API #: 3004	1507244
CLICIVI.	•	•	13	TANK ID (if applicble):	Α
FIELD REPORT:	(circle one): BGT CONFIRMATION / RI	ELEASE INVESTIGATION / OTHER:		PAGE #:	of 1 _
SITE INFORMATION		LS # 3		DATE STARTED:	08/22/12
			NM	DATE FINISHED:	
1/4 -1/4/FOOTAGE: 990'S / 990'W		EL KUODNI		ENVIRONMENTAL SPECIALIST(S):	JCB
REFERENCE POINT					
					90', N65E
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· ——			
SAMPLING DATA:	CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD(S) # OR L				OVM READING
	J M P. @ 6' SAMPLE DATE: <u>8/22/12</u>		— sis: 418.1 ,	. 80 <u>15, 8021, 300.</u>	0(CI) (ppm) 0.0
	_		-	,	
4) SAMPLEID:	SAMPLE DATE:	SAMPLE TIME: LAB ANALYS	3IS:		
SOIL DESCRIPTION	SOIL TYPE: SAND SILTY SA	AND SILT / SILTY CLAY / CLAY / G	RAVEL / OTI	HER	
SOIL COLOR:					
COHESION (ALL OTHERS): NON COHESIVE /SUGHTLY		· '			
CONSISTENCY (NON COHESIVE SOILS): LC MOISTURE: DRY/SLIGHTLY MOIST/MOIST/ WA		·			
SAMPLE TYPE: GRAB (COMPOSITE)-#	OF PTS. <u>5</u>	HO ODOR DETECTED. TEC.		ANATION	
DISCOLORATION/STAINING OBSERVED:	YES NO EXPLANATION -	1			
ANY AREAS DISPLAYING WETNESS: YES / NO	I EYPLANATION - SOME MOISTLIE				
APPARENT EVIDENCE OF A RELEASE O					
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:					
SOIL IMPACT DIMENSION ESTIMATION: DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER: < 50' N				•	
SITE SKETCH					
SHESILION		PLOT PLAIN CITCLE. alia	JOVINIC		ppm RF = 0.52
			 		
PROD. TANK			MFIELD, NM 87413 12-1199 A EINVESTIGATION / OTHER: # 3 LONTY: SJ ST: NM LONTY: SJ ST: NM ELKHORN TOR: MBE - S. GENTRY DISTANCEBEARING FROM WH: DISTANCEBEARING FROM WH		
IAIIA		(Flapplicble): A PAGE #: 1 of /			
					RGT
	X				
	$(\mathbf{x} \hat{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{x})$	DRCTI	1 -	ermit date(s): C	06/10/10
	X	T.B. ~ 6'			
W.H.		D.G.	ID.	ppm = parts per m	million
\oplus		Y 0.00	11		
THE SAME OF STREET	SUBSERVICE DO - DELOMODADE D - DELOM				
T.B. = TANK BOTTOM; PBGTL = PREVIOUS BELC	OW-GRADE TANK LOCATION; SPD = SAMPLE POINT	T DESIGNATION; R.W. = RETAINING WALL; NA -	NOT M	<u> </u>	
APPLICABLE OR NOT AVAILABLE; SW - SINGLE	EWALL; DW - DOUBLE WALL; SB - SINGLE BOTTOM;	I; DB - DOUBLE BOTTOM.		agricus accimicas -	<u> </u>
TRAVEL NOTES: CALLOUT:		ONSITE: USIZZITZ		_	

Analytical Report

Lab Order 1208C24

Date Reported: 9/6/2012

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Blagg Engineering

Client Sample ID: 95 BGT (A) 5-pt comp @ 6'

Project: DRYDEN LS 3

Collection Date: 8/22/2012 2:10:00 PM

Lab ID: 1208C24-001

Received Date: 8/28/2012 10:00:00 AM

Analyses	Result	RL Qu	al Units	DF	Date Analyzed
EPA METHOD 8015B: DIESEL RANG	E ORGANICS				Analyst: JMP
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	9.8	mg/Kg	1	8/30/2012 11:16:52 AM
Surr: DNOP	112	77.6-140	%REC	1	8/30/2012 11:16:52 AM
EPA METHOD 8015B: GASOLINE RA	NGE				Analyst: NSB
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	4.8	mg/Kg	1	8/30/2012 8:58:37 PM
Surr: BFB	98.4	84-116	%REC	1	8/30/2012 8:58:37 PM
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analyst: NSB
Benzene	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/30/2012 8:58:37 PM
Toluene	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/30/2012 8:58:37 PM
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/30/2012 8:58:37 PM
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.096	mg/Kg	1	8/30/2012 8:58:37 PM
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	100	80-120	%REC	1	8/30/2012 8:58:37 PM
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analyst: JRR
Chloride	ND	30	mg/Kg	20	8/31/2012 9:48:00 AM
EPA METHOD 418.1: TPH					Analyst: JMP
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	ND	20	mg/Kg	1	8/31/2012

Matrix: SOIL

Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

	<u>hain-</u>	of-Cu	stody Record	Turn-Around	Time:						L			ne.	<i>#</i> ###	20		MEI		. .	
			INEERING INC.	Standard Project Name		1												RA			7
•	BP 1	1 MERI	<u> </u>			7		"		8	ν	vww.h	allen	viron	men	tal.c	om				
Mailing	Address	R.O.	Box 87	URYDE	N LS	5			49	01 H	awkir	ıs NE	- Al	buqu	ıerqu	e, N	M 87	7109			
			NM 87413	Project #:		,						5-397			505						
			2-1199										Anal	ysis	Rec	ues	ŧ .				
email or				Project Mana	iger:			_	(<u>y</u>	(jg				(\$							Т
QA/QC F	-		☐ Level 4 (Full Validation)	J. E				±MB's (8021)	TPH (Gas only)	(Gas/Diesel)				PO ₄ ,SC	PCB's						
Accredit			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Sampler: J	T. BLAGG	÷		一 資	<u>F</u>	9		۔ اے		0,2	382			1			۱.
□ NELA	۱ P	□ Othe	er	Optice 5	W/Yes -	INVOS			‡	8015B	418.1)	4.1 P. 4.1		N.S.	/ 8		8		1		Ž
□ EDD	(Type)_			Office . Sample from	peralture	1.0				88	4 6	1 0 5 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ta se	<u>Y</u>	des	3	0	4			5
Date	Time	Matrix	Sample Request ID		Preservative Type		AL NG	BTEX + (PEE)	BTEX + MTBE	TPH Method	TPH (Method	EDB (Method 504.1)	RCRA 8 Metals	Anions (F,CI,NO ₃ ,NO ₂ ,PO ₄ ,SO ₄)	8081 Pesticides / 8082	8260B (VOA)	8270 (Semi-VOA)	CHUKIDE			Air Rubhlee
8/22/12	1410	SOL	95 BGT (A) 5-pt comp (C, 6'	402x1	COUL		-col	X	-		$\frac{\Gamma}{X}$				<u> </u>	3	8	X	+	1	
																					T
								1				1	┪┈						+	+	+
						 		-		$\vdash \vdash$	_	+	+-		-				+	+	╀
	_												- 	<u> </u>						—	lacksquare
							···	 													L
																					Γ
																					┢
												_	+	\vdash	\vdash		-		+	+	一
					·							\dashv	+	-	-			-	+	+	├
								 			+		-	<u> </u>						$+$ $ \!$	╄
				,				+					-							+	╀
Date	Time:	Relinquish	ad by:	Received by:		Date	Time										ليِـــا				L
Date:		A	Z.	MIL 1	, \	8/2-1		Ken	narks 1	s: <i>E</i>	reo	* .	DRÐ	DA	ع ب	છા5	B				
Detail	0910 Time:	# Slinkuleh	ed by	Received by:	Walter	7CVI	7 0910 Time	1/1	, 1⊃{	>70	OB										
Pate:	iniie.	Carriedors Car	Colors 1	J. Conveyby.	<u> </u>	Date	A JESS	12	5U	iwu	LBG	+									
127/12	1720	Mi	autedilante		$\frac{2}{2}$	1/28/1	2 100C	JE	1-1-	PE	Aeg										
l _{lf}	necessary.	samples sub	mitted to Hall Environmental may be subc	ontracted to other ac	acredited laboratorie	es [/] This servi	es as untice of this	s nossit	sility i	Any suh	-contra	rted dat	a will he	neah	ly notai	tod on	the an	abdical r	enort		_

.

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1208C24

06-Sep-12

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

DRYDEN LS 3

Sample ID MB-3571

SampType: MBLK

TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

Client ID: PBS

Batch ID: 3571

RunNo: 5247

Prep Date:

8/31/2012

Analysis Date: 8/31/2012

Units: mg/Kg

Analyte

SeqNo: 149772

HighLimit

%RPD

Qual

Chloride

PQL ND 1.5

Sample ID LCS-3571 LCSS

SampType: LCS

TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

RunNo: 5247

Batch ID: 3571

Units: mg/Kg

Prep Date: 8/31/2012

Analysis Date: 8/31/2012

PQL

1.5

SeqNo: 149773

HighLimit

%RPD **RPDLimit**

RPDLimit

Qual

Analyte

15.00

97.0

LowLimit

Chloride

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit

110

Client ID:

15

Result

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC

90

Qualifiers:

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

Value above quantitation range E

Analyte detected below quantitation limits

RPD outside accepted recovery limits

В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

Not Detected at the Reporting Limit ND Reporting Detection Limit

Page 2 of 7

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1208C24 06-Sep-12

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

DRYDEN LS 3

Sample ID MB-3537

SampType: MBLK

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

Client ID:

PBS

Batch ID: 3537

PQL

RunNo: 5230

Prep Date:

8/29/2012

Analysis Date: 8/31/2012

SeqNo: 148662

%REC LowLimit

Units: mg/Kg

HighLimit

RPDLimit %RPD

Qual

Analyte Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR

ND 20

Sample ID LCS-3537

SampType: LCS

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

Client ID: LCSS

Batch ID: 3537

20

RunNo: 5230

Prep Date: 8/29/2012 Analysis Date: 8/31/2012

Result

SPK value SPK Ref Val

SeqNo: 148663

104

Units: mg/Kg

HighLimit %RPD 120

Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR

Analyte

Result 100 **PQL** SPK value SPK Ref Val

100.0

%REC LowLimit

RPDLimit

Qual

Qual

Sample ID LCSD-3537

SampType: LCSD

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

Client ID: LCSS02 Prep Date: 8/29/2012

Batch ID: 3537

RunNo: 5230

Analysis Date: 8/31/2012

110

SeqNo: 148664

Units: mg/Kg

Analyte Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR **PQL** SPK value SPK Ref Val 20 100.0

%REC 108

LowLimit 80

80

HighLimit 120

RPDLimit %RPD

3.36 20

Qualifiers:

R

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

Value above quantitation range

Analyte detected below quantitation limits RPD outside accepted recovery limits

Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit Reporting Detection Limit

Page 3 of 7

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Result

36

4.3

10

WO#: 12

RPDLimit

Qual

%RPD

1208C24 06-Sep-12

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

Analyte

Surr: DNOP

Diesel Range Organics (DRO)

DRYDEN LS 3

Sample ID MB-3536	SampType: M	Tes	tCode: El	Organics					
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 35	36	F	191					
Prep Date: 8/29/2012	Analysis Date: 8	/30/2012	S	SeqNo: 1	47637	Units: mg/K	g		
Analyte	Result PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND 10	_							
Surr: DNOP	11	10.00		111	77.6	140			
Sample ID LCS-3536	SampType: L0	es e	Tes	Code: El	PA Method	8015B: Diese	el Range (Organics	
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 35	36	R	lunNo: 5	191				
Prep Date: 8/29/2012	Analysis Date: 8	/30/2012	S	seqNo: 1	47697	Units: mg/K	g		

%REC

71.0

85.5

LowLimit

52.6

77.6

HighLimit

130

140

SPK value SPK Ref Val

50.00

5.000

Qualifiers:

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

Page 4 of 7

RL Reporting Detection Limit

^{*} Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

E Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Result

24

1000

5.0

WO#:

RPDLimit

Qual

%RPD

1208C24 06-Sep-12

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

Analyte

Surr: BFB

Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)

DRYDEN LS 3

Sample 1D MB-3529 SampType: MBLK			TestCode: EPA Method 8015B: Gasoline Range						
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 3	529	F	RunNo: 5	215				
Prep Date: 8/29/2012	Analysis Date: 8	/30/2012	S	SeqNo: 1	48958	Units: mg/K	(g		
Analyte	Result PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND 5.0								
Surr: BFB	980	1000		97.8	84	116			
Sample ID LCS-3529	SampType: Lo	cs	Tes	Code: El	PA Method	8015B: Gaso	line Rang	e	
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 3	529	R	lunNo: 5	215				
Prep Date: 8/29/2012	Analysis Date: 8	/30/2012	S	SeaNo: 1	48959	Units: mg/K	(a		

%REC

96.3

101

LowLimit

74

84

HighLimit

117

116

SPK value SPK Ref Val

25.00

1000

Qualifiers:

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

Value above quantitation range

Analyte detected below quantitation limits

RPD outside accepted recovery limits

Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

Not Detected at the Reporting Limit Reporting Detection Limit

Page 5 of 7

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Result

0.96

0.98

1.0

3.1

1.1

PQL

0.050

0.050

0.050

0.10

WO#:

1208C24

06-Sep-12

Qual

Client:

Analyte

Benzene

Toluene

Ethylbenzene

Xylenes, Total

Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene

Blagg Engineering

Project:

DRYDEN LS 3

Sample ID MB-3529 Client ID: PBS	SampType: MBLK Batch ID: 3529		Tes							
Prep Date: 8/29/2012	Analysis Date: 8/30/2012		RunNo: 5215 SeqNo: 148983			Units: mg/h	(g			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	ND	0.050								
Toluene	ND	0.050								
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.050								
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.10								
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.0		1.000		101	80	120			
Sample ID LCS-3529	SampType: LCS TestCode: EPA Method					8021B: Vola	tiles			
Client ID: LCSS	Batcl	h ID: 35	29	7	RunNo: 5	215				
Prep Date: 8/29/2012	Analysis E	Date: 8/	30/2012	5	SeqNo: 1	48984	Units: mg/k	ίg		

0

0

0

0

%REC

97.6

101

102

106

LowLimit

76.3

80

77

80

76.7

HighLimit

117

120

116

117

120

%RPD

RPDLimit

SPK value SPK Ref Val

1.000

1.000

1.000

3.000

1.000

Oua	lifi	ers

* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

E Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

Page 6 of 7

RL Reporting Detection Limit

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1208C24

06-Sep-12

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

DRYDEN LS 3

Sample ID mb-3494	SampType: MBLK			TestCode: EPA Method 8260B: VOLATILES						
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 3494			R						
Prep Date: 8/27/2012	Analysis D	ate: 8	/30/2012	SeqNo: 148726		Units: %REC				
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	0.41		0.5000		83.0	70	130			
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.40		0.5000		80.7	70	130			
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	0.46		0.5000		91.4	70	130			
Surr: Toluene-d8	0.36		0.5000		71.3	70	130			
Sample ID Ics-3494	SampType: LCS			Tes	TestCode: EPA Method 8260B: VOLATILES					
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 3494			RunNo: 5213						
Prep Date: 8/27/2012	Analysis D	ate: 8	/30/2012	S	SeqNo: 1	48751	Units: %RE	c		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	0.41		0.5000		82.5	70	130			_
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.39		0.5000		78.8	70	130			
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	0.43		0.5000		86.5	70	130			
Surr: Toluene-d8	0.38		0.5000		75.3	70	130			
Sample ID mb-3529		ype: MI		Test			8260B: VOL	AΠLES		
	SampT	ype: M I	BLK			PA Method	- <u></u>	AΠLES		
Sample ID mb-3529	SampT	1D: 35	BLK :29	R	tCode: El	PA Method 213	- <u></u>			
Sample ID mb-3529 Client ID: PBS	SampT Batch	1D: 35	BLK :29 /31/2012	R	tCode: El tunNo: 5 seqNo: 1	PA Method 213	8260B: VOL		RPDLimit	Qual
Sample ID mb-3529 Client ID: PBS Prep Date: 8/29/2012	SampT Batch Analysis D	n ID: 35 ate: 8	BLK :29 /31/2012	R	tCode: El tunNo: 5 seqNo: 1	PA Method 213 48799	8260B: VOL	C C	RPDLimit	Qual
Sample ID mb-3529 Client ID: PBS Prep Date: 8/29/2012 Analyte	SampT Batch Analysis D Result	n ID: 35 ate: 8	BLK :29 /31/2012 SPK value	R	tCode: El tunNo: 5 seqNo: 1 %REC	PA Method 213 48799 LowLimit 70 70	8260B: VOL. Units: %RE HighLimit	C C	RPDLimit	Qual
Sample ID mb-3529 Client ID: PBS Prep Date: 8/29/2012 Analyte Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	SampT Batch Analysis D Result 0.42	n ID: 35 ate: 8	BLK :29 /31/2012 SPK value 0.5000	R	tCode: El tunNo: 5 teqNo: 1 %REC 84.7	PA Method 213 48799 LowLimit 70	8260B: VOL. Units: %RE HighLimit 130	C C	RPDLimit	Qual
Sample ID mb-3529 Client ID: PBS Prep Date: 8/29/2012 Analyte Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	SampT Batch Analysis D Result 0.42 0.41	n ID: 35 ate: 8	BLK 329 /31/2012 SPK value 0.5000 0.5000	R	tCode: El tunNo: 5 seqNo: 1 %REC 84.7 81.7	PA Method 213 48799 LowLimit 70 70	8260B: VOL. Units: %RE HighLimit 130 130	C C	RPDLimit	Qual
Sample ID mb-3529 Client ID: PBS Prep Date: 8/29/2012 Analyte Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	SampT Batch Analysis D Result 0.42 0.41 0.47 0.37	n ID: 35 ate: 8	BLK 129 /31/2012 SPK value 0.5000 0.5000 0.5000 0.5000	R S SPK Ref Val	tCode: El tunNo: 5 deqNo: 1 %REC 84.7 81.7 94.7 73.1	PA Method 213 48799 LowLimit 70 70 70 70	8260B: VOL. Units: %RE HighLimit 130 130 130	RC %RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Sample ID mb-3529 Client ID: PBS Prep Date: 8/29/2012 Analyte Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene Surr: Dibromofluoromethane Surr: Toluene-d8	SampT Batch Analysis D Result 0.42 0.41 0.47 0.37 SampT	ate: 8,	BLK 529 /31/2012 SPK value 0.5000 0.5000 0.5000	SPK Ref Val	tCode: El tunNo: 5 deqNo: 1 %REC 84.7 81.7 94.7 73.1	PA Method 213 48799 LowLimit 70 70 70 70	8260B: VOL. Units: %RE HighLimit 130 130 130 130	RC %RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Sample ID mb-3529 Client ID: PBS Prep Date: 8/29/2012 Analyte Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene Surr: Toluene-d8 Sample ID Ics-3529	SampT Batch Analysis D Result 0.42 0.41 0.47 0.37 SampT	PQL	BLK 129 731/2012 SPK value 0.5000 0.5000 0.5000	SPK Ref Val Test	**REC*** 84.7** 94.7** 73.1** **Code: El	PA Method 213 48799 LowLimit 70 70 70 70 PA Method 213	8260B: VOL. Units: %RE HighLimit 130 130 130 130	RPD %RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Sample ID mb-3529 Client ID: PBS Prep Date: 8/29/2012 Analyte Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene Surr: Dibromofluoromethane Surr: Toluene-d8 Sample ID Ics-3529 Client ID: LCSS	SampT Batch Analysis D Result 0.42 0.41 0.47 0.37 SampT Batch	PQL	BLK 329 /31/2012 SPK value 0.5000 0.5000 0.5000 0.5000	SPK Ref Val Test	**REC 84.7 81.7 94.7 73.1 **Code: El cunNo: 5 deqNo: 1.	PA Method 213 48799 LowLimit 70 70 70 70 PA Method 213	8260B: VOL. Units: %RE HighLimit 130 130 130 130 8260B: VOL.	RPD %RPD	RPDLimit RPDLimit	Qual
Sample ID mb-3529 Client ID: PBS Prep Date: 8/29/2012 Analyte Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene Surr: Dibromofluoromethane Surr: Toluene-d8 Sample ID Ics-3529 Client ID: LCSS Prep Date: 8/29/2012	SampT Batch Analysis D Result 0.42 0.41 0.47 0.37 SampT Batch Analysis D	PQL PQL pype: LC	BLK 329 /31/2012 SPK value 0.5000 0.5000 0.5000 0.5000	SPK Ref Val Test	**REC 84.7 81.7 94.7 73.1 **Code: El cunNo: 5 deqNo: 1.	PA Method 213 48799 LowLimit 70 70 70 70 70 PA Method 213 48800	8260B: VOL. Units: %RE HighLimit 130 130 130 130 8260B: VOL.	RRPD ATILES		
Sample ID mb-3529 Client ID: PBS Prep Date: 8/29/2012 Analyte Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene Surr: Dibromofluoromethane Surr: Toluene-d8 Sample ID Ics-3529 Client ID: LCSS Prep Date: 8/29/2012 Analyte	SampT Batch Analysis D Result 0.42 0.41 0.47 0.37 SampT Batch Analysis D Result	PQL PQL pype: LC	BLK 329 /31/2012 SPK value 0.5000 0.5000 0.5000 0.5000 0.5000 0.5000 0.5000 0.5000	SPK Ref Val Test	**REC **Code: El **Cod	PA Method 213 48799 LowLimit 70 70 70 70 70 PA Method 213 48800 LowLimit	8260B: VOL. Units: %RE HighLimit 130 130 130 130 8260B: VOL. Units: %RE HighLimit	RRPD ATILES		-
Sample ID mb-3529 Client ID: PBS Prep Date: 8/29/2012 Analyte Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene Surr: Dibromofluoromethane Surr: Toluene-d8 Sample ID lcs-3529 Client ID: LCSS Prep Date: 8/29/2012 Analyte Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	SampT Batch Analysis D Result 0.42 0.41 0.47 0.37 SampT Batch Analysis D Result 0.43	PQL PQL pype: LC	BLK 329 /31/2012 SPK value 0.5000 0.5000 0.5000 0.5000 0.5000 CS 29 /30/2012 SPK value 0.5000	SPK Ref Val Test	**REC 84.7	PA Method 213 48799 LowLimit 70 70 70 70 PA Method 213 48800 LowLimit 70	8260B: VOL. Units: %RE HighLimit 130 130 130 130 8260B: VOL. Units: %RE HighLimit 130	RRPD ATILES		

Qualifiers:

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

E Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 7 of 7



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE

Albuquerque, NM 87105 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107

Sample Log-In Check List

Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

Client Name: BLAGG Work Order Number: 1208C24	
Received by/date: 4 G 08/28//2	
Logged By: Anne Thorne 8/28/2012 10:00:00 AM	
Completed By: Anne Thorne 8/28/2012 Anne Thorne	
Reviewed By: 10 08/28/12	
Chain of Custody	
1. Were seals intact? Yes No Not Present	
2. Is Chain of Custody complete? Yes ✓ No □ Not Present □	
3. How was the sample delivered? <u>Courier</u>	
<u>Log In</u>	
4. Coolers are present? (see 19. for cooler specific information) Yes ☑ No ☐ NA ☐	
5. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?	
6. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C Yes ☑ No ☐ NA ☐	
7 Sample(s) In proper container(s)? Yes ☑ No ☐	
8. Sufficient sample volume for Indicated test(s)? Yes ☑ No ☐	
9. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes ☑ No □	
10. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes ☐ No ☑ NA ☐	
11. VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes ☐ No ☐ No VOA Vials ✔	
12. Were any sample containers received broken?	
13. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) # of preserved bottles checked for pH:	
	r >12 unless noted)
15. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes ✓ No ☐ Adjusted?	
16. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes ✓ No □	
(If no, notify customer for authorization.) Checked by:	
Special Handling (if applicable)	
17. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order?	·
Person Notified: Date	
By Whom: Via: eMail Phone Fax In Person	-
Regarding:	-
Client Instructions:	
18. Additional remarks:	
19. Cooler Information	
Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By	
1 1.6 Good Yes	



