Form C-144 July 21, 2008

District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
1301 W. Grand Avenue, Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico
Energy Minerals and Natural Resources
Department
Oil Conservation Division
1220 South St. Francis Dr.
Santa Fe, NM 87505

For temporary pits, closed-loop systems, and below-grade tanks, submit to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

For permanent pits and exceptions submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

•	\	b	J	Q
\	1	v		

Pit, Closed-Loop System, Below-Grade Tank, or Proposed Alternative Method Permit or Closure Plan Application

Troposed Alternative Method Fermit of Closure Flan Application	
Type of action: Permit of a pit, closed-loop system, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method Closure of a pit, closed-loop system, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method Modification to an existing permit Closure plan only submitted for an existing permitted or non-permitted pit, closed-loop system, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method	
Instructions: Please submit one application (Form C-144) per individual pit, closed-loop system, below-grade tank or alternative request	
Please be advised that approval of this request does not relieve the operator of liability should operations result in pollution of surface water, ground water or the environment. Nor does approval relieve the operator of its responsibility to comply with any other applicable governmental authority's rules, regulations or ordinates.	ances.
Operator: BP AMERICA PRODUCTION COMPANY OGRID #: 778	
Address: 200 Energy Court, Farmington, NM 87401	
Facility or well name: RIDDLE F LS 003	
API Number: 3004507331 OCD Permit Number:	
U/L or Qtr/Qtr A Section 20.0 Township 28.0N Range 08W County: San Juan County	
Center of Proposed Design: Latitude 36.65106 Longitude -107.69883 NAD: ☐1927 ▼ 198	3
Surface Owner: ▼ Federal ☐ State ☐ Private ☐ Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment OIL CONS. DIV DIST. 3	
Pit: Subsection F or G of 19.15.17.11 NMAC MAY 14 2014	
Temporary: Drilling Workover	
Permanent Emergency Cavitation P&A	
Lined Unlined Liner type: Thicknessmil LLDPE HDPE PVC Other	
String-Reinforced	
Liner Seams: Welded Factory Other Volume:bbl Dimensions: Lx Wx D	
3. Closed-loop System: Subsection H of 19.15.17.11 NMAC	
Type of Operation: P&A Drilling a new well Workover or Drilling (Applies to activities which require prior approval of a permit or notice intent)	of
Drying Pad Above Ground Steel Tanks Haul-off Bins Other	ļ
Lined Unlined Liner type: Thicknessmil LLDPE HDPE PVC Other	
Liner Seams: Welded Factory Other	
4. Below-grade tank: Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Tank ID: A	
Volume: 95.0bbl Type of fluid: Produced Water	
Tank Construction material: Steel	
Secondary containment with leak detection Visible sidewalls, liner, 6-inch lift and automatic overflow shut-off	
☐ Visible sidewalls and liner ☐ Visible sidewalls only 🗷 Other SINGLE WALLED DOUBLE BOTTOMED SIDE WALLS NOT VISIBLE	
Liner type: Thicknessmil	
5.	
Alternative Method:	
Submittal of an exception request is required. Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approve	AI. 1

Form C-144

Oil Conservation Division

Page 1 of 5

	Fencing: Subsection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits, temporary pits, and below-grade tanks) Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top (Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution or church) Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet Alternate. Please specify 4' Hogwire with single barbed wire							
	Netting: Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks) Screen Netting Other Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)							
	Signs: Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC 12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC							
	Administrative Approvals and Exceptions: Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance. Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank: Administrative approval(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district or the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau consideration of approval. Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.	office for						
	Siting Criteria (regarding permitting): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of accept material are provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria may require administrative approval from the approoffice or may be considered an exception which must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of a Applicant must attach justification for request. Please refer to 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance. Siting criteria does not apply to drying above-grade tanks associated with a closed-loop system.	ppriate district approval.						
	Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of the temporary pit, permanent pit, or below-grade tank. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes 🗷 No	,					
	Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes 🗷 No)					
	Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. (Applies to temporary, emergency, or cavitation pits and below-grade tanks) - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ➤ No ☐ NA)					
	Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. (Applies to permanent pits)	☐ Yes ☐ No ▼ NA)					
	- Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image Within 500 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring that less than five households use for domestic or stock watering purposes, or within 1000 horizontal feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of initial application. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes 🗷 No)					
	Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes 🗷 No)					
	Within 500 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes 🗷 No)					
	Within the area overlying a subsurface mine Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	☐ Yes 🗷 No)					
	 Within an unstable area. Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map 	Yes 🗷 No)					
-	Within a 100-year floodplain.	☐ Yes 🗷 No)					

Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Hydrogeologic Report (Below-grade Tanks) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Hydrogeologic Data (Temporary and Emergency Pits) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number:
Closed-loop Systems Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Geologic and Hydrogeologic Data (only for on-site closure) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (3) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations (only for on-site closure) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC
Previously Approved Design (dataset copy of design) API Number:
Permanent Pits Permit Application Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Hydrogeologic Report - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.19 NMAC Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Climatological Factors Assessment Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Leak Detection Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Liner Specifications and Compatibility Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Quality Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installation Plan Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Freeboard and Overtopping Prevention Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Nuisance or Hazardous Odors, including H ₂ S, Prevention Plan Emergency Response Plan Oil Field Waste Stream Characterization Monitoring and Inspection Plan Erosion Control Plan Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC
Proposed Closure: 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan. Type: Drilling Workover Emergency Cavitation P&A Permanent Pit Below-grade Tank Closed-loop System Alternative Proposed Closure Method: Waste Excavation and Removal Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only) On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems) In-place Burial On-site Trench Burial Alternative Closure Method (Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau for consideration)
Waste Excavation and Removal Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings) Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection G of 19.15.17.13 NMAC

Waste Removal Closure For Closed-loop Systems That Utilize Above Ground Steel Tanks or Haul-off Bins Only: (19.15.17.13.1 Instructions: Please indentify the facility or facilities for the disposal of liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings. Use attachment if facilities are required.	O NMAC) more than two								
Disposal Facility Name: Disposal Facility Permit Number:									
Disposal Facility Name: Disposal Facility Permit Number:									
Will any of the proposed closed-loop system operations and associated activities occur on or in areas that will not be used for future service and operations? Yes (If yes, please provide the information below) No									
Required for impacted areas which will not be used for future service and operations: Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection G of 19.15.17.13 NMAC									
Siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendations of acceptable sour provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria may require administrative approval from the appropriate districtions of acceptable sour provided an exception which must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval. Justifications of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance.	rict office or may be								
Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA								
Ground water is between 50 and 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA								
Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells									
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site									
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image									
Within 500 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring that less than five households use for domestic or stock watering purposes, or within 1000 horizontal feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of initial application. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site									
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality									
Within 500 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No								
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	☐ Yes ☐ No								
Within an unstable area. - Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map	☐ Yes ☐ No								
Within a 100-year floodplain FEMA map	Yes No								
On-Site Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plan by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Proof of Surface Owner Notice - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Construction/Design Plan of Temporary Pit (for in-place burial of a drying pad) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Waste Material Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings or in case on-site closure standards cannot Soil Cover Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	15.17.11 NMAC								

Form C-144 Oil Conservation Division Page 4 of 5

Operator Application Certification: I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true, accurate and com	polete to the best of my knowledge and belief
	e: Field Environmental Advisor
Signature: Herry H. Vesce	Date: 06/14/2010
e-mail address: Peace.Jeffrey@bp.com Tele	phone: 505-326-9479
OCD Approval: Permit Application (including closure plan Closure Plan (only)	OCD Conditions (see attachment)
OCD Representative Signature:	D + Olypproyal Date! 10/12/11
Title: France Trainer Oct Pet	mit Numberte
Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion): Subsection K of 19.15. Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved closure plan prior to implement the closure report is required to be submitted to the division within 60 days of the complete section of the form until an approved closure plan has been obtained and the closure active.	nting any closure activities and submitting the closure report. ion of the closure activities. Please do not complete this
ĬX Clos	sure Completion Date: 3-2-2012
22. Closure Method: Waste Excavation and Removal On-Site Closure Method Alternative Closure If different from approved plan, please explain.	re Method Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only)
Closure Report Regarding Waste Removal Closure For Closed-loop Systems That Utiliz Instructions: Please indentify the facility or facilities for where the liquids, drilling fluids a two facilities were utilized.	and drill cuttings were disposed. Use attachment if more than
	Facility Permit Number:
Disposal Facility Name: Disposal Were the closed-loop system operations and associated activities performed on or in areas that Yes (If yes, please demonstrate compliance to the items below) \(\bigcap \) No	Facility Permit Number:at will not be used for future service and operations?
Required for impacted areas which will not be used for future service and operations:	
Site Reclamation (Photo Documentation)	
Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation Re-vegetation Application Rates and Seeding Technique	
Closure Report Attachment Checklist: Instructions: Each of the following items must be mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Proof of Closure Notice (surface owner and division) Proof of Deed Notice (required for on-site closure) Plot Plan (for on-site closures and temporary pits) Confirmation Sampling Analytical Results (if applicable) Waste Material Sampling Analytical Results (required for on-site closure) Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation Re-vegetation Application Rates and Seeding Technique Site Reclamation (Photo Documentation) On-site Closure Location: Latitude 36.65106 Longitude —10	
Operator Closure Certification:	
I hereby certify that the information and attachments submitted with this closure report is true belief. I also certify that the closure complies with all applicable closure requirements and co	anditions specified in the approved closure plan
Name (Print): Jeff Feace Title:	Area Environmental Advisor Date: May 13, 2014 Dohone: (505) 326-9479
Signature: aff force	Date: Maix 13, 2014
e-mail address: Peace Jeffrey @ bp. com Teler	phone: (505) 326~9479

BP AMERICA PRODUCTION COMPANY SAN JUAN BASIN, NORTHWEST NEW MEXICO

BELOW-GRADE TANK CLOSURE PLAN

Riddle F LS 3 API No. 3004507331 Unit Letter A, Section 20, T28N, R8W

This plan will address the standard protocols and procedures for closure of below-grade tanks (BGTs) on BP America Production Company (BP) well sites. As stipulated in Paragraph A of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall close a BGT within the time periods provided in 19.15.17.13 NMAC, or by an earlier date that the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) requires because of imminent danger to fresh water, public health, safety or the environment. If deviations from this plan are necessary, any specific changes will be included on form C-144 and approved by the NMOCD. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC or is not included in Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC within five years after June 16, 2008, if not retrofit with a BGT that complies with the BP NMOCD approved BGT design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC, if not previously retrofitted to comply with the BP NMOCD approve BGT Design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan, prior to any sale or change in operator pursuant to 19.15.9.9 NMAC. BP shall close the permitted BGT within 60 days of cessation of the BGTs operation or as required by the transitional provisions of Subsection B, D, or E of 19.15.17.17 NMAC.

General Closure Plan

- 1. BP shall notify the surface owner by certified mail that it plans to close a BGT. Evidence of mailing of the notice to the address of the surface owner shown in the county tax records demonstrates compliance with this requirement.
 - No notice was made due to misunderstanding of the notice requirements. Closure notices will be made for all BGT closures from this point forward.
- 2. BP shall notify the division District III office verbally or by other means at least 72 hours, but not more than one (1) week, prior to any closure operation. The notice shall include the operator's name, and the location to be closed by unit letter, section, township and range. If the BGT closure is associated with a particular well, then the notice shall also include the well's name, number and API number.
 - No notice was made due to misunderstanding of the notice requirements. Closure notices will be made for all BGT closures from this point forward.
- 3. BP shall remove liquids and sludge from the BGT prior to implementing a closure method and dispose of the liquids and sludge in a NMOCD's division-approved facility. The facilities to be used are:
 - a. BP Crouch Mesa Landfarm, Permit NM-02-003 (Solids)
 - b. JFJ Landfarm, Permit NM-01-010(B) (Solids and Sludge)

- c. Basin Disposal, Permit NM-01-0005 (Liquids)
- d. Envirotech Inc Soil Remediation Facility, Permit NM-01-0011 (Solids and Sludge)
- e. BP Operated E.E. Elliott SWD #1, API 30-045-27799 (Liquids)
- f. BP Operated 13 GCU SWD #1, API 30-045-28601 (Liquids)
- g. BP Operated GCU 259 SWD, API 30-045-20006 (Liquids)
- h. BP Operated GCU 306 SWD, API 30-045-24286 (Liquids)
- i. BP Operated GCU 307 SWD, API 30-045-24248 (Liquids)
- j. BP Operated GCU 328 SWD, API 30-045-24735 (Liquids)
- k. BP Operated Pritchard SWD #1, API 30-045-28351 (Liquids)

All liquids and sludge in the BGT were removed and sent to one of the above NMOCD approved facilities for disposal.

4. BP shall remove the BGT and dispose of it in a NMOCD approved facility or recycle, reuse, or reclaim it in a manner that the NMOCD approves. If a liner is present and must be disposed of it will be cleaned by scraping any soils or other attached materials on the liner to a de minimus amount and disposed at a permitted solid waste facility, pursuant to Subparagraph (m) of Paragraph (1) of Subsection C of 19.15.35.8 NMAC. Documentation as to the final disposition of the removed BGT will be provided in the final closure report.

The BGT was transported to a storage area for sale and re-use.

5. BP shall remove any on-site equipment associated with a BGT unless the equipment is required for well production.

All equipment associated with the BGT has been removed.

6. BP shall test the soils beneath the BGT to determine whether a release has occurred. BP shall collect at a minimum: a five (5) point composite sample and individual grab samples from any area that is wet, discolored or showing other evidence of a release and analyze for BTEX, TPH and chlorides. The testing methods for those constituents are as follows;

Constituents	Testing Method	Release Verification	Sample
	95 bbl BGT	(mg/Kg)	results
Benzene	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	0.2	ND
Total BTEX	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	50	ND
TPH	US EPA Method SW-846 418.1	100	35
Chlorides	US EPA Method 300.0 or 4500B	250 or background	ND

Notes: mg/Kg = milligram per kilogram, BTEX = benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes, TPH = total petroleum hydrocarbons. Other EPA methods that the division approves may be applied to all constituents listed. Chloride closure standards will be determined by which ever concentration level is greatest.

Soil under the BGT was sampled and TPH, BTEX and chloride levels were below the stated limits. Sampling data is attached.

- 7. BP shall notify the division District III office of its results on form C-141. C-141 is attached.
- 8. If it is determined that a release has occurred, then BP will comply with 19.15.30 NMAC and 19.15.29 NMAC, as appropriate.

 Sampling results indicate no release occurred.
- 9. If the sampling demonstrates that a release has not occurred or that any release does not exceed the concentrations specified above, then BP shall backfill the excavation, with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material; construct a division-prescribed soil cover, re-contour and re-vegetate the location. The location will be reclaimed if it is not with in the active process area

The area under the BGT was backfilled with clean soil and is still within the active area.

10. BP shall reclaim the BGT location and all areas associated with the BGT including associated access roads to a safe and stable condition that blends with the surrounding undisturbed area. BP shall substantially restore the impacted surface area to the condition that existed prior to oil and gas operations by placement of the soil cover as provided in Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, re-contour the location and associated areas to a contour that approximates the original contour and blends with the surrounding topography and re-vegetate according to Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC.

The area over the BGT is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

11. The soil cover for closures where the BGT has been removed or remediated to the NMOCD's satisfaction shall consist of the background thickness of topsoil or one foot of suitable material to establish vegetation at the site, whichever is greater. The soil cover will be constructed to the site's existing grade and all practicable efforts will be made to prevent ponding of water and erosion of the cover material.

The area over the BGT is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

12. BP shall seed the disturbed area the first growing season after closure of the BGT. Seeding will be accomplished by drilling on the contour whenever practical or by other division-approved methods. Vegetative cover will be, at a minimum, 70% of the native perennial vegetative cover (un-impacted by overgrazing, fire or other intrusion damaging to native vegetation), consisting of at least three native plant species, including at least one grass, but not including noxious weeds, and maintenance of that cover through two successive growing seasons. During the two growing seasons that prove viability, there shall be no artificial irrigation of the vegetation.

The area over the BGT is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

13. BP shall seed, plant and re-seed pursuant to Paragraph (3) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, until the location successfully achieves the required vegetative cover

BP will seed the area when the well is plugged and abandoned.

14. Pursuant to Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall notify the NMOCD when it has seeded or planted and when it successfully achieves revegetation.

BP will notify NMOCD when re-vegetation is successful.

- 15. Within 60 days of closure completion, BP shall submit a closure report on NMOCD's form C-144, and will include the following;
 - a. proof of closure notification (surface owner and NMOCD)
 - b. sampling analytical reports; information required by 19.15.17 NMAC;
 - c. disposal facility name and permit number
 - d. details on back-filling, capping, covering, and where applicable re-vegetation application rates and seeding techniques and
 - e. site reclamation, photo documentation.

 Closure report on C-144 form is included.
- 16. BP shall certify that all information in the report and attachments is accurate, truthful, and compliant with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.

Certification section of C-144 has been completed.

District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV

1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

Form C-141 Revised August 8, 2011

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505 Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

			Rele	ease Notifi	catio	n and Co	orrective A	etion				
						OPERA	ГOR	al Report	\boxtimes	Final Repor		
Name of Company: BP						Contact: Jef	f Peace					
		Court, Farmi	ngton, N	M 87401		Telephone 1	No.: 505-326-94	179				
Facility Na							e: Natural gas v					
Surface Ow	ner: Feder	al		Mineral	Owner:	Federal		A	PI No	o. 3004507	331	
				LOC	ATIO	N OF REI	FASE			-		
Unit Letter	Section	Township	Range	Feet from the		/South Line	Feet from the	East/West	Line	County: S	an Juar	<u> </u>
A	20	28N	8W	1,150	North		1,131	East		L		
	Latitude36.65106											
				NA	TURE	OF RELI	EASE					
Type of Rele	ase: none			1112			Release: N/A	Vol	ume l	Recovered:	N/A	
		v grade tank –	95 bbl			Date and H	our of Occurrence			Hour of Dis		:
Was Immedia	te Notice (If YES, To	Whom?					
			Yes _	No 🛛 Not R	equired							
By Whom?						Date and H	our					
Was a Water	course Read					If YES, Vo	lume Impacting t	the Watercou	rse.			
			Yes 🛚	No								
If a Watercou	rse was Im	pacted, Descri	be Fully.*	:		1						
							the BGT was do		noval	to ensure no	soil im	npacts from
				en.* BGT was reactive well area.	emoved	and the area u	nderneath the BG	T was sample	ed. T	he area und	er the B	GT was
regulations al public health should their cor the environ	l operators or the envir perations h ment. In a	are required to ronment. The ave failed to a	report an acceptanc dequately CD accep	d/or file certain are of a C-141 reprinciple investigate and are	release n ort by th remediat	otifications ar e NMOCD ma e contamination	knowledge and und perform correctories arked as "Final Roon that pose a threather of the operator	ctive actions f eport" does n eat to ground	or rel ot rel wate	eases which ieve the ope r, surface wa	may en rator of ater, hur	ndanger Tliability man health
		^					OIL CON	SERVAT	<u>[ON</u>	DIVISIO	<u>)N</u>	
Signatura:	app	2000										
Signature: Approved by Environmen								nacialist				
Printed Name	: Jeff Peace	2				Approved by	Environmental S	pecialist:				
Title: Area E						Approval Dat	e:	Expir	ation	Date:		
		-				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
E-mail Addre	ss: peace.je	effrey@bp.con	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Conditions of Approval:						
Date: May I	3, 2014		Phone: 50	5-326-9479								

CLIENT: BP	BLAGG EN P.O. BOX 87, BL	GINEERING, IN		API#: 300	4507331
	•) 632-1199	107410	TANK ID (if applicble):	A &B
FIELD REPORT:	(circle one): BGT CONFIRMATION / F	RELEASE INVESTIGATION / 0°		PAGE#:	1 of 1
SITE INFORMATION		F LS # 3		DATE STARTED:	02/23/12
QUAD/UNIT: A SEC: 20 TWP:	28N RNG: 8W PM:	NM CNTY: SJ	st: NM	DATE FINISHED: _	
1/4 -1/4/FOOTAGE: 1,150'N / 1,13 LEASE #: SF080112	B1'E NE/NE LEASE TYP PROD. FORMATION: CHA CON	FEDERAL / STATE / ELKHORN		ENVIRONMENTAL SPECIALIST(S):	JCB
REFERENCE POINT			117 X 107.699		
1) 95 BGT (SW/DB) - A	GPS COORD.: 36.0			ARING FROM W.H.:	123', N75E
2) 35 DOT (OW/DD) - D	GPS COORD.: 38.00	51235 X-107.099245		ARING FROM W.H.:	99', NOW
3)	GPS COORD.:		DISTANCE/BE/	ARING FROM W.H.:	
4)	GPS COORD.:		DISTANCE/BE/	ARING FROM W.H.:	
SAMPLING DATA:	CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD(S) # OR I	LAB USED: HALI	<u> </u>		OVM READING
1) SAMPLE ID: 95 BGT A 5-PT (6	06' SAMPLE DATE: 02/23/12	SAMPLETIME: 0950	LAB ANALYSIS: 418.1/8	015B/8021B/300	0.0 (CI) (ppm) 0.0
2) SAMPLE ID:	SAMPLE DATE:	SAMPLE TIME:	LAB ANALYSIS:		
3) SAMPLE ID:	SAMPLE DATE:	SAMPLE TIME:	LAB ANALYSIS:		
4) SAMPLE ID:	SAMPLE DATE:	SAMPLE TIME:	LAB ANALYSIS:		
COHESION (ALL OTHERS): NON COHESIVE / SLIGHTLY CONSISTENCY (NON COHESIVE SOILS): LC MOISTURE: DRY/SLIGHTLY MOIST / MOIST / W SAMPLE TYPE: GRAB / COMPOSITE - # DISCOLORATION/STAINING OBSERVED ANY AREAS DISPLAYING WETNESS: YES / NO ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: BOTH BGT WELL PAD	COSE / FIRM / DENSE VERY DENSE ET / SATURATED / SUPER SATURATED FOR 5 YES NO EXPLANATION - EXPLANATION -	PLASTICITY (CLAYS): NON PLA DENSITY (COHESIVE CI HC ODOR DETECTED IE, BGT TANK (B) REPLA	LAYS & SILTS): SOFT D: YES (NO) EXPL	/ FIRM / STIFF / VERY :	STIFF / HARD
SOIL IMPACT DIMENSION ESTIMATION: DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER: >100' _ N		ft. X NA ft. NEAREST SURFACE WATER:		IMATION (Cubic Yard D TPH CLOSURE STD:	
SITE SKETCH		PLOT PLAN circle	e: attached OVM	CALIB. READ. = 53.6	ppm RF = 0.52
	TANK B		N IIME	MISCELL.	ppm 14 - 0.32 ATE: 02/23/12
		PBGTL T.B ~ 6' B.G. X X X X	N P	IDDLE CDP 1 1482894 O: 64304 SCHWLLBGT	
	w. н. ⊕		S.P.D.	Domnit data(e), i	06/14/10 le: Y NY NA
NOTES: BGT = BELOW-GRADE TANK; E.D. = EXCAVATIO	ON DEPRESSION; B.G. = BELOW GRADE; B = BELO OW-GRADE TANK LOCATION; SPD = SAMPLE POIN)W, T.H. = TEST HOLE; ~ = APPROX.; W NT DESIGNATION: R W = RETAINING W	KITI VVCLL NEAD,		
APPLICABLE OR NOT AVAILABLE; SW - SINGLE	EWALL; DW - DOUBLE WALL; SB - SINGLE BOTTON	W; DB - DOUBLE BOTTOM.		agnetic declination	1. IU <u>E</u>
TRAVEL NOTES: CALLOUT:		ONSITE: 02/23	3/12		

Analytical Report

Lab Order 1202886

Date Reported: 3/2/2012

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Blagg Engineering

Client Sample ID: 95 BGT A 5-Pt @ 6'

Project: Riddle CDP 1

Collection Date: 2/23/2012 9:50:00 AM

Lab ID: 1202886-001

Matrix: SOIL

Received Date: 2/28/2012 10:20:00 AM

Analyses	Result	RL Qu	al Units	DF	Date Analyzed
EPA METHOD 8015B: DIESEL RANGI	E ORGANICS				Analyst: JMP
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	10	mg/Kg	1	2/29/2012 8:20:25 AM
Surr: DNOP	89.2	77.4-131	%REC	1	2/29/2012 8:20:25 AM
EPA METHOD 8015B: GASOLINE RA	NGE				Analyst: RAA
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	4.9	mg/Kg	1	2/29/2012 3:54:48 PM
Surr: BFB	101	69.7-121	%REC	1	2/29/2012 3:54:48 PM
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analyst: RAA
Benzene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	2/29/2012 3:54:48 PM
Toluene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	2/29/2012 3:54:48 PM
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	2/29/2012 3:54:48 PM
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.098	mg/Kg	1	2/29/2012 3:54:48 PM
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	101	85.3-139	%REC	1	2/29/2012 3:54:48 PM
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analyst: BRM
Chloride	ND	7.5	mg/Kg	5	2/29/2012 1:21:38 PM
EPA METHOD 418.1: TPH					Analyst: JMP
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	35	20	mg/Kg	1	2/29/2012

Qualifiers:

- */X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

C	hain-	of-Cu	stody Record	Turn-Around	Time:			ļ			=	- A :	: =		187.	7 2 22	•	200		-217		
Client:	BLAG	- ENG	NEFRING INC.	∫ ≭ Standard	□ Rush					_											TAL YR'	
				Project Name): 			ANALYSIS LABORATORY www.hallenvironmental.com 4901 Hawkins NE - Albuquerque, NM 87109														
Mailing	Address:	DI	A Box 87	RIDGE	CDP 1																	
				Project #:											•	•						
	SLOOM	FIELD,	NM 87413 32-1199	-				200			5-34									1	- ; » ·	· *
		25-6	32-1197	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Analysis Request														
email o	r Fax#:			Project Mana		•		BTEX + MTBE + TPH (Gas only) BTEX + MTBE + TPH (Gas only) TPH Method 8015B (Gas/Diesel) TPH (Method 418.1) EDB (Method 504.1) 8310 (PNA or PAH) RCRA 8 Metals Anions (F,CI,NO ₃ ,NO ₂ ,PO ₄ ,SO ₄) 8081 Pesticides / 8082 PCB's 8260B (VOA) 8270 (SemI-VOA) CHLORIDE														
	Package:			$ \mathcal{J} $	BLALL			802	as (ġ		ı			8,4	B.	İ					
Stan			☐ Level 4 (Full Validation)	J. I				ETS (9) +	Gas					2,P(32 P						
Accredi		⊏3 Othe	r	Sampler: J	- BLALL	Erder/%		BTEX + ***********************************	립	5B (£.	-	=	Ì	8	8081 Pesticides / 8082 PCB						S S
□ EDD				Sample liem		41404		Ш	+ 	8	4	20	8310 (PNA or PAH)	<u>s</u>	8	les/		8270 (Semi-VOA)	W		- }	ļ
	(Type)_						2 2		/TB	B	<u>ğ</u>	일	Ao	Met	ਹ	ţicio	8	딑	20			S
Date	Time	Matrix	Sample Request ID		Preservative			#	+	Met	Med	Me		8	S (F	Pes	8260B (VOA)	Sel.	CHLORIDE			Bubbles
Date	Time	Wattix	Cample Request ib	Type and #	Туре			Ĕ	ĬĘ.	핅	핅	8	5	8	ioi	8	309	20	0	. 1		l g
2/- /			95 2/+ N	<u> </u>		190238			B.	F	F	픠	∞	ř	₹	8	8	8		$-\!\!\!\!+$	-	Ĭ
23/2017	0950	Soil	95 BGT A , 5-P6 @ 6	402 ×1	COOL	-1		X		시	X		_	\perp					X		_	
														1	\Box							1
										Ť					T						_	\top
						 				_		\dashv				_				\vdash	+	+
								_	$\overline{}$	\dashv	\dashv	-+	\dashv		\dashv	-				_	_	╬
									\rightarrow			\dashv			\dashv	-		_		\dashv	_ _	-
				<u> </u>						_		_	_	_	_	_		_		_		
										_	\dashv											
																						T
												1	7		\dashv							1
Date:	Time:	Relinguish	ed by:	Received by:	۸.		me	Ren	narks	: G	RO .	← <i>P</i> .		O A1	, <i>B</i> ,		- -					
27/12	0978	J.J.	1 Blys	houst	who los	2/27/12	2928	Sn	E:	Ris	DUE	F	L	5.3	· •	-, -	ن					
Date:	Time:	Relinquish	ed by:	Received by:			me	N	148	2.89	4	-		_	•							
2/07/12	 	M	4- Mak -	Prince		. aboli	~ 190	₹5	CHW	ЦB	GT											
127/12	TENT	H WAND	whele	rickip	arry bu	Ma Apal F	<u> </u>	JE	<u> </u>	ACE			doto :	مط الف	alaad)			45	- b. 4!-	1		

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1202886

02-Mar-12

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

Riddle CDP 1

Sample ID MB-882

SampType: MBLK

TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

Client ID: PBS

Batch ID: 882

RunNo: 1194

Prep Date: 2/29/2012 Analysis Date: 2/29/2012

PQL

1.5

SeqNo: 34005

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit

Units: mg/Kg

%RPD **RPDLimit** HighLimit

Qual

Analyte Chloride

ND

Result

Qualifiers:

*/X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

Ε Value above quantitation range

Analyte detected below quantitation limits

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank В

Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

Reporting Detection Limit RL

Page 2 of 6

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1202886

02-Mar-12

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

Riddle CDP 1

Sample	ID	MB-873
--------	----	--------

SampType: MBLK

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

Client ID: PBS Batch ID: 873

RunNo: 1167

Prep Date: 2/28/2012 Analysis Date: 2/29/2012

SeqNo: 33247

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit

Units: mg/Kg

HighLimit

%RPD **RPDLimit**

Qual

Analyte Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR Result **PQL** ND

20

SampType: LCS

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

Sample ID LCS-873

Result

RunNo: 1167

115

Client ID: Prep Date:

LCSS 2/28/2012 Batch ID: 873

SeqNo: 33248

Units: mg/Kg

Analyte

Analysis Date: 2/29/2012 PQL

20

SPK value SPK Ref Val

0

0

%REC 111

LowLimit HighLimit 87.8

%RPD **RPDLimit** Qual

Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR

Sample ID LCSD-873

Client ID: LCSS02

110

SampType: LCSD

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

RunNo: 1167

Prep Date: 2/28/2012

Batch ID: 873 Analysis Date: 2/29/2012

20

SeqNo: 33249

Units: mg/Kg

0.937

RPDLimit

Qual

Analyte Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR

PQL Result

110

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC

100.0

100.0

110

LowLimit 87.8

%RPD HighLimit 115

8.04

Qualifiers:

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. */X

E Value above quantitation range

Analyte detected below quantitation limits

Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank В

Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded Η

Not Detected at the Reporting Limit ND Reporting Detection Limit

Page 3 of 6

R

RPD outside accepted recovery limits

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: **1202886**

02-Mar-12

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project: Riddle	CDP 1								
Sample ID MB-872	SampType: MBLK	TestCode: EPA Me	TestCode: EPA Method 8015B: Diesel Range Organics						
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 872	RunNo: 1169	RunNo: 1169						
Prep Date: 2/28/2012	Analysis Date: 2/29/2012	SeqNo: 33257	Units: mg/Kg	Units: mg/Kg					
Analyte	Result PQL SPK va	lue SPK Ref Val %REC Low	Limit HighLimit %RPD	RPDLimit Qual					
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND 10								
Surr: DNOP	8.7 10	.00 86.7	77.4 131						
Sample ID LCS-872	SampType: LCS TestCode: EPA Method 8015B: Diesel Range Organics								
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 872	RunNo: 1169	RunNo: 1169						
Prep Date: 2/28/2012	Analysis Date: 2/29/2012	SeqNo: 33258	Units: mg/Kg						
Analyte	Result PQL SPK va	lue SPK Ref Val %REC Low	Limit HighLimit %RPD	RPDLimit Qual					
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	45 10 50	00 0 90.2	62.7 139						
Surr: DNOP	4.4 5.0	87.7	77.4 131						
Sample ID MB-891	SampType: MBLK	TestCode: EPA Me	TestCode: EPA Method 8015B: Diesel Range Organics						
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 891	RunNo: 1195	RunNo: 1195						
Prep Date: 2/29/2012	Analysis Date: 3/1/2012	SeqNo: 34033	Units: %REC	Units: %REC					
Analyte	Result PQL SPK va	lue SPK Ref Val %REC Low	Limit HighLimit %RPD	RPDLimit Qual					
Surr: DNOP	8.6 10	.00 86.2	77.4 131						
Sample ID LCS-891	SampType: LCS	TestCode: EPA Me	TestCode: EPA Method 8015B: Diesel Range Organics						
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 891	RunNo: 1195	RunNo: 1195						
Prep Date: 2/29/2012	Analysis Date: 3/1/2012	SeqNo: 34034	Units: %REC	Units: %REC					
Analyte	Result PQL SPK va	lue SPK Ref Val %REC Low	Limit HighLimit %RPD	RPDLimit Qual					
Surr: DNOP	4.5 5.0	000 89.5	77.4 131						

Qualifiers:

*/X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

E Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 4 of 6

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1202886

02-Mar-12

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

Riddle CDP 1

Sample ID MB-871 Client ID: PBS

SampType: MBLK

TestCode: EPA Method 8015B: Gasoline Range

Batch ID: 871

RunNo: 1184

Prep Date: 2/28/2012 Analysis Date: 2/29/2012

Analyte

Result PQL 5.0 SeqNo: 34142

Units: mg/Kg HighLimit

%RPD **RPDLimit**

Qual

Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) Surr: BFB

ND 1,100

1,000

110

121

Sample ID LCS-871

SampType: LCS Batch ID: 871

TestCode: EPA Method 8015B: Gasoline Range

%REC

RunNo: 1184

Client ID: Prep Date:

Analyte

2/28/2012

LCSS

Analysis Date: 2/29/2012

PQL

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC

SeqNo: 34147

Units: mg/Kg

%RPD

Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)

30 1,200

Result

SPK value SPK Ref Val 25.00

120

LowLimit 98.5 69.7

LowLimit

69.7

HighLimit 133 **RPDLimit** Qual

Surr: BFB

5.0

1,000

119

121

Qualifiers:

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. */X

Ε Value above quantitation range

Analyte detected below quantitation limits

RPD outside accepted recovery limits R

В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

Not Detected at the Reporting Limit ND Reporting Detection Limit

Page 5 of 6

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1202886

02-Mar-12

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

Riddle CDP 1

Sample ID MB-871	SampType: MBLK Batch ID: 871 Analysis Date: 2/29/2012		TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles							
Client ID: PBS			RunNo: 1184 SeqNo: 34176							
Prep Date: 2/28/2012						Units: mg/K	g			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	ND	0.050								
Toluene	ND	0.050								
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.050								
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.10								
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.2		1.000		116	85.3	139			

Sample ID LCS-871	SampType: LCS Batch ID: 871			TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles							
Client ID: LCSS				RunNo: 1184 SeqNo: 34180							
Prep Date: 2/28/2012	Analysis Date: 2/29/2012		Units: mg/k				(g				
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual	
Benzene	1.0	0.050	1.000	0	100	83.3	107				
Toluene	0.98	0.050	1.000	0	98.2	74.3	115				
Ethylbenzene	1.0	0.050	1.000	0	104	80.9	122				
Xylenes, Total	3.2	0.10	3.000	0	107	85.2	123				
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.2		1.000		120	85.3	139				

Qualifiers:

^{*/}X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

E Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

RL Reporting Detection Limit



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87105

TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

Sample Log-In Check List

Client Name: **BLAGG** Work Order Number: 1202886 2/28/12 Received by/date: Logged By: Michelle Garcia 2/28/2012 10:20:00 AM Completed By: Michelle, Garcia 2/28/2012 10:44:37 AM Reviewed By: Chain of Custody 1. Were seals intact? No Not Present ✓ Yes 2. Is Chain of Custody complete? No Not Present Yes 🗸 3. How was the sample delivered? Courier Log In NA 4. Coolers are present? (see 19. for cooler specific information) No 5 Was an attempt made to cool the samples? NA 6. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C NA No 7 Sample(s) in proper container(s)? No 8. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? 9 Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? No Yes 10. Was preservative added to bottles? Νo NΑ Yes No VOA Vials 🗸 11 VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes Nο 12. Were any sample containers received broken? No Yes # of preserved 13. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes No bottles checked (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) for pH: 14. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Nο (<2 or >12 unless noted) Adjusted? 15. Is it clear what analyses were requested? 16. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Checked by: Special Handling (if applicable) 17. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No Person Notified: Date: By Whom: Via: eMail Phone Fax In Person Regarding: Client Instructions: 18. Additional remarks: 19. Cooler Information Cooler No Temp °C Condition | Seal Intact | Seal No Good



