District I

1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources Department Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

Form C-144 Revised June 6, 2013

For temporary pits, below-grade tanks, and multi-well fluid management pits, submit to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

For permanent pits submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

Pit, Below-Grade T Proposed Alternative Method Permit of Type of action: Below grade tank registration Permit of a pit or proposed alternative metalogous Closure of a pit, below-grade tank, or pro Modification to an existing permit/or registration to an ex	r Closure ethod posed alterna	ative method	I	ada tank
or proposed alternative method	ng permitted	or non-perm	ntted pit, below-gra	ide talik,
Instructions: Please submit one application (Form C-144) per indiv Please be advised that approval of this request does not relieve the operator of liability should convironment. Nor does approval relieve the operator of its responsibility to comply with any of	operations resul	t in pollution o	of surface water, groun	d water or the
Operator: BP America Production Company	OGRID #:	778	OII CONS DI	/ DICT %
Address:200 Energy Court, Farmington, NM 87401				
Facility or well name:Riddle C LS 2A			WALLE	2014
API Number:3004522451OCD Permit Num				
U/L or Qtr/Qtrl Section30 Township31N Range				
Center of Proposed Design: Latitude36.866249 Longitude				
Surface Owner: Federal State Trivate Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment	_			-
2. Pit: Subsection F, G or J of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Temporary:	PVC C	Other		
3.				
Below-grade tank: Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Tank	Ά			
Volume:95.0bbl Type of fluid:Produced water				_
Tank Construction material:Steel				
☐ Secondary containment with leak detection ☐ Visible sidewalls, liner, 6-inch lift a	and automatic	overflow shut	-off	
☐ Visible sidewalls and liner ☐ Visible sidewalls only ☐ Other _Single walled				
Liner type: Thicknessmil				

Alternative Method:

Submittal of an exception request is required. Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

Fencing: Subsection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits, temporary pits, and below-grade tanks) Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top (Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent residence, school,	hospital
institution or church)	погриш,
Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet	
Alternate. Please specify	
Netting: Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks) Screen Netting Other	
Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)	
7.	
Signs: Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC	
12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers	
Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC	
8. Variances and Exceptions:	
Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance.	
Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank: Uariance(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district for consideration of approval.	
Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.	
9.	
Siting Criteria (regarding permitting): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of acceptance are provided below. Siting criteria does not apply to drying pads or above-grade tanks.	ptable source
General siting	
Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of a low chloride temporary pit or below-grade tank. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes No
Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of a Temporary pit, permanent pit, or Multi-Well Fluid Management pit. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes No
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	☐ Yes ☐ No
 Within an unstable area. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map 	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within a 100-year floodplain. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) - FEMA map	☐ Yes ☐ No
Below Grade Tanks	,
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, significant watercourse, lake bed, sinkhole, wetland or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No
Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for public or livestock consumption;. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Temporary Pit using Low Chloride Drilling Fluid (maximum chloride content 15,000 mg/liter)	
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). (Applies to low chloride temporary pits.) - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No

Within 300 feet from a occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial	Yes No
application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	
Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 300feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of the initial application. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No
Within 100 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Temporary Pit Non-low chloride drilling fluid	
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse, or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	
	Yes No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in the existence at the time of the initial application; - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet of a wetland US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No
Permanent Pit or Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit	•
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).	
- Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of	
initial application NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 N Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the doc attached.	MAC cuments are
Hydrogeologic Report (Below-grade Tanks) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Hydrogeologic Data (Temporary and Emergency Pits) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.12 NMAC	
and 19.15.17.13 NMAC Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number:	
II. Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC	
Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the doc attached.	ruments are
 □ Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC □ Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC □ A List of wells with approved application for permit to drill associated with the pit. □ Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19. and 19.15.17.13 NMAC 	15.17.9 NMAC
Hydrogeologic Data - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC	
Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number:	

Fermanent Pits Permit Application Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the	documents are
### Attached. Hydrogeologic Report - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Climatological Factors Assessment Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Leak Detection Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Liner Specifications and Compatibility Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Quality Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installation Plan Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Freeboard and Overtopping Prevention Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Nuisance or Hazardous Odors, including H₂S, Prevention Plan Emergency Response Plan Oil Field Waste Stream Characterization Monitoring and Inspection Plan Erosion Control Plan Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC	
Proposed Closure: 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan.	
Type: Drilling Workover Emergency Cavitation P&A Permanent Pit Below-grade Tank Multi-well F Alternative Proposed Closure Method: Waste Excavation and Removal Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only) On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems) In-place Burial On-site Trench Burial Alternative Closure Method	luid Management Pit
Waste Excavation and Removal Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings) Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	
Siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendations of acceptable sour provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria require justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency. In 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance.	
Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes No
Ground water is between 25-50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes No
Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance	

adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. ' - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	☐ Yes ☐ No
 Within an unstable area. Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map 	
Within a 100-year floodplain.	☐ Yes ☐ No
- FEMA map	No
On-Site Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plan by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Proof of Surface Owner Notice - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection K of 19.15.17.1 Construction/Design Plan of Temporary Pit (for in-place burial of a drying pad) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Waste Material Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings or in case on-site closure standards cannot Soil Cover Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	11 NMAC 15.17.11 NMAC
Operator Application Certification: I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and believed.	ef.
Name (Print): Title:	
Signature: Date:	
e-mail address: Telephone:	
OCD Approval: Permit Application (including closure plan) Closure Plan (only) OCD Conditions (see attachment) OCD Representative Signature: Title: OCD Permit Number:	2014
Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion): 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved closure plan prior to implementing any closure activities and submitting The closure report is required to be submitted to the division within 60 days of the completion of the closure activities. Please do not section of the form until an approved closure plan has been obtained and the closure activities have been completed. Closure Completion Date: 4/7/2014	
20. Closure Method: Waste Excavation and Removal ☐ On-Site Closure Method ☐ Alternative Closure Method ☐ Waste Removal (Closed-loc ☐ If different from approved plan, please explain.	op systems only)
Closure Report Attachment Checklist: Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure report. Please incommark in the box, that the documents are attached. Proof of Closure Notice (surface owner and division) Proof of Deed Notice (required for on-site closure for private land only) Plot Plan (for on-site closures and temporary pits) Confirmation Sampling Analytical Results (if applicable)	licate, by a check

22. Operator Closure Certification:	
I hereby certify that the information and attachments submitted with this closure belief. I also certify that the closure complies with all applicable closure require	
Name (Print):Jeff Peace	Title: Area Environmental Advisor
Signature: Jeff Pasee	Date:May 21, 2014
e-mail address:peace.jeffrey@bp.com	Telephone:(505) 326-9479

BP AMERICA PRODUCTION COMPANY

SAN JUAN BASIN, NORTHWEST NEW MEXICO

BELOW-GRADE TANK CLOSURE PLAN

Riddle C LS 2A Tank B (95 bbl) API No. 3004522451 Unit Letter I, Section 30, T31N, R9W

This plan will address the standard protocols and procedures for closure of below-grade tanks (BGTs) on BP America Production Company (BP) well sites. As stipulated in Paragraph A of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall close a BGT within the time periods provided in 19.15.17.13 NMAC, or by an earlier date that the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) requires because of imminent danger to fresh water, public health, safety or the environment. If deviations from this plan are necessary, any specific changes will be included on form C-144 and approved by the NMOCD. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC or is not included in Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC within five years after June 16, 2008, if not retrofit with a BGT that complies with the BP NMOCD approved BGT design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC, if not previously retrofitted to comply with the BP NMOCD approve BGT Design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan, prior to any sale or change in operator pursuant to 19.15.9.9 NMAC. BP shall close the permitted BGT within 60 days of cessation of the BGTs operation or as required by the transitional provisions of Subsection B, D, or E of 19.15.17.17 NMAC.

General Closure Plan

- 1. BP shall notify the surface owner by certified mail that it plans to close a BGT. Evidence of mailing of the notice to the address of the surface owner shown in the county tax records demonstrates compliance with this requirement.
 - No notice was sent.
- 2. BP shall notify the division District III office verbally or by other means at least 72 hours, but not more than one (1) week, prior to any closure operation. The notice shall include the operator's name, and the location to be closed by unit letter, section, township and range. If the BGT closure is associated with a particular well, then the notice shall also include the well's name, number and API number.

No notice was sent.

- 3. BP shall remove liquids and sludge from the BGT prior to implementing a closure method and dispose of the liquids and sludge in a NMOCD's division-approved facility. The facilities to be used are:
 - a. BP Crouch Mesa Landfarm, Permit NM-02-003 (Solids)
 - b. JFJ Landfarm, Permit NM-01-010(B) (Solids and Sludge)
 - c. Basin Disposal, Permit NM-01-0005 (Liquids)
 - d. Envirotech Inc Soil Remediation Facility, Permit NM-01-0011 (Solids and Sludge)
 - e. BP Operated E.E. Elliott SWD #1, API 30-045-27799 (Liquids)

- f. BP Operated 13 GCU SWD #1, API 30-045-28601 (Liquids)
- g. BP Operated GCU 259 SWD, API 30-045-20006 (Liquids)
- h. BP Operated GCU 306 SWD, API 30-045-24286 (Liquids)
- i. BP Operated GCU 307 SWD, API 30-045-24248 (Liquids)
- j. BP Operated GCU 328 SWD, API 30-045-24735 (Liquids)
- k. BP Operated Pritchard SWD #1, API 30-045-28351 (Liquids)

All liquids and sludge in the BGT were removed and sent to one of the above NMOCD approved facilities for disposal.

4. BP shall remove the BGT and dispose of it in a NMOCD approved facility or recycle, reuse, or reclaim it in a manner that the NMOCD approves. If a liner is present and must be disposed of it will be cleaned by scraping any soils or other attached materials on the liner to a de minimus amount and disposed at a permitted solid waste facility, pursuant to Subparagraph (m) of Paragraph (1) of Subsection C of 19.15.35.8 NMAC. Documentation as to the final disposition of the removed BGT will be provided in the final closure report.

The BGT was transported to a storage area for sale and re-use.

5. BP shall remove any on-site equipment associated with a BGT unless the equipment is required for well production.

All equipment associated with the BGT has been removed.

6. BP shall test the soils beneath the BGT to determine whether a release has occurred. BP shall collect at a minimum: a five (5) point composite sample and individual grab samples from any area that is wet, discolored or showing other evidence of a release and analyze for BTEX, TPH and chlorides. The testing methods for those constituents are as follows;

Constituents	Testing Method	Release Verification	Sample
	95 bbl BGT - Tank B	_(mg/Kg)	results
Benzene	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	0.2	ND
Total BTEX	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	50	ND
TPH	US EPA Method SW-846 418.1	100_	150
Chlorides	US EPA Method 300.0 or 4500B	250 or background	ND

Notes: mg/Kg = milligram per kilogram, BTEX = benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes, TPH = total petroleum hydrocarbons. Other EPA methods that the division approves may be applied to all constituents listed. Chloride closure standards will be determined by which ever concentration level is greatest.

Soil under the BGT was sampled and BTEX and chloride were below the stated limits. TPH by Method 418.1 was 130 mg/kg, which is above the stated limit of 100 mg/kg, but TPH by Method 8015D was only 12 ppm, for DRO only. Sampling data is attached.

7. BP shall notify the division District III office of its results on form C-141. **C-141 is attached.**

8. If it is determined that a release has occurred, then BP will comply with 19.15.30 NMAC and 19.15.29 NMAC, as appropriate.

Sampling results indicate no release occurred.

9. If the sampling demonstrates that a release has not occurred or that any release does not exceed the concentrations specified above, then BP shall backfill the excavation, with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material; construct a division-prescribed soil cover, re-contour and re-vegetate the location. The location will be reclaimed if it is not with in the active process area

The area under the BGT was backfilled with clean soil and is still within the active well area.

10. BP shall reclaim the BGT location and all areas associated with the BGT including associated access roads to a safe and stable condition that blends with the surrounding undisturbed area. BP shall substantially restore the impacted surface area to the condition that existed prior to oil and gas operations by placement of the soil cover as provided in Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, re-contour the location and associated areas to a contour that approximates the original contour and blends with the surrounding topography and re-vegetate according to Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC.

The area over the BGT is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

11. The soil cover for closures where the BGT has been removed or remediated to the NMOCD's satisfaction shall consist of the background thickness of topsoil or one foot of suitable material to establish vegetation at the site, whichever is greater. The soil cover will be constructed to the site's existing grade and all practicable efforts will be made to prevent ponding of water and erosion of the cover material.

The area over the BGT is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

12. BP shall seed the disturbed area the first growing season after closure of the BGT. Seeding will be accomplished by drilling on the contour whenever practical or by other division-approved methods. Vegetative cover will be, at a minimum, 70% of the native perennial vegetative cover (un-impacted by overgrazing, fire or other intrusion damaging to native vegetation), consisting of at least three native plant species, including at least one grass, but not including noxious weeds, and maintenance of that cover through two successive growing seasons. During the two growing seasons that prove viability, there shall be no artificial irrigation of the vegetation.

The area over the BGT is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

13. BP shall seed, plant and re-seed pursuant to Paragraph (3) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, until the location successfully achieves the required vegetative cover

BP will seed the area when the well is plugged and abandoned.

14. Pursuant to Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall notify the NMOCD when it has seeded or planted and when it successfully achieves revegetation.

BP will notify NMOCD when re-vegetation is successful.

- 15. Within 60 days of closure completion, BP shall submit a closure report on NMOCD's form C-144, and will include the following;
 - a. proof of closure notification (surface owner and NMOCD)
 - b. sampling analytical reports; information required by 19.15.17 NMAC;
 - c. disposal facility name and permit number
 - d. details on back-filling, capping, covering, and where applicable re-vegetation application rates and seeding techniques and
 - e. site reclamation, photo documentation.
 - Closure report on C-144 form is included.
- 16. BP shall certify that all information in the report and attachments is accurate, truthful, and compliant with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.

Certification section of C-144 has been completed.

<u>District I</u> 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 District II 811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 District III 1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410 District IV 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

Form C-141 Revised August 8, 2011

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

			Rele	ease Notifi	cation	i and Co	orrective A	ction			
						OPERA	ГOR	[niti:	al Report 🛛 Final Repo	
Name of Co						Contact: Jeff Peace					
		Court, Farm	ington, N	M 87401			No.: 505-326 - 94				
Facility Na	ne: Riddle	C LS 2A				Facility Typ	e: Natural gas v	vell	_		
Surface Ow	ner: Feder	al		Mineral (Owner: I	Federal			API No	0. 3004522451	
				LOC	ATION	OF REI	LEASE				
Unit Letter	Section 30	Township 31N	Range 9W	Feet from the 1,600	North/ South	South Line	Feet from the 885	East/W East	est Line	County: San Juan	
		Latit	ude36	.866249		_ Longitud	e107.815698_				
				NAI	TURE	OF RELI	EASE				
Type of Rele						Volume of	Release: N/A			Recovered: N/A	
		v grade tank –	- 95 bbl, - '	Tank B		N/A	lour of Occurrenc	e:	Date and	Hour of Discovery: N/A	
Was Immedia	ate Notice (Yes [No ⊠ Not R	equired	If YES, To	Whom?				
By Whom?						Date and H	lour				
Was a Water	course Read		Yes 🛭	No		If YES, Vo	lume Impacting t	he Water	course.		
If a Watercou	irse was im	pacted, Descr	ibe Fully.	•							
the BGT. So	il analysis r	esulted in TP	H, BTEX		ow stand					to ensure no soil impacts from om, but TPH from Method	
				en.* BGT was re active well area.	moved a	nd the area u	nderneath the BG	T was sai	mpled. Ti	he excavated area was	
regulations al public health should their o	I operators or the envir operations h nment. In a	are required to ronment. The ave failed to a ddition, NMC	o report ar acceptance adequately OCD accep	d/or file certain re e of a C-141 repo investigate and r	elease no ort by the emediate	otifications ar NMOCD ma contamination	nd perform correctarked as "Final Reconstruction that pose a threet the operator of reconstruction of	tive actio eport" doc eat to gro esponsib	ons for rele es not reli ound water ility for co	suant to NMOCD rules and eases which may endanger leve the operator of liability r, surface water, human health compliance with any other	
Signature:	elf 1	Jooce	,				OIL CONS	SERV <i>A</i>	<u>ATION</u>	DIVISION	
Printed Name	: Jeff Peace	e			/	Approved by	Environmental Sp	pecialist:			
Title: Area E	nvironment	al Advisor				Approval Dat	e:	Ex	xpiration l	Date:	
E-mail Addre	ess: peace.je	effrey@bp.co	n			Conditions of	`Approval:			Attached	
Date: May 2 Attach Addit		ets If Necess		05-326-9479							

CLIENT: BP	BLAGG E P.O. BOX 87, E	NGINEERING		API#: 3004522451	
	·	05) 632-1199	, 144, 07-410	TANK ID (if applicble):	
FIELD REPORT:	(circle one): BGT CONFIRMATION	/ RELEASE INVESTIGATIO	ON / OTHER:	PAGE#: 1 of	1
SITE INFORMATION	: SITE NAME: RIDDLE	ECLS#2A		DATE STARTED: 03/28/14	1
QUAD/UNIT: SEC: 30 TWP:	31N RNG: 9W PM	NM CNTY:	SJ ST: NM	DATE FINISHED:	
1/4 -1/4/FOOTAGE: 1,600'S / 885		ELVL	IODN	ENVIRONMENTAL	
	PROD. FORMATION: MV C	ONTRACTOR: MBF	- B. SCHUMAN	SPECIALIST(S): JCB	
REFERENCE POINT				GL ELEV: 6,373'	
1) 95 BGT (SW/SB)			·—··	RING FROM W.H.: 138', \$21E	<u> </u>
2)					
3)				RING FROM W.H.:	
SAMPLING DATA:	CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD(S) # 0			OVM	<u></u>
1) SAMPLE ID: <u>95 BGT 5-pt.</u>	J			READII (ppm 3015B/8021B/300.0(CI)	n)
2) SAMPLE ID:				` '	_
3) SAMPLE ID:					\exists
4) SAMPLE ID:					
SOIL DESCRIPTION			 	,	
SOIL COLOR: DARK YELL		1		OHESIVE / MEDIUM PLASTIC / HIGHLY PLAST	TIC
COHESION (ALL OTHERS): NON COHESIVE (SLIGHTLY		DENSITY (COHESIVE CL	AYS & SILTS): SOFT / FIRM /	STIFF / VERY STIFF / HARD	
CONSISTENCY (NON COHESIVE SOILS): LC MOISTURE: DRY/SLIGHTLYMOIST/MOIST/WI	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	HC ODOR DETECTED: YE	S NO EXPLANATION -		
SAMPLE TYPE: GRAB COMPOSITE #		ANY AREAS DISPLAYING V	METNESS: YES (NO EXPLAN	VATION -	
DISCOLORATION/STAINING OBSERVED: YES /N					
SITE OBSERVATION APPARENT EVIDENCE OF A RELEASE OBSERVE					
EQUIPMENT SET OVER RECLAIMED AREA:					
OTHER: BGT CONSTRUCTION ACTUALL	Y SW/DB.				
SOIL IMPACT DIMENSION ESTIMATION:	NA ft. X NA	ft. X NA	ft. EXCAVATION EST	TIMATION (Cubic Yards) : NA	
	EAREST WATER SOURCE: >1,000	NEAREST SURFACE W	VATER: <200' NMOC	D TPH CLOSURE STD: 100	ppm
SITE SKETCH	BGT Located: off / on sit		circle: attached 0VM	CALIB. READ. = 100.7 ppm RF = 1.0	.00
		EDGE OF WELL PAD	1 1	CALIB. GAS = 100 ppm	_
COMPRESSOR	⊕ w.H.		N TIME	: <u>7:00</u> @m/pm DATE: <u>03/28/14</u>	
WITH SOUND			'┌	MISCELL. NOTES	
WALLS	(95)	ı-B		/o: N15405682	
	SEPARATOR PBG	STL		o#: k: ZEVH01BGT2	
BERM _ (B.0		l –	k: ZEVH01BGT2 J#: Z2-006Q0	
		A]	ermit date(s): 06/02/10	
(95) -A	/ / /	(xxx) → BERM	0	CD Appr. date(s): 02/25/14	
BGT		\sim	Tar IC	ppm = parts per million	
			<u> E</u>	BGT Sidewalls Visible: Y N BGT Sidewalls Visible: Y / N	
	WINDERDEGOIAL B.A. BELOWICE S. S.	FLOWETH TEOTING 15	X - S.P.D.	BGT Sidewalls Visible: Y / N BGT Sidewalls Visible: Y / N	
NOTES: BGT = BELOW-GRADE TANK; E.D. = EXCAVATION T.B. = TANK BOTTOM; PBGTL = PREVIOUS BELOW.	DW-GRADE TANK LOCATION;	POINT DESIGNATION; R.W. = RE		lagnetic declination: 10° E	
APPLICABLE OR NOT AVAILABLE; SW - SINGLE	WALL; DW - DOUBLE WALL; SB - SINGLE BOT	TOM; DB - DOUBLE BOTTOM.	<u> </u>		

Analytical Report

Lab Order 1403C41

Date Reported: 4/7/2014

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Blagg Engineering

Client Sample ID: 95 BGT 5-pt@6'

Project: Riddle C LS 2A

Collection Date: 3/28/2014 1:16:00 PM

Lab ID: 1403C41-001

Matrix: SOIL

Received Date: 3/29/2014 10:05:00 AM

Analyses	Result	RL Qı	ıal Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 8015D: DIESEL RANGE	ORGANICS				Analys	t: BCN
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	12	10	mg/Kg	1	4/1/2014 4:13:34 PM	12452
Surr: DNOP	78.4	66-131	%REC	1	4/1/2014 4:13:34 PM	12452
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RAM	NGE				Analys	t: NSB
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	4.6	mg/Kg	1	4/1/2014 4:05:28 PM	12458
Surr: BFB	88.0	74.5-129	%REC	1	4/1/2014 4:05:28 PM	12458
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analys	t: NSB
Benzene	ND	0.046	mg/Kg	1	4/1/2014 4:05:28 PM	12458
Toluene	ND	0.046	mg/Kg	1	4/1/2014 4:05:28 PM	12458
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.046	mg/Kg	1	4/1/2014 4:05:28 PM	12458
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.093	mg/Kg	1	4/1/2014 4:05:28 PM	12458
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	105	80-120	%REC	1	4/1/2014 4:05:28 PM	12458
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analyst	: JRR
Chloride	ND	30	mg/Kg	20	4/1/2014 3:19:11 PM	12476
EPA METHOD 418.1: TPH					Analyst	:: JME
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	150	20	mg/Kg	1	4/1/2014 12:00:00 PM	12448

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

Page 1 of 6

- P Sample pH greater than 2.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1403C41

07-Apr-14

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

Riddle CLS 2A

Sample ID MB-12476

SampType: MBLK

TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

Client ID:

PBS

Batch ID: 12476

RunNo: 17731

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit

Units: mg/Kg

Analyte

Prep Date: 4/1/2014

Analysis Date: 4/1/2014

SeqNo: 510847

HighLimit

%RPD **RPDLimit** Qual

Chloride

Result **PQL** ND 1.5

Sample ID LCS-12476

LCSS

SampType: LCS Batch ID: 12476 TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

RunNo: 17731

Prep Date: 4/1/2014

SeqNo: 510848

Units: mg/Kg

Analyte

Client ID:

Analysis Date: 4/1/2014

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC

HighLimit

RPDLimit

15.00

LowLimit

90

%RPD

Result

110

Chloride

14

1.5

PQL

94.2

Qualifiers:

R

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- Value above quantitation range Е
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- RSD is greater than RSDlimit 0
- RPD outside accepted recovery limits Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits S
- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- Not Detected at the Reporting Limit ND Sample pH greater than 2.
- Reporting Detection Limit RL

Page 2 of 6

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1403C41

07-Apr-14

Client: Project: Blagg Engineering Riddle CLS 2A

Sample ID MB-12448

SampType: MBLK

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

Client ID:

Batch ID: 12448

PQL

RunNo: 17702

SeqNo: 509906

Units: mg/Kg

Prep Date: Analyte

3/31/2014

Analysis Date: 4/1/2014

RPDLimit

Qual

Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR

Result ND

20

HighLimit

%RPD

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit

SPK value SPK Ref Val

100.0

100.0

%RPD

Sample ID LCS-12448

SampType: LCS Batch ID: 12448

RunNo: 17702

Prep Date: Analyte

Client ID:

3/31/2014

LCSS

Analysis Date: 4/1/2014 Result

SeqNo: 509907

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

LowLimit

Units: mg/Kg

HighLimit

Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR

97 20

PQL

97.2

%REC

80 120 **RPDLimit**

Qual

Qual

Sample ID LCSD-12448

SampType: LCSD

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH RunNo: 17702

LCSS02 Client ID: Prep Date: 3/31/2014

Batch ID: 12448 Analysis Date: 4/1/2014

20

SeqNo: 509908

Units: mg/Kg

RPDLimit

Analyte Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR **PQL**

92

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC

91.7

LowLimit

HighLimit 120

%RPD 5.78

Qualifiers:

E

S

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

Analyte detected below quantitation limits

RSD is greater than RSDlimit O

RPD outside accepted recovery limits R

Value above quantitation range

Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

Not Detected at the Reporting Limit ND

Sample pH greater than 2.

Reporting Detection Limit RL

Page 3 of 6

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1403C41

07-Apr-14

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

Riddle C LS 2A

Sample ID MB-12452	SampType: MBLK			TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics						
Client ID: PBS	Bato	Batch ID: 12452		F	RunNo: 1	7675				
Prep Date: 3/31/2014	Analysis [Date: 3/	31/2014	SeqNo: 509001			Units: mg/Kg			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO) Surr: DNOP	ND 8.4	10	10.00		84.0	66	131			
			10.00		04.0					
Sample ID LCS-12452	Samp	Type: LC	S	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8015D: Dies	el Range (Organics	
Client ID: LCSS	Batc	h ID: 12	452	F	RunNo: 1	7675				
Prep Date: 3/31/2014	Analysis [Date: 3/	31/2014	5	SeqNo: 5	09002	Units: mg/k	(g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit_	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	46	10	50.00	0	92.5	60.8	145			
Surr: DNOP	4.1		5.000		81.3	66	131			

Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDImit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 4 of 6

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Result

26

960

PQL

5.0

WO#:

1403C41

07-Apr-14

Qual

Client:

Analyte

Surr: BFB

Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)

Blagg Engineering

Project:

Riddle C LS 2A

Sample ID MB-12458 SampType: MBLK Client ID: PBS Batch ID: 12458			TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range RunNo: 17725							
Prep Date: 3/31/2014	Analysis D				SeqNo: 5		Units: mg/F	(g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	5.0								
Surr: BFB	910		1000		90.7	74.5	129			
Sample ID LCS-12458	SampT	ype: LC	s	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	8015D: Gaso	line Rang	le	
Client ID: LCSS	Batch	ID: 12	458	F	RunNo: 1	7725				
Prep Date: 3/31/2014	Analysis Da	ate: 4/	/1/2014	5	SeaNo: 5	10701	Units: ma/K	(a		

0

%REC

105

96.1

LowLimit

71.7

74.5

HighLimit

134

129

%RPD

RPDLimit

SPK value SPK Ref Val

25.00

1000

Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 5 of 6

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1403C41 *07-Apr-14*

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

Riddle C LS 2A

Sample ID MB-12458	SampType: MBLK Batch ID: 12458			Tes						
Client ID: PBS				F	RunNo: 1	7725				
Prep Date: 3/31/2014	Analysis [Date: 4/	1/2014	8	SeqNo: 5	10717	Units: mg/k	(g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	ND	0.050								
Toluene	ND	0.050								
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.050								
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.10								
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.1		1.000		109	80	120			

Sample ID LCS-12458	Samp ⁻	Гуре: LC	s	Tes						
Client ID: LCSS	Batc	h ID: 12	458	RunNo: 17725						
Prep Date: 3/31/2014	Analysis [Date: 4/	1/2014	SeqNo: 510718			Units: mg/F	(g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	1.1	0.050	1.000	0	112	80	120			
Toluene	1.1	0.050	1.000	0	105	80	120			
Ethylbenzene	1.1	0.050	1.000	0	106	80	120			
Xylenes, Total	3.2	0.10	3.000	0	105	80	120			
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.1		1.000		113	80	120			

Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 6 of 6

Chain-of-Custody Record		Turn-Around Time: HALL ENVIRONMENTAL									•										
Client:	BLAC	6 Eng	Meers Inc.	∫	□ Rush		ANALYSIS LABORATORY														
	BP	America	'Y.O.	Standard Rush Project Name: RIDDLE C. L.S. 2A				www.hallenvironmental.com 4901 Hawkins NE - Albuquerque, NM 87109									,				
Mailing	Address:	P.O. 1	Meery Inc. 109 109 109 109 109 109 109 109 109 109																		
Blown Sold NM 87413			Project #:				Tel. 505-345-3975 Fax 505-345-4107														
			632-1199								ء هي پر	Δ	ınaly	ysis	Req	ues	t		स्टब्स् इंसर्क्		
email or Fax#:			Project Manager:				رچ ا	(Q					(7						T	T	
QA/QC Package: Standard Level 4 (Full Validation)				J. Blagg				Gas or	30 / ME			SIMS)		PO ₄ ,SC	PCB's						
Accredi	tation	□ Othe	r	ONICA	T. BIGG		- TMB's (8021)	+ TPH	RO / DF	18.1)	04.1)	8270 \$		J ₃ ,NO ₂ ,	s / 8082		(A)				or N)
□ EDD	(Type)_			Sample Tém	oeralure			띪	(G	pd 4	od 5	0 or	stals	Ž	ide	æ		Ş			2
Date	Time	Matrix	Sample Request ID	Container Type and #	Preservative	HEALING L		BTEX + MTBE + TPH (Gas only)	TPH 8015B (GRO / DRO / <u>MB</u> C)	TPH (Method 418.1)	EDB (Method 504.1)	PAH's (8310 or 8270	RCRA 8 M	Anions (F,CI,NO ₃ ,NO ₂ ,PO ₄ ,SO ₄)	8081 Pesticides / 8082 PCB's	8260B (VOA)	8270 (Semi-VOA)	ch lowide			Air Bubbles (Y or N)
128/14	1316	SOIL	95 BGT 5-pec.6	402×1	Ceou	-001	X		X	X								X	\neg		
			3 6000		4,50														\top	+	+
	·						<u> </u>										H	\vdash	_	+	+-
	<u> </u>								_	\dashv						·	\vdash		\dashv	+	+
							-	-									$\vdash\vdash$	\vdash			+
							-							_					—⊨		┿
							-	-							_						╀
				ļ	<u> </u>		<u> </u>											Ш		\perp	丄
																				\bot	\perp
							;														\perp
								:													
							,	,													T
Date:	Time: 135Z	Relinquish	ed by: Blagg	Received by:	the te	Date Time 3/28/14 135Z	Rer	nark		BIL			⊋	ΕV	rid t	91	B6			<u></u>	
Date:	Time:	Relinquish	the Walters	Received by:	ense	Date Time 03/29/14	1			^a~\`			70	C#	Pe	ace	ء ۔				
23/4 Date:	135Z Time:	Relinquish	Blagg	Received by:	Maybe An according to the control of	135Z Date Time 103/29/14			i	PAt Con	/Ké	(4: (+:	ìe	(F	Pe	ace	2	-TZ			

Sample Log-In Check List

Clien	nt Name:	BLAGG	Work Order Numb	er: 1403C41		RcptNo:	1
Recei	ived by/da	te: A.T	03/29/2	2014	·		
1 1	ed By:	Ashley Gallego	s 3/29/2014 10:05:00 A	AM	A		:
	pleted By:	Ashley Gallego			A		!
1 1	ewed By:	-To	h /	14	34		
L		stady.	03/31/20				***************************************
	n of Cus		hattlas	Yes []	No :	Not Present 🗸	
		als intact on sample	: Dottles?	Yes 🔽	No :	Not Present	
		Custody complete?			NO :	Not Plesent .	
З. Н	iow was th	e sample delivered	<i>(</i>	Courier			
<u>Log</u>	<u>In</u>						
4. v	Nas an att	empt made to cool	the samples?	Yes ⊻ :	· No 🗔		
5. v	Vere all sa	mples received at a	temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C	Yes 🗹	No □	na 🗀	
6. s	Sample(s)	in proper container(s)?	Yes 🗹	No 🗔		
₹7. S	Sufficient s	ample volume for in	dicated test(s)?	Yes 🔀	No 🛴		
8. A	re sample	s (except VOA and	ONG) properly preserved?	Yes 🗹	No f. !		
9. W	Vas preser	vative added to bot	tles?	Yes 🗌	No 🗭	NA ["	
10.v	OA vials h	nave zero headspac	e?	Yes 🗌	No []	No VOA Vials 🗹	
Ť		sample containers re		Yes 🗀	No 🗹		
	·					# of preserved bottles checked	
		work match bottle l		Yes 🗹	No 🛄	for pH:	or >12 unless noted)
-		epancies on chain o		Yes 🔽	No l' i	(<2 t	ir > 12 uniess noted)
		is correctly identified hat analyses were r	d on Chain of Custody?	Yes ⊻ Yes ✓	No :		
		Iding times able to I		Yes 🗹	No i	Checked by:	
		customer for author		103 (2)			
Spec	ial Han	dling (if applica	nble)				
16.W	Vas client	notified of all discre	pancies with this order?	Yes	No 🗌	NA 🗹	
••	Perso	on Notified:	Date				 !
;	By W	hom:	Via:	eMall []	Phone Tax	In Person	:
	Rega	rding:					
'	Clien	t Instructions:					
17.	Additional	remarks:					
18 0	Cooler Inf	ormation					
10. <u>s</u>			ondition Seal Intact Seal No.	Seal Date	Signed By		

Page 1 of 1



