District I
1625 N. Frisch Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

# State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources Department Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr.

Santa Fe, NM 87505

Form C-144 Revised June 6, 2013

For temporary pits, below-grade tanks, and multi-well fluid management pits, submit to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

For permanent pits submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

Pit, Below-Grade Tank, or	
Proposed Alternative Method Permit or Closure Plan Ap	plication
Proposed Alternative Method Permit or Closure Plan Ap  Type of action:  Below grade tank registration  Permit of a pit or proposed alternative method  Closure of a pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method  Modification to an existing permit/or registration  Closure plan only submitted for an existing permitted or non-perm or proposed alternative method	
Instructions: Please submit one application (Form C-144) per individual pit, below-grade tank	k or alternative request
lease be advised that approval of this request does not relieve the operator of liability should operations result in pollution on a nirronment. Nor does approval relieve the operator of its responsibility to comply with any other applicable governmental	
1.	
Operator: BP America Production Company OGRID #:778	
Address:200 Energy Court, Farmington, NM 87401	OIL CONS. DIV DIST. 3
Facility or well name:Gallegos Canyon Unit 360	IIIN <b>2 4</b> 2014
Facility or well name:Gallegos Canyon Unit 360	
U/L or Qtr/QtrDSection14Township28NRange12WCounty: _	San Juan
Center of Proposed Design: Latitude36.666690 Longitude108.086520	NAD: □1927 ⊠ 1983
Surface Owner: 🛛 Federal 🗌 State 🔲 Private 🔲 Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment	

☐ Lined ☐ Unlined Liner type: Thickness mil ☐ LLDPE ☐ HDPE ☐ PVC ☐ Other

Liner Seams: Welded Factory Other Volume: bbl Dimensions: L x W x D

☐ Visible sidewalls and liner ☐ Visible sidewalls only ☒ Other \_Single walled/Single bottomed, side walls not visible

Volume: \_\_\_\_\_21.0\_\_\_\_\_\_bbl Type of fluid: \_\_\_Produced water\_\_\_\_\_

Secondary containment with leak detection Visible sidewalls. liner, 6-inch lift and automatic overflow shut-off

Tank A

Submittal of an exception request is required. Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

Pit: Subsection F, G or J of 19.15.17.11 NMAC

Below-grade tank: Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC

Permanent Emergency Cavitation P&A Multi-Well Fluid Management

Liner type: Thickness mil HDPE PVC Other

Temporary: Drilling Workover

Tank Construction material: Steel

☐ String-Reinforced

Alternative Method:

Low Chloride Drilling Fluid \( \square\) yes \( \square\) no

	<del></del>
Fencing: Subsection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits, temporary pits, and below-grade tanks)	
Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top (Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent residence, school,	, hospital,
institution or church)  Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet	
Alternate. Please specify	
Netting: Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks)	
Screen Netting Other	
Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)	
7.	
Signs: Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC	
12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers	
Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC	
8.	
<u>Variances and Exceptions:</u> Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance.	
Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank:	
☐ Variance(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district for consideration of approval. ☐ Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.	
9.	
Siting Criteria (regarding permitting): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of acce	ntable source
material are provided below. Siting criteria does not apply to drying pads or above-grade tanks.	prubic source
General siting	
Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of a low chloride temporary pit or below-grade tank.	Yes No
- MM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	∐ NA
Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of a Temporary pit, permanent pit, or Multi-Well Fluid Management pit.  NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	│
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. (Does not apply to below grade tanks)	☐ Yes ☐ No
- Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. (Does not apply to below grade tanks)	Yes No
- Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	
Within an unstable area. (Does not apply to below grade tanks)  - Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological	☐ Yes ☐ No
Society; Topographic map	
Within a 100-year floodplain. (Does not apply to below grade tanks)	Yes No
- FEMA map  Delays Charles Tombes	·
Below Grade Tanks	
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, significant watercourse, lake bed, sinkhole, wetland or playa lake (measured	Yes No
from the ordinary high-water mark).  - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	
Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for public or livestock consumption;.	☐ Yes ☐ No
- NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	_
Temporary Pit using Low Chloride Drilling Fluid (maximum chloride content 15,000 mg/liter)	
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). (Applies to low chloride temporary pits.)	☐ Yes ☐ No
Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	

Form C-144

Within 300 feet from a occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial	☐ Yes ☐ No
<ul> <li>application.</li> <li>Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image</li> </ul>	
Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 300feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of the initial application.  NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No
Within 100 feet of a wetland.  - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No
Temporary Pit Non-low chloride drilling fluid	
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse, or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).  - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	
	Yes No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.  - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in the existence at the time of the initial application;  NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet of a wetland.  - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Permanent Pit or Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit	
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).	,
- Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No
Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.  - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of	
initial application.  - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 feet of a wetland.  - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
10.  Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 N  Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the docattached.	
Hydrogeologic Report (Below-grade Tanks) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Hydrogeologic Data (Temporary and Emergency Pits) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19. and 19.15.17.13 NMAC	
Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number:	
II.  Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC  Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the doc	cuments are
attached.  ☐ Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC ☐ Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC ☐ A List of wells with approved application for permit to drill associated with the pit. ☐ Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19. and 19.15.17.13 NMAC ☐ Hydrogeologic Data - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC	15.17.9 NMAC
Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC  Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number:	

12.	
Permanent Pits Permit Application Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the	documents are
attached.  Hydrogeologic Report - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC  Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC  Climatological Factors Assessment  Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC  Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC  Leak Detection Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC  Liner Specifications and Compatibility Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC  Quality Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installation Plan  Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC  Freeboard and Overtopping Prevention Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC  Nuisance or Hazardous Odors, including H <sub>2</sub> S, Prevention Plan  Emergency Response Plan  Oil Field Waste Stream Characterization  Monitoring and Inspection Plan  Erosion Control Plan	
Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC	
Proposed Closure: 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan.  Type: Drilling Workover Emergency Cavitation P&A Permanent Pit Below-grade Tank Multi-well F Alternative  Proposed Closure Method: Waste Excavation and Removal Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only) On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems) In-place Burial On-site Trench Burial Alternative Closure Method	luid Management Pit
14.	
Waste Excavation and Removal Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.  □ Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  □ Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  □ Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings)  □ Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  □ Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	
15.	
Siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendations of acceptable sour provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria require justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency. In 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance.	
Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of the buried waste.  - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes No
Ground water is between 25-50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes No
Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste.  - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes No
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).  - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.  - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application.  - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	Yes No
Within 300 feet of a wetland.	103 - 140
US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance	

adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended.  - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	
	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	Yes No
<ul> <li>Within an unstable area.</li> <li>Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology &amp; Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map</li> </ul>	
Within a 100-year floodplain FEMA map	<ul><li>☐ Yes ☐ No</li><li>☐ Yes ☐ No</li></ul>
On-Site Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plan by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.  Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC  Proof of Surface Owner Notice - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection K of 19.15.17.  Construction/Design Plan of Temporary Pit (for in-place burial of a drying pad) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.  Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Waste Material Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings or in case on-site closure standards cannot Soil Cover Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	11 NMAC 15.17.11 NMAC
17. Operator Application Certification:	
I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and beli	ef.
Name (Print): Title:	
Signature: Date:	
material and a second a second and a second	
e-mail address: Telephone:	
18.  OCD Approval: Permit Application (including closure plan) Closure Plan (only) OCD Conditions (see attachment)	
18.	
18.  OCD Approval: Permit Application (including closure plan) Closure Plan (only) COD Conditions (see attachment)	
18.  OCD Approval: Permit Application (including closure plan) Closure Plan (only) OCD Conditions (see attachment)  OCD Representative Signature: Approval Date:	the closure report.
18.  OCD Approval: Permit Application (including closure plan) Closure Plan (only) OCD Conditions (see attachment)  OCD Representative Signature: Approval Date:  Title: OCD Permit Number:  19.  Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion): 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved closure plan prior to implementing any closure activities and submitting The closure report is required to be submitted to the division within 60 days of the completion of the closure activities. Please do not	the closure report.
OCD Approval: Permit Application (including closure plan) Closure Plan (only) OCD Conditions (see attachment)  OCD Representative Signature: Approval Date:  Title: OCD Permit Number:  19.  Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion): 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved closure plan prior to implementing any closure activities and submitting The closure report is required to be submitted to the division within 60 days of the completion of the closure activities. Please do not section of the form until an approved closure plan has been obtained and the closure activities have been completed.	the closure report. complete this

22.	
Operator Closure Certification:	
I hereby certify that the information and attachments submitted with this closure belief. I also certify that the closure complies with all applicable closure require	
Name (Print):Jeff Peace	Title: Area Environmental Advisor
Signature: Seff Pasco	Date:June 23, 2014
e-mail address:peace.jeffrey@bp.com	

#### BP AMERICA PRODUCTION COMPANY

SAN JUAN BASIN, NORTHWEST NEW MEXICO

#### BELOW-GRADE TANK CLOSURE PLAN

OIL CONS. DIV DIST. 3

Gallegos Canyon Unit 360
API No. 3004526455
Unit Letter D, Section 14, T28N, R12W

JUL 2 4 2014

This plan will address the method, procedures, and protocols for closure of below-grade tanks (BGTs) on BP America Production Company (BP) well sites pursuant to Subsection A of 19.15.17.13 NMAC. As stipulated in Paragraph (1) of Subsection C of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP will not commence closure without first obtaining approval of the closure plan submitted pursuant to Paragraph (3) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC. If deviations from this plan are necessary, BP will request preapproval from the Division District III office of any specific changes and will be included on form C-144. BP shall close its BGTs within 60 days of cessation of the operation as required by Paragraph (4) of Subsection G of 19.15.17.13 NMAC.

#### General Closure Plan

1. BP shall notify the surface owner by certified mail, return receipt requested that it plans to close a BGT. Notice given will be at least 72 hours in advanced, but not more than one week prior to any closure operation. The notice shall include the well name, API number, and legal description of the location. Evidence of mailing of the notice to the address of the surface owner shown in the county tax records demonstrates compliance with this requirement.

No notice was sent. This well was P&A'd in 2012 and the BGT was closed in conjunction with recent recompletion activities for the adjacent well, the GCU 207E. As a result the work was not done as part of normal BGT closure activities and a closure notice was not sent.

2. BP shall notify the division District III office verbally and in writing at least 72 hours, but not more than one week, prior to any closure operation. The notice shall include the Operator's name, and the location of the BGT to be closed by unit letter, section, township and range. If the BGT closure is associated with a particular well, then the notice shall also include the well's name, number and API number.

No notice was sent. This well was P&A'd in 2012 and the BGT was closed in conjunction with recent recompletion activities for the adjacent well, the GCU 207E. As a result the work was not done as part of normal BGT closure activities and a closure notice was not sent.

- 3. BP shall remove liquids and sludge from the BGT prior to implementing a closure method and dispose of the liquids and sludge in a NMOCD approved facility. The facilities to be used are:
  - a. BP Crouch Mesa Landfarm, Permit NM-02-003 (Solids)
  - b. JFJ Landfarm, Permit NM-01-010(B) (Solids and Sludge)
  - c. Basin Disposal, Permit NM-01-0005 (Liquids)
  - d. Envirotech Inc Soil Remediation Facility, Permit NM-01-0011 (Solids and Sludge)
  - e. BP Operated E.E. Elliott SWD #1, API 30-045-27799 (Liquids)
  - f. BP Operated 13 GCU SWD #1, API 30-045-28601 (Liquids)
  - g. BP Operated GCU 259 SWD, API 30-045-20006 (Liquids)
  - h. BP Operated GCU 306 SWD, API 30-045-24286 (Liquids)
  - i. BP Operated GCU 307 SWD, API 30-045-24248 (Liquids)
  - j. BP Operated GCU 328 SWD, API 30-045-24735 (Liquids)
  - k. BP Operated Pritchard SWD #1, API 30-045-28351 (Liquids)

All liquids and sludge in the BGT were removed and sent to one of the above NMOCD approved facilities for disposal.

- BP shall remove the BGT and dispose of it in a NMOCD approved facility or recycle, reuse, or reclaim it in a manner that the Division District III office approves. Documentation as to the final disposition of the removed BGT will be provided in the final closure report.
  - The BGT was transported to a storage area for sale and re-use.
- BP shall remove any on-site equipment associated with a BGT unless the equipment is required for some other purpose.
  - All equipment associated with the BGT has been removed.
- BP shall test the soils beneath the BGT to determine whether a release has occurred. BP shall collect at a minimum: a five (5) point composite sample to include any obvious stained or wet soils, or other evidence of a release under the BGT. The composite sample shall be collected and analyzed as required for the constituents listed in Table 1 within Subparagraph (a) of Paragraph (3) of Subsection C of 19.15.17.13 NMAC (see Table 1 on following page).

	Table		
Depth below bottom of pit to groundwater less than 10,000 mg/l TDS	Sure Criteria for Soils Bend Constituent	Method*	Limit**
	Chloride	EPA 300.0	600 mg/kg
	TPH	EPA SW-846 Method 418.1	100 mg/kg
≤50 feet	BTEX	EPA SW-846 Method 8021B or 8260B	50 mg/kg
	Benzene	EPA SW-846 Method 8021B or 8015M	10 mg/kg
	Chloride	EPA 300.0	10,000 mg/kg
	ТРН	EPA SW-846 Method 418.1	2,500 mg/kg
51 feet-100 feet	GRO+DRO	EPA SW-846 Method 8015M	1,000 mg/kg
	BTEX	EPA SW-846 Method 8021B or 8260B	50 mg/kg
	Benzene	EPA SW-846 Method 8021B or 8015M	10 mg/kg
	Chloride	EPA 300.0	20,000 mg/kg
> 100 feet	ТРН	EPA SW-846 Method 418.1	2,500 mg/kg
	GRO+DRO	EPA SW-846 Method 8015M	1,000 mg/kg
	BTEX	EPA SW-846 Method 8021B or 8260B	50 mg/kg
	Benzene	EPA SW-846 Method 8021B or 8015M	10 mg/kg

Notes:

mg/Kg = milligram per kilogram, BTEX = benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes, TPH = total petroleum hydrocarbons, TDS = total dissolved solids.

- Or other test methods approved by the division
- \*\* Numerical limits or natural background level, whichever is greater

Constituents	Testing Method	Release Verification	Sample
	21 bbl BGT	(mg/Kg)	results
Benzene	US EPA SW-846 Method 8021B or 8015M	10	ND
Total BTEX	US EPA SW-846 Method 8021B or 8260B	50	ND
TPH	US EPA SW-846 Method 418.1	100	ND
Chlorides	US EPA Method 300.0	600 or background	ND

# Soil under the BGT was sampled and TPH, BTEX, and chlorides were below the stated limits. Sampling data is attached.

7. If any contaminant concentration exceeds those standards set in Table 1, BP will acknowledge NMOCD's position to require additional delineation upon review of the results. BP will not proceed with any further closure activities until approval is first granted by NMOCD.

Contaminant concentrations did not exceed the applicable standards in Table 1.

8. If the sampling demonstrates that all contaminant constituents do not exceed the concentrations specified in Table 1, then BP shall backfill the excavation, with non-waste containing, uncontaminated, earthen material.

The area under the BGT was backfilled with clean soil.

9. If it is determined that a release has occurred, then BP will comply with 19.15.30 NMAC and 19.15.29 NMAC, as appropriate.

Sampling results indicate no release occurred.

10. BP shall reclaim the BGT location and all areas associated with the BGT including associated access roads to a safe and stable condition that blends with the surrounding undisturbed area. BP shall substantially restore the impacted surface area to the condition that existed prior to oil and gas operations by placement of the soil cover as provided in Paragraph (2) of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, re-contour the BGT location and associated areas to a contour that approximates the original contour and blends with the surrounding topography and re-vegetate according to Paragraph (5) of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC.

The area over the BGT is still within the active area of the adjacent well, the GCU 207E. This area will be reclaimed as part of final reclamation when the well is plugged and abandoned.

11. BP may propose an alternative to the re-vegetation or re-contouring requirement if it can demonstrate to the NMOCD's District III office that the proposed alternative provides equal or greater prevention of erosion, and protection of fresh water, public health and the environment. BP will seek surface owner approval of the proposed alternative and provide written documentation of the surface owner's approval to NMOCD for its approval.

BP will notify NMOCD District III and the surface owner if alternative re-vegetation or recontouring are proposed.

12. Areas reasonably needed for production operations or for subsequent drilling operations shall be compacted, covered, paved, or otherwise stabilized and maintained in such a way as to minimize dust and erosion to the extent practicable.

The area over the BGT was backfilled and compacted to the same level as the rest of the adjacent location.

13. The soil cover for closures after site contouring, where the BGT has been removed and if necessary remediated beneath the BGT to chloride concentrations less than 600 mg/kg as analyzed by EPA Method 300.0, shall consist of the background thickness of topsoil or one foot or suitable material, whichever is greater.

The area over the BGT was backfilled with clean soil.

14. The soil cover will be constructed to the site's existing grade and all practicable efforts will be made to prevent ponding of water and erosion of the cover material.

The area over the BGT was backfilled and compacted to the same level as the rest of the active location.

15. All areas disturbed by the closure of the BGT, except areas reasonably needed for production operations or for subsequent drilling operations, shall be reclaimed as early and as nearly as practicable to their original condition or their final land use and shall be maintained to control dust and minimize erosion to the extent practicable.

The area over the BGT was backfilled with clean soil and is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed as part of final reclamation when the well is plugged and abandoned.

16. Topsoils and subsoils shall be replaced to their original relative positions and contoured so as to achieve erosion control, long-term stability and preservation of surface water flow patterns. The disturbed area then shall be reseeded in the first favorable growing season following closure of the BGT.

The area over the BGT was backfilled and compacted to the same level as the rest of the location. This area will be reseeded as part of final reclamation when the well is plugged and abandoned.

17. Reclamation of all disturbed areas no longer in use shall be considered complete when all ground surface disturbing activities at the site have been completed, and a uniform vegetative cover has been established that reflects a life-form ratio of plus or minus fifty percent (50%) of pre-disturbance levels and a total percent plant cover of at least seventy percent (70%) of pre-disturbance levels, excluding noxious weeds.

BP will notify NMOCD District III when reclamation is complete after the well has been plugged and abandoned.

18. The re-vegetation and reclamation obligations imposed by other applicable federal or tribal agencies on lands managed by those agencies shall supersede these provisions and govern the obligations of BP subject to those provisions, provided that the other requirements provide equal or better protection of fresh water, human health and the environment.

BP will comply with applicable re-vegetation and reclamation obligations from other agencies if applicable.

19. Pursuant to Subparagraph (e) of Paragraph (5) of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall notify the NMOCD when reclamation and re-vegetation has been successfully achieved.

BP will notify NMOCD when reclamation and re-vegetation has been successfully achieved.

- 20. Within 60 days of closure completion, BP shall submit a closure report on NMOCD's form C-144, and will include the following;
  - a. necessary attachments to document all closure activities
  - b. sampling results
  - c. information required by 19.15.17 NMAC
  - d. details on back-filling, capping and covering, where applicable.

Closure report on C-144 form is included.

21. BP shall certify that all information in the report and attachments is accurate, truthful, and compliant with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.

Certification section of C-144 form has been completed.

<u>District I</u> 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 District II 811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 District III 1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410 District IV 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

### State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

Form C-141 Revised August 8, 2011 Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

			Rele	ease Notific	catio	on and Co	rrective A	ction	1			
						<b>OPERA</b>	ΓOR		☐ Initi	al Report	$\boxtimes$	Final Report
Name of Co						Contact: Jeff Peace						
		Court, Farm		M 87401			No.: 505-326-94					
Facility Nai	ne: Galleg	gos Canyon I	Jnit 360	•		Facility Typ	e: Natural gas v	well				
Surface Ow	ner: Feder	al		Mineral (	Owner:	: Federal		_	API No	3004526	455	
				LOCA	ATIO	N OF REI	LEASE					
Unit Letter D	Section 14	Township 28N	Range 12W	Feet from the 1,000	Nortl Nortl	h/South Line h	Feet from the 950	East/\ West	West Line	County: S	an Juar	1
-		Latit	ude36	.666690		Longitud	e108.086520					
				NAT	TURE	E OF RELI	EASE					
Type of Rele							Release: N/A			Recovered: 1		
Source of Re	lease: belov	w grade tank -	- 21 bbl			Date and F	Iour of Occurrenc	e:	Date and	Hour of Dis	covery	: N/A
Was Immedi	ate Notice (	Given?	-			If YES, To	Whom?					<del></del>
			Yes [	No 🛛 Not R	equired							
By Whom?						Date and H	lour					
Was a Water	course Read		Yes 🗵	No		If YES, Vo	olume Impacting t	the Wate	ercourse.			
If a Watercou	ırse was Im	pacted, Descr	ibe Fully.*	:					<del>.</del>			
the BGT. So	il analysis r	resulted in TP	H, BTEX	and chlorides belo	ow stan	ndards. Analys	the BGT was doing results are attacked at the BG and are attacked at the BG and are at the BG at CO at the BG at CO at the BG at CO at the BG at t	ched.				
	_				<del></del>	·						· .
regulations a public health should their o	I operators or the envi- perations hament. In a	are required to a ronment. The nave failed to a ddition, NMC	o report ar acceptanc adequately OCD accep	d/or file certain ree of a C-141 reporting and reporting and records and recor	elease ort by the emedia	notifications ar he NMOCD mate contaminati	knowledge and und perform correct arked as "Final Roon that pose a threet the operator of the correct arked as "Final Roon that pose a threet arked as "Final Roon tha	etive active eport" de eat to greesponsi	ions for releases not release not release to the cound water ibility for c	eases which ieve the ope r, surface wa ompliance v	may en rator of iter, hui vith any	ndanger Fliability man health
	M	n					OIL CON:	<u>SERV</u>	ATION	DIVISIO	<u>N</u>	
Signature:	9/1	Yosee					•					
Printed Name	Jeff Peac	e				Approved by	Environmental S	pecialis	t: 			
Title: Area E	nvironment	al Advisor				Approval Dat	e:		Expiration	Date:		
E-mail Addre	ess: peace.jo	effrey@bp.co	n			Conditions of	Approval:			Attached		•
Date: June 2		ets If Necess		5-326-9479		****			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			

client: BP	BLAGG ENGINEERING, INC. P.O. BOX 87, BLOOMFIELD, NM 87413	API#: 3004526455
CLICAT.	(505) 632-1199	TANK ID (if applicble):
FIELD REPORT:	(circle one): BGT CONFIRMATION / RELEASE INVESTIGATION / OTHER:	PAGE #: 1 of 1
SITE INFORMATION	J: SITE NAME: GCU # 360	DATE STARTED: 04/04/14
QUAD/UNIT: D SEC: 14 TWP:	28N RNG: 12W PM: NM CNTY: SJ ST: NM	DATE FINISHED:
1/4-1/4/FOOTAGE: 1,000'N / 950		ENVIRONMENTAL
<u>LEASE#: <b>SF078905</b></u>	PROD. FORMATION: FT CONTRACTOR: MBF - D, HAGA	SPECIALIST(S): JCB
REFERENCE POIN	Г: WELL HEAD (W.H.) GPS COORD.: 36.66671 X 108.08665	GL ELEV.: 5,702'
1) <b>21_BGT (SW/SB)</b>		ARING FROM W.H.: 40', \$76E
	GPS COORD.: DISTANCE/BE	ARING FROM W.H.:
		ARING FROM W.H.:
	GPS COORD.: DISTANCE/BE	OVM
SAMPLING DATA:	CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD(S) # OR LAB USED: HALL	READING (ppm)
	@ 6' SAMPLE DATE: 04/04/14 SAMPLE TIME: 0840 LAB ANALYSIS: 418.1/	
	SAMPLE DATE:         SAMPLE TIME:         LAB ANALYSIS:           SAMPLE DATE:         SAMPLE TIME:         LAB ANALYSIS:	
	SAMPLE DATE: SAMPLE TIME: LAB ANALYSIS:	
	<del></del>	<del></del>
	SOIL TYPE: SAND SILT / SILT / SILT / CLAY / CLAY / GRAVEL / OTHER BEDRO WISH ORANGE   PLASTIC / CLAYS): NON PLASTIC / SLIGHTLY PLASTIC /	<del>-</del>
COHESION (ALL OTHERS): NON COHESIVE SLIGHT		
CONSISTENCY (NON COHESIVE SOILS): L MOISTURE: DRY (SLIGHTLY MOIST) MOIST / V		
SAMPLE TYPE: GRAB COMPOSITE		NATION -
DISCOLORATION/STAINING OBSERVED: YES		
	US: LOST INTEGRITY OF EQUIPMENT: YES NO EXPLANATION-	-
APPARENT EVIDENCE OF A RELEASE OBSERVI EQUIPMENT SET OVER RECLAIMED AREA:	ED AND/OR OCCURRED: YES NO EXPLANATION: YES NO EXPLANATION -	
OTHER: GAS WELL RECENTLY PLUGG	ED AND ABANDONED (P & A).	
SOIL IMPACT DIMENSION ESTIMATION	: NA ft. X NA ft. X NA ft. EXCAVATION ES	TIMATION (Cubic Yards) : NA
	1.000	CD TPH CLOSURE STD: 1,000 ppm
SITE SKETCH	BGT Located : off Lon site PLOT PLAN circle: attached 0M	M CALIB. READ. = 101.0 ppm pc -1.00
	. 1	M CALIB. GAS = 100 ppm RF = 1.00
P& A	FORMER N I	E: <u><b>7:22</b> @mpm DATE: <b>04/04/14</b></u>
MARKER ⊕	BERM I POSITION	MISCELL. NOTES
	FORMER	NO: N15055900
	POSITION	PO#:
	X B.G.	PK: ZFEIRKOSJS
		Pu #: 03/31/14
	\ /	OCD Appr. date(s): 03/31/14
		nk OVM = Organic Vapor Meter D ppm = parts per million
	MEIER \	BGT Sidewalls Visible: Y (N)
	POSITION X - S.P.D.	BGT Sidewalls Visible: Y / N  BGT Sidewalls Visible: Y / N
	ION DEPRESSION; B.G. = BELOW GRADE; B = BELOW; T.H. = TEST HOLE; ~ = APPROX.; W.H. = WELL HEAD; LOW-GRADE TANK LOCATION; SPD = SAMPLE POINT DESIGNATION; R.W. = RETAINING WALL; NA - NOT	Magnetic declination: 10° E
	TE MALT, DM - DOORTE MATT, 2R - 2INGTE ROTTOM, DR - DOORTE ROTTOM.	wagnetic deciliation. IO E
NOTES:	ONSITE: 04/04/14	

#### **Analytical Report**

#### Lab Order 1404414

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Date Reported: 4/14/2014

Matrix: SOIL

**CLIENT:** Blagg Engineering

Client Sample ID: 21 BGT 5-pt @ 6'

Project: GCU 360

**Collection Date:** 4/4/2014 8:40:00 AM

**Lab ID:** 1404414-001

Received Date: 4/9/2014 10:00:00 AM

Analyses	Result	RL Qu	ual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 8015D: DIESEL RAN	GE ORGANICS			·	Analys	t: BCN
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	9.9	mg/Kg	1	4/10/2014 8:34:39 PM	12624
Surr: DNOP	97.8	66-131	%REC	1	4/10/2014 8:34:39 PM	12624
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE R	ANGE				Analys	t: NSB
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	4.9	mg/Kg	1	4/10/2014 11:09:02 PM	1 12623
Surr: BFB	85.2	74.5-129	%REC	1	4/10/2014 11:09:02 PM	1 12623
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analys	: NSB
Benzene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	4/10/2014 11:09:02 PM	1 12623
Toluene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	4/10/2014 11:09:02 PM	1 12623
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	4/10/2014 11:09:02 PM	12623
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.097	mg/Kg	1	4/10/2014 11:09:02 PM	1 12623
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	100	80-120	%REC	1	4/10/2014 11:09:02 PM	1 12623
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analyst	:: JRR
Chloride	ND	30	mg/Kg	20	4/10/2014 3:30:56 PM	12646
EPA METHOD 418.1: TPH					Analyst	BCN
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	ND	20	mg/Kg	1	4/10/2014	12560

#### Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

#### Qualifiers:

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

Page 1 of 6

- P Sample pH greater than 2.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

#### Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1404414

14-Apr-14

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

GCU 360

Sample ID MB-12646

SampType: MBLK

TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

PBS Client ID:

Batch ID: 12646

PQL

RunNo: 17936

Prep Date: 4/10/2014 Analysis Date: 4/10/2014

Result

SeqNo: 517496

Units: mg/Kg

HighLimit

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit

**RPDLimit** 

Qual

Analyte Chloride

ND 1.5

Sample ID LCS-12646

SampType: LCS

TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

Client ID: LCSS Batch ID: 12646

RunNo: 17936

Prep Date: 4/10/2014 Analysis Date: 4/10/2014

SeqNo: 517497

Units: mg/Kg

HighLimit %RPD

Analyte

Result

**PQL** SPK value SPK Ref Val

110

14

90

%RPD

Chloride

1.5

Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

Sample pH greater than 2.

Reporting Detection Limit

Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

15.00

93.6

Qualifiers:

E

0

R

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

Analyte detected below quantitation limits

Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

RPD outside accepted recovery limits

Value above quantitation range

RSD is greater than RSDlimit

В

Η

ND

%REC

LowLimit

**RPDLimit** 

Page 2 of 6

Qual

#### Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1404414

14-Apr-14

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

Analyte

GCU 360

Sample ID MB-12560

SampType: MBLK

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

LowLimit

LowLimit

Client ID:

PBS

Batch ID: 12560

RunNo: 17911

Prep Date: 4/7/2014 Analysis Date: 4/10/2014

SeqNo: 516689

Units: mg/Kg

Result

**PQL** SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC

HighLimit

%RPD **RPDLimit** Qual

Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR

ND

SampType: LCS

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

%REC

Sample ID LCS-12560

Client ID:

LCSS

Batch ID: 12560

**PQL** 

Batch ID: 12560

20

RunNo: 17911

Units: mg/Kg

Prep Date: 4/7/2014

Analysis Date: 4/10/2014

SeqNo: 516690

Qual

Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR

98

20 100.0 97.8

RunNo: 17911

HighLimit %RPD 120

**RPDLimit** 

Client ID:

Prep Date:

Analyte

Sample ID LCSD-12560 LCSS02

SampType: LCSD

Result

98

Result

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

SeqNo: 516691

Units: mg/Kg

0

Qual

Analyte

Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR

4/7/2014

Analysis Date: 4/10/2014

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit

100.0

SPK value SPK Ref Val

97.8

HighLimit 120 %RPD **RPDLimit** 

#### Qualifiers:

Е

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits RSD is greater than RSDlimit 0
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

Value above quantitation range

- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded Н
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- Sample pH greater than 2 Reporting Detection Limit

P

Page 3 of 6

# Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1404414

14-Apr-14

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project: GCU	J 360	
Sample ID MB-12644	SampType: <b>MBLK</b>	TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 12644	RunNo: 17898
Prep Date: 4/10/2014	Analysis Date: 4/10/2014	SeqNo: 516499 Units: %REC
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value	SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qua
Surr: DNOP	7.5 10.00	74.9 66 131
Sample ID LCS-12644	SampType: LCS	TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 12644	RunNo: 17898
Prep Date: 4/10/2014	Analysis Date: 4/10/2014	SeqNo: 516503 Units: %REC
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value	SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qua
Surr: DNOP	3.8 5.000	75.5 66 131
Sample ID MB-12624	SampType: MBLK	TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 12624	RunNo: 17898
Prep Date: 4/9/2014	Analysis Date: 4/10/2014	SeqNo: 516973 Units: mg/Kg
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value	SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qua
Diesel Range Organics (DRO) Surr: DNOP	ND 10 9.5 10.00	95.4 66 131
Sample ID LCS-12624	SampType: LCS	TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics
Client ID: LCSS	Batch iD: 12624	RunNo: 17898
Prep Date: 4/9/2014	Analysis Date: 4/10/2014	SeqNo: 516974 Units: mg/Kg
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value	SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qua
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	46 10 50.00	0 91.9 60.8 145
Surr: DNOP	4.4 5.000	87.9 66 131

#### Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- Value above quantitation range
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits J
- RSD is greater than RSDlimit Ο
- RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits S
- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded Н
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- Sample pH greater than 2.
- Reporting Detection Limit

Page 4 of 6

# Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Result

25

920

PQL

5.0

WO#:

1404414

14-Apr-14

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

Analyte

Surr: BFB

Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)

GCU 360

Sample ID MB-12623	TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range										
Client ID: PBS	2623	R	unNo: 1	7906							
Prep Date: 4/9/2014	Analysis Date: 4	S	eqNo: 5	17100	Units: mg/K						
Analyte	Result PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual		
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND 5.0										
Surr: BFB	870	1000		86.6	74.5	129	_				
Sample ID LCS-12623	SampType: L	SampType: LCS TestCode: EPA Method					line Rang	<u> </u>			
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 12	RunNo: 17906									
Prep Date: 4/9/2014	Analysis Date: 4	S	eqNo: 5	17101	Units: mg/K	(a					

%REC

102

92.2

LowLimit

71.7

74.5

HighLimit

134

129

%RPD

**RPDLimit** 

Qual

SPK value SPK Ref Val

25.00

1000

#### Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 5 of 6

# Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1404414

14-Apr-14

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

GCU 360

Sample ID MB-12623 SampType: MBLK				TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles								
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 12623			F	RunNo: 1	7906						
Prep Date: 4/9/2014	Analysis Date: 4/10/2014		S	SeqNo: 5	17142	Units: mg/K	(g					
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual		
Benzene	ND	0.050										
Toluene	ND	0.050										
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.050										
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.10										
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.0		1.000		105	80	120					

Sample ID LCS-12623	cs	TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles										
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: <b>12623</b> Analysis Date: <b>4/10/2014</b>			F	RunNo: 1							
Prep Date: 4/9/2014				S	SeqNo: 5	17143	Units: mg/k	<b>(</b> g				
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual		
Benzene	1.1	0.050	1.000	0	109	80	120					
Toluene	1.0	0.050	1.000	0	102	80	120					
Ethylbenzene	1.0	0.050	1.000	0	102	80	120					
Xylenes, Total	3.0	0.10	3.000	0	100	80	120					
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.1		1.000		107	80	120					

#### Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 6 of 6



4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109

TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

#### Sample Log-In Check List

Client Name: **BLAGG** Work Order Number: 1404414 RcptNo: 1 Received by/date: Logged By: Michelle Garcia 4/9/2014 10:00:00 AM 4/9/2014 11:51:17 AM Completed By: Michelle Garcia Reviewed By: 04/09/ Chain of Custody 1 Custody seals intact on sample bottles? Yes 🗌 No 🗆 Not Present 2. Is Chain of Custody complete? Yes 🗸 No 🗌 Not Present 3. How was the sample delivered? Courler Log In NA 🗌 4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes 🗹 No 🗆 5. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C No 🗌 NA 🗌 No 🗌 6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes 🗸 No  $\square$ 7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes 🗸 8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes No 🔽 NA 🗌 9. Was preservative added to bottles? 10.VOA vials have zero headspace? No 🗌 No VOA Vials Yes 11. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes No 🗹 # of preserved bottles checked No 🗆 for pH: 12. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes 🔽 (<2 or >12 unless noted) (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) Adjusted? No 🗌 13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No 🗌 V 14. Is it clear what analyses were requested? No 🗌 Checked by: 15. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes 🔽 (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Special Handling (if applicable) Yes 🗌 No 🗌 NA 🗹 16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Person Notified: Date: By Whom: Via: eMail Phone Fax In Person Regarding: Client Instructions: 17. Additional remarks: 18. Cooler Information Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By 1.8 Good Yes

Client	biagg ⊨ngi	gg ⊨ngineering, inc. 			☐ Rush		ANALYSIS LA								BORATORY				
BP America			Project Name:					۵.		ww	v.hal	lenvi	ronme	ental.c	om	*			
Mailing Addr	Mailing Address: P.O. Box 87		GCU 360					4901	Hawk							109	,		
Bloomfield, NM 87413			Project #:				4901 Hawkins NE - Albuquerque, NM 87109 Tel. 505-345-3975 Fax 505-345-4107												
Phone #:		(505)320	D-1183					7 - 4 Y			1	naly	sis	Reque	st.				
email or Fax	c#:			Project Mana	iger:														
QA/QC Packa	age:				Jeff Blagg							:		ľ				1	
Standard		)					6	[]	ĺ				ļ			-			
☐ Other		Sampler: Jeff Blagg On Ice: ☑ Yes □ No Sample Temperature: □ €					(GRO / DRO)									Y or N)			
Date .	Time	Matrix	Sample Request ID	Container Type and #	Preservative Type			BTEX (8021)	TPH 8015B (								Chloride	Air Bubbles (Y or N)	
04/04/2014	8:40	Soil	21 BGT 5-pt @ 6'	1x 4oz	cool	-00		х	х	1-							x	T	
										1-							-	十	
	<del> </del>	+								-			-		+	+	$\rightarrow$	+	
		<del>                                     </del>			<b></b>			-+	+	-		-				+			
	ļ			<del> </del>						<del></del>						+		┿-	
				<u> </u>						┿						╂╂	-	4	
				<del> </del>						<del> </del>						1			
		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u></u>											$\perp \downarrow$			
														_					
										<u> </u>									
Date: /3/2014	Time:	Relinquist	1 Blegg	Received by:	Welter	4/8/1	32/	Remarks: Bill BP Paykey: ZFEIRK0SJS BP Contact: Jeff Peace						lease	сору г	results	s to:		
Date: 4/8/14	Time:		odu Waller	Received by	<u> </u>	4/09/14/00	00	peac	e.jeffre	ey@b	p.co	m							
lf ne	cessary, sample:	s submitted to H	fall Environmental may be subcontract	ed to other accredite	ed laboratories. This	s serves as notice of the	nis possibl	lity. Any	sub-con	tracted	data w	rili be c	learly r	notated o	in the an	alytical	report.		



