District 1
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

# State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources Department Oil Conservation Division

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505 Form C-144 Revised June 6, 2013

For temporary pits, below-grade tanks, and multi-well fluid management pits, submit to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

For permanent pits submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

	Santa 1 C, 14141 67303 to the appropriate Nivocid district Office.
2189	Pit, Below-Grade Tank, or Proposed Alternative Method Permit or Closure Plan Application
	Type of action:  Below grade tank registration  Permit of a pit or proposed alternative method  Closure of a pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method  Modification to an existing permit/or registration  Closure plan only submitted for an existing permitted or non-permitted pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method
5-21087	Instructions: Please submit one application (Form C-144) per individual pit, below-grade tank or alternative request
environment. Nor	that approval of this request does not relieve the operator of liability should operations result in pollution of surface water, ground water or the does approval relieve the operator of its responsibility to comply with any other applicable governmental authority's rules, regulations or ordinances.
	America Production Company OGRID #:778
Address:200	Energy Court, Farmington, NM 87401
Facility or well r	name:Hardie LS 7
API Number:	OCD Permit Number:
U/L or Qtr/Qtr _	MSection23Township29NRange8WCounty:San Juan
Center of Propos	sed Design: Latitude36.70643 Longitude107.65060 NAD: □1927 ⊠ 1983
Surface Owner:	☑ Federal ☐ State ☐ Private ☐ Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment   RCUD SEP 5 '14
2.	OIL CONS. DIV.
	ction F, G or J of 19.15.17.11 NMAC  Drilling  Workover
	Drilling ☐ Workover
1	nlined Liner type: Thicknessmil    LLDPE    HDPE    PVC  Other
☐ String-Reinfo	
1	Welded ☐ Factory ☐ Other Volume:bbl Dimensions: L x Wx D
3.	
⊠ <u>Below-grade</u>	e tank: Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Tank A
Volume:	95.0bbl Type of fluid:Produced water
Tank Construction	on material:Steel
Secondary co	ontainment with leak detection   Visible sidewalls, liner, 6-inch lift and automatic overflow shut-off
☐ Visible sidev	walls and liner   Visible sidewalls only  Other _Double walled/double bottomed; side walls not visible
Liner type: Thic	cknessmil
4.	

Alternative Method:

Submittal of an exception request is required. Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

Fencing: Subsection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits, temporary pits, and below-grade tanks)  Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top (Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent residence, school, institution or church)  Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet	hospital,
Alternate. Please specify	
Netting: Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks)  Screen Netting Other  Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)	
Signs: Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC  12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers  Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC	
Variances and Exceptions:  Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance.  Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank:  Variance(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district for consideration of approval.  Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.	
9. Siting Criteria (regarding permitting): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of accematerial are provided below. Siting criteria does not apply to drying pads or above-grade tanks.	ptable source
General siting	
Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of a low chloride temporary pit or below-grade tank.  - □ NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; □ USGS; □ Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes No
<u>Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of a Temporary pit, permanent pit, or Multi-Well Fluid Management pit.</u> NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes No
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. (Does not apply to below grade tanks)  - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	Yes No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	☐ Yes ☐ No
<ul> <li>Within an unstable area. (Does not apply to below grade tanks)</li> <li>Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology &amp; Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map</li> </ul>	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within a 100-year floodplain. (Does not apply to below grade tanks)  - FEMA map	☐ Yes ☐ No
Below Grade Tanks	
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, significant watercourse, lake bed, sinkhole, wetland or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).  - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
<ul> <li>Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for public or livestock consumption;</li> <li>NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site</li> </ul>	Yes No
Temporary Pit using Low Chloride Drilling Fluid (maximum chloride content 15,000 mg/liter)	
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). (Applies to low chloride temporary pits.)  - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No

Within 300 feet from a occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.  - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	Yes No
Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 300feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of the initial application.  NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 100 feet of a wetland.  - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Temporary Pit Non-low chloride drilling fluid	
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse, or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).  - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.  - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	Yes No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in the existence at the time of the initial application; - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet of a wetland.  - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Permanent Pit or Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit	
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).  - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.  - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application.  - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 feet of a wetland.  - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 N.  Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the doc attached.  Hydrogeologic Report (Below-grade Tanks) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Hydrogeologic Data (Temporary and Emergency Pits) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.1 and 19.15.17.13 NMAC	uments are NMAC
Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number:	
Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC  Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the doc attached.  Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC  Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC  A List of wells with approved application for permit to drill associated with the pit.  Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19. and 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Hydrogeologic Data - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.10 NMAC  Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC	
Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC   Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design)   API Number: or Permit Number:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Permanent Pits Permit Application Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC  Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the	documents are
attached.  Hydrogeologic Report - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC  Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC  Climatological Factors Assessment  Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC  Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC  Leak Detection Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC  Liner Specifications and Compatibility Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC  Quality Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installation Plan  Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC  Freeboard and Overtopping Prevention Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC  Nuisance or Hazardous Odors, including H₂S, Prevention Plan  Emergency Response Plan  Oil Field Waste Stream Characterization  Monitoring and Inspection Plan  Erosion Control Plan  Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC	
Proposed Closure: 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan.	
Type: Drilling Workover Emergency Cavitation P&A Permanent Pit Below-grade Tank Multi-well F Alternative  Proposed Closure Method: Waste Excavation and Removal Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only) On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems) In-place Burial On-site Trench Burial Alternative Closure Method	luid Management Pit
Waste Excavation and Removal Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.  □ Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  □ Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  □ Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings)  □ Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  □ Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	
Siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendations of acceptable sour provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria require justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency. In 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance.	
Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of the buried waste.  - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes No
Ground water is between 25-50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes No
Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste.  - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).  - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.  - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application.	☐ Yes ☐ No
- NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site  Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	Yes No
Within 300 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance	

adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended.  - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine.  - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	Yes No
Within an unstable area.  - Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological	
Society; Topographic map  Within a 100-year floodplain.	☐ Yes ☐ No
- FEMA map	☐ Yes ☐ No
On-Site Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure pby a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.  Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC  Proof of Surface Owner Notice - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection K of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Construction/Design Plan of Temporary Pit (for in-place burial of a drying pad) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Waste Material Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings or in case on-site closure standards can Soil Cover Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	.11 NMAC .15.17.11 NMAC
Operator Application Certification:	
I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and be	lief.
Name (Print): Title:	
Signature: Date:	
e-mail address: Telephone:	
18.  OCD Approval: ☐ Permit Application (including closure plant	
OCD Representative Signature: Approval Date: 9/19/2	2014
Title: Compliance Office OCD Permit Number:	
19.  Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion): 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved closure plan prior to implementing any closure activities and submitting. The closure report is required to be submitted to the division within 60 days of the completion of the closure activities. Please do not section of the form until an approved closure plan has been obtained and the closure activities have been completed.  Closure Completion Date:8/27/2013_	
20.  Closure Method:  Waste Excavation and Removal ☐ On-Site Closure Method ☐ Alternative Closure Method ☐ Waste Removal (Closed-I ☐ If different from approved plan, please explain.	oon systems only)
Closure Report Attachment Checklist: Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure report. Please in mark in the box, that the documents are attached.  Proof of Closure Notice (surface owner and division)  Proof of Deed Notice (required for on-site closure for private land only)  Plot Plan (for on-site closures and temporary pits)  Confirmation Sampling Analytical Results (if applicable)	
Closure Report Attachment Checklist: Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure report. Please in mark in the box, that the documents are attached.  □ Proof of Closure Notice (surface owner and division) □ Proof of Deed Notice (required for on-site closure for private land only) □ Plot Plan (for on-site closures and temporary pits) □ Confirmation Sampling Analytical Results (if applicable) □ Waste Material Sampling Analytical Results (required for on-site closure) □ Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number □ Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation □ Re-vegetation Application Rates and Seeding Technique □ Site Reclamation (Photo Documentation)	

Form C-144 Oil Conservation Division

Page 5 of 6

Operator Closure Certification:	
I hereby certify that the information and attachments submitted with this closure rebelief. I also certify that the closure complies with all applicable closure requirem	
Name (Print):Jeff Peace	Title: Area Environmental Advisor
Signature: Jeff Peace	Date:September 4, 2014
e-mail address:peace.jeffrey@bp.com	Telephone:(505) 326-9479

# BP AMERICA PRODUCTION COMPANY

SAN JUAN BASIN, NORTHWEST NEW MEXICO

#### BELOW-GRADE TANK CLOSURE PLAN

# Hardie LS 7 API No. 3004521087 Unit Letter M, Section 23, T29N, R8W

This plan will address the standard protocols and procedures for closure of below-grade tanks (BGTs) on BP America Production Company (BP) well sites. As stipulated in Paragraph A of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall close a BGT within the time periods provided in 19.15.17.13 NMAC, or by an earlier date that the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) requires because of imminent danger to fresh water, public health, safety or the environment. If deviations from this plan are necessary, any specific changes will be included on form C-144 and approved by the NMOCD. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC or is not included in Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC within five years after June 16, 2008, if not retrofit with a BGT that complies with the BP NMOCD approved BGT design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC, if not previously retrofitted to comply with the BP NMOCD approve BGT Design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan, prior to any sale or change in operator pursuant to 19.15.9.9 NMAC. BP shall close the permitted BGT within 60 days of cessation of the BGTs operation or as required by the transitional provisions of Subsection B, D, or E of 19.15.17.17 NMAC.

#### General Closure Plan

- 1. BP shall notify the surface owner by certified mail that it plans to close a BGT. Evidence of mailing of the notice to the address of the surface owner shown in the county tax records demonstrates compliance with this requirement.
  - No notice was sent due to misunderstanding of BGT closure notice requirements at that time.
- 2. BP shall notify the division District III office verbally or by other means at least 72 hours, but not more than one (1) week, prior to any closure operation. The notice shall include the operator's name, and the location to be closed by unit letter, section, township and range. If the BGT closure is associated with a particular well, then the notice shall also include the well's name, number and API number.
  - No notice was sent due to misunderstanding of BGT closure notice requirements at that time.
- 3. BP shall remove liquids and sludge from the BGT prior to implementing a closure method and dispose of the liquids and sludge in a NMOCD's division-approved facility. The facilities to be used are:
  - a. BP Crouch Mesa Landfarm, Permit NM-02-003 (Solids)
  - b. JFJ Landfarm, Permit NM-01-010(B) (Solids and Sludge)
  - c. Basin Disposal, Permit NM-01-0005 (Liquids)

- d. Envirotech Inc Soil Remediation Facility, Permit NM-01-0011 (Solids and Sludge)
- e. BP Operated E.E. Elliott SWD #1, API 30-045-27799 (Liquids)
- f. BP Operated 13 GCU SWD #1, API 30-045-28601 (Liquids)
- g. BP Operated GCU 259 SWD, API 30-045-20006 (Liquids)
- h. BP Operated GCU 306 SWD, API 30-045-24286 (Liquids)
- i. BP Operated GCU 307 SWD, API 30-045-24248 (Liquids)
- j. BP Operated GCU 328 SWD, API 30-045-24735 (Liquids)
- k. BP Operated Pritchard SWD #1, API 30-045-28351 (Liquids)

All liquids and sludge in the BGT were removed and sent to one of the above NMOCD approved facilities for disposal.

4. BP shall remove the BGT and dispose of it in a NMOCD approved facility or recycle, reuse, or reclaim it in a manner that the NMOCD approves. If a liner is present and must be disposed of it will be cleaned by scraping any soils or other attached materials on the liner to a de minimus amount and disposed at a permitted solid waste facility, pursuant to Subparagraph (m) of Paragraph (1) of Subsection C of 19.15.35.8 NMAC. Documentation as to the final disposition of the removed BGT will be provided in the final closure report.

The BGT was transported to a storage area for sale and re-use.

5. BP shall remove any on-site equipment associated with a BGT unless the equipment is required for well production.

All equipment associated with the BGT has been removed.

6. BP shall test the soils beneath the BGT to determine whether a release has occurred. BP shall collect at a minimum: a five (5) point composite sample and individual grab samples from any area that is wet, discolored or showing other evidence of a release and analyze for BTEX, TPH and chlorides. The testing methods for those constituents are as follows;

Constituents	Testing Method	Release Verification	Sample
	95 bbl BGT	(mg/Kg)	results
Benzene	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	0.2	ND
Total BTEX	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	50	ND
TPH	US EPA Method SW-846 418.1	100	ND
Chlorides	US EPA Method 300.0 or 4500B	250 or background	ND

Notes: mg/Kg = milligram per kilogram, BTEX = benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes, TPH = total petroleum hydrocarbons. Other EPA methods that the division approves may be applied to all constituents listed. Chloride closure standards will be determined by which ever concentration level is greatest.

Soil under the BGT was sampled and TPH, BTEX and chloride levels were below the stated limits. Sampling data is attached.

- 7. BP shall notify the division District III office of its results on form C-141. **C-141 is attached.**
- 8. If it is determined that a release has occurred, then BP will comply with 19.15.30 NMAC and 19.15.29 NMAC, as appropriate.

Sampling results indicate no release occurred.

9. If the sampling demonstrates that a release has not occurred or that any release does not exceed the concentrations specified above, then BP shall backfill the excavation, with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material; construct a division-prescribed soil cover, re-contour and re-vegetate the location. The location will be reclaimed if it is not with in the active process area

The area under the BGT was backfilled with clean soil and will be reclaimed with the rest of the site since the well has been plugged and abandoned.

10. BP shall reclaim the BGT location and all areas associated with the BGT including associated access roads to a safe and stable condition that blends with the surrounding undisturbed area. BP shall substantially restore the impacted surface area to the condition that existed prior to oil and gas operations by placement of the soil cover as provided in Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, re-contour the location and associated areas to a contour that approximates the original contour and blends with the surrounding topography and re-vegetate according to Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC.

The area over the will be reclaimed as part of final reclamation since the well has been plugged and abandoned.

11. The soil cover for closures where the BGT has been removed or remediated to the NMOCD's satisfaction shall consist of the background thickness of topsoil or one foot of suitable material to establish vegetation at the site, whichever is greater. The soil cover will be constructed to the site's existing grade and all practicable efforts will be made to prevent ponding of water and erosion of the cover material.

The area over the BGT will be reclaimed as part of final reclamation since the well has been plugged and abandoned.

12. BP shall seed the disturbed area the first growing season after closure of the BGT. Seeding will be accomplished by drilling on the contour whenever practical or by other division-approved methods. Vegetative cover will be, at a minimum, 70% of the native perennial vegetative cover (un-impacted by overgrazing, fire or other intrusion damaging to native vegetation), consisting of at least three native plant species, including at least one grass, but not including noxious weeds, and maintenance of that cover through two successive growing seasons. During the two growing seasons that prove viability, there shall be no artificial irrigation of the vegetation.

The area over the BGT will be reclaimed as part of final reclamation since the well has been plugged and abandoned.

13. BP shall seed, plant and re-seed pursuant to Paragraph (3) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, until the location successfully achieves the required vegetative cover.

BP will seed the area as part of final reclamation since the well has been plugged and abandoned.

14. Pursuant to Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall notify the NMOCD when it has seeded or planted and when it successfully achieves revegetation.

#### BP will notify NMOCD when re-vegetation is successful.

- 15. Within 60 days of closure completion, BP shall submit a closure report on NMOCD's form C-144, and will include the following:
  - a. proof of closure notification (surface owner and NMOCD)
  - b. sampling analytical reports; information required by 19.15.17 NMAC;
  - c. disposal facility name and permit number
  - d. details on back-filling, capping, covering, and where applicable re-vegetation application rates and seeding techniques and
  - e. site reclamation, photo documentation.
    - Closure report on C-144 form is included.
- 16. BP shall certify that all information in the report and attachments is accurate, truthful, and compliant with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.

Certification section of C-144 has been completed.

District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

#### State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

Form C-141

Revised August 8, 2011

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

			Rele	ease Notifi	catio	on and Co	orrective A	etion						
<del> </del>									Initi	al Report		Final Repor		
Name of Co				N. 07.401				450						
Facility Na			ington, N	M 8/401		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
							e. Naturai gas	wen						
Surface Ow	ner: Feder	<u>al</u>		Mineral (	Owner	: Federal	_		API No	. 3004521	087			
	·		· p · — ·		,									
Unit Letter M	Section 23	Township 29N	Range 8W	Feet from the 900			Feet from the 1,180	East/We West	est Line	County: S	an Juan	1		
		Lat	itude3	6.70643		Longitud	<b>e</b> 107.65060_							
				NAT	ΓURI									
Type of Rele	ase: none		05 1-1-1											
Was Immedi			- 95 001					ce: I	Jate and	Hour of Dis	covery:	<u> </u>		
was minicul	ate Motice (		Yes [	] No 🛛 Not R	equired		Wildin:							
By Whom?					<del></del>									
Was a Water	course Read		Yes ⊠	] No		If YES, Vo	olume Impacting	the Watero	course.		-			
If a Waterco	ırse was Im	pacted, Descr	ibe Fully.	k										
the BGT. So	il analysis ı	resulted in TP	Н, ВТЕХ	and chloride belo	w stan	dards. Analysi	s results are attac	hed.						
										ne excavate	a area w	vas		
regulations a public health should their or or the enviro	Il operators or the envi operations hament. In a	are required to ronment. The lave failed to addition, NMC	o report are acceptance acceptanc	nd/or file certain to be of a C-141 report investigate and in	release ort by t remedia	notifications a he NMOCD m ate contaminati	nd perform correct arked as "Final R on that pose a thr	ctive action teport" doe reat to grou	ns for rele s not reli and water	eases which leve the ope , surface wa	may en rator of ater, hur	ndanger Tliability man health		
				,			OIL CON	SERVA	TION	DIVISIO	<u>N</u>			
Signature:	off ?	2000				Ammunad hu	Environmental C	manialists						
Printed Nam	e: Jeff Peac	e				Approved by	Environmental S	pecialist:						
Title: Area E	nvironmen	al Advisor				Approval Da	te:	Ex	piration	Date:				
E-mail Addr	ess: peace.j	Courtact: Jeff Peace   Courtact: Jeff Peace   Court, Farmington, NM 87401   Telephone No.: 505-326-9479     ie LS 7												
Date: Septer Attach Addi				ne: 505-326-9479										

CHENT: BP	API# 3004521087										
CLIENT: DI	P.O. BOX 87, BL	OOMFIELD, NI ) 632-1199	VI 87413	TANK ID (if applicble):							
CICI D DEBADT.											
FIELD REPORT:	(and one). Do not in the wife of	·	OTTIER.	PAGE#:	of	_1_					
SITE INFORMATION		LS#7		_ DATE STARTED:	08/14	1/13_					
QUAD/UNIT: M SEC: 23 TWP:	<b>29N</b> RNG: <b>8W</b> PM:	NM CNTY: SJ		DATE FINISHED:							
1/4 -1/4/FOOTAGE: 900'S / 1,180'\ LEASE #: SF 078416 A	N SW/SW LEASE TYPE PROD. FORMATION: PC CON	CDOSCEI	DE	ENVIRONMENTAL SPECIALIST(S):	JCI	В					
REFERENCE POINT				f GLFL	FV: 63	 R88'					
1) 95 BGT (DW/DB)	GPS COORD.: <b>36.</b>			EARING FROM W.H.:	20', N <sup>2</sup>						
2)			<del>-</del>	EARING FROM W.H.:							
3)				EARING FROM W.H.:							
4)	GPS COORD.:		DISTANCE/E	EARING FROM W.H.:							
SAMPLING DATA:	CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD(S) # OR I					OVM READING					
1) SAMPLE ID: 95 BGT 5-pt. @ 6	SAMPLE DATE: 08/14/13	SAMPLE TIME:1300	LAB ANALYSIS: 418.1	/8015B/8021B/3	00.0(CI)	(ppm)					
2) SAMPLE ID:					` '						
3) SAMPLE ID:	SAMPLE DATE:	SAMPLE TIME:	LAB ANALYSIS:		-						
4) SAMPLE ID:	SAMPLE DATE:	SAMPLE TIME:	LAB ANALYSIS:								
SOIL DESCRIPTION	SOIL TYPE: SAND SILTY S	AND / SILT / SILTY CLAY /	CLAY / GRAVEL / O	THER							
SOIL COLOR: DARK YE	LLOWISH ORANGE										
COHESION (ALL OTHERS): NON COHESIVE SLIGHTLY		PLASTICITY (CLAYS): NON P									
CONSISTENCY (NON COHESIVE SOILS): LC		DENSITY (COHESIVE HC ODOR DETECTE									
SAMPLE TYPE: GRAB COMPOSITE +		HC ODOR DETECT	ED: YES (NO EXP	LANATION							
DISCOLORATION/STAINING OBSERVED	YES/NO EXPLANATION -	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
ANY AREAS DISPLAYING WETNESS: YES / NO	TEVELANATION										
APPARENT EVIDENCE OF A RELEASE C		S NO EXPLANATION:									
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: GAS WELL F											
SOIL IMPACT DIMENSION ESTIMATION:		t. X <u>NA</u> ft.	EXCAVATION ES	STIMATION (Cubic Ya	arde).	NA NA					
		NEAREST SURFACE WATER:		CD TPH CLOSURE ST		_ ppm					
SITE SKETCH	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	PLOT PLAN cire	cle: attached 0V	M CALIB. READ. = 51	1.8 ppm						
				M CALIB. REAB:		RF = 0.52					
	FORMER		[]		DATE: <b>08/1</b>	4/13					
	BERM Position		<b>'</b> ''   ⊨	MISCELL	NOTE	ES					
	<b>~</b> /		,	NO: N15055		_0					
			-	PO #:	<del>507</del>						
	PBGTL TB ~ 6'		1 -	PK: ZFEIRK	(OSJS						
	T.B. ~ 6' B.G.			PJ#: <b>X7-005</b> 7	7Q-E						
	$\searrow$		-	Permit date(s):	06/14/1						
P & A				OCD Appr. date(s):	02/21/1 ic Vapor Meter						
MARKER ⊕			<b>I</b> _	ppm = parts p  BGT Sidewalls Vis	er million						
$\Psi$		v	I F	BGT Sidewalls Vis		<u>′</u>					
NOTE: DOT- DELONIODADE TANICE D EVONUTE	MI DEDDECCIONI D.O DELOMICHADE, D DELO		S.P.D.	BGT Sidewalls Vis							
	OW-GRADE TANK LOCATION; SPD = SAMPLE POIN	IT DESIGNATION; R.W. = RETAINING	, vv.n. – vvell nead;   [ L G WALL; NA - NOT	Magnetic declina		E					
TO A VEL MOTEO	E WALL; DW - DOUBLE WALL; SB - SINGLE BOTTON										
TRAVEL NOTES: CALLOUT:		ONSITE: U8/	1 <del>11</del> /13								

revised: 08/01/12 BEI1005E-5.SKF

#### **Analytical Report**

#### Lab Order 1308899

Date Reported: 8/27/2013

### Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

**CLIENT:** Blagg Engineering

Client Sample ID: 95 BGT 5-pt @ 6'

Project: HARDIE LS 7

Collection Date: 8/14/2013 1:00:00 PM

**Lab ID:** 1308899-001

Matrix: SOIL Received Date: 8/20/2013 9:50:00 AM

Analyses	Result	RL Qu	al Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 8015D: DIESEL RANG	E ORGANICS		<u>-</u>		Analys	: JME
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	10	mg/Kg	1	8/23/2013 3:02:14 AM	8966
Surr: DNOP	101	63-147	%REC	1	8/23/2013 3:02:14 AM	8966
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RA	NGE				Analys	: NSB
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	4.6	mg/Kg	1	8/23/2013 1:15:37 AM	8964
Surr: BFB	93.1	80-120	%REC	1	8/23/2013 1:15:37 AM	8964
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analysi	: NSB
Benzene	ND	0.046	mg/Kg	1	8/23/2013 1:15:37 AM	8964
Toluene	ND	0.046	mg/Kg	1	8/23/2013 1:15:37 AM	8964
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.046	mg/Kg	1	8/23/2013 1:15:37 AM	8964
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.093	mg/Kg	1	8/23/2013 1:15:37 AM	8964
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	102	80-120	%REC	1	8/23/2013 1:15:37 AM	8964
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analyst	: JRR
Chloride	ND	1.5	mg/Kg	1	8/22/2013 10:32:51 AM	8984
EPA METHOD 418.1: TPH					Analyst	BCN
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	ND	20	mg/Kg	1	8/23/2013	8999

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

#### Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

C	hain-	of-Cu	stody Record	Turn-Around	Time:									=	RIZ/		30	B.I.E	a c		[AL	
Client:	BLAGG	. ENGINI	EERWG INC.	Standard						H										_	OR'	
7	SP A	MERICA		Project Name	9:			]	•								tal.co					•
Mailing	Address	P.O. F	30× 87	HARDIE	LS7				49	01 H							ie, Ni		'109			
			IM 97413	Project #:				1			)5-34				-	_	-345-					
			2-1199	<u></u>							6.		A				uest			M		
email or				Project Mana	ger:		·		(yl	<b>3</b>					(ہر							
QA/QC F	Package: dard	•	☐ Level 4 (Full Validation)	J. BL	A66			s (8021	(Gas or	10 / PAR			SIMS)		PO <sub>4</sub> ,S(	PCB's						
Accredi	tation	□ Othe	r <u></u>	Sampler: J On Ice	T-BLAGG	jeno -			+ TPH	30 / DF	18.1)	04.1)			) <sub>3</sub> ,NO <sub>2</sub> ,	, / 8082		A)			3	(N Z
□ EDD	(Type)_			Sample Tem	perature //(0				BE.	(G	pd 4	od 5	0.or	stals	),N(	ide	₹	9	W			ځ
Date	Time	Matrix	Sample Request ID	Container Type and #	Preservative Type	#E		BTEX + MTBE = TMB's (8021)	BTEX + MTBE + TPH (Gas only)	TPH 8015B (GRO / DRO / MBG)	TPH (Method 418.1)	EDB (Method 504.1)	PAH's (8310 or	RCRA 8 Metals	Anions (F,CI,NO <sub>3</sub> ,NO <sub>2</sub> ,PO <sub>4</sub> ,SO <sub>4</sub> )	8081 Pesticides / 8082 PCB's	8260B (VOA)	8270 (Semi-VOA)	CHURNE			Air Bubbles (Y or N)
9/14/2013	1300	Soil	95 BGT 5-pt C6	402 x1	COL		-001	X		X									×		$\neg$	+
7,011									_												$\top$	+
	<del></del>							$\dagger$												$\dashv$	+	+
							<del></del>	<b>-</b>												+	_	+
		<u> </u>						<del> </del>												$\dashv$	_	+
							·	+												_	_	+
						<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	├─											$\dashv$	-+	+	+
	<u> </u>	<del></del>			<del></del>	<u> </u>		<del>  -</del>				-		_						$\dashv$	-	+
								+												-+		+
		<u> </u>											-					$\neg +$	-	$\dashv$	-	+
					<u> </u>			-											-	$\dashv$	+	+
				<del> </del>				-					-							-	+	+
Date:	Time: 1529	Relinquishe	ed by: Blyy	Received by:	Wasta	Date 3/4/201	Time 1529	Ren	nark		BU				I		 _	~~	l -~			
Date:	Time:	Relinquishe	ed by:	Received by	M	Date	Time	]		(	CON	TAC	F 7	JE	FE E	Pa	ر (O) برو	<b>~</b>	43			1
1/19/13	1600	1 Ch	atro Warls			x zds	0950	<u> </u>														
fi	necessary,	samples subr	mitted to Hall Environmental may be sub-	contracted to other a	credited laboratorie	es. This serves	s as notice of thi	s possil	bility.	Any su	ib-conf	tracted	data	will be	clear	y nota	ted on	the ar	alytica	ıl report	Ł.	

#### Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1308899 27-Aug-13

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

HARDIE LS 7

Sample ID MB-8984

SampType: MBLK

TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

PBS

Batch ID: 8984

**PQL** 

1.5

RunNo: 12843

Client ID: Prep Date:

8/22/2013

Analysis Date: 8/22/2013

SeqNo: 366272

Units: mg/Kg

%RPD

%RPD

HighLimit

**RPDLimit** 

Qual

Qual

Analyte Chloride

Result ND

Sample ID LCS-8984

SampType: LCS

TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit

LCSS Client ID:

Batch ID: 8984

RunNo: 12843

Prep Date: 8/22/2013

Analysis Date: 8/22/2013

SeqNo: 366273 %REC

Units: mg/Kg

**RPDLimit** 

Analyte

Result

SPK value SPK Ref Val

HighLimit 90

LowLimit

110

**PQL** 14 1.5 15.00 91.8 Chloride

Qualifiers:

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

Value above quantitation range Е

Analyte detected below quantitation limits

RSD is greater than RSDlimit 0

RPD outside accepted recovery limits R

Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank В

Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.

Reporting Detection Limit

Page 2 of 6

# Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

27-Aug-13

1308899

WO#:

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:	HARDI	E LS 7								
Sample ID	MB-8999	SampType:	MBLK	Tes	tCode: EP	A Method	418.1: TPH			
Client ID:	PBS	Batch ID:	8999	F	RunNo: 12	2846				
Prep Date:	8/22/2013	Analysis Date:	8/23/2013	8	SeqNo: <b>36</b>	6322	Units: mg/k	(g		
Analyte				SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Petroleum Hyd	rocarbons, TR	ND	20							
Sample ID	LCS-8999	SampType:	LCS	Tes	tCode: <b>EP</b>	A Method	418.1: TPH	,		-
Client ID:	LCSS	Batch ID:	8999	F	RunNo: <b>12</b>	2846				
Prep Date:	8/22/2013	Analysis Date:	8/23/2013	9	SeqNo: 36	6323	Units: mg/H	(g		
Analyte		Result PC	QL SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Petroleum Hyd	rocarbons, TR	89	20 100.0	0	89.3	80	120			
Sample ID	LCSD-8999	SampType:	LCSD	Tes	tCode: EP	A Method	418.1: TPH			
Client ID:	LCSS02	Batch ID:	8999	F	RunNo: <b>12</b>	846			•	
Prep Date:	8/22/2013	Analysis Date:	8/23/2013	5	SeqNo: 36	66324	Units: mg/K	ζg		
Analyte		Result PC	QL SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Petroleum Hyd	rocarbons, TR	95	20 100.0	0	94.5	80	120	5.75	20	

#### Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- Value above quantitation range Ε
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits J
- RSD is greater than RSDlimit 0
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded Н
- Not Detected at the Reporting Limit ND
- Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- Reporting Detection Limit

Page 3 of 6

## Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1308899 27-Aug-13

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

HARDIE LS 7

Sample ID MB-8966	,			TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics						
Client ID: PBS				RunNo: 12805						
Prep Date: 8/21/2013	Analysis [	Date: 8	/22/2013	5	SeqNo: 3	65836	Units: mg/k	(g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK valuė	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	10								
Surr: DNOP	9.9		10.00		98.8	63	147			
Sample ID LCS-8966	Samp	Гуре: <b>LC</b>	s	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8015D: Dies	el Range (	Organics	
Client ID: LCSS	Batcl	h ID: <b>89</b>	66	F	RunNo: 1	2805				
Prep Date: 8/21/2013	Analysis [	Date: 8/	22/2013	S	SeqNo: 3	65840	Units: mg/k	(g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	43	10	50.00	0	85.2	77.1	128		•	
Surr: DNOP	4.8		5.000		95.7	63	147			

#### Qualifiers:

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 4 of 6

# Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1308899

27-Aug-13

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

HARDIE LS 7

Sample ID MB-8964 SampType: MBLK			TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range							
Client ID: PBS	Batcl	h ID: 89	64	F	RunNo: 1	2836				
Prep Date: 8/21/2013	Analysis E	Date: 8/	22/2013	S	SeqNo: 3	65870	Units: mg/k	(g		
Analyte	Result _	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	5.0								
Surr: BFB	890		1000		88.6	80	120			
Sample ID LCS-8964	SampT	ype: LC	s	Tes	Code: EF	PA Method	8015D: Gaso	line Rang	е	
Client ID: LCSS	Batch	n iD: 89	64	F	lunNo: 12	2836				
Prep Date: 8/21/2013	Analysis D	)ate: <b>8/</b>	22/2013	S	SeqNo: 30	65871	Units: mg/K	(g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	22	5.0	25.00	0	86.4	74.5	126			

#### Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- Value above quantitation range Е
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- RSD is greater than RSDlimit 0
- RPD outside accepted recovery limits R
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank В
- Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- Not Detected at the Reporting Limit ND
- Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- RLReporting Detection Limit

Page 5 of 6

#### Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

27-Aug-13

1308899

Client: Project: Blagg Engineering

HARDIE LS 7

Sample ID MB-8964 SampType: MBLK TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles Client ID: PBS Batch ID: 8964 Prep Date: 8/21/2013 Analysis Date: 8/22/2013

RunNo: 12836

SeqNo: 366022 Units: mg/Kg

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD **RPDLimit** Qual

Analyte Result **PQL** Benzene ND 0.050 Toluene ND 0.050 Ethylbenzene ND 0.050 Xylenes, Total ND 0.10

102 Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene 1.0 1.000 80 120

Sample ID LCS-8964 SampType: LCS TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles Client ID: **LCSS** Batch ID: 8964 RunNo: 12836 Units: mg/Kg Prep Date: 8/21/2013 Analysis Date: 8/22/2013 SeqNo: 366023 **PQL** SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC %RPD **RPDLimit** Analyte Result LowLimit HighLimit Qual 0.050 1.000 0 102 80 Benzene 1.0 120 Toluene 1.0 0.050 1.000 0 99.9 80 120 Ethylbenzene 1.0 0.050 1.000 0 101 80 120 Xylenes, Total 3.1 0.10 3.000 0 102 80 120 Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene 1.0 1.000 104 80 120

Sample ID MB-8998	SampType: MBLK			TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles						
Client ID: PBS	Batch	n ID: <b>89</b>	98	F	RunNo: 1	2857				
Prep Date: 8/22/2013	Analysis D	ate: 8/	/23/2013	S	SeqNo: 3	66600	Units: %RE	С		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.0		1.000		102	80	120			

Sample ID LCS-8998	SampType: LCS	TestCode: EPA Metho	d 8021B: Volatiles		
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 8998	RunNo: 12857			
Prep Date: 8/22/2013	Analysis Date: 8/23/201	SeqNo: <b>366601</b>	Units: %REC		
Analyte	Result PQL SPK	alue SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit	HighLimit %RPD	RPDLimit Q	Qual

Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene 1.1 1.000 106 80 120

#### Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- Е Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- RPD outside accepted recovery limits R
- Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits S
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- Reporting Detection Limit RL

Page 6 of 6



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107

Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

# Sample Log-In Check List

Client Name: BLAGG	Work Order Number: 1308899	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	RcptNo:	1
Received by/date: LM B/Z	://3			
Logged By: Anne Thorne	8/20/2013 9:50:00 AM	ame Ilm	_	
Completed By: Anne Thorne	8/21/2013	anne Am	_	
Reviewed By	08/21/13	0.012 37		
Chain of Custody	, ,		-	
1. Custody seals intact on sample bottles?	Yes 🗌	No 🗆	Not Present	
2. Is Chain of Custody complete?	Yes 🗹	No 🗆	Not Present	
3. How was the sample delivered?	<u>Courier</u>			•
<u>Log In</u>				
4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples	? Yes ✓	No 🗆	na 🗆	
5. Were all samples received at a temperature	e of >0° C to 6.0°C Yes	No 🗆	NA 🗆	
6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?	Yes 🗹	No 🗆		
7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(	s)? Yes 🔽	No 🗆		
8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) prope	rly preserved?	No 🗆		
9. Was preservative added to bottles?	Yes 🗌	No 🗹	NA 🗆	
10.VOA vials have zero headspace?	Yes 🗌	No 🗆	No VOA Vials 🗹	
11. Were any sample containers received brok	ren? Yes	No 🗹	# of preserved	
12.Does paperwork match bottle labels?	Yes <b>⊻</b>	No 🗌	bottles checked for pH:	
(Note discrepancies on chain of custody)	ies 🖭	, 140 123		>12 unless noted)
13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain o	f Custody? Yes	No 🗆	Adjusted?	
14, Is it clear what analyses were requested?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌		
15. Were all holding times able to be met?  (If no, notify customer for authorization.)	Yes 🗹	No 📙	Checked by:	
Special Handling (if applicable)			•	
16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with	this order?	No 🗆	NA 🗹	1
Person Notified:	Date			
By Whom:	Via: ☐ eMail [	Phone Fax	☐ In Person	
Regarding:				
Client Instructions:				
17. Additional remarks:				
18. <u>Cooler Information</u>	1 1 2 2	4 122	1	
	Seal Intact   Seal No   Seal Date	Signed By		
1  1.0  Good  Ye	70	i	3	



