<ul> <li><u>District I</u></li> <li>1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240</li> <li><u>District II</u></li> <li>811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210</li> <li><u>District III</u></li> <li>1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410</li> <li><u>District IV</u></li> <li>1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505</li> </ul>	State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources Department Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505	Form C-144 Revised June 6, 2013 For temporary pits, below-grade tanks, and multi-well fluid management pits, submit to the appropriate NMOCD District Office. For permanent pits submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.
Type of action: Below	<u>Pit, Below-Grade Tank, or</u> ernative Method Permit or Closure P w grade tank registration it of a pit or proposed alternative method	Plan Application OIL CONS. DIV DIST. 3
$\begin{array}{cccc} 45 - 23596 & \boxtimes \text{Closu} \\ & \square \text{ Modi} \\ & \square \text{ Closu} \\ & \text{or proposed alternative metric} \end{array}$	are of a pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternati fication to an existing permit/or registration are plan only submitted for an existing permitted or thod	non-permitted pit, below-grade tank,
Please be advised that approval of this request does n	one application (Form C-144) per individual pit, below- not relieve the operator of liability should operations result in the of its responsibility to comply with any other applicable go	n pollution of surface water, ground water or the
	my OGRID #:7	
	n, NM 87401	
Facility or well name:Florance 60R		······
API Number:3004523546	OCD Permit Number:	
U/L or Qtr/QtrLSection1	Township29NRange9WCo 75090Longitude107.73690	ounty:San Juan
U/L or Qtr/Qtr       L       Section       1         Center of Proposed Design: Latitude       36.7         Surface Owner:       Federal       State       Private         2.	Township 29N Range 9W Co 75090 Longitude -107.73690 Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment	ounty:San Juan NAD: []1927 [] 1983 ow Chloride Drilling Fluid [] yes [] no her
U/L or Qtr/Qtr       L       Section       1         Center of Proposed Design: Latitude       36.7         Surface Owner:       Federal       State       Private         2.	Township29NRange9WCo 75090Longitude107.73690 Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment MAC P&A [] Multi-Well Fluid Management Lo mil [] LLDPE [] HDPE [] PVC [] Oth Volume:bbl	ounty:San Juan NAD: □1927 🛛 1983
U/L or Qtr/Qtr       L       Section       1         Center of Proposed Design: Latitude       36.7         Surface Owner:       Federal       State       Private         2.	Township29NRange9WCo 75090Longitude107.73690 Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment MAC P&A [] Multi-Well Fluid Management Lo mil [] LLDPE [] HDPE [] PVC [] Oth Volume:bbl	ounty:San Juan NAD: □1927 ⊠ 1983  ow Chloride Drilling Fluid □ yes □ no her Dimensions: L x W x D
U/L or Qtr/Qtr       L       Section       1         Center of Proposed Design: Latitude       36.7         Surface Owner:       Federal       State       Private         2.	Township29NRange9WCo 75090Longitude107.73690 Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment MAC P&AMulti-Well Fluid Management Lo milLLDPEHDPEPVCOtt Volume:bbl 7.11 NMACTank A pe of fluid:Produced water	ounty:San Juan NAD: □1927 ⊠ 1983  ow Chloride Drilling Fluid □ yes □ no her Dimensions: L x W x D
U/L or Qtr/Qtr       L       Section       1         Center of Proposed Design: Latitude       36.7         Surface Owner:       Federal       State       Private         2.	Township29NRange9WCo 75090Longitude107.73690 Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment MAC P&AMulti-Well Fluid Management Lo milLLDPEHDPEPVCOtt Volume:bbl 7.11 NMACTank A pe of fluid:Produced water	Jounty:      San Juan        NAD:       □1927 ⊠ 1983         Dow Chloride Drilling Fluid       yes □ no         her          Dimensions:       L
U/L or Qtr/Qtr       L       Section       1         Center of Proposed Design: Latitude       36.7         Surface Owner:       Federal       State       Private         2.	Township29NRange9WCo 75090Longitude107.73690 Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment MAC P&AMulti-Well Fluid Management Lo milLLDPEHDPEPVCOtt Volume:bbl 7.11 NMAC Tank A pe of fluid:Produced water	younty:      San Juan        NAD:       □1927 ⊠ 1983         yow Chloride Drilling Fluid       yes □ no         her          Dimensions:       Lx Wx D          x Wx D

<ul> <li>5.</li> <li>Fencing: Subsection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits, temporary pits, and below-grade tanks)</li> <li>Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top (Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent residence, school, institution or church)</li> <li>Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet</li> <li>Alternate. Please specify</li></ul>	hospital,
<ul> <li>6.</li> <li><u>Netting</u>: Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks)</li> <li>Screen Netting Other</li> <li>Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>7.</li> <li>Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC</li> <li>12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers</li> <li>Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>8. <u>Variances and Exceptions</u>: Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance.</li> <li>Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank:</li></ul>	
9. Siting Criteria (regarding permitting): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of accept material are provided below. Siting criteria does not apply to drying pads or above-grade tanks.	ptable source
General siting	
Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of a low chloride temporary pit or below-grade tank. - DNM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes No
Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of a Temporary pit, permanent pit, or Multi-Well Fluid Management pit. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes No
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	🗋 Yes 🗌 No
<ul> <li>Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. (Does not apply to below grade tanks)</li> <li>Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division</li> </ul>	🗋 Yes 🗌 No
<ul> <li>Within an unstable area. (Does not apply to below grade tanks)</li> <li>Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology &amp; Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map</li> </ul>	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
Within a 100-year floodplain. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) - FEMA map	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
Below Grade Tanks	
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, significant watercourse, lake bed, sinkhole, wetland or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	🗋 Yes 🗌 No
<ul> <li>Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for public or livestock consumption;.</li> <li>NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site</li> </ul>	🔲 Yes 🗌 No
Temporary Pit using Low Chloride Drilling Fluid (maximum chloride content 15,000 mg/liter)	
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). (Applies to low chloride temporary pits.) - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes 🗌 No

.

<ul> <li>Within 300 feet from a occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.</li> <li>Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image</li> </ul>	Yes No
Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 300feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of the initial application. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	🗖 Yes 🗍 No
<ul> <li>Within 100 feet of a wetland.</li> <li>US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site</li> </ul>	Yes 🗌 No
<u>Temporary Pit Non-low chloride drilling fluid</u>	
<ul> <li>Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse, or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).</li> <li>Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site</li> </ul>	Yes 🗍 No
<ul> <li>Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.</li> <li>Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image</li> </ul>	☐ Yes ☐ No
<ul> <li>Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in the existence at the time of the initial application;</li> <li>NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site</li> </ul>	Yes No
<ul> <li>Within 300 feet of a wetland.</li> <li>US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site</li> </ul>	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
Permanent Pit or Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit	
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No
<ul> <li>Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.</li> <li>Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image</li> </ul>	Yes No
<ul> <li>Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application.</li> <li>NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site</li> </ul>	🗌 Yes 🗍 No
<ul> <li>Within 500 feet of a wetland.</li> <li>US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site</li> </ul>	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
10. <u>Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist</u> : Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 N <i>Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the do</i>	
<ul> <li>attached.</li> <li>Hydrogeologic Report (Below-grade Tanks) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC</li> <li>Hydrogeologic Data (Temporary and Emergency Pits) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9</li> <li>Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC</li> <li>Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC</li> <li>Operative and Meintenenes Plan, heard upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC</li> </ul>	) NMAC
<ul> <li>Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC</li> <li>Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19. and 19.15.17.13 NMAC</li> </ul>	15.17.9 NMAC
Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number:	
<ul> <li>Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC</li> <li>Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the do attached.</li> <li>Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC</li> </ul>	cuments are
<ul> <li>Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC</li> <li>A List of wells with approved application for permit to drill associated with the pit.</li> <li>Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19 and 19.15.17.13 NMAC</li> <li>Hydrogeologic Data - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC</li> </ul>	9.15.17.9 NMAC
Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC	
Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number:	

•

. 12.	
Permanent Pits Permit Application Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the	documents are
attached.         Hydrogeologic Report - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC         Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC         Climatological Factors Assessment         Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC         Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC         Leak Detection Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC         Liner Specifications and Compatibility Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC         Quality Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installation Plan	
<ul> <li>Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC</li> <li>Freeboard and Overtopping Prevention Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC</li> <li>Nuisance or Hazardous Odors, including H<sub>2</sub>S, Prevention Plan</li> <li>Emergency Response Plan</li> <li>Oil Field Waste Stream Characterization</li> <li>Monitoring and Inspection Plan</li> <li>Erosion Control Plan</li> </ul>	
Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC	
13.         Proposed Closure:       19.15.17.13 NMAC         Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan.         Type:       Drilling         Workover       Emergency         Cavitation       P&A         Permanent Pit       Below-grade Tank         Multi-well F         Alternative         Proposed Closure Method:       Waste Excavation and Removal         Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only)         On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems)         In-place Burial       On-site Trench Burial         Alternative Closure Method	luid Management Pit
<ul> <li><sup>14.</sup></li> <li><u>Waste Excavation and Removal Closure Plan Checklist</u>: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) <i>Instructions: Each of the following items must be closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.</i> <ul> <li>Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC</li> <li>Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.13 NMAC</li> <li>Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings)</li> <li>Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC</li> <li>Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC</li> <li>Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
<sup>15.</sup> Siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendations of acceptable sour provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria require justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency. F 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance.	
Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	□ Yes □ No □ NA
Ground water is between 25-50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	□ Yes □ No □ NA
Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes No
<ul> <li>Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).</li> <li>Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site</li> </ul>	🗌 Yes 🗍 No
<ul> <li>Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.</li> <li>Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image</li> </ul>	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
<ul> <li>Within 300 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application.</li> <li>NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site</li> </ul>	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	🗋 Yes 🗌 No
Within 300 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	🗍 Yes 🗌 No
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance	

•

<ul> <li>adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended.</li> <li>Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality</li> </ul>						
	🗌 Yes 🗌 No					
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division						
<ul> <li>Within an unstable area.</li> <li>Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology &amp; Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map</li> </ul>						
Within a 100-year floodplain. - FEMA map	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No					
<ul> <li>i6.</li> <li>On-Site Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plan by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.</li> <li>Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC</li> <li>Proof of Surface Owner Notice - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 NMAC</li> <li>Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection K of 19.15.17.</li> <li>Construction/Design Plan of Temporary Pit (for in-place burial of a drying pad) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC</li> <li>Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC</li> <li>Material Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC</li> <li>Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings or in case on-site closure standards cann</li> <li>Soil Cover Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC</li> <li>Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC</li> </ul>	.11 NMAC 15.17.11 NMAC					
<ul> <li>Operator Application Certification:</li> <li>I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and beli</li> </ul>	ief.					
Name (Print): Title:						
Signature: Date:						
e-mail address: Telephone:						
e-mail address: Telephone:						
e-mail address: Telephone:						
e-mail address: Telephone:						
e-mail address: Telephone:	12014					
e-mail address: Telephone: 18. OCD Approval: Permit Application (including closure plan) Closure Plan (only) OCD Conditions (see attachment) OCD Representative Signature: Approval Date: Title: OCD Permit Number: Title: OCD Permit Number: 19. Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion): 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved closure plan prior to implementing any closure activities and submitting The closure report is required to be submitted to the division within 60 days of the completion of the closure activities. Please do not section of the form until an approved closure plan has been obtained and the closure activities have been completed.	the closure report. complete this					

\*

<b>Operator Closure Certification:</b>	

I hereby	certify that the information and attachments submitted with this closure report is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge an	ıd
	also certify that the closure complies with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.	

Name (Print): \_\_\_\_\_ Jeff Peace

Title: Field Environmental Coordinator\_\_\_\_\_

22.

¥

& Parce 6 Signature:

Date: \_\_October 29, 2014\_\_\_\_\_

e-mail address: \_\_peace.jeffrey@bp.com

\_\_\_\_\_ Telephone: \_\_(505) 326-9479\_

# BP AMERICA PRODUCTION COMPANY SAN JUAN BASIN, NORTHWEST NEW MEXICO

#### BELOW-GRADE TANK CLOSURE PLAN

#### <u>Florance 60R</u> <u>API No. 3004523546</u> <u>Unit Letter L, Section 1, T29N, R9W</u>

This plan will address the standard protocols and procedures for closure of below-grade tanks (BGTs) on BP America Production Company (BP) well sites. As stipulated in Paragraph A of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall close a BGT within the time periods provided in 19.15.17.13 NMAC, or by an earlier date that the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) requires because of imminent danger to fresh water, public health, safety or the environment. If deviations from this plan are necessary, any specific changes will be included on form C-144 and approved by the NMOCD. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC or is not included in Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC within five years after June 16, 2008, if not retrofit with a BGT that complies with the BP NMOCD approved BGT design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC, if not previously retrofitted to comply with the BP NMOCD approve BGT Design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan, prior to any sale or change in operator pursuant to 19.15.9.9 NMAC. BP shall close the permitted BGT within 60 days of cessation of the BGTs operation or as required by the transitional provisions of Subsection B, D, or E of 19.15.17.17 NMAC.

## **General Closure Plan**

A.

- BP shall notify the surface owner by certified mail that it plans to close a BGT. Evidence of mailing of the notice to the address of the surface owner shown in the county tax records demonstrates compliance with this requirement. No notice was made due to misunderstanding of the BGT notice requirements at that time.
- 2. BP shall notify the division District III office verbally or by other means at least 72 hours, but not more than one (1) week, prior to any closure operation. The notice shall include the operator's name, and the location to be closed by unit letter, section, township and range. If the BGT closure is associated with a particular well, then the notice shall also include the well's name, number and API number.

# No notice was made due to misunderstanding of the BGT notice requirements at that time.

- 3. BP shall remove liquids and sludge from the BGT prior to implementing a closure method and dispose of the liquids and sludge in a NMOCD's division-approved facility. The facilities to be used are:
  - a. BP Crouch Mesa Landfarm, Permit NM-02-003 (Solids)
  - b. JFJ Landfarm, Permit NM-01-010(B) (Solids and Sludge)
  - c. Basin Disposal, Permit NM-01-0005 (Liquids)

- d. Envirotech Inc Soil Remediation Facility, Permit NM-01-0011 (Solids and Sludge)
- e. BP Operated E.E. Elliott SWD #1, API 30-045-27799 (Liquids)
- f. BP Operated 13 GCU SWD #1, API 30-045-28601 (Liquids)
- g. BP Operated GCU 259 SWD, API 30-045-20006 (Liquids)
- h. BP Operated GCU 306 SWD, API 30-045-24286 (Liquids)
- i. BP Operated GCU 307 SWD, API 30-045-24248 (Liquids)
- j. BP Operated GCU 328 SWD, API 30-045-24735 (Liquids)
- k. BP Operated Pritchard SWD #1, API 30-045-28351 (Liquids)

# All liquids and sludge in the BGT were removed and sent to one of the above NMOCD approved facilities for disposal.

4. BP shall remove the BGT and dispose of it in a NMOCD approved facility or recycle, reuse, or reclaim it in a manner that the NMOCD approves. If a liner is present and must be disposed of it will be cleaned by scraping any soils or other attached materials on the liner to a de minimus amount and disposed at a permitted solid waste facility, pursuant to Subparagraph (m) of Paragraph (1) of Subsection C of 19.15.35.8 NMAC. Documentation as to the final disposition of the removed BGT will be provided in the final closure report.

# The BGT was transported to a storage area for sale and re-use.

- BP shall remove any on-site equipment associated with a BGT unless the equipment is required for well production.
   All equipment associated with the BGT has been removed.
- 6. BP shall test the soils beneath the BGT to determine whether a release has occurred. BP shall collect at a minimum: a five (5) point composite sample and individual grab samples from any area that is wet, discolored or showing other evidence of a release and analyze for BTEX, TPH and chlorides. The testing methods for those constituents are as follows;

Constituents	Testing Method	Release Verification	Sample
	21 bbl BGT	(mg/Kg)	results
Benzene	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	0.2	ND
Total BTEX	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	50	ND
TPH	US EPA Method SW-846 418.1	100	ND
Chlorides	US EPA Method 300.0 or 4500B	250 or background	ND

Notes: mg/Kg = milligram per kilogram, BTEX = benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes, TPH = total petroleum hydrocarbons. Other EPA methods that the division approves may be applied to all constituents listed. Chloride closure standards will be determined by which ever concentration level is greatest.

Soil under the BGT was sampled and TPH, BTEX and chloride levels were below the stated limits. Sampling data is attached.

- BP shall notify the division District III office of its results on form C-141.
   C-141 is attached.
- If it is determined that a release has occurred, then BP will comply with 19.15.30 NMAC and 19.15.29 NMAC, as appropriate.
   Sampling results indicate no release occurred.
- 9. If the sampling demonstrates that a release has not occurred or that any release does not exceed the concentrations specified above, then BP shall backfill the excavation, with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material; construct a division-prescribed soil cover, re-contour and re-vegetate the location. The location will be reclaimed if it is not with in the active process area

The area under the BGT was backfilled with clean soil and has been reclaimed since the well was plugged and abandoned.

10. BP shall reclaim the BGT location and all areas associated with the BGT including associated access roads to a safe and stable condition that blends with the surrounding undisturbed area. BP shall substantially restore the impacted surface area to the condition that existed prior to oil and gas operations by placement of the soil cover as provided in Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, re-contour the location and associated areas to a contour that approximates the original contour and blends with the surrounding topography and re-vegetate according to Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC.

The area over the BGT has been reclaimed since the well was plugged and abandoned.

11. The soil cover for closures where the BGT has been removed or remediated to the NMOCD's satisfaction shall consist of the background thickness of topsoil or one foot of suitable material to establish vegetation at the site, whichever is greater. The soil cover will be constructed to the site's existing grade and all practicable efforts will be made to prevent ponding of water and erosion of the cover material.

The area over the BGT has been reclaimed since the well was plugged and abandoned.

12. BP shall seed the disturbed area the first growing season after closure of the BGT. Seeding will be accomplished by drilling on the contour whenever practical or by other division-approved methods. Vegetative cover will be, at a minimum, 70% of the native perennial vegetative cover (un-impacted by overgrazing, fire or other intrusion damaging to native vegetation), consisting of at least three native plant species, including at least one grass, but not including noxious weeds, and maintenance of that cover through two successive growing seasons. During the two growing seasons that prove viability, there shall be no artificial irrigation of the vegetation.

The area over the BGT has been reclaimed since the well was plugged and abandoned.

13. BP shall seed, plant and re-seed pursuant to Paragraph (3) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, until the location successfully achieves the required vegetative cover.

BP has seeded the area as part of final reclamation since the well was plugged and abandoned.

14. Pursuant to Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall notify the NMOCD when it has seeded or planted and when it successfully achieves revegetation.

### BP will notify NMOCD when re-vegetation is successful.

- 15. Within 60 days of closure completion, BP shall submit a closure report on NMOCD's form C-144, and will include the following;
  - a. proof of closure notification (surface owner and NMOCD)
  - b. sampling analytical reports; information required by 19.15.17 NMAC;
  - c. disposal facility name and permit number
  - d. details on back-filling, capping, covering, and where applicable re-vegetation application rates and seeding techniques and
  - e. site reclamation, photo documentation.

## Closure report on C-144 form is included.

16. BP shall certify that all information in the report and attachments is accurate, truthful, and compliant with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.

Certification section of C-144 has been completed.

۰.

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe. NM 87505 Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

Sailta r	e, INM 875	03		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	90 - D	
Release Notification and Corrective Action						
<b>OPERATOR</b> Initial Report 🖾 Final Report						
Name of Company: BP	Contact: Jeff Peace					··· ••
Address: 200 Energy Court, Farmington, NM 87401	No.: 505-326-94	79				
Facility Name: Florance 60R     Facility Type: Natural gas well						
Surface Owner: Federal Mineral Owner:	Federal		API N	o. 3004523:	546	7
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Unit LetterSectionTownshipRangeFeet from theNorthL129N9W1,540South	n/South Line	Feet from the 800	East/West Line West	County: S	an Juan	
Latitude36.75090	Longitud	e_107.73690				J
Type of Release: none		EASE Release: N/A	Voluma	Recovered: 1	J/A	
Source of Release: below grade tank – 21 bbl, Tank A		lour of Occurrence		Hour of Dis		
Was Immediate Notice Given?	If YES, To		e.   Date and		covery.	
🗋 Yes 📋 No 🖾 Not Required						1
By Whom?	Date and I-	lour				
Was a Watercourse Reached?	If YES, Vo	lume Impacting th	he Watercourse.			
Yes 🛛 No						
If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.*			<u> </u>			
Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken * Sampling of th	a goil honooth	the DCT was dar	a during remaina	to on all to po		maata fuama
Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* Sampling of the soil beneath the BGT was done during removal to ensure no soil impacts from the BGT. Soil analysis resulted in TPH, BTEX and chloride below standards. Analysis results are attached.						
the DOT. Soft analysis resulted in TET, DTEX and enorthe below standards. Analysis results are attached.						
Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* BGT was removed	and the area of	- down and the DC	Turne committed 7	<u></u>	u the D	<u>ОТ</u>
backfilled and compacted and has been reclaimed and seeded since the w				i ne area unde	er the B	GI was
backfined and compared and has been reclaimed and secure since the w	en was plugge	and abandoned.	~			
I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to t						
regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release r public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by th						
should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediat						
or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report of						
federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.						
0		<u>OIL CONS</u>	SERVATION	DIVISIC	<u>)N</u>	
Signature: Joff Pare						
Signature. A signature in the site						
Printed Name: Jeff Peace Approved by Environmental Specialist:						
Title: Field Environmental Coordinator	Approval Dat	e:	Expiration	Date:		
		· · · ·				
E-mail Address: peace.jeffrey@bp.com	Conditions of Approval: Attached					
Date: October 29, 2014 Phone: 505-326-9479						
* Attach Additional Sheets If Necessary				- ·		

Ļ

CLIENT: BP	BLAGG ENGINEERING, INC. P.O. BOX 87, BLOOMFIELD, NM 87413	API# 3004523546				
	(505) 632-1199	TANK ID (if applicble):A				
FIELD REPORT:	(circle one): BGT CONFIRMATION / RELEASE INVESTIGATION / OTHER:	PAGE #: <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>				
SITE INFORMATION	SITE NAME: FLORANCE #60R	DATE STARTED: 11/05/13				
QUAD/UNIT: L SEC: 1 TWP:	29N RNG: 9W PM: NM CNTY: SJ ST: NM	DATE FINISHED:				
1/4 -1/4/FOOTAGE: 1,540'S / 800'V LEASE #: SF078201	NW/SW LEASE TYPE: FEDERAL/STATE/FEE/INDIAN CROSSFIRE PROD. FORMATION: PC CONTRACTOR: MBF - T. PETERSON	ENVIRONMENTAL SPECIALIST(S): JCB				
REFERENCE POINT         1)       21 BGT (SW/DB)		GL ELEV.: <u>6,427'</u> EARING FROM WH.: <u>69', N71W</u>				
	GPS COORD.: DISTANCE/B	EARING FROM W.H.:				
		EARING FROM W.H.:				
		EARING FROM W.H.;				
SAMPLING DATA:	CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD(S) # OR LAB USED: HALL	READING (ppm)				
	5' SAMPLE DATE <u>11/05/13</u> SAMPLE TIME <u>1440</u> LAB ANALYSIS: <u>418.1</u>	• • •				
	SAMPLE DATE:					
	SAMPLE DATE         CHB AVALITATION           SAMPLE DATE:         SAMPLE TIME:           LAB ANALYSIS:					
	SOIL TYPE: SAND / SILTY SAND / SILT / SILTY CLAY / CLAY / GRAVEL					
SOIL COLOR: DARK YE	LLOWSH ORANGE	THER BEDROCK SANDSTONE.				
COHESION (ALL OTHERS): NON COHESIVE / SLIGHTLY COHESIVE / COHESIVE / HIGHLY COHESIVE       PLASTICTY (CLAYS): NON PLASTIC / SLIGHTLY PLASTIC / COHESIVE / MEDIUM PLASTIC / HIGHLY PLASTIC         CONSISTENCY (NON COHESIVE / SUBHTLY COHESIVE / FIRM / DENSE [VERY DENSE]       DENSITY (COHESIVE CLAYS & SILTS): SOFT / FIRM / STIFF / VERY STIFF / HARD         MOISTURE: [DRY / SLIGHTLY MOIST] / MOIST / WET / SATURATED / SUPER SATURATED       DENSITY (COHESIVE CLAYS & SILTS): SOFT / FIRM / STIFF / VERY STIFF / HARD         HC ODOR DETECTED: YES (NO       EXPLANATION -         SAMPLE TYPE: GRAB (COMPOSITE) # OF PTS.       5         DISCOLORATION/STAINING OBSERVED: YES (NO)       EXPLANATION -						
	EXPLANATION -         BSERVED AND/OR OCCURRED : YES / NO         ECENTLY PLUGGED AND ABANDONED (P & A).					
SOIL IMPACT DIMENSION ESTIMATION:	NA ft. X NA ft. X NA ft. EXCAVATION ES	TIMATION (Cubic Yards) : <b>NA</b> CD TPH CLOSURE STD: <b>5,000</b> ppm				
SITE SKETCH	PLOT PLAN circle: attached 00	M CALIB. READ. = 99.1 ppm pc - 1 00				
DECTI		M CALIB. GAS = <u>100</u> ppm E: <b>_2:50</b> an(pm) DATE: <u>11/05/13</u>				
PBGTL T.B. $\sim 5' \longrightarrow (x \times x)$		MISCELL. NOTES				
<b>B.G.</b> $(x \times x)$		NO: N15335488				
	_ P&A	مر				
		PJ#: X7-00575-E				
	-	Permit date(s): 07/29/08				
	Ta	DCD Appr. date(s): 08/19/08				
А. С.		D ppm = parts per million BGT Sidewalls Visible: Y /(N)				
		BGT Sidewalls Visible: Y / N				
T.B. = TANK BOTTOM; PBGTL = PREVIOUS BELC	N DEPRESSION; B.G. = BELOW GRADE; B = BELOW; T.H. = TEST HOLE; ~ = APPROX.; W.H. = WELL HEAD; DW-GRADE TANK LOCATION; SPD = SAMPLE POINT DESIGNATION; R.W. = RETAINING WALL; NA - NOT	BGT Sidewalls Visible: Y / N Magnetic declination: <b>10°</b> E				
	WALL; DW - DOUBLE WALL; SB - SINGLE BOTTOM; DB - DOUBLE BOTTOM, ONSITE: 11/05/13	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
NOTES:	ONSITE:					

•

Hall Environmental Analys	sis Labora	tory, In	с.		Lab Order <b>1311466</b> Date Reported: <b>11/15/20</b>	013
CLIENT: Blagg Engineering Project: Florance 60R			Collection 1	<b>Date:</b> 11/	BGT 5-PT @ 5' /5/2013 2:40:00 PM	
Lab ID: 1311466-001	Matrix:	SOIL	Received I	Date: 11/	/12/2013 10:00:00 AM	
Analyses	Result	RL (	Qual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 8015D: DIESEL RANGE	EORGANICS				Analyst:	BCN
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	10	mg/Kg	1	11/14/2013 1:01:25 PM	10315
Surr: DNOP	99.4	66-131	%REC	1	11/14/2013 1:01:25 PM	10315
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RAI	NGE				Analyst:	NSB
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	4.9	mg/Kg	1	11/13/2013 10:57:42 PM	1 10303
Surr: BFB	90.6	74.5-129	%REC	1	11/13/2013 10:57:42 PM	1 10303
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analyst:	NSB
Benzene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	11/13/2013 10:57:42 PM	1 10303
Toluene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	11/13/2013 10:57:42 PM	1 10303
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	11/13/2013 10:57:42 PM	1 10303
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.097	mg/Kg	1	11/13/2013 10:57:42 PM	1 10303
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	107	80-120	%REC	1	11/13/2013 10:57:42 PN	1 10303
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analyst:	JRR
Chloride	ND	1.5	mg/Kg	1	11/13/2013 4:01:13 PM	10324
EPA METHOD 418.1: TPH					Analyst:	BCN
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	ND	20	mg/Kg	1	11/14/2013	10298

**Analytical Report** 

٠

7

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	В	Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
	Е	Value above quantitation range	Н	Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
	J	Analyte detected below quantitation limits	ND	Not Detected at the Reporting Limit Page 1 of 6
	0	RSD is greater than RSDlimit	·P	Not Detected at the Reporting Limit Page 1 of 6 Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
	R	RPD outside accepted recovery limits	RL	Reporting Detection Limit
	S	Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits		

# Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Client: Blagg Engineering Project: Florance 60R

.

Sample ID MB-10324	SampType: MBLK	TestCode: EPA Method	300.0: Anions	
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 10324	RunNo: 14791		
Prep Date: 11/13/2013	Analysis Date: 11/13/2013	SeqNo: 426109	Units: mg/Kg	
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value	SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit	HighLimit %RPD	RPDLimit Qual
Chloride	ND 1.5			_
Sample ID LCS-10324	SampType: LCS	TestCode: EPA Method	300.0: Anions	
•	SampType: LCS Batch ID: 10324	TestCode: EPA Method RunNo: 14791	300.0: Anions	
Client ID: LCSS			300.0: Anions Units: mg/Kg	
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 10324 Analysis Date: 11/13/2013	RunNo: 14791		RPDLimit Qual

Qualifiers:

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 2 of 6

WO#: 1311466

15-Nov-13

# **QC SUMMARY REPORT**

## Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

**Client:** Blagg Engineering **Project:** Florance 60R

.

Sample ID MB-10298	SampType: MBLK	TestCode: EPA Method	418.1: TPH	
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 10298	RunNo: 14800		
Prep Date: 11/12/2013	Analysis Date: 11/14/2013	SeqNo: 426345	Units: mg/Kg	
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value	SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit	HighLimit %RPD	RPDLimit Qual
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	ND 20			······································
Sample ID LCS-10298	SampType: LCS	TestCode: EPA Method	418.1: TPH	
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 10298	RunNo: 14800		
Prep Date: 11/12/2013	Analysis Date: 11/14/2013	SeqNo: 426346	Units: <b>mg/Kg</b>	
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value	SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit	HighLimit %RPD	RPDLimit Qual
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	100 20 100.0	0 99.9 80	120	
Sample ID LCSD-10298	SampType: LCSD	TestCode: EPA Method	418.1: TPH	, <u> </u>
Client ID: LCSS02	Batch ID: 10298	RunNo: 14800		
Prep Date: 11/12/2013	Analysis Date: 11/14/2013	SeqNo: 426347	Units: mg/Kg	
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value	SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit	HighLimit %RPD	RPDLimit Qual
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	100 20 100.0	0 99.9 80	120 0	20

Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. \*
- Value above quantitation range Е
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits J
- RSD is greater than RSDlimit 0
- RPD outside accepted recovery limits R
- Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits S
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded Н
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- Р Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 3 of 6

15-Nov-13

# **QC SUMMARY REPORT**

Hall	Envir	onmental	Analysis	Labora	atory,	Inc.

Blagg Engineering **Client: Project:** Florance 60R

Sample ID MB-10315	SampType: MBLK		TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics						
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID:	0315	F	RunNo: 14753					
Prep Date: 11/13/2013	Analysis Date:	11/13/2013	5	SeqNo: 4	24980	Units: mg/K	(g		
Analyte	Result PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND 1	0							
Surr: DNOP	8.6	10.00		85.9	66	131			
Sample ID LCS-10315	SampType: L	cs	Tes	tCode: EF	PA Method	8015D: Diese	el Range C	Drganics	
	Batch ID: 10315 RunNo: 14753								
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 1	0315	F	RunNo: 14	4753				
Client ID: LCSS Prep Date: 11/13/2013	Batch ID: 1 Analysis Date:			RunNo: <b>1</b> 4 SeqNo: <b>4</b> 2		Units: <b>mg/K</b>	ζg		
		11/13/2013				Units: <b>mg/K</b> HighLimit	<b>(g</b> %RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Prep Date: 11/13/2013	Analysis Date:	11/13/2013 SPK value	S	SeqNo: 42	25003	•	•	RPDLimit	Qual

Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. \*
- Е Value above quantitation range
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits J
- RSD is greater than RSDlimit 0
- RPD outside accepted recovery limits R
- Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits S
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded Н
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only. р
- Reporting Detection Limit RL

Page 4 of 6

15-Nov-13

1311466

WO#:

₽ *،* ، ٠

> WO#: 1311466

> > 15-Nov-13

-

Client: Project:	Blagg En Florance	• •									
Sample ID MB-	10303 MK	SampT	уре: МІ	BLK	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	8015D: Gaso	oline Rang	e	
Client ID: PBS		Batch	ID: <b>R1</b>	4768	F	RunNo: 1	4768				
Prep Date:		Analysis D	ate: 1	1/13/2013	S	SeqNo: 4	25628	Units: %RE	с		
Analyte Surr: BFB		Result 910	PQL	SPK value 1000	SPK Ref Val	%REC 91.5	LowLimit 74.5	HighLimit 129	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Sample ID LCS	-10303 MK	SampT	ype: LC	s	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	8015D: Gaso	line Rang	e	
Client ID: LCS	s	Batch	ID: <b>R1</b>	4768	F	RunNo: 1	4768				
Prep Date:		Analysis D	ate: 1	1/13/2013	5	SeqNo: 4	25629	Units: %RE	с		
Analyte Surr: BFB		Result 970	PQL	SPK value 1000	SPK Ref Val	%REC 96.9	LowLimit 74.5	HighLimit 129	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Sample ID MB-1	10303	SampTy	ype: ME	BLK	Tes	tCode: El		8015D: Gaso	line Rang	e	
Client ID: PBS		Batch	ID: 10	303	F	RunNo: 1	4768				
Prep Date: 11/	12/2013	Analysis Da	ate: 1	1/13/2013	S	SeqNo: 4	25633	Units: mg/H	٢g		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Gasoline Range Orga Surr: BFB	inics (GRO)	ND 910	5.0	1000		91.5	74.5	129			
Sample ID LCS-	-10303	SampTy	/pe: LC	s	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8015D: Gasc	line Rang	e	
Client ID: LCS	S	Batch	ID: 10	303	F	RunNo: 1	4768				
Prep Date: 11/	12/2013	Analysis Da	ate: 11	1/13/2013	S	SeqNo: 4	25634	Units: mg/K	(g		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Gasoline Range Orga Surr: BFB	nics (GRO)	25 970	5.0	25.00 1000	0	102 96.9	74.5 74.5	126 129			

#### Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. \*
- Е Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- 0 RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- RPD outside accepted recovery limits R
- Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits S
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- Not Detected at the Reporting Limit ND
- Р Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- RL

Page 5 of 6

Reporting Detection Limit

# Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

# Client:Blagg EngineeringProject:Florance 60R

>

¥.7<sup>3</sup> ∳r

Sample ID MB-10303 MK	SampType: MBLK TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles									
Client ID: PBS	Bato	h ID: <b>R1</b>	4768	ਜ	RunNo: 14	4768				
Prep Date:	Analysis I	Date: 1	1/13/2013	S	SeqNo: 4	25652	Units: %RE	с		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.1		1.000		109	80	120			
Sample ID LCS-10303 MK	Samp	Type: LC	:s	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8021B: Volat	iles		
Client ID: LCSS	Batc	h ID: <b>R1</b>	4768	R	unNo: 14	4768				
Prep Date:	Analysis I	Date: 1	1/13/2013	S	eqNo: 4	25653	Units: %RE	с		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.2		1.000		115	80	120			
Sample ID MB-10303	Samp	Гуре: МІ	3LK	Test	Code: EF	PA Method	8021B: Volat	iles		
Client ID: PBS	Batc	h ID: 10	303	R	unNo: 14	4768				
Prep Date: 11/12/2013	Analysis (	Date: 1	1/13/2013	s	eqNo: 4	25656	Units: mg/K	g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	NĎ	0.050								
Toluene	ND	0.050								
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.050								
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.10								
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.1		1.000		109	80	120			
Sample ID LCS-10303	Samp	Гуре: LC	S	Test	Code: EF	PA Method	8021B: Volat	iles		
Client ID: LCSS	Batc	h ID: 10	303	R	unNo: 14	4768				
Prep Date: 11/12/2013	Analysis [	Date: 11	1/13/2013	S	eqNo: 42	25657	Units: mg/K	g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
	0.97	0.050	1.000	0	97.2	80	120			
Benzene	0.97	0.000	1.000	0						
Benzene Toluene	1.0	0.050	1.000	0	99.9	80	120			
				-		80 80	120 120			
Toluene	1.0	0.050	1.000	0	99.9					

#### Qualifiers:

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 6 of 6

15-Nov-13

1311466

----

WO#:

€.? ¥	4
	ANALYSIS LABORATORY

## 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87105 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4101 Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

# Sample Log-In Check List

Client Name: BLAGG	Work Order Numbe	er: 1311466		RcptNo:	1
Received by/date:	11/12/13				
Logged By: Lindsay Mangin	11/12/2013 10:00:00	AM	And the first		
Completed By: Lindsay Mangin	11/12/2013 1:56:40	PM	Junky Happo		
Reviewed By: MA	11/12/13		$V \bullet V$		
Chain of Custody					
1. Custody seals intact on sample bottles?	,	Yes 🗌	No 🗌	Not Present 🗹	
2. Is Chain of Custody complete?		Yes 🔽	No 🗌	Not Present	
3. How was the sample delivered?		Courier			
Log In					
4. Was an attempt made to cool the samp	bles?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌		
5. Were all samples received at a tempera	ature of >0° C to 6.0°C	Yes 🗹	No 🗌		
6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?		Yes 🗹	No 🗔		
7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated t	est(s)?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌		
8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) pr	operly preserved?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌		
9. Was preservative added to bottles?		Yes 🗌	No 🗹	NA 🗆	
10.VOA vials have zero headspace?		Yes 🗌	No 🗌	No VOA Vials 🗹	
11. Were any sample containers received t	proken?	Yes 🗆	No 🗹 🛛	# of preserved	
12. Does paperwork match bottle labels?		Yes 🔽	No 🗌	bottles checked for pH:	
(Note discrepancies on chain of custody				(<2 or Adjusted?	>12 unless noted)
13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chai		Yes 🗹	No 🛄	nujusicu:	
14. Is it clear what analyses were requested 15. Were all holding times able to be met?	17	Yes 🗹 Yes 🗹	No 🗌	Checked by:	
(If no, notify customer for authorization.)	)		L	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Special Handling (if applicable)					
16. Was client notified of all discrepancies v	with this order?	Yes 🗌	No 🗆	NA 🗹	,
Person Notified:	Date:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
By Whom:	Vla:	eMaii 🗌 I	Phone 🗌 Fax	In Person	
Regarding:				and a second	
Client Instructions:		and the second			
17. Additional remarks:					

## 18. Cooler Information

Cooler No	Temp °C	Condition	Seal Intact	Seal No	Seal Date	Signed By
1	1.0	Good	Yes			

Chain-of-Custody Record	lurn-Around Time:						
Client: BLAGG Engineerily Inc.	Project Name:	HALL ENVIRONMENTAL					
RP Anguing	Project Name:						
Client: BLAGG Engineerily Inc. BP America Mailing Address: P.O. Box 87	FLORANCE GOR	www.hallenvironmental.com 4901 Hawkins NE - Albuquerque, NM 87109					
Brown field NM 8743	Project #:	Tel. 505-345-3975 Fax 505-345-4107					
Phone #: 505-632-1199		Analysis Request					
email or Fax#:	Project Manager:						
QA/QC Package: ↓ Standard □ Level 4 (Full Validation)	J. BLAGE	H (Gas only) H (Gas only) DRO (HRC) ) 0 SIMS) 0 SIMS) 02,PO4,SO4) 082 PCB's					
Accreditation	Sampler: J- BLAGG Office: President Enno.	A) A) A) A) A) A) A) A) A) A) A) A) A) A					
□ EDD (Type)	Sample Temperature: //w/						
Date Time Matrix Sample Request ID		BTEX + JMTBE = TMB's (8021) BTEX + MTBE + TPH (Gas only) TPH 8015B (GRO / DRO / JMRO) TPH (Method 504.1) EDB (Method 504.1) PAH's (8310 or 8270 SIMS) PAH's (8310 or 8270 SIMS) RCRA 8 Metals Anions (F,CI,NO <sub>3</sub> ,NO <sub>2</sub> ,PO <sub>4</sub> ,SO <sub>4</sub> ) 8081 Pesticides / 8082 PCB's 8260B (VOA) 8270 (Semi-VOA) $C(I_1 _{O_4},C _{O_4})$					
15/13 1440 Soll 21 BGT 5-PE@5'	402×1 COUL -001						
		<mark>┟╶┾╸╎╺╋╶┨╶╎╴╎╴╎╶┥╶┥╶┥╶┥</mark>					
		┝─┾╌┼╌╃╌╀╌╀╌┼╌┼╌┼					
the state of the s							
Date: Time: Relinquished by:	hristere, Upaeter "/8/13 1152	Remarks: BILL BP PARKEY: ZFEIRKOSJS					
National 1740 / Mistan Walles	Received by: Date Time	Cartact. Jeff Parce					
if necessary, samples submitted to Hall Environmental may be su	ubcontracted to other accredited laboratories. This serves as notice of this	s possibility. Any sub-contracted data will be clearly notated on the analytical report.					

₩ 5 •

