| <u>District 1</u> <u>1625</u> N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 <u>District II</u> 811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 <u>District III</u> 1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410 <u>District IV</u> 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505 | State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources Department Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505 | Form C-144 Revised June 6, 2013 For temporary pits, below-grade tanks, and multi-well fluid management pits, submit to the appropriate NMOCD District Office. For permanent pits submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office. |
|---|---|---|
| US-27575 ☐ Permi US-27575 ☐ Closur ☐ Modif ☐ Closur or proposed alternative met Instructions: Please submit of Please be advised that approval of this request does not | t of a pit or proposed alternative method re of a pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternati ication to an existing permit/or registration re plan only submitted for an existing permitted on | ive method NOV 07 2014 r non-permitted pit, below-grade tank, -grade tank or alternative request in pollution of surface water, ground water or the |
| Address:200 Energy Court, Farmington Facility or well name:Gallegos Canyon U API Number:3004527575 U/L or Qtr/QtrM Section2 | OGRID #: , NM 87401 Jnit 384 OCD Permit Number: Township29N Range12W 0781 Longitude108.11013] Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment | _ County:San Juan |
| Lined Unlined Liner type: Thickness String-Reinforced Liner Seams: Welded Factory Other | 1AC P&A 		Multi-Well Fluid Management Lo mil 		LLDPE 		HDPE 	PVC 	Ot Volume:bbl | her |
| Tank Construction material: Steel | e of fluid:Produced water | verflow shut-off med |

Submittal of an exception request is required. Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

•

22

Fencing: Subsection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits, temporary pits, and below-grade tanks)

Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top (Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution or church)

Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet

Alternate. Please specify_

Netting: Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks)

Screen 🗌 Netting 🗌 Other_

7.

Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)

Signs: Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC

12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers

Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC

Variances and Exceptions:

Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance.

Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank:

Variance(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district for consideration of approval.

Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

Siting Criteria (regarding permitting): 19.15.17.10 NMAC

Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of acceptable source material are provided below. Siting criteria does not apply to drying pads or above-grade tanks.

| General siting | |
|---|--------------------|
| Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of a low chloride temporary pit or below-grade tank | □ Yes □ No □ NA |
| Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of a Temporary pit, permanent pit, or Multi-Well Fluid Management pit. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells | ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA |
| Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality | 🗋 Yes 🗌 No |
| Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No |
| Within an unstable area. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No |
| Within a 100-year floodplain. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) - FEMA map | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No |
| Below Grade Tanks | |
| Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, significant watercourse, lake bed, sinkhole, wetland or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No |
| Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for public or livestock consumption;. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No |
| Temporary Pit using Low Chloride Drilling Fluid (maximum chloride content 15,000 mg/liter) | |
| Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). (Applies to low chloride temporary pits.) Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No |

| Within 300 feet from a occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No | | | | | |
|---|------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image | | | | | | |
| Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 300feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of the initial application. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No | | | | | |
| Within 100 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | | | | | | |
| Temporary Pit Non-low chloride drilling fluid | | | | | | |
| Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse, or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No | | | | | |
| Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image | Yes No | | | | | |
| Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in the existence at the time of the initial application; NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No | | | | | |
| Within 300 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No | | | | | |
| <u>Permanent Pit or Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit</u> | | | | | | |
| Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). | | | | | | |
| - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No | | | | | |
| Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No | | | | | |
| Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No | | | | | |
| Within 500 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | 🗍 Yes 🗌 No | | | | | |
| ^{10.} <u>Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist</u> : Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 N <i>Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the doc</i> <i>attached.</i> | | | | | | |
| Hydrogeologic Report (Below-grade Tanks) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Hydrogeologic Data (Temporary and Emergency Pits) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.13.17.13 NMAC | | | | | | |
| Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number: | | | | | | |
| 11. Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the doc attached. Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC A List of wells with approved application for permit to drill associated with the pit. Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19. and 19.15.17.13 NMAC Hydrogeologic Data - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number: | | | | | | |

| Permanent, Pins Permit Application: Checklins: Solvexion 10 of 19.13.17.9 NMAC Patronoms: Call of the following terms may be attached to the application. Plose indicate, by a check mark in the best, that the documents are interfed. Hydrogologic Report. Isseed upon the requirements of Pangraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Climit biologic Paroor Assessment on based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Leak Detertion Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Leak Detertion Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Chart Specifications and Compublity Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Chart Specifications and Compublity Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Chart Specifications and Compublity Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Chart Specifications and Compublity Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Chart Specification and National Plan. Chart Specification Plan. Chart Specification Plan. Chart Specification Plan. Chart Specification Plan. Proposed Closure Plan based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.15 NMAC Proposed Closure Plan based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.15 NMAC Proposed Closure Plan based upon the appropriate requirements | | |
|--|--|---------------------|
| Proposed Closure: 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Plastes complete the applicable backs, Backs 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan. Type: Dilling Workover Proposed Closure plan. Multi-well Fluid Management Pit Alternative Waste Excavation and Removal Worket Removal (Closure Hone) On-site Closure theolo (Only for temporary plis and closed-loop systems) In-place Burial On-site Tesure Method: Waste Excavation and Removal Closure Plan. Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plan. Please indicate. by a check mark in the bac, that the documents are attached. Confirmation Sampling Plan (fapplicable) - based upon the appropriat requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Disposal Facility Name and Fermit Namber (for liquids, affiling fluids and drift catings) Soli Backfil and Cover Dascing Specifications: based upon the appropriat requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Bacy Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only): 19.15.17.13 NMAC Site Beclamation Plan - based upon the appropriat requirements of Subsection R of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Each siting criteria requires ends of Subsection A of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Site Celevine methods only: 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instruction: Each siththe appropriat re | Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the attached. Hydrogeologic Report - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Climatological Factors Assessment Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Leak Detection Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Quality Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installation Plan Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Freeboard and Overtopping Prevention Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Nuisance or Hazardous Odors, including H ₂ S, Prevention Plan Oil Field Waste Stream Characterization Monitoring and Inspection Plan Errosion Control Plan | documents are |
| Proposed Closure: 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Plastes complete the applicable backs, Backs 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan. Type: Dilling Workover Proposed Closure plan. Multi-well Fluid Management Pit Alternative Waste Excavation and Removal Worket Removal (Closure Hone) On-site Closure theolo (Only for temporary plis and closed-loop systems) In-place Burial On-site Tesure Method: Waste Excavation and Removal Closure Plan. Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plan. Please indicate. by a check mark in the bac, that the documents are attached. Confirmation Sampling Plan (fapplicable) - based upon the appropriat requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Disposal Facility Name and Fermit Namber (for liquids, affiling fluids and drift catings) Soli Backfil and Cover Dascing Specifications: based upon the appropriat requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Bacy Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only): 19.15.17.13 NMAC Site Beclamation Plan - based upon the appropriat requirements of Subsection R of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Each siting criteria requires ends of Subsection A of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Site Celevine methods only: 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instruction: Each siththe appropriat re | | |
| Stite Criteria Creating and the state and the box of the buried waste 15 Stite Cound water is least than 25 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. 18 Ground water is least than 25 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells 19 NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells Yes NA 10 Froso of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site Yes No 14 Stiting office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site Yes No 15 NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells NA 16 NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells NA 17 NA Yes No NA 18 Stiting criteria requirements of Subscient of the properious of the buried waste. Yes No 19 NA Image: State | Proposed Closure: 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan. Type: Drilling Workover Emergency Cavitation P&A Permanent Pit Below-grade Tank Multi-well F Alternative Alternative Proposed Closure Method: Waste Excavation and Removal Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only) On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems) In-place Burial On-site Trench Burial | luid Management Pit |
| closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of \$19,15,17,13 NMAC Disposal Facility Name and Permix Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings) Soli Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19,15,17,13 NMAC Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19,15,17,13 NMAC Is. Stite Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19,15,17,13 NMAC Is. Stite Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19,15,17,13 NMAC Is. Stite Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19,15,17,13 NMAC Is. Stite Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19,15,17,13 NMAC Instructions: Each siting criteria regarines a demonstration of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendations of acceptable source material are provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria require justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency. Please refer to 19,15,17,10 NMAC for guidance. Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. NM Office of the State | 14. | |
| Siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: Each sting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendations of acceptable source material are provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria require justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency. Please refer to 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance. Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. | closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings) Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC | |
| Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendations of acceptable source material are provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria require justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency. Please refer to 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance. Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. | | |
| NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells NA NA Ground water is between 25-50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells NA NA Yes NA Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells NA Yes NA Yes NA NA Yes NO Yes NO | Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendations of acceptable sour provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria require justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency. F | |
| NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image Within 300 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site Within 300 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality Yes No Within 300 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | | |
| NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells NA Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image Within 300 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality Yes No Within 300 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | | |
| lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). | | |
| Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image Within 300 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality Yes No Within 300 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No |
| at the time of initial application NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality Within 300 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site Yes No | | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No |
| Within 300 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site Image: Comparison of the proposed site | at the time of initial application. | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No |
| Within 300 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site Image: Comparison of the proposed site | Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality | |
| | Within 300 feet of a wetland. | |
| | Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance | |

| adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No |
|---|--|
| Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No |
| Within an unstable area. - Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological | |
| Society; Topographic map | 🗋 Yes 🗌 No |
| Within a 100-year floodplain. - FEMA map | 🗋 Yes 🗌 No |
| 16. | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| On-Site Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plan a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Proof of Surface Owner Notice - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection K of 19.15.17 Construction/Design Plan of Temporary Pit (for in-place burial of a drying pad) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Usate Material Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings or in case on-site closure standards canr Soil Cover Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC | .11 NMAC .15.17.11 NMAC |
| 17. Operator Application Certification: | |
| I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and bel | ief. |
| Name (Print): Title: | |
| | |
| Signature: Date: | |
| Signature: Date: e-mail address: Telephone: | |
| | |
| e-mail address: | 2014 |
| e-mail address: | 2014 |
| e-mail address: | 2014 |
| e-mail address: Telephone: it OCD Approval: Permit Application (including closule plan) Closure Plan (Only) OCD Conditions (see attachment) OCD Representative Signature: | the closure report. t complete this |
| e-mail address: | the closure report. t complete this |

22. Operator Closure Certification:

| I hereby | certify that the information and attachments submitted with this closure report is true, accurate and complete to the | oest of my knowledge and |
|-----------|--|--------------------------|
| belief. I | also certify that the closure complies with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approximately ap | ed closure plan. |

| Name (Print):Jeff Peace | Title: Field Environmental Coordinator |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| Signature: Jeff Peace | Date:November 5, 2014 |
| e-mail address:peace.jeffrey@bp.com | Telephone:(505) 326-9479 |

BP AMERICA PRODUCTION COMPANY SAN JUAN BASIN, NORTHWEST NEW MEXICO

BELOW-GRADE TANK CLOSURE PLAN

<u>Gallegos Canyon Unit 384</u> <u>API No. 3004527575</u> <u>Unit Letter M, Section 21, T29N, R12W</u>

This plan will address the standard protocols and procedures for closure of below-grade tanks (BGTs) on BP America Production Company (BP) well sites. As stipulated in Paragraph A of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall close a BGT within the time periods provided in 19.15.17.13 NMAC, or by an earlier date that the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) requires because of imminent danger to fresh water, public health, safety or the environment. If deviations from this plan are necessary, any specific changes will be included on form C-144 and approved by the NMOCD. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC or is not included in Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC within five years after June 16, 2008, if not retrofit with a BGT that complies with the BP NMOCD approved BGT design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC, if not previously retrofitted to comply with the BP NMOCD approve BGT Design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan, prior to any sale or change in operator pursuant to 19.15.9.9 NMAC. BP shall close the permitted BGT within 60 days of cessation of the BGTs operation or as required by the transitional provisions of Subsection B, D, or E of 19.15.17.17 NMAC.

General Closure Plan

- 1. BP shall notify the surface owner by certified mail that it plans to close a BGT. Evidence of mailing of the notice to the address of the surface owner shown in the county tax records demonstrates compliance with this requirement. **Notice is attached.**
- 2. BP shall notify the division District III office verbally or by other means at least 72 hours, but not more than one (1) week, prior to any closure operation. The notice shall include the operator's name, and the location to be closed by unit letter, section, township and range. If the BGT closure is associated with a particular well, then the notice shall also include the well's name, number and API number.

No notice was made due to misunderstanding of the BGT notice requirements at that time.

- 3. BP shall remove liquids and sludge from the BGT prior to implementing a closure method and dispose of the liquids and sludge in a NMOCD's division-approved facility. The facilities to be used are:
 - a. BP Crouch Mesa Landfarm, Permit NM-02-003 (Solids)
 - b. JFJ Landfarm, Permit NM-01-010(B) (Solids and Sludge)
 - c. Basin Disposal, Permit NM-01-0005 (Liquids)
 - d. Envirotech Inc Soil Remediation Facility, Permit NM-01-0011 (Solids and Sludge)

- e. BP Operated E.E. Elliott SWD #1, API 30-045-27799 (Liquids)
- f. BP Operated 13 GCU SWD #1, API 30-045-28601 (Liquids)
- g. BP Operated GCU 259 SWD, API 30-045-20006 (Liquids)
- h. BP Operated GCU 306 SWD, API 30-045-24286 (Liquids)
- i. BP Operated GCU 307 SWD, API 30-045-24248 (Liquids)
- j. BP Operated GCU 328 SWD, API 30-045-24735 (Liquids)
- k. BP Operated Pritchard SWD #1, API 30-045-28351 (Liquids)

All liquids and sludge in the BGT were removed and sent to one of the above NMOCD approved facilities for disposal.

4. BP shall remove the BGT and dispose of it in a NMOCD approved facility or recycle, reuse, or reclaim it in a manner that the NMOCD approves. If a liner is present and must be disposed of it will be cleaned by scraping any soils or other attached materials on the liner to a de minimus amount and disposed at a permitted solid waste facility, pursuant to Subparagraph (m) of Paragraph (1) of Subsection C of 19.15.35.8 NMAC. Documentation as to the final disposition of the removed BGT will be provided in the final closure report.

The BGT was transported to a storage area for sale and re-use.

5. BP shall remove any on-site equipment associated with a BGT unless the equipment is required for well production.

All equipment associated with the BGT has been removed.

6. BP shall test the soils beneath the BGT to determine whether a release has occurred. BP shall collect at a minimum: a five (5) point composite sample and individual grab samples from any area that is wet, discolored or showing other evidence of a release and analyze for BTEX, TPH and chlorides. The testing methods for those constituents are as follows;

| Constituents | Testing Method | Release Verification | Sample |
|--------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------|
| | 95 bbl BGT | (mg/Kg) | results |
| Benzene | US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B | 0.2 | ND |
| Total BTEX | US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B | 50 | ND |
| TPH | US EPA Method SW-846 418.1 | 100 | ND |
| Chlorides | US EPA Method 300.0 or 4500B | 250 or background | 190 |

Notes: mg/Kg = milligram per kilogram, BTEX = benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes, TPH = total petroleum hydrocarbons. Other EPA methods that the division approves may be applied to all constituents listed. Chloride closure standards will be determined by which ever concentration level is greatest.

Soil under the BGT was sampled and TPH, BTEX and chloride levels were below the stated limits. Sampling data is attached.

BP shall notify the division District III office of its results on form C-141.
 C-141 is attached.

- If it is determined that a release has occurred, then BP will comply with 19.15.30 NMAC and 19.15.29 NMAC, as appropriate.
 Sampling results indicate no release occurred.
- 9. If the sampling demonstrates that a release has not occurred or that any release does not exceed the concentrations specified above, then BP shall backfill the excavation, with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material; construct a division-prescribed soil cover, re-contour and re-vegetate the location. The location will be reclaimed if it is not with in the active process area

The area under the BGT was backfilled with clean soil and is still within the active well area.

10. BP shall reclaim the BGT location and all areas associated with the BGT including associated access roads to a safe and stable condition that blends with the surrounding undisturbed area. BP shall substantially restore the impacted surface area to the condition that existed prior to oil and gas operations by placement of the soil cover as provided in Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, re-contour the location and associated areas to a contour that approximates the original contour and blends with the surrounding topography and re-vegetate according to Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC.

The area over the BGT is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

11. The soil cover for closures where the BGT has been removed or remediated to the NMOCD's satisfaction shall consist of the background thickness of topsoil or one foot of suitable material to establish vegetation at the site, whichever is greater. The soil cover will be constructed to the site's existing grade and all practicable efforts will be made to prevent ponding of water and erosion of the cover material.

The area over the BGT is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

12. BP shall seed the disturbed area the first growing season after closure of the BGT. Seeding will be accomplished by drilling on the contour whenever practical or by other division-approved methods. Vegetative cover will be, at a minimum, 70% of the native perennial vegetative cover (un-impacted by overgrazing, fire or other intrusion damaging to native vegetation), consisting of at least three native plant species, including at least one grass, but not including noxious weeds, and maintenance of that cover through two successive growing seasons. During the two growing seasons that prove viability, there shall be no artificial irrigation of the vegetation.

The area over the BGT is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

13. BP shall seed, plant and re-seed pursuant to Paragraph (3) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, until the location successfully achieves the required vegetative cover.

BP will seed the area when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

14. Pursuant to Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall notify the NMOCD when it has seeded or planted and when it successfully achieves revegetation.

BP will notify NMOCD when re-vegetation is successful.

- 15. Within 60 days of closure completion, BP shall submit a closure report on NMOCD's form C-144, and will include the following;
 - a. proof of closure notification (surface owner and NMOCD)
 - b. sampling analytical reports; information required by 19.15.17 NMAC;
 - c. disposal facility name and permit number
 - d. details on back-filling, capping, covering, and where applicable re-vegetation application rates and seeding techniques and
 - e. site reclamation, photo documentation.

Closure report on C-144 form is included.

16. BP shall certify that all information in the report and attachments is accurate, truthful, and compliant with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.

Certification section of C-144 has been completed.

Form C-141 Revised August 8, 2011

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Fr i. n

Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

| District IV 1220 S. St. Fran | ncis Dr., Sant | la Fe, NM 8750 | 5 | | | n St. Franc 'e, NM 875 | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|------------------------------------|---|--|---|--|
| | | | Rel | | | | orrective A | ction | ····· |
| | | | | | | OPERA | ГOR | 🗍 Initi | al Report 🛛 🛛 Final Repo |
| Name of Co | ompany: B | iP | | | | Contact: Jef | f Peace | | · |
| Address: 20 | 0 Energy | Court, Farm | ington, N | M 87401 | | Telephone 1 | No.: 505-326-94 | 179 | |
| Facility Na | me: Galleg | gos Canyon l | Jnit 384 | | | Facility Typ | e: Natural gas | well | |
| Surface Ow | ner: Feder | al | | Mineral | Owner: | Federal | | API No | 0. 3004527575 |
| | | | | LOC | | N OF REI | LEASE | ····· | |
| Unit Letter | Section | Township | Range | Feet from the | North | /South Line | Feet from the | East/West Line | County: San Juan |
| M | 21 | 29N | 12W | 1,070 | South | 1 | 1,055 | West | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | | Lat | itude3 | 6.70781 | | Longitud | e108.11013_ | | |
| | | | | NA | TURE | OF REL | EASE | | |
| Type of Rele | | | | | | Volume of | Release: N/A | | Recovered: N/A |
| | | w grade tank – | - 95 bbl | | | | lour of Occurrent | ce: Date and | Hour of Discovery: |
| Was Immedi | ate Notice (| | Yes 🗌 |] No 🛛 Not R | Required | If YES, To | Whom? | | |
| By Whom? | | | | | - | Date and F | | | |
| Was a Watercourse Reached? If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse. | | | | | | | | | |
| the BGT. So | il analysis i | resulted in TP | H, BTEX | and chloride belo | ow stand | ards. Analys | is results are attac | ched. | to ensure no soil impacts from |
| | | | | active well area. | emovea | and the area u | nderneath the BC | FI was sampled. I | he area under the BGT was |
| regulations a public health should their o or the enviro | ll operators or the envi operations h nment. In a | are required t ronment. The nave failed to a | o report and acceptance adequately OCD accept | nd/or file certain ce of a C-141 rep investigate and | release r ort by th remediat | notifications and ne NMOCD m te contaminati | nd perform correc arked as "Final R on that pose a thr | ctive actions for rele eport" does not reli eat to ground water | suant to NMOCD rules and eases which may endanger ieve the operator of liability r, surface water, human health ompliance with any other |
| Signatura | Soll | Paro | | | | | OIL CON | SERVATION | DIVISION |
| Signature: Printed Name | ⊁₽₽ e: Je <u>ff Pea</u> c | e | | | | Approved by | Environmental S | pecialist: | |
| Title: Field E | Environmen | tal Coordinate |)r | | | Approval Dat | e: | Expiration | Date: |
| E-mail Addr | ess: peace.je | effrey@bp.coi | m | | | Conditions of | f Approval: | | Attached |
| Date: Nover | nber 5, 201 | 4 | Phor | ne: 505-326-9479 |) | | | | <u> </u> |

* Attach Additional Sheets If Necessary

| CLIENT: BP | P.O. BOX 87, BLC | INEERING, INC. OMFIELD, NM 87 632-1199 | 413 | API #: 3004 TANK ID (if applicble): | |
|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| FIELD REPORT: | (circle one): BGT CONFIRMATION / REI | LEASE INVESTIGATION / OTHER: | | PAGE #: 1 | of 1 |
| SITE INFORMATION QUAD/UNIT: M SEC: 21 TWP: | | | NM | Date Started: | |
| | PROD. FORMATION: FT CONTI | | | ENVIRONMENTAL SPECIALIST(S): | NJV |
| REFERENCÉ POINT 1) 95 BGT (SW/DB) 2) | GPS COORD.: | 0781 X 108.11013 | DISTANCE/BE/ DISTANCE/BE/ DISTANCE/BE/ | ARING FROM W.H.: ARING FROM W.H.: ARING FROM W.H.: | |
| SAMPLING DATA: 1) SAMPLE ID: <u>5PC-TB@5' (95)</u> | | SAMPLE TIME: 1155 LAB ANAL | YSIS: 418,1/8 | | OVM READING (ppm) .0(CI) NA |
| 2) SAMPLE ID: 3) SAMPLE ID: 4) SAMPLE ID: | SAMPLE DATE: | SAMPLE TIME: LAB ANAL | .YSIS: | | |
| MOISTURE: DRY <u>SLIGHTLY MOIST</u> MOIST / WE SAMPLE TYPE: GRAB <u>COMPOSITE</u> # DISCOLORATION/STAINING OBSERVED: ANY AREAS DISPLAYING WETNESS: YES <u>NO</u> APPARENT EVIDENCE OF A RELEASE OF ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: | OF PTS YES /NO EXPLANATION EXPLANATION | HC ODOR DETECTED: YES | | | |
| SOIL IMPACT DIMENSION ESTIMATION: DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER: <a> | | EAREST SURFACE WATER: | | IMATION (Cubic Yards D TPH CLOSURE STD: | 100 ppm |
| WOODEN R.W. PBGTL T.B. ~ 5' B.G. E.D. ~ 5' B.G. | W.H. SMALL PUMP JACK | | | J #: Z2-006L3 ermit date(s): 0 CD Appr. date(s): 0 k ovm = organic V ppm = parts per r | NOTES 16 16 16 16 16/14/10 18/14/12 18/14/12 18/14/12 18/14/12 198/14/12 198/14/12 |
| | N DEPRESSION; B.G. = BELOW GRADE; B = BELOW; W4GRADE TANK LOCATION; SPD = SAMPLE POINT I WALL; DW - DOUBLE WALL; SB - SINGLE BOTTOM; I | DESIGNATION; R.W. = RETAINING WALL; NA | ELL HEAD; | BGT Sidewalls Visible agnetic declination | e: Y / N |
| TRAVEL NOTES: CALLOUT: | | ONSITE: 06/04/1 ; | 3 | | |

Analytical Report Lab Order 1306389

Batch

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

.

Date Reported: 6/19/2013

| EPA METHOD 8015D: DIESEL R | ANGE ORGANICS | | Analy |
|----------------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------------------|
| Analyses | Result | RL Qual Units | DF Date Analyzed |
| Lab ID: 1306389-001 | Matrix: S | SOIL Received | Date: 6/8/2013 11:00:00 AM |
| Project: GCU #384 | | Collection | Date: 6/4/2013 11:55:00 AM |
| CLIENT: Blagg Engineering | | Client Samp | ole ID: 5PC-TB @ 5' (95) |
| | | | |

| EPA METHOD 8015D: DIESEL RANGE C | RGANICS | | | | Analyst | : JME |
|----------------------------------|---------|--------|-------|----|----------------------|-------|
| Diesel Range Organics (DRO) | ND | 9.9 | mg/Kg | 1 | 6/13/2013 7:00:16 AM | 7858 |
| Surr: DNOP | 115 | 63-147 | %REC | 1 | 6/13/2013 7:00:16 AM | 7858 |
| EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANG | E | | | | Analyst | : NSB |
| Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) | ND | 4.7 | mg/Kg | 1 | 6/13/2013 7:03:44 PM | 7860 |
| Surr: BFB | 93.6 | 80-120 | %REC | 1 | 6/13/2013 7:03:44 PM | 7860 |
| EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES | | | | | Analyst | : NSB |
| Benzene | ND | 0.047 | mg/Kg | 1 | 6/13/2013 7:03:44 PM | 7860 |
| Toluene | ND | 0.047 | mg/Kg | 1 | 6/13/2013 7:03:44 PM | 7860 |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.047 | mg/Kg | 1 | 6/13/2013 7:03:44 PM | 7860 |
| Xylenes, Total | ND | 0.095 | mg/Kg | 1 | 6/13/2013 7:03:44 PM | 7860 |
| Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 97.0 | 80-120 | %REC | 1 | 6/13/2013 7:03:44 PM | 7860 |
| EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS | | | | | Analyst | JRR |
| Chloride | 190 | 30 | mg/Kg | 20 | 6/17/2013 6:15:19 PM | 7945 |
| EPA METHOD 418.1: TPH | | | | | Analyst | : jmb |
| Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR | ND | 20 | mg/Kg | 1 | 6/12/2013 | 7868 |

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

| Qualifiers: | * | Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. | В | Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank | |
|-------------|---|--|----------------|--|---|
| | Е | Value above quantitation range | Н | Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded | |
| | J | Analyte detected below quantitation limits | ND | Not Detected at the Reporting Limit Page | 1 |
| | 0 | | n ['] | Consult all another them 2 fee VOA and TOC only | • |

- RSD is greater than RSDlimit 0
- RPD outside accepted recovery limits R

- 1 of 6 P Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

QC SUMMARY REPORT Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Client:Blagg EngineeringProject:GCU #384

.

| Sample ID MB-7945 | SampType: MBLK | 300.0: Anions | | | |
|----------------------|--|---------------------------|---------------------|----------|------|
| Client ID: PBS | Batch ID: 7945 | RunNo: 11366 | | | |
| Prep Date: 6/17/2013 | Analysis Date: 6/17/2013 | SeqNo: 321063 | Units: mg/Kg | | |
| Analyte | Result PQL SPK value | SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit | HighLimit %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Chloride | ND 1.5 | | | | |
| Sample ID LCS-7945 | SampType: LCS | 300.0: Anions | | | |
| | · · · · · · · · · | i obtobalo: El Alliotida | 300.0. Amons | | |
| Client ID: LCSS | Batch ID: 7945 | RunNo: 11366 | JUU.U. AMONS | | |
| | | | Units: mg/Kg | | |
| | Batch ID: 7945 Analysis Date: 6/17/2013 | RunNo: 11366 | | RPDLimit | Qual |

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
 - P Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 2 of 6

QC SUMMARY REPORT

| Hall | Environmental | Analysis | Laboratory, | Inc. |
|------|---------------|----------|-------------|------|
| | | | | |

Client:Blagg EngineeringProject:GCU #384

| Cample ID MD 7900 | | | 440.4. TDU | | | | | |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|
| Sample ID MB-7868 | SampType: MBLK | TestCode: EPA Method | 418.1: IPH | | | | | |
| Client ID: PBS | Batch ID: 7868 | RunNo: 11253 | | | | | | |
| Prep Date: 6/11/2013 | Analysis Date: 6/12/2013 | SeqNo: 317966 | Units: mg/Kg | | | | | |
| Analyte | Result PQL SPK value | SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit | HighLimit %RPD | RPDLimit Qual | | | | |
| Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR | ND 20 | | | | | | | |
| Sample ID LCS-7868 | SampType: LCS | TestCode: EPA Method | 418.1: TPH | | | | | |
| Client ID: LCSS | Batch ID: 7868 | RunNo: 11253 | | | | | | |
| Prep Date: 6/11/2013 | Analysis Date: 6/12/2013 | SeqNo: 317973 | Units: mg/Kg | | | | | |
| Analyte | Result PQL SPK value | SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit | HighLimit %RPD | RPDLimit Qual | | | | |
| Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR | 110 20 100.0 | 0 105 80 | 120 | | | | | |
| Sample ID LCSD-7868 | SampType: LCSD | TestCode: EPA Method | 418.1: TPH | | | | | |
| Client ID: LCSS02 | Batch ID: 7868 | RunNo: 11253 | | | | | | |
| Prep Date: 6/11/2013 | Analysis Date: 6/12/2013 | SeqNo: 317974 | Units: mg/Kg | | | | | |
| Analyte | Result PQL SPK value | SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit | HighLimit %RPD | RPDLimit Qual | | | | |
| Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR | 96 20 100.0 | 0 95.8 80 | 120 9.50 | 20 | | | | |

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
 - P Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 3 of 6

1306389

WO#:

19**-J**un-13

QC SUMMARY REPORT Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Client:Blagg EngineeringProject:GCU #384

Sample ID MB-7858 SampType: MBLK TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics Client ID: PBS Batch ID: 7858 RunNo: 11269 Analysis Date: 6/13/2013 Prep Date: 6/11/2013 SeqNo: 318374 Units: mg/Kg Analyte Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual Diesel Range Organics (DRO) ND 10 Surr: DNOP 10 10.00 102 63 147 Sample ID LCS-7858 SampType: LCS TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics Client ID: LCSS Batch ID: 7858 RunNo: 11269 Prep Date: 6/11/2013 Analysis Date: 6/13/2013 SeqNo: 318375 Units: mg/Kg Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual Analyte Diesel Range Organics (DRO) 48 10 50.00 0 96.7 77.1 128 Surr: DNOP 5.5 5.000 109 63 147 Sample ID MB-7827 SampType: MBLK TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics PBS Batch ID: 7827 RunNo: 11234 Client ID: Prep Date: 6/10/2013 Analysis Date: 6/12/2013 SeqNo: 318428 Units: %REC %REC Analyte Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual 10.00 Surr: DNOP 10 102 63 147 Sample ID LCS-7827 SampType: LCS TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics Client ID: LCSS Batch ID: 7827 RunNo: 11234 Analysis Date: 6/12/2013 SeqNo: 318437 Units: %REC Prep Date: 6/10/2013 Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual Analyte Surr: DNOP 4.7 5.000 94.7 63 147

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
 - P Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 4 of 6

WO#:

QC SUMMARY REPORT

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc. -----

Client: Blagg Engineering GCU #384 **Project:**

.

| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Sample ID MB-7838 | MB-7838 SampType: MBLK TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range | | | | | | | | | |
| Client ID: PBS | Batch ID: 7838 | RunNo: 11246 | | | | | | | | |
| Prep Date: 6/10/2013 | Analysis Date: 6/12/2013 | SeqNo: 318439 | Units: %REC | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Result PQL SPK value | SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit | HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual | | | | | | | |
| Surr: BFB | 920 1000 | 91.8 80 | 120 | | | | | | | |
| Sample ID LCS-7838 | SampType: LCS | TestCode: EPA Method | 8015D: Gasoline Range | | | | | | | |
| Client ID: LCSS | Batch ID: 7838 | RunNo: 11246 | | | | | | | | |
| Prep Date: 6/10/2013 | Analysis Date: 6/12/2013 | SeqNo: 318440 | Units: %REC | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Result PQL SPK value | SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit | HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual | | | | | | | |
| Surr: BFB | 1000 1000 | 102 80 | 120 | | | | | | | |
| Sample ID MB-7860 | SampType: MBLK | TestCode: EPA Method | 8015D: Gasoline Range | | | | | | | |
| Client ID: PBS | Batch ID: 7860 | RunNo: 11246 | | | | | | | | |
| Prep Date: 6/11/2013 | Analysis Date: 6/12/2013 | SeqNo: 318472 | Units: mg/Kg | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Result PQL SPK value | SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit | HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual | | | | | | | |
| Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) Surr: BFB | ND 5.0 960 1000 | 95.8 80 | 120 | | | | | | | |
| Sample ID LCS-7860 | SampType: LCS | TestCode: EPA Method | 8015D: Gasoline Range | | | | | | | |
| Client ID: LCSS | Batch ID: 7860 | RunNo: 11246 | | | | | | | | |
| Prep Date: 6/11/2013 | Analysis Date: 6/12/2013 | SeqNo: 318473 | Units: mg/Kg | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Result PQL SPK value | SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit | HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual | | | | | | | |
| Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) | 27 5.0 25.00 | 0 106 62.6 | 136 | | | | | | | |
| Surr: BFB | 1100 1000 | 105 80 | 120 | | | | | | | |

Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. *
- Value above quantitation range Ē
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits J
- RSD is greater than RSDlimit 0
- RPD outside accepted recovery limits R

- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank В
- Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded Н
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- р Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 5 of 6

1306389

WO#:

19-Jun-13

QC SUMMARY REPORT

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

| Client: | Blagg Engineering |
|----------|-------------------|
| Project: | GCU #384 |

÷

| Sample ID MB-7838 SampType: MBLK TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|
| Client ID: PBS | Batch ID: 7838 | RunNo: 11246 | | | | | | |
| Prep Date: 6/10/2013 | Analysis Date: 6/12/2013 | SeqNo: 318495 | Units: %REC | | | | | |
| Analyte | Result PQL SPK value | SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit | HighLimit %RPD | RPDLimit Qual | | | | |
| Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 0.94 1.000 | 94.5 80 | 120 | | | | | |
| Sample ID LCS-7838 | SampType: LCS | TestCode: EPA Method | 8021B: Volatiles | | | | | |
| Client ID: LCSS | Batch ID: 7838 | RunNo: 11246 | | | | | | |
| Prep Date: 6/10/2013 | Analysis Date: 6/12/2013 | SeqNo: 318496 | Units: %REC | | | | | |
| Analyte | Result PQL SPK value | SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit | HighLimit %RPD | RPDLimit Qual | | | | |
| Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 1.0 1.000 | 103 80 | 120 | | | | | |
| Sample ID MB-7860 | SampType: MBLK | TestCode: EPA Method | 8021B: Volatiles | - <u></u> | | | | |
| Client ID: PBS | Batch ID: 7860 | RunNo: 11246 | | | | | | |
| Prep Date: 6/11/2013 | Analysis Date: 6/12/2013 | SeqNo: 318529 | Units: mg/Kg | | | | | |
| Analyte | Result PQL SPK value | SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit | HighLimit %RPD | RPDLimit Qual | | | | |
| Benzene | ND 0.050 | | | | | | | |
| Toluene | ND 0.050 | | | | | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND 0.050 | | | | | | | |
| Xylenes, Total | ND 0.10 | | | | | | | |
| Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 1.0 1.000 | 100 80 | 120 | | | | | |
| Sample ID LCS-7860 | SampType: LCS | TestCode: EPA Method | 8021B: Volatiles | | | | | |
| Client ID: LCSS | Batch ID: 7860 | RunNo: 11246 | | | | | | |
| Prep Date: 6/11/2013 | Analysis Date: 6/12/2013 | SeqNo: 318535 | Units: mg/Kg | | | | | |
| Analyte | Result PQL SPK value | SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit | HighLimit %RPD | RPDLimit Qual | | | | |
| Benzene | 1.1 0.050 1.000 | 0 111 80 | 120 | | | | | |
| Toluene | 1.1 0.050 1.000 | 0 108 80 | 120 | | | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | 1.1 0.050 1.000 | 0 108 80 | 120 | | | | | |
| Xylenes, Total | 3.3 0.10 3.000 | 0 110 80 | 120 | | | | | |
| Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 1.1 1.000 | 106 80 | 120 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. *
- Value above quantitation range Е
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits J
- 0 RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- RPD outside accepted recovery limits R

- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank В
- Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded Н
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
 - Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only. Р
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 6 of 6

WO#:

1306389 19-Jun-13

| HALL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS LABORATORY | Hall Environmental Albu TEL: 505-345-3975 Website: www.ha | 4901 Hawl Iquerque, NM FAX: 505-34 | kins NE (87105 Sam (5-4107 | nple Log-In Cl | neck List |
|---|--|--|--|----------------|-------------------|
| Client Name: BLAGG | Work Order Number: | 1306389 | | RcptNo: | 1 |
| Received by/date: AF | 04/08/13 | | | | |
| Logged By: Michelle Garcia | 6/8/2013 11:00:00 AM | | Mirul G | mue | |
| Completed By: Michelle Garcia | 6/10/2013 4:03:51 PM | | Munul Ge | min | |
| Reviewed By: | 06/10/13 | | • | | |
| Chain of Custody | - / / | | | | |
| 1. Custody seals intact on sample bottles? | | Yes 🗌 | No 🗔 | Not Present 🗹 | |
| 2. Is Chain of Custody complete? | , | Yes 🗹 | No 🗌 | Not Present | |
| 3. How was the sample delivered? | | Courier | | | |
| <u>Log in</u> | | | | | |
| 4. Was an attempt made to cool the sample | s? | Yes 🗹 | No 🗌 | na 🗆 | |
| 5. Were all samples received at a temperatu | re of >0° C to 6.0°C | Yes 🗹 | No 🗌 | | |
| 6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? | | Yes 🗹 | No 🗌 | | |
| 7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated tes | t(s)? | Yes 🗹 | No 🗌 | | |
| 8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) prop | erly preserved? | Yes 🗹 | No 🗌 | | |
| 9. Was preservative added to bottles? | | Yes 🗌 | No 🗹 | NA 🗌 | ŕ |
| 10.VOA vials have zero headspace? | | Yes 🗌 | No 🗀 | No VOA Vials 🗹 | |
| 11. Were any sample containers received bro | ken? | Yes | No 🗹 | # of preserved | |
| 12.Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) | | Yes 🗹 | No 🗋 | | >12 unless noted) |
| 13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain | of Custody? | Yes 🗹 | No 🗌 | Adjusted? | |
| 14. Is it clear what analyses were requested? | | Yes 🗹 | No 🗌 | | |
| 15. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.) | | Yes 🗹 | No 🗋 | Checked by: | |
| <u>Special Handling (if applicable)</u> | | | | | |
| 16. Was client notified of all discrepancies wit | h this order? | Yes 🗌 | No 🗌 | NA 🔽 | |

| Person Notified: | Date: |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| By Whom: | Via: eMail Phone Fax In Person |
| Regarding: | |
| Client Instructions: | |

.

17. Additional remarks:

٠

٠

18. Cooler Information

| Coole | er No Temp °C | Condition | Seal Intact | Seal No | Seal Date | Signed By |
|-------|---------------|-----------|-------------|---------|-----------|-----------|
| 1 | 4.3 | Good | Yes | | | |

| CI | hain-c | of-Cus | tody Record | urn-Arouna | IIIIe. | | 1. | | | L | | | F | n 11 | /TE | 20 | | 4EL | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|---|-------------------------|-----------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------------|----------------------|---|------------------------|-------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|-------------|---|
| Client: | BLAG | g engr. | / BP AMERICA | Standard Project Name: | Rush_ | | | | | | ١N | AL | .Y | SI | S L | A | 30 | RA | | |
| Mailing Ac | ddress: | P.O. BO) | (87 | - | GCU # 38 | Д | | 40 | 01.1 | | | | | | | | .com | | | |
| | | | | Project #: | | | | | | аwк)5-34 | | | | - | - | | ıvı 8 -410 | 7109 | | |
| Phone #: | | (505) 63 | | - | | | | | | | | 2 | | | | | 414 5 5 | | | n i ginagi Si si |
| email or F | ax#: | (200) 00 | | Project Manag | jer: | <u> </u> | | | アル | | | | | | | | A. 45 | | | |
| QA/QC Pao | - | | Level 4 (Full Validation) | | NELSON VI | ELEZ | 1015 (8021B) | TPH (Gas only) | HIRO! | | | S) | | O4,SO4 | PCB's | | | er - 300.1) | | |
| Accreditat | lion: | | | Sampler: | NELSON V | ELEZ AV | 掃 | (Gas | / DRO / | 1 | ति | SIM | | 10 ₂ , P | 3082 | | | / wate | | ble |
| | | □ Other_ | | On Ice | ∕j⊯⊂Yes | and the second state of a second state of the | | Hall | ۵/۵ | 418. | 504. | 827(| 5 | 0 ₃ ,N | 3 / S | | (A | 00.0 | | e sa |
| 🗆 EDD (1 | Гуре) | | | Sample Temp | erature: <u>473</u> | C | | | (GR | pou | poq |) or | etal | C N | icide | (YC | N-in | oil - 3 | e | osit |
| Date | Time | Matrix | Sample Request ID | Container Type and # | Preservative Type | HEAL NO: 13010389 | BTEX + MT | BTEX + MTBE - | TPH 8015B (GRO | TPH (Method 418.1) | EDB (Method 504.1) | PAH (8310 or 8270SIMS) | RCRA 8 Metals | Anions (F,Cl,NO ₃ ,NO ₂ ,PO ₄ ,SO ₄) | 8081 Pesticides / 8082 | 8260B (VOA) | 8270 (Semi-VOA) | Chloride (soil - 300.0 / water | Grab sample | 5 pt. composite sample |
| 6/4/13 | 1155 | SOIL | 5PC-TB @ 5' (95) | 4 oz 2 | Cool | -001 | V | | ۷ | V | | | | | | | | V | 1 | V |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | + | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | + | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | \square |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Τ | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Τ | \square |
| Date: | Time: 1550 | Relinquishe | her VJ | Received by: | Walles | Date Time 19/7/13 1550 | ВІ | narks | RECT | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | L_ | | <u> </u> |
| Date: | Time: | Relinquishe | ister bladles | Received by: | la t | Date Time $8/3/1/20$ | w | ff Pea ork O | rder | : | N15 | 085 | 706 | | Pay | ykey: | <u>Z</u> | EVH01 | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | If necess | arv kamnles s | ubmitted to Hall Environmental may be | subconfracted to other | accredited laboratorie | as. This serves as notice o | f this p | ossibili | tv. Ar | nv sub- | -contra | acted | data w | /ill be | clearly | notate | ed on t | he analy | ical repr | ort. |

.

۰.



BP America Production Company 200 Energy Court Farmington, NM 87401 Phone: (505) 326-9200

January 17, 2013

Bureau of Land Management Mark Kelly 1235 La Plata Hwy Farmington, NM 87401

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL – RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Re: Notification of plans to close/remove a below grade tank Well Name: Gallegos Canyon Unit 384

Dear Mr. Kelly,

As part of the NM "Pit Rule": 19.15.17.13 Closure Requirements, Paragraph J. BP America -Production Company (BP) is required to notify the surface owner of BP's plans to close/remove a below grade tank. BP wishes to inform you of our plans to close/remove the below grade tank on its well pad located on your surface. BP plans to commence this work on or about January 31, 2013. If there aren't any unforeseen problems, the work should be completed within 10 working days.

As a point of clarification, BP will be closing the below grade tank and either operating without one or replacing it with an above ground tank, the well site will continue to operate.

Unless you have questions about this notice, there is no need to respond to this letter. If you do have any questions or concerns, please contact me at 505-326-9214

Sincerely,

Jerry Van Riper Surface Land Negotiator BP America Production Company



