District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources Department Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

Form C-144 Revised June 6, 2013

For temporary pits, below-grade tanks, and multi-well fluid management pits, submit to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

For permanent pits submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

Pit,	Below-	<u>Grade</u>	Tank,	or

Proposed Alternative Method Permit or Closure Plan Application	on OIL CONS. DIV DIST. 3
Type of action: Below grade tank registration Permit of a pit or proposed alternative method Closure of a pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method Modification to an existing permit/or registration Closure plan only submitted for an existing permitted or non-permitted pit, or proposed alternative method	NOV 2 1 2014
Instructions: Please submit one application (Form C-144) per individual pit, below-grade tank or altern	native request
Please be advised that approval of this request does not relieve the operator of liability should operations result in pollution of surface environment. Nor does approval relieve the operator of its responsibility to comply with any other applicable governmental authority!	water, ground water or the
1.	s rules, regulations of ordinances.
Operator: BP America Production Company OGRID #:778	
Address:200 Energy Court, Farmington, NM 87401	
Facility or well name:Florance AE 1	
API Number:3004509108 OCD Permit Number:	
$\label{eq:country} \begin{tabular}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Juan
Center of Proposed Design: Latitude36.77829 Longitude107.84215 N	
Surface Owner: Federal State Private Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment	
Pit: Subsection F, G or J of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Temporary: Drilling Workover Permanent Emergency Cavitation P&A Multi-Well Fluid Management Low Chloride Drilling Lined Unlined Liner type: Thickness mil LLDPE HDPE PVC Other String-Reinforced Liner Seams: Welded Factory Other Volume: bbl Dimensions: L	
3	
Volume: 95.0 bbl Type of fluid: Produced water	
Tank Construction material:Steel	
☐ Secondary containment with leak detection ☐ Visible sidewalls, liner, 6-inch lift and automatic overflow shut-off	
\square Visible sidewalls and liner \square Visible sidewalls only \boxtimes Other $_$ Double walled/double bottomed; side walls	not visible
Liner type: Thicknessmil	
4. Alternative Method: Submittal of an exception request is required. Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office fo	r consideration of approval.

5. Fencing: Subsection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits, temporary pits, and below-grade tanks)	
Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top (Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent residence, school, institution or church)	hospital,
Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet	
Alternate. Please specify	
6.	
Netting: Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks) ☐ Screen ☐ Netting ☐ Other	
Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)	
7.	
Signs: Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC	
12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers	
Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC	
8. Variances and Exceptions:	
Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance. Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank:	
☐ Variance(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district for consideration of approval. ☐ Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.	
Breepaoritos. Requesto must be substituted to the burne 10 Birmoninional Bureau office for consideration of approval.	
9. Stiling Cuitouis (inggoding pounditing). 10.15.17.10 NIMAC	
Siting Criteria (regarding permitting): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of acceptable of the compliance of the complianc	ptable source
material are provided below. Siting criteria does not apply to drying pads or above-grade tanks.	
General siting	
Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of a low chloride temporary pit or below-grade tank. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes No
Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of a Temporary pit, permanent pit, or Multi-Well Fluid Management pit. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes No
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	Yes No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. (Does not apply to below grade tanks)	Yes No
- Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	l les No
 Within an unstable area. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map 	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within a 100-year floodplain. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) - FEMA map	☐ Yes ☐ No
Below Grade Tanks	
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, significant watercourse, lake bed, sinkhole, wetland or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for public or livestock consumption;. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No
Temporary Pit using Low Chloride Drilling Fluid (maximum chloride content 15,000 mg/liter)	
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). (Applies to low chloride temporary pits.) - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No

Form C-144

Within 300 feet from a occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial	Yes No
application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	
Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 300feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of the initial application. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 100 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Temporary Pit Non-low chloride drilling fluid	
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse, or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	
	Yes No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in the existence at the time of the initial application; - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No
Within 300 feet of a wetland US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Permanent Pit or Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit	
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa	
lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of	
initial application NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 N Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the docattached.	
Hydrogeologic Report (Below-grade Tanks) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Hydrogeologic Data (Temporary and Emergency Pits) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19. and 19.15.17.13 NMAC	
Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number:	
Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the docattached.	cuments are
Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC A List of wells with approved application for permit to drill associated with the pit. Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19. and 19.15.17.13 NMAC Hydrogeologic Data - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC	15.17.9 NMAC
Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number:	

Form C-144 Oil Conservation Division Page 3 of 6

Permanent Pits Permit Application Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the	documents are
Hydrogeologic Report - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Climatological Factors Assessment Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Leak Detection Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Liner Specifications and Compatibility Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Quality Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installation Plan Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Freeboard and Overtopping Prevention Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Nuisance or Hazardous Odors, including H₂S, Prevention Plan Emergency Response Plan Oil Field Waste Stream Characterization Monitoring and Inspection Plan Erosion Control Plan Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC	
13. Proposed Closure: 19.15.17.13 NMAC	
Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan.	
Type: Drilling Workover Emergency Cavitation P&A Permanent Pit Below-grade Tank Multi-well F Alternative Proposed Closure Method: Waste Excavation and Removal Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only) On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems) In-place Burial On-site Trench Burial	luid Management Pit
Alternative Closure Method 14.	
Waste Excavation and Removal Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. □ Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC □ Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.13 NMAC □ Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings) □ Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC □ Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	
15. Siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendations of acceptable sour provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria require justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency. F 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance.	
Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes No
Ground water is between 25-50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No
Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance	│

	t
adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within an unstable area. - Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map	
Within a 100-year floodplain.	☐ Yes ☐ No
- FEMA map	☐ Yes ☐ No
On-Site Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plan by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Proof of Surface Owner Notice - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection K of 19.15.17.11 Construction/Design Plan of Temporary Pit (for in-place burial of a drying pad) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Waste Material Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings or in case on-site closure standards cannot Soil Cover Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	11 NMAC 5.17.11 NMAC
Operator Application Certification: I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belie	ef
Name (Print): Title:	
Name (Finit).	
Signature: Date:	
e-mail address:	
18. OCD Approval: Permit Application (including closure plan) Closure Plan (only) OCD Conditions (see attachment)	
OCD Representative Signature: Approval Date: 12/10/2	24
Title: OCD Permit Number:	
19. Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion): 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved closure plan prior to implementing any closure activities and submitting to the closure report is required to be submitted to the division within 60 days of the completion of the closure activities. Please do not a section of the form until an approved closure plan has been obtained and the closure activities have been completed. Closure Completion Date:3/12/2012	
20. Closure Method: Waste Excavation and Removal ☐ On-Site Closure Method ☐ Alternative Closure Method ☐ Waste Removal (Closed-loc ☐ If different from approved plan, please explain.	op systems only)
In different from approved plant, piedse explain.	

Oil Conservation Division Page 5 of 6

Form C-144

22. Operator Closure Certification:	
	th this closure report is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and losure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.
Name (Print):Jeff Peace	Title: Field Environmental Coordinator
Signature: Jeff Peace	Date:November 19, 2014
e-mail address:peace.jeffrey@bp.com	Telephone:(505) 326-9479

BP AMERICA PRODUCTION COMPANY

SAN JUAN BASIN, NORTHWEST NEW MEXICO

BELOW-GRADE TANK CLOSURE PLAN

Florance AE 1 API No. 3004509108 Unit Letter M, Section 25, T30N, R10W

This plan will address the standard protocols and procedures for closure of below-grade tanks (BGTs) on BP America Production Company (BP) well sites. As stipulated in Paragraph A of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall close a BGT within the time periods provided in 19.15.17.13 NMAC, or by an earlier date that the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) requires because of imminent danger to fresh water, public health, safety or the environment. If deviations from this plan are necessary, any specific changes will be included on form C-144 and approved by the NMOCD. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC or is not included in Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC within five years after June 16, 2008, if not retrofit with a BGT that complies with the BP NMOCD approved BGT design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC, if not previously retrofitted to comply with the BP NMOCD approve BGT Design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan, prior to any sale or change in operator pursuant to 19.15.9.9 NMAC. BP shall close the permitted BGT within 60 days of cessation of the BGTs operation or as required by the transitional provisions of Subsection B, D, or E of 19.15.17.17 NMAC.

General Closure Plan

- 1. BP shall notify the surface owner by certified mail that it plans to close a BGT. Evidence of mailing of the notice to the address of the surface owner shown in the county tax records demonstrates compliance with this requirement.
 - No notice was made due to misunderstanding of the BGT notice requirements at that time.
- 2. BP shall notify the division District III office verbally or by other means at least 72 hours, but not more than one (1) week, prior to any closure operation. The notice shall include the operator's name, and the location to be closed by unit letter, section, township and range. If the BGT closure is associated with a particular well, then the notice shall also include the well's name, number and API number.
 - No notice was made due to misunderstanding of the BGT notice requirements at that time.
- 3. BP shall remove liquids and sludge from the BGT prior to implementing a closure method and dispose of the liquids and sludge in a NMOCD's division-approved facility. The facilities to be used are:
 - a. BP Crouch Mesa Landfarm, Permit NM-02-003 (Solids)
 - b. JFJ Landfarm, Permit NM-01-010(B) (Solids and Sludge)
 - c. Basin Disposal, Permit NM-01-0005 (Liquids)

- d. Envirotech Inc Soil Remediation Facility, Permit NM-01-0011 (Solids and Sludge)
- e. BP Operated E.E. Elliott SWD #1, API 30-045-27799 (Liquids)
- f. BP Operated 13 GCU SWD #1, API 30-045-28601 (Liquids)
- g. BP Operated GCU 259 SWD, API 30-045-20006 (Liquids)
- h. BP Operated GCU 306 SWD, API 30-045-24286 (Liquids)
- i. BP Operated GCU 307 SWD, API 30-045-24248 (Liquids)
- j. BP Operated GCU 328 SWD, API 30-045-24735 (Liquids)
- k. BP Operated Pritchard SWD #1, API 30-045-28351 (Liquids)

All liquids and sludge in the BGT were removed and sent to one of the above NMOCD approved facilities for disposal.

4. BP shall remove the BGT and dispose of it in a NMOCD approved facility or recycle, reuse, or reclaim it in a manner that the NMOCD approves. If a liner is present and must be disposed of it will be cleaned by scraping any soils or other attached materials on the liner to a de minimus amount and disposed at a permitted solid waste facility, pursuant to Subparagraph (m) of Paragraph (1) of Subsection C of 19.15.35.8 NMAC. Documentation as to the final disposition of the removed BGT will be provided in the final closure report.

The BGT was transported to a storage area for sale and re-use.

5. BP shall remove any on-site equipment associated with a BGT unless the equipment is required for well production.

All equipment associated with the BGT has been removed.

6. BP shall test the soils beneath the BGT to determine whether a release has occurred. BP shall collect at a minimum: a five (5) point composite sample and individual grab samples from any area that is wet, discolored or showing other evidence of a release and analyze for BTEX, TPH and chlorides. The testing methods for those constituents are as follows;

Constituents	Testing Method	Release Verification	Sample
	21 bbl BGT	(mg/Kg)	results
Benzene	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	0.2	ND
Total BTEX	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	50	ND
TPH	US EPA Method SW-846 418.1	100	21
Chlorides	US EPA Method 300.0 or 4500B	250 or background	ND

Notes: mg/Kg = milligram per kilogram, BTEX = benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes, TPH = total petroleum hydrocarbons. Other EPA methods that the division approves may be applied to all constituents listed. Chloride closure standards will be determined by which ever concentration level is greatest.

Soil under the BGT was sampled and TPH, BTEX and chloride levels were below the stated limits. Sampling data is attached.

- 7. BP shall notify the division District III office of its results on form C-141. C-141 is attached.
- 8. If it is determined that a release has occurred, then BP will comply with 19.15.30 NMAC and 19.15.29 NMAC, as appropriate.

Sampling results indicate no release occurred.

9. If the sampling demonstrates that a release has not occurred or that any release does not exceed the concentrations specified above, then BP shall backfill the excavation, with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material; construct a division-prescribed soil cover, re-contour and re-vegetate the location. The location will be reclaimed if it is not with in the active process area

The area under the BGT was backfilled with clean soil and has been reclaimed since the well was plugged and abandoned.

10. BP shall reclaim the BGT location and all areas associated with the BGT including associated access roads to a safe and stable condition that blends with the surrounding undisturbed area. BP shall substantially restore the impacted surface area to the condition that existed prior to oil and gas operations by placement of the soil cover as provided in Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, re-contour the location and associated areas to a contour that approximates the original contour and blends with the surrounding topography and re-vegetate according to Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC.

The area over the BGT has been reclaimed since the well was plugged and abandoned.

11. The soil cover for closures where the BGT has been removed or remediated to the NMOCD's satisfaction shall consist of the background thickness of topsoil or one foot of suitable material to establish vegetation at the site, whichever is greater. The soil cover will be constructed to the site's existing grade and all practicable efforts will be made to prevent ponding of water and erosion of the cover material.

The area over the BGT has been reclaimed since the well was plugged and abandoned.

12. BP shall seed the disturbed area the first growing season after closure of the BGT. Seeding will be accomplished by drilling on the contour whenever practical or by other division-approved methods. Vegetative cover will be, at a minimum, 70% of the native perennial vegetative cover (un-impacted by overgrazing, fire or other intrusion damaging to native vegetation), consisting of at least three native plant species, including at least one grass, but not including noxious weeds, and maintenance of that cover through two successive growing seasons. During the two growing seasons that prove viability, there shall be no artificial irrigation of the vegetation.

The area over the BGT has been reclaimed since the well was plugged and abandoned.

13. BP shall seed, plant and re-seed pursuant to Paragraph (3) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, until the location successfully achieves the required vegetative cover

BP has seeded the area as part of final reclamation since the well was plugged and abandoned.

14. Pursuant to Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall notify the NMOCD when it has seeded or planted and when it successfully achieves revegetation.

BP will notify NMOCD when re-vegetation is successful.

- 15. Within 60 days of closure completion, BP shall submit a closure report on NMOCD's form C-144, and will include the following;
 - a. proof of closure notification (surface owner and NMOCD)
 - b. sampling analytical reports; information required by 19.15.17 NMAC;
 - c. disposal facility name and permit number
 - d. details on back-filling, capping, covering, and where applicable re-vegetation application rates and seeding techniques and
 - e. site reclamation, photo documentation.
 - Closure report on C-144 form is included.
- 16. BP shall certify that all information in the report and attachments is accurate, truthful, and compliant with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.

Certification section of C-144 has been completed.

District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

Form C-141 Revised August 8, 2011

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505 Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

Release Notification and Corrective Action

						OPERA?	ГOR		☐ Init	tial Report	\boxtimes	Final Report
Name of Co				•	(Contact: Jef	f Peace					
Address: 20	0 Energy	Court, Farmi	ngton, N	M 87401	7	Telephone 1	No.: 505-326-94	79				
Facility Nan	ne: Floran	ce AE 1			I	Facility Typ	e: Natural gas v	vell				
Surface Own	ner: Feder	<u>al</u>		Mineral O	Nunar: F	Federal			ADIN	o. 3004509	108	
Surface Own	ici. i cuci	aı		Willicial	WIICI. I	cuciai			AFIN	0. 3004303	.00	
				LOCA	TION	OF REI	LEASE					
Unit Letter	Section	Township 30N	Range	Feet from the		South Line	Feet from the		Vest Line	County: S	an Juan	ı
М	25		10W	870	South		809	West				
		Lati	tude3	6.77829		_ Longitud	e107.84215					
				NAT	URE (OF RELI	EASE					
Type of Relea						Volume of	Release: N/A		Volume	Recovered: N	√/A	
		v grade tank –	95 bbl				lour of Occurrenc	e:	Date and	d Hour of Dis	covery	:
Was Immedia	ite Notice (V []	LNI- 57 N-4 D-		If YES, To	Whom?					
		LJ	Yes _	No 🛛 Not Re	quirea							
By Whom? Was a Watero		1 10				Date and H						
was a watero	ourse Keac	enea?	Yes 🛚	No		IT YES, Vo	lume Impacting t	ne Wate	ercourse.			
If a Watercou	rse was Im	pacted, Descri	be Fully.*			I						
Describe Cau	se of Proble	em and Remed	lial Action	n Taken.* Samplir	ng of the	soil beneath	the BGT was dor	ne durin	g remova	I to ensure no	soil im	pacts from
the BGT. Soi	l analysis r	esulted in TPI	H, BTEX a	and chloride below	v standaı	rds. Analysi	is results are attac	hed.	_			1
												ļ
Describe Area	Affected a	and Cleanup A	ction Tak	en.* BGT was rer	noved a	nd the area u	nderneath the BG	T was s	ampled.	The area unde	r the B	GT was
backfilled and	l compacted	d and has beer	reclaime	d and seeded since	e the wel	ll was plugge	d and abandoned.	•	_			
I hereby certi	fy that the i	nformation gi	ven above	is true and compl	lete to th	e best of my	knowledge and u	nderstar	nd that pu	rsuant to NM	OCD rt	ules and
				d/or file certain re								
public health	or the envi	ronment. The	acceptanc	e of a C-141 repo	rt by the	NMOCD m	arked as "Final Re	eport" d	oes not re	lieve the oper	ator of	liability
				investigate and retance of a C-141 i								
		vs and/or regu			opon do	ocs not renev	e the operator of t	Сороно	onity for	compilative vi	icii aiij	omer
 -							OIL CONS	SERV	ATION	I DIVISIO	N	
(10/F	que						-				ļ
Signature:	XHT V	gue										
Printed Name	: Jeff Peace	<u> </u>		=	<i>F</i>	Approved by	Environmental Sp	pecialist	:			
Title: Field E	nvironment	al Coordinato	r	20.00	A	Approval Dat	e:	I	Expiration	Date:		
E-mail Addre	ss: peace ie	effrey@bn.com	n			Conditions of	`Approval:					
							p p			Attached		1
Date: Novem	ber 19, 201	<u> </u>	Pho	ne: 505-326-9479)							

^{*} Attach Additional Sheets If Necessary

CLIENT: BP	P.O. BOX 87, E	ENGINEERING, IN BLOOMFIELD, NA		API #:3004509108
		05) 632-1199		(if applicble):
FIELD REPORT:	(circle one): BGT CONFIRMATION		other:	PAGE #: of
SITE INFORMATION		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		DATE STARTED: 03/05/12
QUAD/UNIT: M SEC: 25 TWP:				DATE FINISHED:
1/4-1/4/FOOTAGE: 870'S / 809'V		TYPE: FEDERAL STATE	NI	ENVIRONMENTAL
	PROD. FORMATION: MV	CONTRACTOR: MBF - D.	HAGA	SPECIALIST(S): NJV
REFERENCE POINT				
		•		ARING FROM W.H.: 49.5', N69W
2)				
3)				
	GPS COORD.:			ARING FROM W.H.;
SAMPLING DATA:	CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD(S) #			READING (ppm)
1) SAMPLEID: <u>5PC - TB @ 6' (95</u>	· ·			, _,
2) SAMPLE ID:				
SAMPLE ID: SAMPLE ID:				
SOIL DESCRIPTION				
SOIL COLOR: DARK YEL COHESION (ALL OTHERS): NON COHESIVE / SLIGHTL CONSISTENCY (NON COHESIVE SOILS) L MOISTURE: DRY SLIGHTLY MOIST / MOIST / W SAMPLE TYPE: GRAB COMPOSITE # 0F PTS. DISCOLORATION/STAINING OBSERVED	LOWISH BROWN Y COHESIVE / COHESIVE / HIGHLY COHESIVE DOSE / FIRM DENSE / VERY DENSE ET / SATURATED / SUPER SATURATED 5	PLASTICITY (CLAYS): NON PL DENSITY (COHESIVE	LASTIC / SLIGHTLY PLASTIC / (CLAYS & SILTS): SOFT	COHESME / MEDIUM PLASTIC / HIGHLY PLASTIC / FIRM / STIFF / VERY STIFF / HARD ANATION -
ANY AREAS DISPLAYING WETNESS: YES NO APPARE ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: NO APPARE SOIL IMPACT DIMENSION ESTIMATION DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER: >100'	NA ft. X NA	FROM BGT OBSERVED.		TIMATION (Cubic Yards) : NA
SITE SKETCH		PLOT PLAN circ	cle: attached OVM	OALID DEAD - NA
BEF	PBGTL TB ~6' B.G.	⊕	N TIME	CALIB. READ. = NA ppm RF = 0.52 CALIB. GAS = NA ppm NA ppm
NOTES: BGT = BELOW-GRADE TANK; E.D. = EXCA)	V F	WELL HEAD	X - S.P.D.	
T.B. = TANK BOTTOM; PBGTL = PREVIOUS	BELOW-GRADE TANK LOCATION; SPD = S	SAMPLE POINT DESIGNATION; R.W. =	RETAINING WALL;	/lagnetic declination: 10° E
NA-NOT APPLICABLE OR NOT AVAILABLE TRAVEL NOTES: CALLOUT:	; sw-single wall; dw-double wall 02/29/12 - After.		112 - After. (Sche	

Analytical Report

Lab Order 1203245

Date Reported: 3/12/2012

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Blagg Engineering

Client Sample ID: 5PC-TB @ 6' (95 BGT)

Project: FLORANCE AE #1

Collection Date: 3/5/2012 2:45:00 PM

Lab ID: 1203245-001

Matrix: SOIL Received Date: 3/7/2012 9:30:00 AM

Analyses	Result	RL Qu	al Units	DF	Date Analyzed
EPA METHOD 8015B: DIESEL RANG	E ORGANICS				Analyst: JMP
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	9.8	mg/Kg	1	3/8/2012 12:35:41 PM
Surr: DNOP	83.4	77.4-131	%REC	1	3/8/2012 12:35:41 PM
EPA METHOD 8015B: GASOLINE RA	ANGE				Analyst: RAA
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	4.9	mg/Kg	1	3/8/2012 5:10:05 PM
Surr: BFB	95.0	69.7-121	%REC	1	3/8/2012 5:10:05 PM
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analyst: RAA
Benzene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	3/8/2012 5:10:05 PM
Toluene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	3/8/2012 5:10:05 PM
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	3/8/2012 5:10:05 PM
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.099	mg/Kg	1	3/8/2012 5:10:05 PM
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	96.3	85.3-139	%REC	1	3/8/2012 5:10:05 PM
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analyst: BRM
Chloride	ND	7.5	mg/Kg	5	3/8/2012 11:06:25 AM
EPA METHOD 418.1: TPH					Analyst: JMP
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	21	20	mg/Kg	1	3/8/2012

Qualifiers:

- */X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1203245 12-Mar-12

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

FLORANCE AE #1

Sample ID MB-1010

SampType: MBLK

TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

Client ID:

PBS

Batch ID: 1010

RunNo: 1374

Prep Date:

3/8/2012

Analysis Date: 3/8/2012

PQL

SeqNo: 38822

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit

Units: mg/Kg HighLimit

%RPD **RPDLimit**

Qual

Analyte Chloride

ND 1.5

Sample ID LCS-1010

SampType: LCS

TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

Client ID: LCSS Batch ID: 1010

Result

RunNo: 1374

Prep Date: 3/8/2012 Analysis Date: 3/8/2012

SeqNo: 38823

Units: mg/Kg

HighLimit

PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val

Batch ID: 1010

%REC

110

Qual **RPDLimit**

Analyte LowLimit 14 1.5 15.00 Chloride 91.1 90

Client ID:

Sample ID 1203245-001AMS SampType: MS TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

RunNo: 1374

Prep Date: 3/8/2012

Analysis Date: 3/8/2012

15

Result

15

SeqNo: 38825

Units: mg/Kg

Analyte Chloride

5PC-TB @ 6' (95 BG

Result PQL 7.5

%REC SPK value SPK Ref Val

2.604

SPK Ref Val

2.604

HighLimit LowLimit 74.6 118 %RPD **RPDLimit**

1.27

%RPD

Qual

Qual

Sample ID 1203245-001AMSD 5PC-TB @ 6' (95 BG

SampType: MSD Batch ID: 1010 TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions RunNo: 1374

81.6

74.6

118

Prep Date:

3/8/2012

Analysis Date: 3/8/2012

SeqNo: 38826

Units: mg/Kg

Analyte

7.5

Chloride

SPK value

15.00

15.00

%REC LowLimit 82.8

HighLimit

%RPD **RPDLimit**

20

Qualifiers:

R

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. */X

Value above quantitation range Е

Analyte detected below quantitation limits RPD outside accepted recovery limits

Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank В

Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded Н

Not Detected at the Reporting Limit ND Reporting Detection Limit

Page 2 of 7

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1203245

12-Mar-12

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

FLORANCE AE #1

Sample ID MB-991

SampType: MBLK

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

Client ID:

PBS

Batch ID: 991

RunNo: 1339

Prep Date: 3/7/2012 Analysis Date: 3/8/2012

SeqNo: 37726

PQL

20

Units: mg/Kg

HighLimit

Analyte

Result

Result

%REC LowLimit SPK value SPK Ref Val

%RPD

%RPD

Qual

Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR

ND

SampType: LCS

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

Client ID: LCSS

Sample ID LCS-991

Batch ID: 991

RunNo: 1339

LowLimit

87.8

Prep Date: 3/7/2012

Analysis Date: 3/8/2012

SeqNo: 37727

Units: mg/Kg

Analyte

PQL

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC

0

HighLimit 115 **RPDLimit** Qual

Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR Sample ID LCSD-991

20 110

SampType: LCSD

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

105

Client ID: LCSS02 Prep Date: 3/7/2012 Batch ID: 991

RunNo: 1339

Analysis Date: 3/8/2012

SeqNo: 37728

Units: mg/Kg

RPDLimit

Analyte

Result

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC

LowLimit HighLimit %RPD **RPDLimit**

Qual

Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR

PQL 100 20 100.0

100.0

104

87.8

8.04 0.974

Qualifiers:

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. */X

Value above quantitation range Ė

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit Reporting Detection Limit

Page 3 of 7

R

RPD outside accepted recovery limits

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1

1203245 12-Mar-12

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

FLORANCE AE #1

Sample ID MB-988	SampType: MBLK			TestCode: EPA Method 8015B: Diesel Range Organics						
Client ID: PBS	Batci	h ID: 98	8	F	RunNo: 1	342				
Prep Date: 3/7/2012	Analysis [Date: 3/	8/2012	\$	SeqNo: 3	8057	Units: mg/F	(g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	10								
Surr: DNOP	8.5		10.00		84.6	77.4	131			
Sample ID LCS-988	SampT	ype: LC	S	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8015B: Dies	el Range (Organics	

Sample ID LCS-988	TestCode: EPA Method 8015B: Diesel Range Organics													
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 988 RunNo: 1342													
Prep Date: 3/7/2012	Analysis Date: 3/8/2012			S	SeqNo: 3	8064	Units: mg/Kg							
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual				
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	41	10	50.00	0	82.5	62.7	139							
Surr: DNOP	4.3		5.000		85.5	77.4	131							

Qualifiers:

*/X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

E Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 4 of 7

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1203245

12-Mar-12

Client: Project:		gineering ICE AE #1												
Sample ID	MB-990	SampType: MBLK TestCode: EPA Method 8015B							oline Rang	je				
Client ID:	PBS	Batch	iD: 99	0	i	RunNo: 1	348							
Prep Date:	3/7/2012	Analysis D	nalysis Date: 3/8/2012 SeqNo: 38690				Units: mg/Kg							
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual			
Gasoline Rang Surr: BFB	ge Organics (GRO)	ND 980	5.0	1,000		98.2	69.7	121						
Sample ID	LCS-990	SampT	ype: LC	ss	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8015B: Gaso	line Rang	e				
Client ID:	LCSS	Batch	ID: 99	0	F	RunNo: 1	348							
Prep Date:	3/7/2012	Analysis D	ate: 3/	/8/2012	;	SeqNo: 3	8694	Units: mg/F	ζg					
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual			
-	je Organics (GRO)	32	5.0	25.00	0	126	98.5	133						
Surr: BFB		1,100		1,000		106	69.7	121						
Sample ID	1203239-001AMS	S SampType: MS TestCode: EPA Method 8015B: Gasoline Range												
Client ID:	BatchQC	Batch	ID: 99	0	F	RunNo: 1	348							
Prep Date:	3/7/2012	Analysis D	ate: 3/	/8/2012	Ş	SeqNo: 3	8695	Units: mg/Kg						
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual			
•	je Organics (GRO)	43	4.8	23.85	7.869	145	85.4	147		•				
Surr: BFB		1,600		954.2		170	69.7	121			S			
Sample ID	1203239-001AMS	SampT	ype: MS	SD	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8015B: Gaso	line Rang	е				
Client ID:	BatchQC	Batch	ID: 99	0	F	RunNo: 1	348							
Prep Date:	3/7/2012	Analysis D	ate: 3/	8/2012	5	SeqNo: 3	8696	Units: mg/K	g					
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual			
	e Organics (GRO)	45	4.7	23.26	7.869	160	85.4	147	5.78	19.2	S			
Surr: BFB		1,700 		930.2		187	69.7	121	0	0	S			
Sample ID	MB-1016	SampT	уре: МЕ	3LK	Tes	tCode: EF	PA Method	8015B: Gaso	line Rang	e				
Client ID:	PBS	Batch	ID: 10	16	F	RunNo: 1 :	397							
Prep Date:	3/8/2012	Analysis Da	ate: 3/	9/2012	5	SeqNo: 39	9332	Units: %RE	С					
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual			
Surr: BFB		940		1,000		94.3	69.7	121						
Sample ID	LCS-1016	SampT	ype: LC	s	Tes	tCode: EF	PA Method	8015B: Gaso	line Rang	e				
Client ID:	LCSS	Batch	ID: 10	16	F	RunNo: 1:	397							
Prep Date:	3/8/2012	Analysis Da	ate: 3/	9/2012	S	SeqNo: 39	9333	Units: %RE	С					

Qualifiers:

Analyte

Surr: BFB

*/X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

Result

1,000

PQL

E Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

LowLimit

69.7

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

101

RL Reporting Detection Limit

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC

1,000

Page 5 of 7

%RPD

HighLimit

121

RPDLimit

Qual

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1203245 12-Mar-12

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

FLORANCE AE #1

Sample ID 1203313-001AMS

SampType: MS

TestCode: EPA Method 8015B: Gasoline Range

Client ID:

BatchQC

Batch ID: 1016

RunNo: 1397

Analysis Date: 3/9/2012

103

Prep Date:

Result **PQL**

SPK value SPK Ref Val

SeqNo: 39334

Units: %REC

Analyte Surr: BFB

1,000

1,000

%RPD

%REC

LowLimit HighLimit 69.7 121

RPDLimit

Qual

Sample ID 1203313-001AMSD

SampType: MSD

TestCode: EPA Method 8015B: Gasoline Range RunNo: 1397

Client ID: BatchQC

Batch ID: 1016 Analysis Date: 3/9/2012

PQL

SeqNo: 39335

Units: %REC HighLimit

RPDLimit %RPD Qual

Analyte

Prep Date:

Result 1,100

1,000

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC

111

121

Surr: BFB

69.7

LowLimit

0

Qualifiers:

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. */X

Value above quantitation range Е

Analyte detected below quantitation limits

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

Not Detected at the Reporting Limit ND Reporting Detection Limit

Page 6 of 7

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1203245

12-Mar-12

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

FLORANCE AE #1

Sample ID MB-990	SampT	Tes								
Client ID: PBS	Batch	Batch ID: 990 RunNo: 1348								
Prep Date: 3/7/2012	Analysis Date: 3/8/2012			SeqNo: 38712			Units: mg/K	ζg		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	ND	0.050								
Toluene	ND	0.050								
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.050								
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.10								
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.0		1.000		102	85.3	139			

Sample ID LCS-990	ID LCS-990 SampType: LCS					TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles								
Client ID: LCSS	Batcl	n ID: 99	0	F	RunNo: 1348									
Prep Date: 3/7/2012	Analysis D	ate: 3/	8/2012	SeqNo: 38717			Units: mg/h	(g						
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit			LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual				
Benzene	1.0	0.050	1.000	0	102	83.3	107							
Toluene	1.0	0.050	1.000	0	99.9	74.3	115							
Ethylbenzene	1.1	0.050	1.000	0	105	80.9	122							
Xylenes, Total	3.3	0.10	3.000	0	109	85.2	123							
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.0		1.000		101	85.3	139							

Qualifiers:

*/X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

E Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 7 of 7



Page 1 of 1

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87105 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107

vels: 505-545-5975 vAx; 505-345-4107 Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

Sample Log-In Check List

Client Name:	BLAGG		Wo	rk Ord	ler N	lumb	er: 1	1203245			
Received by/dat	ie: Mg	03/07/12									
Logged By:	Michelle Garcia	3/7/2012 9:30	MA 00:	٠			-Mi	hall Garria			
Completed By:	Michelle Garcia	3/7/2012 11:4	1:15 AM				mi	helle Ganus helle Ganus			
Reviewed By:		03/07/1	> .					,			
Chain of Cus	stody	- 0/0 . //									
1. Were seals				Yes		No		Not Present	✓		
2. Is Chain of	Custody complete?			Yes	~	No		Not Present			
3. How was th	e sample delivered	?		<u>FedE</u>	<u>x</u>						
<u>Log In</u>				,							
4. Coolers are	present? (see 19. i	for cooler specific informatio	en)	Yes	~	No		NA	1		
5. Was an atte	empt made to cool t	the samples?		Yes	~	No		NA			
6. Were all sa	mples received at a	temperature of >0° C to 6.	0°C	Yes	~	No		NA			
7. Sample(s) i	n proper container(s	s)?		Yes	•	No					
8. Sufficient sa	ample volume for in	dicated test(s)?		Yes	✓	Νo					
9. Are sample	s (except VOA and	ONG) properly preserved?		Yes	✓	No					
10. Was preser	vative added to bot	tles?		Yes		No	✓	NA			
11. VOA vials h	nave zero headspac	e?		Yes		No.		No VOA Vials	. 🗸		
	ample containers re			Yes		No	✓				
	work match bottle k epancies on chain o			Yes	✓	No			eserved checked		
14. Are matrice	s correctly identified	d on Chain of Custody?		Yes	✓	Νo		·		<2 or >12 unle	ss noted)
15. Is it clear w	hat analyses were r	requested?		Yes	✓	No		F	\djusted?	•	
	lding times able to I customer for autho			Yes	~	No		C	hecked b	W.	
•	lling (if applica	•						O	nooned b	·y-	
		pancies with this order?		Yes		No		N.	~		
Perso	n Notified:	CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF	Date:			**********	:10763434M	the total data on a restaur			
By Wh	ıom:	the state of the s	Via:	eMai	il	Pł	none	Fax I	n Person		
- Regar	ding:				<u></u>			The same of the section.		<u> </u>	
Client	Instructions:				PRE 2016 113		200 000 000			induction of	
18. Additional re	emarks:										
19. <u>Cooler Info</u> Cooler N		ondition Seal Intact Sea od Yes	al No Se	eal Da	te	1	Sign	ed By			

C	Chain-of-Custody Record		Turn-Around	īme:		<u> </u>			E.	AL	1 1		AI L	#TE	3 ^		ME	NT	AI		
Client:	BLAG	G ENGR.	/ BP AMERICA	Standard	Rush															_	
				Project Name	ANALYSIS LABORATORY												I				
Mailing A	ddress:	P.O. BO	v 07	FLORANCE AE #1					www.hallenvironmental.com												
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Project #:				4901 Hawkins NE - Albuquerque, NM 87109 Tel. 505-345-3975 Fax 505-345-4107													
			FIELD, NM 87413	-				Te			45-3	// C)7	27725		\$5 x 12 2
Phone #:		(505) 63	32-1199			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		, ,	4:	1	200		Anal	ysis	Red	ques	\$ t *€				
email or f				Project Manag	jer:				_					504)							
QA/QC Package: Standard Level 4 (Full Validation)		NELSON VELEZ			TMB's (8021B)	(yluo	/Diesel						PCB's	!					اله		
Accreditation:		Sampler:	NELSON V	ELEZ	<u>®</u>	(Gas	(Gas		ļ			102,	32 P						ق اع		
□ NELAP □ Other		On Ice:	Yes :	□ No :	1 ₹	푼	158	8.1}	4.1)	呈		3, N	/ 8082						e Sa		
□ EDD (□ EDD (Type)			Sample Temp	erature:	16		+ W	88	d 41	d 50	r PA	als	N.	des		VOA	0.0	11.	ا يو	OSIT >
Date.	Time	Matrix	Sample Request ID	Container Type and #	Preservative Type	HEAL No. 1203245	BTEX +-MFBE	BTEX + MTBE + TPH (Gas only)	TPH Method 8015B (Gas/Diesel)	TPH (Method 418.1)	EDB (Method 504.1)	8310 (PNA or PAH)	RCRA 8 Metals	Anions (F, Cl, NO3, NO2, PO4,	8081 Pesticides	8260B (VOA)	8270 (Semi-VOA)	Chloride (300.0)		Grab sample	5 pt. composite sample
3/5/12	1445	SOIL	5PC-TB @ 6' (95 BGT)	4 oz 2	Cool	-1	٧		٧	٧								٧			V
																		-		_	+
							\vdash	_										 			+
		 						 						<u> </u>	 	_	\vdash		 -	-	-
		 			<u> </u>			<u> </u>			 -					<u> </u>				-	+
				<u> </u>			<u> </u>											<u> </u>		_	_
							ļ				L										
		<u> </u>												<u> </u>							
		<u> </u>																			
																					T
			,																<u> </u>	十	十
4	 	 					 		 					 		\vdash				\top	十
Date: /	e; / Time: Relinquished by:		Received by: Date Time			Ren	l nark	L s:	TP	1 (8)	015	B) - (GRO) &	DRC	10 0	JLY.	L	L		
3/6/17	1155	9/1	Men VJ	Muster 6) role 3/6/12 1155				BILL DIRECTLY TO BP:													
Date:	Time:	Relinquish		Received by:		Date Time	Jeff Peace, 200 Energy Court, Farmington, NM 87401														
1/6/12	3/ce/12 Nezy Christia libela		steel below	Muhlle Cornia 03/07/12 093						Work Order: N1542760 Paykey: ZANDECAGEN											



