District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico
Energy Minerals and Natural Resources
Department
Oil Conservation Division
1220 South St. Francis Dr.
Santa Fe, NM 87505

Form C-144 Revised June 6, 2013

For temporary pits, below-grade tanks, and multi-well fluid management pits, submit to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

For permanent pits submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

## Pit, Below-Grade Tank, or

12346 Proposed Alternative Method Permit or Closure Plan Application OIL CONS. DIV DIST. 3
Type of action:
Permit of a pit or proposed alternative method  NOV 1 0 2014
Closure of a pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method  Modification to an existing permit/or registration
Closure plan only submitted for an existing permitted or non-permitted pit, below-grade tank,
or proposed alternative method
Instructions: Please submit one application (Form C-144) per individual pit, below-grade tank or alternative request
Please be advised that approval of this request does not relieve the operator of liability should operations result in pollution of surface water, ground water or the environment. Nor does approval relieve the operator of its responsibility to comply with any other applicable governmental authority's rules, regulations or ordinances.
1.
Operator: BP America Production CompanyOGRID #:778
Address:200 Energy Court, Farmington, NM 87401
Facility or well name:Jacques 3
API Number:3004523608 OCD Permit Number:
U/L or Qtr/QtrESection25Township30NRange9WCounty:San Juan
Center of Proposed Design: Latitude36.78468 Longitude107.73717 NAD: ☐1927 ☒ 1983
Surface Owner:  Federal State Private Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment
Pit: Subsection F, G or J of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
Temporary: Drilling Workover
☐ Permanent ☐ Emergency ☐ Cavitation ☐ P&A ☐ Multi-Well Fluid Management Low Chloride Drilling Fluid ☐ yes ☐ no
Lined Unlined Liner type: Thicknessmil LLDPE HDPE PVC Other
String-Reinforced
Liner Seams: Welded Factory Other Volume: bbl Dimensions: L x W x D
3.
Below-grade tank: Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Tank A
Volume:95.0bbl Type of fluid:Produced water
Tank Construction material:Steel
Secondary containment with leak detection [ Visible sidewalls, liner, 6-inch lift and automatic overflow shut-off
☐ Visible sidewalls and liner ☐ Visible sidewalls only ☒ Other _Double walled/double bottomed - side walls not visible
Liner type: Thicknessmil
4.
Alternative Method:
Submittal of an exception request is required. Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

Fencing: Subsection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits, temporary pits, and below-grade tanks)  Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top (Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent residence, school, institution or church)  Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet  Alternate. Please specify	hospital,
Netting: Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks)  Screen Netting Other  Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)	
Signs: Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC  12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers  Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC	
Variances and Exceptions:  Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance.  Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank:  Variance(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district for consideration of approval.  Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.	
9. Siting Criteria (regarding permitting): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of accematerial are provided below. Siting criteria does not apply to drying pads or above-grade tanks.	ptable source
General siting	
Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of a low chloride temporary pit or below-grade tank.  - □ NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; □ USGS; □ Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes No
Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of a Temporary pit, permanent pit, or Multi-Well Fluid Management pit.  NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No☐ NA
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. (Does not apply to below grade tanks)  - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. (Does not apply to below grade tanks)  - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	☐ Yes ☐ No
<ul> <li>Within an unstable area. (Does not apply to below grade tanks)</li> <li>Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology &amp; Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map</li> </ul>	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within a 100-year floodplain. (Does not apply to below grade tanks)  - FEMA map	☐ Yes ☐ No
Below Grade Tanks	
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, significant watercourse, lake bed, sinkhole, wetland or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).  - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for public or livestock consumption;.  - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Temporary Pit using Low Chloride Drilling Fluid (maximum chloride content 15,000 mg/liter)	
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). (Applies to low chloride temporary pits.)  - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No

Within 300 feet from a occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial	Yes No
application.  - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	
Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 300feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of the initial application.  NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 100 feet of a wetland.  - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Temporary Pit Non-low chloride drilling fluid	
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse, or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).  - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	
	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.  - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in the existence at the time of the initial application;  - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet of a wetland.  - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Permanent Pit or Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit	
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).	
- Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.  - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of	
initial application NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 feet of a wetland.  - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
10.  Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 N  Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the doc attached.	
Hydrogeologic Report (Below-grade Tanks) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Hydrogeologic Data (Temporary and Emergency Pits) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19. and 19.15.17.13 NMAC	
Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number:	
11.  Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC  Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the docattached.	uments are
<ul> <li>□ Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC</li> <li>□ Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC</li> <li>□ A List of wells with approved application for permit to drill associated with the pit.</li> <li>□ Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19. and 19.15.17.13 NMAC</li> </ul>	15.17.9 NMAC
Hydrogeologic Data - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC	
Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number:	

Permanent Pits Permit Application Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC  Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the	documents are
attached.  ☐ Hydrogeologic Report - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC ☐ Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC ☐ Climatological Factors Assessment ☐ Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC ☐ Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC ☐ Leak Detection Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC ☐ Liner Specifications and Compatibility Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC ☐ Quality Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installation Plan ☐ Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC ☐ Freeboard and Overtopping Prevention Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC ☐ Nuisance or Hazardous Odors, including H₂S, Prevention Plan ☐ Emergency Response Plan ☐ Oil Field Waste Stream Characterization ☐ Monitoring and Inspection Plan ☐ Erosion Control Plan ☐ Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC	
Proposed Closure: 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan.  Type: Drilling Workover Emergency Cavitation P&A Permanent Pit Below-grade Tank Multi-well F	luid Management Pit
☐ Alternative  Proposed Closure Method: ☐ Waste Excavation and Removal ☐ Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only) ☐ On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems) ☐ In-place Burial ☐ On-site Trench Burial ☐ Alternative Closure Method	
Waste Excavation and Removal Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.  □ Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  □ Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  □ Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings)  □ Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  □ Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	
15. Siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendations of acceptable sour provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria require justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency. F 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance.	
Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of the buried waste.  - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Ground water is between 25-50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste.  - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).  - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.  - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application.  - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	Yes No
Within 300 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance	

<ul> <li>adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended.</li> <li>Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality</li> </ul>	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within an unstable area.  - Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological	
Society; Topographic map	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within a 100-year floodplain FEMA map	Yes No
On-Site Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plan by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.  Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC  Proof of Surface Owner Notice - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection K of 19.15.17.  Construction/Design Plan of Temporary Pit (for in-place burial of a drying pad) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.  Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Waste Material Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings or in case on-site closure standards cann Soil Cover Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	11 NMAC 15.17.11 NMAC
17. Operator Application Certification:	
I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and beli	ef.
Name (Print): Title:	
Signature:Date:	
e-mail address:	
18.  OCD Approval: Permit Application (including closure plan) Closure Plan (coly) COD Conditions (see attachment)	/
OCD Representative Signature: Approval Date:/\delta/	1/14
Title: Envisormental Spec OCD Permit Number:	
Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion): 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved closure plan prior to implementing any closure activities and submitting The closure report is required to be submitted to the division within 60 days of the completion of the closure activities. Please do not section of the form until an approved closure plan has been obtained and the closure activities have been completed.    Closure Completion Date:10/24/2012_	the closure report. complete this
20.	
Closure Method:  ☐ Waste Excavation and Removal ☐ On-Site Closure Method ☐ Alternative Closure Method ☐ Waste Removal (Closed-lo ☐ If different from approved plan, please explain.	op systems only)
Closure Report Attachment Checklist: Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure report. Please incommark in the box, that the documents are attached.  Proof of Closure Notice (surface owner and division)  Proof of Deed Notice (required for on-site closure for private land only)  Plot Plan (for on-site closures and temporary pits)  Confirmation Sampling Analytical Results (if applicable)  Waste Material Sampling Analytical Results (required for on-site closure)  Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number  Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation  Re-vegetation Application Rates and Seeding Technique  Site Reclamation (Photo Documentation)  On-site Closure Location: Latitude36.78468	dicate, by a check 1927 ⊠ 1983

y 22. Operator Closure Certification:	
I hereby certify that the information and attachments submitted with	h this closure report is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and osure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.
Name (Print):Jeff Peace	Title: Field Environmental Coordinator
Signature: Jeff Rosee	Date:November 7, 2014
e-mail address:peace.jeffrey@bp.com	Telephone:(505) 326-9479

## BP AMERICA PRODUCTION COMPANY

SAN JUAN BASIN, NORTHWEST NEW MEXICO

#### BELOW-GRADE TANK CLOSURE PLAN

### <u>Jacques 3</u> <u>API No. 3004523608</u> <u>Unit Letter E, Section 25, T30N, R9W</u>

This plan will address the standard protocols and procedures for closure of below-grade tanks (BGTs) on BP America Production Company (BP) well sites. As stipulated in Paragraph A of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall close a BGT within the time periods provided in 19.15.17.13 NMAC, or by an earlier date that the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) requires because of imminent danger to fresh water, public health, safety or the environment. If deviations from this plan are necessary, any specific changes will be included on form C-144 and approved by the NMOCD. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC or is not included in Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC within five years after June 16, 2008, if not retrofit with a BGT that complies with the BP NMOCD approved BGT design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC, if not previously retrofitted to comply with the BP NMOCD approve BGT Design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan, prior to any sale or change in operator pursuant to 19.15.9.9 NMAC. BP shall close the permitted BGT within 60 days of cessation of the BGTs operation or as required by the transitional provisions of Subsection B, D, or E of 19.15.17.17 NMAC.

### General Closure Plan

- 1. BP shall notify the surface owner by certified mail that it plans to close a BGT. Evidence of mailing of the notice to the address of the surface owner shown in the county tax records demonstrates compliance with this requirement.
  - No notice was made due to misunderstanding of the BGT notice requirements at that time.
- 2. BP shall notify the division District III office verbally or by other means at least 72 hours, but not more than one (1) week, prior to any closure operation. The notice shall include the operator's name, and the location to be closed by unit letter, section, township and range. If the BGT closure is associated with a particular well, then the notice shall also include the well's name, number and API number.
  - No notice was made due to misunderstanding of the BGT notice requirements at that time.
- 3. BP shall remove liquids and sludge from the BGT prior to implementing a closure method and dispose of the liquids and sludge in a NMOCD's division-approved facility. The facilities to be used are:
  - a. BP Crouch Mesa Landfarm, Permit NM-02-003 (Solids)
  - b. JFJ Landfarm, Permit NM-01-010(B) (Solids and Sludge)
  - c. Basin Disposal, Permit NM-01-0005 (Liquids)

- d. Envirotech Inc Soil Remediation Facility, Permit NM-01-0011 (Solids and Sludge)
- e. BP Operated E.E. Elliott SWD #1, API 30-045-27799 (Liquids)
- f. BP Operated 13 GCU SWD #1, API 30-045-28601 (Liquids)
- g. BP Operated GCU 259 SWD, API 30-045-20006 (Liquids)
- h. BP Operated GCU 306 SWD, API 30-045-24286 (Liquids)
- i. BP Operated GCU 307 SWD, API 30-045-24248 (Liquids)
- j. BP Operated GCU 328 SWD, API 30-045-24735 (Liquids)
- k. BP Operated Pritchard SWD #1, API 30-045-28351 (Liquids)

All liquids and sludge in the BGT were removed and sent to one of the above NMOCD approved facilities for disposal.

4. BP shall remove the BGT and dispose of it in a NMOCD approved facility or recycle, reuse, or reclaim it in a manner that the NMOCD approves. If a liner is present and must be disposed of it will be cleaned by scraping any soils or other attached materials on the liner to a de minimus amount and disposed at a permitted solid waste facility, pursuant to Subparagraph (m) of Paragraph (1) of Subsection C of 19.15.35.8 NMAC. Documentation as to the final disposition of the removed BGT will be provided in the final closure report.

The BGT was transported to a storage area for sale and re-use.

5. BP shall remove any on-site equipment associated with a BGT unless the equipment is required for well production.

All equipment associated with the BGT has been removed.

6. BP shall test the soils beneath the BGT to determine whether a release has occurred. BP shall collect at a minimum: a five (5) point composite sample and individual grab samples from any area that is wet, discolored or showing other evidence of a release and analyze for BTEX, TPH and chlorides. The testing methods for those constituents are as follows:

Constituents	Testing Method	Release Verification	Sample
	95 bbl BGT	(mg/Kg)	results
Benzene	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	0.2	ND
Total BTEX	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	50	ND
TPH	US EPA Method SW-846 418.1	100	ND
Chlorides	US EPA Method 300.0 or 4500B	250 or background	16

Notes: mg/Kg = milligram per kilogram, BTEX = benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes, TPH = total petroleum hydrocarbons. Other EPA methods that the division approves may be applied to all constituents listed. Chloride closure standards will be determined by which ever concentration level is greatest.

Soil under the BGT was sampled and TPH, BTEX and chloride levels were below the stated limits. Sampling data is attached.

- 7. BP shall notify the division District III office of its results on form C-141. **C-141 is attached.**
- 8. If it is determined that a release has occurred, then BP will comply with 19.15.30 NMAC and 19.15.29 NMAC, as appropriate.

Sampling results indicate no release occurred.

9. If the sampling demonstrates that a release has not occurred or that any release does not exceed the concentrations specified above, then BP shall backfill the excavation, with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material; construct a division-prescribed soil cover, re-contour and re-vegetate the location. The location will be reclaimed if it is not with in the active process area

The area under the BGT was backfilled with clean soil and is still within the active well area.

10. BP shall reclaim the BGT location and all areas associated with the BGT including associated access roads to a safe and stable condition that blends with the surrounding undisturbed area. BP shall substantially restore the impacted surface area to the condition that existed prior to oil and gas operations by placement of the soil cover as provided in Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, re-contour the location and associated areas to a contour that approximates the original contour and blends with the surrounding topography and re-vegetate according to Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC.

The area over the BGT is covered by the LPT and is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

11. The soil cover for closures where the BGT has been removed or remediated to the NMOCD's satisfaction shall consist of the background thickness of topsoil or one foot of suitable material to establish vegetation at the site, whichever is greater. The soil cover will be constructed to the site's existing grade and all practicable efforts will be made to prevent ponding of water and erosion of the cover material.

The area over the BGT is covered by the LPT and is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

12. BP shall seed the disturbed area the first growing season after closure of the BGT. Seeding will be accomplished by drilling on the contour whenever practical or by other division-approved methods. Vegetative cover will be, at a minimum, 70% of the native perennial vegetative cover (un-impacted by overgrazing, fire or other intrusion damaging to native vegetation), consisting of at least three native plant species, including at least one grass, but not including noxious weeds, and maintenance of that cover through two successive growing seasons. During the two growing seasons that prove viability, there shall be no artificial irrigation of the vegetation.

The area over the BGT is covered by the LPT and is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

- 13. BP shall seed, plant and re-seed pursuant to Paragraph (3) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, until the location successfully achieves the required vegetative cover.
  - BP will seed the area as part of final reclamation when the well is plugged and abandoned.
- 14. Pursuant to Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall notify the NMOCD when it has seeded or planted and when it successfully achieves revegetation.
  - BP will notify NMOCD when re-vegetation is successful.
- 15. Within 60 days of closure completion, BP shall submit a closure report on NMOCD's form C-144, and will include the following;
  - a. proof of closure notification (surface owner and NMOCD)
  - b. sampling analytical reports; information required by 19.15.17 NMAC;
  - c. disposal facility name and permit number
  - d. details on back-filling, capping, covering, and where applicable re-vegetation application rates and seeding techniques and
  - e. site reclamation, photo documentation.
    - Closure report on C-144 form is included.
- 16. BP shall certify that all information in the report and attachments is accurate, truthful, and compliant with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.

Certification section of C-144 has been completed.

District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

### State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

Form C-141

Revised August 8, 2011

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

			Rele	ease Notific	atio	and Co	orrective A	ction	l			
						<b>OPERA</b>	ГOR		☐ Initia	al Report	$\boxtimes$	Final Report
Name of Co						Contact: Jef						
		Court, Farmi	ngton, N	M 87401		Telephone No.: 505-326-9479						
Facility Na	ne: Jacque	es 3				Facility Typ	e: Natural gas v	vell				<u></u>
Surface Ow	ner: Privat	ie		Mineral C	wner:	Private			API No	. 30045236	808	
				LOCA	TIOI	N OF RE	LEASE					
Unit Letter	Section	Township	Range	Feet from the		South Line	Feet from the	East/V	West Line	County: Sa	ın Juan	
E	25	30N	9W	1,830	North		1,135	West				
		Lati	tude3	6.78468		_ Longitud	<b>e</b> 107.73717					
				NAT	URE	OF REL	EASE					
Type of Rele	ase: none	<del></del>					Release: N/A		Volume F	Recovered: N	I/A	
		v grade tank –	95 bbl				Iour of Occurrenc	e:	Date and	Hour of Disc	covery:	
Was Immedia	ate Notice (		Vac E	] No 🛛 Not Re	.a.i.a.d	If YES, To	Whom?					
By Whom?			168	] NO 🔼 NOT KE	quirea	Date and I-	I					
Was a Water	course Read	hed?					olume Impacting t	he Wate	ercourse		-	
Was a Water	course rear		Yes 🗵	] No		11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	nume impacting t	iic wate	reduise.			
If a Watercou	ırse was İm	pacted, Descr	be Fully.*	k								
		,	•									•
Describe Cau	ise of Proble	em and Remed	lial Action	n Taken.* Samplir	ng of the	soil beneath	the BGT was dor	ne durin	g removal t	to ensure no	soil im	nacts from
				and chloride belov					S removar	io chisare no	3011 1111	pacis from
				en.* BGT was rei	moved a	ınd the area u	nderneath the BG	T was s	ampled. T	he area unde	r the B	GT was
backfilled an	d compacte	d and is still v	ithin the a	active well area.								
				<del></del>					1.1		200	
				is true and completed is true and complete is true								
				ce of a C-141 repo								
				investigate and re								
				tance of a C-141	report d	oes not reliev	e the operator of r	responsi	bility for co	ompliance w	ith any	other
rederal, state,	or local lav	vs and/or regu	iations.	<del></del>			OIL CONS	SERV	ATION	DIVISIO	N	
<b>∣</b> (\	000	V- 20					OIL COIN	<u>OLIC (</u>	7111011	DIVIDIO	11	
Signature: V	M	1 gal										
Printed Name	e: Jeff Peace	2				Approved by	Environmental Sp	pecialist	:			
										<u> </u>		
Title: Field E	nvironment	al Coordinato	r			Approval Dat	re:		Expiration Date:			
E-mail Addre	ess: peace.je	effrey@bp.cor	n			Conditions of	f Approval:		Attached			
Data: Maria	her 7 201	1	Pho	ne: 505-326-9479						7 11.1101100		
Date: Noven	1001 /, 201	<del>'</del>	1 1101	10. 303-340-34/3								

<sup>\*</sup> Attach Additional Sheets If Necessary

CLIENT: BP	BLAGG ENG P.O. BOX 87, BLO	•		API #: 300	4523608
	(505)	(if applicble):	<u> </u>		
FIELD REPORT:	(circle one): BGT CONFIRMATION / REL	EASE INVESTIGATION / (	OTHER:	PAGE #:	1 of 1
SITE INFORMATION	I: SITE NAME: JACQUES	#3		DATE STARTED:	10/5/12
QUAD/UNIT: <b>E</b> SEC: <b>25</b> TWP:	30N RNG: 9W PM: N	M CNTY: SJ	st: NM	DATE FINISHED:	
1/4 -1/4/FOOTAGE: 1,830'N / 1,135		FLICTION	NT	ENVIRONMENTAL SPECIALIST(S):	JCB
	PROD. FORMATION: PC CONTE			<u> </u>	
	WELL HEAD (W.H.) GPS COO				
	GPS COORD.: 36.78				108', N63E_
2)					
4)	GPS COORD:				<del></del>
			DISTANCE/BE	:ARING FROM W.H.:	OVM
SAMPLING DATA:	CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD(S) # OR LAN				READING (ppm)
1) SAMPLE ID: 95 BGT 5 pt. @ 5					00.0(CI) 1.3
2) SAMPLE ID:					
3) SAMPLE ID:      4) SAMPLE ID:					
	,				
SOIL DESCRIPTION	SOIL TYPE: SAND SILTY SAN	ID SILT / SILTY CLAY /	CLAY / GRAVEL / OT	HER	<del>-</del>
SOIL COLOR: MODEF  COHESION (ALL OTHERS): NON COHESIVE SLIGHTL		PLASTICITY (CLAYS): NON P	LASTIC / SLIGHTLY PLASTIC /	COHESINE / MEDILIM PLASTIC	C / HIGHLY PLASTIC
CONSISTENCY (NON COHESIVE SOILS): LO		DENSITY (COHESIVE			
MOISTURE: DRY SLIGHTLY MOIST / WOIST / W		HC ODOR DETECTE	ED: YES NO EXPL	ANATION	
SAMPLE TYPE: GRAB (COMPOSITE) - 1 DISCOLORATION/STAINING OBSERVED					
DISCOLORATION/STAINING OBSERVED	. TES (NO) EXPLANATION -			·	
ANY AREAS DISPLAYING WETNESS: YES / NO	EXPLANATION -				
APPARENT EVIDENCE OF A RELEASE O	BSERVED AND/OR OCCURRED: YES	NO EXPLANATION:			<del></del>
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:					
SOIL IMPACT DIMENSION ESTIMATION		X NA ft.		TIMATION (Cubic Ya	
DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER:<50' N	EAREST WATER SOURCE: >1,000' NE	EAREST SURFACE WATER:	<b>&lt;200'</b> NMO	CD TPH CLOSURE STD	: <u>100</u> ppm
SITE SKETCH		PLOT PLAN circ	cle: attached 0\M	I CALIB. READ. = 53.	. <b>o</b> ppm   RF = 0.52
			<b>↑</b> ow	I CALIB. GAS =	111 - 0.02
		•	N I TIME	: <u>11:10</u> @m/pm [	DATE: _ <b>10/5/12</b>
			1	MISCELL.	NOTES
		(x x x)	_   v	vo: <b>N15928</b> 9	90
		PBG T.B		0#: 82738	
		В.О	3. <u>P</u>	K: ZANDEC	CALSL
€ <b>W</b> E			<u> </u>	ป#:	
HE	<del></del>		<u> </u>	ermit date(s):	06/14/10
				OCD Appr. date(s):	04/17/12 Vapor Meter
				ppm = parts pe	er million
		v	enn 🏴	BGT Sidewalls Visi	
NOTES: BGT = BELOW-GRADE TANK; E.D. = EXCAVATI	ON DEDDESCION: B.C DELOIM CDADE: D DELOIM		S.P.D.	BGT Sidewalls Visi	
T.B. = TANK BOTTOM; PBGTL = PREVIOUS BEL	OW-GRADE TANK LOCATION; SPD = SAMPLE POINT D	DESIGNATION; R.W. = RETAINING		/lagnetic declinati	
TDAYEL NOTEO	E WALL; DW - DOUBLE WALL; SB - SINGLE BOTTOM; D				
TRAVEL NOTES: CALLOUT:		_ ONSITE: <u>10/</u>	5/12		

### **Analytical Report**

### Lab Order 1210795

Date Reported: 10/24/2012

## Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

**CLIENT:** Blagg Engineering

Project: Jacques 3

**Lab ID:** 1210795-001

Client Sample ID: 95 BGT 5-pt @5'

**Collection Date:** 10/5/2012 11:04:00 AM

Received Date: 10/16/2012 9:55:00 AM

Analyses	Result	RL Qu	al Units	DF	Date Analyzed
EPA METHOD 8015B: DIESEL RANGE	ORGANICS				Analyst: <b>JMP</b>
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	10	mg/Kg	1	10/18/2012 11:11:54 AM
Surr: DNOP	94.8	77.6-140	%REC	1	10/18/2012 11:11:54 AM
EPA METHOD 8015B: GASOLINE RAN	GE				Analyst: <b>NSB</b>
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	4.9	mg/Kg	1	10/18/2012 1:39:18 PM
Surr: BFB	86.3	84-116	%REC	1	10/18/2012 1:39:18 PM
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analyst: <b>NSB</b>
Benzene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	10/18/2012 1:39:18 PM
Toluene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	10/18/2012 1:39:18 PM
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	10/18/2012 1:39:18 PM
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.098	mg/Kg	1	10/18/2012 1:39:18 PM
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	93.3	80-120	%REC	1	10/18/2012 1:39:18 PM
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analyst: SRM
Chloride	16	15	mg/Kg	10	10/19/2012 6:08:08 PM
EPA METHOD 418.1: TPH					Analyst: <b>JMP</b>
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	ND	20	mg/Kg	1	10/18/2012

Matrix: SOIL

### Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH greater than 2
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits 1 of 7

# Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

15

1.5

15.00

WO#:

1210795

24-Oct-12

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

Chloride

Jacques 3

Sample ID MB-4428 SampType: MBLK				Tes	TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions						
Client ID: PBS	Batcl	n ID: 44	28	F	RunNo: 6	391					
Prep Date: 10/19/2012	9/2012 Analysis Date: 10/19/2012			SeqNo: <b>183848</b> Units				Units: mg/Kg			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual	
Chloride	ND	1.5									

Sample ID LCS-4428 SampType: LCS TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions Client ID: LCSS Batch ID: 4428 RunNo: 6391 Prep Date: 10/19/2012 Analysis Date: 10/19/2012 SeqNo: 183849 Units: mg/Kg Analyte Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD **RPDLimit** Qual

100

110

Qualifiers:

\* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

E Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

P Sample pH greater than 2

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

Page 2 of 7

## Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1210795

24-Oct-12

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

Jacques 3

Sample ID MB-4345

SampType: MBLK

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

Client ID: **PBS** 

10/16/2012

Batch ID: 4345

RunNo: 6337

Analysis Date: 10/18/2012

SeqNo: 182413

Units: mg/Kg

Prep Date: Analyte

Prep Date:

Client ID:

Analyte

Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit

HighLimit

**RPDLimit** 

Qual

Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR

ND

SampType: LCS

20

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

LowLimit

Sample ID LCS-4345 Client ID: LCSS

10/16/2012

Batch ID: 4345 Analysis Date: 10/18/2012

**PQL** 

20

20

RunNo: 6337

%REC

103

SeqNo: 182414

HighLimit

Units: mg/Kg

120

%RPD

%RPD

Qual

**RPDLimit** 

Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR Sample ID LCSD-4345

SampType: LCSD

RunNo: 6337

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

80

Prep Date: 10/16/2012

LCSS02

Batch ID: 4345

Analysis Date: 10/18/2012

SeqNo: 182415

Units: mg/Kg HighLimit

**RPDLimit** 

Qual

Analyte Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR Result

99

Result

100

**PQL** 

SPK value SPK Ref Val 100.0 0

SPK value SPK Ref Val

100.0

%REC 98.6

LowLimit

%RPD 4.04

Qualifiers:

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

Value above quantitation range Е

Analyte detected below quantitation limits

Sample pH greater than 2

В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

RPD outside accepted recovery limits

Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit Page 3 of 7

## Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1210795

24-Oct-12

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:	Jacques 3	3									
Sample ID	MB-4366	SampTyp	e: Mi	BLK	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	8015B: Dies	el Range	Organics	
Client ID:	PBS	Batch II	D: <b>43</b>	66	· F	RunNo: 6	6331				
Prep Date:	10/17/2012	Analysis Date	e: 10	0/18/2012		SeqNo: 1	182277	Units: mg/l	≺g		
Analyte		Result I	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
	Organics (DRO)	ND	10								
Surr: DNOP		9.5		10.00		94.8	77.6	140		~	
Sample ID	LCS-4366	SampTyp	e: LC	s	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	8015B: Dies	el Range (	Organics	
Client ID:	LCSS	Batch II	D: <b>43</b>	66	F	RunNo: 6	331				
Prep Date:	10/17/2012	Analysis Date	e: <b>1</b> 0	0/18/2012	9	SeqNo: 1	182278	Units: mg/h	≺g		
Analyte		Result F	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
-	Organics (DRO)	33	10	50.00	0	66.7	52.6	130			
Surr: DNOP	22.00.	4.3		5.000		86.3	77.6	140		···	
Sample ID	1210743-001AMS	SampTyp	e: <b>MS</b>	3	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	8015B: Dies	el Range (	Organics	
Client ID:	BatchQC	Batch ID	D: <b>43</b>	66	F	RunNo: 6	331				
Prep Date:	10/17/2012	Analysis Date	e: 10	)/18/2012	S	SeqNo: 1	82280	Units: mg/h	<b>(</b> g		
Analyte		Result F	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
-	Organics (DRO)	31	9.9	49.55	0	62.0	57.2	146			
Surr: DNOP		4.1		4.955		82.1	77.6	140			
Sample ID	1210743-001AMS	SampType	e: <b>MS</b>	SD	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	8015B: Dies	el Range (	Organics	
Client ID:	BatchQC	Batch ID	): <b>43</b> 0	66	RunNo: <b>6331</b>						
Prep Date:	10/17/2012	Analysis Date	e: 10	)/18/2012	S	SeqNo: 1	82281	Units: mg/h	(g		
Analyte		Result F	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range C	Organics (DRO)	33	10	51.39	0	63.3	57.2	146	5.63	24.5	
Surr: DNOP		4.4		5.139		85.7	77.6	140	0	0	
Sample ID	MB-4397	SampType	e: <b>M</b> E	BLK	Test	tCode: E	PA Method	8015B: Dies	el Range (	Organics	·
Client ID:	PBS	Batch ID	): 43	97	R	RunNo: 6	325				
Prep Date:	10/18/2012	Analysis Date	e: 10	)/18/2012	S	SeqNo: 1	82283	Units: %RE	C		
Analyte		Result F	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Surr: DNOP		10		10.00		103	77.6	140			
Sample ID	LCS-4397	SampType	e: LC	S	Test	tCode: E	PA Method	8015B: Dies	el Range (	Organics	
Client ID:		Batch ID	): <b>43</b> 9	97	R	RunNo: 6	325				
Prep Date:	10/18/2012	Analysis Date	e: 10	0/18/2012	S	SeqNo: 1	82284	Units: %RE	c		
Analyte		Result F	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Surr: DNOP		4.3		5.000		86.9	77.6	140			

#### Qualifiers:

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

Ε Value above quantitation range

Analyte detected below quantitation limits

Sample pH greater than 2

В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

RPD outside accepted recovery limits

Page 4 of 7

## Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1210795

24-Oct-12

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

Jacques 3

Sample ID MB-4404	SampType: MBLK	TestCode: EPA Method 8015B: Diesel Range Organics
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 4404	RunNo: <b>6356</b>
Prep Date: 10/18/2012	Analysis Date: 10/19/2012	SeqNo: 182984 Units: %REC
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value	SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual
Surr: DNOP	9.6 10.00	95.6 77.6 140
Sample ID LCS-4404	SampType: LCS	TestCode: EPA Method 8015B: Diesel Range Organics
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 4404	RunNo: <b>6356</b>
Prep Date: 10/18/2012	Analysis Date: 10/19/2012	SeqNo: 182985 Units: %REC
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value	SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual
Surr: DNOP	4.5 5.000	90.3 77.6 140
Sample ID 1210819-001A	MS SampType: MS	TestCode: EPA Method 8015B: Diesel Range Organics
Client ID: BatchQC	Batch ID: 4404	RunNo: 6356
Prep Date: 10/18/2012	Analysis Date: 10/19/2012	SeqNo: 183001 Units: %REC
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value	SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual

10/18/2012

Client ID: BatchQC

Surr: DNOP

Prep Date:

Surr: DNOP

Analyte

SampType: MSD

4.7

Result

4.9

Batch ID: 4404

Analysis Date: 10/19/2012 SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC PQL

6.148

5.899

RunNo: 6356 SeqNo: 183002

79.2

79.7

77.6

Units: %REC

TestCode: EPA Method 8015B: Diesel Range Organics

140

**RPDLimit** LowLimit HighLimit %RPD Qual 77.6 140 0

#### Qualifiers:

Value above quantitation range

Analyte detected below quantitation limits

Sample pH greater than 2

В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

RPD outside accepted recovery limits

Page 5 of 7

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

# Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1210795

24-Oct-12

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

Jacques 3

Project:	Jacques 3	) 													
Sample ID	MB-4362	SampTyp	e: MI	BLK	TestCode: EPA Method 8015B: Gasoline Range										
Client ID:	PBS	Batch I	D: <b>43</b>	62	F										
Prep Date:	10/17/2012	Analysis Dat	e: 10	0/21/2012	012 SeqNo: 183666 U			Units: mg/l							
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual				
	ge Organics (GRO)	ND	5.0												
Surr: BFB		910		1000		90.5	84	116							
Sample ID	LCS-4362	SampTyp	e: LC	s	Tes	TestCode: EPA Method 8015B: Gasoline Range									
Client ID:	LCSS	Batch I	D: <b>43</b>	62	RunNo: <b>6387</b>										
Prep Date:	10/17/2012	Analysis Dat	e: 10	0/21/2012	SeqNo:		83667	Units: mg/l	<b>&lt;</b> g						
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual				
_	e Organics (GRO)	24	5.0	25.00	0	97.6	74	117							
Surr: BFB		970		1000		97.3	84	116							
Sample ID	Tes	TestCode: EPA Method 8015B: Gasoline Range													
Client ID:	BatchQC	tchQC Batch ID: 4362				RunNo: 6	387								
Prep Date:	10/17/2012	Analysis Date: 10/21/2012 SeqNo: 183670				83670	Units: mg/Kg								
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual				
Gasoline Rang	e Organics (GRO)	32	23	23.26	0	136	70	130			S				
Surr: BFB		4200		4651 		90.1	84	116							
Sample ID	1210803-006AMS	SampTyp	e: <b>MS</b>	SD	Test	tCode: El	PA Method	8015B: Gaso	oline Rang	е					
Client ID:	BatchQC	Batch II	D: <b>43</b>	62	R	RunNo: 6387									
Prep Date:	10/17/2012	Analysis Dat	e: 10	0/21/2012	S	SeqNo: 1	83671	Units: mg/h							
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual				
Gasoline Rang	e Organics (GRO)	33	25	24.56	0	133	70	130	2.92	22.1	S				
Surr: BFB		4400		4912		90.0	84	116	0	0					
Sample ID	MB-4403	SampTyp	e: ME	BLK	Test	tCode: El	PA Method	8015B: Gaso	oline Rang	e					
Client ID:	PBS	Batch II	D: <b>44</b> 0	03	R	RunNo: 6	387								
Prep Date:	10/18/2012	Analysis Dat	e: <b>1</b> 0	0/21/2012	S	SeqNo: 1	83713	Units: %RE	C						
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual				
Surr: BFB		910		1000		91.0	84	116							
Sample ID	LCS-4403	SampTyp	e: LC	S	- Test	tCode: El	PA Method	8015B: Gaso	oline Rang	e					
Client ID:	LCSS	Batch if	D: <b>44</b> 0	03	R	RunNo: 6	387		_						
	10/18/2012	Analysis Dat	e: <b>10</b>	0/21/2012	S	SeqNo: 1	83714	Units: %RE	c						
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual				
Surr: BFB		940		1000		93.8	84	116							

### Qualifiers:

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

E Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

P Sample pH greater than 2

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

Page 6 of 7

## Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1210795

24-Oct-12

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project: Jacques 3	3												
Sample ID MB-4362	Samp	Туре: МЕ	BLK	Tes									
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 4362			F	RunNo: 6	387							
Prep Date: 10/17/2012	Analysis [	Date: 10	)/21/2012	SeqNo: <b>183723</b> U		Units: mg/l	≺g						
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual			
Benzene	ND 0.050												
Toluene	ND	0.050											
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.050											
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.10											
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.0		1.000		100	80	120						
Sample ID LCS-4362	Samp	Гуре: LC	S	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8021B: Vola	tiles					
Client ID: LCSS	Client ID: LCSS Batch			F	RunNo: 6	387							
Prep Date: 10/17/2012	Analysis E	sis Date: 10/21/2012 SeqNo: 183728			83728	Units: mg/Kg							
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual			
Benzene	1.0	0.050	1.000	0	101	76.3	117						
Toluene	1.0	0.050	1.000	0	103	80	120						
Ethylbenzene	1.0	0.050	1.000	0	103	77	116						
Xylenes, Total	3.1	0.10	3.000	0	104	76.7	117						
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.0		1.000		103	80	120						
Sample ID MB-4403	SampT	Гуре: МЕ	BLK	TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles									
Client ID: PBS	Batch	h ID: 440	03	F	RunNo: 6								
Prep Date: 10/18/2012	Analysis D	Date: 10	/21/2012	S	SeqNo: 1	83753	Units: %RE	c					
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual			
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.0		1.000		99.7	80	120						
Sample ID 1210865-001AMS	SampT	ype: MS	}	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8021B: Vola	tiles					
Client ID: BatchQC	Batch	h ID: 440	03	R	RunNo: 6	387							
Prep Date: 10/18/2012	Analysis D	Date: 10	/21/2012	SeqNo: <b>183755</b>		Units: %RE	c						
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual			
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.0		0.9785		102	80	120						
Sample ID 1210865-001AMS	<b>D</b> SampT	ype: MS	SD .	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8021B: Vola	tiles					
Client ID: BatchQC	Batch	n ID: 440	)3	R	lunNo: 6	387							
Prep Date: 10/18/2012	Analysis D	Date: 10	/21/2012	s	SeqNo: 1	33756	Units: %RE	С					
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual			
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.0		0.9785		105	80	120	0	0				

#### Qualifiers:

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

Е Value above quantitation range

Analyte detected below quantitation limits

Sample pH greater than 2

Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank В

Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

RPD outside accepted recovery limits

Page 7 of 7



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87105

TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-410', Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

# Sample Log-In Check List

Client Name: Work Order Number: 1210795 Received by/date Logged By: Ashley Gallegos 10/16/2012 9:55:00 AM Completed By: **Ashley Gallegos** 10/16/2012 2:21:25 PM Reviewed By: 7 Chain of Custody Yes No C 1. Were seals intact? Not Present Yes V No 2. Is Chain of Custody complete? Not Present 3. How was the sample delivered? Courier Log In Yes V No NA 🗌 4. Coolers are present? (see 19. for cooler specific information) NA 🖂 Yes V No 5. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes V No NA 🗆 6 Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C Yes V No 7 Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes 🗹 No 🗌 8 Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes 🗹 No 🗌 9. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes 🗌 No 🗹 NA 🗔 10. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes ☐ No ☐ No VOA Vials ☑ 11 VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes D No 🗹 12. Were any sample containers received broken? # of preserved Yes 🗸 No 🗌 13. Does paperwork match bottle labels? bottles checked (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) for pH: Yes 🗹 No 🗌 14. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? (<2 or >12 unless noted) Yes 🗹 No 🗌 Adjusted? 15. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes 🗸 No 🗌 16. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Checked by: Special Handling (if applicable) 17. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes 🗌 No 🔲 NA 🗹 Person Notified: Date: By Whom: eMail Phone Fax In Person Via: Regarding: **Client Instructions:** 18 Additional remarks: 19 Cooler Information Cooler No Temp C Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date 3.8 Good Yes

Chain-of-Custody Record  Client: BLAGG ENGINEERW INC.			Turn-Around Time:  Standard Rush  Project Name:  JAQUES 3  Project #:						_				RUZA		·~	AII			· A. F.		
							HALL ENVIRONMEN  ANALYSIS LABORA												_	<b>"</b>	
BP AMERICA  Mailing Address: P.O. Box 87  BLOWNFIELD, NM 97413		www.hallenvironmental.com																			
		4901 Hawkins NE - Albuquerque, NM 87109 Tel. 505-345-3975 Fax 505-345-4107																			
			32-1199	i .									_						the state of the	i di an	4
email or Fax#:			Project Manager:													,				T	
QA/QC Package:  Standard   Level 4 (Full Validation)			J. BLAGE				(Gas on	as/Dies	1				PO4,SO	PCB's							
Accredi		- OII		Sampler: J. Bucc				PH	BG (G	<del>-</del>	<del>-</del>	<b>₽</b>		NO <sub>2</sub>	/ 8082						Ê
□ NELAP □ Other		On Ge A X Y = A No.			+	+	3015	418	504	PA	<u>0</u>	õ	/ 88		OA)	1			ō		
□ EDD	Time	Matrix	Sample Request ID	Container Type and #	Preservative Type		BTEX + THERE	BTEX + MTBE + TPH (Gas only)	TPH Method 8015B (Gas/Diesel)	TPH (Method 418.1)	EDB (Method 504.1)	8310 (PNA or PAH)	RCRA 8 Metals	Anlons (F,Cl,NO3,NO2,PO4,SO4)	8081 Pesticides	8260B (VOA)	8270 (Semi-VOA)	CHLURADE			Air Bubbles (Y or N)
9/5/12	1104	Soil	95B6T 5-pb@5	403 ×1	COOL	- pol	Х			X								X			T
																					T
																					I
		ļ																			
										_									$\perp$		$oldsymbol{\perp}$
															_						$oldsymbol{\perp}$
																			$\dashv$	$\bot$	<del> </del>
							<u> </u>					$\dashv$			_				_	_	+
				-						$\dashv$					_				$\dashv$	+	$\dotplus$
	<u> </u>	<u></u>				. ,	-			-		$\dashv$							+		+
Date: 0/15/12 Date:	Time:	Relinquish	1844	Received by:  Augustia  Received by:	· Wael	Date Time 10/15/12 /245 Date Time	Ren B	narks	s: G BP Pk		+ D OW 2-A	): 1	415	592	89	B			<u></u>		
15/12	Mile	Chri	athe Walter of		10	14/12 0955	co	Atu	ታጉ,	Jer	<u>i- F</u>	ÈA(	<u>CE</u>								



