| District I 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 District II 811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 District III 1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410 District IV 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources Department Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505OIL CONS. DIV DIST. 3OIL CONS. DIV DIST. 3Form C-144 Revised June 6, 2013District III District IV 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505For temporary pits, below-grade tanks, and multi-well fluid management pits, submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office. |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Pit, Below-Grade Tank, or 12518 Proposed Alternative Method Permit or Closure Plan Application Type of action: Below grade tank registration 125-11067 Permit of a pit or proposed alternative method 25-11067 Observe of a pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method Closure of a pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method Modification to an existing permit/or registration Closure plan only submitted for an existing permitted or non-permitted pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method Instructions: Please submit one application (Form C-144) per individual pit, below-grade tank or alternative request Please be advised that approval of this request does not relieve the operator of liability should operations result in pollution of surface water, ground water or the environment. Nor does approval relieve the operator of its responsibility to comply with any other applicable governmental authority's rules, regulations or ordinances. |
| Operator: BP America Production CompanyOGRID #:778 Address:200 Energy Court, Farmington, NM 87401 Facility or well name:Marcotte Gas Com 1 API Number:3004511067OCD Permit Number: U/L or Qtr/QtrH Section5 Township31NRange _10W County:San Juan Center of Proposed Design: Latitude36.930283 Longitude107.900799 NAD:1927 ⊠ 1983 Surface Owner: Federal State ⊠ Private Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment |
| 2. Pit: Subsection F, G or J of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Temporary: Drilling Workover Permanent Emergency Cavitation P&A Multi-Well Fluid Management Low Chloride Drilling Fluid yes no Lined Unlined Liner type: Thickness mil LLDPE HDPE PVC Other String-Reinforced Liner Seams: Welded Factory Other Cother x W x D |
| 3. Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Tank B Volume:95.0bbl Type of fluid:Produced water |

Submittal of an exception request is required. Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

. *



Fencing: Subsection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits, temporary pits, and below-grade tanks)

Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top (*Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution or church*)

Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet

Alternate. Please specify_

6.

Netting: Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks)

Screen Netting Other

Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)

Signs: Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC

12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers

Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC

Variances and Exceptions:

Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance.

Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank:

Variance(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district for consideration of approval.

Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

Siting Criteria (regarding permitting): 19.15.17.10 NMAC

Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of acceptable source material are provided below. Siting criteria does not apply to drying pads or above-grade tanks.

| General siting | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of a low chloride temporary pit or below-grade tank □ NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; □ USGS; □ Data obtained from nearby wells | □ Yes □ No □ NA |
| Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of a Temporary pit, permanent pit, or Multi-Well Fluid Management pit. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells | □ Yes □ No □ NA |
| Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No |
| Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No |
| Within an unstable area. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No |
| Within a 100-year floodplain. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) - FEMA map | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No |
| Below Grade Tanks | |
| Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, significant watercourse, lake bed, sinkhole, wetland or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No |
| Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for public or livestock consumption;. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No |
| Temporary Pit using Low Chloride Drilling Fluid (maximum chloride content 15,000 mg/liter) | |
| Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). (Applies to low chloride temporary pits.) Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No |

| Within 300 feet from a occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial | Yes No |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| application. Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image | |
| Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 300feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of the initial application. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | Yes No |
| Within 100 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No |
| Temporary Pit Non-low chloride drilling fluid | |
| Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse, or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | Yes No |
| Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image | Yes No |
| Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in the existence at the time of the initial application; NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No |
| Within 300 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No |
| Permanent Pit or Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit | |
| Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No |
| Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No |
| Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application. | |
| - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | Yes No |
| Within 500 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No |
| ^{10.} <u>Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist</u> : Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 N <i>Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the doc</i> <i>attached.</i> | |
| Hydrogeologic Report (Below-grade Tanks) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Hydrogeologic Data (Temporary and Emergency Pits) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.13 NMAC | |
| Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number: | |
| ^{11.} <u>Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit Checklist</u> : Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC <i>Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the doc attached.</i> | cuments are |
| Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC A List of wells with approved application for permit to drill associated with the pit. Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19. and 19.15.17.13 NMAC Hydrogeologic Data - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC | 15.17.9 NMAC |
| Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC | |
| Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number: | |

•

4

| Permanent Pits Permit Application Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Hydrogeologic Report - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Climatological Factors Assessment Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Leak Detection Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Liner Specifications and Compatibility Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Quality Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installation Plan Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Freeboard and Overtopping Prevention Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Nuisance or Hazardous Odors, including H₂S, Prevention Plan Emergency Response Plan Oil Field Waste Stream Characterization Monitoring and Inspection Plan Erosion Control Plan Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC | | | | | | |
| ^{13.} <u>Proposed Closure:</u> 19.15.17.13 NMAC | | | | | | |
| Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan. Type: Drilling Workover Emergency Cavitation P&A Permanent Pit Below-grade Tank Multi-well File | uid Management Pit | | | | | |
| Alternative Proposed Closure Method: Waste Excavation and Removal Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only) | | | | | | |
| Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only) On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems) In-place Burial On-site Trench Burial Alternative Closure Method | | | | | | |
| Waste Excavation and Removal Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be a closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings) Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC | attached to the | | | | | |
| 15. <u>Siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only)</u> : 19.15.17.10 NMAC <i>Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendations of acceptable sour</i> <i>provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria require justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency. P</i> 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance. | | | | | | |
| Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells | □ Yes □ No □ NA | | | | | |
| Ground water is between 25-50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells | □ Yes □ No □ NA | | | | | |
| Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells | ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA | | | | | |
| Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No | | | | | |
| Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image | Yes No | | | | | |
| Within 300 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | Yes No | | | | | |
| Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No | | | | | |
| Within 300 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No | | | | | |
| Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance | | | | | | |
| Form C-144 Oil Conservation Division Page 4 of | 6 | | | | | |

| Γ | adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. | |
|---|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No |
| | Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No |
| | Within an unstable area. | |
| | Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map | |
| | Within a 100-year floodplain. | Yes No |
| | - FEMA map | Yes No |
| | 16. On-Site Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plan by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Proof of Surface Owner Notice - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection K of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Construction/Design Plan of Temporary Pit (for in-place burial of a drying pad) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Waste Material Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings or in case on-site closure standards cann Soil Cover Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC | 11 NMAC 15.17.11 NMAC |
| ŀ | 17. Operator Application Certification: | |
| | I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and beli | ef |
| | | |
| | Name (Print): Title: | |
| | Signature: Date: | |
| | e-mail address: Telephone: | |
| | 18. OCD Approval: Permit Application (including closure plan) Image: Closure Plan (only) OCD Conditions (see attachment) OCD Representative Signature: Image: Closure Plan (only) OCD Conditions (see attachment) Title: Image: Closure Plan (only) OCD Permit Number: | 3/2015 |
| Г | 19. | |
| | Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion): 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved closure plan prior to implementing any closure activities and submitting The closure report is required to be submitted to the division within 60 days of the completion of the closure activities. Please do not section of the form until an approved closure plan has been obtained and the closure activities have been completed. | |
| | Closure Completion Date:4/25/2012 | |
| | 20. Closure Method: Waste Excavation and Removal □ On-Site Closure Method □ Alternative Closure Method □ Waste Removal (Closed-lo If different from approved plan, please explain. | op systems only) |
| | 21. <u>Closure Report Attachment Checklist</u>: Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure report. Please in mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Proof of Closure Notice (surface owner and division) Proof of Deed Notice (required for on-site closure for private land only) | dicate, by a check |

| \sim | Disposar racinty r | anne ann | |
|-------------|---------------------|----------|--------------|
| \boxtimes | Soil Backfilling an | d Cover | Installation |

4

- Re-vegetation Application Rates and Seeding Technique
 Site Reclamation (Photo Documentation)

-107.900799 36.930283 Longitude On-site Closure Location: Latitude

NAD: 1927 🛛 1983

Operator Closure Certification:

4 22.

| I hereby certify that the information and attachments submitted with this closure re- belief. I also certify that the closure complies with all applicable closure requirement | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Name (Print):Jeff Peace | Title: Field Environmental Coordinator |
| Signature: Signature: | Date:December 31, 2014 |
| e-mail address:peace.jeffrey@bp.com | Telephone:(505) 326-9479 |
| | |

BP AMERICA PRODUCTION COMPANY SAN JUAN BASIN, NORTHWEST NEW MEXICO

BELOW-GRADE TANK CLOSURE PLAN

<u>Marcotte Gas Com 1 Tank B (95 bbl)</u> <u>API No. 3004511067</u> Unit Letter H, Section 5, T31N, R10W

This plan will address the standard protocols and procedures for closure of below-grade tanks (BGTs) on BP America Production Company (BP) well sites. As stipulated in Paragraph A of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall close a BGT within the time periods provided in 19.15.17.13 NMAC, or by an earlier date that the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) requires because of imminent danger to fresh water, public health, safety or the environment. If deviations from this plan are necessary, any specific changes will be included on form C-144 and approved by the NMOCD. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC or is not included in Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC within five years after June 16, 2008, if not retrofit with a BGT that complies with the BP NMOCD approved BGT design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC, if not previously retrofitted to comply with the BP NMOCD approve BGT Design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan, prior to any sale or change in operator pursuant to 19.15.9.9 NMAC. BP shall close the permitted BGT within 60 days of cessation of the BGTs operation or as required by the transitional provisions of Subsection B, D, or E of 19.15.17.17 NMAC.

General Closure Plan

.

- BP shall notify the surface owner by certified mail that it plans to close a BGT. Evidence of mailing of the notice to the address of the surface owner shown in the county tax records demonstrates compliance with this requirement. No notice was made due to misunderstanding of the BGT notice requirements at that time.
- BP shall notify the division District III office verbally or by other means at least 72 hours, but not more than one (1) week, prior to any closure operation. The notice shall include the operator's name, and the location to be closed by unit letter, section, township and range. If the BGT closure is associated with a particular well, then the notice shall also include the well's name, number and API number.
 No notice was made due to misunderstanding of the BGT notice requirements at

No notice was made due to misunderstanding of the BGT notice requirements at that time.

- 3. BP shall remove liquids and sludge from the BGT prior to implementing a closure method and dispose of the liquids and sludge in a NMOCD's division-approved facility. The facilities to be used are:
 - a. BP Crouch Mesa Landfarm, Permit NM-02-003 (Solids)
 - b. JFJ Landfarm, Permit NM-01-010(B) (Solids and Sludge)
 - c. Basin Disposal, Permit NM-01-0005 (Liquids)

- d. Envirotech Inc Soil Remediation Facility, Permit NM-01-0011 (Solids and Sludge)
- e. BP Operated E.E. Elliott SWD #1, API 30-045-27799 (Liquids)
- f. BP Operated 13 GCU SWD #1, API 30-045-28601 (Liquids)
- g. BP Operated GCU 259 SWD, API 30-045-20006 (Liquids)

.

- h. BP Operated GCU 306 SWD, API 30-045-24286 (Liquids)
- i. BP Operated GCU 307 SWD, API 30-045-24248 (Liquids)
- j. BP Operated GCU 328 SWD, API 30-045-24735 (Liquids)

k. BP Operated Pritchard SWD #1, API 30-045-28351 (Liquids)
 All liquids and sludge in the BGT were removed and sent to one of the above NMOCD approved facilities for disposal.

4. BP shall remove the BGT and dispose of it in a NMOCD approved facility or recycle, reuse, or reclaim it in a manner that the NMOCD approves. If a liner is present and must be disposed of it will be cleaned by scraping any soils or other attached materials on the liner to a de minimus amount and disposed at a permitted solid waste facility, pursuant to Subparagraph (m) of Paragraph (1) of Subsection C of 19.15.35.8 NMAC. Documentation as to the final disposition of the removed BGT will be provided in the final closure report.

The BGT was transported to a storage area for sale and re-use.

5. BP shall remove any on-site equipment associated with a BGT unless the equipment is required for well production.

All equipment associated with the BGT has been removed.

6. BP shall test the soils beneath the BGT to determine whether a release has occurred. BP shall collect at a minimum: a five (5) point composite sample and individual grab samples from any area that is wet, discolored or showing other evidence of a release and analyze for BTEX, TPH and chlorides. The testing methods for those constituents are as follows;

| Constituents | Testing Method | Release Verification | Sample |
|--------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------|
| | 95 bbl BGT, Tank B | (mg/Kg) | results |
| Benzene | US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B | 0.2 | ND |
| Total BTEX | US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B | 50 | ND |
| TPH | US EPA Method SW-846 418.1 | 100 | 24 |
| Chlorides | US EPA Method 300.0 or 4500B | 250 or background | ND |

Notes: mg/Kg = milligram per kilogram, BTEX = benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes, TPH = total petroleum hydrocarbons. Other EPA methods that the division approves may be applied to all constituents listed. Chloride closure standards will be determined by which ever concentration level is greatest.

Soil under the BGT was sampled and TPH, BTEX and chloride levels were below the stated limits. Sampling data is attached.

BP shall notify the division District III office of its results on form C-141.
 C-141 is attached.

*

- If it is determined that a release has occurred, then BP will comply with 19.15.30 NMAC and 19.15.29 NMAC, as appropriate.
 Sampling results indicate no release occurred.
- 9. If the sampling demonstrates that a release has not occurred or that any release does not exceed the concentrations specified above, then BP shall backfill the excavation, with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material; construct a division-prescribed soil cover, re-contour and re-vegetate the location. The location will be reclaimed if it is not with in the active process area

The area under the BGT was backfilled with clean soil and is still within the active well area.

10. BP shall reclaim the BGT location and all areas associated with the BGT including associated access roads to a safe and stable condition that blends with the surrounding undisturbed area. BP shall substantially restore the impacted surface area to the condition that existed prior to oil and gas operations by placement of the soil cover as provided in Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, re-contour the location and associated areas to a contour that approximates the original contour and blends with the surrounding topography and re-vegetate according to Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC.

The area over the BGT is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

11. The soil cover for closures where the BGT has been removed or remediated to the NMOCD's satisfaction shall consist of the background thickness of topsoil or one foot of suitable material to establish vegetation at the site, whichever is greater. The soil cover will be constructed to the site's existing grade and all practicable efforts will be made to prevent ponding of water and erosion of the cover material.

The area over the BGT is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

12. BP shall seed the disturbed area the first growing season after closure of the BGT. Seeding will be accomplished by drilling on the contour whenever practical or by other division-approved methods. Vegetative cover will be, at a minimum, 70% of the native perennial vegetative cover (un-impacted by overgrazing, fire or other intrusion damaging to native vegetation), consisting of at least three native plant species, including at least one grass, but not including noxious weeds, and maintenance of that cover through two successive growing seasons. During the two growing seasons that prove viability, there shall be no artificial irrigation of the vegetation.

The area over the BGT is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

13. BP shall seed, plant and re-seed pursuant to Paragraph (3) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, until the location successfully achieves the required vegetative cover.

BP will seed the area when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

14. Pursuant to Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall notify the NMOCD when it has seeded or planted and when it successfully achieves revegetation.

BP will notify NMOCD when re-vegetation is successful.

.

- 15. Within 60 days of closure completion, BP shall submit a closure report on NMOCD's form C-144, and will include the following;
 - a. proof of closure notification (surface owner and NMOCD)
 - b. sampling analytical reports; information required by 19.15.17 NMAC;
 - c. disposal facility name and permit number
 - d. details on back-filling, capping, covering, and where applicable re-vegetation application rates and seeding techniques and
 - e. site reclamation, photo documentation. Closure report on C-144 form is included.
- 16. BP shall certify that all information in the report and attachments is accurate, truthful, and compliant with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.

Certification section of C-144 has been completed.

v

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

> Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

Form C-141

Revised August 8, 2011

| | | | Rele | ease Notific | eation | and Co | orrective A | ction | | |
|-------------------------------------------------|---------------|------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| | | | | | | OPERAT | ГOR | 🗌 Ini | tial Report 🛛 Final Report | |
| Name of Company: BP | | | | | (| Contact: Jeff Peace | | | | |
| Address: 200 Energy Court, Farmington, NM 87401 | | | | | | Telephone N | No.: 505-326-94 | .79 | | |
| | | tte Gas Com | | | | | e: Natural gas v | | | |
| Surface Ow | ner: Priva | te | | Mineral C |)wner: I | Private | | APIN | 0. 3004511067 | |
| | | | | LOC | TION | OF REI | FASE | | | |
| Unit Letter | Section | Township | Range | Feet from the | | | Feet from the | East/West Line | County: San Juan | |
| H | 5 | 31N | 10W | 1,550 | North | | | | County. San Juan | |
| | | Latit | ude 36 | .930283 | | Longitud | e 107.900799 | | | |
| | | | | NAT | URE | OF RELI | EASE | | | |
| Type of Rele | ase: none | | | 11144 | UILL | | Release: N/A | Volume | Recovered: N/A | |
| | | v grade tank – | 95 bbl. T | ank B | | | our of Occurrenc | | d Hour of Discovery: | |
| Was Immedia | | | | | | If YES, To | | | | |
| | | | Yes 🗌 | No 🛛 Not Re | equired | | | | | |
| By Whom? | | | | | | Date and H | our | | | |
| Was a Water | course Read | ched? | | _ | | If YES, Vo | lume Impacting t | he Watercourse. | | |
| | | | Yes 🛛 | No | | | | | | |
| If a Watercou | urse was Im | pacted, Descr | ibe Fully. ³ | k | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Describe Cau | ise of Probl | em and Peme | dial Actio | n Takan * Samnli | ng of the | soil beneath | the BGT was do | ne during remova | l to ensure no soil impacts from | |
| | | | | and chloride belo | | | | | to ensure no son impacts nom | |
| une borr so | n anaryoro i | esance in in | | | or ordered | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | moved a | nd the area u | nderneath the BG | T was sampled. | The area under the BGT was | |
| backfilled and | d compacte | d and is still v | within the | active well area. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| I hereby certi | fy that the | information gi | ven above | e is true and comp | lete to th | e best of my | knowledge and u | nderstand that pu | rsuant to NMOCD rules and | |
| | | | | | | | | | eleases which may endanger | |
| | | | | | | | | | lieve the operator of liability | |
| | | | | | | | | | er, surface water, human health | |
| | | | | otance of a C-141 | report do | bes not reliev | e the operator of i | responsibility for | compliance with any other | |
| federal, state, | , or local la | ws and/or regu | ilations. | | | | OIL CON | CEDUATION | I DIVISION | |
| | 0 00 | 0 | | | | | OIL CON | SERVATION | DIVISION | |
| Signature: | oll- | leave | _ | | | | | | | |
| Signature | 800 | | | | | Approved by | Environmental S | necialist: | | |
| Printed Name | e: Jeff Peac | e | | | 1 | ipproved by | | pesiunsi. | | |
| Title: Field E | nvironmen | tal Coordinato | or | | | Approval Dat | e: | Expiration | n Date: | |
| | | | | | | 11 | | | | |
| E-mail Address: peace.jeffrey@bp.com | | | | | (| Conditions of Approval: Attached | | | Attached | |

Date: December 31, 2014

* Attach Additional Sheets If Necessary

Phone: 505-326-9479

| CLIENTE BP | API# 3004511067 | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| CLIENT: | P.O. BOX 87, BLOOMFIELD, NM 87413 (505) 632-1199 | | | | | |
| | | | (if applicble): A , B , C - | | | |
| FIELD REPORT: | (circle one): BGT CONFIRMATION / RELEA | ISE INVESTIGATION / OTHER: (21-A) | PAGE #: of | | | |
| SITE INFORMATION | : SITE NAME: MARCOTTE | GC #1 | DATE STARTED: 04/18/12 | | | |
| QUAD/UNIT: H SEC: 5 TWP: | 31N RNG: 10W PM: NN | CNTY: SJ ST: NM | DATE FINISHED: | | | |
| 1/4 -1/4/FOOTAGE: 1550'N / 1190 | 'E SE/NE LEASE TYPE: | FEDERAL / STATE FEE / INDIAN | ENVIRONMENTAL | | | |
| LEASE #: - | PROD. FORMATION: MV CONTRAC | CTOR: MBF - D. FIELDSTED | SPECIALIST(S): NJV | | | |
| REFERENCE POINT | 36.02000 | 00 V 407 000404 | 021 044 514 | | | |
| | | 22 V 407 000700 | | | | |
| 2) 95 BBL BGT (SW/DB) - B 3) 21 BBL BGT (SW/DB) - C | | | EARING FROM W.H.: 110', N84.5W | | | |
| | | | EARING FROM W.H.: 107', 335E | | | |
| | GPS COORD.: CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD(S) # OR LAB U | | EARING FROM W.H.: | | | |
| SAMPLING DATA: |] | HALL | READING (ppm) | | | |
| 1) SAMPLE ID: 5PC - TB @ 5' (95) 2) SAMPLE ID: GS @ 5.5' (95-E) | , | | 1/8015/8021/300.0 (CI) 0.0 8015/8021 0.0 | | | |
| | | SAMPLE TIME: 1015 LAB ANALYSIS: | 8015/8021 0.0 015/8021/300.0 (Cl) 76.0 | | | |
| 3) SAMPLE ID: | | | 1/8015/8021/300.0 (CI) 70.0 | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| SOIL DESCRIPTION | SOIL TYPE: SAND SILTY SAND | / SILT / SILTY CLAY / CLAY GRAVEL O | | | | |
| COHESION (ALL OTHERS): NON COHESIVE/ SLIGHTLY | | LIGHT OLIVE TO OLIVE GRAY WITHIN : PLASTICITY (CLAYS): NON PLASTIC / SLIGHTLY PLASTIC | | | | |
| CONSISTENCY (NON COHESIVE SOILS) | OSE / FIRM / DENSE / VERY DENSE | DENSITY (COHESIVE CLAYS & SILTS): SOF | | | | |
| MOISTURE: DRY (SLIGHTLY MOIST) MOIST / W | | HC ODOR DETECTED: YES NO EXP | | | | |
| SAMPLE TYPE: GRAB / COMPOSITE # | OF PTS YES NO EXPLANATION - MINUTE AR | (THROUGHOUT), FLASH FIRE @ 10' BE | | | | |
| | WITHIN 21-/ | | LINTIKE TEST HOLE ADVANCED | | | |
| ANY AREAS DISPLAYING WETNESS: YES NO | | | | | | |
| | BSERVED AND/OR OCCURRED : YES NO POSITE SAMPLES COLLECTED FROM BE | | | | | |
| @ 21-A BGT. | POSITE SAMPLES COLLECTED FROM BE | ENEATH 21-C & 95-D DG15. TEST HOLE | ADVANCED TO TO BELOW GRADE | | | |
| SOIL IMPACT DIMENSION ESTIMATION: DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER: | NA ft. X NA ft. > | | TIMATION (Cubic Yards) : NA CD TPH CLOSURE STD: 100 ppm | | | |
| SITE SKETCH | | PLOT PLAN circle: attached 00 | M CALIB. READ. = 51.2 ppm RF = 0.52 | | | |
| - BERM | WELL | | M CALIB. GAS = 100 ppm | | | |
| | HEAD | N | E: <u>11:29</u> (am)pm DATE: <u>04/18/12</u> | | | |
| SEPARA | OR | | MISCELL. NOTES | | | |
| 95-B PBGTL TD CI WOODEN | | | NO: N1544172 | | | |
| T.B. ~ 5' R.W. B.G. R.W. | | | PO #: 76870 | | | |
| | | | PK: ZSCHWLLBGT | | | |
| | | 1 | DJ#: Z2-00690-C | | | |
| | | | Permit date(s): 06/14/10, 11/09/14 | | | |
| | | | DCD Appr. date(s): 11/07/11, 11/15/44 | | | |
| | | | D BGT Sidewalls Visible: | | | |
| | | | BGT Sidewalls Visible: (Y)/ N BGT Sidewalls Visible: (Y)/ N | | | |
| | ATION DEPRESSION; B.G. = BELOW GRADE; B = BEL | X - 0.1 .D. | BGT Sidewalls Visible: Y/ N | | | |
| T.B. = TANK BOTTOM; PBGTL = PREVIOUS | BELOW-GRADE TANK LOCATION; SPD = SAMPLE PC ; SW - SINGLE WALL; DW - DOUBLE WALL; SB - SING | DINT DESIGNATION; R.W. = RETAINING WALL; | Magnetic declination: 10° E | | | |
| TRAVEL NOTES: CALLOUT: | | ONSITE: 04/17/12 - AFTER. (S | CHED.) | | | |

.

*

Analytical Report Lab Order 1204777 Date Reported: 4/25/2012

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Blagg Engineering Client Sample ID: 5PC-TB @ 5' (95-B) Marcotte GC #1 Collection Date: 4/18/2012 10:25:00 AM 1204777-001 Matrix: SOIL Received Date: 4/19/2012 9:53:00 AM

| Analyses | Result | RL Qu | al Units | DF | Date Analyzed | | |
|------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------|----------|----|-----------------------|--|--|
| EPA METHOD 8015B: DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS Analyst: JMP | | | | | | | |
| Diesel Range Organics (DRO) | ND | 9.7 | mg/Kg | 1 | 4/20/2012 10:31:57 AM | | |
| Surr: DNOP | 99.0 | 77.4-131 | %REC | 1 | 4/20/2012 10:31:57 AM | | |
| EPA METHOD 8015B: GASOLINE RAN | EPA METHOD 8015B: GASOLINE RANGE Analyst: NSB | | | | | | |
| Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) | ND | 4.8 | mg/Kg | 1 | 4/21/2012 5:05:48 AM | | |
| Surr: BFB | 102 | 69.7-121 | %REC | 1 | 4/21/2012 5:05:48 AM | | |
| EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES | | | | | Analyst: NSB | | |
| Benzene | ND | 0.048 | mg/Kg | 1 | 4/21/2012 5:05:48 AM | | |
| Toluene | ND | 0.048 | mg/Kg | 1 | 4/21/2012 5:05:48 AM | | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.048 | mg/Kg | 1 | 4/21/2012 5:05:48 AM | | |
| Xylenes, Total | ND | 0.097 | mg/Kg | 1 | 4/21/2012 5:05:48 AM | | |
| Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 94.4 | 80-120 | %REC | 1 | 4/21/2012 5:05:48 AM | | |
| EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS | | | | | Analyst: BRM | | |
| Chloride | ND | 15 | mg/Kg | 10 | 4/19/2012 1:40:44 PM | | |
| EPA METHOD 418.1: TPH | | | | | Analyst: JMP | | |
| Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR | 24 | 19 | mg/Kg | 1 | 4/23/2012 | | |

Qualifiers:

Project:

Lab ID:

- */X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- Value above quantitation range E

Analyte detected below quantitation limits J

- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank В
- Η Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- Not Detected at the Reporting Limit ND
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 1 of 9

Analytical Report

Lab Order 1204777

Date Reported: 4/25/2012

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Blagg Engineering **Project:** Marcotte GC #1

1204777-003

Lab ID:

Client Sample ID: GS @ 5.5' (95-B) Collection Date: 4/18/2012 10:15:00 AM Received Date: 4/19/2012 9:53:00 AM

| Analyses | Result | RL Qu | al Units | DF | Date Analyzed |
|-------------------------------|------------|----------|----------|----|-----------------------|
| EPA METHOD 8015B: DIESEL RANG | E ORGANICS | | | | Analyst: JMP |
| Diesel Range Organics (DRO) | 190 | 9.8 | mg/Kg | 1 | 4/20/2012 12:17:29 PM |
| Surr: DNOP | 105 | 77.4-131 | %REC | 1 | 4/20/2012 12:17:29 PM |
| EPA METHOD 8015B: GASOLINE RA | NGE | | | | Analyst: NSB |
| Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) | ND | 4.9 | mg/Kg | 1 | 4/23/2012 5:17:56 PM |
| Surr: BFB | 102 | 69.7-121 | %REC | 1 | 4/23/2012 5:17:56 PM |
| EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES | | | | | Analyst: NSB |
| Benzene | ND | 0.049 | mg/Kg | 1 | 4/23/2012 5:17:56 PM |
| Toluene | ND | 0.049 | mg/Kg | 1 | 4/23/2012 5:17:56 PM |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.049 | mg/Kg | 1 | 4/23/2012 5:17:56 PM |
| Xylenes, Total | ND | 0.097 | mg/Kg | 1 | 4/23/2012 5:17:56 PM |
| Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 95.0 | 80-120 | %REC | 1 | 4/23/2012 5:17:56 PM |
| | | | | | |

Matrix: SOIL

Qualifiers:

- */X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Client:Blagg EngineeringProject:Marcotte GC #1

•

| Sample ID MB-1625 | SampType: MBLK | TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------------------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Client ID: PBS | Batch ID: 1625 | RunNo: 2292 | | | | | | |
| Prep Date: 4/20/2012 | Analysis Date: 4/23/2012 | SeqNo: 63555 Units: mg/Kg | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Result PQL SPK value | SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPD | Limit Qual | | | | | |
| Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR | ND 20 | | | | | | | |
| Sample ID LCS-1625 SampType: LCS TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH | | | | | | | | |
| Client ID: LCSS | Batch ID: 1625 | RunNo: 2292 | | | | | | |
| Prep Date: 4/20/2012 | Analysis Date: 4/23/2012 | SeqNo: 63556 Units: mg/Kg | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Result PQL SPK value | SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPD | Limit Qual | | | | | |
| Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR | 98 20 100.0 | 0 97.9 87.8 115 | | | | | | |
| Sample ID LCSD-1625 | SampType: LCSD | TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH | | | | | | |
| Client ID: LCSS02 | Batch ID: 1625 | RunNo: 2292 | | | | | | |
| Prep Date: 4/20/2012 | Analysis Date: 4/23/2012 | SeqNo: 63558 Units: mg/Kg | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Result PQL SPK value | SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPD | Limit Qual | | | | | |
| Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR | 98 20 100.0 | 0 97.9 87.8 115 0 | 8.04 | | | | | |

Qualifiers:

*/X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

E Value above quantitation range

- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

WO#: 1204777 25-Apr-12

WO#: 1204777

25-Apr-12

Client: Blagg Engineering

Project: Marcotte GC #1

....

| Sample ID MB-1608 | SampType: ME | BLK | TestCode: EPA Method 8015B: Diesel Range Organics | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|-----------|---------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|--------------|------------|----------|------|
| Client ID: PBS | Batch ID: 16 | 08 | F | RunNo: 22 | 224 | | | | |
| Prep Date: 4/19/2012 | Analysis Date: 4/ | 19/2012 | SeqNo: 61795 Ur | | | Units: mg/K | g | | |
| Analyte | Result PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Diesel Range Organics (DRO) | ND 10 | | | | | | | | |
| Surr: DNOP | 9.0 | 10.00 | | 89.6 | 77.4 | 131 | | | |
| Sample ID LCS-1608 | SampType: LC | S | Tes | tCode: EF | PA Method | 8015B: Diese | el Range C | Drganics | |
| Client ID: LCSS | Batch ID: 16 | 08 | R | RunNo: 22 | 224 | | | | |
| Prep Date: 4/19/2012 | Analysis Date: 4/ | 19/2012 | S | SeqNo: 62 | 2036 | Units: mg/K | g | | |
| Analyte | Result PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Diesel Range Organics (DRO) | 50 10 | 50.00 | 0 | 100 | 62.7 | 139 | | | |
| Surr: DNOP | 4.2 | 5.000 | | 84.2 | 77.4 | 131 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Qualifiers:

- */X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Blagg Engineering **Client:**

5 .

. .

> **Project:** Marcotte GC #1

| Sample ID | 5ML RB | SampT | Гуре: МЕ | BLK | Tes | tCode: El | PA Method | 8021B: Vola | tiles | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|----------|------|
| Client ID: | PBS | Batcl | h ID: R2 | 242 | F | RunNo: 2 | 242 | | | | |
| Prep Date: | | Analysis D | Date: 4/ | 19/2012 | 5 | SeqNo: 6 | 2275 | Units: mg/k | (g | | |
| Analyte | | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Benzene | | ND | 0.050 | | | | | 0 | | | |
| Toluene | | ND | 0.050 | | | | | | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | | ND | 0.050 | | | | | | | | |
| Xylenes, Total | | ND | 0.10 | | | | | | | | |
| Surr: 4-Bron | nofluorobenzene | 0.91 | | 1.000 | | 90.6 | 80 | 120 | | | |
| Sample ID | 100NG BTEX LCS | SampT | ype: LC | S | Tes | tCode: El | PA Method | 8021B: Volat | tiles | | |
| Client ID: | LCSS | Batch | h ID: R2 | 242 | F | RunNo: 2 : | 242 | | | | |
| Prep Date: | | Analysis D | Date: 4/ | 19/2012 | S | SeqNo: 6 | 2854 | Units: mg/K | (g | | |
| Analyte | | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Benzene | | 0.96 | 0.050 | 1.000 | 0 | 96.0 | 83.3 | 107 | | | |
| Toluene | | 0.99 | 0.050 | 1.000 | 0 | 98.9 | 74.3 | 115 | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | | 0.97 | 0.050 | 1.000 | 0 | 97.1 | 80.9 | 122 | | | |
| Xylenes, Total | | 2.9 | 0.10 | 3.000 | 0 | 97.3 | 85.2 | 123 | | | |
| Surr: 4-Brom | nofluorobenzene | 0.94 | | 1.000 | | 94.2 | 80 | 120 | | | |
| the second se | nple ID MB-1617 SampType: MBLK TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sample ID | MB-1617 | SampT | уре: МЕ | BLK | Tes | tCode: El | PA Method | 8021B: Volat | tiles | | |
| Sample ID Client ID: | MB-1617 PBS | | ype: ME | | | tCode: El RunNo: 2 | | 8021B: Volat | tiles | | |
| Client ID: | | | n ID: 16 | 17 | F | | 269 | 8021B: Volat | | | |
| Client ID: | PBS | Batch | n ID: 16 | 17 20/2012 | F | RunNo: 2 SeqNo: 6 | 269 3944 | | | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Client ID: Prep Date: | PBS | Batch Analysis D | h ID: 16 Date: 4/ | 17 20/2012 | F | RunNo: 2 SeqNo: 6 | 269 3944 | Units: mg/K | (g | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Client ID: Prep Date: Analyte | PBS | Batch Analysis D Result | n ID: 16 Date: 4/ PQL | 17 20/2012 | F | RunNo: 2 SeqNo: 6 | 269 3944 | Units: mg/K | (g | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Client ID: Prep Date: Analyte Benzene | PBS | Batch Analysis D Result ND | n ID: 16 Date: 4 PQL 0.050 | 17 20/2012 | F | RunNo: 2 SeqNo: 6 | 269 3944 | Units: mg/K | (g | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Client ID: Prep Date: Analyte Benzene Toluene | PBS 4/19/2012 | Batch Analysis D Result ND ND | n ID: 16 Date: 4/ PQL 0.050 0.050 | 17 20/2012 | F | RunNo: 2 SeqNo: 6 | 269 3944 | Units: mg/K | (g | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Client ID: Prep Date: Analyte Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total | PBS 4/19/2012 | Batch Analysis D Result ND ND ND | h ID: 16 Date: 4/ PQL 0.050 0.050 0.050 | 17 20/2012 | F | RunNo: 2 SeqNo: 6 | 269 3944 | Units: mg/K | (g | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Client ID: Prep Date: Analyte Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total Surr: 4-Bron | PBS 4/19/2012 | Batcl Analysis D Result ND ND ND 0.93 | h ID: 16 Date: 4/ PQL 0.050 0.050 0.050 | 17 20/2012 SPK value 1.000 | F SPK Ref Val | RunNo: 2: SeqNo: 6: %REC 93.3 | 269 3944 LowLimit 80 | Units: mg/K HighLimit | íg %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Client ID: Prep Date: Analyte Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total Surr: 4-Bron | PBS 4/19/2012 nofluorobenzene LCS-1617 | Batcl Analysis D Result ND ND ND 0.93 | PQL 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.10 | 17 20/2012 SPK value 1.000 | F SPK Ref Val | RunNo: 2: SeqNo: 6: %REC 93.3 | 269 3944 LowLimit 80 PA Method | Units: mg/K HighLimit 120 | íg %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Client ID: Prep Date: Analyte Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total Surr: 4-Bron Sample ID Client ID: | PBS 4/19/2012 nofluorobenzene LCS-1617 | Batcl Analysis D Result ND ND ND 0.93 | h ID: 16 Date: 4/ PQL 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.10 | 17 20/2012 SPK value 1.000 S 17 | F SPK Ref Val Tes F | RunNo: 2 SeqNo: 6 %REC 93.3 tCode: EI | 269 3944 LowLimit 80 PA Method 269 | Units: mg/K HighLimit 120 | Sg %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Client ID: Prep Date: Analyte Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total Surr: 4-Bron Sample ID Client ID: | PBS 4/19/2012 nofluorobenzene LCS-1617 LCSS | Batcl Analysis D ND ND ND 0.93 SampT Batcl | h ID: 16 Date: 4/ PQL 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.10 | 17 20/2012 SPK value 1.000 S 17 20/2012 | F SPK Ref Val Tes F | RunNo: 2 SeqNo: 6 %REC 93.3 tCode: EI RunNo: 2 | 269 3944 LowLimit 80 PA Method 269 | Units: mg/K HighLimit 120 8021B: Volat | Sg %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Client ID: Prep Date: Analyte Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total Surr: 4-Bron Sample ID Client ID: Prep Date: | PBS 4/19/2012 nofluorobenzene LCS-1617 LCSS | Batch Analysis D ND ND ND 0.93 SampT Batch Analysis D | h ID: 16 Date: 4/ PQL 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.10 | 17 20/2012 SPK value 1.000 S 17 20/2012 | F SPK Ref Val Tes F S | RunNo: 2: SeqNo: 6: %REC 93.3 tCode: El RunNo: 2: SeqNo: 6: | 269 3944 LowLimit 80 PA Method 269 3945 | Units: mg/K HighLimit 120 8021B: Volat Units: mg/K | (g %RPD tiles | | |
| Client ID: Prep Date: Analyte Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total Surr: 4-Bron Sample ID Client ID: Prep Date: Analyte | PBS 4/19/2012 nofluorobenzene LCS-1617 LCSS | Batch Analysis D ND ND ND 0.93 SampT Batch Analysis D Result | PQL 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.10 rype: LC h ID: 16 Date: 4/ PQL | 17 20/2012 SPK value 1.000 S 17 20/2012 SPK value | F SPK Ref Val Tes F SPK Ref Val | RunNo: 2: SeqNo: 6: %REC 93.3 tCode: El RunNo: 2: SeqNo: 6: %REC | 269 3944 LowLimit 80 PA Method 269 3945 LowLimit | Units: mg/K HighLimit 120 8021B: Volat Units: mg/K HighLimit | (g %RPD tiles | | |
| Client ID: Prep Date: Analyte Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total Surr: 4-Bron Sample ID Client ID: Prep Date: Analyte Benzene | PBS 4/19/2012 nofluorobenzene LCS-1617 LCSS | Batch Analysis D Result ND ND ND 0.93 SampT Batch Analysis D Result 0.94 | h ID: 16 bate: 4/ PQL 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.10 Type: LC h ID: 16 Date: 4/ PQL 0.050 | 17 20/2012 SPK value 1.000 S 17 20/2012 SPK value 1.000 | F SPK Ref Val Tes SPK Ref Val SPK Ref Val 0 | RunNo: 2: SeqNo: 6: %REC 93.3 tCode: El RunNo: 2: SeqNo: 6: %REC 93.5 | 269 3944 LowLimit 80 PA Method 269 3945 LowLimit 83.3 | Units: mg/K HighLimit 120 8021B: Volat Units: mg/K HighLimit 107 | (g %RPD tiles | | |
| Client ID: Prep Date: Analyte Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total Surr: 4-Bron Sample ID Client ID: Prep Date: Analyte Benzene Toluene | PBS 4/19/2012 nofluorobenzene LCS-1617 LCSS 4/19/2012 | Batch Analysis D Result ND ND 0.93 SampT Batch Analysis D Result 0.94 0.97 | h ID: 16 bate: 4/ PQL 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.10 Fype: LC h ID: 16 Date: 4/ PQL 0.050 0.050 | 17 20/2012 SPK value 1.000 S 17 20/2012 SPK value 1.000 1.000 | F SPK Ref Val Tes SPK Ref Val 0 0 | RunNo: 2: SeqNo: 6: %REC 93.3 tCode: El RunNo: 2: SeqNo: 6: %REC 93.5 97.5 | 269 3944 LowLimit 80 PA Method 269 3945 LowLimit 83.3 74.3 | Units: mg/K HighLimit 120 8021B: Volat Units: mg/K HighLimit 107 115 | (g %RPD tiles | | |

Qualifiers:

*/X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

- Е Value above quantitation range
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits J
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank В
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- Not Detected at the Reporting Limit ND
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

1204777 25-Apr-12

WO#:

| 0 | QC SUMMARY REPORT |
|---|----------------------------------------------|
| | Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc. |
| E | |

Client: Project:

۰.

Blagg Engineering Marcotte GC #1

| Sample ID | MB-1687 | Samp | Туре: МІ | BLK | Tes | tCode: E | PA Method | 8021B: Volat | iles | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|------|
| Client ID: | PBS | Batc | h ID: 16 | 87 | F | RunNo: 2 | 396 | | | | |
| Prep Date: | 4/25/2012 | Analysis [| Date: 4 | 26/2012 | S | SeqNo: 6 | 7197 | Units: mg/K | g | | |
| Analyte | | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Benzene | | ND | 0.050 | | | | | | | | |
| Toluene | | ND | 0.050 | | | | | | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | | ND | 0.050 | | | | | | | | |
| Xylenes, Total | | ND | 0.10 | | | | | | | | |
| Surr: 4-Bron | nofluorobenzene | 0.84 | | 1.000 | | 83.9 | 80 | 120 | | | |
| Sample ID | LCS-1687 | Samp | Type: LC | s | Tes | tCode: El | PA Method | 8021B: Volat | iles | | |
| Client ID: | LCSS | Batc | h ID: 16 | 87 | F | RunNo: 2 | 396 | | | | |
| Prep Date: | 4/25/2012 | Analysis [| Date: 4/ | 26/2012 | S | SeqNo: 6 | 7198 | Units: mg/K | g | | |
| Analyte | | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Benzene | | 0.90 | 0.050 | 1.000 | 0 | 90.5 | 83.3 | 107 | | | |
| Toluene | | 0.93 | 0.050 | 1.000 | 0 | 93.1 | 74.3 | 115 | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | | 0.92 | 0.050 | 1.000 | 0 | 92.4 | 80.9 | 122 | | | |
| Xylenes, Total | | 2.8 | 0.10 | 3.000 | 0 | 91.8 | 85.2 | 123 | | | |
| Surr: 4-Brom | nofluorobenzene | 0.86 | | 1.000 | | 86.4 | 80 | 120 | | | |
| Sample ID | 1204943-001AMS | Samp | Гуре: М | 3 | Tes | tCode: El | PA Method | 8021B: Volat | iles | | |
| Client ID: | BatchQC | Batc | h ID: 16 | 87 | F | RunNo: 2 | 396 | | | | |
| Prep Date: | 4/25/2012 | Analysis [| Date: 4/ | 26/2012 | S | SeqNo: 6 | 7200 | Units: mg/K | g | | |
| Analyte | | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Benzene | | 0.92 | 0.047 | 0.9425 | 0 | 97.9 | 67.2 | 113 | | | |
| Toluene | | 0.98 | 0.047 | 0.9425 | 0 | 105 | 00.4 | | | | |
| | | | 0.047 | 0.0 120 | 0 | 100 | 62.1 | 116 | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | | 0.99 | 0.047 | 0.9425 | 0 | 105 | 62.1 | 116 127 | | | |
| Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total | | 0.99 2.9 | | | | | | | | | |
| Xylenes, Total | nofluorobenzene | | 0.047 | 0.9425 | 0 | 105 | 67.9 | 127 | | | |
| Xylenes, Total Surr: 4-Brom | | 2.9 0.84 | 0.047 | 0.9425 2.828 0.9425 | 0 | 105 104 88.7 | 67.9 60.6 80 | 127 134 | iles | | |
| Xylenes, Total Surr: 4-Brom | nofluorobenzene | 2.9 0.84 Samp | 0.047 0.094 | 0.9425 2.828 0.9425 | 0 0 Tes | 105 104 88.7 | 67.9 60.6 80 | 127 134 120 | iles | | |
| Xylenes, Total Surr: 4-Brom Sample ID | 1204943-001AMSD BatchQC | 2.9 0.84 Samp | 0.047 0.094 Type: MS h ID: 16 | 0.9425 2.828 0.9425 SD 87 | 0 0 Tes: F | 105 104 88.7 tCode: EF | 67.9 60.6 80 PA Method 396 | 127 134 120 | | | |
| Xylenes, Total Surr: 4-Brom Sample ID Client ID: | 1204943-001AMSD BatchQC | 2.9 0.84 SampT Batc | 0.047 0.094 Type: MS h ID: 16 | 0.9425 2.828 0.9425 SD 87 26/2012 | 0 0 Tes: F | 105 104 88.7 tCode: EF | 67.9 60.6 80 PA Method 396 | 127 134 120 8021B: Volat | | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Xylenes, Total Surr: 4-Brom Sample ID Client ID: Prep Date: | 1204943-001AMSD BatchQC | 2.9 0.84 Samp Batc Analysis E | 0.047 0.094 Type: MS h ID: 16 Date: 4/ | 0.9425 2.828 0.9425 SD 87 26/2012 | 0 0 Tes F S | 105 104 88.7 tCode: EF RunNo: 2: SeqNo: 6 | 67.9 60.6 80 PA Method 396 7201 | 127 134 120 8021B: Volat Units: mg/K | g | RPDLimit 14.3 | Qual |
| Xylenes, Total Surr: 4-Brom Sample ID Client ID: Prep Date: Analyte | 1204943-001AMSD BatchQC | 2.9 0.84 Samp Batcl Analysis I Result | 0.047 0.094 Type: MS h ID: 16 Date: 4 / PQL | 0.9425 2.828 0.9425 5D 87 26/2012 SPK value | 0 0 Tes: F S SPK Ref Val | 105 104 88.7 tCode: EF RunNo: 2 : SeqNo: 6 %REC | 67.9 60.6 80 PA Method 396 7201 LowLimit | 127 134 120 8021B: Volat Units: mg/K HighLimit | g %RPD | | Qual |
| Xylenes, Total Surr: 4-Brom Sample ID Client ID: Prep Date: Analyte Benzene | 1204943-001AMSD BatchQC | 2.9 0.84 Samp Batc Analysis I Result 0.94 | 0.047 0.094 Fype: MS h ID: 16 Date: 4/ PQL 0.047 | 0.9425 2.828 0.9425 5D 87 26/2012 SPK value 0.9488 | 0 0 Tes F S SPK Ref Val 0 | 105 104 88.7 tCode: EF RunNo: 2: GeqNo: 6 %REC 99.0 | 67.9 60.6 80 PA Method 396 7201 LowLimit 67.2 | 127 134 120 8021B: Volat Units: mg/K HighLimit 113 | g %RPD 1.71 | 14.3 | Qual |
| Xylenes, Total Surr: 4-Brom Sample ID Client ID: Prep Date: Analyte Benzene Toluene | 1204943-001AMSD BatchQC | 2.9 0.84 Samp Batc Analysis I Result 0.94 0.99 | 0.047 0.094 Fype: MS h ID: 16 Date: 4/ PQL 0.047 0.047 | 0.9425 2.828 0.9425 5D 87 26/2012 SPK value 0.9488 0.9488 | 0 0 Test F S SPK Ref Val 0 0 | 105 104 88.7 tCode: EF RunNo: 2: SeqNo: 6: %REC 99.0 104 | 67.9 60.6 80 PA Method 396 7201 LowLimit 67.2 62.1 | 127 134 120 8021B: Volat Units: mg/K HighLimit 113 116 | g %RPD 1.71 0.262 | 14.3 15.9 | Qual |

Qualifiers:

*/X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

E Value above quantitation range

- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

Page 4 of 5

1204942

30-Apr-12

WO#:

RL Reporting Detection Limit

| | | Corrections |
|----------|--------|-------------|
| Project: | Marcot | tte GC #1 |
| Client: | Blagg | Engineering |

.

| Sample ID MB-1721 | SampType: MBLK | TestCode: EPA Method | 8021B: Volatiles | | | |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------|--|--|
| Client ID: PBS | Batch ID: 1721 | RunNo: 2448 | | | | |
| Prep Date: 4/27/2012 | 7/2012 Analysis Date: 4/29/2012 SeqNo: 68122 Units: %REC | | | | | |
| Analyte | Result PQL SPK value | SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit | HighLimit %RPD | RPDLimit Qual | | |
| Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 0.92 1.000 | 92.4 80 | 120 | | | |
| Sample ID LCS-1721 | SampType: LCS | TestCode: EPA Method | 8021B: Volatiles | | | |
| Client ID: LCSS | Batch ID: 1721 | RunNo: 2448 | | | | |
| Prep Date: 4/27/2012 | Analysis Date: 4/29/2012 | SeqNo: 68123 | Units: %REC | | | |
| Analyte | Result PQL SPK value | SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit | HighLimit %RPD | RPDLimit Qual | | |
| Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 0.97 1.000 | 96.8 80 | 120 | | | |

Qualifiers:

- */X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

WO#: 1204942

30-Apr-12

| Albu ANALYSIS LABORATORY TEL: 505-345-3975 | Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE iquerque, NM 87105 FAX: 505-345-410; Ilenvironmental.com | Sample Log-In Check L | _is |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|------|
| Client Name: BLAGG W Received by/date: A G 0:4/(19/12 | Vork Order Number: | 1204777 | |
| Logged By: Anne Thorne 4/19/2012 9:53:00 AM | a | me Shin | |
| Completed By: Anne Thorne 4/19/2012 | a | me Am | |
| Reviewed By: 04/19/12 | | | |
| Chain of Custody | Yes 🗌 No 🗌 | Not Present 🗹 | |
| 1. Were seals intact? | Yes L No L Yes ☑ No □ | Not Present | |
| 2. Is Chain of Custody complete? | | | |
| 3. How was the sample delivered? | Courier | | |
| Log In | | | |
| 4. Coolers are present? (see 19. for cooler specific information) | Yes 🗹 No 🗌 | | |
| 5. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? | Yes 🗹 No 🗌 | | |
| 6. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C | Yes 🗹 No 🗌 | | |
| 7. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? | Yes 🗹 No 🗌 | | |
| 8. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? | Yes 🗹 No 🗌 | | |
| 9. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? | Yes 🗹 No 🗌 | × | |
| 10. Was preservative added to bottles? | Yes 🗌 No 🗹 | NA | |
| 11. VOA vials have zero headspace? | Yes 🗌 No 🗌 | No VOA Vials 🗹 | |
| 12. Were any sample containers received broken? | Yes 🗌 No 🗹 | | |
| 13. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) | Yes 🗹 No 🗌 | # of preserved bottles checked for pH: | |
| 14. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? | Yes 🗹 No 🗌 | (<2 or >12 unless n | otec |
| 15. Is it clear what analyses were requested? | Yes 🗹 No 🗌 | Adjusted? | _ |
| Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.) | Yes 🗹 No 🗌 | Checked by: | |
| Special Handling (if applicable) | | | |
| 17. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? | Yes 🗌 No 🗌 | NA 🗹 | |
| Person Notified: Date Date Via: | eMail Phone | E Fax I In Person | |

18. Additional remarks:

19. Cooler Information

| Coo | ler No | Temp °C | Condition | Seal Intact | Seal No | Seal Date | Signed By |
|-----|--------|---------|-----------|-------------|---------|-----------|-----------|
| 1 | 1 | .3 | Good | Yes | | | |

| C | Chain-of-Custody Record | | i um-Arouna i | ime: | 24 hour Rush | | | | | A | | F | NN | TE | 0 | NJ 7 | | NT | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|------------------|-----|--------------|------------------------|
| Client: | BLAG | G ENGR. | / BP AMERICA | Standard | | 3 @ 5.5'(21) | | | | | | | | | | | | | ATC | | |
| | | | | Project Name: | | 1 | | | | | | | | | nme | | | | | | |
| Mailing Ad | ddress: | P.O. BO | X 87 | r | Marcotte GO | C#1 | | 49 | 01 H | awk | | | | | | | | | 9 | | |
| | | BLOOM | FIELD, NM 87413 | Project #: | <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Phone #: | | (505) 63 | 2-1199 | | | | Tel. 505-345-3975 Fax 505-345-4107 Analysis Request | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| email or F | ax#: | | | Project Manager: | | | so4) | | | | | | | | | T | | | | | |
| QA/QC Package: Standard Level 4 (Full Validation) | | | NELSON VE | ELEZ | (8021B) | only) | : only) /Diesel | Method 8015B (Gas/Diesel) | | | | | PO4, SC | PCB's | | | | | | a | |
| Accreditation: | | | Sampler: | NELSON VI | ELEZ AU | 1(8) | (Gas | (Gas | | | | | NO2, | 82 P(| | | | | | Idu | |
| | NELAP Other | | | On Ice: | 🗆 Yes | □ No | T. | TPH | 15B | 18.1 | 04.1 | (HA) | | NO3, N | / 8082 | | () | _ | | | te sa |
| | Гуре) | | | Sample Temp | erature: | -3 | - | BE + | od 80 | od 4 | od 5 | or P. | tals | CI, N | cides | (A) | 10A- | 00.00 | | Be | posit |
| Date | Time | Matrix | Sample Request ID | Container Type and # | Preservative Type | HEAL No. | BTEX +-MT | BTEX + MTBE | TPH Metho | TPH (Method 418.1) | EDB (Method 504.1) | 8310 (PNA or PAH) | RCRA 8 Metals | Anions (F, (| 8081 Pesticides | 8260B (VOA) | 8270 (Semi-VOA) | Chloride (300.0) | | Grab sample | 5 pt. composite sample |
| F 4/10/12 | | SOIL | | 402-2 | Cool | | - | _ | * | V | _ | | - | | | | | ¥ | | | 4 |
| B | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | |
| 4/18/12 | 1025 | SOIL | 5PC-TB @5' (95-B) | 4 oz 2 | Cool | -001 | ٧ | | ٧ | ۷ | | | | | | | _ | ٧ | | | V |
| 4/18/12 | 1028 | SOIL | бРС ТВ @ 4' (21 С) | 4 02 2 | Cool | - 602 | ¥ | | V | V | _ | _ | | | | _ | | * | | + | + |
| | | | (| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - |
| 4/18/12 | 1015 | SOIL | GSC 5.5 (95-B) | 4021 | COOL | -003 | \checkmark | | \checkmark | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | _ |
| 4/18/12 | 1032 | 2011 | 65 @ 5.5' (21-A) | 402 | C.001- | -004 | \checkmark | | V | | | | | | | | | V | | \checkmark | _ |
| | | | | Mest | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| _ | | | | ATUILIA | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Date: 1/18/12 | Time: | Relinquish | ed by: In VI | Received by: | 1.1 | Date Time | | nark L DI | | TPH | | | B) - I | GRC | 8 | DRC | ON | ILY. | | | |
| Date: | 134D Time: | Relinquish | ed by: | Received by: | Welte | Date Time | | | | | | | urt, | Farm | ingto | on, N | IM 8 | 7401 | e. | | |
| 4/18/12 | | | | LA P | 04/19 | 12 0953 | Jeff Peace, 200 Energy Court, Farmington, NM 87401 Work Order: N1544172 Paykey: ZSCHWLLBGT | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| herecest | complex submitted to Hall Em | Atre at homestanoodus ad vem letromanik | ar anoraditad laboratoria | This conver as notion of this nossibility | Any sub contracted data will be clearly notated on the | inclution rono |
|----------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|----------------|



