District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources Department Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

Form C-144 Revised June 6, 2013

For temporary pits, below-grade tanks, and multi-well fluid management pits, submit to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

For permanent pits submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

Pit, Below-Grade Tank, or

12526 Proposed Alternative Method Permit or Closure Plan Application

Type of action: Helow grade tank registration Permit of a pit or proposed alternative method Closure of a pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method Modification to an existing permit/or registration Closure plan only submitted for an existing permitted or non-permitted pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method Instructions: Please submit one application (Form C-144) per individual pit, below-grade tank or alternative request Please be advised that approval of this request does not relieve the operator of liability should operations result in pollution of surface water, ground water or the nvironment. Nor does approval relieve the operator of its responsibility to comply with any other applicable governmental authority's rules, regulations or ordinances.
1. Occupies DP Amorico Production Company OCDID # 779
Operator: BP America Production Company OGRID #:778
Address:200 Energy Court, Farmington, NM 87401
Facility or well name:Usselman Gas Com B 1
API Number:3004522192 OCD Permit Number:
Center of Proposed Design: Latitude36.929711 Longitude107.883387 NAD: □1927 ⋈ 1983
Surface Owner: 🗌 Federal 🔲 State 🔯 Private 🔲 Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment
2. □ Pit: Subsection F, G or J of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Temporary: □ Drilling □ Workover □ Permanent □ Emergency □ Cavitation □ P&A □ Multi-Well Fluid Management □ Low Chloride Drilling Fluid □ yes □ no □ Lined □ Unlined □ Liner type: Thickness mil □ LLDPE □ HDPE □ PVC □ Other □ String-Reinforced
Liner Seams: Welded Factory Other Volume: bbl Dimensions: L x W x D
Secondary containment with leak detection Visible sidewalls only Other _Single walled/double bottomed
4. Alternative Method:

Fencing: Subsection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits, temporary pits, and below-grade tanks) Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top (Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent residence, school, institution or church) Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet Alternate. Please specify	hospital,					
Netting: Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks) Screen Netting Other Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)						
7.						
Signs: Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC ☐ 12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers ☐ Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC						
Variances and Exceptions: Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance. Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank: Variance(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district for consideration of approval. Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.	,					
Siting Criteria (regarding permitting): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of acceptance are provided below. Siting criteria does not apply to drying pads or above-grade tanks.	ptable source					
General siting						
Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of a low chloride temporary pit or below-grade tank. - □ NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; □ USGS; □ Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes No					
Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of a Temporary pit, permanent pit, or Multi-Well Fluid Management pit. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA					
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes ☐ No					
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	☐ Yes ☐ No					
Within an unstable area. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) - Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map						
Within a 100-year floodplain. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) - FEMA map						
Below Grade Tanks						
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, significant watercourse, lake bed, sinkhole, wetland or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No					
Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for public or livestock consumption;. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No					
Temporary Pit using Low Chloride Drilling Fluid (maximum chloride content 15,000 mg/liter)						
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). (Applies to low chloride temporary pits.) - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No					

Within 300 feet from a occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 300feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of the initial application. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 100 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Temporary Pit Non-low chloride drilling fluid	
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse, or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	
	Yes No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in the existence at the time of the initial application; - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Permanent Pit or Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit	
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of	
initial application NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 Natructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the do attached. Hydrogeologic Report (Below-grade Tanks) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Hydrogeologic Data (Temporary and Emergency Pits) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19. and 19.15.17.13 NMAC	NMAC 15.17.9 NMAC
Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number:	
Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the do attached. Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC A List of wells with approved application for permit to drill associated with the pit. Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19 and 19.15.17.13 NMAC	
Hydrogeologic Data - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC	
Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number:	

Permanent Pits Permit Application Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the attached. Hydrogeologic Report - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.19 NMAC Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Climatological Factors Assessment Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Leak Detection Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Liner Specifications and Compatibility Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Quality Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installation Plan Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Freeboard and Overtopping Prevention Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Nuisance or Hazardous Odors, including H ₂ S, Prevention Plan Emergency Response Plan Oil Field Waste Stream Characterization Monitoring and Inspection Plan Erosion Control Plan Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC	documents are
Closure Train - based apoin the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 17.13.17.3 WiMe and 17.13.17.13 WiMe	
Proposed Closure: 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan. Type: Drilling Workover Emergency Cavitation P&A Permanent Pit Below-grade Tank Multi-well Falternative Proposed Closure Method: Waste Excavation and Removal Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only) On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems) In-place Burial On-site Trench Burial Alternative Closure Method	luid Management Pit
Waste Excavation and Removal Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings) Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	
15	
Siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendations of acceptable sour provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria require justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency. F 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance.	
Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Ground water is between 25-50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes No
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No
Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet of a wetland.	
US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance	

adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within an unstable area. - Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within a 100-year floodplain FEMA map	Yes No
On-Site Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plans a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Proof of Surface Owner Notice - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection K of 19.15.17. Construction/Design Plan of Temporary Pit (for in-place burial of a drying pad) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Waste Material Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings or in case on-site closure standards cann Soil Cover Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	11 NMAC 15.17.11 NMAC
17. Operator Application Certification:	
I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and believes	ief.
Name (Print): Title:	
Signature: Date:	
e-mail address: Telephone:	
OCD Approval: Permit Application (including closure plan) Closure Plan (only) OCD Conditions (see attachment) OCD Representative Signature: Approval Date: 1/29/ OCD Permit Number:	2015
Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion): 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved closure plan prior to implementing any closure activities and submitting The closure report is required to be submitted to the division within 60 days of the completion of the closure activities. Please do not section of the form until an approved closure plan has been obtained and the closure activities have been completed. Closure Completion Date:8/16/2013	the closure report. complete this
20. Closure Method: Waste Excavation and Removal ☐ On-Site Closure Method ☐ Alternative Closure Method ☐ Waste Removal (Closed-lo ☐ If different from approved plan, please explain.	oop systems only)

22.	
Operator Closure Certification:	
I hereby certify that the information and attachments submitted with this closure is belief. I also certify that the closure complies with all applicable closure requires	
Name (Print):Jeff Peace	Title: Field Environmental Coordinator
Signature: Signature:	Date:January 6, 2015
e-mail address:peace.jeffrey@bp.com	Telephone:(505) 326-9479

BP AMERICA PRODUCTION COMPANY

SAN JUAN BASIN, NORTHWEST NEW MEXICO

BELOW-GRADE TANK CLOSURE PLAN

Usselman Gas Com B 1 API No. 3004522192 Unit Letter G, Section 4, T31N, R10W

This plan will address the standard protocols and procedures for closure of below-grade tanks (BGTs) on BP America Production Company (BP) well sites. As stipulated in Paragraph A of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall close a BGT within the time periods provided in 19.15.17.13 NMAC, or by an earlier date that the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) requires because of imminent danger to fresh water, public health, safety or the environment. If deviations from this plan are necessary, any specific changes will be included on form C-144 and approved by the NMOCD. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC or is not included in Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC within five years after June 16, 2008, if not retrofit with a BGT that complies with the BP NMOCD approved BGT design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC, if not previously retrofitted to comply with the BP NMOCD approve BGT Design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan, prior to any sale or change in operator pursuant to 19.15.9.9 NMAC. BP shall close the permitted BGT within 60 days of cessation of the BGTs operation or as required by the transitional provisions of Subsection B, D, or E of 19.15.17.17 NMAC.

General Closure Plan

- 1. BP shall notify the surface owner by certified mail that it plans to close a BGT. Evidence of mailing of the notice to the address of the surface owner shown in the county tax records demonstrates compliance with this requirement.
 - No notice was made due to misunderstanding of the BGT notice requirements at that time.
- 2. BP shall notify the division District III office verbally or by other means at least 72 hours, but not more than one (1) week, prior to any closure operation. The notice shall include the operator's name, and the location to be closed by unit letter, section, township and range. If the BGT closure is associated with a particular well, then the notice shall also include the well's name, number and API number.
 - No notice was made due to misunderstanding of the BGT notice requirements at that time.
- 3. BP shall remove liquids and sludge from the BGT prior to implementing a closure method and dispose of the liquids and sludge in a NMOCD's division-approved facility. The facilities to be used are:
 - a. BP Crouch Mesa Landfarm, Permit NM-02-003 (Solids)
 - b. JFJ Landfarm, Permit NM-01-010(B) (Solids and Sludge)
 - c. Basin Disposal, Permit NM-01-0005 (Liquids)

- d. Envirotech Inc Soil Remediation Facility, Permit NM-01-0011 (Solids and Sludge)
- e. BP Operated E.E. Elliott SWD #1, API 30-045-27799 (Liquids)
- f. BP Operated 13 GCU SWD #1, API 30-045-28601 (Liquids)
- g. BP Operated GCU 259 SWD, API 30-045-20006 (Liquids)
- h. BP Operated GCU 306 SWD, API 30-045-24286 (Liquids)
- i. BP Operated GCU 307 SWD, API 30-045-24248 (Liquids)
- j. BP Operated GCU 328 SWD, API 30-045-24735 (Liquids)
- k. BP Operated Pritchard SWD #1, API 30-045-28351 (Liquids)

All liquids and sludge in the BGT were removed and sent to one of the above NMOCD approved facilities for disposal.

4. BP shall remove the BGT and dispose of it in a NMOCD approved facility or recycle, reuse, or reclaim it in a manner that the NMOCD approves. If a liner is present and must be disposed of it will be cleaned by scraping any soils or other attached materials on the liner to a de minimus amount and disposed at a permitted solid waste facility, pursuant to Subparagraph (m) of Paragraph (1) of Subsection C of 19.15.35.8 NMAC. Documentation as to the final disposition of the removed BGT will be provided in the final closure report.

The BGT was transported to a storage area for sale and re-use.

5. BP shall remove any on-site equipment associated with a BGT unless the equipment is required for well production.

All equipment associated with the BGT has been removed.

6. BP shall test the soils beneath the BGT to determine whether a release has occurred. BP shall collect at a minimum: a five (5) point composite sample and individual grab samples from any area that is wet, discolored or showing other evidence of a release and analyze for BTEX, TPH and chlorides. The testing methods for those constituents are as follows;

Constituents	Testing Method	Release Verification	Sample
	95 bbl BGT	(mg/Kg)	results
Benzene	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	0.2	ND
Total BTEX	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	50	ND
TPH	US EPA Method SW-846 418.1	100	ND
Chlorides	US EPA Method 300.0 or 4500B	250 or background	210

Notes: mg/Kg = milligram per kilogram, BTEX = benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes, TPH = total petroleum hydrocarbons. Other EPA methods that the division approves may be applied to all constituents listed. Chloride closure standards will be determined by which ever concentration level is greatest.

Soil under the BGT was sampled and TPH, BTEX and chloride levels were below the stated limits. Sampling data is attached.

- 7. BP shall notify the division District III office of its results on form C-141. **C-141 is attached.**
- 8. If it is determined that a release has occurred, then BP will comply with 19.15.30 NMAC and 19.15.29 NMAC, as appropriate.

 Sampling results indicate no release occurred.
- 9. If the sampling demonstrates that a release has not occurred or that any release does not exceed the concentrations specified above, then BP shall backfill the excavation, with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material; construct a division-prescribed soil cover, re-contour and re-vegetate the location. The location will be reclaimed if it is not with in the active process area

The area under the BGT was backfilled with clean soil and has been reclaimed since the well was plugged and abandoned.

10. BP shall reclaim the BGT location and all areas associated with the BGT including associated access roads to a safe and stable condition that blends with the surrounding undisturbed area. BP shall substantially restore the impacted surface area to the condition that existed prior to oil and gas operations by placement of the soil cover as provided in Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, re-contour the location and associated areas to a contour that approximates the original contour and blends with the surrounding topography and re-vegetate according to Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC.

The area over the BGT has been reclaimed since the well has been plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

11. The soil cover for closures where the BGT has been removed or remediated to the NMOCD's satisfaction shall consist of the background thickness of topsoil or one foot of suitable material to establish vegetation at the site, whichever is greater. The soil cover will be constructed to the site's existing grade and all practicable efforts will be made to prevent ponding of water and erosion of the cover material.

The area over the BGT has been reclaimed since the well has been plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

12. BP shall seed the disturbed area the first growing season after closure of the BGT. Seeding will be accomplished by drilling on the contour whenever practical or by other division-approved methods. Vegetative cover will be, at a minimum, 70% of the native perennial vegetative cover (un-impacted by overgrazing, fire or other intrusion damaging to native vegetation), consisting of at least three native plant species, including at least one grass, but not including noxious weeds, and maintenance of that cover through two successive growing seasons. During the two growing seasons that prove viability, there shall be no artificial irrigation of the vegetation.

The area over the BGT has been reclaimed since the well has been plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

13. BP shall seed, plant and re-seed pursuant to Paragraph (3) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, until the location successfully achieves the required vegetative cover

BP has seeded the area since the well was plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

14. Pursuant to Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall notify the NMOCD when it has seeded or planted and when it successfully achieves revegetation.

NMOCD has approved the reclamation of this site.

- 15. Within 60 days of closure completion, BP shall submit a closure report on NMOCD's form C-144, and will include the following;
 - a. proof of closure notification (surface owner and NMOCD)
 - b. sampling analytical reports; information required by 19.15.17 NMAC;
 - c. disposal facility name and permit number
 - d. details on back-filling, capping, covering, and where applicable re-vegetation application rates and seeding techniques and
 - e. site reclamation, photo documentation.

 Closure report on C-144 form is included.
- 16. BP shall certify that all information in the report and attachments is accurate, truthful, and compliant with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.

Certification section of C-144 has been completed.

District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

Revised August 8, 2011

Form C-141

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505 Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

			Rele	ase Notifi	catio	n and Co	orrective A	ection				
						OPERA'	ΓOR	Г	Initia	al Report	\boxtimes	Final Repor
Name of Co	ompany: B	P				Contact: Jef	f Peace			T		
		Court, Farmi	ngton, N	M 87401			No.: 505-326-94	479				
		nan Gas Con					e: Natural gas					
Surface Ow	ner: Priva	te		Mineral (Owner:	Private			API No	. 30045221	192	
				LOC	ATIO	N OF RE	FASE					
Unit Letter	Section	Township	Range	Feet from the		/South Line	Feet from the	East/We	est Line	County: Sa	an Iuan	
G	4	31N	10W	1,670	North		1,485	East	ost Enite	county. St	an saan	
		Latit	ude 36.	929711		Longitud	e 107.883387	1				
						OF REL						
Type of Relea	ase: none			NA	IUKE	_	Release: N/A	1	Volume P	Recovered: N	J/A	
		v grade tank –	95 bbl				Iour of Occurrence			Hour of Dis		
Was Immediate Notice Given?						If YES, To		. 1	oute and	11001 01 1013	covery.	
			Yes	No 🛛 Not R	equired							
By Whom?						Date and F	Iour					
Was a Water	course Read					If YES, Vo	lume Impacting	the Waterc	course.			
			No									
If a Watercou	irse was Im	pacted, Descri	be Fully.*									
the BGT. So	il analysis r	esulted in TPI	H, BTEX a	and chloride belo	ow stand	ards. Analysi	the BGT was do s results are attacknown at the BG doned.	hed.				
regulations al public health should their of or the environ	If operators or the envi- operations hament. In a	are required to conment. The ave failed to a	acceptance acceptance dequately acceptance of the control of the c	d/or file certain a e of a C-141 rep investigate and a	release n ort by th remediat	otifications are e NMOCD m e contaminati	knowledge and und perform correct arked as "Final R on that pose a thr e the operator of	ctive action Report" doe reat to grou	ns for rele es not reli and water	eases which eve the oper s, surface wa	may end ator of ter, hun	danger liability nan health
(1 00	0					OIL CON	SERVA	TION	DIVISIO	N	
Signature:	1966	eace										
Printed Name	: Jeff Peace	2				Approved by	Environmental S	specialist:				
		al Coordinato	r			Approval Dat	e:	Ex	piration l	Date:		
E-mail Addre	ess: peace.je	effrey@bp.com	n	X05 224 0450		Conditions of		231		Attached		
Date: Januar	V 0, 2014		rnone:	505-326-9479								

^{*} Attach Additional Sheets If Necessary

and the second s					
CLIENT: BP		INEERING, INC.		API#: 3004522°	192
CLIENT:	,	OMFIELD, NM 8741	3	TANK ID A	
		632-1199		(if applicble):	
FIELD REPORT:	(circle one): BGT CONFIRMATION / RELI	EASE INVESTIGATION / OTHER:		PAGE#: 1 of	_1_
SITE INFORMATION	SITE NAME: USSELMAI	N GC B #1		DATE STARTED: 08/0	9/13
QUAD/UNIT: G SEC: 4 TWP:	31N RNG: 10W PM: N	M CNTY: SJ ST:	NM	DATE FINISHED:	
	B5'E SW/NE LEASE TYPE: PROD. FORMATION: PC CONTR	ELKHODY		ENVIRONMENTAL SPECIALIST(S): JC	B
REFERENCE POINT 95 BGT (SW/DB)	GPS COORD.: 36.929	711 X 107.883387		GLELEV.: 5,	
					OOL
3)	GPS COORD:			ARING FROM W.H.:	
	GPS COORD.:			ARING FROM W.H.:	
SAMPLING DATA:			STANCEDE	TATING PRODUCTS.	OVM
			440 4/0	045D/0004D/000 0/CIV	(ppm)
	SAMPLE DATE: 08/09/13			1 /	0.0
2) SAMPLE ID: 3) SAMPLE ID:					
4) SAMPLE ID:					
SOIL DESCRIPTION SOIL COLOR: DARK YE	SOIL TYPE: SAND / SILTY SAN	ID SILT / SILTY CLAY / CLAY / GRA	VEL / OTI	HER	
COHESION (ALL OTHERS): NON COHESIVE SLIGHTLY		PLASTICITY (CLAYS): NON PLASTIC / SLIGHTI	LY PLASTIC / C	COHESIVE / MEDIUM PLASTIC / HIGHLY PL	ASTIC
CONSISTENCY (NON COHESIVE SOILS): LC	OOSE (FIRM) DENSE / VERY DENSE	DENSITY (COHESIVE CLAYS & SILT			
MOISTURE: DRY / SLIGHTLY MOIST / MOIST / W		HC ODOR DETECTED: YES N	O EXPL	ANATION -	
SAMPLE TYPE: GRAB COMPOSITE # DISCOLORATION/STAINING OBSERVED					
DIGGOLOTVITOTVOTA III VIITO ODGLITVLD	TEO [NO] EXI D WINDIN				
ANY AREAS DISPLAYING WETNESS: YES NO	_				
APPARENT EVIDENCE OF A RELEASE C ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: GAS WELL F	The state of the s				
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: GAS WELL I	RECENTET PLUGGED & ABANDONED	(F & A).			
SOIL IMPACT DIMENSION ESTIMATION: DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER: <50' N				IMATION (Cubic Yards) : D TPH CLOSURE STD: 100	NA
	IEAREST WATER SOURCE: <1,000' NE		NMOC	D TPH CLOSURE STD: 100	_ ppm
SITE SKETCH	\oplus	PLOT PLAN circle: attach	ovM	CALIB. READ. = 52.2 ppm	RF = 0.52
	P & A MARKER		_	CALIB. GAS = 100 ppm	
			TIME	9:35 ampm DATE: 08/	09/13
			'[MISCELL. NOT	ES
			W	O: N15095512	
	WOODEN			0#:	
	R.W.			k: ZFEIRKOSJS	
				J#: X7-0057V-E ermit date(s): 06/14/	10
	PBGTL			ermit date(s): 06/14/ CD Appr. date(s): 02/20/	200
	T.B. ~ 6'		Tan	ovM = Organic Vapor Met	
	D.G.		A		I
. ,		X - S.P.	D.	BGT Sidewalls Visible: Y / N	1
NOTES: BGT = BELOW-GRADE TANK; E.D. = EXCAVATION		T.H. = TEST HOLE; ~ = APPROX.; W.H. = WELL H	EAD;	BGT Sidewalls Visible: Y / N	0
	.OW-GRADE TANK LOCATION;)T N	lagnetic declination: 10	E
TRAVEL NOTES: CALLOUT:	THE LEGISTIC DOUBLE THILL, OU - UNITOLL DO TOWN, D	ONSITE: 08/09/13			

Analytical Report

Lab Order 1308430

Date Reported: 8/16/2013

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Blagg Engineering

Client Sample ID: 95 BGT 5-pt @6'

Project: Usselman GC B1 Collection Date: 8/9/2013 9:30:00 AM

Lab ID: 1308430-001

Matrix: SOIL

Received Date: 8/12/2013 10:00:00 AM

Analyses	Result	RL Qu	al Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 8015D: DIESEL RANG	E ORGANICS				Analyst	JME
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	9.9	mg/Kg	1	8/15/2013 5:23:17 AM	8831
Surr: DNOP	69.6	63-147	%REC	1	8/15/2013 5:23:17 AM	8831
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RA	NGE				Analyst	NSB
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	4.7	mg/Kg	1	8/15/2013 12:25:39 AM	8832
Surr: BFB	90.2	80-120	%REC	1	8/15/2013 12:25:39 AM	8832
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analyst	NSB
Benzene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/15/2013 12:25:39 AM	8832
Toluene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/15/2013 12:25:39 AM	8832
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/15/2013 12:25:39 AM	8832
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.095	mg/Kg	1	8/15/2013 12:25:39 AM	8832
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	107	80-120	%REC	1	8/15/2013 12:25:39 AM	8832
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analyst	JRR
Chloride	210	30	mg/Kg	20	8/15/2013 3:36:06 PM	8881
EPA METHOD 418.1: TPH					Analyst	jmb
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	ND	20	mg/Kg	1	8/15/2013	8856

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- Value above quantitation range
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits J
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- Not Detected at the Reporting Limit Page 1 of 6 Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only. P
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1308430

16-Aug-13

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

Usselman GC B1

Sample ID MB-8881

SampType: MBLK

TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

Client ID:

PBS

Batch ID: 8881

RunNo: 12669

Prep Date: 8/15/2013

Analysis Date: 8/15/2013

SeqNo: 360956

Units: mg/Kg

RPDLimit Qual

Analyte

Result ND 1.5 SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit

HighLimit

Chloride

Sample ID LCS-8881

SampType: LCS Batch ID: 8881

RunNo: 12669

Prep Date:

Client ID: LCSS

8/15/2013

Analysis Date: 8/15/2013

SeqNo: 360957

Units: mg/Kg

PQL

110

HighLimit

Analyte Chloride

15

Result

%RPD **RPDLimit**

Qual

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC 1.5 15.00 0 99.7

Qualifiers:

0

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- Value above quantitation range E
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- RSD is greater than RSDlimit RPD outside accepted recovery limits R
- Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank В
- Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded Η
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- Reporting Detection Limit

Page 2 of 6

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1308430

16-Aug-13

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

Usselman GC B1

Sample ID MB-8856

SampType: MBLK

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

Client ID:

Analyte

PBS

Batch ID: 8856

RunNo: 12654

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit

Prep Date: 8/14/2013

Analysis Date: 8/15/2013

SeqNo: 360395

Units: mg/Kg

HighLimit

RPDLimit Qual

Sample ID LCS-8856 Client ID: LCSS

Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR

SampType: LCS Batch ID: 8856

PQL

20

20

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

Prep Date: 8/14/2013

RunNo: 12654

Analyte

Analysis Date: 8/15/2013

Result

ND

SeqNo: 360400

Units: mg/Kg

%RPD **RPDLimit**

Qual

Qual

Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR

Result

SPK value SPK Ref Val 100.0

%REC 92.7

LowLimit

HighLimit 120 %RPD

Sample ID LCSD-8856

Client ID: LCSS02

SampType: LCSD Batch ID: 8856

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

RunNo: 12654

Analyte

Prep Date: 8/14/2013

Analysis Date: 8/15/2013

Result

94

SeqNo: 360405

Units: mg/Kg HighLimit

120

%RPD

RPDLimit

Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC PQL 20

100.0

94.0

1.44

20

Qualifiers:

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

Value above quantitation range E

Analyte detected below quantitation limits

RSD is greater than RSDlimit 0

RPD outside accepted recovery limits R

Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank В

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

Reporting Detection Limit

Page 3 of 6

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Result

44

4.6

10

WO#:

1308430

16-Aug-13

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

Analyte

Surr: DNOP

Diesel Range Organics (DRO)

Usselman GC B1

Sample ID MB-8831	SampType: MBLK	TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics	
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 8831	RunNo: 12591	
Prep Date: 8/13/2013	Analysis Date: 8/13/2013	SeqNo: 358763 Units: mg/Kg	
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value S	PK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLim	it Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND 10		
Surr: DNOP	8.8 10.00	88.5 63 147	
Sample ID LCS-8831	SampType: LCS	TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics	
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 8831	RunNo: 12591	
Prep Date: 8/13/2013	Analysis Date: 8/13/2013	SeqNo: 358764 Units: mg/Kg	

0

%REC

89.0

92.1

LowLimit

77.1

63

HighLimit

128

147

SPK value SPK Ref Val

50.00

5.000

Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 4 of 6

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1308430

16-Aug-13

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

Usselman GC B1

Sample ID MB-8832	SampT	ype: ME	BLK	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8015D: Gaso	line Rang	e	
Client ID: PBS	Batch	n ID: 88	32	F	RunNo: 1	2619				
Prep Date: 8/13/2013	Analysis D	ate: 8/	14/2013	S	SeqNo: 3	59814	Units: mg/K	g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	5.0								
Surr: BFB	900		1000		89.6	80	120			
Sample ID LCS-8832	SampT	vpe: LC	S	Tes	Code: El	PA Method	8015D: Gaso	line Rang	e	

Sample ID LC3-0032	Campiy	pc. LC	3	restouce. LFA Method 6013D. Gasonile Kange										
Client ID: LCSS	Batch I	ID: 88	32	R	unNo: 1									
Prep Date: 8/13/2013	Analysis Da	te: 8/	14/2013	S	eqNo: 3	59815	Units: mg/Kg							
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual				
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	24	5.0	25.00	0	96.8	74.5	126							
Surr: BFB	940		1000		94.5	80	120							

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 5 of 6

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1308430

16-Aug-13

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

Usselman GC B1

SampT	уре: МЕ	BLK	Tes	Code: El	PA Method	8021B: Volat	iles					
Batch	ID: 88	32	R	tunNo: 1								
Analysis Date: 8/14/2013			S	eqNo: 3	59852	Units: mg/Kg						
Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual			
ND	0.050											
ND	0.050											
ND	0.050											
ND	0.10											
1.1		1.000		106	80	120						
SampType: LCS TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles												
Batch	ID: 88	32	R	unNo: 1	2619							
Analysis D	ate: 8/	14/2013	S	eqNo: 3	59853	Units: mg/K	g					
Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual			
1.0	0.050	1.000	0	104	80	120						
1.0	0.050	1.000	0	101	80	120						
1.0	0.000											
1.0	0.050	1.000	0	102	80	120						
			0	102 103	80 80	120 120						
	Batch Analysis D Result ND ND ND 1.1 SampT Batch Analysis D Result 1.0	Batch ID: 88: Analysis Date: 8/ Result PQL ND 0.050 ND 0.050 ND 0.10 1.1 SampType: LC Batch ID: 88: Analysis Date: 8/ Result PQL 1.0 0.050	Result PQL SPK value ND 0.050 ND 0.050 ND 0.10 1.1 1.000 SampType: LCS Batch ID: 8832 Analysis Date: 8/14/2013 Result PQL SPK value 1.0 0.050 1.000	Batch ID: 8832 R Analysis Date: 8/14/2013 S Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val ND 0.050 ND 0.050 ND 0.10 1.000 SampType: LCS Test Batch ID: 8832 R Analysis Date: 8/14/2013 S Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val 1.0 0.050 1.000 0	Batch ID: 8832 RunNo: 1: Analysis Date: 8/14/2013 SeqNo: 3 Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC ND 0.050 ND 0.050 ND 0.10 1.1 1.000 106 SampType: LCS TestCode: El Batch ID: 8832 RunNo: 1: Analysis Date: 8/14/2013 SeqNo: 3: Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC 1.0 0.050 1.000 0 104	Batch ID: 8832 RunNo: 12619 Analysis Date: 8/14/2013 SeqNo: 359852 Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit ND 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050 0.050 80 SampType: LCS TestCode: EPA Method Batch ID: 8832 RunNo: 12619 Analysis Date: 8/14/2013 SeqNo: 359853 Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit 1.0 0.050 1.000 0 104 80	Batch ID: 8832 RunNo: 12619 Analysis Date: 8/14/2013 SeqNo: 359852 Units: mg/K Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit ND 0.050 ND 0.050 ND 0.10 1.1 1.000 106 80 120 SampType: LCS TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volate Batch ID: 8832 RunNo: 12619 Analysis Date: 8/14/2013 SeqNo: 359853 Units: mg/K Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit 1.0 0.050 1.000 0 104 80 120	Batch ID: 8832 RunNo: 12619 Analysis Date: 8/14/2013 SeqNo: 359852 Units: mg/Kg Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD ND 0.050 ND 0.050 ND 0.050 ND 0.10 1.000 106 80 120 SampType: LCS TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles Batch ID: 8832 RunNo: 12619 Analysis Date: 8/14/2013 SeqNo: 359853 Units: mg/Kg Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD 1.0 0.050 1.000 0 104 80 120	Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val Name SPK Ref Val Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val PQL SPK value			

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 6 of 6



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquergue, NM 87105

TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

Sample Log-In Check List

Client Name: **BLAGG** Work Order, Number: 1308430 RcptNo: 1 Received by/date: Logged By: Lindsay Mangin 8/12/2013 10:00:00 AM Completed By: Lindsay Mangin 8/12/2013 11:44:47 AM Reviewed By: Chain of Custody Not Present Yes No 1 Custody seals intact on sample bottles? Not Present Yes 🗸 No . 2. Is Chain of Custody complete? 3 How was the sample delivered? Courier Log In No NA . Yes V 4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? NA 🗌 No [5. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C No 🗌 Yes 🗸 6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes 🗸 No 7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? No 8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes 🗸 NA 🗌 No V 9. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes No VOA Vials 10. VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes No _ No V Yes 11. Were any sample containers received broken? # of preserved bottles checked for pH: Yes 🗸 No 12. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (<2 or >12 unless noted) (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) Adjusted? Yes 🗸 No 🗌 13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? No 🗌 Yes 🗸 14 Is it clear what analyses were requested? No 🗌 Checked by: 15. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes V (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Special Handling (if applicable) Yes No 🗌 NA V 16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Person Notified: Date: By Whom: Via: eMail Phone Fax In Person Regarding: Client Instructions: 17. Additional remarks: 18. Cooler Information Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By Good

Chain-of-Custody Record							LALL ENVIRONMENTAL															
Client: BLAGG ENGINEERING INC. BP AMERICA Mailing Address: P.O. Box 87							HALL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS LABORATORY															
							www.hallenvironmental.com															
			USSELMAN GC B1				4901 Hawkins NE - Albuquerque, NM 87109															
BLOWFIELD NM 87413		Project #:					Tel. 505-345-3975 Fax 505-345-4107															
Phone			32-1199	1					Analysis Request													
email or Fax#:		Project Mana	iger:			(8021)	only)	2					04)							Τ		
QA/QC Package:		J. BLACE					38 0	基			8		, S(PCB's								
Stan			☐ Level 4 (Full Validation)	T .				(n)	(G	DRO /44RO)			SIMS)		2,PC	2 P(
Accreditation □ NELAP □ Other		Sampler: On Ice	T. Bab			# TIMB	MTBE + TPH (Gas	-	18.1)	04.1)	8270		3,NO	/ 8082		(A)				N N		
□ EDD (Type)		Sample Tem	perature:	Ell.	. A640	MTBE	BE	9	4 bc	od 5	0 or	stals	N,I	ides	8	-40	W			>		
Date	Time	Matrix	Sample Request ID	Container Type and #	Preservative Type	HEAL BANKE	No	BTEX + ME	BTEX + MT	TPH 8015B (GRO	TPH (Method 418.1)	EDB (Method 504.1)	PAH's (8310 or 8270	RCRA 8 Metals	Anions (F, CI, NO3, NO2, PO4, SO4)	8081 Pesticides	8260B (VOA)	8270 (Semi-VOA)	CHLORIDE			Air Rubbles
8/9/2013	0930	SOIL	95 BGT 5- Pte 6	4 02 ×1	COOL	-00)	X		X	X					- W			X	\top	+	T
1000			3-7-0-0		4002		1					\neg								+	+	\dagger
										_	\dashv	\dashv						\neg	+	+	+	+
										_	\dashv	\dashv				-		-	+	+	+	+
			BU SHILL							\dashv	\dashv	\dashv					-	\dashv	\rightarrow	+	+	+
										\dashv	-						-	-	\dashv	+	+	+
				-														-	+	+	+	+
											_	_							\rightarrow	\perp	_	\perp
										_	_	_							\perp	\bot	_	\perp
											_	_							_	+	_	1
											_								_	_		_
											_								\perp	\perp	\perp	\perp
-	t to the same of t			Deceleration																		
Date:	Time: 1457	Relinquishe	H Blegg	Received by:	hhele	8/9/13	Time 1457	Ren	narks				BF		-				a T	e		
Date: 8/12/12	Time:	Relinquishe	ed by:	Received by: Date Time				PAYKEY: ZFEIRKOSJS CONTACT: JEFF PEACE														
11-11	necessary	samples subs	mitted to Hall Environmental may be subc	ontracted to at an ar	credited laborated		potice of this	possil	allitar A	ny evi	001	VTA	tcT:	حا النسا	5F1	= /	FAC	E				
11	necessary,	Sulliples Subi	The subcontinental may be subc	///	A SOLICU INDURATION	ee. This serves as	HOUSE OF INS	possit	лиу. А	ы у БШ	o-conti	acted	data	WIII Dê	cieari	y nota	tea on	ine an	alytical	report.		



