

District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico
Energy Minerals and Natural Resources
Department
Oil Conservation Division
1220 South St. Francis Dr.
Santa Fe, NM 87505

Form C-144
Revised June 6, 2013

For temporary pits, below-grade tanks, and multi-well fluid management pits, submit to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.
For permanent pits submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

Pit, Below-Grade Tank, or

12527 Proposed Alternative Method Permit or Closure Plan Application

OIL CONS. DIV DIST. 3

Type of action: ☐ Below grade tank registration
45-22805 ☐ Permit of a pit or proposed alternative method
☒ Closure of a pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method
☐ Modification to an existing permit/or registration
☐ Closure plan only submitted for an existing permitted or non-permitted pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method

JAN 07 2015

Instructions: Please submit one application (Form C-144) per individual pit, below-grade tank or alternative request

Please be advised that approval of this request does not relieve the operator of liability should operations result in pollution of surface water, ground water or the environment. Nor does approval relieve the operator of its responsibility to comply with any other applicable governmental authority's rules, regulations or ordinances.

1.

Operator: BP America Production Company OGRID #: 778
Address: 200 Energy Court, Farmington, NM 87401
Facility or well name: Usselman Gas Com 1A
API Number: 3004522805 OCD Permit Number:
U/L or Qtr/Qtr E Section 4 Township 31N Range 10W County: San Juan
Center of Proposed Design: Latitude 36.929641 Longitude -107.892908 NAD: ☐ 1927 ☒ 1983
Surface Owner: ☐ Federal ☐ State ☒ Private ☐ Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment

2.

☐ **Pit:** Subsection F, G or J of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
Temporary: ☐ Drilling ☐ Workover
☐ Permanent ☐ Emergency ☐ Cavitation ☐ P&A ☐ Multi-Well Fluid Management Low Chloride Drilling Fluid ☐ yes ☐ no
☐ Lined ☐ Unlined Liner type: Thickness mil ☐ LLDPE ☐ HDPE ☐ PVC ☐ Other
☐ String-Reinforced
Liner Seams: ☐ Welded ☐ Factory ☐ Other Volume: bbl Dimensions: L x W x D

3.

☒ **Below-grade tank:** Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Tank A
Volume: 95.0 bbl Type of fluid: Produced water
Tank Construction material: Steel
☐ Secondary containment with leak detection ☐ Visible sidewalls, liner, 6-inch lift and automatic overflow shut-off
☐ Visible sidewalls and liner ☒ Visible sidewalls only ☐ Other Double walled/double bottomed
Liner type: Thickness mil ☐ HDPE ☐ PVC ☐ Other

4.

☐ **Alternative Method:**
Submittal of an exception request is required. Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

5.

Fencing: Subsection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (*Applies to permanent pits, temporary pits, and below-grade tanks*)

- ☐ Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top (*Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution or church*)
- ☐ Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet
- ☐ Alternate. Please specify _____

6.

Netting: Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (*Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks*)

- ☐ Screen ☐ Netting ☐ Other _____
- ☐ Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)

7.

Signs: Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC

- ☐ 12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers
- ☐ Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC

8.

Variances and Exceptions:

Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance.

Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank:

- ☐ Variance(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district for consideration of approval.
- ☐ Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

9.

Siting Criteria (regarding permitting): 19.15.17.10 NMAC

Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of acceptable source material are provided below. Siting criteria does not apply to drying pads or above-grade tanks.

General siting

Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of a low chloride temporary pit or below-grade tank.

- ☐ NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; ☐ USGS; ☐ Data obtained from nearby wells

☐ Yes ☐ No
☐ NA

Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of a Temporary pit, permanent pit, or Multi-Well Fluid Management pit.

NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells

☐ Yes ☐ No
☐ NA

Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. (**Does not apply to below grade tanks**)

- Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. (**Does not apply to below grade tanks**)

- Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within an unstable area. (**Does not apply to below grade tanks**)

- Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within a 100-year floodplain. (**Does not apply to below grade tanks**)

- FEMA map

☐ Yes ☐ No

Below Grade Tanks

Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, significant watercourse, lake bed, sinkhole, wetland or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).

- Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for public or livestock consumption;

- NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

☐ Yes ☐ No

Temporary Pit using Low Chloride Drilling Fluid (maximum chloride content 15,000 mg/liter)

Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). (Applies to low chloride temporary pits.)

- Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within 300 feet from a occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.

- Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 300feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of the initial application.

NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within 100 feet of a wetland.

- US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

☐ Yes ☐ No

Temporary Pit Non-low chloride drilling fluid

Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse, or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).

- Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.

- Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in the existence at the time of the initial application;

- NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within 300 feet of a wetland.

- US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

☐ Yes ☐ No

Permanent Pit or Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit

Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).

- Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.

- Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application.

- NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within 500 feet of a wetland.

- US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

☐ Yes ☐ No

10.

Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC

Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.

- ☐ Hydrogeologic Report (Below-grade Tanks) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC
- ☐ Hydrogeologic Data (Temporary and Emergency Pits) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC
- ☐ Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC
- ☐ Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- ☐ Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC
- ☐ Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC

☐ Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: _____ or Permit Number: _____

11.

Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC

Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.

- ☐ Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- ☐ Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC
- ☐ A List of wells with approved application for permit to drill associated with the pit.
- ☐ Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☐ Hydrogeologic Data - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC
- ☐ Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC

☐ Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: _____ or Permit Number: _____

12.

Permanent Pits Permit Application Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC

Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.

- ☐ Hydrogeologic Report - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC
☐ Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC
☐ Climatological Factors Assessment
☐ Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
☐ Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
☐ Leak Detection Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
☐ Liner Specifications and Compatibility Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
☐ Quality Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installation Plan
☐ Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC
☐ Freeboard and Overtopping Prevention Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
☐ Nuisance or Hazardous Odors, including H₂S, Prevention Plan
☐ Emergency Response Plan
☐ Oil Field Waste Stream Characterization
☐ Monitoring and Inspection Plan
☐ Erosion Control Plan
☐ Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC

13.

Proposed Closure: 19.15.17.13 NMAC

Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan.

- Type: ☐ Drilling ☐ Workover ☐ Emergency ☐ Cavitation ☐ P&A ☐ Permanent Pit ☐ Below-grade Tank ☐ Multi-well Fluid Management Pit
☐ Alternative
- Proposed Closure Method: ☐ Waste Excavation and Removal
☐ Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only)
☐ On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems)
☐ In-place Burial ☐ On-site Trench Burial
☐ Alternative Closure Method

14.

Waste Excavation and Removal Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) **Instructions:** Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.

- ☐ Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
☐ Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
☐ Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings)
☐ Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
☐ Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
☐ Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC

15.

Siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only): 19.15.17.10 NMAC

Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendations of acceptable source material are provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria require justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency. Please refer to 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance.

Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Ground water is between 25-50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Within 300 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Within 300 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance	

adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended.

- Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within the area overlying a subsurface mine.

- Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within an unstable area.

- Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within a 100-year floodplain.

- FEMA map

☐ Yes ☐ No

16.

On-Site Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) *Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.*

- ☐ Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC
☐ Proof of Surface Owner Notice - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
☐ Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection K of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
☐ Construction/Design Plan of Temporary Pit (for in-place burial of a drying pad) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
☐ Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
☐ Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
☐ Waste Material Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
☐ Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings or in case on-site closure standards cannot be achieved)
☐ Soil Cover Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
☐ Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
☐ Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC

17.

Operator Application Certification:

I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Name (Print): _____ Title: _____

Signature: _____ Date: _____

e-mail address: _____ Telephone: _____

18.

OCD Approval: ☐ Permit Application (including closure plan) ☒ Closure Plan (only) ☐ OCD Conditions (see attachment)

OCD Representative Signature: Jonathan D. Kelly Approval Date: 1/29/2015

Title: Compliance Officer OCD Permit Number: _____

19.

Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion): 19.15.17.13 NMAC

Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved closure plan prior to implementing any closure activities and submitting the closure report. The closure report is required to be submitted to the division within 60 days of the completion of the closure activities. Please do not complete this section of the form until an approved closure plan has been obtained and the closure activities have been completed.

☒ Closure Completion Date: 3/30/2012

20.

Closure Method:

- ☒ Waste Excavation and Removal ☐ On-Site Closure Method ☐ Alternative Closure Method ☐ Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only)
☐ If different from approved plan, please explain.

21.

Closure Report Attachment Checklist: *Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure report. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.*

- ☐ Proof of Closure Notice (surface owner and division)
☐ Proof of Deed Notice (required for on-site closure for private land only)
☐ Plot Plan (for on-site closures and temporary pits)
☒ Confirmation Sampling Analytical Results (if applicable)
☐ Waste Material Sampling Analytical Results (required for on-site closure)
☒ Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number
☒ Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation
☐ Re-vegetation Application Rates and Seeding Technique
☒ Site Reclamation (Photo Documentation)

On-site Closure Location: Latitude 36.929641 Longitude -107.892908 NAD: ☐ 1927 ☒ 1983

Operator Closure Certification:

I hereby certify that the information and attachments submitted with this closure report is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I also certify that the closure complies with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.

Name (Print): Jeff Peace Title: Field Environmental Coordinator

Signature:  Date: January 6, 2015

e-mail address: peace.jeffrey@bp.com Telephone: (505) 326-9479

BP AMERICA PRODUCTION COMPANY
SAN JUAN BASIN, NORTHWEST NEW MEXICO

BELOW-GRADE TANK CLOSURE PLAN

Usselman Gas Com 1A
API No. 3004522805
Unit Letter E, Section 4, T31N, R10W

This plan will address the standard protocols and procedures for closure of below-grade tanks (BGTs) on BP America Production Company (BP) well sites. As stipulated in Paragraph A of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall close a BGT within the time periods provided in 19.15.17.13 NMAC, or by an earlier date that the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) requires because of imminent danger to fresh water, public health, safety or the environment. If deviations from this plan are necessary, any specific changes will be included on form C-144 and approved by the NMOCD. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC or is not included in Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC within five years after June 16, 2008, if not retrofit with a BGT that complies with the BP NMOCD approved BGT design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC, if not previously retrofitted to comply with the BP NMOCD approved BGT Design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan, prior to any sale or change in operator pursuant to 19.15.9.9 NMAC. BP shall close the permitted BGT within 60 days of cessation of the BGTs operation or as required by the transitional provisions of Subsection B, D, or E of 19.15.17.17 NMAC.

General Closure Plan

1. BP shall notify the surface owner by certified mail that it plans to close a BGT. Evidence of mailing of the notice to the address of the surface owner shown in the county tax records demonstrates compliance with this requirement.
No notice was made due to misunderstanding of the BGT notice requirements at that time.
2. BP shall notify the division District III office verbally or by other means at least 72 hours, but not more than one (1) week, prior to any closure operation. The notice shall include the operator's name, and the location to be closed by unit letter, section, township and range. If the BGT closure is associated with a particular well, then the notice shall also include the well's name, number and API number.
No notice was made due to misunderstanding of the BGT notice requirements at that time.
3. BP shall remove liquids and sludge from the BGT prior to implementing a closure method and dispose of the liquids and sludge in a NMOCD's division-approved facility. The facilities to be used are:
 - a. BP Crouch Mesa Landfarm, Permit NM-02-003 (Solids)
 - b. JFJ Landfarm, Permit NM-01-010(B) (Solids and Sludge)
 - c. Basin Disposal, Permit NM-01-0005 (Liquids)

- d. Envirotech Inc Soil Remediation Facility, Permit NM-01-0011 (Solids and Sludge)
- e. BP Operated E.E. Elliott SWD #1, API 30-045-27799 (Liquids)
- f. BP Operated 13 GCU SWD #1, API 30-045-28601 (Liquids)
- g. BP Operated GCU 259 SWD, API 30-045-20006 (Liquids)
- h. BP Operated GCU 306 SWD, API 30-045-24286 (Liquids)
- i. BP Operated GCU 307 SWD, API 30-045-24248 (Liquids)
- j. BP Operated GCU 328 SWD, API 30-045-24735 (Liquids)
- k. BP Operated Pritchard SWD #1, API 30-045-28351 (Liquids)

All liquids and sludge in the BGT were removed and sent to one of the above NMOCD approved facilities for disposal.

4. BP shall remove the BGT and dispose of it in a NMOCD approved facility or recycle, reuse, or reclaim it in a manner that the NMOCD approves. If a liner is present and must be disposed of it will be cleaned by scraping any soils or other attached materials on the liner to a de minimus amount and disposed at a permitted solid waste facility, pursuant to Subparagraph (m) of Paragraph (1) of Subsection C of 19.15.35.8 NMAC. Documentation as to the final disposition of the removed BGT will be provided in the final closure report.

The BGT was transported to a storage area for sale and re-use.

5. BP shall remove any on-site equipment associated with a BGT unless the equipment is required for well production.

All equipment associated with the BGT has been removed.

6. BP shall test the soils beneath the BGT to determine whether a release has occurred. BP shall collect at a minimum: a five (5) point composite sample and individual grab samples from any area that is wet, discolored or showing other evidence of a release and analyze for BTEX, TPH and chlorides. The testing methods for those constituents are as follows;

Constituents	Testing Method 95 bbl BGT	Release Verification (mg/Kg)	Sample results
Benzene	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	0.2	ND
Total BTEX	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	50	ND
TPH	US EPA Method SW-846 418.1	100	ND
Chlorides	US EPA Method 300.0 or 4500B	250 or background	ND

Notes: mg/Kg = milligram per kilogram, BTEX = benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes, TPH = total petroleum hydrocarbons. Other EPA methods that the division approves may be applied to all constituents listed. Chloride closure standards will be determined by which ever concentration level is greatest.

Soil under the BGT was sampled and TPH, BTEX and chloride levels were below the stated limits. Sampling data is attached.

7. BP shall notify the division District III office of its results on form C-141.
C-141 is attached.
8. If it is determined that a release has occurred, then BP will comply with 19.15.30 NMAC and 19.15.29 NMAC, as appropriate.
Sampling results indicate no release occurred.
9. If the sampling demonstrates that a release has not occurred or that any release does not exceed the concentrations specified above, then BP shall backfill the excavation, with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material; construct a division-prescribed soil cover, re-contour and re-vegetate the location. The location will be reclaimed if it is not within the active process area
The area under the BGT was backfilled with clean soil and is still within the active well area.
10. BP shall reclaim the BGT location and all areas associated with the BGT including associated access roads to a safe and stable condition that blends with the surrounding undisturbed area. BP shall substantially restore the impacted surface area to the condition that existed prior to oil and gas operations by placement of the soil cover as provided in Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, re-contour the location and associated areas to a contour that approximates the original contour and blends with the surrounding topography and re-vegetate according to Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC.
The area over the BGT is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.
11. The soil cover for closures where the BGT has been removed or remediated to the NMOCD's satisfaction shall consist of the background thickness of topsoil or one foot of suitable material to establish vegetation at the site, whichever is greater. The soil cover will be constructed to the site's existing grade and all practicable efforts will be made to prevent ponding of water and erosion of the cover material.
The area over the BGT is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.
12. BP shall seed the disturbed area the first growing season after closure of the BGT. Seeding will be accomplished by drilling on the contour whenever practical or by other division-approved methods. Vegetative cover will be, at a minimum, 70% of the native perennial vegetative cover (un-impacted by overgrazing, fire or other intrusion damaging to native vegetation), consisting of at least three native plant species, including at least one grass, but not including noxious weeds, and maintenance of that cover through two successive growing seasons. During the two growing seasons that prove viability, there shall be no artificial irrigation of the vegetation.
The area over the BGT is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.
13. BP shall seed, plant and re-seed pursuant to Paragraph (3) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, until the location successfully achieves the required vegetative cover.
BP will seed the area when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

14. Pursuant to Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall notify the NMOCD when it has seeded or planted and when it successfully achieves re-vegetation.

BP will notify NMOCD when re-vegetation is successful.

15. Within 60 days of closure completion, BP shall submit a closure report on NMOCD's form C-144, and will include the following;

- a. proof of closure notification (surface owner and NMOCD)
- b. sampling analytical reports; information required by 19.15.17 NMAC;
- c. disposal facility name and permit number
- d. details on back-filling, capping, covering, and where applicable re-vegetation application rates and seeding techniques and
- e. site reclamation, photo documentation.

Closure report on C-144 form is included.

16. BP shall certify that all information in the report and attachments is accurate, truthful, and compliant with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.

Certification section of C-144 has been completed.

District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico
Energy Minerals and Natural Resources
Oil Conservation Division
1220 South St. Francis Dr.
Santa Fe, NM 87505

Form C-141
Revised August 8, 2011

Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in
accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

Release Notification and Corrective Action

OPERATOR

☐ Initial Report ☒ Final Report

Name of Company: BP	Contact: Jeff Peace	
Address: 200 Energy Court, Farmington, NM 87401	Telephone No.: 505-326-9479	
Facility Name: Usselman Gas Com 1A	Facility Type: Natural gas well	
Surface Owner: Private	Mineral Owner: Private	API No. 3004522805

LOCATION OF RELEASE

Unit Letter E	Section 4	Township 31N	Range 10W	Feet from the 1,790	North/South Line North	Feet from the 925	East/West Line West	County: San Juan
------------------	--------------	-----------------	--------------	------------------------	---------------------------	----------------------	------------------------	------------------

Latitude 36.929641 Longitude 107.892908

NATURE OF RELEASE


Type of Release: none	Volume of Release: N/A	Volume Recovered: N/A
Source of Release: below grade tank – 95 bbl	Date and Hour of Occurrence:	Date and Hour of Discovery:
Was Immediate Notice Given? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Required	If YES, To Whom?	
By Whom?	Date and Hour	
Was a Watercourse Reached? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse.	

If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.*

Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* Sampling of the soil beneath the BGT was done during removal to ensure no soil impacts from the BGT. Soil analysis resulted in TPH, BTEX and chloride below standards. Analysis results are attached.

Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* BGT was removed and the area underneath the BGT was sampled. The area under the BGT was backfilled and compacted and is still within the active well area.

I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to ground water, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.

Signature: 	OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION	
Printed Name: Jeff Peace	Approved by Environmental Specialist:	
Title: Field Environmental Coordinator	Approval Date:	Expiration Date:
E-mail Address: peace.jeffrey@bp.com	Conditions of Approval:	Attached <input type="checkbox"/>
Date: January 6, 2014	Phone: 505-326-9479	

* Attach Additional Sheets If Necessary

CLIENT: BP	BLAGG ENGINEERING, INC. P.O. BOX 87, BLOOMFIELD, NM 87413 (505) 632-1199	API #: 3004522805 TANK ID (if applicable): A
FIELD REPORT: (circle one): <u>BGT CONFIRMATION</u> / RELEASE INVESTIGATION / OTHER:		PAGE #: 1 of 1
SITE INFORMATION: SITE NAME: USSELMAN GC #1A QUAD/UNIT: E SEC: 4 TWP: 31N RNG: 10W PM: NM CNTY: SJ ST: NM 1/4 -1/4/FOOTAGE: 1,790'N / 925'W SW/NW LEASE TYPE: FEDERAL / STATE <u>FEE</u> INDIAN LEASE #: - PROD. FORMATION: MV CONTRACTOR: ELKHORN MBF - K. LEMONS		DATE STARTED: 03/16/12 DATE FINISHED: _____ ENVIRONMENTAL SPECIALIST(S): JCB
REFERENCE POINT: WELL HEAD (W.H.) GPS COORD.: 36.92951 X 107.89325 GL ELEV.: 5,817' 1) 95 BGT (DW/DB) GPS COORD.: 36.929641 X 107.892908 DISTANCE/BEARING FROM W.H.: 111', N70E 2) _____ GPS COORD.: _____ DISTANCE/BEARING FROM W.H.: _____ 3) _____ GPS COORD.: _____ DISTANCE/BEARING FROM W.H.: _____ 4) _____ GPS COORD.: _____ DISTANCE/BEARING FROM W.H.: _____		
SAMPLING DATA: CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD(S) # OR LAB USED: HALL 1) SAMPLE ID: 95 BGT 5-pt. @ 4' SAMPLE DATE: 03/16/12 SAMPLE TIME: 1140 LAB ANALYSIS: 418.1/8015B/8021/B/300.0 (CI) OVM READING (ppm): 0.0 2) SAMPLE ID: _____ SAMPLE DATE: _____ SAMPLE TIME: _____ LAB ANALYSIS: _____ 3) SAMPLE ID: _____ SAMPLE DATE: _____ SAMPLE TIME: _____ LAB ANALYSIS: _____ 4) SAMPLE ID: _____ SAMPLE DATE: _____ SAMPLE TIME: _____ LAB ANALYSIS: _____		
SOIL DESCRIPTION: SOIL TYPE: <u>SAND / SILTY SAND</u> / SILT / SILTY CLAY / CLAY / GRAVEL / OTHER _____ SOIL COLOR: DARK YELLOWISH ORANGE COHESION (ALL OTHERS): NON COHESIVE <u>SLIGHTLY COHESIVE</u> / COHESIVE / HIGHLY COHESIVE CONSISTENCY (NON COHESIVE SOILS): LOOSE <u>FIRM</u> / DENSE / VERY DENSE MOISTURE: DRY <u>SLIGHTLY MOIST</u> / MOIST / WET / SATURATED / SUPER SATURATED SAMPLE TYPE: GRAB <u>COMPOSITE</u> # OF PTS. 5 DISCOLORATION/STAINING OBSERVED: YES <u>NO</u> EXPLANATION - _____ ANY AREAS DISPLAYING WETNESS: YES <u>NO</u> EXPLANATION - _____ ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: NO APPARENT EVIDENCE OF A RELEASE OBSERVED FROM BGT. 95 BBL LOW PROFILE TANK SITTING ON CONCRETE BLOCKS IN 18'X18'X4' DEPRESSION.		
SOIL IMPACT DIMENSION ESTIMATION: NA ft. X NA ft. X NA ft. EXCAVATION ESTIMATION (Cubic Yards): NA DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER: <50' NEAREST WATER SOURCE: <1,000' NEAREST SURFACE WATER: <1,000' NMOC DTPH CLOSURE STD: 100 ppm		
SITE SKETCH <div style="text-align: center;"> </div>		PLOT PLAN circle: attached OVM CALIB. READ. = 53.9 ppm RF = 0.52 OVM CALIB. GAS = 100 ppm TIME: 11:15 (am/pm) DATE: 03/16/12
NOTES: BGT = BELOW-GRADE TANK; E.D. = EXCAVATION DEPRESSION; B.G. = BELOW GRADE; B = BELOW; T.H. = TEST HOLE; ~ = APPROX.; T.B. = TANK BOTTOM; PBGTL = PREVIOUS BELOW-GRADE TANK LOCATION; SPD = SAMPLE POINT DESIGNATION; R.W. = RETAINING WALL; NA - NOT APPLICABLE OR NOT AVAILABLE; SW - SINGLE WALL; DW - DOUBLE WALL; SB - SINGLE BOTTOM; DB - DOUBLE BOTTOM.		MISCELL. NOTES WO: N1527509 PO #: 75181 PK: ZSCHWLLBGT PJ #: _____ OCD Appr. date(s): 01/11/12 Permit date(s): 06/14/10 Tank ID: _____ A BGT Sidewalls Visible: <u>Y</u> / N / NA BGT Sidewalls Visible: Y / N / NA Magnetic declination: 10° E
TRAVEL NOTES: _____ CALLOUT: _____ ONSITE: 03/16/12		

Analytical Report

Lab Order 1203758

Date Reported: 3/30/2012

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Blagg Engineering

Client Sample ID: 95 BGT 5-pt @ 4'

Project: Usselman GC 1A

Collection Date: 3/16/2012 11:40:00 AM

Lab ID: 1203758-001

Matrix: SOIL

Received Date: 3/21/2012 9:59:00 AM

Analyses	Result	RL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed
EPA METHOD 8015B: DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS						Analyst: JMP
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	10		mg/Kg	1	3/24/2012 2:18:46 AM
Surr: DNOP	93.6	77.4-131		%REC	1	3/24/2012 2:18:46 AM
EPA METHOD 8015B: GASOLINE RANGE						Analyst: NSB
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	4.9		mg/Kg	1	3/27/2012 4:07:27 PM
Surr: BFB	94.3	69.7-121		%REC	1	3/27/2012 4:07:27 PM
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES						Analyst: NSB
Benzene	ND	0.049		mg/Kg	1	3/27/2012 4:07:27 PM
Toluene	ND	0.049		mg/Kg	1	3/27/2012 4:07:27 PM
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.049		mg/Kg	1	3/27/2012 4:07:27 PM
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.098		mg/Kg	1	3/27/2012 4:07:27 PM
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	92.9	80-120		%REC	1	3/27/2012 4:07:27 PM
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS						Analyst: BRM
Chloride	ND	7.5		mg/Kg	5	3/23/2012 1:43:22 PM
EPA METHOD 418.1: TPH						Analyst: JMP
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	ND	21		mg/Kg	1	3/26/2012

Qualifiers:

* / X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

E Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

RL Reporting Detection Limit

QC SUMMARY REPORT

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1203758

30-Mar-12

Client: Blagg Engineering

Project: Usselman GC 1A

Sample ID: MB-1216	SampType: MBLK	TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions								
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 1216	RunNo: 1638								
Prep Date: 3/23/2012	Analysis Date: 3/23/2012	SeqNo: 46406			Units: mg/Kg					
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride	ND	1.5								

Sample ID: LCS-1216	SampType: LCS	TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions								
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 1216	RunNo: 1638								
Prep Date: 3/23/2012	Analysis Date: 3/23/2012	SeqNo: 46407			Units: mg/Kg					
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride	14	1.5	15.00	0	92.6	90	110			

Sample ID: 1203870-001BMS	SampType: MS	TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions								
Client ID: BatchQC	Batch ID: 1216	RunNo: 1638								
Prep Date: 3/23/2012	Analysis Date: 3/23/2012	SeqNo: 46409			Units: mg/Kg					
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride	ND	30	15.00	0	124	74.6	118			S

Sample ID: 1203870-001BMDS	SampType: MSD	TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions								
Client ID: BatchQC	Batch ID: 1216	RunNo: 1638								
Prep Date: 3/23/2012	Analysis Date: 3/23/2012	SeqNo: 46410			Units: mg/Kg					
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride	ND	30	15.00	0	121	74.6	118	0	20	S

Qualifiers:

* / X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
E Value above quantitation range
J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
RL Reporting Detection Limit

QC SUMMARY REPORT

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1203758

30-Mar-12

Client: Blagg Engineering

Project: Usselman GC 1A

Sample ID: MB-1194	SampType: MBLK	TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH								
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 1194	RunNo: 1685								
Prep Date: 3/22/2012	Analysis Date: 3/26/2012	SeqNo: 47661 Units: mg/Kg								
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	ND	20								

Sample ID: LCS-1194	SampType: LCS	TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH								
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 1194	RunNo: 1685								
Prep Date: 3/22/2012	Analysis Date: 3/26/2012	SeqNo: 47662 Units: mg/Kg								
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	99	20	100.0	0	98.6	87.8	115			

Sample ID: LCSD-1194	SampType: LCSD	TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH								
Client ID: LCSS02	Batch ID: 1194	RunNo: 1685								
Prep Date: 3/22/2012	Analysis Date: 3/26/2012	SeqNo: 47663 Units: mg/Kg								
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	100	20	100.0	0	102	87.8	115	3.00	8.04	

Qualifiers:

*X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
E Value above quantitation range
J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
RL Reporting Detection Limit

QC SUMMARY REPORT

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1203758

30-Mar-12

Client: Blagg Engineering

Project: Usselman GC 1A

Sample ID: MB-1193	SampType: MBLK	TestCode: EPA Method 8015B: Diesel Range Organics								
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 1193	RunNo: 1634								
Prep Date: 3/22/2012	Analysis Date: 3/23/2012	SeqNo: 46879			Units: mg/Kg					
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	10								
Surr: DNOP	9.2		10.00		91.6	77.4	131			

Sample ID: LCS-1193	SampType: LCS	TestCode: EPA Method 8015B: Diesel Range Organics								
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 1193	RunNo: 1634								
Prep Date: 3/22/2012	Analysis Date: 3/23/2012	SeqNo: 46880			Units: mg/Kg					
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	46	10	50.00	0	91.2	62.7	139			
Surr: DNOP	4.4		5.000		87.5	77.4	131			

Sample ID: 1203751-001AMS	SampType: MS	TestCode: EPA Method 8015B: Diesel Range Organics								
Client ID: BatchQC	Batch ID: 1193	RunNo: 1634								
Prep Date: 3/22/2012	Analysis Date: 3/23/2012	SeqNo: 46882			Units: mg/Kg					
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	43	10	49.90	0	85.9	57.2	146			
Surr: DNOP	4.3		4.990		86.6	77.4	131			

Sample ID: 1203751-001AMSD	SampType: MSD	TestCode: EPA Method 8015B: Diesel Range Organics								
Client ID: BatchQC	Batch ID: 1193	RunNo: 1634								
Prep Date: 3/22/2012	Analysis Date: 3/23/2012	SeqNo: 46883			Units: mg/Kg					
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	44	9.7	48.69	0	89.8	57.2	146	1.93	26.7	
Surr: DNOP	4.1		4.869		85.0	77.4	131	0	0	

Qualifiers:

*/X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

E Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

RL Reporting Detection Limit

QC SUMMARY REPORT

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1203758

30-Mar-12

Client: Blagg Engineering
Project: Usselman GC 1A

Sample ID: MB-1182	SampType: MBLK	TestCode: EPA Method 8015B: Gasoline Range								
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 1182	RunNo: 1710								
Prep Date: 3/21/2012	Analysis Date: 3/26/2012	SeqNo: 48158 Units: mg/Kg								
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	5.0								
Surr: BFB	940		1,000		93.9	69.7	121			

Sample ID: LCS-1182	SampType: LCS	TestCode: EPA Method 8015B: Gasoline Range								
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 1182	RunNo: 1710								
Prep Date: 3/21/2012	Analysis Date: 3/26/2012	SeqNo: 48159 Units: mg/Kg								
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	27	5.0	25.00	0	106	98.5	133			
Surr: BFB	990		1,000		98.9	69.7	121			

Sample ID: 1203751-001AMS	SampType: MS	TestCode: EPA Method 8015B: Gasoline Range								
Client ID: BatchQC	Batch ID: 1182	RunNo: 1710								
Prep Date: 3/21/2012	Analysis Date: 3/27/2012	SeqNo: 48179 Units: mg/Kg								
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	26	4.9	24.53	3.539	92.5	85.4	147			
Surr: BFB	1,100		981.4		112	69.7	121			

Sample ID: 1203751-001AMSD	SampType: MSD	TestCode: EPA Method 8015B: Gasoline Range								
Client ID: BatchQC	Batch ID: 1182	RunNo: 1710								
Prep Date: 3/21/2012	Analysis Date: 3/27/2012	SeqNo: 48180 Units: mg/Kg								
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	30	4.8	24.04	3.539	109	85.4	147	12.2	19.2	
Surr: BFB	1,200		961.5		128	69.7	121	0	0	S

Sample ID: MB-1228	SampType: MBLK	TestCode: EPA Method 8015B: Gasoline Range								
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 1228	RunNo: 1739								
Prep Date: 3/25/2012	Analysis Date: 3/27/2012	SeqNo: 49002 Units: %REC								
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Surr: BFB	950		1,000		95.3	69.7	121			

Sample ID: LCS-1228	SampType: LCS	TestCode: EPA Method 8015B: Gasoline Range								
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 1228	RunNo: 1739								
Prep Date: 3/25/2012	Analysis Date: 3/27/2012	SeqNo: 49003 Units: %REC								
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Surr: BFB	1,000		1,000		100	69.7	121			

Qualifiers:

* / X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
E Value above quantitation range
J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
RL Reporting Detection Limit

QC SUMMARY REPORT

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1203758

30-Mar-12

Client: Blagg Engineering

Project: Usselman GC 1A

Sample ID: 1203863-001AMS	SampType: MS	TestCode: EPA Method 8015B: Gasoline Range								
Client ID: BatchQC	Batch ID: 1228		RunNo: 1739							
Prep Date: 3/25/2012	Analysis Date: 3/27/2012		SeqNo: 49017			Units: %REC				
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Surr: BFB	1,000		996.0		103	69.7	121			

Sample ID: 1203863-001AMSD		SampType: MSD		TestCode: EPA Method 8015B: Gasoline Range						
Client ID: BatchQC		Batch ID: 1228		RunNo: 1739						
Prep Date: 3/25/2012		Analysis Date: 3/27/2012		SeqNo: 49018		Units: %REC				
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Surr: BFB	1.000		1.000		102	69.7	121	0	0	

Qualifiers:

* / X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
E Value above quantitation range
J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
RL Reporting Detection Limit

QC SUMMARY REPORT

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1203758

30-Mar-12

Client: Blagg Engineering

Project: Usselman GC 1A

Sample ID: MB-1182	SampType: MBLK	TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles								
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 1182	RunNo: 1711								
Prep Date: 3/21/2012	Analysis Date: 3/26/2012	SeqNo: 48204 Units: mg/Kg								
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	ND	0.050								
Toluene	ND	0.050								
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.050								
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.10								
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.94		1.000		93.5	80	120			

Sample ID: LCS-1182	SampType: LCS	TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles								
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 1182	RunNo: 1711								
Prep Date: 3/21/2012	Analysis Date: 3/26/2012	SeqNo: 48206 Units: mg/Kg								
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	0.89	0.050	1.000	0	88.8	83.3	107			
Toluene	0.92	0.050	1.000	0	91.7	74.3	115			
Ethylbenzene	0.93	0.050	1.000	0	93.4	80.9	122			
Xylenes, Total	2.8	0.10	3.000	0	94.1	85.2	123			
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.95		1.000		95.4	80	120			

Sample ID: MB-1228	SampType: MBLK	TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles								
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 1228	RunNo: 1740								
Prep Date: 3/25/2012	Analysis Date: 3/27/2012	SeqNo: 49023 Units: %REC								
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.95		1.000		95.5	80	120			

Sample ID: LCS-1228	SampType: LCS	TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles								
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 1228	RunNo: 1740								
Prep Date: 3/25/2012	Analysis Date: 3/27/2012	SeqNo: 49024 Units: %REC								
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.98		1.000		97.7	80	120			

Sample ID: 1203888-001AMS	SampType: MS	TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles								
Client ID: BatchQC	Batch ID: 1228	RunNo: 1740								
Prep Date: 3/25/2012	Analysis Date: 3/27/2012	SeqNo: 49045 Units: %REC								
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.93		0.9615		96.7	80	120			

Qualifiers:

*/X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

E Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

RL Reporting Detection Limit

QC SUMMARY REPORT

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1203758

30-Mar-12

Client: Blagg Engineering

Project: Usselman GC 1A

Sample ID: 1203888-001AMSD		SampType: MSD		TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles						
Client ID: BatchQC		Batch ID: 1228		RunNo: 1740						
Prep Date: 3/25/2012		Analysis Date: 3/27/2012		SeqNo: 49046		Units: %REC				
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.93		0.9690		95.5	80	120	0	0	

Qualifiers:

* / X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
E Value above quantitation range
J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
RL Reporting Detection Limit

Sample Log-In Check List

Client Name: **BLAGG**

Work Order Number: **1203758**

Received by/date:

LS

03/21/12

Logged By: **Lindsay Mangin**

3/21/2012 9:59:00 AM

Lindsay Mangin

Completed By: **Lindsay Mangin**

3/21/2012 10:45:26 AM

Lindsay Mangin

Reviewed By:

[Signature]

03/21/12

Chain of Custody

1. Were seals intact? Yes No Not Present ☒
2. Is Chain of Custody complete? Yes ☒ No Not Present
3. How was the sample delivered? Courier

Log In

4. Coolers are present? (see 19. for cooler specific information) Yes ☒ No NA
5. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes ☒ No NA
6. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C Yes ☒ No NA
7. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes ☒ No
8. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes ☒ No
9. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes ☒ No
10. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes No ☒ NA
11. VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes No No VOA Vials ☒
12. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes No ☒
13. Does paperwork match bottle labels?
(Note discrepancies on chain of custody) Yes ☒ No # of preserved bottles checked for pH:
14. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes ☒ No (<2 or >12 unless noted)
15. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes ☒ No Adjusted?
16. Were all holding times able to be met?
(If no, notify customer for authorization.) Yes ☒ No Checked by:

Special Handling (if applicable)

17. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No NA ☒

Person Notified:

[Signature]

Date:

[Signature]

By Whom:

[Signature]

Via:

eMail

Phone

Fax

In Person

Regarding:

[Signature]

Client Instructions:

[Signature]

18. Additional remarks:

19. Cooler Information

Cooler No	Temp °C	Condition	Seal Intact	Seal No	Seal Date	Signed By
1	1.3	Good	Yes			

