District 1 1625 N 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 District II 811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 District III 1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410 District IV 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources Department Oil Conservation Division

1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

Form C-144 Revised June 6, 2013

For temporary pits, below-grade tanks, and multi-well fluid management pits, submit to the appropriate NMOCD District Office. For permanent pits submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

Pit, Below-Grade Tank, or RECEIVED 12636 Proposed Alternative Method Permit or Closure Plan Application
Type of action: Below grade tank registration Permit of a pit or proposed alternative method FEB 0 2 2015
Permit of a pit or proposed alternative method Closure of a pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method Modification to an existing permit/or registration Closure plan only submitted for an existing permitted or non-permitted pit below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method
Instructions: Please submit one application (Form C-144) per individual pit, below-grade tank or alternative request
Please be advised that approval of this request does not relieve the operator of liability should operations result in pollution of surface water, ground water or the environment. Nor does approval relieve the operator of its responsibility to comply with any other applicable governmental authority's rules, regulations or ordinances.
Operator: BP America Production Company OGRID #:778
Address:200 Energy Court, Farmington, NM 87401
Facility or well name:Gallegos Canyon Unit 207E
Facility or well name: Gallegos Canyon Unit 207E API Number: 3004523987 30.045 - 23897 OCD Permit Number:
U/L or Qtr/QtrDSection14 Township28NRange12WCounty:San Juan
Center of Proposed Design: Latitude36.66718 Longitude108.08597 NAD: ☐1927 ☑ 1983
Surface Owner: 🛮 Federal 🗌 State 🗌 Private 🗎 Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment
2.
Pit: Subsection F, G or J of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
Temporary: Drilling Workover
Permanent Emergency Cavitation P&A Multi-Well Fluid Management Low Chloride Drilling Fluid yes no
Lined Unlined Liner type: Thicknessmil LLDPE HDPE PVC Other
String-Reinforced
Liner Seams: Welded Factory Other Volume: bbl Dimensions: L x W x D
3,
Below-grade tank: Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Tank A
Volume:95.0bbl Type of fluid:Produced water
Tank Construction material:Steel
☐ Secondary containment with leak detection ☐ Visible sidewalls, liner, 6-inch lift and automatic overflow shut-off
☐ Visible sidewalls and liner ☐ Visible sidewalls only ☒ Other _Single walled/double bottomed; side walls not visible
Liner type: Thicknessmil
4.
Alternative Method:

Submittal of an exception request is required. Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

Fencing: Subsection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits, temporary pits, and below-grade tanks)									
Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top (Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent residence, school, institution or abuseh)	hospital,								
institution or church) [Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet									
Alternate. Please specify									
6.									
Netting: Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks) Screen Netting Other									
Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)									
7.									
Signs: Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC									
☐ 12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers ☐ Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC									
Signed in compliance with 19.13.16.8 NMAC									
8. Variances and Exceptions:									
Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance.									
Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank: Variance(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district for consideration of approval. Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.									
9.	1								
Siting Criteria (regarding permitting): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of accematerial are provided below. Siting criteria does not apply to drying pads or above-grade tanks.	ptable source								
General siting									
Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of a low chloride temporary pit or below-grade tank. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA								
Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of a Temporary pit, permanent pit, or Multi-Well Fluid Management pit. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes No								
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	Yes No								
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	☐ Yes ☐ No								
 Within an unstable area. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map 	☐ Yes ☐ No								
Within a 100-year floodplain. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) - FEMA map	☐ Yes ☐ No								
Below Grade Tanks									
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, significant watercourse, lake bed, sinkhole, wetland or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No								
Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for public or livestock consumption;. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No								
Temporary Pit using Low Chloride Drilling Fluid (maximum chloride content 15,000 mg/liter)									
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). (Applies to low chloride temporary pits.) - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No								

Within 300 feet from a occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial	Yes No
application Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	
Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 300feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of the initial application. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No
Within 100 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No
Temporary Pit Non-low chloride drilling fluid	
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse, or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	
	Yes No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in the existence at the time of the initial application; - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No
Within 300 feet of a wetland US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No
Permanent Pit or Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit	
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).	
- Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No
Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of	
initial application NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No
Within 500 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No
Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 N Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the dot attached.	
Hydrogeologic Report (Below-grade Tanks) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Hydrogeologic Data (Temporary and Emergency Pits) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC	NMAC
Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19. and 19.15.17.13 NMAC	15.17.9 NMAC
Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number:	
II.	
Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the docattached.	cuments are
Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC A List of wells with approved application for permit to drill associated with the pit.	15 17 0 27 4 2
☐ Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19 and 19.15.17.13 NMAC ☐ Hydrogeologic Data - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC	.15.17.9 NMAC
Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC	,
Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number:	

12. Permanent Pits Permit Application Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the	documents are
### Authors of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Climatological Factors Assessment Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Leak Detection Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Liner Specifications and Compatibility Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Quality Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installation Plan Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Freeboard and Overtopping Prevention Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Nuisance or Hazardous Odors, including H₂S, Prevention Plan Emergency Response Plan Oil Field Waste Stream Characterization Monitoring and Inspection Plan Erosion Control Plan Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC	
13. Proposed Closure: 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan.	
Type: Drilling Workover Emergency Cavitation P&A Permanent Pit Below-grade Tank Multi-well F Alternative Proposed Closure Method: Waste Excavation and Removal Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only) On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems) In-place Burial On-site Trench Burial Alternative Closure Method	Fluid Management Pit
Waste Excavation and Removal Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. □ Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC □ Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.13 NMAC □ Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings) □ Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC □ Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	
siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendations of acceptable sou provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria require justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency. I 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance.	
Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes No
Ground water is between 25-50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes No
Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes No
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	Yes No
Within 300 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance	Yes No

adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	
- written commination of vertication from the municipality, written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within an unstable area. - Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map	
	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within a 100-year floodplain FEMA map	☐ Yes ☐ No
On-Site Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plan by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Proof of Surface Owner Notice - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection K of 19.15.17.1 Construction/Design Plan of Temporary Pit (for in-place burial of a drying pad) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.1 Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Waste Material Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings or in case on-site closure standards canno Soil Cover Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	11 NMAC 5.17.11 NMAC
17.	
Operator Application Certification: The solve contributed to the information submitted with this application is true accounts and convolute to the heat of my knowledge and helication.	-£
I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belie	
Name (Print): Title:	
Name (Print):	
Signature: Date:	
Signature: Date: e-mail address: Telephone:	
Signature:	
Signature: Date: e-mail address: Telephone:	
Signature:	OIS the closure report.
Signature: e-mail address: Telephone: Closure Plan (only) OCD Conditions (see attachment) OCD Representative Signature: Approval Date: 2/12/20 OCD Permit Number:	the closure report.

Operator Closure Certification:	
I hereby certify that the information and attachments submitted with this closure rebelief. I also certify that the closure complies with all applicable closure requirements.	
Name (Print):Jeff Peace	Title: Field Environmental Coordinator
Signature: Iff Posee	Date:January 30, 2015
e-mail address:peace.jeffrey@bp.com	Telephone:(505) 326-9479

BP AMERICA PRODUCTION COMPANY

SAN JUAN BASIN, NORTHWEST NEW MEXICO

BELOW-GRADE TANK CLOSURE PLAN

Gallegos Canyon Unit 207E API No. 3004523987 Unit Letter D, Section 14, T28N, R12W

This plan will address the standard protocols and procedures for closure of below-grade tanks (BGTs) on BP America Production Company (BP) well sites. As stipulated in Paragraph A of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall close a BGT within the time periods provided in 19.15.17.13 NMAC, or by an earlier date that the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) requires because of imminent danger to fresh water, public health, safety or the environment. If deviations from this plan are necessary, any specific changes will be included on form C-144 and approved by the NMOCD. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC or is not included in Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC within five years after June 16, 2008, if not retrofit with a BGT that complies with the BP NMOCD approved BGT design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC, if not previously retrofitted to comply with the BP NMOCD approve BGT Design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan, prior to any sale or change in operator pursuant to 19.15.9.9 NMAC. BP shall close the permitted BGT within 60 days of cessation of the BGTs operation or as required by the transitional provisions of Subsection B, D, or E of 19.15.17.17 NMAC.

General Closure Plan

- 1. BP shall notify the surface owner by certified mail that it plans to close a BGT. Evidence of mailing of the notice to the address of the surface owner shown in the county tax records demonstrates compliance with this requirement.
 - No notice was made due to misunderstanding of the BGT notice requirements at that time.
- 2. BP shall notify the division District III office verbally or by other means at least 72 hours, but not more than one (1) week, prior to any closure operation. The notice shall include the operator's name, and the location to be closed by unit letter, section, township and range. If the BGT closure is associated with a particular well, then the notice shall also include the well's name, number and API number.
 - No notice was made due to misunderstanding of the BGT notice requirements at that time.
- 3. BP shall remove liquids and sludge from the BGT prior to implementing a closure method and dispose of the liquids and sludge in a NMOCD's division-approved facility. The facilities to be used are:
 - a. BP Crouch Mesa Landfarm, Permit NM-02-003 (Solids)
 - b. JFJ Landfarm, Permit NM-01-010(B) (Solids and Sludge)
 - c. Basin Disposal, Permit NM-01-0005 (Liquids)

- d. Envirotech Inc Soil Remediation Facility, Permit NM-01-0011 (Solids and Sludge)
- e. BP Operated E.E. Elliott SWD #1, API 30-045-27799 (Liquids)
- f. BP Operated 13 GCU SWD #1, API 30-045-28601 (Liquids)
- g. BP Operated GCU 259 SWD, API 30-045-20006 (Liquids)
- h. BP Operated GCU 306 SWD, API 30-045-24286 (Liquids)
- i. BP Operated GCU 307 SWD, API 30-045-24248 (Liquids)
- j. BP Operated GCU 328 SWD, API 30-045-24735 (Liquids)
- k. BP Operated Pritchard SWD #1, API 30-045-28351 (Liquids)

All liquids and sludge in the BGT were removed and sent to one of the above NMOCD approved facilities for disposal.

4. BP shall remove the BGT and dispose of it in a NMOCD approved facility or recycle, reuse, or reclaim it in a manner that the NMOCD approves. If a liner is present and must be disposed of it will be cleaned by scraping any soils or other attached materials on the liner to a de minimus amount and disposed at a permitted solid waste facility, pursuant to Subparagraph (m) of Paragraph (1) of Subsection C of 19.15.35.8 NMAC. Documentation as to the final disposition of the removed BGT will be provided in the final closure report.

The BGT was transported to a storage area for sale and re-use.

5. BP shall remove any on-site equipment associated with a BGT unless the equipment is required for well production.

All equipment associated with the BGT has been removed.

6. BP shall test the soils beneath the BGT to determine whether a release has occurred. BP shall collect at a minimum: a five (5) point composite sample and individual grab samples from any area that is wet, discolored or showing other evidence of a release and analyze for BTEX, TPH and chlorides. The testing methods for those constituents are as follows;

Constituents	Testing Method	Release Verification	Sample
	95 bbl BGT	(mg/Kg)	results
Benzene	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	0.2	ND
Total BTEX	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	50	10
TPH	US EPA Method SW-846 418.1	100	4,300
Chlorides	US EPA Method 300.0 or 4500B	250 or background	ND

Notes: mg/Kg = milligram per kilogram, BTEX = benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes, TPH = total petroleum hydrocarbons. Other EPA methods that the division approves may be applied to all constituents listed. Chloride closure standards will be determined by which ever concentration level is greatest.

Soil under the BGT was sampled and BTEX and chloride levels were below the stated limits. TPH was 4,300 ppm by Method 418.1 and 2,330 ppm by Method 8015D. Sampling data is attached.

- 7. BP shall notify the division District III office of its results on form C-141. **C-141 is attached.**
- 8. If it is determined that a release has occurred, then BP will comply with 19.15.30 NMAC and 19.15.29 NMAC, as appropriate.
 - Sampling results indicate a release occurred. The release will be addressed through the spill and release guidelines and a C-141 Final will be submitted when remediation is complete.
- 9. If the sampling demonstrates that a release has not occurred or that any release does not exceed the concentrations specified above, then BP shall backfill the excavation, with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material; construct a division-prescribed soil cover, re-contour and re-vegetate the location. The location will be reclaimed if it is not with in the active process area

The area under the BGT was backfilled with clean soil and is still within the active well area.

10. BP shall reclaim the BGT location and all areas associated with the BGT including associated access roads to a safe and stable condition that blends with the surrounding undisturbed area. BP shall substantially restore the impacted surface area to the condition that existed prior to oil and gas operations by placement of the soil cover as provided in Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, re-contour the location and associated areas to a contour that approximates the original contour and blends with the surrounding topography and re-vegetate according to Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC.

The area over the BGT is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

11. The soil cover for closures where the BGT has been removed or remediated to the NMOCD's satisfaction shall consist of the background thickness of topsoil or one foot of suitable material to establish vegetation at the site, whichever is greater. The soil cover will be constructed to the site's existing grade and all practicable efforts will be made to prevent ponding of water and erosion of the cover material.

The area over the BGT is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

12. BP shall seed the disturbed area the first growing season after closure of the BGT. Seeding will be accomplished by drilling on the contour whenever practical or by other division-approved methods. Vegetative cover will be, at a minimum, 70% of the native perennial vegetative cover (un-impacted by overgrazing, fire or other intrusion damaging to native vegetation), consisting of at least three native plant species, including at least one grass, but not including noxious weeds, and maintenance of that cover through two successive growing seasons. During the two growing seasons that prove viability, there shall be no artificial irrigation of the vegetation.

The area over the BGT is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

- 13. BP shall seed, plant and re-seed pursuant to Paragraph (3) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, until the location successfully achieves the required vegetative cover.
 - BP will seed the area when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.
- 14. Pursuant to Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall notify the NMOCD when it has seeded or planted and when it successfully achieves revegetation.
 - BP will notify NMOCD when re-vegetation is successful.
- 15. Within 60 days of closure completion, BP shall submit a closure report on NMOCD's form C-144, and will include the following;
 - a. proof of closure notification (surface owner and NMOCD)
 - b. sampling analytical reports; information required by 19.15.17 NMAC;
 - c. disposal facility name and permit number
 - d. details on back-filling, capping, covering, and where applicable re-vegetation application rates and seeding techniques and
 - e. site reclamation, photo documentation.

 Closure report on C-144 form is included.
- 16. BP shall certify that all information in the report and attachments is accurate, truthful, and compliant with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.

Certification section of C-144 has been completed.

District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

Form C-141 Revised August 8, 2011

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505 Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

Release Notification and Corrective Action

Name of Company: BP					OPERA	ГOR		☐ Initia	ıl Report	\boxtimes	Final Report
Surface Owner: Federal Mineral Owner: Federal API No. 3004523897				(Contact: Jef	f Peace					
Surface Owner: Federal Mineral Owner: Federal API No. 3004523897 LOCATION OF RELEASE Unit Letter Section 14 28N 12W 950 North North/South Line Feet from the 1,070 West Line County; San Juan Latitude 36.66718 Longitude 108.08597 NATURE OF RELEASE Volume of Release: unknown Volume Recovered: none Source of Release: below grade tank = 95 lbh Date and Hour of Occurrence: Date and Hour of Discovery; Murch 31, unknown 17 YES, To Whom? Was Immediate Notice Given? Yes No Not Required Pyes, No Tyes, Volume Impacting the Watercourse Reached? Yes No Tyes, Volume Impacting the Watercourse. By Whom? Was a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.* Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* Sampling of the soil beneath the BGT was done during removal to ensure no soil impacts from the BGT. Soil analysis resulted in BTEX and chlorides below standards. TPH was 4,300 ppm by Method 418.1 and 2,330 ppm by Method 8015D, indicating a release had occurred. Analysis resulted and release guidelines and a C-141 final will be submitted when the remediation is complete. The area under the BGT was backfilled and compacted and is still within the active well area. I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or fite certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other reductions. NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other reductions. NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other reductions. NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for complian					Telephone 1	No.: 505-326 - 94	79				
Location of Release: Section Township Range 12W 28N Range 12W 80 Ra	Facility Name: Gallegos Can	yon Unit 207E		J	Facility Typ	e: Natural gas v	vell				
Latitude36.66718	Surface Owner: Federal		Mineral O	wner: F	Federal			API No	. 30045238	97	
Latitude36.66718		-	LOCA	TION	OF RE	LEASE					
Latitude 36.66718 Longitude 108.08597	Unit Letter Section Towns	hip Range					East/W	est Line	County: Sa	ın Juan	
Type of Release: oil/condensate Type of Release: below grade tank = 95 bbl Date and Hour of Occurrence: Unknown Date and Hour of Occurrence: Unknown Date and Hour of Occurrence: Unknown Date and Hour of Discovery: March 31, unknown Was Immediate Notice Given? Yes No Not Required By Whom? Date and Hour If YES, To Whom? Date and Hour If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse. Date and Hour If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse. Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* Sampling of the soil beneath the BGT was done during removal to ensure no soil impacts from the BGT. Soil analysis resulted in BTEX and chlorides below standards. TPH was 4,300 ppm by Method 418.1 and 2,330 ppm by Method 8015D, indicating a release had occurred. Analysis results are attached. Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* BGT was removed and the area underneath the BGT was sampled. The impacted soil beneath the BGT will be addressed through the spill and release guidelines and a C-141 final will be submitted when the remediation is complete. The area under the BGT was backfilled and compacted and is still within the active well area. I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to ground water, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations. OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION A											
Type of Release: oil/condensate Source of Release: below grade tank = 95 bbl Date and Hour of Occurrence: Under and Hour of Discovery: March 31, unknown By Whom? Yes No Not Required By Whom? Date and Hour of Discovery: March 31, 2014; 2:10 PM If YES, To Whom? If YES, To Whom? Date and Hour If YES, To Whom? Date and Hour If YES, To Whom? If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse. If A Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.* Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* Sampling of the soil beneath the BGT was done during removal to ensure no soil impacts from the BGT. Soil analysis resulted in BTEX and chlorides below standards. TPH was 4,300 ppm by Method 418.1 and 2,330 ppm by Method 8015D, indicating a release had occurred. Analysis results are attached. Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* BGT was removed and the area underneath the BGT was sampled. The impacted soil beneath the BGT was backfilled and compacted and is still within the active well area. I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to ground water, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations. OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION Approved by Environmental Specialist:		Latitude36	5.66718		Longitud	e 108.08597					
Type of Release: oil/condensate Source of Release: below grade tank = 95 bbl Date and Hour of Occurrence: Under and Hour of Discovery: March 31, unknown By Whom? Yes No Not Required By Whom? Date and Hour of Discovery: March 31, 2014; 2:10 PM If YES, To Whom? If YES, To Whom? Date and Hour If YES, To Whom? Date and Hour If YES, To Whom? If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse. If A Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.* Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* Sampling of the soil beneath the BGT was done during removal to ensure no soil impacts from the BGT. Soil analysis resulted in BTEX and chlorides below standards. TPH was 4,300 ppm by Method 418.1 and 2,330 ppm by Method 8015D, indicating a release had occurred. Analysis results are attached. Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* BGT was removed and the area underneath the BGT was sampled. The impacted soil beneath the BGT was backfilled and compacted and is still within the active well area. I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to ground water, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations. OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION Approved by Environmental Specialist:			NAT	URE	OF REL	EASE					
Source of Release: below grade tank – 95 bbl	Type of Release: oil/condensate	···					'n	Volume R	ecovered: n	one	
By Whom? Was a Watercourse Reached? Yes No Not Required By Whom? Was a Watercourse Reached? Yes No If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.* Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* Sampling of the soil beneath the BGT was done during removal to ensure no soil impacts from the BGT. Soil analysis resulted in BTEX and chlorides below standards. TPH was 4,300 ppm by Method 418.1 and 2,330 ppm by Method 8015D, indicating a release had occurred. Analysis results are attached. Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* BGT was removed and the area underneath the BGT was sampled. The impacted soil beneath the BGT was backfilled and compacted and is still within the active well area. I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to ground water, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations. OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION Signature: Printed Name: Jeff Peace		ank – 95 bbl									March 31,
By Whom? Was a Watercourse Reached? Yes No Date and Hour If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse. If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.* Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* Sampling of the soil beneath the BGT was done during removal to ensure no soil impacts from the BGT. Soil analysis resulted in BTEX and chlorides below standards. TPH was 4,300 ppm by Method 418.1 and 2,330 ppm by Method 8015D, indicating a release had occurred. Analysis results are attached. Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* BGT was removed and the area underneath the BGT was sampled. The impacted soil beneath the BGT will be addressed through the spill and release guidelines and a C-141 final will be submitted when the remediation is complete. The area under the BGT was backfilled and compacted and is still within the active well area. I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of itability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to ground water, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations. OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION Signature: Printed Name: Jeff Peace								2014; 2:10) PM		
By Whom? Was a Watercourse Reached? Yes No If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse. If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse. Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* Sampling of the soil beneath the BGT was done during removal to ensure no soil impacts from the BGT. Soil analysis resulted in BTEX and chlorides below standards. TPH was 4,300 ppm by Method 418.1 and 2,330 ppm by Method 8015D, indicating a release had occurred. Analysis results are attached. Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* BGT was removed and the area underneath the BGT was sampled. The impacted soil beneath the BGT will be addressed through the spill and release guidelines and a C-141 final will be submitted when the remediation is complete. The area under the BGT was backfilled and compacted and is still within the active well area. I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to ground water, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations. OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION Signature: Printed Name: Jeff Peace	Was Immediate Notice Given?	-			If YES, To	Whom?					
Was a Watercourse Reached? Yes No If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.* Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* Sampling of the soil beneath the BGT was done during removal to ensure no soil impacts from the BGT. Soil analysis resulted in BTEX and chlorides below standards. TPH was 4,300 ppm by Method 418.1 and 2,330 ppm by Method 8015D, indicating a release had occurred. Analysis results are attached. Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* BGT was removed and the area underneath the BGT was sampled. The impacted soil beneath the BGT will be addressed through the spill and release guidelines and a C-141 final will be submitted when the remediation is complete. The area under the BGT was backfilled and compacted and is still within the active well area. I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to ground water, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations. OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION Signature: Printed Name: Jeff Peace		∐ Yes ∐	No ⊠ Not Re	quired							
If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.* Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* Sampling of the soil beneath the BGT was done during removal to ensure no soil impacts from the BGT. Soil analysis resulted in BTEX and chlorides below standards. TPH was 4,300 ppm by Method 418.1 and 2,330 ppm by Method 8015D, indicating a release had occurred. Analysis results are attached. Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* BGT was removed and the area underneath the BGT was sampled. The impacted soil beneath the BGT will be addressed through the spill and release guidelines and a C-141 final will be submitted when the remediation is complete. The area under the BGT was backfilled and compacted and is still within the active well area. I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to ground water, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations. OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION Signature: Approved by Environmental Specialist:											
Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* Sampling of the soil beneath the BGT was done during removal to ensure no soil impacts from the BGT. Soil analysis resulted in BTEX and chlorides below standards. TPH was 4,300 ppm by Method 418.1 and 2,330 ppm by Method 8015D, indicating a release had occurred. Analysis results are attached. Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* BGT was removed and the area underneath the BGT was sampled. The impacted soil beneath the BGT will be addressed through the spill and release guidelines and a C-141 final will be submitted when the remediation is complete. The area under the BGT was backfilled and compacted and is still within the active well area. I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to ground water, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations. OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION Signature: Approved by Environmental Specialist:	Was a Watercourse Reached?				If YES, Vo	olume Impacting t	he Water	rcourse.			
Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* Sampling of the soil beneath the BGT was done during removal to ensure no soil impacts from the BGT. Soil analysis resulted in BTEX and chlorides below standards. TPH was 4,300 ppm by Method 418.1 and 2,330 ppm by Method 8015D, indicating a release had occurred. Analysis results are attached. Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* BGT was removed and the area underneath the BGT was sampled. The impacted soil beneath the BGT will be addressed through the spill and release guidelines and a C-141 final will be submitted when the remediation is complete. The area under the BGT was backfilled and compacted and is still within the active well area. I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to ground water, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations. OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION Signature: Approved by Environmental Specialist:	•	∐ Yes ⊠	No								
Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* Sampling of the soil beneath the BGT was done during removal to ensure no soil impacts from the BGT. Soil analysis resulted in BTEX and chlorides below standards. TPH was 4,300 ppm by Method 418.1 and 2,330 ppm by Method 8015D, indicating a release had occurred. Analysis results are attached. Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* BGT was removed and the area underneath the BGT was sampled. The impacted soil beneath the BGT will be addressed through the spill and release guidelines and a C-141 final will be submitted when the remediation is complete. The area under the BGT was backfilled and compacted and is still within the active well area. I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to ground water, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations. OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION Signature: Approved by Environmental Specialist:	If a Watercourse was Impacted, I	Describe Fully.*									
the BGT. Soil analysis resulted in BTEX and chlorides below standards. TPH was 4,300 ppm by Method 418.1 and 2,330 ppm by Method 8015D, indicating a release had occurred. Analysis results are attached. Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* BGT was removed and the area underneath the BGT was sampled. The impacted soil beneath the BGT will be addressed through the spill and release guidelines and a C-141 final will be submitted when the remediation is complete. The area under the BGT was backfilled and compacted and is still within the active well area. I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to ground water, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations. OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION Signature: Approved by Environmental Specialist:	•	•									
BGT will be addressed through the spill and release guidelines and a C-141 final will be submitted when the remediation is complete. The area under the BGT was backfilled and compacted and is still within the active well area. I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to ground water, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations. OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION Signature: Approved by Environmental Specialist: Printed Name: Jeff Peace	the BGT. Soil analysis resulted	n BTEX and ch	lorides below star								
regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to ground water, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations. OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION Approved by Environmental Specialist:	BGT will be addressed through t	he spill and relea	ase guidelines and	l a C-14							
Signature: Approved by Environmental Specialist: Printed Name: Jeff Peace Approved by Environmental Specialist:	regulations all operators are requ public health or the environment should their operations have faile or the environment. In addition,	ired to report an The acceptanced to adequately NMOCD accep	d/or file certain re e of a C-141 repo investigate and re	elease no rt by the emediate	otifications a NMOCD m contaminati	nd perform correc arked as "Final Ro on that pose a thre	tive action eport" do eat to gro	ons for rele ses not reli- ound water	eases which a eve the oper surface wa	may end ator of l ter, hun	danger liability nan health
Printed Name: Jeff Peace Approved by Environmental Specialist:	^	_	-			OIL CONS	SERV	ATION	DIVISIO	N	
Printed Name: Jeff Peace Approved by Environmental Specialist:	Signature: Off Peace	/									
				A	Approved by	Environmental Sp	pecialist:				
		linator	٠	I	Approval Da	te:	E	xpiration I	Date:		
E-mail Address: peace.jeffrey@bp.com Conditions of Approval: Attached	E-mail Address: peace.jeffrey@l	pp.com		(Conditions of	f Approval:			Attached		
Date: January 30, 2015 Phone: 505-326-9479	Date: January 30 2015	Phone	: 505-326-9479							_	

^{*} Attach Additional Sheets If Necessary

CLIENT: BP	BLAGG ENGINEERI P.O. BOX 87, BLOOMFIEL (505) 632-119	_D, NM 87413	API #: 3004523897 TANK ID (if applicble): A
FIELD REPORT:	(circle one): BGT CONFIRMATION / RELEASE INVESTIG	GATION / OTHER:	PAGE#: 1 of 1
	: SITE NAME: GCU # 207E 28N RNG: 12W PM: NM CNT	y: SJ st. NM	DATE STARTED: 03/31/14 DATE FINISHED:
1/4-1/4/FOOTAGE: 950'N / 1,070' LEASE #: SF078905		DOSCEIDE	ENVIRONMENTAL SPECIALIST(S): JCB
3)	GPS COORD.: 36.66718 X 108 GPS COORD.: GPS COORD.:	DISTANCE/BEA DISTANCE/BEA DISTANCE/BEA	ARING FROM WH.: 182', N55E ARING FROM WH.: 4
SAMPLING DATA:	GPS COORD.:CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD(S) # OR LAB USED:	***	ARING FROM W.H.: OVM
1) SAMPLE ID: 95 BGT 5-pt. @ 2) SAMPLE ID:	6' SAMPLE DATE: 03/31/14 SAMPLE TIME: SAMPLE DATE: SAMPLE TIME: SAMPLE DATE: SAMPLE TIME: SAMPLE DATE: SAMPLE TIME:	1410 LAB ANALYSIS: 418.1/3 LAB ANALYSIS: LAB ANALYSIS:	
	SAMPLE DATE: SAMPLE TIME: SOIL TYPE: SAND / SILTY SAND SILT / SILTY CLAY / CL		
APPARENT EVIDENCE OF A RELEASE OBSERVE EQUIPMENT SET OVER RECLAIMED AREA:	COHESIVE COHESIVE / HIGHLY COHESIVE OSE FIRM / DENSE / VERY DENSE T / SATURATED / SUPER SATURATED OF PTS. 5 ANY AREAS DISPLAY	/E CLAYS & SILTS): SOFT / FIRM / D: YES NO EXPLANATION - MINO TING WETNESS: YES NO EXPLAI TION - DRATION AND ODOR	OR (PHYSICALLY)
SOIL IMPACT DIMENSION ESTIMATION:			TIMATION (Cubic Yards) : ?
SITE SKETCH	BGT Located : off on site PLOT PL FF-SITE IRFACE LAINAGE RECTION PROD. TANK BERM	PBGTL T.B. ~ 6' B.G. SEPARATOR	
NOTES: BGT = BELOW-GRADE TANK; E.D. = EXCAVATIO T.B. = TANK BOTTOM; PBGTL = PREVIOUS BELO	N DEPRESSION; B.G. = BELOW GRADE; B = BELOW; T.H. = TEST HOLE; DW-GRADE TANK LOCATION; SPD = SAMPLE POINT DESIGNATION; R.W WALL; DW - DOUBLE WALL; SB - SINGLE BOTTOM; DB - DOUBLE BOTT ONSITE	~ = APPROX.; W.H. = WELL HEAD; / = RETAINING WALL; NA - NOT OM.	BGT Sidewalls Visible: Y / N //agnetic declination: 10° E

Analytical Report

Lab Order 1404118

Date Reported: 4/10/2014

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Blagg Engineering

Client Sample ID: 95 BGT 5-pt @ 6'

Project:

GCU 207E

Collection Date: 3/31/2014 2:10:00 PM

Lab ID:

1404118-001

Matrix: SOIL

Received Date: 4/2/2014 9:45:00 AM

Analyses	Result	RL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 8015D: DIESEL RANGE	ORGANICS					Analys	t: BCN
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	1900	99		mg/Kg	10	4/4/2014 1:51:00 PM	12515
Surr: DNOP	0	66-131	S	%REC	10	4/4/2014 1:51:00 PM	12515
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RAI	NGE					Analys	t: NSB
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	430	48		mg/Kg	10	4/4/2014 4:17:00 PM	12511
Surr: BFB	383	74.5-129	S	%REC	10	4/4/2014 4:17:00 PM	12511
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES						Analys	t: NSB .
Benzene	ND	0.24		mg/Kg	10	4/4/2014 4:17:00 PM	12511
Toluene	ND	0.48		mg/Kg	10	4/4/2014 4:17:00 PM	12511
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.48		mg/Kg	10	4/4/2014 4:17:00 PM	12511
Xylenes, Total	10	0.97		mg/Kg	10	4/4/2014 4:17:00 PM	12511
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	120	80-120	S	%REC	10	4/4/2014 4:17:00 PM	12511
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS						Analys	t: JRR
Chloride	ND	30		mg/Kg	20	4/3/2014 1:30:09 PM	12522
EPA METHOD 418.1: TPH						Analyst	t: JME
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	4300	200		mg/Kg	10	4/3/2014 12:00:00 PM	12509

TPH (8015B) - 2,330 mg/Kg or ppm TPH (418.1) - 4,300 mg/Kg or ppm

Benzene - ND

Total BTEX - 10 mg/Kg or ppm

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- Ē Value above quantitation range
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits J
- RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- RPD outside accepted recovery limits R
- Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

Page 1 of 6

- Sample pH greater than 2.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1404118 10-Apr-14

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

GCU 207E

Sample ID MB-12522

SampType: MBLK

TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

Client ID: PBS

Batch ID: 12522

PQL

RunNo: 17803

4/3/2014

Analysis Date: 4/3/2014

Result

SeqNo: 513066

Units: mg/Kg

HighLimit

%RPD **RPDLimit** Qual

Analyte Chloride

Prep Date:

ND 1.5

Sample ID LCS-12522

SampType: LCS

TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit

Client ID:

LCSS

Batch ID: 12522

RunNo: 17803

Prep Date:

4/3/2014

Analysis Date: 4/3/2014

SeqNo: 513067

Units: mg/Kg

Result

110

HighLimit %RPD **RPDLimit** Qual

PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC Analyte LowLimit Chloride 14 1.5 15.00 94.0 90

Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- RSD is greater than RSDlimit O
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits S
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2. Reporting Detection Limit

Page 2 of 6

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1404118 10-Apr-14

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

GCU 207E

Sample ID MB-12509

SampType: MBLK

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

Client ID:

PBS

Batch ID: 12509

PQL

20

20

RunNo: 17775

Prep Date: 4/2/2014 Analysis Date: 4/3/2014

ND

SeqNo: 512268

Units: mg/Kg

%RPD

Analyte

Result

SPK value SPK Ref Val

%REC LowLimit

HighLimit

RPDLimit

Qual

Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR Sample ID LCS-12509

SampType: LCS

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

Client ID:

LCSS

Batch ID: 12509

RunNo: 17775

Prep Date: 4/2/2014 Analysis Date: 4/3/2014

SeqNo: 512269

Units: mg/Kg

%RPD

Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR

Result PQL 91

Result

94

SPK value SPK Ref Val

%REC

90.7

HighLimit

120

RPDLimit

Qual

Qual

Sample ID LCSD-12509

SampType: LCSD

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

80

LowLimit

Client ID:

LCSS02

Batch ID: 12509

RunNo: 17775

Prep Date: Analyte

Analyte

4/2/2014

Analysis Date: 4/3/2014

0

n

SeqNo: 512270

Units: mg/Kg

%RPD **RPDLimit**

Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR

PQL. SPK value SPK Ref Val

100.0

93.5

LowLimit

80

HighLimit 120

3.04

20

20

100.0

%REC

Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank В
- Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded Н
- Not Detected at the Reporting Limit ND
- P Sample pH greater than 2.
- Reporting Detection Limit

Page 3 of 6

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

52

4.2

10

50.00

5.000

WO#:

1404118

10-Apr-14

Client:

Diesel Range Organics (DRO)

Surr: DNOP

Blagg Engineering

Project:

GCU 207E

Sample ID MB-12515	SampType: MB	TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics							
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 12515		F	RunNo: 1	7768				
Prep Date: 4/2/2014	Analysis Date: 4/3	/2014	8	SeqNo: 5	12740	Units: mg/K	(g		
Analyte	Result PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND 10								
Surr: DNOP	8.2	10.00		81.9	66	131			
Sample ID LCS-12515	SampType: LCS	3	Tes	tCode: EF	A Method	8015D: Diese	el Range C	Organics	
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 125	15	F	RunNo: 1	7768				
Prep Date: 4/2/2014	Analysis Date: 4/3	/2014	S	SeqNo: 5	12742	Units: mg/K	g		
Analyte	Result PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual

0

104

83.5

60.8

66

145

131

Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- RSD is greater than RSDlimit 0
- RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded Н
- Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

Reporting Detection Limit

P Sample pH greater than 2. Page 4 of 6

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1404118

10-Apr-14

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

GCU 207E

Sample ID MB-12511	SampType: MBLK			Tes	TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range					
Client ID: PBS	Batc	h ID: 12	511	F	RunNo: 1	7777				
Prep Date: 4/2/2014	Analysis [Date: 4/	3/2014	9	SeqNo: 5	12609	Units: mg/F	(g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	5.0								
Surr: BFB	850		1000		84.8	74.5	129			
Sample ID LCS-12511	Samp	Гуре: LC	===== :S	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	8015D: Gaso	oline Rang	<u> </u>	
Client ID: LCCC	Poto	h ID: 42	E44	г	Numble: 4					

CHERTID. LC33	Analysis Date: 4/3/2014			1	vuilivo. I	,,,,					
Prep Date: 4/2/2014				5	SeqNo: 5	12610	(g				
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual	
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	26	5.0	25.00	0	102	71.7	134			-	
Surr: BFB	970		1000		97.0	74.5	129				

Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 5 of 6

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1404118

10-Apr-14

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

GCU 207E

3												
Sample ID MB-12511	Samp ⁻	Tes	TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles									
Client ID: PBS	Batc	h ID: 12	511	F	RunNo: 1	7777						
Prep Date: 4/2/2014	Analysis [Date: 4/	3/2014	4 SeqNo: 512663		12663	Units: mg/k	ζg				
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual		
Benzene	ND	0.050										
Toluene ·	ND	0.050										
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.050										
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.10										
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.0		1.000		100	80	120					
Sample ID LCS-12511	Samp	Гуре: LC	s	TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles								
Client ID: LCSS	Batc	h ID: 12	511	F	RunNo: 1	7777						
Prep Date: 4/2/2014	Analysis [Date: 4/	3/2014	S	SeqNo: 5	12664	Units: mg/K	(g				
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual		
Benzene :	1.1	0.050	1.000	0	109	80	120					
Toluene	1.0	0.050	1.000	0	101	80	120					
Ethylbenzene	1.0	0.050	1.000	0	101	80	120					
(ylenes, Total	3.0	0.10	3.000	0	99.7	80	120					
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.1		1.000		107	80	120					

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2.

RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 6 of 6



4901 Hawkins NE Albuguerque, NM 87105 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107

87/05 Sample Log-In Check List

Website: www.hallenvironmental.com Client Name: BLAGG Work Order Number: 1404118 RcptNo: 1 Received by/date: Michelle Garcia 4/2/2014 9:45:00 AM Logged By: Completed By: Michelle Garcia 4/2/2014 1:33:53 PM Reviewed By: Chain of Custody Yes 🗌 No 🗆 Not Present 🔽 1 Custody seals intact on sample bottles? No 🗌 Yes 🗹 Not Present 2. Is Chain of Custody complete? 3 How was the sample delivered? Courier Log In NA 🗌 No 🔲 4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes 🗹 5. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C No 🔲 NA 🗌 Yes 🗸 6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? No 🗌 Yes 🔽 7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes ~ No 🗌 8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes 🗹 No 🔲 NA 🗆 No 🗹 9. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes No 🔲 No VOA Vials 10.VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes Yes No 🗹 11. Were any sample containers received broken? # of preserved bottles checked Yes 🔽 No 🗌 for pH: 12. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (<2 or >12 unless noted) (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) Adjusted? 13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? No 🗔 Yes Yes 🔽 No 🗀 14. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes 🗹 No 🗀 Checked by: 15. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Special Handling (if applicable) Yes 🔲 No 🔲 NA 🗸 16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Date: Person Notified: By Whom: Via: eMail Phone Fax In Person Regarding: Client Instructions: 17. Additional remarks: 18. Cooler Information Cooler No | Temp °C | Condition | Seal Intact | Seal No | Seal Date Signed By... 1.4 Good Yes

BP America			Standard □ Rush					ANALYSIS LABORATORY										
			Project Name:							ww	v.hal	llenvir	onmo	ental	com			
Mailing Address: P.O. Box 87		€87	GCU 207E Project #:				www.hallenvironmental.com 4901 Hawkins NE - Albuquerque, NM 87109											
Bloomfield, NM 87413								Tel. 505-345-3975 Fax 505-345-4107										
Phone #: (505)320-1183						∀.				À	inaly	/sis [,] F	(équ	eşt.				
email or Fax	# :			Project Mana	iger.													T
QA/QC Packa	age:	-			Jeff Blagg		- 1							}]	1 1	
Standard			☐ Level 4 (Full Validation	1)					l ô							1		İ
☐ Other				Sampler:	Jeff Blagg				(GRO / DRO)							-],
□ EDD (Typ				On Ice:	rx∕Yes	⊿ No .			8				İ					
				Sample Tem	perature: U		<u> </u>	-						1		-	1 1	;
Date	Time	Matrix	Sample Request ID	Container Type and #	Preservative Type	HEAL NO.	RTEX (8024		TPH 8015B	TPH 418.1							Chloride	
03/31/2014	14:10	Soil	95 BGT 5-pt @ 6'	40z x 1	cool	-01	х		х	x					T		×	
								 							\top		11	_
		 		 	 			+					_	_	_	\dashv	1	_
						 		+						\dashv	+		+-+	-+
									<u> </u>				-	_	+	-	\vdash	
		<u> </u>			ļ										\bot		 	\perp
								<u> </u>										
								1										
								1						1	7		1	
								+						+	_	1	1	
								+							+	+	+	+
Date: 1/2,014	Time:	Relinquish	ed by:	Received by: Date Time Matty unlike 4/1/2014 1555				Remarks: Bill BP Paykey: ZDCS01GEN1 BP Contact: Jeff Peace Please copy results to: peace.jeffrey@bp.com										
Date:	Time:	Relinquished by:		Received by: \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \														
4/1/14	1749	Chus			X NA	0/4/094K			·									
)f nec	cessary, samples	submitted to H	all Environmental may be subcontracted	ed to other accredite	d laboratories. This	s serves as notice of this po	ossibility.	Any sub	-contra	acted o	lata w	ili be c	learly n	otated	on the	analytica	l report.	



