 <u>District I</u> 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 <u>District II</u> 811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 <u>District III</u> 1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410 <u>District IV</u> 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505 	State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources Department Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505	Form C-144 Revised June 6, 2013 For temporary pits, below-grade tanks, and multi-well fluid management pits, submit to the appropriate NMOCD District Office. For permanent pits submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.
Type of action: Below g Permit d Glosure Type of action: Below g Permit d Closure Modifie Closure or proposed alternative methor <i>Instructions: Please submit one</i> Please be advised that approval of this request does not	of a pit or proposed alternative method of a pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternati ation to an existing permit/or registration plan only submitted for an existing permitted or	FEB 1 8 2015 non-permitted pit, below gradetank, grade tank or alternative request n pollution of surface water, ground water or the
Address:200 Energy Court, Farmington, Facility or well name:Russell A 2 API Number:3004507093 U/L or Qtr/QtrM Section25	yOGRID #:7 NM 87401 OCD Permit Number: Township28N Range8W 808Longitude107.63845 Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment	County:San Juan
Lined Unlined Liner type: Thickness	AC &A Multi-Well Fluid Management La mil LLDPE HDPE PVC Ot Volume:bbl	her
Tank Construction material: Steel Secondary containment with leak detection Visible sidewalls and liner Visible sidewalls	of fluid:Produced water	verflow shut-off comed; side walls not visible

Submittal of an exception request is required. Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

2

Fencing: Subsection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits, temporary pits, and below-grade tanks)

Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top (Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution or church)

Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet

Alternate. Please specify_

5.

Netting: Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (*Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks*)

Screen 🗌 Netting 🗍 Other_

Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)

Signs: Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC

12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers

Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC

Variances and Exceptions:

Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance.

Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank:

□ Variance(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district for consideration of approval.

Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

Siting Criteria (regarding permitting): 19.15.17.10 NMAC

Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of acceptable source material are provided below. Siting criteria does not apply to drying pads or above-grade tanks.

General siting	
Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of a low chloride temporary pit or below-grade tank. - INM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; IUSGS; IData obtained from nearby wells	Yes No
Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of a Temporary pit, permanent pit, or Multi-Well Fluid Management pit. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
 Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
 Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division 	🔲 Yes 🗋 No
 Within an unstable area. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
Within a 100-year floodplain. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) - FEMA map	🔲 Yes 🗌 No
Below Grade Tanks	
 Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, significant watercourse, lake bed, sinkhole, wetland or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	🗋 Yes 🗋 No
 Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for public or livestock consumption;. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
Temporary Pit using Low Chloride Drilling Fluid (maximum chloride content 15,000 mg/liter)	
 Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). (Applies to low chloride temporary pits.) Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No

Within 300 feet from a occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
 application. Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image 	
Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 300feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of the initial application. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	🗋 Yes 🗌 No
 Within 100 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	Yes No
Temporary Pit Non-low chloride drilling fluid	
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse, or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes 🗋 No
 Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image 	
 Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in the existence at the time of the initial application; NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	Yes No
 Within 300 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
Permanent Pit or Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit	
 Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
 Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
Within 500 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
10. Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 N	MAC
Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the doc	cuments are
 attached. Hydrogeologic Report (Below-grade Tanks) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Hydrogeologic Data (Temporary and Emergency Pits) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19. 	
and 19.15.17.13 NMAC	
Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number:	······································
II. Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the doc attached. Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC	suments are
 A List of wells with approved application for permit to drill associated with the pit. Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19 and 19.15.17.13 NMAC Hydrogeologic Data - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC 	.15.17.9 NMAC
 Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number: 	

٠

•

12. Permanent Pits Permit Application Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC	
Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the attached.	documents are
 Hydrogeologic Report - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC 	
 Climatological Factors Assessment Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC 	
 Leak Detection Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Liner Specifications and Compatibility Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Quality Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installation Plan 	
 Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Freeboard and Overtopping Prevention Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC 	
 Nuisance or Hazardous Odors, including H₂S, Prevention Plan Emergency Response Plan Oil Field Waste Stream Characterization 	·
Monitoring and Inspection Plan	
Erosion Control Plan	
Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC	
13. Proposed Closure: 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan.	
Type: ☐ Drilling ☐ Workover ☐ Emergency ☐ Cavitation ☐ P&A ☐ Permanent Pit ☐ Below-grade Tank ☐ Multi-well F ☐ Alternative	Tuid Management Pit
Proposed Closure Method: 🔲 Waste Excavation and Removal	
 Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only) On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems) 	
🔲 In-place Burial 📋 On-site Trench Burial	
Alternative Closure Method	
 Waste Excavation and Removal Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings) Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC 	
ting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only): 19.15.17.10 NMAC astructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendations of acceptable sou rovided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria require justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency. I 9.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance.	
 Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells 	Yes No
 round water is between 25-50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells 	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
 round water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells 	Yes No
 /ithin 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, lakebed, sinkhole, or playa ke (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
 /ithin 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
 Vithin 300 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence the time of initial application. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	Yes No
ritten confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	Yes No
Vithin 300 feet of a wetland. JS Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	
Vithin incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
Cil Composition Division	

 adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality 	Yes No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
 Within an unstable area. Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map 	
Within a 100-year floodplain.	Yes No
- FEMA map	☐ Yes ☐ No
16 On-Site Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plane by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Proof of Surface Owner Notice - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection K of 19.15.17.1 Construction/Design Plan of Temporary Pit (for in-place burial of a drying pad) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Waste Material Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings or in case on-site closure standards canned Soil Cover Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	11 NMAC 15.17.11 NMAC
17. Operator Application Certification:	
I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief	ef.
Name (Print): Title:	
Signature: Date:	
e-mail address: Telephone:	
18. OCD Approval: Permit Application (including closure plan) OCD Closure Plan (only) OCD Conditions (see attachment) OCD Representative Signature:	2015
19. Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion): 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved closure plan prior to implementing any closure activities and submitting of The closure report is required to be submitted to the division within 60 days of the completion of the closure activities. Please do not a section of the form until an approved closure plan has been obtained and the closure activities have been completed. Closure Completion Date: 4/30/2013	
 20. Closure Method: Waste Excavation and Removal On-Site Closure Method Alternative Closure Method Waste Removal (Closed-loc If different from approved plan, please explain. 	op systems only)
 21. <u>Closure Report Attachment Checklist</u>: <i>Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure report. Please ind</i> mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Proof of Closure Notice (surface owner and division) Proof of Deed Notice (required for on-site closure for private land only) Plot Plan (for on-site closures and temporary pits) Confirmation Sampling Analytical Results (if applicable) Waste Material Sampling Analytical Results (required for on-site closure) 	licate, by a check

.

Operator Closure Certification:

22.

I hereby certify that the information and attachments submitted with this closure report is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I also certify that the closure complies with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.

Name (Print):Jeff Peace	Title: Field Environmental Coordinator
Signature: Joff Pore	Date:February 17, 2015
e-mail address:peace.jeffrey@bp.com	Telephone:(505) 326-9479

BP AMERICA PRODUCTION COMPANY SAN JUAN BASIN, NORTHWEST NEW MEXICO

BELOW-GRADE TANK CLOSURE PLAN

<u>Russell A 2</u> <u>API No. 3004507093</u> <u>Unit Letter M, Section 25, T28N, R8W</u>

This plan will address the standard protocols and procedures for closure of below-grade tanks (BGTs) on BP America Production Company (BP) well sites. As stipulated in Paragraph A of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall close a BGT within the time periods provided in 19.15.17.13 NMAC, or by an earlier date that the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) requires because of imminent danger to fresh water, public health, safety or the environment. If deviations from this plan are necessary, any specific changes will be included on form C-144 and approved by the NMOCD. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC or is not included in Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC within five years after June 16, 2008, if not retrofit with a BGT that complies with the BP NMOCD approved BGT design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC, if not previously retrofitted to comply with the BP NMOCD approve BGT Design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan, prior to any sale or change in operator pursuant to 19.15.9.9 NMAC. BP shall close the permitted BGT within 60 days of cessation of the BGTs operation or as required by the transitional provisions of Subsection B, D, or E of 19.15.17.17 NMAC.

General Closure Plan

- BP shall notify the surface owner by certified mail that it plans to close a BGT. Evidence of mailing of the notice to the address of the surface owner shown in the county tax records demonstrates compliance with this requirement. No notice was made due to misunderstanding of the BGT notice requirements at that time.
- 2. BP shall notify the division District III office verbally or by other means at least 72 hours, but not more than one (1) week, prior to any closure operation. The notice shall include the operator's name, and the location to be closed by unit letter, section, township and range. If the BGT closure is associated with a particular well, then the notice shall also include the well's name, number and API number.

No notice was made due to misunderstanding of the BGT notice requirements at that time.

- 3. BP shall remove liquids and sludge from the BGT prior to implementing a closure method and dispose of the liquids and sludge in a NMOCD's division-approved facility. The facilities to be used are:
 - a. BP Crouch Mesa Landfarm, Permit NM-02-003 (Solids)
 - b. JFJ Landfarm, Permit NM-01-010(B) (Solids and Sludge)
 - c. Basin Disposal, Permit NM-01-0005 (Liquids)

- d. Envirotech Inc Soil Remediation Facility, Permit NM-01-0011 (Solids and Sludge)
- e. BP Operated E.E. Elliott SWD #1, API 30-045-27799 (Liquids)
- f. BP Operated 13 GCU SWD #1, API 30-045-28601 (Liquids)
- g. BP Operated GCU 259 SWD, API 30-045-20006 (Liquids)
- h. BP Operated GCU 306 SWD, API 30-045-24286 (Liquids)
- i. BP Operated GCU 307 SWD, API 30-045-24248 (Liquids)
- j. BP Operated GCU 328 SWD, API 30-045-24735 (Liquids)
- k. BP Operated Pritchard SWD #1, API 30-045-28351 (Liquids)
 - All liquids and sludge in the BGT were removed and sent to one of the above NMOCD approved facilities for disposal.
- 4. BP shall remove the BGT and dispose of it in a NMOCD approved facility or recycle, reuse, or reclaim it in a manner that the NMOCD approves. If a liner is present and must be disposed of it will be cleaned by scraping any soils or other attached materials on the liner to a de minimus amount and disposed at a permitted solid waste facility, pursuant to Subparagraph (m) of Paragraph (1) of Subsection C of 19.15.35.8 NMAC. Documentation as to the final disposition of the removed BGT will be provided in the final closure report.

The BGT was transported to a storage area for sale and re-use.

5. BP shall remove any on-site equipment associated with a BGT unless the equipment is required for well production.

All equipment associated with the BGT has been removed.

6. BP shall test the soils beneath the BGT to determine whether a release has occurred. BP shall collect at a minimum: a five (5) point composite sample and individual grab samples from any area that is wet, discolored or showing other evidence of a release and analyze for BTEX, TPH and chlorides. The testing methods for those constituents are as follows;

Constituents	Testing Method	Release Verification	Sample
	95 bbl BGT	(mg/Kg)	results
Benzene	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	0.2	ND
Total BTEX	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	50	ND
TPH	US EPA Method SW-846 418.1	100	ND
Chlorides	US EPA Method 300.0 or 4500B	250 or background	ND

Notes: mg/Kg = milligram per kilogram, BTEX = benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes, TPH = total petroleum hydrocarbons. Other EPA methods that the division approves may be applied to all constituents listed. Chloride closure standards will be determined by which ever concentration level is greatest.

Soil under the BGT was sampled and TPH, BTEX and chloride levels were below the stated limits. Sampling data is attached.

- BP shall notify the division District III office of its results on form C-141.
 C-141 is attached.
- If it is determined that a release has occurred, then BP will comply with 19.15.30 NMAC and 19.15.29 NMAC, as appropriate.
 Sampling results indicate no release occurred.
- 9. If the sampling demonstrates that a release has not occurred or that any release does not exceed the concentrations specified above, then BP shall backfill the excavation, with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material; construct a division-prescribed soil cover, re-contour and re-vegetate the location. The location will be reclaimed if it is not with in the active process area

The area under the BGT was backfilled with clean soil and is still within the active well area.

10. BP shall reclaim the BGT location and all areas associated with the BGT including associated access roads to a safe and stable condition that blends with the surrounding undisturbed area. BP shall substantially restore the impacted surface area to the condition that existed prior to oil and gas operations by placement of the soil cover as provided in Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, re-contour the location and associated areas to a contour that approximates the original contour and blends with the surrounding topography and re-vegetate according to Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC.

The area over the BGT is covered by the LPT and is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

11. The soil cover for closures where the BGT has been removed or remediated to the NMOCD's satisfaction shall consist of the background thickness of topsoil or one foot of suitable material to establish vegetation at the site, whichever is greater. The soil cover will be constructed to the site's existing grade and all practicable efforts will be made to prevent ponding of water and erosion of the cover material.

The area over the BGT is covered by the LPT and is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

12. BP shall seed the disturbed area the first growing season after closure of the BGT. Seeding will be accomplished by drilling on the contour whenever practical or by other division-approved methods. Vegetative cover will be, at a minimum, 70% of the native perennial vegetative cover (un-impacted by overgrazing, fire or other intrusion damaging to native vegetation), consisting of at least three native plant species, including at least one grass, but not including noxious weeds, and maintenance of that cover through two successive growing seasons. During the two growing seasons that prove viability, there shall be no artificial irrigation of the vegetation.

The area over the BGT is covered by the LPT and is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

13. BP shall seed, plant and re-seed pursuant to Paragraph (3) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, until the location successfully achieves the required vegetative cover.

BP will seed the area when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

14. Pursuant to Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall notify the NMOCD when it has seeded or planted and when it successfully achieves revegetation.

BP will notify NMOCD when re-vegetation is successful.

- 15. Within 60 days of closure completion, BP shall submit a closure report on NMOCD's form C-144, and will include the following;
 - a. proof of closure notification (surface owner and NMOCD)
 - b. sampling analytical reports; information required by 19.15.17 NMAC;
 - c. disposal facility name and permit number
 - d. details on back-filling, capping, covering, and where applicable re-vegetation application rates and seeding techniques and
 - e. site reclamation, photo documentation. Closure report on C-144 form is included.
- 16. BP shall certify that all information in the report and attachments is accurate, truthful, and compliant with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.

Certification section of C-144 has been completed.

•

.

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

Oil Conservation Division

Form C-141 Revised August 8, 2011

Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

	ncis Dr., Santa		50	anta Fe	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i						
		Rel	lease Notifi	catior	1 and Co	orrective A	ction				
			·		OPERA			🗌 Initi	al Report	\boxtimes	Final Repo
	ompany: BP		114.07401		Contact: Jef						
	me: Russell	ourt, Farmington, 1	NM 87401			No.: 505-326-94 be: Natural gas v					
-											
Surface Ow	ner: Federa	1	Mineral (Owner: I	Federal			API No	b. 30045070	093	
Unit Letter	Section	Township Range	LOCA Feet from the		N OF RE	LEASE Feet from the	Fost/W	est Line	County: S	on Juan	
M		28N 8W	990	South	South Line	990	West		County. S	an Juan	
		Latitude	36.62808		_ Longitud	e 107.63845_					
			NAT	TURE	OF REL	EASE					
Type of Rele						Release: N/A			Recovered: N		
	elease: below ate Notice Gi	grade tank – 95 bbl				Hour of Occurrence	e:	Date and	Hour of Dis	covery:	
was immedia	ale Notice Gi		🗌 No 🖾 Not R	equired	If YES, To	whom?					
By Whom?			-		Date and H	Iour					
Was a Water	course Reach	ed?	No No		If YES, Vo	olume Impacting f	the Water	course.			,
Describe Cau	use of Probler	acted, Describe Fully n and Remedial Actio sulted in TPH, BTEX	on Taken.* Sampli					removal	to ensure no	soil im	pacts from
Describe Cau he BGT. So Describe Are	use of Probler iil analysis res a Affected ar	n and Remedial Actio	on Taken.* Sampli and chloride belo ken.* BGT was re	w standa	rds. Analysi	s results are attac	hed.				_
Describe Cau he BGT. So Describe Are packfilled and hereby certi egulations al public health hould their cor the enviror	use of Probler il analysis res ea Affected ar d compacted ify that the in Il operators an or the enviro operations har nment. In ado	n and Remedial Actio sulted in TPH, BTEX nd Cleanup Action Ta	on Taken.* Sampli and chloride belo ken.* BGT was re active well area. e is true and comp ind/or file certain r ice of a C-141 repo y investigate and r	w standa moved a lete to the elease no ort by the emediate	rds. Analysi nd the area u ne best of my otifications are NMOCD me contaminati	s results are attack nderneath the BG knowledge and u nd perform correc arked as "Final R on that pose a thr	T was sa nderstand tive actio eport" do eat to gro	mpled. The second secon	he area unde suant to NM0 eases which ieve the oper r, surface wa	OCD ru may en ator of ter, hur	GT was lles and danger liability nan health
Describe Cau he BGT. So Describe Are packfilled and hereby certi egulations al public health should their c or the enviror ederal, state,	use of Probler il analysis res ea Affected ar d compacted ify that the in Il operators an or the enviro operations har nment. In ado	n and Remedial Actio sulted in TPH, BTEX nd Cleanup Action Ta and is still within the formation given abov re required to report a nment. The acceptan ve failed to adequatel dition, NMOCD acce	on Taken.* Sampli and chloride belo ken.* BGT was re active well area. e is true and comp ind/or file certain r ice of a C-141 repo y investigate and r	w standa moved a lete to the elease no ort by the emediate	rds. Analysi nd the area u ne best of my otifications are NMOCD me contaminati	s results are attack nderneath the BG knowledge and u nd perform correc arked as "Final R on that pose a thr	hed. T was sa nderstand tive actio eport" do eat to gro responsib	mpled. The second secon	he area unde suant to NM0 eases which ieve the oper r, surface wa ompliance w	OCD ru may en ator of iter, hur ith any	GT was lles and danger liability nan health
Describe Cau he BGT. So Describe Are backfilled and hereby certi regulations al public health should their c or the enviror rederal, state, Signature:	ase of Probler il analysis res a Affected ar d compacted ify that the in Il operators ar or the enviro operations have nment. In add or local laws	n and Remedial Actio sulted in TPH, BTEX nd Cleanup Action Ta and is still within the formation given abov re required to report a nment. The acceptan ve failed to adequatel dition, NMOCD acce	on Taken.* Sampli and chloride belo ken.* BGT was re active well area. e is true and comp ind/or file certain r ice of a C-141 repo y investigate and r	w standa moved a lete to the elease no ort by the emediate report do	rds. Analysi nd the area u ne best of my potifications are NMOCD me contaminati pes not reliev	s results are attack nderneath the BG knowledge and u nd perform correc arked as "Final R on that pose a thr e the operator of the	nderstand trive action eport" do eat to gro responsib	mpled. The second secon	he area unde suant to NM0 eases which ieve the oper r, surface wa ompliance w	OCD ru may en ator of iter, hur ith any	GT was lles and danger liability nan health
Describe Cau he BGT. So Describe Are packfilled and hereby certi egulations al public health hould their c or the enviror ederal, state, Signature:	ise of Probler il analysis res a Affected ar d compacted ify that the in Il operators an or the enviro operations ha nment. In add or local laws ar Jeff Peace	n and Remedial Actio sulted in TPH, BTEX nd Cleanup Action Ta and is still within the formation given abov re required to report a nment. The acceptan ve failed to adequatel dition, NMOCD acce	on Taken.* Sampli and chloride belo ken.* BGT was re active well area. e is true and comp ind/or file certain r ice of a C-141 repo y investigate and r	w standa moved a lete to the elease no ort by the emediate report do	rds. Analysi nd the area u ne best of my potifications are NMOCD me contaminati pes not reliev	s results are attack nderneath the BG knowledge and u nd perform correc arked as "Final R on that pose a thr e the operator of r <u>OIL CON</u> Environmental S	hed. T was sa nderstand tive actio eport" do eat to gro responsib SERVA pecialist:	mpled. The second secon	he area unde suant to NM0 eases which ieve the oper r, surface wa ompliance w DIVISIC	OCD ru may en ator of iter, hur ith any	GT was lles and danger liability nan health
Describe Cau he BGT. So Describe Are backfilled and thereby certi regulations al public health should their co or the enviror Federal, state, Signature: Printed Name Fitle: Field E	ise of Probler il analysis res a Affected ar d compacted ify that the in ll operators an or the enviro operations ha nment. In ado or local laws cor local laws cor local compacted cor Jeff Peace	n and Remedial Actionsulted in TPH, BTEX and Cleanup Action Ta and is still within the formation given abov re required to report a nment. The acceptan ve failed to adequatel dition, NMOCD acce s and/or regulations.	on Taken.* Sampli and chloride belo ken.* BGT was re active well area. e is true and comp ind/or file certain r ice of a C-141 repo y investigate and r	w standa moved a lete to th elease no ort by the emediate report do	rds. Analysis nd the area u ne best of my otifications are NMOCD me contaminations not reliev	s results are attack nderneath the BG knowledge and u nd perform correc arked as "Final R on that pose a thr e the operator of r <u>OIL CON</u> Environmental S	hed. T was sa nderstand tive actio eport" do eat to gro responsib SERVA pecialist:	mpled. The purse of the purse o	he area unde suant to NM0 eases which ieve the oper r, surface wa ompliance w DIVISIC	oCD rumay en ator of ter, hur vith any	GT was lles and danger liability nan health
Describe Cau he BGT. So Describe Are packfilled and hereby certi egulations al public health hould their co or the enviror ederal, state, Gignature: Printed Name Title: Field E E-mail Addre Date: Februa	ise of Probler il analysis res a Affected ar d compacted ify that the in ll operators ar or the enviro operations ha nment. In add or local laws c: Jeff Peace invironmental ess: peace.jeff ary 17, 2015	n and Remedial Actionsulted in TPH, BTEX and Cleanup Action Ta and is still within the formation given abov re required to report a nment. The acceptan ve failed to adequatel dition, NMOCD acce s and/or regulations.	on Taken.* Sampli and chloride belo ken.* BGT was re active well area. e is true and comp ind/or file certain r ice of a C-141 repo y investigate and r	w standa moved a lete to th elease no ort by the emediate report do	rds. Analysis nd the area u ne best of my otifications are NMOCD me contaminati pes not reliev Approved by Approval Dat	s results are attack nderneath the BG knowledge and u nd perform correc arked as "Final R on that pose a thr e the operator of r <u>OIL CON</u> Environmental S	hed. T was sa nderstand tive actio eport" do eat to gro responsib SERVA pecialist:	mpled. The purse of the purse o	he area unde suant to NMG eases which ieve the oper r, surface wa ompliance w DIVISIC	oCD rumay en ator of ter, hur vith any	GT was lles and danger liability nan health

		NEERING, INC. OMFIELD, NM 87413	API #: 3004507093
		632-1199	TANK ID (if applicble): A
FIELD REPORT:	(circle one): BGT CONFIRMATION / RELE	EASE INVESTIGATION / OTHER:	PAGE #:
	SITE NAME: RUSSELL		DATE STARTED: 04/16/13
QUAD/UNIT: M SEC: 25 TWP:			DATE FINISHED:
<u>1/4-1/4/FOOTAGE:</u> 990'S / 990'W LEASE #: NM013860A	PROD. FORMATION: MV CONTR	FEDERAL STATE / FEE / INDIAN ELKHORN ACTOR: MBF - B. SCHURMA	N SPECIALIST(S): JCB
REFERENCE POINT	WELL HEAD (W.H.) GPS COO	RD.: 36.62790 X 107.6380	2 GL ELEV.: 5,885'
1) 95 BGT (DW/DB)	GPS COORD.: 36.628	808 X 107.63845 DISTANCE	BEARING FROM W.H.: 141', N61 W
2)	GPS COORD.:	DISTANCE	BEARING FROM W.H.:
	GPS COORD.:	DISTANCE	BEARING FROM W.H.:
	GPS COORD.:	DISTANCE	BEARING FROM W.H.:
SAMPLING DATA:	CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD(S) # OR LAB		READING (ppm)
_		SAMPLE TIME: 1142 LAB ANALYSIS: 418 .1	· · /
		SAMPLE TIME: LAB ANALYSIS:	
		SAMPLE TIME: LAB ANALYSIS:	
		SAMPLE TIME: LAB ANALYSIS:	
SOIL DESCRIPTION		SILT / SILTY CLAY / CLAY / GRAVEL / (DTHER
SOIL COLOR: DARK YE COHESION (ALL OTHERS): NON COHESIVE SLIGHTLY		PLASTICITY (CLAYS): NON PLASTIC / SLIGHTLY PLASTI	
CONSISTENCY (NON COHESIVE SOILS): LC		DENSITY (COHESIVE CLAYS & SILTS): SC	
MOISTURE: DRY / SLIGHTLY MOIST / MOIST / WE		HC ODOR DETECTED: YES NO EX	PLANATION
SAMPLE TYPE: GRAB COMPOSITE # DISCOLORATION/STAINING OBSERVED:			
DISCOLORATION/STAINING OBSERVED.			
ANY AREAS DISPLAYING WETNESS: YES (NO			
APPARENT EVIDENCE OF A RELEASE O ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:	BSERVED AND/OR OCCURRED : YES	NO EXPLANATION :	
SOIL IMPACT DIMENSION ESTIMATION:			STIMATION (Cubic Yards) :
	EAREST WATER SOURCE: >1,000' NE		OCD TPH CLOSURE STD: ppm
SITE SKETCH		PLOT PLAN circle: attached	VM CALIB. READ. =
			VM CALIB. GAS = 100 ppm
PBGTL		NI	ME: <u>10:18</u> ampm DATE: <u>04/16/13</u>
T.B. ~ 6' → (B.G.	(x x x)	•	MISCELL. NOTES
2.0.			WO: N15105696
			PO #: PK: ZEVH01BGT2
			PJ#: Z2-00690-C
		W.H.	Permit date(s): 06/10/10
		\oplus	OCD Appr. date(s): 09/17/12
			Tank OVM = Organic Vapor Meter ID ppm = parts per million
			A BGT Sidewalls Visible: Y (N)
		X - S.P.D.	BGT Sidewalls Visible: Y / N BGT Sidewalls Visible: Y / N
	N DEPRESSION; B.G. = BELOW GRADE; B = BELOW; T. OW-GRADE TANK LOCATION; SPD = SAMPLE POINT DE : WALL; DW - DOUBLE WALL; SB - SINGLE BOTTOM; DE	ESIGNATION; R.W. = RETAINING WALL; NA - NOT	Magnetic declination: 10° E
TRAVEL NOTES: CALLOUT:		ONSITE: 04/16/13	

•

•

.

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Analytical Report Lab Order 1304711 Date Reported: 4/30/2013

CLIENT:	Blagg Engineering		Client
Project:	Russell A #2		Colle
Lab ID:	1304711-001	Matrix: SOIL	Rec

Client Sample ID: 95 BGT 5PC-TB@6' Collection Date: 4/16/2013 11:42:00 AM Received Date: 4/17/2013 10:00:00 AM

Analyses	Result	RL Qu	al Units	DF	Date Analyzed
EPA METHOD 8015D: DIESEL RANG	E ORGANICS		-		Analyst: GSA
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	10	mg/Kg	1	4/20/2013 6:02:50 AM
Surr: DNOP	105	63-147	%REC	1	4/20/2013 6:02:50 AM
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RA	NGE				Analyst: NSB
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	4.6	mg/Kg	1	4/25/2013 1:30:44 AM
Surr: BFB	89.4	80-120	%REC	1	4/25/2013 1:30:44 AM
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analyst: NSB
Benzene	ND	0.046	mg/Kg	1	4/25/2013 1:30:44 AM
Toluene	ND	0.046	mg/Kg	1	4/25/2013 1:30:44 AM
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.046	mg/Kg	1	4/25/2013 1:30:44 AM
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.093	mg/Kg	1	4/25/2013 1:30:44 AM
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	99.9	80-120	%REC	1	4/25/2013 1:30:44 AM
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analyst: JRR
Chloride	ND	7.5	mg/Kg	5	4/19/2013 12:47:05 PM
EPA METHOD 418.1: TPH					Analyst: LRW
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	ND	20	mg/Kg	1	4/19/2013

Qualifiers:

*

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH greater than 2
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

QC SUMMARY REPORT	
Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.	

WO#: 1304711

30-Apr-13

Client:	Blagg Eng													
Project:	Russell A	. #2												
Sample ID	MB-7071	SampT	ype: MI	зlk	Tes	TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions								
Client ID:	PBS	Batch	n ID: 70	71	F	RunNo: 1	0014							
Prep Date:	4/19/2013	Analysis D	ate: 4	19/2013	5	SeqNo: 2	85211	Units: mg/K	g					
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual			
Chloride		ND	1.5											
Sample ID	LCS-7071	SampT	ype: LC	s	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	300.0: Anion	s					
Client ID:	LCSS	CSS Batch ID: 7071				RunNo: 10014								
Prep Date:	4/19/2013	Analysis D	ate: 4/	19/2013	5	SeqNo: 2	85212	Units: mg/Kg						
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual			
Chloride		14	1.5	15.00	0	94.6	90	110						
Sample ID	1304713-001AMS	SampT	ype: MS	3	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	300.0: Anion	s					
Client ID:	BatchQC	Batch	וD: 70	71	F	RunNo: 1	0014							
Prep Date:	4/19/2013	Analysis D	ate: 4	19/2013	S	SeqNo: 2	85224	Units: mg/K	g					
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual			
Chloride		220	7.5	15.00	167.0	333	64.4	117			S			
Sample ID	1304713-001AMSE	D SampT	уре: М	SD	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	300.0: Anion	s					
Client ID:	BatchQC	Batch	ו ID: 70	71	F	RunNo: 1	0014							
Prep Date:	4/19/2013	Analysis D	ate: 4	19/2013	S	SeqNo: 2	85225	Units: mg/K	g					
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual			
Chloride		200	7.5	15.00	167.0	220	64.4	117	8.11	20	S			

Qualifiers:

.

.

* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

E Value above quantitation range

- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH greater than 2
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

.

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

Page 2 of 6

Client:Blagg EngineeringProject:Russell A #2

.

				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Sample ID MB-7054	SampType: MBLK	TestCode: EPA Method	418.1: TPH						
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 7054	RunNo: 9997							
Prep Date: 4/18/2013	Analysis Date: 4/19/2013	SeqNo: 284837	Units: mg/Kg						
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value	SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit	HighLimit %RPD	RPDLimit Qual					
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	ND 20								
Sample ID LCS-7054	SampType: LCS	418.1: TPH							
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 7054	RunNo: 9997							
Prep Date: 4/18/2013	Analysis Date: 4/19/2013	SeqNo: 284838	Units: mg/Kg						
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value	SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit	HighLimit %RPD	RPDLimit Qual					
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	90 20 100.0	0 90.1 80	120						
Sample ID LCSD-7054	SampType: LCSD	TestCode: EPA Method	418.1: TPH						
Client ID: LCSS02	Batch ID: 7054	RunNo: 9997							
Prep Date: 4/18/2013	Analysis Date: 4/19/2013	SeqNo: 284839	Units: mg/Kg						
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value	SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit	HighLimit %RPD	RPDLimit Qual					
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	89 20 100.0	0 88.9 80	120 1.34	20					

Qualifiers:

* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

E Value above quantitation range

- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH greater than 2
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

Page 3 of 6

WO#: 1304711

30-Apr-13

QC SUMMARY REPORT Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Client: Blagg Engineering

Project: Russell A #2

.

.

Sample ID LCS-7056 SampType: LCS TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics													
Client ID: LCSS	Batch	ID: 70	56	F	RunNo: 9	993							
Prep Date: 4/18/2013	Analysis Da	ate: 4/	19/2013	S	SeqNo: 2	84769	Units: mg/K	g					
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual			
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	49	10	50.00	0	97.9	47.4	122						
Surr: DNOP	5.7		5.000		114	63	147						
Sample ID MB-7056 SampType: MBLK TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics													
Client ID: PBS	Batch	ID: 70	56	F	RunNo: 9	993							
Prep Date: 4/18/2013	Analysis Da	ate: 4/	19/2013	S	SeqNo: 2	84770	Units: mg/K	ig					
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual			
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	10											
Surr: DNOP	9.8		10.00		98.4	63	147						
Sample ID 1304702-020AMS	S SampTy	ype: MS	3	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8015D: Diese	el Range C	Organics				
Client ID: BatchQC	Batch	ID: 70	56	F	RunNo: 9	993							
							11						
Prep Date: 4/18/2013	Analysis Da	ate: 4/	19/2013	S	SeqNo: 2	85139	Units: mg/K	,a					
Prep Date: 4/18/2013 Analyte	Analysis Da	ate: 4 /		SPK Ref Val	•	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual			
Analyte					•		5	0	RPDLimit	Qual			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Vai	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	0	RPDLimit	Qual			
Analyte Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	Result 56 5.8	PQL 10	SPK value 50.00 5.000	SPK Ref Val 0	* %REC 112 116	LowLimit 12.6 63	HighLimit 148	%RPD		Qual			
Analyte Diesel Range Organics (DRO) Surr: DNOP	Result 56 5.8 SD SampTy	PQL 10	SPK value 50.00 5.000	SPK Ref Val 0 Tes	* %REC 112 116	LowLimit 12.6 63 PA Method	HighLimit 148 147	%RPD		Qual			
Analyte Diesel Range Organics (DRO) Sun: DNOP Sample ID 1304702-020AMS	Result 56 5.8 SD SampTy	PQL 10 ype: M \$ ID: 70	SPK value 50.00 5.000	SPK Ref Val 0 Tes: F	%REC 112 116 tCode: E	LowLimit 12.6 63 PA Method 993	HighLimit 148 147	%RPD		Qual			
Analyte Diesel Range Organics (DRO) Surr: DNOP Sample ID 1304702-020AMS Client ID: BatchQC	Result 56 5.8 SD SampTy Batch	PQL 10 ype: M \$ ID: 70	SPK value 50.00 5.000 50 56 20/2013	SPK Ref Val 0 Tes: F	%REC 112 116 tCode: El	LowLimit 12.6 63 PA Method 993	HighLimit 148 147 8015D: Diese	%RPD		Qual			
Analyte Diesel Range Organics (DRO) Sur: DNOP Sample ID 1304702-020AMS Client ID: BatchQC Prep Date: 4/18/2013	Result 56 5.8 SD SampTy Batch Analysis Da	PQL 10 ype: MS ID: 70 ate: 4/	SPK value 50.00 5.000 50 56 20/2013	SPK Ref Val 0 Tes: Fi S	%REC 112 116 Code: El RunNo: 9 SeqNo: 2	LowLimit 12.6 63 PA Method 993 85141	HighLimit 148 147 8015D: Diese Units: mg/K	%RPD	Organics				

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH greater than 2
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

Page 4 of 6

WO#: 1304711

30-Apr-13

QC SUMMARY REPORT Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Client: Blagg Engineering

Project: Russell A #2

•

.

Sample ID MB-7046	SampT	ype: M	BLK	Tes	PA Method	8015D: Gasoline Range								
Client ID: PBS	Batch	ו ID: 70	46	F	RunNo: 1	0080								
Prep Date: 4/18/2013	Analysis D	ate: 4/	23/2013	5	SeqNo: 2	86991	Units: mg/ł	Units: mg/Kg						
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual				
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	5.0												
Surr: BFB	890		1000		89.4	80	120							
Sample ID LCS-7046 SampType: LCS TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range														
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 7046 RunNo: 10080													
Prep Date: 4/18/2013	Analysis D	ate: 4/	23/2013	S	SeqNo: 2	86992	Units: mg/k	٢g						
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual				
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	23	5.0	25.00	0	92.8	62.6	136							
Surr: BFB	950		1000		95.2	- 80	120							
Surr: BFB Sample ID 1304702-022AM	·	ype: MS		Tes			120 8015D: Gaso	line Rang	e					
	s SampT	ype: MS 1 ID: 70	 6			PA Method		bline Rang	e					
Sample ID 1304702-022AM	s SampT	n ID: 70	 3 46	F	tCode: El	PA Method 0095		Ū	e					
Sample ID 1304702-022AM	S SampT Batch	n ID: 70	6 46 25/2013	F	tCode: El RunNo: 1 SeqNo: 2	PA Method 0095	8015D: Gaso	Ū	e RPDLimit	Qual				
Sample ID 1304702-022AM Client ID: BatchQC Prep Date: 4/18/2013	S SampT Batch Analysis D	a ID: 704 ate: 4/	6 46 25/2013	ਜ S	tCode: El RunNo: 1 SeqNo: 2	PA Method 0095 87488	8015D: Gaso Units: mg/F	ر رو		Qual				
Sample ID 1304702-022AMS Client ID: BatchQC Prep Date: 4/18/2013 Analyte	S SampT Batch Analysis D Result	n ID: 70 ate: 4 / PQL	5 46 25/2013 SPK value	R S SPK Ref Val	tCode: El RunNo: 1 SeqNo: 2 %REC	PA Method 0095 87488 LowLimit	8015D: Gaso Units: mg/h HighLimit	ر رو		Qual				
Sample ID 1304702-022AMS Client ID: BatchQC Prep Date: 4/18/2013 Analyte Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	S SampT Batch Analysis D Result 22 900	n ID: 70 ate: 4 / PQL	5 46 25/2013 SPK value 23.36 934.6	F S SPK Ref Val 0	tCode: El RunNo: 1 BeqNo: 2 %REC 95.4 96.5	PA Method 0095 87488 LowLimit 70 80	8015D: Gaso Units: mg/P HighLimit 130	⟨g %RPD	RPDLimit	Qual				
Sample ID 1304702-022AMS Client ID: BatchQC Prep Date: 4/18/2013 Analyte Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) Surr: BFB	S SampT Batch Analysis D Result 22 900 SD SampT	n ID: 70 hate: 4 / PQL 4.7	5 46 25/2013 SPK value 23.36 934.6	F S SPK Ref Val 0 Test	tCode: El RunNo: 1 BeqNo: 2 %REC 95.4 96.5	PA Method 0095 87488 LowLimit 70 80 PA Method	8015D: Gaso Units: mg/P HighLimit 130 120	⟨g %RPD	RPDLimit	Qual				
Sample ID 1304702-022AMS Client ID: BatchQC Prep Date: 4/18/2013 Analyte Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) Surr: BFB Sample ID 1304702-022AMS	S SampT Batch Analysis D Result 22 900 SD SampT	PQL 4.7 ype: MS	5 46 25/2013 SPK value 23.36 934.6 SD 46	F S SPK Ref Val 0 Tesi F	tCode: El RunNo: 1 SeqNo: 2 %REC 95.4 96.5 tCode: El	PA Method 0095 87488 LowLimit 70 80 PA Method 0095	8015D: Gaso Units: mg/P HighLimit 130 120	(g %RPD Dine Rang	RPDLimit	Qual				
Sample ID 1304702-022AMS Client ID: BatchQC Prep Date: 4/18/2013 Analyte Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) Surr: BFB Sample ID 1304702-022AMS Client ID: BatchQC	S SampT Batch Analysis D Result 22 900 SD SampT Batch	PQL 4.7 ype: MS	5 46 25/2013 SPK value 23.36 934.6 934.6 5D 46 25/2013	F S SPK Ref Val 0 Tesi F	tCode: El RunNo: 1 SeqNo: 2 %REC 95.4 96.5 tCode: El RunNo: 1 SeqNo: 2	PA Method 0095 87488 LowLimit 70 80 PA Method 0095	8015D: Gaso Units: mg/k HighLimit 130 120 8015D: Gaso	(g %RPD Dine Rang	RPDLimit	Qual				
Sample ID 1304702-022AMS Client ID: BatchQC Prep Date: 4/18/2013 Analyte Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) Surr: BFB Sample ID 1304702-022AMS Client ID: BatchQC Prep Date: 4/18/2013	S SampT Batch Analysis D Result 22 900 SD SampT Batch Analysis D	PQL 4.7 4.7 ype: MS 1D: 70 rate: 4 /	5 46 25/2013 SPK value 23.36 934.6 934.6 5D 46 25/2013	F S SPK Ref Val 0 Test F S	tCode: El RunNo: 1 SeqNo: 2 %REC 95.4 96.5 tCode: El RunNo: 1 SeqNo: 2	PA Method 0095 87488 LowLimit 70 80 PA Method 0095 87489	8015D: Gaso Units: mg/k HighLimit 130 120 8015D: Gaso Units: mg/k	(g %RPD Dine Rang	RPDLimit					

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH greater than 2
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
 - R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
 - S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

Page 5 of 6

30-Apr-13

QC SUMMARY REPORT Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Client: Blagg Engineering

Project: Russell A #2

١,

.

Sample ID MB-7046	Samp	Гуре: МЕ	BLK	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8021B: Vola	tiles		
Client ID: PBS	Batc	h ID: 70 4	46	F	RunNo: 1	0800				
Prep Date: 4/18/2013	Analysis [Date: 4/	23/2013	5	SeqNo: 2	87016	Units: mg/H	٢g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	· ND	0.050				•				
Toluene	ND	0.050								
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.050								
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.10								
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.99		1.000		99.2	80	120			
Sample ID LCS-7046	Samp	Type: LC	S	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8021B: Vola	tiles		
Client ID: LCSS	Batc	h ID: 704	46	F	RunNo: 1	080				
Prep Date: 4/18/2013	Analysis [Date: 4/	23/2013	5	SeqNo: 2	87017	Units: mg/K	(g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	1.0	0.050	1.000	0	100	80	120			
Toluene	0.99	0.050	1.000	0	98.9	80	120			
Ethylbenzene	0.98	0.050	1.000	0	97.5	80	120			
Xylenes, Total	2.9	0.10	3.000	0	97.6	80	120			
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.1		1.000		105	80	120			
Sample ID 1304702-021AM	S Samp	Гуре: МS		Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8021B: Volat	tiles		
Sample ID 1304702-021AM Client ID: BatchQC	•	Гуре: МS h ID: 70 4			tCode: Ef		8021B: Volat	tiles		
·	•	h ID: 704	46	F		080	8021B: Volat			
Client ID: BatchQC	Batc	h ID: 704	46 23/2013	F	RunNo: 1	080			RPDLimit	Qual
Client ID: BatchQC Prep Date: 4/18/2013 Analyte	Batcl Analysis [h ID: 70 4 Date: 4 /	46 23/2013	F	RunNo: 1 GeqNo: 2	0080 87018	Units: mg/K	ζg	RPDLimit	Qual
Client ID: BatchQC Prep Date: 4/18/2013 Analyte Benzene	Batc Analysis I Result	h ID: 70 4 Date: 4 /2 PQL	46 23/2013 SPK value	F S SPK Ref Val	RunNo: 10 SeqNo: 2 %REC	0080 87018 LowLimit	Units: mg/K HighLimit	ζg	RPDLimit	Qual
Client ID: BatchQC Prep Date: 4/18/2013 Analyte Benzene Toluene	Batc Analysis I Result 0.87	h ID: 70 4 Date: 4 /2 PQL 0.046	46 23/2013 SPK value 0.9191	F SPK Ref Val 0	RunNo: 10 SeqNo: 23 %REC 94.3	0080 87018 LowLimit 67.2	Units: mg/K HighLimit 113	ζg	RPDLimit	Qual
Client ID: BatchQC Prep Date: 4/18/2013 Analyte Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene	Batc Analysis E Result 0.87 0.87	h ID: 70 4 Date: 4 /2 PQL 0.046 0.046	46 23/2013 SPK value 0.9191 0.9191	F S SPK Ref Val 0 0	RunNo: 10 SeqNo: 26 %REC 94.3 94.8	0080 87018 LowLimit 67.2 62.1	Units: mg/K HighLimit 113 116	ζg	RPDLimit	Qual
Client ID: BatchQC Prep Date: 4/18/2013 Analyte Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene	Batch Analysis E Result 0.87 0.87 0.88	h ID: 70 4 Date: 4 / <u>PQL</u> 0.046 0.046 0.046	46 23/2013 SPK value 0.9191 0.9191 0.9191	F S SPK Ref Val 0 0 0	RunNo: 10 SeqNo: 2 %REC 94.3 94.8 95.6	0080 87018 LowLimit 67.2 62.1 67.9	Units: mg/K HighLimit 113 116 127	ζg	RPDLimit	Qual
Client ID: BatchQC Prep Date: 4/18/2013 Analyte Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total	Batc Analysis I Result 0.87 0.87 0.88 2.6 0.97	h ID: 70 4 Date: 4 / <u>PQL</u> 0.046 0.046 0.046	46 23/2013 SPK value 0.9191 0.9191 0.9191 2.757 0.9191	F SPK Ref Val 0 0 0 0	RunNo: 11 SeqNo: 23 %REC 94.3 94.8 95.6 94.9 106	0080 87018 LowLimit 67.2 62.1 67.9 60.6 80	Units: mg/K HighLimit 113 116 127 134	%g %RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Client ID: BatchQC Prep Date: 4/18/2013 Analyte Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	Batc Analysis D Result 0.87 0.87 0.88 2.6 0.97 SD Samp	h ID: 704 Date: 4 / PQL 0.046 0.046 0.046 0.092	46 23/2013 SPK value 0.9191 0.9191 0.9191 2.757 0.9191	F SPK Ref Val 0 0 0 0 Tes	RunNo: 11 SeqNo: 23 %REC 94.3 94.8 95.6 94.9 106	20080 37018 LowLimit 67.2 62.1 67.9 60.6 80 24 Method	Units: mg/K HighLimit 113 116 127 134 120	%g %RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Client ID: BatchQC Prep Date: 4/18/2013 Analyte Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene Sample ID 1304702-021AM	Batc Analysis D Result 0.87 0.87 0.88 2.6 0.97 SD Samp	h ID: 70 Date: 4 / Date: 4 / Double Doub	46 23/2013 SPK value 0.9191 0.9191 2.757 0.9191 5D 46	F SPK Ref Val 0 0 0 0 Tes F	RunNo: 11 SeqNo: 23 94.3 94.8 95.6 94.9 106 tCode: El	2080 87018 LowLimit 67.2 62.1 67.9 60.6 80 PA Method 2080	Units: mg/K HighLimit 113 116 127 134 120	Kg %RPD tiles	RPDLimit	Qual
Client ID: BatchQC Prep Date: 4/18/2013 Analyte Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene Sample ID 1304702-021AM Client ID: BatchQC	Batch Analysis D Result 0.87 0.87 0.88 2.6 0.97 SD Samp Batch	h ID: 70 Date: 4 / Date: 4 / Double Doub	46 23/2013 SPK value 0.9191 0.9191 2.757 0.9191 5D 46 23/2013	F SPK Ref Val 0 0 0 0 Tes F	RunNo: 11 SeqNo: 2 %REC 94.3 94.8 95.6 94.9 106 tCode: EI	2080 87018 LowLimit 67.2 62.1 67.9 60.6 80 PA Method 2080	Units: mg/K HighLimit 113 116 127 134 120 8021B: Volat	Kg %RPD tiles	RPDLimit	Qual
Client ID: BatchQC Prep Date: 4/18/2013 Analyte Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene Sample ID 1304702-021AM Client ID: BatchQC Prep Date: 4/18/2013 Analyte	Batcl Analysis I Result 0.87 0.87 0.88 2.6 0.97 SD Samp Batcl Analysis I	h ID: 704 Date: 4/ PQL 0.046 0.046 0.092 Fype: MS h ID: 704 Date: 4/	46 23/2013 SPK value 0.9191 0.9191 2.757 0.9191 5D 46 23/2013	F SPK Ref Val 0 0 0 0 Tes F S	RunNo: 11 SeqNo: 24 94.3 94.8 95.6 94.9 106 tCode: Ef RunNo: 10 SeqNo: 25	20080 37018 LowLimit 67.2 62.1 67.9 60.6 80 20 20 20 20 80 20 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 8	Units: mg/K HighLimit 113 116 127 134 120 8021B: Volat Units: mg/K	Kg %RPD tiles		
Client ID: BatchQC Prep Date: 4/18/2013 Analyte Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene Sample ID 1304702-021AM Client ID: BatchQC Prep Date: 4/18/2013 Analyte Benzene	Batcl Analysis I Result 0.87 0.87 0.88 2.6 0.97 SD Samp Batcl Analysis I Result	h ID: 704 Date: 4// PQL 0.046 0.046 0.092 Fype: MS h ID: 704 Date: 4/ PQL	46 23/2013 SPK value 0.9191 0.9191 2.757 0.9191 5D 46 23/2013 SPK value	F SPK Ref Val 0 0 0 0 Tes F SPK Ref Val	RunNo: 11 SeqNo: 24 94.3 94.8 95.6 94.9 106 tCode: El RunNo: 10 SeqNo: 25 %REC	20080 37018 LowLimit 67.2 62.1 67.9 60.6 80 20 20 20 20 40 20 80 20 80 20 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 8	Units: mg/K HighLimit 113 116 127 134 120 8021B: Volat Units: mg/K HighLimit	Kg %RPD tiles Kg %RPD	RPDLimit	
Client ID: BatchQC Prep Date: 4/18/2013 Analyte Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene Sample ID 1304702-021AM Client ID: BatchQC Prep Date: 4/18/2013	Batcl Analysis I Result 0.87 0.87 0.88 2.6 0.97 SD Samp Batcl Analysis I Result 0.89	h ID: 704 Date: 4/ PQL 0.046 0.046 0.046 0.092 Type: MS h ID: 704 Date: 4/ PQL 0.046	46 23/2013 SPK value 0.9191 0.9191 2.757 0.9191 5D 46 23/2013 SPK value 0.9191	F SPK Ref Val 0 0 0 0 Tes F SPK Ref Val 0	RunNo: 11 SeqNo: 24 94.3 94.8 95.6 94.9 106 tCode: Ef RunNo: 11 SeqNo: 24 %REC 97.2	20080 37018 LowLimit 67.2 62.1 67.9 60.6 80 20 20 20 20 40 20 80 20 80 20 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 8	Units: mg/K HighLimit 113 116 127 134 120 8021B: Volat Units: mg/K HighLimit 113	(g %RPD tiles (g %RPD 3.07	RPDLimit 14.3	
Client ID: BatchQC Prep Date: 4/18/2013 Analyte Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene Sample ID 1304702-021AM Client ID: BatchQC Prep Date: 4/18/2013 Analyte Benzene Toluene	Batc Analysis I Result 0.87 0.87 0.88 2.6 0.97 SD Samp Batc Analysis I Result 0.89 0.88	h ID: 704 Date: 4/ PQL 0.046 0.046 0.046 0.092 Type: MS h ID: 704 Date: 4/ PQL 0.046 0.046 0.046	46 23/2013 SPK value 0.9191 0.9191 2.757 0.9191 5D 46 23/2013 SPK value 0.9191 0.9191	F SPK Ref Val 0 0 0 0 Tes F SPK Ref Val 0 0	RunNo: 11 SeqNo: 2 %REC 94.3 94.8 95.6 94.9 106 tCode: EI RunNo: 10 SeqNo: 2 %REC 97.2 96.2	20080 37018 LowLimit 67.2 62.1 67.9 60.6 80 20 20 A Method 2080 87019 LowLimit 67.2 62.1	Units: mg/K HighLimit 113 116 127 134 120 8021B: Volat Units: mg/K HighLimit 113 116	5g %RPD tiles 5g %RPD 3.07 1.48	RPDLimit 14.3 15.9	

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH greater than 2
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

Page 6 of 6

1304711 *30-Apr-13*

WO#:

ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS LABORATORY TEL: 505-34	mental Analysis Laborator 4901 Hawkins N Albuquerque, NM 8710 15-3975 FAX: 505-345-410 www.hallenvironmental.com	sam	ple Log-In Check List
Client Name: BLAGG Work Order Nu	umber: 1304711		RcptNo: 1
Received by/date: 041713			
Logged By: Ashley Gallegos 4/17/2013 10:00:	:00 AM	AJ	
Completed By: Ashley Gallegos 4/17/2013 3:03:2	22 PM 5	AZ	
Reviewed By: 05 04/17/13		U	
<u>Chain of Custody</u>			
1. Custody seals intact on sample bottles?	Yes 🗌	No 🗌	Not Present 🗹
2. Is Chain of Custody complete?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌	Not Present
3. How was the sample delivered?	Courier		
Log In			
4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌	
5. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C	Yes 🖌	No 🗌	
6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌	
7 Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌	
8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) property preserved?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌	
9. Was preservative added to bottles?	Yes 🗌	No 🗹	
10.VOA vials have zero headspace?	Yes 🗌	No 🗌	No VOA Vials 🗹
11. Were any sample containers received broken?	Yes 🗆	No 🗹	# of preserved
12.Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody)	Yes 🗹	. No 🗆	bottles checked for pH: (<2 or >12 unless noted)
13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?	Yes 🗹	No 🗆	Adjusted?
14. Is it clear what analyses were requested?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌	
15. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.)	Yes 🗹	No 🗌	Checked by:

Special Handling (if applicable)

16.1	Nas client notified of all o	liscrepancies with this order?	Yes 🗌	No 🗔	NA 🗹
	Person Notified:		Date:	A JUNE TO AND A DECEMPENT OF THE A	
	By Whom:			Phone 🗌 Fax 📋 I	n Person
	Regarding:			······································	
	Client Instructions:				

_

17. Additional remarks:

۲

.

18. Cooler Information

Cooler No.	Témp °C	Condition	Seal Intact	Seal No	Seal Date	Signed By.
1	1.0	Good	Yes			

email or Faxit: Project Manager: J. S.	Chain-of-Custody Record		Turn-Around	Time:] 🛛						, ,		<i>a</i>	•~							
SP A_MERICA Number Size Numer Size Numer	Client:	BLAC	Filder	DEFRINC TAIC	Standard	□ Rush														. –		
Busenerate NM 97413 Project #: Phone #: 505 - 632 - 1199 Frage of the state of the sta		2010	, LNGU		Project Name	э:		in the second											L H			
Busenerate NM 97413 Project #: Phone #: 505 - 632 - 1199 Frage of the state of the sta	Mailing	Address	PO T	<u>A</u> 30x 87	Russ	ell A *	[±] 2															
Phone #: 505 - 632 - 1199 email or Fax#: Project Manager: QAQC Package: J. B.ALG XStandard Level 4 (Full Validation) Accreditation Sampler: INELAP Other EDD (Type) Sample Request ID Vir/Las Sample Request ID Specific Reservative X Vir/Las Sample Request ID On all in the reservative X IIII P During Reservative X Vir/Las Size Concertance Vir/Las Sample Request ID Container Type Type X Sample Request ID Container Type X Sample Request ID Sample Request ID Sample Request ID Sample Request ID Sample Request ID Sample Request ID <tr< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Project #:</td><td></td><td>[_]</td><td colspan="11">Tel. 505-345-3975 Fax 505-345-4107</td></tr<>					Project #:		[_]	Tel. 505-345-3975 Fax 505-345-4107														
email or Fax#: Project Manager: J. BLACC Frequence and the second	Phone #									Analysis Request												
$\frac{1}{19/243} \underbrace{1142}_{SOL} \underbrace{50L}_{SPC-TB} \underbrace{95}_{C} \underbrace{6}_{L} \underbrace{402 \times 1}_{OOL} \underbrace{cool}_{-DO1} \times \times \times \times \underbrace{7}_{V} \underbrace{7}_{V} \times \underbrace{7}_{V} \underbrace{7}_{V} \underbrace{7}_{SPC-TB} \underbrace{7}_{C} \underbrace{6}_{L} \underbrace{402 \times 1}_{-DO1} \underbrace{cool}_{-DO1} \times \underbrace{7}_{V} \times \underbrace{7}_{V} \underbrace{7}_{V}$	email o			Project Mana	iger:			nly)	Â				_	(⁴								
$\frac{1}{19/243} \underbrace{1142}_{SOL} \underbrace{50L}_{SPC-TB} \underbrace{95}_{C} \underbrace{6}_{L} \underbrace{402 \times 1}_{OOL} \underbrace{cool}_{-DO1} \times \times \times \times \underbrace{7}_{V} \underbrace{7}_{V} \times \underbrace{7}_{V} \underbrace{7}_{V} \underbrace{7}_{SPC-TB} \underbrace{7}_{C} \underbrace{6}_{L} \underbrace{402 \times 1}_{-DO1} \underbrace{cool}_{-DO1} \times \underbrace{7}_{V} \times \underbrace{7}_{V} \underbrace{7}_{V}$		~		Level 4 (Full Validation)	J.	BLAGG		\$ (8021	Gas ol	10.41			(SMI	1	PO₄,S(PCB's						
$\frac{1}{19/243} \underbrace{1142}_{SOL} \underbrace{50L}_{SPC-TB} \underbrace{95}_{C} \underbrace{6}_{L} \underbrace{402 \times 1}_{OOL} \underbrace{cool}_{-DO1} \times \times \times \times \underbrace{7}_{V} \underbrace{7}_{V} \times \underbrace{7}_{V} \underbrace{7}_{V} \underbrace{7}_{SPC-TB} \underbrace{7}_{C} \underbrace{6}_{L} \underbrace{402 \times 1}_{-DO1} \underbrace{cool}_{-DO1} \times \underbrace{7}_{V} \times \underbrace{7}_{V} \underbrace{7}_{V}$					Sampler:	J- BLACK	, , 2		PH (/ DR	(,	70 S		10 ₂ ,1	082						
$\frac{1}{19/243} \underbrace{1142}_{SOL} \underbrace{50L}_{SPC-TB} \underbrace{95}_{C} \underbrace{6}_{L} \underbrace{402 \times 1}_{OOL} \underbrace{cool}_{-DO1} \times \times \times \times \underbrace{7}_{V} \underbrace{7}_{V} \times \underbrace{7}_{V} \underbrace{7}_{V} \underbrace{7}_{SPC-TB} \underbrace{7}_{C} \underbrace{6}_{L} \underbrace{402 \times 1}_{-DO1} \underbrace{cool}_{-DO1} \times \underbrace{7}_{V} \times \underbrace{7}_{V} \underbrace{7}_{V}$		AP	□ Othe	r	Contract Overset and the	COLUMN THE REAL PROPERTY OF			⊢ +	RO	18.	504.	. 82	~	03, N	s / 8		(A				or N
$\frac{1}{19/243} \underbrace{1142}_{SOL} \underbrace{50L}_{SPC-TB} \underbrace{95}_{C} \underbrace{6}_{L} \underbrace{402 \times 1}_{OOL} \underbrace{cool}_{-DO1} \times \times \times \times \underbrace{7}_{V} \underbrace{7}_{V} \times \underbrace{7}_{V} \underbrace{7}_{V} \underbrace{7}_{SPC-TB} \underbrace{7}_{C} \underbrace{6}_{L} \underbrace{402 \times 1}_{-DO1} \underbrace{cool}_{-DO1} \times \underbrace{7}_{V} \times \underbrace{7}_{V} \underbrace{7}_{V}$		(Type)			Sample tem	perature as a			BE	(C	od 4	3 po	0 0	etals	SI,N(cide:	A)	2	M M			Σ
$\frac{1}{16} \frac{95}{592-75} \frac{867}{592-75} \frac{4}{02\times 1} \frac{200L}{-001} \frac{-001}{X} \frac{X}{X} \frac{X}{X} \frac{X}{}$	Date	Time	Matrix	Sample Request ID	Container	Preservative	HEAL No	BTEX + MH	BTEX + MI	TPH 8015E	TPH (Meth	EDB (Meth	PAH's (831	RCRA 8 M	Anions (F,C	8081 Pestic	8260B (VO	8270 (Sem	CHLORI			Air Bubbles (Y or N)
	1/16/2013	1.142	SOIL	95 BGT SPC-TBC.6	403×1	COOL	-001	X											X			
Date: Time: Relinquished by: Received by: Date Time Remarks: R., RP								1													1	
Date: Time: Relinquished by: Received by: Date Time Remarks: R., R.P.				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				1												- +	\uparrow	
Date: Time: Relinquished by: Received by: Date Time Remarks: Run Rep	<u> </u>					ļ														-+	\neg	
Date: Time: Received by: Date: Time Remarks: R R		ļ						+													+	+-
Date: Time: Relinquished by: Received by: Date Time Remarks: Rue Rep				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				+													-+	\rightarrow
Date: Time: Relinquished by: Received by: Date Time Remarks: R.					· ·			+													-+	<u> </u>
Date: Time: Relinquished by: Received by: Date Time Remarks: R							·	+						·								
Date: Time: Relinquished by: Received by: Date Time Remarks: R						<u> </u>													-	+	-+	+
Date: Time: Relinquished by: Received by: Date Time Remarks: R								+				·								\rightarrow		\rightarrow
Date: Time: Relinquished by: Received by: Date Time Remarks: R												<u> </u>			<u> </u>						-+	
Date: Time: Relinquished by: Received by: Date Time Remarks: R. R.								┼──	-											 +	-+	
	Date;	Time:	Relinquish	ed by:	Received by:	L	Date Time	Rer	l nark	L s:	<u>ר</u>	ļ			I	L						
The sois 1247 PAYKEY ZEVHOLBOTZ	Date:	nn	J.f.	l Blegg	Phrister	Walter	4/16/2013 12.47					F	Ark	(El-	R	Eν	НO	LB	3-1-1	2		
Date: Time: Relinguished by: 4/16/13 1729 Nustur Walter Add Add Add Add Add Add Add Add Add Ad	Date:	lime:	Relinguished by: Date Time							ί	Nol	2k0	RDEL		114	510	51	596				

•

.

