District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources Department Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

Form C-144 Revised June 6, 2013

For temporary pits, below-grade tanks, and multi-well fluid management pits, submit to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

For permanent pits submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

Proposed Alternative Method Permit or Closure Plan Application Type of action: Below grade tank registration Permit of a pit or proposed alternative method Closure of a pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method Modification to an existing permit/or registration Closure plan only submitted for an existing permitted or non-permitted pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method Instructions: Please submit one application (Form C-144) per individual pit, below-grade tank or alternative request Please be advised that approval of this request does not relieve the operator of liability should operations result in pollution of surface water, ground water or the nvironment. Nor does approval relieve the operator of its responsibility to comply with any other applicable governmental authority's rules, regulations or ordinances.
Operator: BP America Production CompanyOGRID#:778
Address:200 Energy Court, Farmington, NM 87401
Facility or well name:City of Farmington Com 1
API Number:3004526733 OCD Permit Number:
U/L or Qtr/QtrJ Section10 Township29N Range13W County:San Juan
Center of Proposed Design: Latitude36.73996 Longitude108.19059 NAD: □1927 ☑ 1983
Surface Owner: Federal State Private Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment
Pit: Subsection F, G or J of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Temporary:
3. Below-grade tank: Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Tank A Volume:21.0bbl Type of fluid:Produced water Tank Construction material:Steel
☐ Secondary containment with leak detection ☐ Visible sidewalls, liner, 6-inch lift and automatic overflow shut-off ☐ Visible sidewalls and liner ☐ Visible sidewalls only ☒ Other _Single walled/double bottomed; side walls not visible Liner type: Thickness mil ☐ HDPE ☐ PVC ☐ Other
4. Alternative Method: Submittal of an exception request is required. Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

5. Fencing: Subsection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits, temporary pits, and below-grade tanks)	
Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top (Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent residence, school	hospital,
institution or church) Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet	
Alternate. Please specify	
6.	
Netting: Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks)	
Screen Netting Other	
Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)	
7. Signs: Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC	
☐ 12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers	
Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC	
8. Variances and Exceptions:	
Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance. Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank:	
Variance(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district for consideration of approval.	
Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.	
9.	
Siting Criteria (regarding permitting): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of acce	ntable source
material are provided below. Siting criteria does not apply to drying pads or above-grade tanks.	· ·
Constant atting	
General siting	
Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of a low chloride temporary pit or below-grade tank. - □ NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; □ USGS; □ Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes No
Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of a Temporary pit, permanent pit, or Multi-Well Fluid Management pit. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes No
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance	Yes No
adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. (Does not apply to below grade tanks)	
- Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	Yes No
Within an unstable area. (Does not apply to below grade tanks)	Yes No
 Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map 	
Within a 100-year floodplain. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) - FEMA map	Yes No
Below Grade Tanks	•
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, significant watercourse, lake bed, sinkhole, wetland or playa lake (measured	Yes No
from the ordinary high-water mark).	L res L No
- Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	
Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for public or livestock consumption; - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No
Temporary Pit using Low Chloride Drilling Fluid (maximum chloride content 15,000 mg/liter)	
Within 100 feet of a'continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). (Applies to low chloride temporary pits.) - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No

Within 300 feet from a occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.	Yes No
- Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	
Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 300feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of the initial application. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 100 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Temporary Pit Non-low chloride drilling fluid	
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse, or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	
- Topographic map, Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in the existence at the time of the initial application; - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No
Permanent Pit or Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit	
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).	
- Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of	
initial application NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
10. Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 N Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the doc attached.	
Hydrogeologic Report (Below-grade Tanks) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Hydrogeologic Data (Temporary and Emergency Pits) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.1 and 19.15.17.13 NMAC	
Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number:	
Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the doc attached. Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC	ruments are
Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC A List of wells with approved application for permit to drill associated with the pit. Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19. and 19.15.17.13 NMAC	15.17.9 NMAC
☐ Hydrogeologic Data - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC ☐ Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC	
Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number:	

Permanent Pits Permit Application Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the attached	documents are
### Author of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Hydrogeologic Report - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.19 NMAC Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Climatological Factors Assessment Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Leak Detection Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Liner Specifications and Compatibility Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Quality Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installation Plan Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Freeboard and Overtopping Prevention Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Nuisance or Hazardous Odors, including H₂S, Prevention Plan Emergency Response Plan Oil Field Waste Stream Characterization	
☐ Monitoring and Inspection Plan ☐ Erosion Control Plan	
Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC	
13. Proposed Closure: 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan. Type: Drilling Workover Emergency Cavitation P&A Permanent Pit Below-grade Tank Multi-well F	luid Management Pit
Alternative Proposed Closure Method: Waste Excavation and Removal Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only) On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems) In-place Burial On-site Trench Burial Alternative Closure Method	
Waste Excavation and Removal Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. □ Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC □ Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.13 NMAC □ Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings) □ Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC □ Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	
Siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendations of acceptable sour provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria require justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency. Fig. 15.17.10 NMAC for guidance.	
Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Ground water is between 25-50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	Yes No
Within 300 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	Yes No
Within 300 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No
Within incorporated municipal houndaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance	

adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	Yes No
Within an unstable area. - Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map	
Within a 100-year floodplain FEMA map	
·	
On-Site Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plants a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Proof of Surface Owner Notice - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection K of 19.15.17. Construction/Design Plan of Temporary Pit (for in-place burial of a drying pad) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19. Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Waste Material Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings or in case on-site closure standards cann Soil Cover Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	.11 NMAC 15.17.11 NMAC
17. Operator Application Certification:	
I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and beli	ef.
Name (Print): Title:	
Signature: Date:	
e-mail address:Telephone:	
OCD Approval: Permit Application (including closure plan) Closure Plan (only). OCD Conditions (see attachment) COD Representative Signature: 4/22	See C-141
OCD Representative Signature: 4/22	12015
Title: Constance Office OCD Permit Number:	
19. Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion): 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved closure plan prior to implementing any closure activities and submitting The closure report is required to be submitted to the division within 60 days of the completion of the closure activities. Please do not section of the form until an approved closure plan has been obtained and the closure activities have been completed.	
⊠ Closure Completion Date:2/7/2015	
^{20.} Closure Method: ☑ Waste Excavation and Removal ☐ On-Site Closure Method ☐ Alternative Closure Method ☐ Waste Removal (Closed-lo☐ If different from approved plan, please explain.	oop systems only)
Closure Report Attachment Checklist: Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure report. Please in mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Proof of Closure Notice (surface owner and division) Proof of Deed Notice (required for on-site closure for private land only) Plot Plan (for on-site closures and temporary pits) Confirmation Sampling Analytical Results (if applicable) Waste Material Sampling Analytical Results (required for on-site closure) Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation Re-vegetation Application Rates and Seeding Technique Site Reclamation (Photo Documentation) On-site Closure Location: Latitude 36.73996 Longitude -108.19059 NAD: 1927 18	
On the Closure Education. Education. Education 50.73770 Bonghade 100.17007 MD. 1727 M 17	. 00

Operator Closure Certification:	
I homely most 6, that the information and start in the I will all information and interest in	
I hereby certify that the information and attachments submitted with this closure report is true, accurate belief. I also certify that the closure complies with all applicable closure requirements and conditions s	
Name (Print):Jeff Peace Title: Field Envir	ironmental Coordinator
Signature: Date: Apr	ril 7, 2015
e-mail address:peace.jeffrey@bp.comTelephone:(50	05) 326-9479

BP AMERICA PRODUCTION COMPANY

SAN JUAN BASIN, NORTHWEST NEW MEXICO

BELOW-GRADE TANK CLOSURE PLAN

City of Farmington Com 1 API No. 3004526733 Unit Letter J, Section 10, T29N, R13W

This plan will address the standard protocols and procedures for closure of below-grade tanks (BGTs) on BP America Production Company (BP) well sites. As stipulated in Paragraph A of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall close a BGT within the time periods provided in 19.15.17.13 NMAC, or by an earlier date that the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) requires because of imminent danger to fresh water, public health, safety or the environment. If deviations from this plan are necessary, any specific changes will be included on form C-144 and approved by the NMOCD. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC or is not included in Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC within five years after June 16, 2008, if not retrofit with a BGT that complies with the BP NMOCD approved BGT design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC, if not previously retrofitted to comply with the BP NMOCD approve BGT Design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan, prior to any sale or change in operator pursuant to 19.15.9.9 NMAC. BP shall close the permitted BGT within 60 days of cessation of the BGTs operation or as required by the transitional provisions of Subsection B, D, or E of 19.15.17.17 NMAC.

General Closure Plan

- 1. BP shall notify the surface owner by certified mail that it plans to close a BGT. Evidence of mailing of the notice to the address of the surface owner shown in the county tax records demonstrates compliance with this requirement.
 - Notice is attached.
- 2. BP shall notify the division District III office verbally or by other means at least 72 hours, but not more than one (1) week, prior to any closure operation. The notice shall include the operator's name, and the location to be closed by unit letter, section, township and range. If the BGT closure is associated with a particular well, then the notice shall also include the well's name, number and API number.

Notice is attached.

- 3. BP shall remove liquids and sludge from the BGT prior to implementing a closure method and dispose of the liquids and sludge in a NMOCD's division-approved facility. The facilities to be used are:
 - a. BP Crouch Mesa Landfarm, Permit NM-02-003 (Solids)
 - b. JFJ Landfarm, Permit NM-01-010(B) (Solids and Sludge)
 - c. Basin Disposal, Permit NM-01-0005 (Liquids)
 - d. Envirotech Inc Soil Remediation Facility, Permit NM-01-0011 (Solids and Sludge)
 - e. BP Operated E.E. Elliott SWD #1, API 30-045-27799 (Liquids)

- f. BP Operated 13 GCU SWD #1, API 30-045-28601 (Liquids)
- g. BP Operated GCU 259 SWD, API 30-045-20006 (Liquids)
- h. BP Operated GCU 306 SWD, API 30-045-24286 (Liquids)
- i. BP Operated GCU 307 SWD, API 30-045-24248 (Liquids)
- j. BP Operated GCU 328 SWD, API 30-045-24735 (Liquids)
- k. BP Operated Pritchard SWD #1, API 30-045-28351 (Liquids)

All liquids and sludge in the BGT were removed and sent to one of the above NMOCD approved facilities for disposal.

4. BP shall remove the BGT and dispose of it in a NMOCD approved facility or recycle, reuse, or reclaim it in a manner that the NMOCD approves. If a liner is present and must be disposed of it will be cleaned by scraping any soils or other attached materials on the liner to a de minimus amount and disposed at a permitted solid waste facility, pursuant to Subparagraph (m) of Paragraph (1) of Subsection C of 19.15.35.8 NMAC. Documentation as to the final disposition of the removed BGT will be provided in the final closure report.

The BGT was transported to a storage area for sale and re-use.

5. BP shall remove any on-site equipment associated with a BGT unless the equipment is required for well production.

All equipment associated with the BGT has been removed.

6. BP shall test the soils beneath the BGT to determine whether a release has occurred. BP shall collect at a minimum: a five (5) point composite sample and individual grab samples from any area that is wet, discolored or showing other evidence of a release and analyze for BTEX, TPH and chlorides. The testing methods for those constituents are as follows;

Constituents	Testing Method	Release Verification	Sample
	21 bbl BGT	(mg/Kg)	results
Benzene	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	0.2	ND
Total BTEX	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	50	ND
TPH	US EPA Method SW-846 418.1	100	260
Chlorides	US EPA Method 300.0 or 4500B	250 or background	ND

Notes: mg/Kg = milligram per kilogram, BTEX = benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes, TPH = total petroleum hydrocarbons. Other EPA methods that the division approves may be applied to all constituents listed. Chloride closure standards will be determined by which ever concentration level is greatest.

Soil under the BGT was sampled and TPH, BTEX and chloride levels were below the stated limits. TPH was 260 ppm by Method 418.1 but was only 31 ppm by Method 8015D. Sampling data is attached.

7. BP shall notify the division District III office of its results on form C-141. C-141 is attached.

8. If it is determined that a release has occurred, then BP will comply with 19.15.30 NMAC and 19.15.29 NMAC, as appropriate.

Sampling results indicate no release occurred.

9. If the sampling demonstrates that a release has not occurred or that any release does not exceed the concentrations specified above, then BP shall backfill the excavation, with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material; construct a division-prescribed soil cover, re-contour and re-vegetate the location. The location will be reclaimed if it is not with in the active process area

The area under the BGT was backfilled with clean soil and is still within the active well area.

10. BP shall reclaim the BGT location and all areas associated with the BGT including associated access roads to a safe and stable condition that blends with the surrounding undisturbed area. BP shall substantially restore the impacted surface area to the condition that existed prior to oil and gas operations by placement of the soil cover as provided in Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, re-contour the location and associated areas to a contour that approximates the original contour and blends with the surrounding topography and re-vegetate according to Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC.

The area over the BGT is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

11. The soil cover for closures where the BGT has been removed or remediated to the NMOCD's satisfaction shall consist of the background thickness of topsoil or one foot of suitable material to establish vegetation at the site, whichever is greater. The soil cover will be constructed to the site's existing grade and all practicable efforts will be made to prevent ponding of water and erosion of the cover material.

The area over the BGT is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

12. BP shall seed the disturbed area the first growing season after closure of the BGT. Seeding will be accomplished by drilling on the contour whenever practical or by other division-approved methods. Vegetative cover will be, at a minimum, 70% of the native perennial vegetative cover (un-impacted by overgrazing, fire or other intrusion damaging to native vegetation), consisting of at least three native plant species, including at least one grass, but not including noxious weeds, and maintenance of that cover through two successive growing seasons. During the two growing seasons that prove viability, there shall be no artificial irrigation of the vegetation.

The area over the BGT is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

13. BP shall seed, plant and re-seed pursuant to Paragraph (3) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, until the location successfully achieves the required vegetative cover.

BP will seed the area when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

14. Pursuant to Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall notify the NMOCD when it has seeded or planted and when it successfully achieves revegetation.

BP will notify NMOCD when re-vegetation is successful.

- 15. Within 60 days of closure completion, BP shall submit a closure report on NMOCD's form C-144, and will include the following;
 - a. proof of closure notification (surface owner and NMOCD)
 - b. sampling analytical reports; information required by 19.15.17 NMAC;
 - c. disposal facility name and permit number
 - d. details on back-filling, capping, covering, and where applicable re-vegetation application rates and seeding techniques and
 - e. site reclamation, photo documentation.

 Closure report on C-144 form is included.
- 16. BP shall certify that all information in the report and attachments is accurate, truthful, and compliant with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.

Certification section of C-144 has been completed.

District I 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 District II 811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 District III 1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410 District IV

1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

Revised August 8, 2011

Form C-141

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

Release Notification and Corrective Action OPERATOR Initial Report Final Report Name of Company: BP Contact: Jeff Peace Address: 200 Energy Court, Farmington, NM 87401 Telephone No.: 505-326-9479 Facility Name: City of Farmington Com 1 Facility Type: Natural gas well Surface Owner: Private Mineral Owner: Private API No. 3004526733 LOCATION OF RELEASE North/South Line Unit Letter Feet from the Section Township Range Feet from the East/West Line County: San Juan 29N 13W 10 2,160 South 1,591 East **Latitude** 36.73996 Longitude 108.19059 NATURE OF RELEASE Volume of Release: N/A Type of Release: none Volume Recovered: N/A Source of Release: below grade tank – 21 bbl Date and Hour of Occurrence: Date and Hour of Discovery: N/A Was Immediate Notice Given? If YES, To Whom? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Required By Whom? Date and Hour Was a Watercourse Reached? If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse. ☐ Yes ☒ No If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.* Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* Sampling of the soil beneath the BGT was done during removal to ensure no soil impacts from the BGT. Soil analysis resulted in TPH, BTEX and chlorides below standards. TPH was 260 ppm by Method 418.1 but was only 31 ppm by Method 8015D. Analysis results are attached. * Release Letected proceed under spill rule additional. C-141 (equire

Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* BGT was removed and the area underneath the BGT was sampled. The area under the BGT was backfilled and compacted and is still within the active well area. I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to ground water, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations. OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION Signature: Approved by Environmental Specialist: Printed Name: Jeff Peace **Expiration Date:** Title: Field Environmental Coordinator Approval Date: E-mail Address: peace.jeffrey@bp.com Conditions of Approval: Attached

Date: April 7, 2015

Phone: 505-326-9479

^{*} Attach Additional Sheets If Necessary

CLIENT: BP	P.O. BOX 87, B	NGINEERING, INC. LOOMFIELD, NM 8 5) 632-1199	7413	API #: 30045 TANK ID (if applicble):	A
FIELD REPORT:		RELEASE INVESTIGATION / OTHER	R:	PAGE #:	
SITE INFORMATION QUAD/UNIT: J SEC: 10 TWP: 1/4-1/4/FOOTAGE: 2,160'S / 1,5	29N RNG: 13W PM:	NM CNTY: SJ	ST: NM	DATE FINISHED:)2/03/15
LEASE#	PROD. FORMATION: DK CO	STRIKE ONTRACTOR: MBF - B. SCH	URMAN	ENVIRONMENTAL SPECIALIST(S):	NJV
1) 21 BGT (SW/DB) 2)	GPS COORD.: 36 GPS COORD.: GPS COORD.:	.73996 X 108.19059	DISTANCE/BEAF DISTANCE/BEAF DISTANCE/BEAF	RING FROM W.H.:RING FROM W.H.:RING FROM W.H.:	l', N67W
SAMPLING DATA:	T				OVM READING (ppm)
1) SAMPLE ID:	SAMPLE DATE: SAMPLE DATE:	SAMPLE TIME: LAB A	WALYSIS:		
SOIL DESCRIPTION					
SOIL COLOR: MODERATION (ALL OTHERS): NON COHESIVE) SLIGHTLY CONSISTENCY (NON COHESIVE) SOILS): LO MOISTURE: DRY (SLIGHTLYMOIST) MOIST / MOISTURE: DRY (SLIGHTLYMOIST) MOIST / MOIST / MOISTURE: DRY (SLIGHTLYMOIST) MOIST / MOISTURE: TYPE: GRAB (COMPOSITE) # DISCOLORATION/STAINING OBSERVED: YES NO SITE OBSERVATION APPARENT EVIDENCE OF A RELEASE OBSERVE EQUIPMENT SET OVER RECLAIMED AREA: OTHER: BOTTOM 2 FT. OF BGT BURIED	COHESIVE / COHESIVE / HIGHLY COHESIVE / COHESIVE / COHESIVE / HIGHLY COHESIVE / COHESIVE	Anation:	S): SOFT/FIRM/: LANATION - YES NO EXPLAN	STIFF / VERY STIFF / HAR	RD
SOIL IMPACT DIMENSION ESTIMATION:	NA ft. XNA	ft. X <u>NA</u> ft. EX	XCAVATION EST	IMATION (Cubic Yards)	: <u>NA</u>
	EAREST WATER SOURCE:<1,000'		1,000' NMOC	D TPH CLOSURE STD:	100 ppm
PBGTL T.B. ~ 2 B.G.		BERM T	N OWN TIME: W RI PL OUT TANK TO THE PL OUT TANK TO	J#: Z2-006Q0 ermit date(s): 06 CD Appr. date(s): 05 k OVM = Organic Vap ppm = parts per mil	GT2 G/14/10 G/10/11 or Meter Ulion Y / (N) Y / N
T.B. = TANK BOTTOM; PBGTL = PREVIOUS BEL	OW-GRADE TANK LOCATION; SPD = SAMPLE P E WALL; DW - DOUBLE WALL; SB - SINGLE BOT	OINT DESIGNATION; R.W. = RETAINING WALL	; NA - NOT M	lagnetic declination:	10°E

Analytical Report

Lab Order 1502123

Date Reported: 2/5/2015

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Blagg Engineering

Client Sample ID: 5PC-TB @ 2.5' (21)

Project: City of Farmington COM #1

Collection Date: 2/3/2015 9:15:00 AM

Lab ID: 1502123-001

Received Date: 2/4/2015 8:30:00 AM

Analyses	Result	RL Qu	al Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 8015D: DIESEL RAN	GE ORGANICS				Analys	: JME
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	31	9.9	mg/Kg	1	2/4/2015 6:31:04 PM	17554
Surr: DNOP	105	63.5-128	%REC	1	2/4/2015 6:31:04 PM	17554
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE R	ANGE				Analys	: NSB
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	3.9	mg/Kg	1	2/4/2015 1:57:05 PM	R24096
Surr: BFB	92.4	80-120	%REC	1	2/4/2015 1:57:05 PM	R24096
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analys	t: LGT
Chloride	ND	30	mg/Kg	20	2/4/2015 1:03:06 PM	17559
EPA METHOD 8260B: VOLATILES \$	SHORT LIST				Analysi	t: DJF
Benzene	ND	0.039	mg/Kg	1	2/4/2015 12:17:55 PM	17508
Toluene	ND	0.039	mg/Kg	1	2/4/2015 12:17:55 PM	17508
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.039	mg/Kg	1	2/4/2015 12:17:55 PM	17508
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.078	mg/Kg	1	2/4/2015 12:17:55 PM	17508
Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	84.2	70-130	%REC	1	2/4/2015 12:17:55 PM	17508
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	86.1	70-130	%REC	1	2/4/2015 12:17:55 PM	17508
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	86.9	70-130	%REC	1	2/4/2015 12:17:55 PM	17508
Surr: Toluene-d8	86.2	70-130	%REC .	1	2/4/2015 12:17:55 PM	17508
EPA METHOD 418.1: TPH					Analyst	:: JME
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	260	100	mg/Kg	5	2/4/2015 12:00:00 PM	17511

Matrix: SOIL

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

Page 1 of 6

- P Sample pH greater than 2.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1502123

05-Feb-15

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

City of Farmington COM #1

Sample ID MB-17559

SampType: MBLK

Analysis Date: 2/4/2015

TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

Client ID: **PBS** Prep Date: 2/4/2015 Batch ID: 17559

RunNo: 24117 SeqNo: 710960

HighLimit

Units: mg/Kg

%RPD **RPDLimit**

Qual

Analyte Chloride

Result PQL ND 1.5

Sample ID LCS-17559

SampType: LCS

TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

Client ID: **LCSS** Batch ID: 17559

RunNo: 24117

Prep Date: 2/4/2015 Analysis Date: 2/4/2015

SeqNo: 710961

Units: mg/Kg

HighLimit

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC

%RPD **RPDLimit**

Qual

110

PQL 1.5

Analyte

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit

90

Chloride

14

15.00

92.3

S

Qualifiers:

Analyte detected below quantitation limits 3

RPD outside accepted recovery limits R

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

Е Value above quantitation range

RSD is greater than RSDlimit O

Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded Н

ND P Sample pH greater than 2.

Reporting Detection Limit

RL

Not Detected at the Reporting Limit Page 2 of 6

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1502123 05-Feb-15

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

City of Farmington COM #1

Sample ID MB-17511

SampType: MBLK

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

Client ID: PBS

Batch ID: 17511

RunNo: 24075

Prep Date: 2/2/2015

SeqNo: 710256

Units: mg/Kg

HighLimit

Analysis Date: 2/4/2015

%RPD

%RPD

2.77

RPDLimit Qual

Analyte Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR Result **PQL** ND

Sample ID LCS-17511

SampType: LCS

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

Client ID:

LCSS

Batch ID: 17511

RunNo: 24075

Prep Date: 2/2/2015

Analysis Date: 2/4/2015

SeqNo: 710257

Units: mg/Kg

%RPD

RPDLimit

20

Analyte Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR Result **PQL**

97

SPK value SPK Ref Val

100.0

%REC 96.6

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit

86.7

LowLimit

RPDLimit

Sample ID LCSD-17511

20

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

HighLimit 126 Qual

Qual

Client ID: LCSS02

SampType: LCSD Batch ID: 17511

RunNo: 24075

Prep Date: 2/2/2015

Analysis Date: 2/4/2015

SeqNo: 710258

Units: mg/Kg

Analyte Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit 86.7 Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR 99 20 100.0 99.3 126

Qualifiers:

R

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- Value above quantitation range Ε
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits J
- 0 RSD is greater than RSDlimit RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- Not Detected at the Reporting Limit ND
- Р Sample pH greater than 2.
- RLReporting Detection Limit

Page 3 of 6

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1502123

05-Feb-15

Client:

Blagg Engineering

	of Farmington COM #1	·
Sample ID MB-17553	SampType: MBLK	TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 17553	RunNo: 24074
Prep Date: 2/4/2015	Analysis Date: 2/4/2015	SeqNo: 710059 Units: %REC
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value	SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual
Surr: DNOP	12 10.00	116 63.5 128
Sample ID MB-17554	SampType: MBLK	TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 17554	RunNo: 24073
Prep Date: 2/4/2015	Analysis Date: 2/4/2015	SeqNo: 710152 Units: mg/Kg
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value	SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND 10	, 050 005 400
Surr: DNOP	8.5 10.00	85.3 63.5 128
Sample ID LCS-17554	SampType: LCS	TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 17554	RunNo: 24073
Prep Date: 2/4/2015	Analysis Date: 2/4/2015	SeqNo: 710153 Units: mg/Kg
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value	SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	48 10 50.00	0 95.9 67.8 130
Surr: DNOP	4.4 5.000	88.1 63.5 128
Sample ID LCS-17553	SampType: LCS	TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 17553	RunNo: 24073
Prep Date: 2/4/2015	Analysis Date: 2/4/2015	SeqNo: 710303 Units: %REC
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value	SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual
Surr: DNOP	4.9 5.000	97.2 63.5 128
Sample ID MB-17578	SampType: MBLK	TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 17578	RunNo: 24112
Prep Date: 2/5/2015	Analysis Date: 2/5/2015	SeqNo: 710887 Units: %REC
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value	SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual
Surr: DNOP	11 10.00	109 63.5 128
Sample ID LCS-17578	SampType: LCS	TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics

Qualifiers:

Analyte

Surr: DNOP

Client ID: LCSS

Prep Date: 2/5/2015

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

Batch ID: 17578

Analysis Date: 2/5/2015

4.5

- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

LowLimit

63.5

Units: %REC

128

%RPD

RPDLimit

HighLimit

- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

RunNo: 24111

SeqNo: 710956

89.9

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC

5.000

P Sample pH greater than 2.

RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 4 of 6

Qual

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 15

1502123 *05-Feb-15*

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

City of Farmington COM #1

Sample ID MB-17508	SampT	ype: Mi	BLK	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8015D: Gaso	oline Rang	е	
Client ID: PBS	Batch	n ID: 17	508	F	RunNo: 2	4060				
Prep Date: 2/2/2015	Analysis D)ate: 2	/3/2015	5	SeqNo: 7	09516	Units: %RE	С		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Surr: BFB	950		1000		95.2	80	120			

Sample ID LCS-17508	SampType: LCS	TestCode: EPA Method	8015D: Gasoline Range)
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 17508	RunNo: 24060		
Prep Date: 2/2/2015	Analysis Date: 2/3/2015	SeqNo: 709517	Units: %REC	
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value	SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit	HighLimit %RPD	RPDLimit Qual
Surr: BFB	1000 1000	102 80	120	

Sample ID 5ML RB	SampType: MBLK	TestCode: EPA Method	TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range									
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: R24097	RunNo: 24097										
Prep Date:	Analysis Date: 2/4/2015	SeqNo: 710491	Units: %REC									
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value	SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit	HighLimit %RPD	RPDLimit Qual								
Surr: BFB	940 1000	. 94.5 80	120	· · · · ·								

Sample ID 2.5UG GRO LCS	SampType	:: LCS	Tes	TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range							
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID:	: R24097	F	RunNo: 24097							
Prep Date:	Analysis Date:	2/4/2015	9	SeqNo: 7	10492	Units: %RE	C				
Analyte	Result P	QL SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual		
Surr: BEB	1000	1000		102	80	120					

Sample ID 5ML RB	SampT	SampType: MBLK TestCode: EPA Method 8						oline Rang	e	
Client ID: PBS	Batch	Batch ID: R24096 RunNo: 24096								•
Prep Date:	Analysis D	ysis Date: 2/4/2015 SeqNo: 7			10543	Units: mg/F	(g			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	5.0								
Surr: BFB	900		1000		90.5	80	120			

Sample ID 2.5UG GRO LCS	SampT	ype: LC	s	TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range								
Client ID: LCSS	Batch	ID: R2	4096	R	unNo: 2	4096						
Prep Date:	Analysis Date: 2/4/2015			S	eqNo: 7	10544	Units: mg/Kg					
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual		
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	22	5.0	25.00	0	88.4	64	130					
	000		4000				100					

Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	22	5.0	25.00	U	00.4	04	130
Surr: BFB	960		1000		96.0	80	120

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDImit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 5 of 6

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1502123

05-Feb-15

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

City of Farmington COM #1

Sample ID mb-17508	Samp	SampType: MBLK			TestCode: EPA Method 8260B: Volatiles Short List									
Client ID: PBS	Batc	Batch ID: 17508			RunNo: 24	1088								
Prep Date: 2/2/2015	Analysis [Date: 2/	4/2015	S	SeqNo: 7	11224	Units: mg/Kg							
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual				
Benzene	ND	0.050		_										
Toluene	ND	0.050												
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.050												
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.10												
Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	0.42		0.5000		83.3	70	130							
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.44		0.5000		87.2	70	130							
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	0.45		0.5000		90.7	70	130							
Surr: Toluene-d8	0.44		0.5000		87.9	70	130							

Sample ID Ics-17508	Samp1	ype: LC	s	Tes	8260B: Vola	tiles Short	List							
Client ID: LCSS	Batcl	n ID: 17	508	F	RunNo: 2	4088								
Prep Date: 2/2/2015	Analysis [Analysis Date: 2/4/2015			SeqNo: 7	11225	Units: mg/K	mg/Kg						
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual				
Benzene	1.1	0.050	1.000	0	109	70	130							
Toluene	0.97	0.050	1.000	0	97.0	70	130							
Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	0.45		0.5000		89.7	70	130							
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.43		0.5000		85.2	70	130							
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	. 0.46		0.5000		92.1	70	130							
Surr: Toluene-d8	0.44		0.5000		87.2	70	130							

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 6 of 6



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109

TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

Sample Log-In Check List

Client Nam	e: BLAGG		Work Order Number:						RcptNo: 1							
Received by	ı/date://	040411	5													
Logged By:	Anne Thor	ne	2/4/2015 8:30:	00 AM			Ann	Am	·							
Completed	By: Anne Thor	ne :	2/4/2015				A.	Am								
Reviewed B	y: <u>A</u>	02/04/15	- /20				am	Min.								
Chain of (0-101115														
1 Custody	seals intact on sa	ample bottles?			Yes		No		Not Present							
2. Is Chain	of Custody comp	olete?			Yes	✓	No		Not Present							
3. How wa	s the sample deliv	vered?			Couri	<u>er</u>										
<u>Log In</u>																
4. Was an	attempt made to	cool the samples'	?		Yes	V	No		NA 🗆	l						
5. Were al	l samples receive	d at a temperature	of >0° C to 6.0°	°C	Yes	✓	No		NA 🗆							
6. Sample	(s) in proper conta	ainer(s)?			Yes	V	No	o 🗆								
7. Sufficier	nt sample volume	for indicated test(s)?		Yes	✓	No									
8. Are sam	ples (except VOA	and ONG) proper	rly preserved?		Yes	✓	No			`						
9. Was pre	servative added to	o bottles?			Yes		· No	✓	NA 🗆							
10.VOA via	ls have zero head	Ispace?			Yes		No		No VOA Vials 🗹							
11. Were ar	ıy sample contain	ers received broke	en?		Yes		No	· 🗹	Д . Б							
									# of preserved bottles checked							
	perwork match bo screpancies on ch				Yes	✓	No	· [for pH:	or >12 unless noted)						
		ntified on Chain of	Custody?		Yes	V	No		Adjusted?							
	r what analyses w					V	No									
15. Were all	holding times abl	le to be met?			Yes	V	No		Checked by:							
(If no, no	otify customer for	authorization.)						į								
Snocial Ha	andling (if app	nlicable)														
·		iscrepancies with	this order?		Yes	П	No		NA 🗹							
	erson Notified:		1													
	Whom:			Date	eMa	i [7	Phone [Fax	n Person							
'	egarding:			via.	Civia	" [_,]	rtione _	_ I GA		·						
	ient Instructions:			·····				-								
17. Addition				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · ·	-								
	Information ar No Temp.°C	Condition S	eal Intact Seal	No las	eal Da	te 🖈	Signed	By]							
1	2.4	Good Ye	ALEXANDER AND	SAME DATE OF THE PARTY OF THE P	and the second	AND STREET	. II. C. BONCESCO									

C	hain-c	of-Cus	stody Record	TUITTIOUTIG	11316.	SAME			بخو	H	A		=N	VT	RO		ME	N.	ra.	<u> </u>	
ent:	BLAG	G ENGR.	/ BP AMERICA	☐ Standard Project Name	☑ Rush _	DAY	HALL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS LABORATORY www.hallenvironmental.com														
ailing A	ddress:	P.O. BO	 X 87	CITY OF	F FRAMING	[# mas 40]		49	01 H	۰ awki								9			
		BLOOM	FIELD, NM 87413	Project #:						5-34				_	5-345						
one #:		(505) 63	2-1199	·			\$ 40°					Αr	alys	is Re	que	st			#17.0 #17.0		
nail or F	ax#:			Project Manag	jer:				214	<u> </u>			1	•			1.1)				
/QC Pa	-		Level 4 (Full Validation)		NELSON VE	ELEZ	\$ (8021B)	+ MTBE + TPH (Gas only)	/www	ĺ		/IS)	00.00	2 PCB's			ter - 300.1)			يو	
creditat	tion:			Sampler:	NELSON VE	ELEZ Zy	 	(Gas	/ DRO	Ŧ	ਜ਼∫	SSI	غ ا	808			300.0 / water			sample	
NELAF		☐ Other		On ice:	¥ Yes	E No	F	FH	1/0	418.1)	52	827	<u>s</u> <u>c</u>	s /s		OA)	300.0		ı	tes	S S
EDD (Гуре)	<u> </u>		Sample Temp	erature 7,1		Į.	'BE +	3 (GR	hod	힐	0 0	leta CIN	Ficial	8	ni-V	1 1		ble	posi	s (Z
Date	Time	Matrix	Sample Request ID	Container Type and #	Preservative Type	HEALNO	BTEX +	BTEX + MT	TPH 8015B (GRO	TPH (Method	EDB (Method 504.1)	PAH (8310 or 8270SIMS)	RCKA 8 Metals Apions (F Cl NO, NO, 50.)	8081 Pesticides / 8082	8260B (VOA)	8270 (Semi-VOA)	Chloride (soil		Grab sample	5 pt. composite	Air Bubbles (Y or N)
123/1S	0915	SOIL	5PC-TB@Z.5'(21)	40z 1	Cooi	-001	V										abla			$\sqrt{}$	
																			\Box		
																			\top		
			RUN TPH 8015B IF TPH 418.1 > 100 mg/Kg																		
							<u> </u>					1		_	_					_	
							-				_			-		<u> </u>			_		
							-			-	_		-	-	+				-	+	
							 				\dashv		_	+	+	<u> </u>			\dashv	\dashv	
te: 03/15				Received by: Date Time 2/3/15 1614			Remarks: / BILL DIRECTLY TO BP: Jeff Peace, 200 Energy Court, Farmington, NM 87401														
te:				Received by	02/0	Date Time	(J) C	RK	olo	EC.	4A(<u> 555</u>	3 <u>z</u> 6	<u>z</u>	PAY	KEY:	4	EUHC		<i>36</i> 12	<u>}</u>
ð .	it necess	aiy, samples	ьионнией to mail Environmental may be s	·	acoredited laberatone	a. This serves as notice (អ ពេល b	USSIDI	iity. Al	iy sub-	will a	cied da	ud Will I	re clea	ny nota	wa on	ine an	aiyucai	героп		



BP America Production Company 200 Energy Court Farmington, NM 87401 Phone: (505) 326-9200

February 2, 2015

City of Farmington Julie Baird 800 Municipal Drive Farmington, NM 87401

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL - RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Re: Notification of plans to close/remove a below grade tank

Well Name: CITY OF FARMINGTON COM 001

API#: 3004526733

Dear Mrs. Baird,

As part of the NM "Pit Rule": 19.15.17.13 Closure Requirements, Paragraph J. BP America Production Company (BP) is required to notify the surface owner of BP's plans to close/remove a below grade tank. BP wishes to inform you of our plans to close/remove the below grade tank on its well pad located on your surface. BP plans to commence this work on or about February 2, 2015. If there aren't any unforeseen problems, the work should be completed within 10 working days.

As a point of clarification, BP will be closing the below grade tank and either operating without one or replacing it with an above ground tank, the well site will continue to operate.

Unless you have questions about this notice, there is no need to respond to this letter. If you do have any questions or concerns, please contact me at (505)-326-9214.

Sincerely,

Jerry Van Riper

Surface Land Negotiator

BP America Production Company

BP America Production Company

200 Energy Court Farmington, NM 87401 Phone: (505) 326-9200

SENT VIA E-MAIL TO: CORY.SMITH@STATE.NM.US

January 29, 2014

New Mexico Oil Conservation Division 1000 Rio Brazos Road Aztec, New Mexico 87410

RE: Notice of Proposed Below-Grade Tank (BGT) Closure

CITY OF FARMINGTON COM 001 API 30-045-26733 (J)Section 10 – T29N – R13W San Juan County, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Cory Smith:

In regards to the captioned subject and requirements of the NMOCD pit rule, this letter is notification that BP is planning to close a **25** bbl BGT that will no longer be operational at this well site. We anticipate this work to start on or around February 2, 2015.

Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact BP at our Farmington office.

Sincerely,

Jeff Peace

BP Field Environmental Advisor

(505) 326-9479



