1.1	
	District I
	1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
	District II
	811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
	District III
	1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
	District IV
	1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

٠,

State of New Mexico
Energy Minerals and Natural Resources
Department
Oil Conservation Division
1220 South St. Francis Dr.
Santa Fe, NM 87505

For temporary pits, below-grade tanks, and multi-well fluid management pits, submit to the appropriate NMOCD District Office. For permanent pits submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

Pit, Below-Grade Tank, or
Proposed Alternative Method Permit or Closure Plan Application
Type of action: Below grade tank registration OIL CONS. DIV DIST. 3
$\frac{1}{1649}$ Permit of a pit or proposed alternative method Closure of a pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method MAY 0.7 2015
Modification to an existing permit/or registration
Closure plan only submitted for an existing permitted or non-permitted pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method
Instructions: Please submit one application (Form C-144) per individual pit, below-grade tank or alternative request
Please be advised that approval of this request does not relieve the operator of liability should operations result in pollution of surface water, ground water or the
environment. Nor does approval relieve the operator of its responsibility to comply with any other applicable governmental authority's rules, regulations or ordinances.
Operator: BP America Production Company OGRID #:778
Address:200 Energy Court, Farmington, NM 87401
Facility or well name:Gallegos Canyon Unit 221
API Number:
U/L or Qtr/QtrGSection31Township29NRange12WCounty:San Juan
Center of Proposed Design: Latitude36.68295 Longitude108.13697 NAD: □1927 ⊠ 1983
Surface Owner: 🗌 Federal 🗋 State 🖾 Private 🗋 Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment
2.
Pit: Subsection F, G or J of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
Temporary: Drilling Workover
Permanent Emergency Cavitation P&A Multi-Well Fluid Management Low Chloride Drilling Fluid yes no
Lined Unlined Liner type: Thickness mil LLDPE HDPE PVC Other
String-Reinforced
Liner Seams: Welded Factory Other Volume: bbl Dimensions: L x W x D
3.
Below-grade tank: Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Tank B
Volume:21.0bbl Type of fluid:Produced water
Tank Construction material:Steel
Secondary containment with leak detection 🗌 Visible sidewalls, liner, 6-inch lift and automatic overflow shut-off
🗌 Visible sidewalls and liner 🗌 Visible sidewalls only 🖾 Other _Single walled/double bottomed; side walls not visible
Liner type: Thicknessmil
4.
Alternative Method:
Submittal of an exception request is required. Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

21

Fencing: Subsection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits, temporary pits, and below-grade tanks)

Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top (*Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution or church*)

Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet

Alternate. Please specify_

5

Netting: Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks)

Screen Netting Other

Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)

Signs: Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC

12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers

Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC

Variances and Exceptions:

Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance.

Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank:

Variance(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district for consideration of approval.

Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

Siting Criteria (regarding permitting): 19.15.17.10 NMAC

Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of acceptable source material are provided below. Siting criteria does not apply to drying pads or above-grade tanks.

General siting	
<u>Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of a low chloride temporary pit or below-grade tank.</u> - □ NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; □ USGS; □ Data obtained from nearby wells	□ Yes □ No □ NA
Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of a Temporary pit, permanent pit, or Multi-Well Fluid Management pit. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
 Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
 Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
 Within an unstable area. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
Within a 100-year floodplain. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) - FEMA map	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
Below Grade Tanks	
 Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, significant watercourse, lake bed, sinkhole, wetland or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
 Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for public or livestock consumption;. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
Temporary Pit using Low Chloride Drilling Fluid (maximum chloride content 15,000 mg/liter)	
 Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). (Applies to low chloride temporary pits.) Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	Yes No

* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Within 300 feet from a occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.	Yes No
- Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	
Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 300feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of the initial application. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
 Within 100 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	Yes No
Temporary Pit Non-low chloride drilling fluid	
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse, or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	
 Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image 	Yes No
 Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in the existence at the time of the initial application; NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	Yes No
 Within 300 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
Permanent Pit or Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit	
 Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	Yes No
Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.	
 Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image 	Yes No
 Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
 Within 500 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
10. Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 N Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the do attached. Hydrogeologic Report (Below-grade Tanks) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Hydrogeologic Data (Temporary and Emergency Pits) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19. and 19.15.17.13 NMAC	<i>cuments are</i> 9 NMAC 15.17.9 NMAC
Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number:	
11. Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the do attached. Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC A List of wells with approved application for permit to drill associated with the pit. Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19 and 19.15.17.13 NMAC	
 Hydrogeologic Data - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC 	
Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number:	

. .

	 12. Permanent Pits Permit Application Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the attached. Hydrogeologic Report - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Climatological Factors Assessment Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Leak Detection Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Liner Specifications and Compatibility Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Quality Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installation Plan Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Freeboard and Overtopping Prevention Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Dister or Hazardous Odors, including H₂S, Prevention Plan Emergency Response Plan Oil Field Waste Stream Characterization Monitoring and Inspection Plan 	documents are
	 Erosion Control Plan Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC 	
L		
	<u>Proposed Closure</u> : 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan.	
	Type: \Box Drilling \Box Workover \Box Emergency \Box Cavitation \Box P&A \Box Permanent Pit \Box Below-grade Tank \Box Multi-well F	luid Management Dit
	Alternative	iura management i it
	Proposed Closure Method: Waste Excavation and Removal Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only)	
	On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems)	
	In-place Burial On-site Trench Burial Alternative Closure Method	
L		
	 closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings) Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC 	
Γ	15. Siting Criteria (regarding on site cleanne methods only), 10.15.17.10 NMAC	
	Siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendations of acceptable sour provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria require justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency. F 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance.	
	 Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells 	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
	Ground water is between 25-50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	□ Yes □ No □ NA
	Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	□ Yes □ No □ NA
	 Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
	 Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image 	Yes No
	 Within 300 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
	Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	Yes No
	Within 300 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No
	Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance	
-		

.

adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as an - Written confirmation or verification from the n	mended. municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. - Written confirmation or verification or map from	om the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
 Within an unstable area. Engineering measures incorporated into the de Society; Topographic map 	esign; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geo	0
Within a 100-year floodplain. - FEMA map		☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No
by a check mark in the box, that the documents are at Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - bas Proof of Surface Owner Notice - based upon the Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if a Construction/Design Plan of Temporary Pit (for Protocols and Procedures - based upon the approx Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - bas Waste Material Sampling Plan - based upon the Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for Soil Cover Design - based upon the appropriate Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate	sed upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC e appropriate requirements of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 NMAC applicable) based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection K in-place burial of a drying pad) - based upon the appropriate requirer	of 19.15.17.11 NMAC ments of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
17. Operator Application Certification: I hereby certify that the information submitted with thi Name (Print):	is application is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowle	
Signature:		
e-mail address:		
OCD Representative Signature: Title: <u>Supplement Office</u> 19. <u>Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure of the supplement of the super supplement of the super sup</u>	osure plan) Closure Plan (only) OCD Conditions (see attac Approval Date Closure Conducted Prior OCD Permit Number: <u>Completion</u> : 19.15.17.13 NMAC proved closure plan prior to implementing any closure activities and livision within 60 days of the completion of the closure activities. Plan s been obtained and the closure activities have been completed.	to Closure Plan appen
	Closure Completion Date:9/	18/2013
20. Closure Method: ⊠ Waste Excavation and Removal □ On-Site Clos □ If different from approved plan, please explain.	sure Method 🔲 Alternative Closure Method 🗌 Waste Removal	l (Closed-loop systems only)
 21. <u>Closure Report Attachment Checklist</u>: <i>Instructions:</i> mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Proof of Closure Notice (surface owner and divis Proof of Deed Notice (required for on-site closur Plot Plan (for on-site closures and temporary pits Confirmation Sampling Analytical Results (if ap) Waste Material Sampling Analytical Results (req Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation 	re for private land only) s) plicable)	t. Please indicate, by a check

4

22. Operator Closure Certification:

.

I hereby c	certify that the information	and attachments submitte	ed with this closure r	report is true, accura	ate and complete to the best of my	/ knowledge and
belief. I a	also certify that the closure	complies with all applica	able closure requiren	nents and conditions	s specified in the approved closur	e plan.

Name (Print):Jeff Peace	Title: Field Environmental Coordinator
Signature: Joff Peace	Date:May 4, 2015
e-mail address:peace.jeffrey@bp.com	Telephone:(505) 326-9479

BP AMERICA PRODUCTION COMPANY SAN JUAN BASIN, NORTHWEST NEW MEXICO

BELOW-GRADE TANK CLOSURE PLAN

<u>Gallegos Canyon Unit 221, Tank B (21 bbl)</u> <u>API No. 3004511649</u> Unit Letter G, Section 31, T29N, R12W

This plan will address the standard protocols and procedures for closure of below-grade tanks (BGTs) on BP America Production Company (BP) well sites. As stipulated in Paragraph A of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall close a BGT within the time periods provided in 19.15.17.13 NMAC, or by an earlier date that the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) requires because of imminent danger to fresh water, public health, safety or the environment. If deviations from this plan are necessary, any specific changes will be included on form C-144 and approved by the NMOCD. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC or is not included in Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC within five years after June 16, 2008, if not retrofit with a BGT that complies with the BP NMOCD approved BGT design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC, if not previously retrofitted to comply with the BP NMOCD approve BGT Design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan, prior to any sale or change in operator pursuant to 19.15.9.9 NMAC. BP shall close the permitted BGT within 60 days of cessation of the BGTs operation or as required by the transitional provisions of Subsection B, D, or E of 19.15.17.17 NMAC.

General Closure Plan

- BP shall notify the surface owner by certified mail that it plans to close a BGT. Evidence of mailing of the notice to the address of the surface owner shown in the county tax records demonstrates compliance with this requirement. No notice was sent due to misunderstanding of BGT closure notice requirements at that time.
- 2. BP shall notify the division District III office verbally or by other means at least 72 hours, but not more than one (1) week, prior to any closure operation. The notice shall include the operator's name, and the location to be closed by unit letter, section, township and range. If the BGT closure is associated with a particular well, then the notice shall also include the well's name, number and API number.

No notice was sent due to misunderstanding of BGT closure notice requirements at that time.

- 3. BP shall remove liquids and sludge from the BGT prior to implementing a closure method and dispose of the liquids and sludge in a NMOCD's division-approved facility. The facilities to be used are:
 - a. BP Crouch Mesa Landfarm, Permit NM-02-003 (Solids)
 - b. JFJ Landfarm, Permit NM-01-010(B) (Solids and Sludge)
 - c. Basin Disposal, Permit NM-01-0005 (Liquids)

- d. Envirotech Inc Soil Remediation Facility, Permit NM-01-0011 (Solids and Sludge)
- e. BP Operated E.E. Elliott SWD #1, API 30-045-27799 (Liquids)
- f. BP Operated 13 GCU SWD #1, API 30-045-28601 (Liquids)
- g. BP Operated GCU 259 SWD, API 30-045-20006 (Liquids)
- h. BP Operated GCU 306 SWD, API 30-045-24286 (Liquids)
- i. BP Operated GCU 307 SWD, API 30-045-24248 (Liquids)
- j. BP Operated GCU 328 SWD, API 30-045-24735 (Liquids)
- k. BP Operated Pritchard SWD #1, API 30-045-28351 (Liquids)
 - All liquids and sludge in the BGT were removed and sent to one of the above NMOCD approved facilities for disposal.
- 4. BP shall remove the BGT and dispose of it in a NMOCD approved facility or recycle, reuse, or reclaim it in a manner that the NMOCD approves. If a liner is present and must be disposed of it will be cleaned by scraping any soils or other attached materials on the liner to a de minimus amount and disposed at a permitted solid waste facility, pursuant to Subparagraph (m) of Paragraph (1) of Subsection C of 19.15.35.8 NMAC. Documentation as to the final disposition of the removed BGT will be provided in the final closure report.

The BGT was transported to a storage area for sale and re-use.

- BP shall remove any on-site equipment associated with a BGT unless the equipment is required for well production.
 All equipment associated with the BGT has been removed.
- 6. BP shall test the soils beneath the BGT to determine whether a release has occurred. BP shall collect at a minimum: a five (5) point composite sample and individual grab samples from any area that is wet, discolored or showing other evidence of a release and analyze for BTEX, TPH and chlorides. The testing methods for those constituents are as follows;

Constituents	Testing Method	Release Verification	Sample
	21 bbl BGT, Tank B	(mg/Kg)	results
Benzene	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	0.2	ND
Total BTEX	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	50	ND
TPH	US EPA Method SW-846 418.1	100	ND
Chlorides	US EPA Method 300.0 or 4500B	250 or background	ND

Notes: mg/Kg = milligram per kilogram, BTEX = benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes, TPH = total petroleum hydrocarbons. Other EPA methods that the division approves may be applied to all constituents listed. Chloride closure standards will be determined by which ever concentration level is greatest.

Soil under the BGT was sampled and TPH, BTEX and chlorides levels were below the stated limits. Sampling data is attached.

- BP shall notify the division District III office of its results on form C-141.
 C-141 is attached.
- If it is determined that a release has occurred, then BP will comply with 19.15.30 NMAC and 19.15.29 NMAC, as appropriate.
 Sampling results indicate no release occurred.
- 9. If the sampling demonstrates that a release has not occurred or that any release does not exceed the concentrations specified above, then BP shall backfill the excavation, with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material; construct a division-prescribed soil cover, re-contour and re-vegetate the location. The location will be reclaimed if it is not with in the active process area

The area under the BGT was backfilled with clean soil and is still within the active well area.

10. BP shall reclaim the BGT location and all areas associated with the BGT including associated access roads to a safe and stable condition that blends with the surrounding undisturbed area. BP shall substantially restore the impacted surface area to the condition that existed prior to oil and gas operations by placement of the soil cover as provided in Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, re-contour the location and associated areas to a contour that approximates the original contour and blends with the surrounding topography and re-vegetate according to Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC.

The area over the BGT is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed as part of final reclamation when the well is plugged and abandoned.

11. The soil cover for closures where the BGT has been removed or remediated to the NMOCD's satisfaction shall consist of the background thickness of topsoil or one foot of suitable material to establish vegetation at the site, whichever is greater. The soil cover will be constructed to the site's existing grade and all practicable efforts will be made to prevent ponding of water and erosion of the cover material.

The area over the BGT is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed as part of final reclamation when the well is plugged and abandoned.

12. BP shall seed the disturbed area the first growing season after closure of the BGT. Seeding will be accomplished by drilling on the contour whenever practical or by other division-approved methods. Vegetative cover will be, at a minimum, 70% of the native perennial vegetative cover (un-impacted by overgrazing, fire or other intrusion damaging to native vegetation), consisting of at least three native plant species, including at least one grass, but not including noxious weeds, and maintenance of that cover through two successive growing seasons. During the two growing seasons that prove viability, there shall be no artificial irrigation of the vegetation.

The area over the BGT is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed as part of final reclamation when the well is plugged and abandoned.

13. BP shall seed, plant and re-seed pursuant to Paragraph (3) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, until the location successfully achieves the required vegetative cover.

BP will seed the area as part of final reclamation when the well is plugged and abandoned.

14. Pursuant to Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall notify the NMOCD when it has seeded or planted and when it successfully achieves revegetation.

BP will notify NMOCD when re-vegetation is successful.

•

- 15. Within 60 days of closure completion, BP shall submit a closure report on NMOCD's form C-144, and will include the following;
 - a. proof of closure notification (surface owner and NMOCD)
 - b. sampling analytical reports; information required by 19.15.17 NMAC;
 - c. disposal facility name and permit number
 - d. details on back-filling, capping, covering, and where applicable re-vegetation application rates and seeding techniques and
 - e. site reclamation, photo documentation. Closure report on C-144 form is included.
- 16. BP shall certify that all information in the report and attachments is accurate, truthful, and compliant with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.

Certification section of C-144 has been completed.

.....

٠.

Form C-141 Revised August 8, 2011

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505 Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

		a Fe, NM 8750		S	anta Fe	e, NM 875	005			
			Rel	ease Notifi	catior	1 and Co	orrective A	ction		
						OPERA	ГOR	Ini	ial Report	Final Report
Name of Company: BP						Contact: Jef	f Peace		1	
Address: 200 Energy Court, Farmington, NM 87401						Telephone No.: 505-326-9479				
Facility Name: Gallegos Canyon Unit 221						Facility Type: Natural gas well				
Surface Ow	ner: Privat	te		Mineral ()wner:]	r: Federal API No. 3004511649				49
				LOC	ATIO	NOF REI	LEASE			
Unit Letter G	Section 31	Township 29N	Range 12W	Feet from the 2,445	North/ North	South Line	Feet from the 1,690	East/West Line East	County: Sa	n Juan
		Lati	itude 3	6.68295	1	Longitud	e 108.13697			
					TURE	OF RELI				
Type of Relea	ase: none			1114	UIL		Release: N/A	Volume	Recovered: N/	/A
Source of Rel	lease: belov	v grade tank –	- 21 bbl, T	ank B		Date and H	lour of Occurrenc	e: Date and	Hour of Disc	overy:
Was Immedia	ate Notice (Yes 🗌	No 🛛 Not R	equired	If YES, To	Whom?			
By Whom?						Date and H	lour			
Was a Waterc	course Read		Yes 🛛	No			lume Impacting t	he Watercourse.		
If a Watercou										
										1
the BGT. Soi Describe Area	a Affected a	esulted in TPI	dial Action H, BTEX a	n Taken.* Sampli and chlorides belo cen.* BGT was re	ow stand	ards. Analys	sis results are atta	ched.		
the BGT. Soi Describe Area backfilled and I hereby certif regulations all public health should their o or the environ	a Affected a d compacted fy that the i l operators or the envir perations h iment. In a	and Cleanup A and Cleanup A d and is still w nformation gi are required to onment. The ave failed to a ddition, NMO	dial Action H, BTEX a Action Tak vithin the a iven above o report an acceptanc adequately OCD accep	n Taken.* Sampli and chlorides belo	emoved a elete to the release no port by the remediate	ards. Analys nd the area un the best of my otifications ar NMOCD ma contamination	sis results are atta nderneath the BG knowledge and u id perform correc arked as "Final Ro on that pose a thro	ched. T was sampled. T nderstand that put tive actions for re eport" does not re eat to ground wate	The area under rsuant to NMO leases which n lieve the opera er, surface wate	the BGT was CD rules and hay endanger tor of liability er, human health
the BGT. Soi Describe Area backfilled and I hereby certif regulations all public health should their o	a Affected a d compacted fy that the i l operators or the envir perations h iment. In a	and Cleanup A and Cleanup A d and is still w nformation gi are required to onment. The ave failed to a ddition, NMO	dial Action H, BTEX a Action Tak vithin the a iven above o report an acceptanc adequately OCD accep	n Taken.* Sampli and chlorides belo cen.* BGT was re active well area. e is true and comp nd/or file certain r ce of a C-141 report investigate and r	emoved a elete to the release no port by the remediate	ards. Analys nd the area un the best of my otifications ar NMOCD ma contamination	sis results are atta nderneath the BG knowledge and u ad perform correc arked as "Final Ro on that pose a thre e the operator of r	ched. T was sampled. T nderstand that put tive actions for re eport" does not re eat to ground wate	The area under rsuant to NMO leases which n lieve the opera rr, surface wate compliance with	the BGT was CD rules and hay endanger tor of liability er, human health th any other
the BGT. Soi Describe Area backfilled and I hereby certif regulations all public health should their o or the environ	a Affected a d compacted fy that the i l operators or the envir perations h iment. In a	and Cleanup A and Cleanup A d and is still w nformation gi are required to onment. The ave failed to a ddition, NMO	dial Action H, BTEX a Action Tak vithin the a iven above o report an acceptanc adequately OCD accep	n Taken.* Sampli and chlorides belo cen.* BGT was re active well area. e is true and comp nd/or file certain r ce of a C-141 report investigate and r	emoved a elete to the release no port by the remediate	ards. Analys nd the area un the best of my otifications ar NMOCD ma contamination	sis results are atta nderneath the BG knowledge and u ad perform correc arked as "Final Ro on that pose a thre e the operator of r	ched. T was sampled. T nderstand that pu- tive actions for re eport" does not re eat to ground wate responsibility for	The area under rsuant to NMO leases which n lieve the opera rr, surface wate compliance with	the BGT was CD rules and nay endanger tor of liability er, human health th any other
the BGT. Soi Describe Area backfilled and I hereby certif regulations all public health should their of or the environ federal, state, Signature:	a Affected a d compacted fy that the i l operators or the envir perations h ment. In a or local law	esulted in TPI and Cleanup A d and is still w nformation gi are required to ronment. The ave failed to a ddition, NMO vs and/or regu	dial Action H, BTEX a Action Tak vithin the a iven above o report an acceptanc adequately OCD accep	n Taken.* Sampli and chlorides belo cen.* BGT was re active well area. e is true and comp nd/or file certain r ce of a C-141 report investigate and r	emoved a encoded a elete to the release no port by the remediate report do	ards. Analys nd the area un otifications are NMOCD ma e contaminations post not relieve	sis results are atta nderneath the BG knowledge and u ad perform correc arked as "Final Ro on that pose a thre e the operator of r	ched. T was sampled. T nderstand that put tive actions for re eport" does not re eat to ground wate responsibility for SERVATION	The area under rsuant to NMO leases which n lieve the opera rr, surface wate compliance with	the BGT was CD rules and hay endanger tor of liability er, human health th any other
the BGT. Soi Describe Area backfilled and I hereby certif regulations all public health of should their of or the environ federal, state,	a Affected a d compacted fy that the i l operators or the envir perations h ment. In a or local law	esulted in TPI and Cleanup A d and is still w nformation gi are required to onment. The ave failed to a ddition, NMO vs and/or regu	dial Action H, BTEX a Action Tak vithin the a iven above o report an acceptanc adequately DCD accep ilations.	n Taken.* Sampli and chlorides belo cen.* BGT was re active well area. e is true and comp nd/or file certain r ce of a C-141 report investigate and r	emoved a elease no cort by the report do	ards. Analys nd the area un otifications are NMOCD ma e contaminations post not relieve	sis results are attand nderneath the BG knowledge and un ad perform correc arked as "Final Ro on that pose a thre e the operator of r <u>OIL CONS</u> Environmental Sp	ched. T was sampled. T nderstand that put tive actions for re eport" does not re eat to ground wate responsibility for SERVATION	The area under rsuant to NMO leases which n lieve the opera er, surface wate compliance with DIVISIO	the BGT was CD rules and nay endanger tor of liability er, human health th any other
the BGT. Soi Describe Area backfilled and I hereby certif regulations all public health should their o or the environ federal, state, <u>Signature:</u> Printed Name	a Affected a d compacted d compacted fy that the i l operators or the envir perations h ment. In a or local law	esulted in TPI and Cleanup A d and is still w nformation gi are required to onment. The ave failed to a ddition, NMO vs and/or regu Access al Coordinato	dial Action H, BTEX a Action Tak vithin the a iven above o report an acceptanc adequately DCD accep ilations.	n Taken.* Sampli and chlorides belo cen.* BGT was re active well area. e is true and comp nd/or file certain r ce of a C-141 report investigate and r	ow stands moved a plete to the release no port by the remediate report do	ards. Analys nd the area un the best of my obtifications are NMOCD ma e contamination bes not relieve Approved by	sis results are attanderneath the BG knowledge and und perform correctarked as "Final Ro on that pose a three the operator of r OIL CONS Environmental Sp e:	ched. T was sampled. T was sampled. nderstand that put tive actions for re eport" does not re eat to ground wate responsibility for SERVATION pecialist:	The area under rsuant to NMO leases which n lieve the opera er, surface wate compliance with DIVISIO	the BGT was CD rules and hay endanger tor of liability er, human health th any other N
the BGT. Soi Describe Area backfilled and I hereby certif regulations all public health should their of or the environ federal, state, <u>Signature:</u> Printed Name Title: Field Er	I analysis r A Affected a compacted fy that the i l operators or the envir perations h ment. In a or local law . Jeff Peace nvironment ss: peace.je	esulted in TPI and Cleanup A d and is still w nformation gi are required to onment. The ave failed to a ddition, NMO vs and/or regu Accordinato ffrey@bp.com	dial Action H, BTEX a Action Tak within the a iven above o report an acceptanc adequately DCD accep ilations.	n Taken.* Sampli and chlorides belo cen.* BGT was re active well area. e is true and comp nd/or file certain r ce of a C-141 report investigate and r	ow stands moved a plete to the release no port by the remediate report do	ards. Analys nd the area un otifications are NMOCD ma e contamination bes not relieved Approved by Approval Date	sis results are attanderneath the BG knowledge and und perform correctarked as "Final Ro on that pose a three the operator of r OIL CONS Environmental Sp e:	ched. T was sampled. T was sampled. nderstand that put tive actions for re eport" does not re eat to ground wate responsibility for SERVATION pecialist:	The area under rsuant to NMO leases which n lieve the opera rr, surface wate compliance with DIVISION	the BGT was CD rules and hay endanger tor of liability er, human health th any other N

		INEERING, INC	-				
CLIENT: BP	87413	API #: 3004	511649				
	(505) 632-1199						
FIELD REPORT:	(circle one): BGT CONFIRMATION / RE	LEASE INVESTIGATION / OTH	HER:	PAGE #: 1	of 1		
SITE INFORMATION	SITE NAME: GCU # 22	1		DATE STARTED:	09/09/13		
QUAD/UNIT: G SEC: 31 TWP:	29N RNG: 12W PM:	MM CNTY: SJ	ST: NM	DATE FINISHED:			
1/4 -1/4/FOOTAGE: 2,445'N / 1,69		FEDERAL / STATE / F ELKHORN RACTOR: MBF - C. PAR		ENVIRONMENTAL SPECIALIST(S):	NJV		
REFERENCE POINT			X 108.13683	GL ELEV	5,589'		
1) 35 BGT (3W/3B) - A		306 X 108.13667			44', 619.5E		
2) 21 BGT (SW/DB) - B	GPS COORD.: 36.68	3295 X 108.13697			79', S13.5W		
3)	GPS COORD.:		DISTANCE/BEA	ARING FROM W.H.:			
4)	GPS COORD.:		DISTANCE/BEA	ARING FROM W.H.:			
SAMPLING DATA:	CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD(S) # OR LA	B USED: HALL			OVM READING		
1) SAMPLE ID: 5PC-TD @ 5.5' (93	D) - A SAMPLE DATE: 09/09/13	SAMPLE TIME: 1940	AB AWALYSIS: 410.1/0	015B/8021B/300.	(ppm)		
2) SAMPLE ID: 5 PC-TB @ 6' (21)	- B SAMPLE DATE: 09/09/13	SAMPLE TIME: 1335	AB ANALYSIS: 418.1/8	015B/8021B/300.	0 (CI) NA		
3) SAMPLE ID:	SAMPLE DATE:	SAMPLE TIME: LA	AB ANALYSIS:				
4) SAMPLE ID:	SAMPLE DATE:	SAMPLE TIME: L/	AB ANALYSIS:				
SOIL COLOR: DARK YELLOWS COHESION (ALL OTHERS): NON COHESIVE SLIGHTLY CONSISTENCY (NON COHESIVE SOILS): LO MOISTURE: DRY SLIGHTLY MOIST / MOIST SAMPLE TYPE: GRAB (COMPOSITE) DISCOLORATION/STAINING OBSERVED:	OSE / FIRM DENSE / VERY DENSE T / SATURATED / SUPER SATURATED OF PTS. <u>5</u>	BENEATH 21 BBL PLASTICITY (CLAYS): NON PLAS DENSITY (COHESIVE CL HC ODOR DETECTED:	TTC / SLIGHTLY PLASTIC / C AYS & SILTS): SOFT	/ FIRM / STIFF / VERY S	HIGHLY PLASTIC TIFF / HARD		
ANY AREAS DISPLAYING WETNESS: YES / <u>NO</u> APPARENT EVIDENCE OF A RELEASE OF ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:		NO EXPLANATION :					
SOIL IMPACT DIMENSION ESTIMATION: DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER:		X <u>NA</u> ft. EAREST SURFACE WATER:		IMATION (Cubic Yards D TPH CLOSURE STD:			
SITE SKETCH	TO W.H.	PLOT PLAN circle		CALIB. READ. = <u>NA</u> CALIB. GAS = <u>NA</u> <u>NA</u> am/pm DAT MISCELL. M 10: N1534303	NOTES		
	TO SEPARATOR UNIT N DEPRESSION; B.G. = BELOW GRADE; B = BELOW, W-GRADE TANK LOCATION; SPD = SAMPLE POINT I WALL; DW - DOUBLE WALL; SB - SINGLE BOTTOM; I	T.H. = TEST HOLE; ~ = APPROX.; W.H DESIGNATION; R.W. = RETAINING WA	H = WELL HEAD; ALL; NA - NOT	D #: K: ZFEIRK0S J #: ermit date(s): 0 CD Appr. date(s): 0 k OVM = Organic Va	6/14/10 5/29/13 apor Meter hillion Y /(N) Y /(N) Y / N		

۰.

Analytical Report
Lab Order 1309464
Date Reported: 9/18/2013

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

٠,

CLIENT: Blagg Engineering Client Sample ID: 5PC-TB @ 6' (21)-B Project: GCU #221 Collection Date: 9/9/2013 1:35:00 PM Lab ID: 1309464-002 Matrix: SOIL Received Date: 9/11/2013 9:50:00 AM

Analyses	Result	RL Qu	al Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 8015D: DIESEL RANGE	ORGANICS				Analyst	BCN
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	10	mg/Kg	1	9/16/2013 9:44:12 PM	9308
Surr: DNOP	76.9	63-147	%REC	1	9/16/2013 9:44:12 PM	9308
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RAI	NGE				Analyst	NSB
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	4.8	mg/Kg	1	9/13/2013 3:38:16 PM	9285
Surr: BFB	93.7	80-120	%REC	1	9/13/2013 3:38:16 PM	9285
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analyst	NSB
Benzene	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	9/13/2013 3:38:16 PM	9285
Toluene	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	9/13/2013 3:38:16 PM	9285
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	9/13/2013 3:38:16 PM	9285
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.095	mg/Kg	1	9/13/2013 3:38:16 PM	9285
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	103	80-120	%REC	1	9/13/2013 3:38:16 PM	9285
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analyst	JRR
Chloride	ND	1.5	mg/Kg	1	9/16/2013 4:48:49 PM	9328
EPA METHOD 418.1: TPH					Analyst	JME
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	ND	20	mg/Kg	1	9/16/2013	9309

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	В	Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
	Е	Value above quantitation range	Н	Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
	J	Analyte detected below quantitation limits	ND	Not Detected at the Reporting Limit Page 2 of 7
	0	RSD is greater than RSDlimit	Р	Not Detected at the Reporting Limit Page 2 of 7 Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
	R	RPD outside accepted recovery limits	RL	Reporting Detection Limit
	S	Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits		

C	hain-o	of-Cus	tody Record	I urn-Arouna I	me.					ŀ	44	L F		ML	/TE	20	NI	ME	NT	.	
Client:	BLAG	G ENGR.	/ BP AMERICA	Standard	Rush														TC		
				Project Name:											nme						
Mailing A	ddress:	P.O. BO	K 87		GCU # 22	1		49	01 H									37109	9		
		BLOOM	FIELD, NM 87413	Project #:					el. 50						505						
Phone #:		(505) 63	2-1199									ļ	Anal	ysis	Red	ques	st				
email or F	ax#:			Project Manag	jer:			0	nv					4)				.1)			T
QA/QC Par	-		Level 4 (Full Validation)		NELSON VE	ELEZ	MB's (8021B)	TPH (Gas only)	WIND)			1S)		PO4,50	PCB's			er - 300.1)			e
Accreditat	tion:			Sampler:	NELSON VE	ELEZ nv	-8 -	(Gas	/ DRO /	1)	1)	SIN		102,	8082			/ water			sample
	2	Other		On Ice:	Yes	🗆 No		TPH	0 / D	418.1)	504.1)	8270SIMS)	6	O3, N	1		(YC	300.0			
	Гуре)	1		Sample Temp	erature: 113	5		+	(GR(pou	pou	or	etal	CI'N	cide	(A)	i-V			e	osit
Date	Time	Matrix	Sample Request ID	Container Type and #	Preservative Type	HEAL NO.	BTEX + MTE	BTEX + MTBE	TPH 8015B (GRO	TPH (Method	EDB (Method	PAH (8310	RCRA 8 Metals	Anions (F,Cl,NO ₃ ,NO ₂ ,PO ₄ ,SO ₄)	8081 Pesticides	8260B (VOA)	8270 (Semi-VOA)	Chloride (soil		lab	5 pt. composite
- 5/9/13	1340	SOIL	5PC-TB @ 5.5' (95) A	4 02 2	Cool	-001	V		-	-								*		\rightarrow	*
																					1
9/9/13	1335	SOIL	5РС-ТВ @ 6' (21)-В	4 oz 2	Cool	-002	V		٧	٧								V		1	V
																					-
																					-
																					-
							1														\top
																					-
																					-
Date: /	Time:	Relinquish	ed by:	Received by:		Date Time	Rer	nark	S:			-	1	1				L			L
9/10/13	1217	1	Inf	Christer	Maler	9/10/13 1217			RECT				ourt,	Farn	ningt	on, M	VM 8	7401			
Date:	Time:	Relinquish	ed by: (J	Received/by:	ngli	Date Time													KOSJ	<u>s</u>	_

.*

Client: Blagg Engineering Project: GCU #221

۰.

Sample ID MB-9328	SampType: MBLK	TestCode: EPA Method	300.0: Anions		
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 9328	RunNo: 13415			
Prep Date: 9/16/2013	Analysis Date: 9/16/2013	SeqNo: 381618	Units: mg/Kg		
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value	SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit	HighLimit %RPD	RPDLimit Q	ual
Chloride	ND 4.5				
Shionde	ND 1.5				
	SampType: LCS	TestCode: EPA Method	300.0: Anions		
Sample ID LCS-9328		TestCode: EPA Method RunNo: 13415	300.0: Anions		
Sample ID LCS-9328 Client ID: LCSS	SampType: LCS		300.0: Anions Units: mg/Kg		
Sample ID LCS-9328 Client ID: LCSS	SampType: LCS Batch ID: 9328 Analysis Date: 9/16/2013	RunNo: 13415		RPDLimit Q	ual

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 3 of 7

WO#: 1309464

18-Sep-13

Client: Blagg Engineering

۰.

Project: GCU #221

Sample ID MB-9309	SampType: MBLK	TestCode: EPA Method	418.1: TPH	
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 9309	RunNo: 13380		
Prep Date: 9/13/2013	Analysis Date: 9/16/2013	SeqNo: 380738	Units: mg/Kg	
Analyte		SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit	HighLimit %RPD	RPDLimit Qual
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	ND 20			
Sample ID LCS-9309	SampType: LCS	TestCode: EPA Method	418.1: TPH	
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 9309	RunNo: 13380		
Prep Date: 9/13/2013	Analysis Date: 9/16/2013	SeqNo: 380739	Units: mg/Kg	
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value	SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit	HighLimit %RPD	RPDLimit Qual
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	84 20 100.0	0 83.5 80	120	
Sample ID LCSD-9309	SampType: LCSD	TestCode: EPA Method	418.1: TPH	
Client ID: LCSS02	Batch ID: 9309	RunNo: 13380		
Prep Date: 9/13/2013	Analysis Date: 9/16/2013	SeqNo: 380740	Units: mg/Kg	
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value	SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit	HighLimit %RPD	RPDLimit Qual
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	93 20 100.0	0 93.3 80	120 11.1	20

Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. *
- Value above quantitation range E
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits J
- RSD is greater than RSDlimit 0
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits S
- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank В
- Η Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- Р Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- Reporting Detection Limit RL

Page 4 of 7

18-Sep-13

WO#: 1309464

QC SUMMARY REPORT Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Client: Blagg Engineering Project: GCU #221

.

۰.

Sample ID LCS-9308	SampType: LCS TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics									
Client ID: LCSS	LCSS Batch ID: 9308					RunNo: 13385				
Prep Date: 9/13/2013	Analysis Da	te: 9/	16/2013	SeqNo: 381454			Units: mg/k	٢g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	45	10	50.00	0	90.8	77.1	128			
Surr: DNOP	4.9		5.000		97.4	63	147			
Sample ID MB-9308	SampTy	pe: ME	BLK	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8015D: Dies	el Range (Organics	
Client ID: PBS	Batch	ID: 930	08	F	RunNo: 1	3385				
Prep Date: 9/13/2013	Analysis Da	te: 9/	16/2013	S	SeqNo: 3	81455	Units: mg/k	(g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	10								
Surr: DNOP	7.6		10.00		75.6	63	147			

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 5 of 7

WO#: 1309464

18-Sep-13

Client: Blagg Engineering Project: GCU #221

۰.

Sample ID MB-9285	SampType	e: MBL	_K	TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range								
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID	D: 9285	5	R								
Prep Date: 9/12/2013	Analysis Date: 9/13/2013			S	eqNo: 3	80265	Units: mg/K	Jnits: mg/Kg				
Analyte	Result F	PQL :	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual		
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	5.0										
Surr: BFB	920		1000		92.4	80	120					
	SampType: LCS TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range											
Sample ID LCS-9285	SampType	e: LCS	5	Test	Code: El	PA Method	8015D: Gaso	line Range	9			
Sample ID LCS-9285 Client ID: LCSS	SampType Batch ID				Code: EF		8015D: Gaso	line Range	9			
	1 71	D: 9285		R		3373	8015D: Gaso Units: mg/K	Ū	9			
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID Analysis Date	D: 9285 e: 9/1:	5 3/2013	R	unNo: 1;	3373		Ū	e RPDLimit	Qual		
Client ID: LCSS Prep Date: 9/12/2013	Batch ID Analysis Date	D: 9285 e: 9/1:	5 3/2013	R	unNo: 1; eqNo: 3	3373 80266	Units: mg/K	g		Qual		
Client ID: LCSS Prep Date: 9/12/2013 Analyte	Batch ID Analysis Date Result F	D: 9285 e: 9/1: PQL :	5 3/2013 SPK value	R S SPK Ref Val	unNo: 1; eqNo: 3; %REC	3 373 8 0266 LowLimit	Units: mg/K HighLimit	g		Qual		

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.

Page 6 of 7

RL Reporting Detection Limit

WO#: **1309464** *18-Sep-13* Client: Blagg Engineering Project: GCU #221

*

٠,

EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles
13373
380319 Units: mg/Kg
CLowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual
8 80 120
8 80 120 EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles
EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles
EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles 13373
EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles 13373 380321 Units: mg/Kg
EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles 13373 380321 Units: mg/Kg : LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual
EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles 13373 380321 Units: mg/Kg E LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual 80 120
EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles 13373 380321 Units: mg/Kg LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual 80 120 80 120
1

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

WO#: **1309464** *18-Sep-13*

	4901 Hawkins N Albuquerque, NM 8710 2: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-410 Vebsite: www.hallenvironmental.com	9 Sam	ple Log-In Ch	ieck List
Client Name: BLAGG Work	Order Number: 1309464		RcptNo:	1
Received by/date: 112-09/17/13				
logged By: Anne Thorne 9/11/20	3 9:50:00 AM	anne Am		
Completed By: Anne Thorne 9/12/20	З	Anne Arm Anne Arm	-	
Reviewed By: A 09/12	17	and from		
hain of Custody	5			
1. Custody seals intact on sample bottles?	Yes	No 🗌	Not Present V	
2. Is Chain of Custody complete?	Yes 🖌	No 📋	Not Present	
3. How was the sample delivered?	Courier			
.og In				
4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?	Yes 🗹	No	NA	
5. Were all samples received at a temperature of $>0^{\circ}$ C	to 6.0°C Yes 🗹	No 🗌	NA	
6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌		
7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?	Yes 🖌	No 🗌		
8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preser	ved? Yes 🗹	No		
9. Was preservative added to bottles?	Yes	No 🖌	NA	
0.VOA vials have zero headspace?	Yes	No 🗌	No VOA Vials	
1. Were any sample containers received broken?	Yes	No 🗹	# of preserved	
	_		bottles checked	
2.Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody)	Yes 🗸	No	for pH: (<2 or	>12 unless note
3. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody	Yes 🗸	No	Adjusted?	
4. Is it clear what analyses were requested?	Yes 🗸	No		
5. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.)	Yes 🗹	No 🗌	Checked by:	
pecial Handling (if applicable)				
6. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order	? Yes	No	NA 🗹	
Person Notified:	Date			
By Whom:	Via: eMail Pho	one 🗌 Fax	In Person	
Regarding:	Andreas - Albert - Hannes - Look (Albert)	A state branche et al. an	*	
Client Instructions:		Contraction of Photos		
17. Additional remarks:				
8. Cooler Information				
Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seal Intact	Seal No Seal Date S	igned By]	
1 1.3 Good Yes	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i]	

` *

۴,

P



