District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources Department Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

Form C-144 Revised June 6, 2013

For temporary pits, below-grade tanks, and multi-well fluid management pits, submit to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

For permanent pits submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

Pit, Below-Grade Tank, or
Proposed Alternative Method Permit or Closure Plan Application
Type of action: Below grade tank registration OIL CONS. DIV DIST. 3
Permit of a pit or proposed alternative method Closure of a pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method MAY 1 9 2015
Modification to an existing permit/or registration
Closure plan only submitted for an existing permitted or non-permitted pit, below-grade tank,
or proposed alternative method Instructions: Please submit one application (Form C-144) per individual pit, below-grade tank or alternative request
Please be advised that approval of this request does not relieve the operator of liability should operations result in pollution of surface water, ground water or the
environment. Nor does approval relieve the operator of its responsibility to comply with any other applicable governmental authority's rules, regulations or ordinances.
1. Operator: RP America Production Company OCRID #. 778
Operator: BP America Production Company OGRID #:778
Address:200 Energy Court, Farmington, NM 87401
Facility or well name:Horton LS 2
API Number:3004520673OCD Permit Number:
U/L or Qtr/QtrM Section29 Township32N Range11W County:San Juan
Center of Proposed Design: Latitude36.952117 Longitude108.016475 NAD: □1927 ⋈ 1983
Surface Owner: 🛮 Federal 🗌 State 🔲 Private 🔲 Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment
2.
Pit: Subsection F, G or J of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
Temporary: Drilling Workover
☐ Permanent ☐ Emergency ☐ Cavitation ☐ P&A ☐ Multi-Well Fluid Management Low Chloride Drilling Fluid ☐ yes ☐ no ☐ Lined ☐ Unlined Liner type: Thickness
String-Reinforced
Liner Seams: Welded Factory Other Volume: bbl Dimensions: L x W x D
3. ⊠ Below-grade tank: Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Tank A
Volume:95.0bbl Type of fluid:Produced water
Tank Construction material:Steel
Secondary containment with leak detection Visible sidewalls, liner, 6-inch lift and automatic overflow shut-off
☐ Visible sidewalls and liner ☐ Visible sidewalls only ☒ Other _Double walled/double bottomed; side walls not visible

Liner type: Thickness

Alternative Method:

Submittal of an exception request is required. Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

mil HDPE PVC Other

Fencing: Subsection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits, temporary pits, and below-grade tanks) Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top (Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent residence, school, institution or church) Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet Alternate. Please specify	hospital,
6.	
Netting: Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks)	
☐ Screen ☐ Netting ☐ Other	
☐ Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)	
7.	
Signs: Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC	
12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers	
☐ Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC	
Variances and Exceptions: Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance. Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank: Variance(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district for consideration of approval. Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.	
9. Siting Criteria (regarding permitting): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of acceptance are provided below. Siting criteria does not apply to drying pads or above-grade tanks.	otable source
General siting	
Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of a low chloride temporary pit or below-grade tank. - □ NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; □ USGS; □ Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes No
Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of a Temporary pit, permanent pit, or Multi-Well Fluid Management pit. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes No
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	☐ Yes ☐ No
 Within an unstable area. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map 	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within a 100-year floodplain. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) - FEMA map	☐ Yes ☐ No
Below Grade Tanks	
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, significant watercourse, lake bed, sinkhole, wetland or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for public or livestock consumption;. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Temporary Pit using Low Chloride Drilling Fluid (maximum chloride content 15,000 mg/liter)	
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). (Applies to low chloride temporary pits.) - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No

Within 300 feet from a occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	Yes No
Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 300feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of the initial application. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 100 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Temporary Pit Non-low chloride drilling fluid	
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse, or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in the existence at the time of the initial application; - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Permanent Pit or Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit	
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application.	
- NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No
Within 500 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No
Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 N Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the docattached.	
Hydrogeologic Report (Below-grade Tanks) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Hydrogeologic Data (Temporary and Emergency Pits) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19. and 19.15.17.13 NMAC	
Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number:	
Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the docattached.	cuments are
 □ Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC □ Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC □ A List of wells with approved application for permit to drill associated with the pit. □ Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19. and 19.15.17.13 NMAC □ Hydrogeologic Data - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC 	.15.17.9 NMAC
Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC	
Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number:	

Permanent Pits Permit Application Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the description is the subsection of the following items must be attached to the application.	documents are
attached. Hydrogeologic Report - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Climatological Factors Assessment Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Leak Detection Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Liner Specifications and Compatibility Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Quality Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installation Plan Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Freeboard and Overtopping Prevention Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Nuisance or Hazardous Odors, including H ₂ S, Prevention Plan Emergency Response Plan Oil Field Waste Stream Characterization Monitoring and Inspection Plan Erosion Control Plan Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC	aveaments are
13. Proposed Closure: 19.15.17.13 NMAC	
Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan. Type: Drilling Workover Emergency Cavitation P&A Permanent Pit Below-grade Tank Multi-well Flank Alternative Proposed Closure Method: Waste Excavation and Removal Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only) On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems) In-place Burial On-site Trench Burial Alternative Closure Method	luid Management Pit
14.	
Waste Excavation and Removal Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be a closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings) Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	attached to the
15.	
Siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendations of acceptable sour provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria require justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency. P 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance.	
Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Ground water is between 25-50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance	

adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	Yes No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within an unstable area. - Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological	
Society; Topographic map	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within a 100-year floodplain FEMA map	☐ Yes ☐ No
On-Site Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plants a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Proof of Surface Owner Notice - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection K of 19.15.17. Construction/Design Plan of Temporary Pit (for in-place burial of a drying pad) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Waste Material Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings or in case on-site closure standards cannot Soil Cover Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	11 NMAC 15.17.11 NMAC
17. Operator Application Certification:	
I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and beli	ef.
Name (Print): Title:	
Signature: Date:	
e-mail address: Telephone:	
OCD Approval: Permit Application (including closure plan) Closure Plan (only) OCD Conditions (see attachment) OCD Representative Signature: Approval Date: 6 Title: OCD Permit Number:	2015
Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion): 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved closure plan prior to implementing any closure activities and submitting The closure report is required to be submitted to the division within 60 days of the completion of the closure activities. Please do not section of the form until an approved closure plan has been obtained and the closure activities have been completed.	the closure report. complete this
20.	
	op systems only)

22.	
Operator Closure Certification:	
I hereby certify that the information and attachments submitted with this closure r	eport is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and
belief. I also certify that the closure complies with all applicable closure requirem	nents and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.
	11
Name (Print):Jeff Peace	Title: Field Environmental Coordinator
Signature: Sth Peace	Date:May 19, 2015
e-mail address:peace.jeffrey@bp.com	Telephone:(505) 326-9479

BP AMERICA PRODUCTION COMPANY

SAN JUAN BASIN, NORTHWEST NEW MEXICO

BELOW-GRADE TANK CLOSURE PLAN

Horton LS 2 API No. 3004520673 Unit Letter M, Section 29, T32N, R11W

This plan will address the standard protocols and procedures for closure of below-grade tanks (BGTs) on BP America Production Company (BP) well sites. As stipulated in Paragraph A of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall close a BGT within the time periods provided in 19.15.17.13 NMAC, or by an earlier date that the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) requires because of imminent danger to fresh water, public health, safety or the environment. If deviations from this plan are necessary, any specific changes will be included on form C-144 and approved by the NMOCD. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC or is not included in Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC within five years after June 16, 2008, if not retrofit with a BGT that complies with the BP NMOCD approved BGT design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC, if not previously retrofitted to comply with the BP NMOCD approve BGT Design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan, prior to any sale or change in operator pursuant to 19.15.9.9 NMAC. BP shall close the permitted BGT within 60 days of cessation of the BGTs operation or as required by the transitional provisions of Subsection B, D, or E of 19.15.17.17 NMAC.

General Closure Plan

- 1. BP shall notify the surface owner by certified mail that it plans to close a BGT. Evidence of mailing of the notice to the address of the surface owner shown in the county tax records demonstrates compliance with this requirement.
 - Notice is attached.
- 2. BP shall notify the division District III office verbally or by other means at least 72 hours, but not more than one (1) week, prior to any closure operation. The notice shall include the operator's name, and the location to be closed by unit letter, section, township and range. If the BGT closure is associated with a particular well, then the notice shall also include the well's name, number and API number.
 - Notice is attached.
- 3. BP shall remove liquids and sludge from the BGT prior to implementing a closure method and dispose of the liquids and sludge in a NMOCD's division-approved facility. The facilities to be used are:
 - a. BP Crouch Mesa Landfarm, Permit NM-02-003 (Solids)
 - b. JFJ Landfarm, Permit NM-01-010(B) (Solids and Sludge)
 - c. Basin Disposal, Permit NM-01-0005 (Liquids)
 - d. Envirotech Inc Soil Remediation Facility, Permit NM-01-0011 (Solids and Sludge)
 - e. BP Operated E.E. Elliott SWD #1, API 30-045-27799 (Liquids)

- f. BP Operated 13 GCU SWD #1, API 30-045-28601 (Liquids)
- g. BP Operated GCU 259 SWD, API 30-045-20006 (Liquids)
- h. BP Operated GCU 306 SWD, API 30-045-24286 (Liquids)
- i. BP Operated GCU 307 SWD, API 30-045-24248 (Liquids)
- j. BP Operated GCU 328 SWD, API 30-045-24735 (Liquids)
- k. BP Operated Pritchard SWD #1, API 30-045-28351 (Liquids)

All liquids and sludge in the BGT were removed and sent to one of the above NMOCD approved facilities for disposal.

4. BP shall remove the BGT and dispose of it in a NMOCD approved facility or recycle, reuse, or reclaim it in a manner that the NMOCD approves. If a liner is present and must be disposed of it will be cleaned by scraping any soils or other attached materials on the liner to a de minimus amount and disposed at a permitted solid waste facility, pursuant to Subparagraph (m) of Paragraph (1) of Subsection C of 19.15.35.8 NMAC. Documentation as to the final disposition of the removed BGT will be provided in the final closure report.

The BGT was transported to a storage area for sale and re-use.

5. BP shall remove any on-site equipment associated with a BGT unless the equipment is required for well production.

All equipment associated with the BGT has been removed.

6. BP shall test the soils beneath the BGT to determine whether a release has occurred. BP shall collect at a minimum: a five (5) point composite sample and individual grab samples from any area that is wet, discolored or showing other evidence of a release and analyze for BTEX, TPH and chlorides. The testing methods for those constituents are as follows;

Constituents	Testing Method	Release Verification	Sample
	95 bbl BGT	(mg/Kg)	results
Benzene	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	0.2	ND
Total BTEX	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	50	ND
TPH	US EPA Method SW-846 418.1	100	ND
Chlorides	US EPA Method 300.0 or 4500B	250 or background	ND

Notes: mg/Kg = milligram per kilogram, BTEX = benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes, TPH = total petroleum hydrocarbons. Other EPA methods that the division approves may be applied to all constituents listed. Chloride closure standards will be determined by which ever concentration level is greatest.

Soil under the BGT was sampled and TPH, BTEX and chloride levels were below the stated limits. Sampling data is attached.

7. BP shall notify the division District III office of its results on form C-141. **C-141 is attached.**

8. If it is determined that a release has occurred, then BP will comply with 19.15.30 NMAC and 19.15.29 NMAC, as appropriate.

Sampling results indicate no release occurred.

9. If the sampling demonstrates that a release has not occurred or that any release does not exceed the concentrations specified above, then BP shall backfill the excavation, with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material; construct a division-prescribed soil cover, re-contour and re-vegetate the location. The location will be reclaimed if it is not with in the active process area

The area under the BGT was backfilled with clean soil and is still within the active well area.

10. BP shall reclaim the BGT location and all areas associated with the BGT including associated access roads to a safe and stable condition that blends with the surrounding undisturbed area. BP shall substantially restore the impacted surface area to the condition that existed prior to oil and gas operations by placement of the soil cover as provided in Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, re-contour the location and associated areas to a contour that approximates the original contour and blends with the surrounding topography and re-vegetate according to Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC.

The area over the BGT is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

11. The soil cover for closures where the BGT has been removed or remediated to the NMOCD's satisfaction shall consist of the background thickness of topsoil or one foot of suitable material to establish vegetation at the site, whichever is greater. The soil cover will be constructed to the site's existing grade and all practicable efforts will be made to prevent ponding of water and erosion of the cover material.

The area over the BGT is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

12. BP shall seed the disturbed area the first growing season after closure of the BGT. Seeding will be accomplished by drilling on the contour whenever practical or by other division-approved methods. Vegetative cover will be, at a minimum, 70% of the native perennial vegetative cover (un-impacted by overgrazing, fire or other intrusion damaging to native vegetation), consisting of at least three native plant species, including at least one grass, but not including noxious weeds, and maintenance of that cover through two successive growing seasons. During the two growing seasons that prove viability, there shall be no artificial irrigation of the vegetation.

The area over the BGT is still within the active well area. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

13. BP shall seed, plant and re-seed pursuant to Paragraph (3) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, until the location successfully achieves the required vegetative cover.

BP will seed the area when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

14. Pursuant to Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall notify the NMOCD when it has seeded or planted and when it successfully achieves revegetation.

BP will notify NMOCD when re-vegetation is successful.

- 15. Within 60 days of closure completion, BP shall submit a closure report on NMOCD's form C-144, and will include the following;
 - a. proof of closure notification (surface owner and NMOCD)
 - b. sampling analytical reports; information required by 19.15.17 NMAC;
 - c. disposal facility name and permit number
 - d. details on back-filling, capping, covering, and where applicable re-vegetation application rates and seeding techniques and
 - e. site reclamation, photo documentation.

 Closure report on C-144 form is included.
- 16. BP shall certify that all information in the report and attachments is accurate, truthful, and compliant with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.

Certification section of C-144 has been completed.

District I 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 District II 811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 District III 1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410 District IV 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

Form C-141 Revised August 8, 2011

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

			Rel	ease Notific			orrective A	ction	l			
						OPERA'			Initi	al Report	\boxtimes	Final Repor
Name of Co	1 "					Contact: Jeff Peace						
		Court, Farm	ington, N	M 87401		Telephone No.: 505-326-9479						
Facility Na	me: Horton	n LS 2]	Facility Typ	e: Natural gas v	vell				
Surface Ow	ner: Feder	al		Mineral (Owner: I	Federal			API No	. 30045206	73	
				LOCA	ATION	OF REI	LEASE					
Unit Letter M	Section 29	Township 32N	Range 11W	Feet from the 1,100	North/South	South Line	Feet from the 1,050	East/V West	West Line	County: Sa	ın Juan	
		Latit	ude36	.952117		Longitud	e108.016475					
				NAT	TURE	OF RELI	EASE					
Type of Rele	ase: none					Volume of	Release: N/A		Volume F	Recovered: N	I/A	
		w grade tank -	- 95 bbl				lour of Occurrenc	e:	Date and	Hour of Disc	covery:	
Was Immedi	ate Notice (Yes [No Not R	equired	If YES, To	Whom?					
By Whom?						Date and H	lour					
Was a Water	course Read		Yes 🗵] No		If YES, Vo	lume Impacting t	he Wate	ercourse.			
If a Waterco	ırse was Im	pacted, Descr	ibe Fully.	k								
							the BGT was dor s results are attach		g removal	to ensure no	soil imp	pacts from
				ken.* BGT was re active well area.	moved a	nd the area u	nderneath the BG	T was s	ampled. T	he area under	r the BO	GT was
regulations a public health should their or or the enviro	Il operators or the envi operations h nment. In a	are required to a ronment. The nave failed to a	o report are acceptance acceptanc	nd/or file certain r ce of a C-141 report investigate and r	release no ort by the remediate	otifications ar NMOCD ma contamination	knowledge and used perform correctarked as "Final Roon that pose a three the operator of r	tive acti eport" d eat to gr	ions for rele loes not reli ound water	eases which rieve the operary, surface wat	may end ator of ter, hun	danger liability nan health
Signature:	966 l	Page					OIL CONS	SERV	ATION	DIVISIO	N	
Printed Nam	e: Jeff Peac	e			F	Approved by	Environmental Sp	pecialist	:			
Title: Field E	nvironmen	tal Coordinate	or		F	Approval Dat	e:]	Expiration	Date:		
E-mail Addr	ess: peace.jo	effrey@bp.coi	n		(Conditions of	`Approval:			Attached		
Date: May 1 Attach Addi		ets If Necess)5-326-9479								

CLIENT: BP	P.O. BOX 87, BLO	INEERING, INC. OMFIELD, NM 874 ⁻ 332-1199	13	API #: 300 TANK ID (if applicble):)45206 A	673
FIELD REPORT:	(circle one): BGT CONFIRMATION / RELI	EASE INVESTIGATION / OTHER:		PAGE #:	1 of	1
	SITE NAME: HORTON I	_S # 2 IM cnty: SJ st:	NM	DATE STARTED: DATE FINISHED:	03/0	7/12
	60'W SW/SW LEASE TYPE: PROD. FORMATION: PC CONTR	ELKHODN		ENVIRONMENTAL SPECIALIST(S):	NJ	IV
2)	GPS COORD.: 36.952 GPS COORD.: GPS COORD.:	117 X 108.016475	DISTANCE/BEA DISTANCE/BEA DISTANCE/BEA	ARING FROM W.H.: ARING FROM W.H.: ARING FROM W.H.:	041 N	
	GPS COORD.: CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD(S) # OR LAB		DISTANCE/BE/	ARING FROM W.H.:		OVM
SAMPLING DATA: 1) SAMPLE ID: 95 BGT 5-pt. (2) 2) SAMPLE ID: 3) SAMPLE ID: 4) SAMPLE ID:	2.5' SAMPLE DATE: 03/07/12 SAMPLE DATE:	SAMPLETIME: 1630 LAB ANALYSIS SAMPLETIME: LAB ANALYSIS SAMPLETIME: LAB ANALYSIS	6:		00.0 (CI)	READING (ppm) 0.0
SOIL DESCRIPTION		D (SILT (SILTY CLAY) CLAY / GR				
COHESION (ALL OTHERS): NON COHESIVE / SLIGHTL' CONSISTENCY (NON COHESIVE SOILS): LC MOISTURE: DRY SLIGHTLY MOIST / MOIST / W SAMPLE TYPE: GRAB COMPOSITE # OF PTS. DISCOLORATION/STAINING OBSERVED ANY AREAS DISPLAYING WETNESS: YES NO ADDITIONAL COMMENTS: NO APPARE	OOSE / FIRM / DENSE / VERY DENSE ET / SATURATED / SUPER SATURATED 5 : YES NO EXPLANATION -	PLASTICITY (CLAYS): NON PLASTIC SLIGH DENSITY (COHESIVE CLAYS & SI HC ODOR DETECTED: YES	LTS): SOFT	FIRM STIFF / VERY	STIFF / HA	
SOIL IMPACT DIMENSION ESTIMATION: DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER: >100' N	NA ft. X NA ft. EAREST WATER SOURCE: >1,000' NE	X NA ft. EXCAV. AREST SURFACE WATER: >1,00		IMATION (Cubic Yar D TPH CLOSURE STD	-	NA ppm
PBGTL T.B. ~ 5 B.G. W	ELL SEPARATOR	METER RUN X - S.F	N OWN	MISCELL. NO - N1410178 PO - 52458 PK - ZSCHWLI Permit Date:	00 ppm DATE: 03/0 NOT B BGT 06/14 e: 09/10 ble: Y /(N	4/10 0/11
T.B. = TANK BOTTOM; PBGTL = PREVIOUS	RITON DEPRESSION, B.G. = BELOW GRADE; B = B BELOW-GRADE TANK LOCATION; SPD = SAMPLE F ; SW-SINGLE WALL; DW-DOUBLE WALL; SB-SII	POINT DESIGNATION; R.W. = RETAINING \	WALL; M	lagnetic declinati	ion: 10°	È.

revised: 07/11/11

BEI1005E-3.SKF

Analytical Report

Lab Order 1203425

Date Reported: 3/19/2012

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Blagg Engineering

Client Sample ID: 95 BGT 5-pt @5'

Project: Horton LS 2

Collection Date: 3/7/2012 4:30:00 PM

Lab ID: 1203425-001

Matrix: SOIL

Received Date: 3/13/2012 10:10:00 AM

Analyses	Result	RL Qu	al Units	DF	Date Analyzed
EPA METHOD 8015B: DIESEL RANGE (ORGANICS				Analyst: JMP
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	10	mg/Kg	1	3/15/2012 8:53:17 AM
Surr: DNOP	86.2	77.4-131	%REC	1	3/15/2012 8:53:17 AM
EPA METHOD 8015B: GASOLINE RANG	GE .				Analyst: RAA
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	4.8	mg/Kg	1	3/15/2012 3:00:31 PM
Surr: BFB	93.3	69.7-121	%REC	1	3/15/2012 3:00:31 PM
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analyst: RAA
Benzene	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	3/15/2012 3:00:31 PM
Toluene	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	3/15/2012 3:00:31 PM
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	3/15/2012 3:00:31 PM
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.095	mg/Kg	1	3/15/2012 3:00:31 PM
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	98.8	85.3-139	%REC	1	3/15/2012 3:00:31 PM
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analyst: BRM
Chloride	ND	7.5	mg/Kg	5	3/15/2012 5:36:39 PM
EPA METHOD 418.1: TPH					Analyst: JMP
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	ND	20	mg/Kg	1	3/15/2012

Qualifiers:

*/X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

E Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

RL Reporting Detection Limit

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1203425

19-Mar-12

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

Horton LS 2

Sample ID MB-1091

SampType: MBLK

TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

Client ID: Prep Date:

PBS

Batch ID: 1091

RunNo: 1500

Units: mg/Kg

Analyte

3/14/2012

Analysis Date: 3/15/2012 PQL

SeqNo: 42129

HighLimit

%RPD

%RPD

RPDLimit Qual

Chloride

ND 1.5

Sample ID LCS-1091

SampType: LCS

TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

Client ID: LCSS

Prep Date: 3/14/2012

Batch ID: 1091

RunNo: 1500

Analysis Date: 3/15/2012

SeqNo: 42130

Units: mg/Kg

Analyte

Result

SPK Ref Val

%REC

Qual

Chloride

14

Result

Result

14

SPK value 1.5 15.00

0

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit

926

HighLimit 110 **RPDLimit**

Client ID:

Prep Date:

Sample ID 1203427-001AMS

SampType: MS

Batch ID: 1091

TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

15.00

SPK value

15.00

RunNo: 1500 SeqNo: 42134

Units: mg/Kg

118

Qual

Analyte Chloride

BatchQC

3/14/2012 Analysis Date: 3/15/2012

SPK value SPK Ref Val 0.7259

%REC LowLimit 89.9 74.6 HighLimit %RPD **RPDLimit**

Qual

Sample ID 1203427-001AMSD

SampType: MSD

1.5

1.5

TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

RunNo: 1500

Client ID: Prep Date: BatchQC 3/14/2012 Batch ID: 1091

SeqNo: 42135

Units: mg/Kg

RPDLimit

Analyte Chloride

Analysis Date: 3/15/2012

SPK Ref Val

0.7259

%REC 87.6

74.6

HighLimit 118 %RPD 2 43

20

Qualifiers:

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

Value above quantitation range

Analyte detected below quantitation limits

Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

Not Detected at the Reporting Limit ND

Page 2 of 6

RPD outside accepted recovery limits

Reporting Detection Limit

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1203425

19-Mar-12

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

Horton LS 2

Sample ID	MB-1080
	The state of the s

SampType: MBLK

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

Client ID:

PBS

Batch ID: 1080

RunNo: 1485

Prep Date:

3/14/2012

Analysis Date: 3/15/2012 PQL

SeqNo: 41745

Units: mg/Kg

Analyte

Client ID: LCSS

Result

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit

HighLimit

%RPD **RPDLimit** Qual

Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR

Sample ID LCS-1080

ND

SampType: LCS

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

Batch ID: 1080

RunNo: 1485

Prep Date: Analyte

3/14/2012

Analysis Date: 3/15/2012

SeqNo: 41746

Units: mg/Kg

%RPD

Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR

Result 100 PQL

SPK value SPK Ref Val 100.0 0

%REC LowLimit 102 87.8

HighLimit

RPDLimit

Qual

Qual

Sample ID LCSD-1080

SampType: LCSD

20

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH RunNo: 1485

Client ID: Prep Date: 3/14/2012

Analyte

LCSS02

Batch ID: 1080

Analysis Date: 3/15/2012

SeqNo: 41748

%REC

Units: mg/Kg

%RPD **RPDLimit**

Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR

PQL 20

Result

100

SPK value SPK Ref Val 100.0 0

100 87.8

LowLimit

HighLimit 115

2.02

8.04

Qualifiers:

R

*/X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

Value above quantitation range

Analyte detected below quantitation limits RPD outside accepted recovery limits

Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 3 of 6

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1203425

19-Mar-12

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

Horton LS 2

Sample ID MB-1079	SampType: MBLK	TestCode: EPA Meth	od 8015B: Diesel Range Organics
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 1079	RunNo: 1473	
Prep Date: 3/14/2012	Analysis Date: 3/15/2012	SeqNo: 41291	Units: mg/Kg
Analyte	Result PQL SPK v	alue SPK Ref Val %REC LowLin	nit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND 10		
Surr: DNOP	8.6	0.00 85.5 77	.4 131
Sample ID LCS-1079	SampType: LCS	TestCode: EPA Meth	od 8015B: Diesel Range Organics
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 1079	RunNo: 1473	
Prep Date: 3/14/2012	Analysis Date: 3/15/2012	SeqNo: 41292	Units: mg/Kg
Analyte	Result PQL SPK v	alue SPK Ref Val %REC LowLim	nit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	44 10 5	0.00 0 87.1 62	.7 139
Surr: DNOP	4.2 5.	.000 84.5 77	.4 131

Qualifiers:

*/X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

E Value above quantitation range

Analyte detected below quantitation limits

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting LimitRL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 4 of 6

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1203425

19-Mar-12

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

Horton LS 2

Project:	Horton L	3 2													
Sample ID	MB-1070	SampT	ype: ME	BLK	TestCode: EPA Method 8015B: Gasoline Range										
Client ID:	PBS	Batch	ID: 10	70	RunNo: 1478										
Prep Date:	3/13/2012	Analysis D	ate: 3/	14/2012	5	SeqNo: 4	1520	Units: mg/Kg							
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual				
0	e Organics (GRO)	ND	5.0												
Surr: BFB		900		1,000		90.0	69.7	121							
Sample ID	LCS-1070	SampT	ype: LC	s	Tes	TestCode: EPA Method 8015B: Gasoline Range									
Client ID: LCSS Batch ID: 1070					F	RunNo: 1478									
Prep Date:	Prep Date: 3/13/2012 Analysis Date: 3/14/2012					SeqNo: 4	1521	Units: mg/Kg							
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual				
0	e Organics (GRO)	28	5.0	25.00	0	112	98.5	133							
Surr: BFB		980		1,000		98.0	69.7	121							
Sample ID	1203406-001AMS	SampT	уре: М5	3	TestCode: EPA Method 8015B: Gasoline Range										
Client ID:	BatchQC	Batch	ID: 10	070 RunNo: 1478											
Prep Date:	Date: 3/13/2012 Analysis Date: 3/14/2012				8	SeqNo: 4	1525	Units: mg/Kg							
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual				
_	e Organics (GRO)	31	24	119.8	0	25.7	85.4	147			S				
Surr: BFB		4,400		4,794		92.2	69.7	121							
Sample ID	1203406-001AMSI	O SampTy	/pe: MS	SD	Tes	tCode: EF	PA Method	8015B: Gaso	oline Rang	е					
Client ID:	BatchQC	Batch	ID: 10	70	F	RunNo: 1478									
Prep Date:	3/13/2012	Analysis Da	ate: 3/	14/2012	S	SeqNo: 4	1526	Units: mg/Kg							
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual				
0	e Organics (GRO)	35	24	122.2	0	28.5	85.4	147	12.4	19.2	S				
Surr: BFB		4,600		4,888		93.3	69.7	121	0	0					

Qualifiers:

*/X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

E Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 5 of 6

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

1.0

1.000

WO#: 1203425

19-Mar-12

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene

Horton LS 2

Troject.												
Sample ID MB-1070	SampT	ype: ME	BLK	Tes	tCode: EF							
Client ID: PBS	Batch	n ID: 10	70	F	RunNo: 14	478						
Prep Date: 3/13/2012	Analysis Date: 3/14/2012			S	SeqNo: 4	1531	Units: mg/Kg					
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual		
Benzene	ND	0.050										
Toluene	ND	0.050										
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.050										
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.10										
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.97		1.000		97.1	85.3	139					
Sample ID LCS-1070	SampType: LCS			Tes	Code: EF	iles						
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 1070			F	tunNo: 14	178						
Prep Date: 3/13/2012	Analysis D	Date: 3/	3/14/2012 SeqNo: 41532			Units: mg/K	g					
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual		
Benzene	0.96	0.050	1.000	0	95.7	83.3	107					
Toluene	1.0	0.050	1.000	0	99.7	74.3	115					
Ethylbenzene	1.0	0.050	1.000	0	100	80.9	122					
		0.000	11000			00.0	1 4-4-					

99.9

85.3

139

Qualifiers:

*/X Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

E Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 6 of 6



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87105

TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-410; Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

Sample Log-In Check List

Client Name: BLAGG	Work Order Number: 1203425
Received by/date 03/B/12	
Logged By: Ashley Gallegos 3/13/2012 10:10:00 All	M A
Completed By: Ashley Gallegos 3/13/2012 10:36:27 AM	M Ag
Reviewed By: 10 03/13/12	V
Chain of Custody	
1. Were seals intact?	Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Present 🗹
2. Is Chain of Custody complete?	Yes ✓ No ☐ Not Present ☐
3. How was the sample delivered?	Courier
.og In	
4. Coolers are present? (see 19. for cooler specific information)	Yes ♥ No □ NA □
F. Mes as attempt made to coal the complet?	Yes ✓ No □ NA □
5. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?	Yes ☑ No ☐ NA ☐
6. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C	Yes ✓ No □ NA □
7. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?	Yes ₩ No L
Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?	Yes ✓ No ☐
Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Use preservative added to bettles?	
10. Was preservative added to bottles?	Yes No W NA
11. VOA vials have zero headspace?	Yes ☐ No ☐ No VOA Vials ✔
12. Were any sample containers received broken?	Yes ✓ No □
13. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody)	Yes ✓ No ☐ # of preserved bottles checked
14. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?	for pH: Yes ✓ No (<2 or >12 unless noted)
15. Is it clear what analyses were requested?	Yes ✓ No ☐ Adjusted?
16. Were all holding times able to be met?	Yes ✔ No □
(If no, notify customer for authorization.)	Checked by:
pecial Handling (if applicable)	
17. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order?	Yes No No NA 🗹
Person Notified: Date:	
By Whom: Via:	eMail Phone Fax In Person
Regarding:	The state of the s
Client Instructions:	A AV A
18. Additional remarks:	
19. Cooler Information	
	Seal Date Signed By

Chain-of-Custody Record			Turn-Around Time:									_									
Client: BLAGG ENG-INEERING INC.			Standard □ Rush															TO			
RP ANGALL			Project Name:				ANALYSIS LABORATORY														
Mailing	BP AMERICA Mailing Address: P.O. Box 97			HORT	ON LS.	2		www.hallenvironmental.com													
				Project #:					4901 Hawkins NE - Albuquerque, NM 87109												
			NM 87413	-				Tel. 505-345-3975 Fax 505-345-4107 Analysis Request													
		05-6	32-1199		-			Control of the Contro													
email o				Project Mana				= =	ese					0,	S						
QA/QC Package: ☐ Standard ☐ Level 4 (Full Validation)			J. BLAGG				s (802	as/Die					PO ₄ ,S	PCB's							
Accredi				Sampler: J	- BLAG6				9		=			02,	082						_
□ NEL	AP	□ Othe	r	Onlice: Wheek to No 1997					156	18.	04.	AH		J3,h	8/8		F	,			or N
□ EDD (Type)			Sample Temperature: (_p					180	4 6	d 5	or P	tals	Ĭ,	ides	2	8	DE		1	٢	
Date	Time	Matrix	Sample Request ID	Container Type and #	Preservative Type			BTEX + WIBE + IMB's (8021)	TPH Method 8015B (Gas/Diesel)	TPH (Method 418.1)	EDB (Method 504.1)	8310 (PNA or PAH)	RCRA 8 Metals	Anions (F,CI,NO ₃ ,NO ₂ ,PO ₄ ,SO ₄)	8081 Pesticides / 8082	8260B (VOA)	8270 (Semi-VOA)	CHLORDE			Air Bubbles
3/1/12	1630	SOIL	95 BGT 5-pt C,5	40221	COOL	-001	1	X	X	_								X			
200			i																		_
***								\vdash	+					-					+	+	_
	1						+	+	-	-							\vdash	\vdash		+	_
							+	_	_	-								\vdash	+		
				-			\top			1											_
							+		-	+							\vdash	\vdash	_	+	_
	-			-			+	+	+	+				-	-		\vdash	-	+	+	_
							-	_	_	-					_			\vdash	+		_
																			\perp		_
Date:	Date: Time: Relinquished by: 12/12 1033 Jeff Blogg		Received by: Date Time 3/12/12 1033				Remarks: 620 + 020 ON 2015														
12/12							Z SCHWLLBGT N 1410178														
Date:	Time:	Relinquished by:		Received by: Date Time																	
3/12/12	-1/2 1601 Christin Warter			03/R/12 10:10				JEF	一層	ACE											
14	fanoncean	Lamplac cub	mitted to Hall Environmental may be exha	antracted to other a	Maditad lahoratoria	This canvoe as notice of	this no	nesihilit	Anv	sub-cor	ntracte	d data	will he	e clear	dv note	ated or	the a	nalvtical	report.		



BP America Production Company 200 Energy Court Farmington, NM 87401 Phone: (505) 326-9200

August 16, 2011

Bureau of Land Management Mark Kelly 1235 La Plata Hwy Farmington, NM 87401

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL - RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Re: Notification of plans to close/remove a below grade tank

Well Name: HORTON LS 002-PC

Dear Bureau of Land Management,

As part of the NM "Pit Rule": 19.15.17.13 Closure Requirements, Paragraph J. BP America Production Company (BP) is required to notify the surface owner of BP's plans to close/remove a below grade tank. BP wishes to inform you of our plans to close/remove the below grade tank on its well pad located on your surface. BP plans to commence this work on or about August 15, 2011. If there aren't any unforeseen problems, the work should be completed within 10 working days.

As a point of clarification, BP will be closing the below grade tank and either operating without one or replacing it with an above ground tank, the well site will continue to operate.

Unless you have questions about this notice, there is no need to respond to this letter. If you do have any questions or concerns, please contact me at 505-326-9214

Sincerely,

Jerry Van Riper

Surface Coordinator/Business Security Representative

BP America Production Company

BP America Production Company

200 Energy Court Farmington, NM 87401 Phone: (505) 326-9200

SENT VIA E-MAIL TO: BRANDON.POWELL@STATE.NM.US

September 29, 2011

New Mexico Oil Conservation Division 1000 Rio Brazos Road Aztec, New Mexico 87410

RE: Notice of Proposed Below-Grade Tank (BGT) Closure

HORTON LS 002-PC API 30-045-20673 (M) Section 29 – T32N – R11W San Juan County, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Brandon Powell:

In regards to the captioned subject and requirements of the NMOCD pit rule, this letter is notification that BP is planning to close a 95 bbl. BGT that will no longer be operational at this well site.

Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact BP at our Farmington office.

Sincerely,

Buddy Shaw BP Environmental Advisor

(505) 320-0401



