District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources Department Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

Form C-144 Revised June 6, 2013

For temporary pits, below-grade tanks, and multi-well fluid management pits, submit to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

For permanent pits submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

Pit, Below-Grade Tank, or
Proposed Alternative Method Permit or Closure Plan Application
Type of action: Below grade tank registration OIL CONS. DIV DIST. 3
Permit of a pit or proposed alternative method
Closure of a pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method MAY 26 2015 Modification to an existing permit/or registration
Closure plan only submitted for an existing permitted or non-permitted pit, below-grade tank,
or proposed alternative method
Instructions: Please submit one application (Form C-144) per individual pit, below-grade tank or alternative request
Please be advised that approval of this request does not relieve the operator of liability should operations result in pollution of surface water, ground water or the environment. Nor does approval relieve the operator of its responsibility to comply with any other applicable governmental authority's rules, regulations or ordinances.
I.
Operator: BP America Production Company OGRID #:778
Address:200 Energy Court, Farmington, NM 87401
Facility or well name:Gallegos Canyon Unit 242E
API Number:3004523901OCD Permit Number:
U/L or Qtr/QtrKSection24Township28NRange12WCounty:San Juan
Center of Proposed Design: Latitude36.64497 Longitude108.06758 NAD: □1927 ⋈ 1983
Surface Owner: ☑ Federal ☐ State ☐ Private ☐ Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment
2.
Pit: Subsection F, G or J of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
Temporary: Drilling Workover
☐ Permanent ☐ Emergency ☐ Cavitation ☐ P&A ☐ Multi-Well Fluid Management ☐ Low Chloride Drilling Fluid ☐ yes ☐ no
Lined Unlined Liner type: Thicknessmil LLDPE HDPE PVC Other
☐ String-Reinforced Liner Seams: ☐ Welded ☐ Factory ☐ Other Volume: bbl Dimensions: L x W x D
Liner Seams: Welded Fractory Other Volume
3.
☑ Below-grade tank: Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Tank A
Volume:95.0bbl Type of fluid:Produced water
Tank Construction material:Steel
☐ Secondary containment with leak detection ☐ Visible sidewalls, liner, 6-inch lift and automatic overflow shut-off
☐ Visible sidewalls and liner ☐ Visible sidewalls only ☐ Other _Single walled/single bottomed, side walls not visible
Liner type: Thicknessmil
4.
Alternative Method:
Submittal of an exception request is required. Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

Fencing: Subsection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits, temporary pits, and below-grade tanks) Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top (Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent residence, school, institution or church) Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet Alternate. Please specify	hospital,
Netting: Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks) Screen Netting Other Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)	
Signs: Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC 12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC	
Variances and Exceptions: Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance. Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank: Variance(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district for consideration of approval. Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.	
Siting Criteria (regarding permitting): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of acceptant are provided below. Siting criteria does not apply to drying pads or above-grade tanks.	ptable source
General siting	
Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of a low chloride temporary pit or below-grade tank. - □ NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; □ USGS; □ Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of a Temporary pit, permanent pit, or Multi-Well Fluid Management pit. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes No
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	☐ Yes ☐ No
 Within an unstable area. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map 	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within a 100-year floodplain. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) - FEMA map	☐ Yes ☐ No
Below Grade Tanks	
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, significant watercourse, lake bed, sinkhole, wetland or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for public or livestock consumption;. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Temporary Pit using Low Chloride Drilling Fluid (maximum chloride content 15,000 mg/liter)	
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). (Applies to low chloride temporary pits.) - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No

Within 300 feet from a occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial	Yes No
 application. Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image 	
Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 300feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of the initial application. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 100 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Temporary Pit Non-low chloride drilling fluid	
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse, or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole,	
or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	
	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in the existence at the time of the initial application;	
- NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Permanent Pit or Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit	
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa	
lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of	
initial application NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 N Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the document of the subsection of the following items must be attached to the application.	
attached. Hydrogeologic Report (Below-grade Tanks) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC	
Hydrogeologic Data (Temporary and Emergency Pits) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC	NMAC
☐ Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC ☐ Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC	
Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19. and 19.15.17.13 NMAC	15.17.9 NMAC
Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number:	
Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC	
Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the doc	cuments are
attached. ☐ Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC	
Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC	
 ☐ A List of wells with approved application for permit to drill associated with the pit. ☐ Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19 	.15.17.9 NMAC
and 19.15.17.13 NMAC	
 ☐ Hydrogeologic Data - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC ☐ Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC 	
Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number:	

Permanent Pits Permit Application Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the	documents are
attached. ☐ Hydrogeologic Report - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC ☐ Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC ☐ Climatological Factors Assessment ☐ Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC ☐ Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC ☐ Leak Detection Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC ☐ Liner Specifications and Compatibility Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC ☐ Quality Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installation Plan ☐ Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC ☐ Freeboard and Overtopping Prevention Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC ☐ Nuisance or Hazardous Odors, including H₂S, Prevention Plan ☐ Emergency Response Plan ☐ Oil Field Waste Stream Characterization ☐ Monitoring and Inspection Plan ☐ Erosion Control Plan ☐ Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC	
Proposed Closure: 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan.	
Type: Drilling Workover Emergency Cavitation P&A Permanent Pit Below-grade Tank Multi-well F	luid Management Pit
Proposed Closure Method: Waste Excavation and Removal Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only) On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems) In-place Burial On-site Trench Burial Alternative Closure Method	
Waste Excavation and Removal Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. □ Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC □ Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.13 NMAC □ Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings) □ Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC □ Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	
15. Siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only): 19.15.17.10 NMAC	
Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendations of acceptable sour provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria require justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency. F 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance.	
Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes No
Ground water is between 25-50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database: Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site.	☐ Yes ☐ No
 NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality 	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet of a wetland.	
US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance	

adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended.	
- Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	☐ Yes ☐ No
 Within an unstable area. Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map 	
Within a 100-year floodplain FEMA map	☐ Yes ☐ No
- TENIA map	163 110
On-Site Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plan by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Proof of Surface Owner Notice - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection K of 19.15.17. Construction/Design Plan of Temporary Pit (for in-place burial of a drying pad) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19. Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Waste Material Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Soil Cover Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	.11 NMAC .15.17.11 NMAC
17. Operator Application Certification:	
I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and believes.	ief.
Name (Print): Title:	
Signature: Date:	
Signature: Date: e-mail address: Telephone:	
e-mail address:	
e-mail address:	2015 the closure report.
e-mail address: Telephone:	2015 the closure report.
e-mail address: Telephone:	the closure report.

Operator Closure Certification:	
I hereby certify that the information and attachments submitted with this closure rebelief. I also certify that the closure complies with all applicable closure requirements.	
Name (Print):Jeff Peace	Title: Field Environmental Coordinator
Signature: Signature:	Date:May 22, 2015
e-mail address:peace.jeffrey@bp.com	Telephone:(505) 326-9479

BP AMERICA PRODUCTION COMPANY

SAN JUAN BASIN, NORTHWEST NEW MEXICO

BELOW-GRADE TANK CLOSURE PLAN

Gallegos Canyon Unit 242E – Tank A (95 bbl) API No. 3004523901 Unit Letter K, Section 24, T28N, R12W

This plan will address the standard protocols and procedures for closure of below-grade tanks (BGTs) on BP America Production Company (BP) well sites. As stipulated in Paragraph A of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall close a BGT within the time periods provided in 19.15.17.13 NMAC, or by an earlier date that the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) requires because of imminent danger to fresh water, public health, safety or the environment. If deviations from this plan are necessary, any specific changes will be included on form C-144 and approved by the NMOCD. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC or is not included in Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC within five years after June 16, 2008, if not retrofit with a BGT that complies with the BP NMOCD approved BGT design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC, if not previously retrofitted to comply with the BP NMOCD approve BGT Design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan, prior to any sale or change in operator pursuant to 19.15.9.9 NMAC. BP shall close the permitted BGT within 60 days of cessation of the BGTs operation or as required by the transitional provisions of Subsection B, D, or E of 19.15.17.17 NMAC.

General Closure Plan

- 1. BP shall notify the surface owner by certified mail that it plans to close a BGT. Evidence of mailing of the notice to the address of the surface owner shown in the county tax records demonstrates compliance with this requirement.
 - Notice is attached.
- 2. BP shall notify the division District III office verbally or by other means at least 72 hours, but not more than one (1) week, prior to any closure operation. The notice shall include the operator's name, and the location to be closed by unit letter, section, township and range. If the BGT closure is associated with a particular well, then the notice shall also include the well's name, number and API number.

 Notice is attached.
- 3. BP shall remove liquids and sludge from the BGT prior to implementing a closure method and dispose of the liquids and sludge in a NMOCD's division-approved facility. The facilities to be used are:
 - a. BP Crouch Mesa Landfarm, Permit NM-02-003 (Solids)
 - b. JFJ Landfarm, Permit NM-01-010(B) (Solids and Sludge)
 - c. Basin Disposal, Permit NM-01-0005 (Liquids)
 - d. Envirotech Inc Soil Remediation Facility, Permit NM-01-0011 (Solids and Sludge)
 - e. BP Operated E.E. Elliott SWD #1, API 30-045-27799 (Liquids)

- f. BP Operated 13 GCU SWD #1, API 30-045-28601 (Liquids)
- g. BP Operated GCU 259 SWD, API 30-045-20006 (Liquids)
- h. BP Operated GCU 306 SWD, API 30-045-24286 (Liquids)
- i. BP Operated GCU 307 SWD, API 30-045-24248 (Liquids)
- j. BP Operated GCU 328 SWD, API 30-045-24735 (Liquids)
- k. BP Operated Pritchard SWD #1, API 30-045-28351 (Liquids)

All liquids and sludge in the BGT were removed and sent to one of the above NMOCD approved facilities for disposal.

4. BP shall remove the BGT and dispose of it in a NMOCD approved facility or recycle, reuse, or reclaim it in a manner that the NMOCD approves. If a liner is present and must be disposed of it will be cleaned by scraping any soils or other attached materials on the liner to a de minimus amount and disposed at a permitted solid waste facility, pursuant to Subparagraph (m) of Paragraph (1) of Subsection C of 19.15.35.8 NMAC. Documentation as to the final disposition of the removed BGT will be provided in the final closure report.

The BGT was transported to a storage area for sale and re-use.

5. BP shall remove any on-site equipment associated with a BGT unless the equipment is required for well production.

All equipment associated with the BGT has been removed.

6. BP shall test the soils beneath the BGT to determine whether a release has occurred. BP shall collect at a minimum: a five (5) point composite sample and individual grab samples from any area that is wet, discolored or showing other evidence of a release and analyze for BTEX, TPH and chlorides. The testing methods for those constituents are as follows;

Constituents	Testing Method	Release Verification	Sample
	95 bbl BGT – Tank A	(mg/Kg)	results
Benzene	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	0.2	0.58
Total BTEX	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	50	7.31
TPH	US EPA Method SW-846 418.1	100	900
Chlorides	US EPA Method 300.0 or 4500B	250 or background	49

Notes: mg/Kg = milligram per kilogram, BTEX = benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes, TPH = total petroleum hydrocarbons. Other EPA methods that the division approves may be applied to all constituents listed. Chloride closure standards will be determined by which ever concentration level is greatest.

Soil under the BGT was sampled and chloride and total BTEX were below the stated limit. TPH was 900 ppm by Method 418.1 and 570 ppm by Method 8015B and benzene was 0.58 ppm by Method 8021B. Sampling data is attached.

7. BP shall notify the division District III office of its results on form C-141. **C-141 is attached.**

8. If it is determined that a release has occurred, then BP will comply with 19.15.30 NMAC and 19.15.29 NMAC, as appropriate.

Sampling results indicate a release occurred. The release was addressed through the spill and release guidelines.

9. If the sampling demonstrates that a release has not occurred or that any release does not exceed the concentrations specified above, then BP shall backfill the excavation, with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material; construct a division-prescribed soil cover, re-contour and re-vegetate the location. The location will be reclaimed if it is not with in the active process area.

The area under the BGT was backfilled with clean soil and is still within the active well area.

10. BP shall reclaim the BGT location and all areas associated with the BGT including associated access roads to a safe and stable condition that blends with the surrounding undisturbed area. BP shall substantially restore the impacted surface area to the condition that existed prior to oil and gas operations by placement of the soil cover as provided in Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, re-contour the location and associated areas to a contour that approximates the original contour and blends with the surrounding topography and re-vegetate according to Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC.

The area over the BGT was backfilled with clean soil and is covered by the LPT. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

11. The soil cover for closures where the BGT has been removed or remediated to the NMOCD's satisfaction shall consist of the background thickness of topsoil or one foot of suitable material to establish vegetation at the site, whichever is greater. The soil cover will be constructed to the site's existing grade and all practicable efforts will be made to prevent ponding of water and erosion of the cover material.

The area over the BGT was backfilled with clean soil and is covered by the LPT. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

12. BP shall seed the disturbed area the first growing season after closure of the BGT. Seeding will be accomplished by drilling on the contour whenever practical or by other division-approved methods. Vegetative cover will be, at a minimum, 70% of the native perennial vegetative cover (un-impacted by overgrazing, fire or other intrusion damaging to native vegetation), consisting of at least three native plant species, including at least one grass, but not including noxious weeds, and maintenance of that cover through two successive growing seasons. During the two growing seasons that prove viability, there shall be no artificial irrigation of the vegetation.

The area over the BGT was backfilled with clean soil and is covered by the LPT. This area will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

13. BP shall seed, plant and re-seed pursuant to Paragraph (3) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, until the location successfully achieves the required vegetative cover.

BP will seed the area when the well is plugged and abandoned as part of final reclamation.

14. Pursuant to Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall notify the NMOCD when it has seeded or planted and when it successfully achieves revegetation.

BP will notify NMOCD when re-vegetation is successful.

- 15. Within 60 days of closure completion, BP shall submit a closure report on NMOCD's form C-144, and will include the following;
 - a. proof of closure notification (surface owner and NMOCD)
 - b. sampling analytical reports; information required by 19.15.17 NMAC;
 - c. disposal facility name and permit number
 - d. details on back-filling, capping, covering, and where applicable re-vegetation application rates and seeding techniques and
 - e. site reclamation, photo documentation.

 Closure report on C-144 form is included.
- 16. BP shall certify that all information in the report and attachments is accurate, truthful, and compliant with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.

Certification section of C-144 has been completed.

District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

Form C-141 Revised August 8, 2011

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505 Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

			Rele	ease Notific	eation	and Co	orrective A	ction			
						OPERAT	ГOR			al Report	nal Report
Name of Co	ompany: B	P				Contact: Jef					reper
		Court, Farmi	ngton, N	M 87401		Telephone N	No.: 505-326-94	.79			
Facility Nar	ne: Galleg	gos Canyon U	Jnit 242E)		Facility Typ	e: Natural gas v	vell			
Surface Ow	ner: Feder	al		Mineral C)wner: l	Federal			API No	. 3004523901	
				LOCA	ATION	N OF REI	LEASE				
Unit Letter K						South Line	Feet from the 1,455	East/V West	Vest Line	County: San Juan	
		Lati	itude3				e_108.06758_				
T CD 1	*1/	1		NAT	URE	OF RELI			X 1		
Type of Release: oil/condensate Source of Release: below grade tank − 95 bbl, Tank A Was Immediate Notice Given? □ Yes □ Not Required By Whom? □ Yes □ No □ Not Required □ Yes □ No □ Not Required									ov. 1		
Source of Re	icasc. octov	v grade tank –	95 001, 17	alik A		Design Reporter Committee Face	iour of Occurrenc				ay 1,
Was Immedia	ate Notice (Yes 🛛	No Not Re	equired	If YES, To	Whom?				
Was a Water	course Read		Yes 🛛	No		If YES, Vo	lume Impacting t	he Wate	ercourse.		
If a Watercou	ırse was Im	pacted, Descri	ibe Fully.*	•							
the BGT. So	il analysis r	resulted in total	al BTEX a	nd chlorides belov	w standa	ards. TPH wa					
release occur	red. The rel	lease was addr	essed thro	ugh the spill and	release g	guidelines and	d a C-141 final wi				
regulations al public health should their or or the environ	Il operators or the envir operations h nment. In a	are required to ronment. The nave failed to a addition, NMC	o report an acceptance acceptance of accepta	nd/or file certain rece of a C-141 reporting and r	elease no ort by the emediate	otifications are NMOCD made contaminati	nd perform correct arked as "Final Roon that pose a thre	etive acti eport" d eat to gr	ons for rele oes not reli ound water	eases which may endateve the operator of liangle, surface water, human	nger bility n health
	1	0					OIL CONS	SERV	ATION	DIVISION	
Signature:	off	Peace				4 11	T	. 1.			
Printed Name	e: Jeff Peace	e				Approved by	Environmental S	pecialist			
Title: Field E	nvironment	tal Coordinato	r			Approval Dat	e:	I	Expiration 1	Date:	
E-mail Addre	ess: peace.je	effrey@bp.cor	Latitude36.64497			Conditions of	Approval:			Attached	
Date: May 2	22, 2015		Phone: 5	05-326-9479							

CLIENT: BP		NGINEERING, INC. BLOOMFIELD, NM 87413	API#: 3004523901
OCICIVI.		05) 632-1199	TANK ID (if applicble): A & B
FIELD REPORT:	(circle one): BGT CONFIRMATION	/ RELEASE INVESTIGATION / OTHER:	PAGE #: 1 of 1
SITE INFORMATION	I: SITE NAME: GCU#	242E	DATE STARTED: 05/01/14
QUAD/UNIT: K SEC: 24 TWP:	28N RNG: 12W PM:	: NM CNTY: SJ ST: NM	DATE FINISHED:
		TYPE: FEDERAL/STATE/FEE/INDIAN ELKHORN CONTRACTOR: MBF - S. GLYNN	ENVIRONMENTAL SPECIALIST(S): NJV
REFERENCE POINT		s coord.: 36.64493 X 108.0671	7 GLELEV: 5.851'
	GPS COORD.: 3		EARING FROM W.H.: 127', N84W
2) 95 BGT (SW/DB) - B			ARING FROM W.H.: 68.5', 364.5W
3) PROD. TANK	GPS COORD.: 36		EARING FROM W.H.: 99', S68W
4)	GPS COORD.:	DISTANCE/BE	EARING FROM W.H.:
SAMPLING DATA:	CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD(S) # 0	OR LAB USED: HALL	OVM READING
1) SAMPLE ID: 5 PC-TB @ 5' (95) - A SAMPLE DATE: 05/01	1/14 SAMPLETIME: 1150 LAB ANALYSIS: 418.1	/8015B/8021B/300.0 (CI) NA
		1/14 SAMPLE TIME: 1155 LAB ANALYSIS: 418.11	, ,
3) SAMPLE ID:	SAMPLE DATE:	SAMPLE TIME: LAB ANALYSIS:	
4) SAMPLE ID:	SAMPLE DATE:	SAMPLE TIME: LAB ANALYSIS:	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	SOIL TYPE: SAND / SILTY SAND	SILT / SILTY CLAY / CLAY / GRAVEL / OTHER	
SOIL COLOR: MODER		PLASTICITY (CLAYS): NON PLASTIC / SLIGHTLY PLASTIC /	COHESIVE / MEDIUM PLASTIC / HIGHLY PLASTIC
COHESION (ALL OTHERS): NON COHESIVE SLIGHTLY		DENSITY (COHESIVE CLAYS & SILTS): SOFT / FIRM	/ STIFF / VERY STIFF / HARD
CONSISTENCY (NON COHESIVE SOILS): LC MOISTURE: DRY SLIGHTLY MOIST MOIST / W		HC ODOR DETECTED: YES NO EXPLANATION -	
SAMPLE TYPE: GRAB COMPOSITE #		ANY AREAS DISPLAYING WETNESS: YES NO EXPL	ANATION
DISCOLORATION/STAINING OBSERVED: YES IN		ANTALEAGUST EATING WETHESS. TEG ING EATE	NATION -
SITE OBSERVATION	S: LOST INTEGRITY OF EQUIPMENT	T: YES NO EXPLANATION -	
APPARENT EVIDENCE OF A RELEASE OBSERVE			
EQUIPMENT SET OVER RECLAIMED AREA: L OTHER:	YES NO EXPLANATION - LP AGT	T TO BE PARTIALLY SET ATOP 95 BGT (A).	
SOIL IMPACT DIMENSION ESTIMATION:			STIMATION (Cubic Yards) : NA
	IEAREST WATER SOURCE: >1,000		OCD TPH CLOSURE STD: 100 ppm
SITE SKETCH [BGT Located: off on sit	te PLOT PLAN circle: attached 0V	M CALIB. READ. = NA ppm RF = 0.52
			M CALIB. GAS = NA ppm
(95A) PBGTL		N I	/IE: NA am/pm DATE: NA
T.B. ~ 5' B.G.	METER	'[MISCELL. NOTES
	RUN		wo: N15386440
SEPAR	RATOR	⊕ W.H.	PO #:
$(x \hat{x} x)$			PK: ZEVH01BGT2
	7		PJ#: Z2-006Q0
1	\	1.4	Permit date(s): 06/08/10 OCD Appr. date(s): 11/25/13
BERM	\	[7	ank OVM = Organic Vapor Meter
PROD. TANK		-	ppm = parts per million BGT Sidewalls Visible: Y I(N)
Milk		X - S.P.D.	B BGT Sidowallo Vicible: Y (N)
NOTES; BGT = BELOW-GRADE TANK; E.D. = EXCAVATIO	ON DEPRESSION; B.G. = BELOW GRADE: B = P	BELOW, T.H. = TEST HOLE; ~ = APPROX.; W.H. = WELL HEAD;	BGT Sidewalls Visible: Y / N
T.B. = TANK BOTTOM; PBGTL = PREVIOUS BEL	OW-GRADE TANK LOCATION; SPD = SAMPLE F	POINT DESIGNATION; R.W. = RETAINING WALL; NA - NOT	Magnetic declination: 10° E
APPLICABLE OR NOT AVAILABLE; SW-SINGLE		ONSITE: 05/01/14	

Analytical Report

Lab Order 1405109

Date Reported: 5/9/2014

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Blagg Engineering

Client Sample ID: 5PC-TB @ 5' (95)-A

Project: GCU # 242E

Collection Date: 5/1/2014 11:50:00 AM

Lab ID: 1405109-001

Matrix: SOIL

Received Date: 5/3/2014 10:20:00 AM

Analyses	Result	RL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 8015D: DIESEL RANGE O	RGANICS					Analyst	BCN
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	500	99		mg/Kg	10	5/6/2014 6:22:58 PM	12995
Surr: DNOP	0	57.9-140	S	%REC	10	5/6/2014 6:22:58 PM	12995
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANG	E					Analyst	NSB
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	70	24		mg/Kg	5	5/8/2014 1:33:13 AM	12999
Surr: BFB	96.0	74.5-129		%REC	5	5/8/2014 1:33:13 AM	12999
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES						Analyst	NSB
Benzene	0.58	0.24		mg/Kg	5	5/8/2014 1:33:13 AM	12999
Toluene	3.4	0.24		mg/Kg	5	5/8/2014 1:33:13 AM	12999
Ethylbenzene	0.43	0.24		mg/Kg	5	5/8/2014 1:33:13 AM	12999
Xylenes, Total	2.9	0.47		mg/Kg	5	5/8/2014 1:33:13 AM	12999
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	109	80-120		%REC	5	5/8/2014 1:33:13 AM	12999
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS						Analyst	JRR
Chloride	49	30		mg/Kg	20	5/7/2014 3:19:26 PM	13053
EPA METHOD 418.1: TPH						Analyst	BCN
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	900	200		mg/Kg	10	5/6/2014	12981

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

Page 1 of 7

- P Sample pH greater than 2.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1405109

09-May-14

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

GCU # 242E

Sample ID MB-13053

SampType: MBLK

TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

Client ID:

PBS

Batch ID: 13053

RunNo: 18482

Prep Date:

5/7/2014 Analysis Date: 5/7/2014

SegNo: 533533

Units: mg/Kg

Qual

Analyte

Result

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit

HighLimit

%RPD **RPDLimit**

Chloride

Client ID:

ND 1.5

Sample ID LCS-13053

LCSS

SampType: LCS Batch ID: 13053 TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

RunNo: 18482

Prep Date: 5/7/2014 Analysis Date: 5/7/2014

PQL

1.5

SegNo: 533534

Units: mg/Kg

HighLimit %REC %RPD LowLimit

Analyte

Result

15.00

SPK value SPK Ref Val

Qual

RPDLimit

Chloride

Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- Value above quantitation range E
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- RSD is greater than RSDlimit 0
- RPD outside accepted recovery limits R
- Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded Н
- Not Detected at the Reporting Limit ND
- Sample pH greater than 2
- Reporting Detection Limit

Page 3 of 7

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1405109

09-May-14

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

GCU # 242E

Sample ID MB-12981 Client ID: PBS

SampType: MBLK

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

Batch ID: 12981

RunNo: 18411

Prep Date: 5/2/2014 Analysis Date: 5/6/2014 SeqNo: 531748 Units: mg/Kg

Analyte Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD **RPDLimit** Qual

Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR ND

Sample ID LCS-12981 Client ID: LCSS

Prep Date: 5/2/2014

SampType: LCS

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

Batch ID: 12981

RunNo: 18411

Analysis Date: 5/6/2014

SeqNo: 531749

Units: mg/Kg

%REC Analyte Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val **RPDLimit** LowLimit HighLimit %RPD Qual

Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR 100 20 100.0

Sample ID LCSD-12981

SampType: LCSD

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

Client ID: LCSS02

Batch ID: 12981

RunNo: 18411

Units: mg/Kg

Prep Date: 5/2/2014 Analysis Date: 5/6/2014 SeqNo: 531751

Analyte Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD **RPDLimit** Qual

Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR 99 20 100.0 98.6 80 120 5.72 20

Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- Value above quantitation range E
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- 0 RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank В
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- Sample pH greater than 2.
- Reporting Detection Limit

Page 4 of 7

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1405109

09-May-14

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

GCU # 242E

rroject: GCO#	Z4ZL								
Sample ID MB-12995	SampType: MB	LK	TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics						
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 129	F	RunNo: 18374						
Prep Date: 5/5/2014	Analysis Date: 5/5	nalysis Date: 5/5/2014 SeqNo: 530743				Units: mg/K	g		
Analyte	Result PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND 10								
Surr: DNOP	8.2	10.00		81.9	57.9	140			
Sample ID LCS-12995	SampType: LCS	3	Tes	tCode: EF	PA Method	8015D: Diese	el Range (Organics	
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 129	95	R	RunNo: 18	8374				
Prep Date: 5/5/2014	Analysis Date: 5/5	/2014	S	SeqNo: 5	30744	Units: mg/K	g		
Analyte	Result PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	44 10	50.00	0	88.0	60.8	145			
Surr: DNOP	3.9	5.000		78.0	57.9	140			

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 5 of 7

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1405109

09-May-14

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

GCU # 242E

Sample ID MB-12999 MK SampType: MBLK TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range Client ID: **PBS** Batch ID: R18443 RunNo: 18443 Prep Date: Analysis Date: 5/6/2014 SeqNo: 532561 Units: %REC Analyte Result SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD **RPDLimit** Qual Surr: BFB 880 1000 88.4 74.5 129 Sample ID LCS-12999 MK SampType: LCS TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range Client ID: LCSS Batch ID: R18443 RunNo: 18443 Prep Date: Analysis Date: 5/6/2014 SeqNo: 532562 Units: %REC Analyte Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD **RPDLimit** Qual Surr: BFB 990 1000 98.5 74.5 129 Sample ID MB-12999 SampType: MBLK TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range Client ID: PBS Batch ID: 12999 RunNo: 18443 Prep Date: 5/5/2014 SeqNo: 532566 Analysis Date: 5/6/2014 Units: mg/Kg Analyte Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD **RPDLimit** Qual 5.0 Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) ND Surr: BFB 880 1000 88.4 74.5 129

Sample ID LCS-12999	SampType: LCS			Tes	TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range					
Client ID: LCSS	Batch	ID: 12 9	999	F	RunNo: 1	8443				
Prep Date: 5/5/2014	Analysis Da	ite: 5/	6/2014	S	SeqNo: 5	32567	Units: mg/F	(g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	23	5.0	25.00	0	91.0	71.7	134			
Surr: BFB	990		1000		98.5	74.5	129			

Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- Value above quantitation range Е
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- RSD is greater than RSDlimit 0
- RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND

Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

Page 6 of 7

Sample pH greater than 2

Reporting Detection Limit

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1405109

09-May-14

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project: GCU #	242E									
Sample ID MB-12999	SampTyp	e: ME	BLK	Tes	tCode: E					
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID): 129	999	F	RunNo: 1					
Prep Date: 5/5/2014	Analysis Date: 5/6/2014		5	SeqNo: 5	32595	Units: mg/K	(g			
Analyte	Result F	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	ND 0	.050								
Toluene	ND 0	.050								
Ethylbenzene	ND 0	.050								
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.10								
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.0		1.000		105	80	120			
Sample ID LCS-12999	SampType	e: LC	S	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8021B: Volat	iles		
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID	129	999	F	RunNo: 1	8443				
Prep Date: 5/5/2014	Analysis Date	e: 5/0	6/2014	S	SeqNo: 5	32596	Units: mg/K	g		
Analyte	Result F	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	1.1 0	.050	1.000	0	114	80	120			
Toluene	1.1 0	.050	1.000	0	107	80	120			
Ethylbenzene	1.1 0	.050	1.000	0	107	80	120			
Xylenes, Total	3.1	0.10	3.000	0	105	80	120			
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.1		1.000		113	80	120			

Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- 0 RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2.
- Reporting Detection Limit

Page 7 of 7



Hau Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109

TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

Sample Log-In Check List

Received by/date: AT	Clien	Client Name: BLAGG Work Order Number:						109			Rcpti	RcptNo: 1								
Completed By: Lindsay Mangin 5/5/2014 7:39:16 AM Reviewed By: 05/05/14 Chain of Custody 1. Custody seals intact on sample bottles? Yes V No Not Present V. 2. Is Chain of Custody complete? Yes V No Not Present V. 3. How was the sample delivered? Courier Log In 4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes V No NA 5. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C Yes W No NA 6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes V No NA 7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes V No NA 8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) property preserved? Yes No No NA 10. VOA valis have zero headspace? Yes No No No VOA Valis V NA 11. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes No No No VOA Valis N	Recei	ived by/date	: AT		05/03	14					***************************************									
Reviewed By: Chain of Custody 1. Custody seals intact on sample bottles? 2. Is Chain of Custody complete? 3. How was the sample delivered? Courier Log In 4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes V No NA 5. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C Yes V No NA 6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? 7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? 8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? 9. Was preservative added to bottles? 10. VOA vials have zero headspace? 11. Were any sample containers received broken? 12. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) 13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? 14. Is it clear what analyses were requested? (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Special Handling (if applicable) 16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Person Notified: By Whom: Regarding: Client Instructions: 17. Additional remarks: 18. Cooler Information Cooler No Temp *C Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By	Logge	ed By:	Lindsay Mar	ngin	5/3/2014 1	0:20:00 AM			Streety	Harriso										
Reviewed By:	Comp	oleted By:	Lindsay Mar	ngin	5/5/2014 7	:39:16 AM			Smaker	Alexano D										
## Present Of Custody 1. Custody seals intact on sample bottles? 2. Is Chain of Custody complete? 3. How was the sample delivered? Courier			ma		((00	U				*						
1. Custody seals intact on sample bottles? 2. Is Chain of Custody complete? 3. How was the sample delivered? Courier Log In 4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes V No NA 5. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C Yes V No NA 6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? 7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? 8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? 9. Was preservative added to bottles? 10. VOA vials have zero headspace? 11. Were any sample containers received broken? 12. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) 13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? 14. Is it clear what analyses were requested? 15. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Special Handling (if applicable) 16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Person Notified: By Whom: Regarding: Client Instructions: 17. Additional remarks: 18. Cooler Information Cocler No Temp *C Condition Seal intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By Not Present V. Not Present V. Not Present V. Not Present V. Not In Not I			tody		יוןכטןכיט	7								180						
2. Is Chain of Custody complete? 3. How was the sample delivered? Courier Log In 4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes V No NA 5. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0^* C to 6.0°C 6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? 7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? 8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? 9. Was preservative added to bottles? 10. VOA vials have zero headspace? 11. Were any sample containers received broken? 12. Does papenwork match bottle labels? 13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? 14. Is it clear what analyses were requested? 15. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Special Handling (if applicable) 16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Person Notified: By Whom: Regarding: Client Instructions: 17. Additional remarks: 18. Cooler Information Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seal intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By				mple bottles?			Yes		No	1	Not Present	V .								
3. How was the sample delivered? Log In 4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes V No NA 5. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C 6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? 7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? 8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? 9. Was preservative added to bottles? 10. VOA vials have zero headspace? 11. Were any sample containers received broken? 12. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) 13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? 14. Is it clear what analyses were requested? 15. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Special Handling (if applicable) 16. Was client notified: By Whom: Regarding: Client Instructions: 17. Additional remarks: 18. Cooler Information Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seal intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By							Yes	y	No		Not Present									
4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes V No NA 5. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C Sample(s) in proper container(s)? 7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? 8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? 9. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes No No No VOA Vials 10. VOA vials have zero headspace? 11. Were any sample containers received broken? 12. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) 13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? 14. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes No Checked by: (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Special Handling (If applicable) 16. Was client notified: By Whom: Regarding: Client Instructions: 17. Additional remarks: 18. Cooler Information Cooler No Temp *C Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By	i						Cour	ier												
5. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C Yes No NA No No No No No No No No	Log	<u>In</u>										-								
6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? 7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? 8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? 9. Was preservative added to bottles? 10. VOA vials have zero headspace? 11. Were any sample containers received broken? 12. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) 13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? 14. Is it clear what analyses were requested? 15. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Special Handling (If applicable) 16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Person Notified: By Whom: Regarding: Client Instructions: 17. Additional remarks: 18. Cooler Information Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By	4. v	Vas an atte	mpt made to co	ool the sample	es?		Yes	v	No		NA									
7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? 8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? 9. Was preservative added to bottles? 10. VOA vials have zero headspace? 11. Were any sample containers received broken? 12. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) 13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? 14. Is it clear what analyses were requested? (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Special Handling (if applicable) 16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Person Notified: By Whom: Regarding: Client Instructions: 17. Additional remarks: 18. Cooler Information Cooler No Temp © Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By	5. W	Vere all san	nples received	at a temperat	ure of >0° C to	6.0°C	Yes	~	No	1-1	NA :	1								
8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? 9. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes No No No VOA Vials * 10. VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes No Mo No VOA Vials * 11. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes No Mo Mo VOA Vials * 12. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) 3. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No Mo Majusted? 14. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes Mo Mo Mo Majusted? 15. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Special Handling (if applicable) 16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No	6. s	6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?							No	1-1										
9. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes No No VOA Vials Available Availab	7, S	ufficient sa	mple volume fo	or indicated te	st(s)?		Yes	V	No	[;										
10. VOA vials have zero headspace? 11. Were any sample containers received broken? 12. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) 13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? 14. Is it clear what analyses were requested? 15. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.) 16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Person Notified: Date: By Whom: Regarding: Client Instructions: 17. Additional remarks: 18. Cooler Information Cooler Information Cooler Information Cooler Information Cooler Information Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By	8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved?						Yes	V	No	[]										
11. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes No # of preserved bottles checked for pH: (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) 3. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? 14. Is it clear what analyses were requested? 15. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Special Handling (if applicable) 16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Person Notified: By Whom: Regarding: Client Instructions: 17. Additional remarks: 18. Cooler Information Cooler No Temp C Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By	9. W	las preserv	ative added to	bottles?			Yes		No	V	NA									
## of preserved bottles checked for pH: (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) 13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? 14. Is it clear what analyses were requested? 15. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Special Handling (if applicable)	10.V	OA vials ha	ave zero heads	pace?			Yes	1.1	No	į	No VOA Vials	~								
12.Does paperwork match bottle labels?	11.V	Vere any sa	ample containe	rs received br	oken?		Yes		No	V	# of processed									
(Note discrepancies on chain of custody) 13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes ✓ No Adjusted? 14. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes ✓ No Checked by: 15. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes ✓ No Checked by: (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Special Handling (if applicable) 16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No NA ✓ Person Notified: Date: By Whom: Via: eMail Phone Fax In Person Regarding: Client Instructions: 17. Additional remarks: 18. Cooler No Temp © Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By	i										bottles checked									
13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? 14. Is it clear what analyses were requested? 15. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Special Handling (if applicable) 16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Person Notified: By Whom: Regarding: Client Instructions: 17. Additional remarks: 18. Cooler Information Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By	1						Yes	~	No			<2 or >12 u	nless no	oted)						
14. Is it clear what analyses were requested? 15. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Special Handling (if applicable) 16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Person Notified: By Whom: Regarding: Client Instructions: 17. Additional remarks: 18. Cooler Information Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By	:						Yes	V	No					,						
15. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Special Handling (if applicable) 16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Person Notified: By Whom: Regarding: Client Instructions: 17. Additional remarks: 18. Cooler Information Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By								r= -1		!										
Special Handling (if applicable) 16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Person Notified: By Whom: Regarding: Client Instructions: 17. Additional remarks: 18. Cooler Information Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By	15.W	vere all hold	ding times able	to be met?			Yes	~	No		Checked b	у: .	• •••							
Person Notified: By Whom: Regarding: Client Instructions: 17. Additional remarks: 18. Cooler Information Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By		i no, nomy		autorizationi,																
Person Notified: By Whom: Regarding: Client Instructions: 17. Additional remarks: 18. Cooler Information Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By	Spec	ial Hand	ling (if appi	licable)																
By Whom: Via:eMailPhoneFaxIn Person Regarding: Client Instructions: 17. Additional remarks: 18. Cooler Information Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By	140				ith this order?		Yes	1:	No	: !	NA ·	V								
Regarding: Client Instructions: 17. Additional remarks: 18. Cooler Information Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By		Persor	Notified:	ACCUMULATION OF THE PROPERTY O	MENDENNE AND THE BEST AND THE PROPERTY.	Date:		Miles and a second	WHO THE											
Client Instructions: 17. Additional remarks: 18. Cooler Information Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By		By Wh	om:	***************************************		Via:	eMa	il [Phone [Fax	In Person	!								
17. Additional remarks: 18. Cooler Information Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By	.	Regard	ding:				Milmon Jonathau			NAME OF A PARTY AND A PARTY AN	A STATE OF THE STA	- ;								
18. Cooler Information Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By		Client	Instructions:		an a			dra, Areanneanneau, annéan anna		**************************************	MANN Seek V And Andreit and another Selders Andreis are consistent users author to the Lor	<u>.</u>								
Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By	17. A	Additional re	emarks:	di Samuelli di								ar ar 5								
	18.			(<u>) </u>				i												
1 1.0 500 168		Cooler N				Seal No S	Seal Da	ite	Signed E	Зу				,						
		1	11.0	5000	100															

Client:		G ENGR.	/ BP AMERICA	Standard Project Name	HALL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS LABORATORY www.hallenvironmental.com 4901 Hawkins NE - Albuquerque, NM 87109																
BLOOMFIELD, NM 87413			Project #:				Tel. 505-345-3975 Fax 505-345-4107														
Phone #:		(505) 63	32-1199									Α	nal	ysis	Re	ques	st				
email or F	ax#:			Project Manag	ger:			-	914	1				(4)				(1)			
QA/QC Package: Standard Level 4 (Full Validation)		NELSON VELEZ			5 (8021B)	TPH (Gas only)	/ INTRO!			AS)		PO4,SC	2 PCB's			water - 300.1)			e		
Accreditat	ion:			Sampler:	NELSON VI	Constitution of the Consti	F	(Ga	DRO	(1)	17	70SIMS)		NO2,	/ 8082			- 1			sample
□ NELAP		□ Other		THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY AND ADDRESS OF THE	Chentage and protocol and the section and a section of	□ No	1	TP.	-	418	504.1)	82	S	103,1	es/		OA)	300.0			te s
□ EDD (T	ype)	1		Sample Temp	erature: /-	0	4	BE +	(GR	hod	hod	0 or	8 Metals	CLN	icid	(A)	N-in	1 1		ble	posi
Date	Time	Matrix	Sample Request ID	Container Type and #	Preservative Type	HEAL NO	BTEX +-NHT	BTEX + MTBE	TPH 8015B (GRO	TPH (Method 418.1)	EDB (Method	PAH (8310	RCRA 8 M	Anions (F,Cl,NO ₃ ,NO ₂ ,PO ₄ ,SO ₄)	8081 Pesticides	8260B (VOA)	8270 (Semi-VOA)	Chloride (soll		Grab sample	5 pt. composite
5/1/14	1150	SOIL	5PC - TB @ 5' (95)-A	4 oz 1	Cool	-001	٧		٧	٧							-	٧		_	V
											1									1	+
5/1/14	1155	SOIL	5PC TB @ 6' (95) B	4 oz. 1	Cool	-002_	V		V	V	7							V	1	1	V
											7								7	\top	1
								7			7	7							1	+	+
								1		1	7	7							\neg	1	+
								1			7	\neg							+	+	+
								-		1	1								+	+	+
				1				1		1	7								+	+	+
								+		1	+	7			_				+	+	+
		-						+		1	+	\dashv			_				+	+	+
-								1		1	1	1			-				+	+	+
te; 2/14 1e:	2/14/144 Christa Waller			Received by: Date Time Solid Solid				Remarks: BILL DIRECTLY TO BP: Jeff Peace, 200 Energy Court, Farmington, NM 87401 Work Order: N15386440 Paykey: ZEVH01BGT2													
2						S. The salves as house of	ano po	0010111	. , , , , ,	TY BUILTY											



BP America Production Company 200 Energy Court Farmington, NM 87401 Phone: (505) 326-9200

January 30, 2014

Bureau of Land Management Mark Kelly 6251 College Blvd Suite A Farmington, NM 87402

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL - RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Re: Notification of plans to close/remove a below grade tank Well Name: GALLEGOS CANYON UNIT 242E

Dear Mr. Kelly,

As part of the NM "Pit Rule": 19.15.17.13 Closure Requirements, Paragraph J. BP America Production Company (BP) is required to notify the surface owner of BP's plans to close/remove a below grade tank. BP wishes to inform you of our plans to close/remove the below grade tank on its well pad located on your surface. BP plans to commence this work on or about March 3, 2014. If there aren't any unforeseen problems, the work should be completed within 10 working days.

As a point of clarification, BP will be closing the below grade tank and either operating without one or replacing it with an above ground tank, the well site will continue to operate.

Unless you have questions about this notice, there is no need to respond to this letter. If you do have any questions or concerns, please contact me at 505-326-9214

Sincerely,

Jerry Van Riper

90 Jake

Surface Land Negotiator

BP America Production Company

BP America Production Company

200 Energy Court Farmington, NM 87401 Phone: (505) 326-9200

SENT VIA E-MAIL TO: BRANDON.POWELL@STATE.NM.US

January 30, 2014

New Mexico Oil Conservation Division 1000 Rio Brazos Road Aztec, New Mexico 87410

RE: Notice of Proposed Below-Grade Tank (BGT) Closure

GALLEGOS CANYON UNIT 242E API 30-045-23901 (G) Section 24 – T28N – R12W San Juan County, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Brandon Powell:

In regards to the captioned subject and requirements of the NMOCD pit rule, this letter is notification that BP is planning to close two 95 bbl BGT's that will no longer be operational at this well site.

Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact BP at our Farmington office.

Sincerely,

Jeff Peace

BP Field Environmental Advisor

(505) 326-9479



