District I 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 District II 811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 District III 1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410 District IV 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505 State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources Department Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

For temporary pits, below-grade tanks, and multi-well fluid management pits, submit to the appropriate NMOCD District Office. For permanent pits submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

Pit, Below-Grade Tank, or
12989 Proposed Alternative Method Permit or Closure Plan Application
Type of action: Below grade tank registration 39-21474 Permit of a pit or proposed alternative method Closure of a pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method Modification to an existing permit/or registration Closure plan only submitted for an existing permitted or non-permitted pit, below-grade tank,
or proposed alternative method
Instructions: Please submit one application (Form C-144) per individual pit, below-grade tank or alternative request
Please be advised that approval of this request does not relieve the operator of liability should operations result in pollution of surface water, ground water or the environment. Nor does approval relieve the operator of its responsibility to comply with any other applicable governmental authority's rules, regulations or ordinances.
Derator: <u>XTO Energy, Inc.</u> OGRID #: 5380 OGRID #: 5380
Address: <u>#382 County Road 3100, Aztec, NM 8/410</u>
Facility or well name: <u>Valencia Canyon Unit # 5</u> JUL 01 2013
API Number: <u>0</u> CD Permit Number:
U/L or Qtr/Qtr P Section 26 Township 28N Range 4W County: San Juan
Center of Proposed Design: Latitude <u>36.628654</u> Longitude <u>-107.215447</u> NAD: $\Box 1927 \boxtimes 1983$
Surface Owner: 🖄 Federal 🗋 State 🗋 Private 📋 Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment
Pit: Subsection F, G or J of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Temporary: Drilling Workover Permanent Emergency Cavitation P&A Multi-Well Fluid Management Low Chloride Drilling Fluid yes no Lined Unlined Liner type: Thickness mil LLDPE HDPE PVC Other
Below-grade tank: Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
Volume: 100 bbl Type of fluid: Produced Water
Tank Construction material: Steel
Secondary containment with leak detection 🗌 Visible sidewalls, liner, 6-inch lift and automatic overflow shut-off
Visible sidewalls and liner Visible sidewalls only Other
Liner type: Thicknessmil
 4. Alternative Method: Submittal of an exception request is required. Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.
5.
Fencing: Subsection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits, temporary pits, and below-grade tanks) Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top (Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution or church) Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet Alternate. Please specify

Netting: Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks)

Screen Netting Other

Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)

Signs: Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC

12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers

Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC

Variances and Exceptions:

8

Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance.

Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank:

Variance(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district for consideration of approval.

Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

9. Siting Criteria (regarding permitting): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of accept material are provided below. Siting criteria does not apply to drying pads or above-grade tanks.						
General siting						
Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of a low chloride temporary pit or below-grade tank. - ☑ NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; ☐ USGS; ☐ Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA					
Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of a Temporary pit, permanent pit, or Multi-Well Fluid Management pit. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA					
 Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No					
 Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division 	Yes No					
 Within an unstable area. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No					
Within a 100-year floodplain. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) - FEMA map	Yes No					
Below Grade Tanks						
 Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, significant watercourse, lake bed, sinkhole, wetland or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No					
 Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for public or livestock consumption;. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No					
Temporary Pit using Low Chloride Drilling Fluid (maximum chloride content 15,000 mg/liter)						
 Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). (Applies to low chloride temporary pits.) Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No					
 Within 300 feet from a occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No					
Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 300feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of the initial application.	Yes No					

NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

Within 100 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No
Temporary Pit Non-low chloride drilling fluid	
 Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse, or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
 Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
 Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in the existence at the time of the initial application; NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
 Within 300 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
Permanent Pit or Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit	
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
 Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
 Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
 Within 500 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
10. Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NM Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the doct attached. Hydrogeologic Report (Below-grade Tanks) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Hydrogeologic Data (Temporary and Emergency Pits) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.1 and 19.15.17.13 NMAC Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number:	MAC <i>uments are</i> NMAC 5.17.9 NMAC
11.	
Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the doct attached. Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC A List of wells with approved application for permit to drill associated with the pit. Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.13 and 19.15.17.13 NMAC Hydrogeologic Data - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number: 	<i>uments are</i> 15.17.9 NMAC

4	
^{12.} <u>Permanent Pits Permit Application Checklist</u> : Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the a attached.	locuments are
 Hydrogeologic Report - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Climatological Factors Assessment 	
 Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC 	
 Leak Detection Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Liner Specifications and Compatibility Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC 	
Quality Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installation Plan Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC	
Nuisance or Hazardous Odors, including H_2S , Prevention Plan Emergency Response Plan	
 Oil Field Waste Stream Characterization Monitoring and Inspection Plan 	
 Erosion Control Plan Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC 	
^{13.} <u>Proposed Closure</u> : 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan.	
Type: Drilling Workover Emergency Cavitation P&A Permanent Pit Below-grade Tank Multi-well Fl	uid Management Pit
Proposed Closure Method: Waste Excavation and Removal Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only)	
On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems) In-place Burial Alternative Closure Method	
 ^{14.} <u>Waste Excavation and Removal Closure Plan Checklist</u>: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) <i>Instructions: Each of the following items must be a closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.</i> Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings) Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC 	nttached to the
15.	
Siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendations of acceptable source provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria require justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency. Pl 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance.	ce material are lease refer to
Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	□ Yes □ No □ NA
Ground water is between 25-50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	□ Yes □ No □ NA
Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	□ Yes □ No □ NA
 Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
 Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
 Within 300 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
Within 300 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance	

 adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality 	Yes No
 Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
 Within an unstable area. Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological 	
Society; Topographic map Within a 100-year floodplain.	Yes No
- FEMA map	Yes No
 16. On-Site Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure ple by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Proof of Surface Owner Notice - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection K of 19.15.17. Construction/Design Plan of Temporary Pit (for in-place burial of a drying pad) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Waste Material Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings or in case on-site closure standards canna Soil Cover Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC 	<i>an. Please indicate,</i> 11 NMAC 15.17.11 NMAC tot be achieved)
17. Operator Application Certification:	
I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and bel	ief.
Name (Print): Kurt Hoekstra Title : EHS Coordinator	
Signature: Kuit Hockethan Date: July 1, 2015	
e-mail address: <u>Kurt_Hoekstra@xtoenergy.com</u> Telephone: (505) <u>333-3100</u>	
18. OCD Approval: Permit Application (including closure plan) Closure Plan (only) OCD Conditions (see attachment)	,
OCD Representative Signature: Approval Date:	3/15
Title: Easuisonsmenshal Spec. OCD Permit Number:	
^{19.} <u>Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion)</u> : 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved closure plan prior to implementing any closure activities and submitting The closure report is required to be submitted to the division within 60 days of the completion of the closure activities. Please do not section of the form until an approved closure plan has been obtained and the closure activities have been completed. Closure Completion Date:	g the closure report. t complete this
20. Closure Method: Waste Excavation and Removal On-Site Closure Method If different from approved plan, please explain.	oop systems only)
21. Closure Report Attachment Checklist: Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure report. Please in mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Proof of Closure Notice (surface owner and division) Proof of Deed Notice (required for on-site closure for private land only) Plot Plan (for on-site closures and temporary pits) Confirmation Sampling Analytical Results (if applicable) Waste Material Sampling Analytical Results (required for on-site closure) Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation Re-vegetation Application Rates and Seeding Technique Site Reclamation (Photo Documentation) On-site Closure Location: Latitude	ndicate, by a check

Oil Conservation Division

Operator Closure Certification:	
I hereby certify that the information and attachments submitted with this closure report belief. I also certify that the closure complies with all applicable closure requirements	t is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.
Name (Print):	Title:
Signature:	Date:
e-mail address:	Telephone:

٧

XTO Energy Inc. San Juan Basin Below Grade Tank Closure Plan

OIL CONS. DIV DIST. 3 JUN 2 4 2015

Lease Name:Valencia Canyon Unit # 5API No.:30-039-21474Description:Unit P, Section 26, Township 28N, Range 4W, Rio Arriba County

In accordance with Rule 19.15.17.13 NMAC the following information describes the closure requirements of below-grade tanks on XTO Energy Inc. (XTO) locations. This is XTO's standard procedure for all below-grade tanks. A separate plan will be submitted for any below-grade tank which does not conform to this plan.

General Plan

- 1. XTO will obtain approval of this closure plan prior to commencing closure of the below grade tank at this location pursuant to 19.15.17.13.C (1) NMAC
- 2. XTO will notify the surface owner by certified mail, return receipt requested, that the operator plans closure operations at least 72 hours, but no more than one week, prior to any closure operation. Notice will include:
 - a. Well Name
 - b. API #
 - c. Well Location
- 3. XTO will notify the NMOCD Aztec Office by email that the operator plans closure operations at least 72 hours, but no more than one week, prior to any closure operation. Notice will include:
 - a. Well Name
 - b. API #
 - c. Well Location
- 4. Within 60 days of cessation of operations, XTO will remove liquids and sludge from below-grade tanks prior to implementing a closure method and will dispose of the liquids and sludge in a division-approved facility. Approved facilities and waste streams include:
 - Soils, tank bottoms, produced sand, pit sludge and other exempt wastes impacted by petroleum hydrocarbons will be disposed of at: *Envirotech: Permit #NM01-0011* and *IEI: Permit # NM01-0010B*
 - b. Produced Water will be disposed of at: Basin Disposal: Permit # NM01-005 and XTO owned salt water Disposal Facilities

5. Within six (6) months of cessation of operations, XTO will remove the below-grade tank and dispose of it in a division-approved facility or recycle, reuse, or reclaim it in a manner that the appropriate division district office approves. If there is any equipment associated with a below-grade tank, then the operator shall remove the equipment, unless the equipment is required for some other purpose.

L

6. XTO will collect a closure sample of the soil beneath the location of the below grade tank that is being closed. The closure sample will consist of a five-point composite sample to include any obvious stained or wet soils, or other evidence of contamination. The closure sample will be analyzed for all constituents listed in Table I below, including DRO+GRO, Chlorides, TPH, benzene and BTEX.

]	FABLE I	
Depth Below bottom of pit to groundwater less than 10,000 mg/l TDS	Constituent	Method	Limit
	Chloride	EPA 9056	600 mg/kg
	TPH	Method 418.1	100 mg/kg
	BTEX	Method 8021B	50 mg/kg
\leq 50 Feet	Benzene	Method 8021B	10 mg/kg
	Chloride	EPA 9056	10,000 mg/kg
	TPH	Method 418.1	2,500 mg/kg
	GRO + DRO	Method 8015	1,000 mg/kg
	BTEX	Method 8021B	50 mg/kg
51 feet - 100 feet)	Benzene	Method 8021B	10 mg/kg
	Chloride	EPA 9056	20,000 mg/kg
	TPH	EPA 418.1	2,500 mg/kg
	GRO + DRO	Method 8015	1,000 mg/kg
	BTEX	Method 8021B	50 mg/kg
> 100 feet	Benzene	Method 8021B	10 g/kg

7. XTO will meet the limits for <50' to groundwater detailed in table I.

τ

1

- a. In accordance with Rule 19.15.17.13.C(3)(b) if contaminant concentrations exceed the proposed limit and groundwater is found to be deeper than 50', XTO may elect to submit additional groundwater information to the Division and request a higher closure limit. XTO will submit the additional groundwater data via email documenting the depth to groundwater at the location. XTO will wait for approval of the groundwater data by the NMOCD, prior to completing closure activities at the site.
- b. If a higher closure limit is submitted and approved by the Division, XTO will submit a copy of the request, the groundwater information and the received approval in their closure report
- 8. If any contaminant concentration is higher than the parameters listed in Table I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, the division may require additional delineation upon review of the results and the operator must receive approval before proceeding with closure. If all contaminant concentrations are less than or equal to the parameters listed in Table I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, then the operator can proceed to backfill the pit, pad, or excavation with non-waste containing, uncontaminated, earthen material.
- 9. After closure has occurred, XTO will reclaim the former BGT area, if it is no longer being used for extraction of oil and gas, by substantially restoring the impacted surface area to the condition that existed prior to oil and gas operations. XTO will construct the soil cover to the site's existing grade and prevent ponding of water and erosion of the cover materials. The soil cover shall consist of the background thickness of topsoil, or one foot of suitable materials to establish vegetation at the site, whichever is greater. All areas will be reclaimed as early as practicable, and as close to their original condition or land use as possible. They shall be maintained in a way as to control dust and minimize erosion.
- 10. XTO will complete reclamation of all disturbed areas no longer in use when the ground disturbance activities at the site have been completed. The reseeding shall take place during the first favorable growing season after closure. Reclamation activities will be considered completed when a uniform vegetative cover has been established that reflects a life-form ratio of plus or minus fifty percent (50%) of pre-disturbance levels, and a total percent plant cover of at least seventy percent (70%) of pre-disturbance levels, excluding noxious weeds.

*Re-vegetation and reclamation obligations imposed by other applicable federal, state or tribal agencies on lands managed by those agencies shall supersede the above requirements, provided they provide equal or better protection of fresh water, human health and the environment.

11. XTO will notify the Aztec Office of the NMOCD by email when reclamation and closure activities are completed.

- 12. Within 60 days of closure, XTO will submit a closure report to the Aztec office of the NMOCD, filed on Form C-144. The report will include the following:
 - a. Proof of closure notice to NMOCD and surface owner
 - b. Confirmation sampling analytical results

¥

,

- c. Soil backfill and cover installation information
- d. Photo documentation of site reclamation
- e. Alternative Table I groundwater criteria request, groundwater information and received approval. (If Needed)

, A	1		Client:	XTO Energy
Lodestar Service	s, Inc.	Pit Permit	Project:	tank permitting
PO Box 4465, Durang	D. CO 81302	Siting Criteria	Revised:	25-Nov-08
V,	,	Information Sheet	Prepared by:	Trevor Ycas
API#:[6	30-039-21474	USPLSS:	28N 04W 26 P
Name: Y	VALENCIA	CANYON UNIT No. 005	Lat/Long:	36.628630°, -107.214630°
Depth to groundwater:		depth 50-100'	Geologic formation:	San Jose Formation (Tsj), alluvium
Distance to closest continuously flowing watercourse:	~23 miles at l	NW to 'San Juan River' Navajo Reservoir	Site Elevation: 2237m/7339'	groundwater depth estimation is based primarily on elevation of nearby springs
Distance to closest significant watercourse, lakebed, playa lake, or sinkhole:	5000' W	' to Valencia Canyon'		
			Soil Type:	Rockland/ Aridisols
Permanent residence, school, hospital, institution or church within 300'		NO		
			Annual Precipitation:	Navajo Dam: 12.95", Governador: 11.98", Capulin Rgr Stn.: 14.98", Otis: 10.41"
Domestic fresh water well or spring within 500'		NO	Precipitation Notes:	Historical daily max. precip.: 4.19" (Bloomfield)
Any other fresh water well or spring within 1000'		NO		
Within incorporated municipal boundaries		NO	Attached Documents:	27N03W_iWaters.pdf, 27N04W_iWaters.pdf, 27N05W_iWaters.pdf, 28N03W_iWaters.pdf, 28N04W_iwaters.pdf, 28N05W_iwaters.pdf, 29N03W_iWaters.pdf, 29N04W_iWaters.pdf, 29N05W_iWaters.pdf
Within defined municipal fresh water well field		NO	FM350049IND0_30- 039-21474.jpg	30-039-21474_gEarth-PLS.jpg, 30-039-21474_topo- PLS.jpg, 30-039-21474_gEarth-iWaters.jpg
Wetland within 500'		NO	Mining Activity:	None Near
Within unstable area		NO		NM_NKD-MMD_MinesMillQuarries_30-039-21474.jp
Within 100 year flood plain	l	unmapped area		
Additional Notes				
drains to 'Cereza Canyon' via 'Valencia Canyon'	iWaters: s SP03619(ele water; Scissi	springs SP03972(elev: 2182m), ev. 2211m) both supply livestock or Spring(SP03622) (elev.2234m) use unknown		located on 'Chosa Mesa', & E of 'Valenc Canyon'

Valencia Canyon Unit #5 Below Grade Tank Hydrogeologic Report for Siting Criteria

General Geology and Hydrology

ż

The San Juan Basin is a typical Rocky Mountain basin with a gently dipping southern flank and a steeply dipping northern flank. Asymmetrically layered Tertiary sandstones and shales, along with Quaternary alluvial deposits, dominate surficial geology (Dane and Bachman, 1965). The proposed pit location will be located in the central Cereza Canyon region of the San Juan Basin near the upper reaches of Valencia Canyon and near Vigas & Chosa Mesas. The predominant geologic formation is the San Jose Formation of Tertiary age, which underlies surface soils and is often exposed (Dane and Bachman, 1965). Deposits of Quaternary alluvial and aeolian sands occur prominently near the surface of the area, especially near streams and washes.

Cretaceous and Tertiary sandstones, as well as Quaternary alluvial deposits serve as the primary aquifers in the San Juan basin (Stone et al., 1983). In most of the proposed area, the San Jose Formation lies at the surface and overlies the Nacimiento Formation. Thickness of the San Jose ranges from 200 to 2700 feet, thickening from west to east across the region of interest (Stone et al., 1983). Aquifers within the coarser and continuous sandstone bodies of the San Jose Formation are between 0 and 2700' deep in this section of the basin (Stone et al., 1983). Groundwater within these aquifers flows north, toward the San Juan River. Little specific hydrogeologic data is available for the San Jose Formation system, but "numerous wells and springs used for stock and domestic supplies" draw their water from the San Jose Formation (Stone et al., 1983).

The prominent soil type at the proposed site are entisols and aridisols, which are defined as soils exhibiting little to no profile development (www.emnrd.state.nm.us). Soils are basically unaltered from their parent rock. Miles of arroyos, washes and intermittent streams exist as part of the drainage network towards the San Juan River. These features often cut into soil and other unconsolidated materials, contributing to sedimentation downstream. The sudden influx of water from storm events easily erodes the soils that cover the area and prohibits effective recharge to the underlying aquifers.

Regional weather further prohibit active recharge. The climate is arid, averaging just over 12 inches of rainfall annually. As is typical of the southwestern United States monsoonal weather patterns, most precipitation falls from August through October. The heaviest rainfall occurs in the summer in isolated, intense cloudbursts. November through June is relatively dry. Snow generally falls from December to mid-February and averages less than one-half inch in depth. However, most recharge occurs during the winter months during snowmelt periods from the upper elevations (Western Regional Climate Center www.wrcc.dri.edu).

The predominant vegetation is sagebrush and grasses with a more restricted pinon-juniper association (Dick-Peddie, 1993).

Site Specific Hydrogeology

à

Depth to groundwater is estimated to be between 50 and 100'. This estimation is based on data from Stone and others (1983), the USGS Groundwater Atlas of the United States and depth to groundwater data published on the New Mexico State Engineer's iWaters Database website. Local topography, proximity to adjacent channels & spring features at similar elevations nearby are also taken into consideration.

Beds of water-yielding sandstone are present in the San Jose Formation, which are fluvial in origin and are interbedded with mudstone, siltstone & shale. "Extensive intertonguing" of different members of this formation is reported. (Stone et al, 1983). Porous sandstones form the principal aquifers, while relatively impermeable shales and mudstones form confining units between the aquifers (Stone et al., 1983). Local aquifers exist within the San Jose Formation at depths greater than 100 feet and thicknesses of the aquifer can be up to several hundred feet (USGS, Groundwater Atlas of the US) (Stone et al, 1983).

The site in question is located on relatively flat ground, above Valencia Canyon, on Chosa Mesa at an elevation of approximately 7340 feet and approximately 2.9 miles north of the main Cereza Canyon wash channel, the nearest significant watercourse. This site drains to Cereza Canyon via Valencia Canyon. This region is deeply incised by canyons, washes, gullies and arroyos, with large, flat-topped mesas the predominant topographic feature. The mesas are composed of cliff-forming sandstone, and systems of dry washes and their tributaries composed of alluvium are evident on the attached aerial image. Groundwater is expected to be shallow within Largo and Blanco Canyons and within major tributary systems. Additionally, the Valencia Canyon area has many surface springs at varying elevations, including at least 6 within 5 miles of this site.

Groundwater data available from the NM State Engineer's iWaters Database for wells near the proposed site are attached. Groundwater data is extremely limited in this region; the nearest iWaters data point, Campos Spring, lies ~1.2 miles south (SP 03972); this spring is used for livestock watering, as are many others in the surrounding area. 'Deer Spring' is located ~3 miles WSW of the site in question, at a higher elevation (SP 04028). Additionally, 'Chosa Spring' is located ~1.6 mi. south & 'Horn Spring' is located ~3000' west on USGS topographic maps.

Wells located at similar elevations nearby contain groundwater at depths of 45 feet and deeper, occasionally in excess of 200 feet. However, there exist numerous surface springs in the PLSS section 28N, 04W. The exact topography(spring proximity, in a drainage), numerous springs, and elevation relative to nearby surface spring features (approx. 0'-50' higher) is enough to be certain that groundwater is deeper than 50 feet. A map showing the location of wells in reference to the proposed pit location is attached.

References

.

Dane, C.H. and Bachman, G. O., 1965, Geologic Map of New Mexico: U.S. Geological Survey, 1 sheet, scale 1:500,000.

Dick-Peddie, W.A., 1993, New Mexico Vegeation – Past, Present and Future: Albuquerque, New Mexico, University of New Mexico Press, 244 p.

Stone, W.J., Lyford, F. P., Frenzel, P.F., Mizell, N.H. and Padgett, E.T., 1983, Hydrogeology and water resources of the San Juan Basin, New Mexico: HR-6 New Mexico Bureau of Geology and Mineral Resources Hydrology Report 6.

USGS, Groundwater Atlas of the United States: Arizona, Colorado, New Mexico, Utah, HA 730-C: (<u>http://www.pubs.usgs.gov</u>).

Western Region Climate Center, 2008, New Mexico climate summaries: Desert Research Institute at <u>http://www.wrcc.dri.edu/summary/climsmnm.html</u>.

New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, <u>www.emnrd.state.nm.us</u>







Page 5 of 7

Mines, Mills and Quarries Web Map

	New Mexico Office of the St POD Reports and Dow	ate Engineer vnloads	
	Township: 28N Range: 05W Sections:		
1	NAD27 X: Y: Zone:	Search Radius:	
County:	Basin:	Number: Suffix:	
Owner Name:	(First) (Last)	Non-Domestic ODomestic All	
	POD / Surface Data Report Avg Depth to Water Clear Form iWATERS Me	Report Water Column Report	

POD / SURFACE DATA REPORT 10/11/2008

				POD / SURFACE DATA REPORT 1	10/11	/2008														
						(qu	arters are	1=NW	2=NE 3=8	W 4=SE)										
		(acre	ft per ann	um)		(qu	arters are	bigg	est to sr	allest	X Y an	re in	Feet	UTM are	in Meters)	Start	Finish	Depth	1
DB	File Nbr	Use	Diversion	Owner	POD	Number	Source	Tws	Rng Sec	ррр	Zone	х	Y	UTM_Zone	Easting	Northing	Date	Date	Well	1
SD	07850	PDL	3	ROSA B. MARTINEZ	SD	07850		28N	05W 18	2 3 4				13	285663	4060122				
SD	07851	PDL	3	ROSA B. MARTINEZ	SD	07851		28N	05W 18	1 2 1				13	285228	4060731				
SD	07852	PDL	3	ROSA B. MARTINEZ	SD	07852		28N	05W 18	2 1 1				13	285579	4060759				
SJ	00036	IND	65	BURLINGTON RESOURCES OIL & GAS	SJ	00036	Shallow	28N	05W 28	3				13	288156	4056298	06/27/1953	06/27/1953	303	Ê.
SJ	00047	NOT	0	MAMIE MANGUM	SJ	00047	Shallow	28N	05W 28					13	288558	4056700	07/30/1953	08/04/1953	465	j
SJ	01893	STK	3	ROSA B. OR JUAN L. MARTINEZ	SJ	01893	Shallow	28N	05W 18	4				13	285827	4059576	09/14/1984	10/12/1984	390	1
SJ	03806	STK	3	ROSA B. MARTINEZ	SJ	03806 POD1		28N	05W 07	4 4 2	13	30509	2065482	13	286111	4061033				

Record Count: 7

.

.

New Mexico Office of the State Engineer POD Reports and Downloads Township: 28N Range: 04W Sections: NAD27 X: Y: Zone: Search Radius: NAD27 X: Y: Zone: Search Radius: County: Basin: Number: Suffix: Owner Name: (ILast) Onon-Domestic All POD / Surface Data Report Avg Depth to Water Report Water Column Report Clear Form IWATERS Menu Help

POD / SURFACE DATA REPORT 10/19/2008

							(quarters ar	e 1=NW	2=NE 3=SW 4=SE)										
		(acre	ft per ann	(mr)			(quarters are	e bigg	est to smallest	X Y ar	ce in Feet		UTM are	in Meters)	Start	Finish	Depth	£
DB	File Nbr	Use	Diversion	Owner	POD	Number	Source	Tws	Rng Sec q q q	Zone	x	Y	UTM_Zone	Easting	Northing	Date	Date	Well	1
SJ	00045	IND	0	U.S. GOVERNMENT	SJ	00045	Shallow	28N	04W 07				13	295235	4061453	09/04/1952	09/10/1952	600	j –
SJ	02385	STK	3	CARSON NATIONAL FOREST	SJ	02385	Shallow	28N	04W 26 1 1 1				13	300818	4057064			160	1
SP	03618	STK	1.88	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	SP	03618		28N	04W 09 3 1				13	297832	4061209				
SP	03619	STK	0.36	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	SP	03619		28N	04W 21 4 4				13	298924	4057416				
SP	03620	STK	0.36	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	SP	03620		28N	04W 22 1 4				13	299736	4058240				
SP	03621	STK	0.58	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	SP	03621		28N	04W 29 2 2				13	297324	4057019				
SP	03622	STK	0.36	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	SP	03622		28N	04W 33 2 4				13	298821	4054927				
SP	03808	STK	1.67	CARSON NATIONAL FOREST	SP	03808		28N	04W 17 3 1				13	296199	4059551				
SP	03809	STK	1.67	CARSON NATIONAL FOREST	SP	03809		28N	04W 17 4 4				13	297378	4059116				
SP	03811	STK	1.67	CARSON NATIONAL FOREST	SP	03811		28N	04W 14 1 1				13	300944	4060310				
SP	03972	STK	0	CARSON NATIONAL FOREST	SP	03972		28N	04W 36 3 3				13	302344	4053996				
SP	04028	STK	0.85	CARSON NATIONAL FOREST	SP	04028		28N	04W 32 2 4				13	297271	4054953				

Record Count: 12

.

	POD Reports and Downloads
	Township: 28N Range: 03W Sections:
	NAD27 X: Y: Zone: Search Radius:
County	y: Basin: Number: Suffix:
Owner Nam	e: (First) (Last) Onestic All
	POD / Surface Data Report Avg Depth to Water Report Water Column Report
	Clear Form iWATERS Menu Help

POD / SURFACE DATA REPORT 10/19/2008

(quarters are 1=NW 2=NE 3=SW 4=SE) (acre ft per annum) (quarters are biggest to smallest X Y are in Feet UTM are in Meters) Start Finish Depth DB File Nbr Use Diversion Owner POD Number Source Tws Rng Sec q q q Zone X Y UTM_Zone Easting Northing Date Date Well 1

No Records found, try again

New Mexico Office of the State Engineer POD Reports and Downloads Township: 27N Range: 05W Sections: NAD27 X: Y: Zone: Search Radius: NAD27 X: Y: Zone: Search Radius: Owner Name: (Last) Number: Suffix: Owner Name: (Last) Non-Domestic All POD / Surface Data Report Avg Depth to Water Report Water Column Report Clear Form WATERS Menu Help

POD / SURFACE DATA REPORT 09/16/2008

							(quarters are	1 = NW	2=NE 3=SW 4=SE)										
		(acre	e ft per ann	(mu)			(quarters are	bigg	est to smallest	X Y are	in Feet		UTM are	in Meters)	Start	Finish	Depth	1
DB	File Nbr	Use	Diversion	Owner	POD	Number	Source	Tws	Rng Sec q q q	Zone	х	Y	UTM_Zone	Easting	Northing	Date	Date	Well	7
RG	81026	STK	3	BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT	RG	81026	Shallow	27N	05W 27 4 4 3				13	290530	4046294	09/12/2003	09/16/2003	460	1
SJ	00046	IND	16	BURLINGTON RESOURCES OIL & GA	S SJ	00046	Shallow	27N	05W 04 4 4				13	289133	4052788	01/13/1954	01/13/1954	506	ŝ
SJ	00199	OFM	4	BURLINGTON RESOURCES OIL & GA	S SJ	00199	Artesian	27N	05W 03 2 1				13	290409	4053971		05/02/1967	1840	1

New Mexico Office of the State Engineer POD Reports and Downloads Township: 27N Range: 04W Sections: NAD27 X: Y: Zone: Search Radius: NAD27 X: Y: Zone: Search Radius: County: Basin: Number: Suffix: Owner Name: (Last) Ono-Domestic All POD / Surface Data Report Avg Depth to Water Report Water Column Report Clear Form WATERS Menu Heip

POD / SURFACE DATA REPORT 09/16/2008

						((quarters ar	e 1=NW	2=NE 3=SW 4=SE)								
		(acre	ft per ann	um)		((quarters ar	e bigg	est to smallest	ХҮа	re in Feet		UTM are	in Meters)	Start	Finish	Depth
DB	File Nbr	Use	Diversion	Owner	POD	Number	Source	Tws	Rng Sec q q q	Zone	х	Y	UTM Zone	Easting	Northing	Date	Date	Well 1
SJ	00048	IND	48	BURLINGTON RESOURCES OIL & GAS	SJ	00048	Shallow	27N	04W 01				13	302928	4052997	07/28/1953	07/31/1953	143
SJ	01049	IND	30.55	BURLINGTON RESOURCES OIL & GAS	SJ	01049		27N	04W 18 4 2 2				13	295646	4049831		06/30/1967	15
SJ	01205	OIL	60	MERIDIAN OIL PRODUCTION, INC.	SJ	01205	Artesian	27N	04W 34 4 4 4				13	300255	4044335	10/18/1980	10/25/1980	3054
SP	03616	STK	0.58	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	SP	03616		27N	04W 24 2 4				13	303452	4048375			
SP	03617	STK	0.58	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	SP	03617		27N	04W 25 4 4				13	303396	4045974			
SP	03810	PLS	1.24	CARSON NATIONAL FOREST	SP	03810	_	27N	04W 30 1 2				13	294693	4047368			
SP	03971	STK	0	CARSON NATIONAL FOREST	SP	03971		27N	04W 12 2 3				13	303116	4051580			

	Ne	ew Mexico OJ POD Rep	<i>ffice of the S</i> orts and Dov	tate Engineer wnloads	
	Township: 27N Ra	ange: 03W	Sections:		
	NAD27 X:	Y:	Zone:	Search Radius:	
County:	Basin:			Number: Suffix:	
Owner Name:	(First)	(Last)		© Non-Domestic ○ Domes	stic ® Al
	POD / Surface Data F	Report Avg	Depth to Wate	r Report Water Column Report	
		Clear Form	WATERS M	enu Help	

POD / SURFACE DATA REPORT 10/19/2008

POD Number

(acre ft per annum) Use Diversion Owner DB File Nbr

(quarters are 1=NW 2=NE 3=SW 4=SE) (quarters are biggest to smallest X Y are in Feet UTM are in Meters) Start Source Tws Rng Sec q q q Zone X Y UTM_Zone Easting Northing Date Start Finish Depth Well 1 Date

No Records found, try again

.

New Mexico Office of the State Engineer **POD Reports and Downloads** Township: 29N Range: 05W Sections: NAD27 X: Y: Zone: Search Radius: County: Basin: Number: Suffix: Owner Name: (First) ONon-Domestic ODomestic OAll (Last) POD / Surface Data Report Avg Depth to Water Report Water Column Report **Clear Form** iWATERS Menu Help

WATER COLUMN REPORT 08/12/2008

(quarters are 1=NW 2=NE 3=SW 4=SE)

	(quarter	s are	e big	gge	est	to	smallest)			Depth	Depth	Water	(in	feet)
POD	Number	Tws	Rng	Sec	q	q	P	Zone	х	Y	Well	Water	Column		
SJ	02339	29N	05W	29	3	3	3				350	108	242		
SJ	00422	29N	05W	31	2						239	135	104		
SJ	00056	29N	05W	31	2	3	1				142	50	92		
SJ	00057	29N	05W	31	2	3	1				158	57	101		
SJ	03208	29N	05W	31	3	3	3				220	160	60		
SJ	02383	29N	05W	32	1	1	1				300	100	200		

New Mexico Office of the State Engineer POD Reports and Downloads

	Township: 29N Range: 04W	Sections:
NA	D27 X: Y:	Zone: Search Radius:
County:	Basin:	Number: Suffix:
Owner Name:	(First) (Last)	ONon-Domestic ODomestic OAll
	POD / Surface Data Report Avg	Depth to Water Report Water Column Report
	Clear Form	iWATERS Menu Help

WATER COLUMN REPORT 08/12/2008

		(quarters	are	e 1=N	W	2 = N	IE 3	3=SW 4=SE)							
		(quarters	are	big	ge	st	to	smallest)			Depth	Depth	Water	(in	feet)
POD	Number	Tws	Rng	Sec	P	qq	I	Zone	x	Y	Well	Water	Column		
SJ (00037	29N	04W	04	2						373				

New Mexico Office of the State Engineer POD Reports and Downloads

	Township: 29N Range: 03W	Sections:
NA	D27 X: Y:	Zone: Search Radius:
County:	Basin:	Number: Suffix:
Owner Name:	(First) (Last)	ONon-Domestic ODomestic OAll
	POD / Surface Data Report Avg	Depth to Water Report Water Column Report
	Clear Form	iWATERS Menu Help

WATER COLUMN REPORT 08/12/2008

		(quarters	are	e 1=№	W	2=NE 3	3=SW 4=SE)							
		(quarters	are	big	ge	st to	<pre>smallest)</pre>			Depth	Depth	Water	(in	feet)
POD	Number	Tws	Rng	Sec	q	РР	Zone	X	Y	Well	Water	Column		
SJ	01575	29N	03W	08	4 4	4 2				306				

XTO Energy Inc. San Juan Basin Below Grade Tank Variance Page

Lease Name:Valencia Canyon Unit # 5API No.:30-039-21474Description:Unit P, Section 26, Township 28N, Range 4W, Rio Arriba County

In accordance with Rule 19.15.17.15 NMAC, the following outlines all variances that are being requested for below grade tanks at XTO facilities. All variances requested provide equal or better protection of fresh water, public health and the environment.

Fencing

XTO requests a variance on rule 19.15.17.11.D(3) NMAC which requires fencing around below grade tanks to have at least four (4) strands of barbed wire evenly spaced in the interval between one foot and four feet above ground level. XTO instead requests to utilize hogwire fencing at least four (4) feet high with a top rail for fencing around below grade tanks. This will provide equal protection for livestock from the below grade tank.

Closure Requirements

XTO requests a variance on rule 19.15.17.13.C(3)(a) NMAC which requires operators to analyze closure samples for the constituents listed in Table I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC. XTO instead requests to replace the USEPA analytical method 300.0 for total chloride to USEPA Method 9056. The SW846 9056 method Determination of Inorganic Anions By Ion Chromatography, from *Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods*, which also contains methods for the analysis of groundwater, is customarily used to comply with RCRA regulations. EPA Method 300.0 Determination of Inorganic Anions by Ion Chromatography is taken from *Methods for Chemical Analysis of Waters and Wastes*, and includes test procedures that are approved for monitoring under the Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) and the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES). The Scope of Application for each method is the same, and both methods utilize ion chromatograph instrumentation. Following either procedure, steps for instrument calibration and data calculation are equivalent. Sample preservation, holding time, handling and storage is identical between the two methods. It is expected that data produced from either method should be consistent.

XTO requests a variance on rule 19.15.17.13.E(2) requiring that operators notify the appropriate division office verbally AND in writing at least 72 hours prior to any closure operation. XTO instead requests that the verbal notification be waived, as suggested by the local division office. XTO will provide written notification to the division office in the form of an email at least 72 hours prior to beginning closure activities.

January 27, 2015

r

Mr. Cory Smith Oil Conservation Division 1000 Rio Brazos Rd. Aztec, New Mexico 87410

Email: cory.smith@state.nm.us Phone (505) 334-6178 Ext 115

RE: VARIANCE REQUEST FOR 19.15.17 NMAC TABLE I AND TABLE II

Mr. Smith,

Please accept this letter as a variance request as outlined in 19.15.17.15(A) NMAC. XTO Energy would like to request the replacement of USEPA Method 418.1 for the analysis of Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) for USEPA Method 8015M, measuring carbon ranges C6-C36, for all sampling associated with closures and confirmations samples in relation to 19.15.17 NMAC, both in Table I and Table II (2103) and the 'pit rule' passed in 2008.

XTO Energy is requesting this variance on the grounds that USEPA Method 418.1 is an outdated analytical method that reports a full range of hydrocarbons from C8 through C40. (Reference: American Petroleum Institute). The attached table demonstrates the carbon ranges, and the typical hydrocarbon products that can be found in those ranges. As you can see, lube oil ranges from C₂₈-C₃₅. Analytical Method USEPA 418.1 extends past lube oils from C₃₅ through C_{40} . This range of hydrocarbons is above the range that can reasonably be expected to be found in our field in both drilling pits and beneath below grade tanks. USEPA Method 8015M (GRO/DRO + extended analysis) will report hydrocarbons ranging from C₆-C₁₀ for GRO, C₁₀- C_{28} for DRO, and C_{28} - C_{36} for extended analysis. This information was provided by Environmental Science Corporation Laboratories. As the information demonstrates, the 8015M analytical method reports as low as C₆, reporting lower than USEPA Method 418.1. Utilizing analytical method 8015M, lighter range hydrocarbons will be reported instead of higher range, heavy hydrocarbons that may not be reasonably expected to be found in our field. Utilization of USEPA Method 8015M will better protect groundwater resources by identifying lighter, more mobile hydrocarbons that USEPA Method 418.1 cannot identify. The heavier range hydrocarbons, C₃₆-C₄₀, that are not identified by USEPA Method 8015M are not a mobile form of hydrocarbon, and are not a threat to human health and the environment. With your acceptance of this variance request, XTO Energy will begin utilizing USEPA Method 8015M in place of USEPA Method 418.1 for all sampling activities associated with 19.15.17 NMAC, both from the rules passed in 2008 and 2013.

Respectfully Submitted,

James McDaniel, CHMM #15676 EH&S Supervisor XTO Energy, Inc. Western Division

	1
Hydrocarbon	Carbon Range
Condensate	C2-C12
Aromatics	C5-C7
Gasoline	C7-C11
Kerosene	C6-C16
Diesel Fuel	C8-C21
Fuel Oil #1	C9-C16
Fuel Oil #2	C11-C20
Heating Oil	C14-C20
Lube Oil	C28-C35
L I	

Carbon Ranges of Typical Hydrocarbons

,

*