District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico
Energy Minerals and Natural Resources
Department
Oil Conservation Division
1220 South St. Francis Dr.
Santa Fe, NM 87505

Form C-144 Revised June 6, 2013

For temporary pits, below-grade tanks, and multi-well fluid management pits, submit to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

For permanent pits submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

Pit, Below-Grade Tank, or	Pit,	Be	low-	Grade	Tank,	or
---------------------------	------	----	------	-------	-------	----

Proposed Alternative Method Permit or Closure Plan Application	
Type of action: Below grade tank registration Proposed Alternative Method Permit or Closure Plan Application Oll Cons. DIV DIST	T 3
Permit of a pit or proposed alternative method	. 0
∠15-20853 ☐ Closure of a pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method NOV 2 4 2015	
Modification to an existing permit/or registration	
Closure plan only submitted for an existing permitted or non-permitted pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method	
Instructions: Please submit one application (Form C-144) per individual pit, below-grade tank or alternative request	
Please be advised that approval of this request does not relieve the operator of liability should operations result in pollution of surface water, ground water or t	the
nvironment. Nor does approval relieve the operator of its responsibility to comply with any other applicable governmental authority's rules, regulations or or	
L Company of the comp	
Operator: Burlington Resources Oil & Gas Company, LP OGRID #: 14538	
Address: PO BOX 4289, Farmington, NM 87499	
Facility or well name: Sunray E 4	
API Number: <u>30-045-20853</u> OCD Permit Number:	
U/L or Qtr/QtrG (SWNE) Section 9 Township30N Range10W County: San Juan	
Center of Proposed Design: Latitude 36.829290 °N Longitude -107.885915 °W NAD: □1927 ☑ 1983	
Surface Owner: State Private Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment	
outlier owner. Extremellation of the latter of median anothers	
D. C. L. C.	
Pit: Subsection F, G or J of 19.15.17.11 NMAC	
Temporary: Drilling Workover	
☐ Permanent ☐ Emergency ☐ Cavitation ☐ P&A ☐ Multi-Well Fluid Management ☐ Low Chloride Drilling Fluid ☐ yes ☐ no	
Lined Unlined Liner type: Thicknessmil LLDPE HDPE PVC Other	
☐ String-Reinforced	
Liner Seams: Welded Factory Other Volume: bbl Dimensions: L x W x D	
3. Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC	
Volume: 120 bbl Type of fluid: Produced Water	
Tank Construction material: Metal	
Secondary containment with leak detection Visible sidewalls, liner, 6-inch lift and automatic overflow shut-off	
☐ Visible sidewalls and liner ☐ Visible sidewalls only ☐ Other	
Liner type: Thicknessmil	
4.	
Alternative Method:	
Submittal of an exception request is required. Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approximately a	proval.
Fencing: Subsection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits, temporary pits, and below-grade tanks)	
	a reduced
Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top (Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution or church)	7
Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet	
☐ Alternate. Please specify	
	20

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Netting: Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks)	
Screen Netting Other	
☐ Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)	
7.	
Signs: Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC	
12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers	
☐ Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC	
8.	
Variances and Exceptions:	
Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance. Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank:	
Variance(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district for consideration of approval. Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.	
9. Siting Criteria (regarding permitting): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of access material are provided below. Siting criteria does not apply to drying pads or above-grade tanks.	eptable source
General siting	
Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of a low chloride temporary pit or below-grade tank. - □ NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; □ USGS; □ Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of a Temporary pit, permanent pit, or Multi-Well Fluid Management pit. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within an unstable area. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) - Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within a 100-year floodplain. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) - FEMA map	☐ Yes ☐ No
Below Grade Tanks	Monthly to the
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, significant watercourse, lake bed, sinkhole, wetland or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☒ No
Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for public or livestock consumption;. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ⊠ No
Temporary Pit using Low Chloride Drilling Fluid (maximum chloride content 15,000 mg/liter)	
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). (Applies to low chloride temporary pits.) - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet from a occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.	☐ Yes ☐ No
- Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	M. A.
Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 300feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of the initial application. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No

Page 2 of 6

Within 100 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Temporary Pit Non-low chloride drilling fluid	
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse, or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in the existence at the time of the initial application; - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Permanent Pit or Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit	
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 N Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the doc attached. Hydrogeologic Report (Below-grade Tanks) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Hydrogeologic Data (Temporary and Emergency Pits) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.1 and 19.15.17.13 NMAC	NMAC 5.17.9 NMAC
Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number:	7
Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the doc attached. Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC A List of wells with approved application for permit to drill associated with the pit. Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19. and 19.15.17.13 NMAC Hydrogeologic Data - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number:	
or remited besign (and one opy or design) 74 Framoer.	

Page 3 of 6

	
Permanent Pits Permit Application Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the	documents are
Hydrogeologic Report - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Climatological Factors Assessment Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Leak Detection Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Liner Specifications and Compatibility Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Quality Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installation Plan Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Freeboard and Overtopping Prevention Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Nuisance or Hazardous Odors, including H ₂ S, Prevention Plan Emergency Response Plan Oil Field Waste Stream Characterization	
☐ Monitoring and Inspection Plan ☐ Erosion Control Plan	
Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC	
Proposed Closure: 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan. Type: Drilling Workover Emergency Cavitation P&A Permanent Pit Below-grade Tank Multi-well F Alternative Proposed Closure Method: Waste Excavation and Removal Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only) On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems) In-place Burial On-site Trench Burial Alternative Closure Method	luid Management Pit
Waste Excavation and Removal Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. □ Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC □ Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.13 NMAC □ Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings) □ Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC □ Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC □ Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	
Siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendations of acceptable sour provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria require justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency. In 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance.	
Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Ground water is between 25-50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes No
Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes No
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance	

adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	
	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	☐ Yes ☐ No
 Within an unstable area. Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map 	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within a 100-year floodplain FEMA map	Yes No
On-Site Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plan by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Proof of Surface Owner Notice - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection K of 19.15.17. Construction/Design Plan of Temporary Pit (for in-place burial of a drying pad) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19. Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Waste Material Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings or in case on-site closure standards cann Soil Cover Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	.11 NMAC .15.17.11 NMAC
17. Operator Application Certification:	7,7 7 7 7
I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and bel	ief.
Name (Print): Title:	
Signature: Date:	
e-mail address:	
e-mail address: Telephone:	
e-mail address:	69VR 110015 g the closure report.
e-mail address: Telephone:	g the closure report.

22.					
Operator Closu	ure Certification:				
					urate and complete to the best of my knowledge and ons specified in the approved closure plan.
Name (Print):	Crystal Walker	Title:	Regulatory Coordi	nator	
Signature:	agetal	? Walk	e	Date: _	11/23/15
e-mail address:	crystal.walker@cop.com	Telephone: (505	326-9837		

Burlington Resources Oil & Gas Company, LP San Juan Basin Below Grade Tank Closure Report

Lease Name: Sunray E 4 API No.: 30-045-20853

In accordance with Rule 19.15.17.13 NMAC the following information describes the closure of the below-grade tank referenced above. All proper documentation regarding closure activities is being included with the C-144.

General Plan:

1. BR shall close a below-grade tank within 60 days of cessation of operations per Subsection G.4 of 19.15.17.13 NMAC. This will include a) below-grade tanks that do not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC or is not included in Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC within five years, if not retrofitted to comply with Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC; b) an earlier date that the division requires because of imminent danger to fresh water, public health or the environment. For any closure, BR will file the C144 Closure Report as required.

The below-grade tank referenced above was permitted and closed within 60 days of cessation of the below-grade tanks operation.

2. BR shall remove liquids and sludge from a below-grade tank prior to implementing a closure method and shall dispose of the liquids and sludge in a division-approved facility. The facilities to be used will be Basin Disposal (Permit #NM-01-005), JFJ Landfarm % Industrial Ecosystem Inc. (Permit # NM-01-0010B) and Envirotech Land Farm (Permit #NM-01-011). The liner after being cleaned well (Subsection D, Paragraph 1, Subparagraph (m) of 19.15.9.712 NMAC) will be disposed of at the San Juan County Regional Landfill located on CR 3100.

All recovered liquids were disposed of at Basin Disposal (Permit #NM-01-005) and any sludge or soil required to be removed to facilitate closure was hauled to Envirotech Land Farm (Permit #NM-01-011) and JFJ Landfarm % IEI (Permit #NM-01-0010B). The liner was cleaned per Subsection D, Paragraph 1, Subparagraph (m) of 19.15.9.712 NMAC was disposed of at the San Juan County Regional Landfill located on CR 3100.

3. BR will receive prior approval to remove the below-grade tank and dispose of it in a division-approved facility or recycle, reuse, or reclaim it in a manner that the appropriate division district office approves.

The below-grade tank was disposed of in a division-approved manner.

4. If there is any on-site equipment associated with a below-grade tank, then BR shall remove the equipment, unless the equipment is required for some other purpose.

All on-site equipment associated with the below-grade tank was removed.

5. BR will test the soils beneath the below-grade tank to determine whether a release has occurred. BR shall collect, at a minimum, a five point, composite sample; collect individual grab samples from any area that is wet, discolored or showing other evidence of a release; and analyzed for the constituents listed in Table I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC. BR shall notify the division of its results on form C-141.

A five point composite sample was taken of the below-grade tank using sampling tools and all samples tested per Subsection B of 19.15.17.1 3(B)(1)(b). (Sample results attached). Form C-141 is attached.

Components	Tests Method	Limit (mg/kg) 0.2		
Benzene	EPA SW-846 8021B or 8260B			
BTEX	EPA SW-846 8021B or 8260B	50		
TPH	EPA SW-846 418.1	100		
Chlorides	EPA 300.0	250		

6. If BR or the division determines that a release has occurred, then BR shall comply with 19.15.3.116 NMAC and 19.15.1.19 NMAC, as appropriate.

A release was not determined for the above referenced well.

7. If the sampling program demonstrates that a release has not occurred or that any release does not exceed the concentrations specified in Table I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, then BR shall backfill the excavation with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material; construct a division-prescribed soil cover; recontour and re-vegetate the site.

The below-grade tank area passed all requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 NMAC and was backfilled with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material.

- 8. Notice of Closure will be given prior to closure to the Aztec Division office between 72 hours and one week via email or verbally. The notification of closure will include the following:
 - i. Operator's name
 - ii. Location by Unit Letter, Section, Township, and Range. Well name and API number.

Notification of closure was not provided to the Aztec Division office between 72 hours and one week prior to closure.

9. The surface owner shall be notified of BR's closing of the below-grade tank 72 hours, but not more than one week, prior to closure as per the approved closure plan via certified mail, return receipt requested.

The closure process notification to the landowner was not found. A P&A onsite was conducted with the BLM on 12/18/2013 prior to the BGT being removed.

10. Re-contouring of location will match fit, shape, line, form and texture of the surrounding. Re-shaping will include drainage control, prevent ponding, and prevent erosion. Natural drainages will be unimpeded and water bars and/or silt traps will be place in areas where needed to prevent erosion on a large scale. Final re-contour shall have a uniform appearance with smooth surface, fitting the natural landscape.

The below-grade tank area was re-contoured to match fit, shape, line, form and texture of the surrounding area. Re-shaping, including drainage control, to prevent ponding and erosion. Natural drainages were unimpeded and water bars and/or silt traps were placed in areas where needed to prevent erosion on a large scale. Final recontour has a uniform appearance with smooth surface, fitting the natural landscape.

11. BR shall seed the disturbed areas the first favorable growing season following closure of a below-grade tank. Seeding will be accomplished via drilling on the contour whenever practical or by other division-approved methods. BLM stipulated seed mixes will used on federally regulated lands and division-approved seed mixtures (administratively approved if required) will be utilized on all State or private lands. A uniform vegetative cover has been established that reflects a life-form ratio of plus or minus fifty percent (50%) of pre- disturbance levels and a total percent plant cover of at least seventy percent (70%) of pre-disturbance levels, excluding noxious weeds. If alternate seed mix is required by the state, private owner or tribe, it will be implemented with administrative approval if needed. BR will repeat seeding or planting will be continued until successful vegetative growth occurs.

Provision 11 will be accomplished per the above reference stipulations and reporting will be submitted upon completion.

12. A minimum of four feet of cover shall be achieved and the cover shall include one foot of suitable material, with chloride concentrations less than 600 mg/kg as analyzed by EPA Method 300.0, to establish vegetation at the site, or the background thickness of topsoil, whichever is greater.

The below-grade tank area was backfilled and more than four feet of cover was achieved and the cover included one foot of suitable material to establish vegetation at the site.

- 13. All closure activities will include proper documentation and be available for review upon request and will be submitted to OCD within 60 days of closure of the below-grade tank. Closure report will be filed on C-144 and incorporate the following:
 - Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation (See Report)
 - Re-vegetation application rates and seeding techniques (See Report)
 - Photo documentation of the site reclamation (Included as an attachment)
 - Confirmation Sampling Results (Included as an attachment)
 - Proof of closure notice (Not Available)

Closure documentation was provided as soon as possible.

District I 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 District II 1301 W. Grand Avenue, Artesia, NM 88210 District III 1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410 District IV

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office to accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

Form C-141

Revised August 8, 2011

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505 Release Notification and Corrective Action **OPERATOR** Initial Report Final Report Name of Company Burlington Resources Oil & Gas Company Contact Crystal Walker Address 3401 East 30th St, Farmington, NM Telephone No.(505) 326-9837 Facility Name: Sunray E 4 Facility Type: Gas Well Mineral Owner Federal API No.30-045-20853 Surface Owner Federal LOCATION OF RELEASE Unit Letter Section Township Feet from the North/South Line Feet from the East/West Line County Range 30N 10W 1500 North 1840 East San Juan G 9 Latitude 36.662746 Longitude -107.32247 NATURE OF RELEASE Type of Release Volume of Release Volume Recovered Source of Release Date and Hour of Occurrence Date and Hour of Discovery Was Immediate Notice Given? If YES, To Whom? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ Not Required By Whom? Date and Hour Was a Watercourse Reached? If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse. ☐ Yes ☒ No If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.* N/A Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* No release was encountered during the BGT Closure. Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* N/A I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to ground water, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations. CONSERVATION DIVISION Signature: l Walker

Approved by Environmental Specialist:

Approval Date:

Conditions of Approval:

Expiration Date:

Attached

Date: 1123 15 Phone: (505) 326-9837

* Attach Additional Sheets If Necessary

E-mail Address: crystal.walker@cop.com

Printed Name: Crystal Walker

Title: Regulatory Coordinator



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

OrderNo.: 1510D03

November 04, 2015

Emilee Skyles Animas Environmental 604 Pinon Street Farmington, NM 87401 TEL: (505) 564-2281 FAX

RE: COPC Sunray E4

Dear Emilee Skyles:

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory received 1 sample(s) on 10/28/2015 for the analyses presented in the following report.

These were analyzed according to EPA procedures or equivalent. To access our accredited tests please go to www.hallenvironmental.com or the state specific web sites. In order to properly interpret your results it is imperative that you review this report in its entirety. See the sample checklist and/or the Chain of Custody for information regarding the sample receipt temperature and preservation. Data qualifiers or a narrative will be provided if the sample analysis or analytical quality control parameters require a flag. When necessary, data qualifiers are provided on both the sample analysis report and the QC summary report, both sections should be reviewed. All samples are reported, as received, unless otherwise indicated. Lab measurement of analytes considered field parameters that require analysis within 15 minutes of sampling such as pH and residual chlorine are qualified as being analyzed outside of the recommended holding time.

Please don't hesitate to contact HEAL for any additional information or clarifications.

ADHS Cert #AZ0682 -- NMED-DWB Cert #NM9425 -- NMED-Micro Cert #NM0190

Sincerely,

Andy Freeman

Laboratory Manager

andyl

4901 Hawkins NE

Albuquerque, NM 87109

Analytical Report Lab Order 1510D03

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Date Reported: 11/4/2015

CLIENT: Animas Environmental

Client Sample ID: BGT S-1

Project: COPC Sunray E4

Collection Date: 10/27/2015 12:30:00 PM

Lab ID: 1510D03-001

Matrix: SOIL

Received Date: 10/28/2015 8:34:00 AM

Analyses	Result	RL Qu	al Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 418.1: TPH					Analyst:	том
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	ND	20	mg/Kg	1	10/29/2015	22059
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analyst:	LGT
Chloride	ND	30	mg/Kg	20	11/2/2015 1:24:35 PM	22121
EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE	ORGANIC	S			Analyst:	JME
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	9.7	mg/Kg	1	10/29/2015 5:55:27 PM	22053
Surr: DNOP	97.9	70-130	%REC	1	10/29/2015 5:55:27 PM	22053
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANGE	Ē				Analyst:	NSB
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	4.8	mg/Kg	1	10/29/2015 2:28:15 PM	22060
Surr: BFB	87.1	75.4-113	%REC	1	10/29/2015 2:28:15 PM	22060
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analyst:	NSB
Benzene	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	10/29/2015 2:28:15 PM	22060
Toluene	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	10/29/2015 2:28:15 PM	22060
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	10/29/2015 2:28:15 PM	22060
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.097	mg/Kg	1	10/29/2015 2:28:15 PM	22060
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	105	80-120	%REC	1	10/29/2015 2:28:15 PM	22060

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits Page 1 of 6
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1510D03

04-Nov-15

Client:

Animas Environmental

Project:

COPC Sunray E4

Sample ID MB-22121

SampType: MBLK

TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

Client ID:

PBS

Batch ID: 22121

RunNo: 29959

Prep Date: 11/2/2015

Analysis Date: 11/2/2015

SegNo: 912536

Units: mg/Kg

Qual

Analyte Chloride

Result

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit PQL

HighLimit

%RPD **RPDLimit**

ND 1.5

SampType: LCS

TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

Client ID: LCSS Prep Date: 11/2/2015

Sample ID LCS-22121

Batch ID: 22121

RunNo: 29959

Units: mg/Kg

Analysis Date: 11/2/2015

PQL

SeqNo: 912537

HighLimit

Qual

Analyte

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC

91.3

Chloride

14

15.00

110

%RPD

RPDLimit

1.5

Qualifiers:

S

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix

Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded H

% Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

Not Detected at the Reporting Limit ND

RPD outside accepted recovery limits R

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

P Sample pH Not In Range

Reporting Detection Limit

Page 2 of 6

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1510D03

04-Nov-15

Client:

Animas Environmental

Project:

COPC Sunray E4

Sample ID MB-22059

SampType: MBLK

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

Client ID:

PBS

Batch ID: 22059

PQL

20

RunNo: 29879

Prep Date: Analyte

10/28/2015

Analysis Date: 10/29/2015

SeqNo: 910059

Units: mg/Kg HighLimit

%RPD **RPDLimit**

Qual

Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR

ND

Result

SampType: LCS

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

Sample ID LCS-22059

Client ID: LCSS

Batch ID: 22059

RunNo: 29879

%REC

Prep Date: 10/28/2015

Analysis Date: 10/29/2015

SeqNo: 910060

107

Units: mg/Kg

Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR

PQL 110

100.0

SPK value SPK Ref Val

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit

LowLimit

HighLimit 116 **RPDLimit**

Qual

Sample ID LCSD-22059

SampType: LCSD

110

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH RunNo: 29879

83.6

Client ID: Prep Date: 10/28/2015 Analyte

LCSS02

Batch ID: 22059 Analysis Date: 10/29/2015

SeqNo: 910061

Units: mg/Kg

PQL

20

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC

0

107

LowLimit HighLimit %RPD

%RPD

RPDLimit Qual

Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR

20

100.0

83.6

116

0

Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded H
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix S
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Value above quantitation range
- I Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- Reporting Detection Limit

Page 3 of 6

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1510D03

04-Nov-15

Client:

Animas Environmental

Project

COPC Sunray E4

Sample ID MB-22053 Client ID: PBS	SampType: MBLK Batch ID: 22053 Analysis Date: 10/29/2015		TestCode: EPA Method 8015M/D: Diesel Range Organics RunNo: 29870							
Prep Date: 10/28/2015			SeqNo: 910099			Units: mg/Kg				
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	10								
Surr: DNOP	9.7		10.00		96.6	70	130	100	es Astron	
Sample ID LCS-22053	SampT	Type: LC	s	TestCode: EPA Method 8015M/D: Diesel Range Organics						
Client ID: LCSS	Batc	h ID: 22	053	RunNo: 29870						
	Analysis D	Date: 10	0/29/2015	S	SeqNo: 9	10100	Units: mg/k	(g		
Prep Date: 10/28/2015								The STATISTICS	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	
	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Analyte Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	Result 43	PQL 10	SPK value 50.00	SPK Ref Val	%REC 86.3	LowLimit 57.4	HighLimit 139	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual

Qualifiers:

* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

E Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

P Sample pH Not In Range

RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 4 of 6

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

920

WO#:

1510D03

04-Nov-15

Client:

Animas Environmental

Project:

Surr: BFB

COPC Sunray E4

Sample ID MB-22060	SampType: MBLK		TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range							
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 22060		F	RunNo: 2	9871					
Prep Date: 10/28/2015	Analysis Date: 10/29/2015		SeqNo: 910476			Units: mg/Kg				
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	5.0				UTT I				
Surr: BFB	860		1000		85.9	75.4	113	V 100 K 3 a		
Sample ID LCS-22060	SampT	Type: LC	s	TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range						32,
Client ID: LCSS	Batcl	h ID: 22	060	RunNo: 29871						
Prep Date: 10/28/2015	Analysis D	Date: 10	0/29/2015	8	SeqNo: 9	10477	Units: mg/K	(g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	24	5.0	25.00	0	97.5	79.6	122			

91.7

75.4

113

1000

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

Page 5 of 6

- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1510D03

04-Nov-15

Client:

Animas Environmental

Project:

COPC Sunray E4

Sample ID MB-22060	SampType: MBLK			TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles						
Client ID: PBS			F	RunNo: 29871						
Prep Date: 10/28/2015			5	10488	Units: mg/K					
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	ND	0.050							The same of	F I F
Toluene	ND	0.050								
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.050								
Kylenes, Total	ND	0.10								
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.0		1.000		103	80	120			

Sample ID LCS-22060	SampType: LCS Batch ID: 22060 Analysis Date: 10/29/2015			TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles										
Client ID: LCSS				F	RunNo: 2	9871								
Prep Date: 10/28/2015				SeqNo: 910489			Units: mg/k	(g						
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual				
Benzene	1.1	0.050	1.000	0	111	80	120							
Toluene	0.99	0.050	1.000	0	99.3	80	120							
Ethylbenzene	0.97	0.050	1.000	0	97.1	80	120							
Xylenes, Total	2.9	0.10	3.000	0	97.0	80	120							
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.1		1.000		107	80	120							

Qualifiers:

* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

E Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

P Sample pH Not In Range

RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 6 of 6



Hali Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Aibuquerque, NM 87109

TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: www.hailenvironmental.com

Sample Log-In Check List

Received by/date:	Client Name:	Animas Environmental	Work Order Numbe	r. 1510D03		RcptNo 1	
Completed By: Lindsay Mangin 10/28/2015 10:24:26 AM Reviewed By: (Received by/dat	o: D	10/25/15		-1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -		
Completed By:	Logged By	Lindsay Mangin	10/28/2015 8:34:00 A	M	of ythings		
Chain of Custody Custo	THE REAL PROPERTY.		10/28/2015 10:24:26	AM	Julythan		
## Chein of Custody 1. Custody seals intact on sample bottles? 2. Is Chain of Custody complete? 3. How was the sample delivered? ### Log In 4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? 5. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C 6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? 7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? 8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) property preserved? 9. Was preservative added to bottles? 10. VOA vials have zero headspace? 11. Were any sample containers received broken? 12. Does paperwork match bottle tabeis? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) 13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? 14. Is it clear what analyses were requested? 15. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.) #### Special Handling (if applicable) 16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Person Notified: By Whom: Person Notified: Date By Whom: Via: eMail Phone Fax In Person Regarding: Client Instructions:			10/28/15		000		
1. Custody seals intact on sample bottles? 2. Is Chain of Custody complete? 3. How was the sample delivered? Log In 4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? 5. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C 7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? 8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) property preserved? 9. Was preservative added to bottles? 10. VOA vials have zero headspace? 11. Were any sample containers received broken? 12. Does paperwork match bottle labeis? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) 13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? 14. Is it clear what analyses were requested? 15. Were all holding times able to be mel? (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Special Handling (if applicable) 16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No	Chain of Cus						
2. Is Chain of Custody complete? 3. How was the sample delivered? Courier Log In 4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes V No No NA				Yes 🗌	No 🗆	Not Present 🗹	
A. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? 4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? 5. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C 6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? 7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? 8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? 9. Was preservative added to bottles? 10. VOA vials have zero headspace? 11. Were any sample containers received broken? 12. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) 13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? 14. Is it clear what analyses were requested? 15. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Special Handling (if applicable) 16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Person Notified: By Whom: Regarding: Client Instructions:				Yes 🔽	No 🗆	Not Present	
4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes W No No NA NA				Courier			
4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes W No No NA NA	Log In						
6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? 7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? 8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? 9. Was preservative added to bottles? 10. VOA vials have zero headspace? 11. Were any sample containers received broken? 12. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) 13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? 14. Is it clear what analyses were requested? 15. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Special Handling (if applicable) 16. Was client notified: Date Person Notified: Date Person Notified: Date Regarding: Client Instructions:		empt made to cool the sample	es?	Yes 🔽	No 🗆	NA 🗆	
7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? 8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? 9. Was preservative added to bottles? 10. VOA vials have zero headspace? 11. Were any sample containers received broken? 12. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) 13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? 14. Is it clear what analyses were requested? 15. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Special Handling (if applicable) 16. Was client notified: By Whom: Regarding: Client Instructions:	5. Were all sar	mples received at a temperat	ure of >0° C to 6.0°C	Yes 🗹	No T	NA 🗆	
8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? 9. Was preservative added to bottles? 10. VOA vials have zero headspace? 11. Were any sample containers received broken? 12. Does paperwork match bottle tabels? 13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? 14. Is it clear what analyses were requested? 15. Were all holding times able to be mel? 16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Person Notified: By Whom: Regarding: Client Instructions:	6. Sample(s) i	n proper container(s)?		Yes 🗹	No 🗆		
8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? 9. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes No	7. Sufficient sa	ample volume for indicated te	st(s)?	Yes 🗹	No 🗆		
10. VOA vials have zero headspace? 11. Were any sample containers received broken? 12. Does papenwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) 13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? 14. Is it clear what analyses were requested? 15. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.) 16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Person Notified: By Whom: Regarding: Client Instructions:				Yes 🔽			
11. Were any sample containers received broken? 12. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) 13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? 14. Is it clear what analyses were requested? 15. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Special Handling (if applicable) 16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Person Notified: By Whom: Regarding: Client Instructions: No W # of preserved bottles checked for pH: (<2 or >12 unless regarded? Yes W No Adjusted? No Checked by: Checked by: By Whom: Via: eMail Phone Fax In Person				Yes 🗆	No 🗸	NA 🗆	
# of preserved bottles checked for pH: (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) 13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? 14. Is it clear what analyses were requested? 15. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Special Handling (if applicable)	10. VOA vials h	ave zero headspace?		Yes 🗆	No 🗆	No VOA Vials	
(<2 or >12 unless in (<2 or >1	11. Were any s	ample containers received b	roken?	Yes -	No 🗹		
13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? 14. Is it clear what analyses were requested? 15. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Special Handling (if applicable)				Yes 🗹	No 🗆	(<2 or	>12 unless notes
15. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Special Handling (if applicable)	13. Are matrice	s correctly identified on Chair	n of Custody?			Adjusted?	
15. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Special Handling (if applicable) 16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Person Notified: By Whom: Regarding: Client Instructions:	14. Is it clear w	hat analyses were requested	?			Charled by	
Person Notified: Date By Whom: Regarding: Client Instructions:				Yes 🔽	No 🗀	Cileoked by.	
Person Notified: Date By Whom: Regarding: Client Instructions:	Special Han	dling (if applicable)					
By Whom: Via:eMailPhoneFaxIn Person			with this order?	Yes 🗌	No 🗆	NA 🗹	
By Whom: Via:eMailPhoneFaxIn Person	Perso	on Notified:	Date				
Regarding: Client Instructions:					Phone Fax	In Person	
Client Instructions:	The second second						
17. Additional remarks:	Clien	t Instructions:					
	17. Additional	remarks:					
40. Contraction within							
18. Cooler Information Cooler No Temp *C Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By	The second secon	the state of the s	Seal Intact Seal No	Seal Date	Signed By		

lient: Animas Environmental Services, LLC			X Standard												MEN			
			Project Name: COPC Sunray E 4 Project #:				ANALYSIS LABORATORY www.hallenvironmental.com 4901 Hawkins NE - Albuquerque, NM 87109											
							Tel. 505-345-3975 Fax 505-345-4107											
hone #:	505-564	-2281			"Filml	TACLE SELECTION						Analy	sis R	eque	st			
mail or Fa	kage:	yles@anii	masenvironmental.com Level 4 (Full Validation	Project Manag	ger: E. Skyles													
ccreditati		□ Other		Sampler: CL On Ice: ✓ Yes □ No														
EDD (T	ype)			Sample Temperature: 2.2				-	0	8015		r qu						Z
Date	Time	Matrix	Sample Request ID	Container Type and #	Preservative Type	HEAL NO.	BTEX - 8021B	TPH - EPA 418.1	Chlorides - 300.0	GEO/DRO- 8								Air Bubbles (Y or N)
1-27-15	1230	SOIL	BGT S-1	2-4 oz.	cool	-001	*	X	X	X								
ate: 10 27/14 ate: 6) 27/15	Time: 1757 Time: 1832	Relinquish Co Relinquish	rih	Received by:	all 10	Date Time 12 27 15 737 Date Time 28 15 0874	Sup USE Area	# ervis ERID a: 0	sor:	Jack	ECO	o Philli relation	16	isa l	tunto	r		



