District I 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 District II 811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 District III 1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

### State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources Department Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe. NM 87505

Form C-144 Revised June 6, 2013

For temporary pits, below-grade tanks, and multi-well fluid management pits, submit to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

For permanent pits submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

D': D 1	
Pit, Below-Grade Tank, or	votion
Type of action:  Below grade tank registration  Permit of a pit or proposed alternative method  Closure of a pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method  Modification to an existing permit/or registration  Closure plan only submitted for an existing permitted or non-permitted or proposed alternative method  Instructions: Please submit one application (Form C-144) per individual pit, below-grade tank or an existing permitted or non-permitted or non-permitted or non-permitted or proposed alternative method  Instructions: Please submit one application (Form C-144) per individual pit, below-grade tank or an existing permit. Nor does approval relieve the operator of liability should operations result in pollution of surrenvironment. Nor does approval relieve the operator of its responsibility to comply with any other applicable governmental authority.	DEC 0 8 2015  pit, below-grade tank,  tternative request face water, ground water or the
I. Operator: Burlington Resources Oil & Gas Company, LP OGRID #: 14538  Address: PO BOX 4289, Farmington, NM 87499  Facility or well name: Murphy D 3  API Number: 30-045-26475 OCD Permit Number: U/L or Qtr/Qtr D (NWNW) Section 27 Township 30N Range 11W County: San J Center of Proposed Design: Latitude 36.788616 N Longitude -107.983161 N NAD: 1927 198.  Surface Owner: Federal State Private Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment	uan_
Pit: Subsection F, G or J of 19.15.17.11 NMAC  Temporary: □ Drilling □ Workover □ Permanent □ Emergency □ Cavitation □ P&A □ Multi-Well Fluid Management □ Low Chloride Drilling □ Unlined □ Liner type: Thicknessmil □ LLDPE □ HDPE □ PVC □ Other □ String-Reinforced  Liner Seams: □ Welded □ Factory □ Other Volume:bbl Dimensions: Lx	
3.   Below-grade tank: Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC  Volume: 120	f
4.  Alternative Method: Submittal of an exception request is required. Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau off  5.  Fencing: Subsection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits, temporary pits, and below-grade tanks)  Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top (Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent institution or church)  Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet	

☐ Alternate. Please specify

Netting: Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks)	
Screen Netting Other	
☐ Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)	
7.	
Signs: Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC	
12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers	
Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC	
Variances and Exceptions:  Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance.  Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank:  Variance(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district for consideration of approval.  Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.	
Exception(s). Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.	
9. Siting Criteria (regarding permitting): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of accematerial are provided below. Siting criteria does not apply to drying pads or above-grade tanks.	ptable source
General siting	
Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of a low chloride temporary pit or below-grade tank.  - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of a Temporary pit, permanent pit, or Multi-Well Fluid Management pit.  NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. (Does not apply to below grade tanks)  - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. (Does not apply to below grade tanks)  - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within an unstable area. (Does not apply to below grade tanks)  - Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within a 100-year floodplain. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) - FEMA map	☐ Yes ☐ No
Below Grade Tanks	
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, significant watercourse, lake bed, sinkhole, wetland or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).  - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☒ No
Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for public or livestock consumption;.  - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☒ No
Temporary Pit using Low Chloride Drilling Fluid (maximum chloride content 15,000 mg/liter)	
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). (Applies to low chloride temporary pits.)  - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet from a occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.	☐ Yes ☐ No
<ul> <li>Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image</li> </ul>	31 3 3
Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 300feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of the initial application.  NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No

<ul> <li>Within 100 feet of a wetland.</li> <li>US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site</li> </ul>	☐ Yes ☐ No
Temporary Pit Non-low chloride drilling fluid	
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse, or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).  - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.  - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in the existence at the time of the initial application;  - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet of a wetland.  - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Permanent Pit or Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit	
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).  - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.  - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application.  - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 feet of a wetland.  - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 Natural Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the do attached.  Hydrogeologic Report (Below-grade Tanks) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Hydrogeologic Data (Temporary and Emergency Pits) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19. and 19.15.17.13 NMAC Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number:  or Permit Number:	NMAC 15.17.9 NMAC
Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC  Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the docattached.  Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC  Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC  A List of wells with approved application for permit to drill associated with the pit.  Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19 and 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Hydrogeologic Data - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC  Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC  Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number:  or Permit Number:	
Transfer approved being (under copy or design)	

12.	
Permanent Pits Permit Application Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the	documents are
attached.  ☐ Hydrogeologic Report - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC ☐ Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC ☐ Climatological Factors Assessment ☐ Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC ☐ Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC ☐ Leak Detection Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC ☐ Liner Specifications and Compatibility Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC ☐ Quality Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installation Plan ☐ Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC ☐ Freeboard and Overtopping Prevention Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC ☐ Nuisance or Hazardous Odors, including H₂S, Prevention Plan ☐ Emergency Response Plan ☐ Oil Field Waste Stream Characterization ☐ Monitoring and Inspection Plan ☐ Erosion Control Plan ☐ Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC	
Proposed Closure: 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan.	
Type: Drilling Workover Emergency Cavitation P&A Permanent Pit Below-grade Tank Multi-well F Alternative  Proposed Closure Method: Waste Excavation and Removal Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only) On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems) In-place Burial On-site Trench Burial Alternative Closure Method	'luid Management Pit
Waste Excavation and Removal Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.  □ Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC □ Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.13 NMAC □ Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings) □ Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC □ Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC □ Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	
Siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendations of acceptable south provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria require justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency. In 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance.	
Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of the buried waste.  - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes No
Ground water is between 25-50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes No
Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste.  - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes No
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).  - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.  - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application.  - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No
Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet of a wetland.  US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance	Yes No

adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended.  - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine.  - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within an unstable area.  - Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within a 100-year floodplain FEMA map	Yes No
On-Site Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plan by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.  Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC  Proof of Surface Owner Notice - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection K of 19.15.17.1  Construction/Design Plan of Temporary Pit (for in-place burial of a drying pad) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Waste Material Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings or in case on-site closure standards cannol Soil Cover Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC  Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	1 NMAC 5.17.11 NMAC
Operator Application Certification:  I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and believed.	ef.
Name (Print): Title:	
Signature: Date:	<b>Y</b> -210-1
e-mail address: Telephone:	
OCD Approval: Permit Application (including closure plan) Closure Plan (only) OCD Conditions (see attachment)  OCD Representative Signature: Approval Date: 1218  Title OCD Permit Number:	12015
Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion): 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved closure plan prior to implementing any closure activities and submitting the closure report is required to be submitted to the division within 60 days of the completion of the closure activities. Please do not a section of the form until an approved closure plan has been obtained and the closure activities have been completed.	
☐ Closure Completion Date: 2/24/2014	
20.  Closure Method:  Waste Excavation and Removal ☐ On-Site Closure Method ☐ Alternative Closure Method ☐ Waste Removal (Closed-loc ☐ If different from approved plan, please explain.	op systems only)

22.				
Operator Closure Certification:	a	2		
	attachments submitted with this closure repo			
belief. I also certify that the closure com	plies with all applicable closure requirement	is and conditions	specified in the approved of	closure plan.
Name (Print): Crystal Walker	Title: Regulatory Coordinator			
	0101.111		10/2/10	
Signature:	And Walker	Date:	12/7/15	
	,		• /	
e-mail address:crystal.walker	@cop.com Telephone: (505) 326-98	37		

Page 6 of 6

# Burlington Resources Oil & Gas Company, LP San Juan Basin Below Grade Tank Closure Report

Lease Name: Murphy D 3 API No.: 30-045-26475

In accordance with Rule 19.15.17.13 NMAC the following information describes the closure of the below-grade tank referenced above. All proper documentation regarding closure activities is being included with the C-144.

#### General Plan:

1. BR shall close a below-grade tank within 60 days of cessation of operations per Subsection G.4 of 19.15.17.13 NMAC. This will include a) below-grade tanks that do not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC or is not included in Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC within five years, if not retrofitted to comply with Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC; b) an earlier date that the division requires because of imminent danger to fresh water, public health or the environment. For any closure, BR will file the C144 Closure Report as required.

The below-grade tank referenced above was permitted and closed within 60 days of cessation of the below-grade tanks operation.

 BR shall remove liquids and sludge from a below-grade tank prior to implementing a closure method and shall dispose of the liquids and sludge in a division-approved facility. The facilities to be used will be Basin Disposal (Permit #NM-01-005), JFJ Landfarm % Industrial Ecosystem Inc. (Permit # NM-01-0010B) and Envirotech Land Farm (Permit #NM-01-011). The liner after being cleaned well (Subsection D, Paragraph 1, Subparagraph (m) of 19.15.9.712 NMAC) will be disposed of at the San Juan County Regional Landfill located on CR 3100.

All recovered liquids were disposed of at Basin Disposal (Permit #NM-01-005) and any sludge or soil required to be removed to facilitate closure was hauled to Envirotech Land Farm (Permit #NM-01-011) and JFJ Landfarm % IEI (Permit #NM-01-0010B). The liner was cleaned per Subsection D, Paragraph 1, Subparagraph (m) of 19.15.9.712 NMAC was disposed of at the San Juan County Regional Landfill located on CR 3100.

3. BR will receive prior approval to remove the below-grade tank and dispose of it in a division-approved facility or recycle, reuse, or reclaim it in a manner that the appropriate division district office approves.

The below-grade tank was disposed of in a division-approved manner.

4. If there is any on-site equipment associated with a below-grade tank, then BR shall remove the equipment, unless the equipment is required for some other purpose.

All on-site equipment associated with the below-grade tank was removed.

5. BR will test the soils beneath the below-grade tank to determine whether a release has occurred. BR shall collect, at a minimum, a five point, composite sample; collect individual grab samples from any area that is wet, discolored or showing other evidence of a release; and analyzed for the constituents listed in Table I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC. COPC shall notify the division of its results on form C-141.

A five point composite sample was taken of the below-grade tank using sampling tools and all samples tested per Subsection B of 19.15.17.1 3(B)(1)(b). (Sample results attached). Form C-141 is attached.

Components	Tests Method	Limit (mg/kg)
Benzene	EPA SW-846 8021B or 8260B	0.2
BTEX	EPA SW-846 8021B or 8260B	50
TPH	EPA SW-846 418.1	100
Chlorides	EPA 300.0	250

If BR or the division determines that a release has occurred, then BR shall comply with 19.15.3.116 NMAC and 19.15.1.19 NMAC, as appropriate.

#### A release was not determined for the above referenced well.

7. If the sampling program demonstrates that a release has not occurred or that any release does not exceed the concentrations specified in Table I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, then BR shall backfill the excavation with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material; construct a division-prescribed soil cover; recontour and re-vegetate the site.

The below-grade tank area passed all requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 NMAC and was backfilled with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material.

- 8. Notice of Closure will be given prior to closure to the Aztec Division office between 72 hours and one week via email or verbally. The notification of closure will include the following:
  - i. Operator's name
  - ii. Location by Unit Letter, Section, Township, and Range. Well name and API number.

#### Notification is missing.

The surface owner shall be notified of BR's closing of the below-grade tank 72 hours, but not more than one week, prior to closure as per the approved closure plan via certified mail, return receipt requested.

The closure process notification to the landowner was sent not found. A P&A field inspection was conducted on 12/18/13 with the landowner.

10. Re-contouring of location will match fit, shape, line, form and texture of the surrounding. Re-shaping will include drainage control, prevent ponding, and prevent erosion. Natural drainages will be unimpeded and water bars and/or silt traps will be place in areas where needed to prevent erosion on a large scale. Final re-contour shall have a uniform appearance with smooth surface, fitting the natural landscape.

The below-grade tank area was re-contoured to match fit, shape, line, form and texture of the surrounding area. Re-shaping including drainage control, to prevent ponding and erosion. Natural drainages were unimpeded and water bars and/or silt traps were placed in areas where needed to prevent erosion on a large scale. Final recontour has a uniform appearance with smooth surface, fitting the natural landscape.

11. BR shall seed the disturbed areas the first favorable growing season following closure of a below-grade tank. Seeding will be accomplished via drilling on the contour whenever practical or by other division-approved methods. BLM stipulated seed mixes will used on federally regulated lands and division-approved seed mixtures (administratively approved if required) will be utilized on all State or private lands. A uniform vegetative cover has been established that reflects a life-form ratio of plus or minus fifty percent (50%) of pre- disturbance levels and a total percent plant cover of at least seventy percent (70%) of pre-disturbance levels, excluding noxious weeds. If alternate seed mix is required by the state, private owner or tribe, it will be implemented with administrative approval if needed. COPC will repeat seeding or planting will be continued until successful vegetative growth occurs.

Provision 13 was accomplished through complying with BLM seeding requirements as allowed by the BLM/OCD MOU.

12. A minimum of four feet of cover shall be achieved and the cover shall include one foot of suitable material, with chloride concentrations less than 600 mg/kg as analyzed by EPA Method 300.0, to establish vegetation at the site, or the background thickness of topsoil, whichever is greater.

The below-grade tank area was backfilled and more than four feet of cover was achieved and the cover included one foot of suitable material to establish vegetation at the site.

- 13. All closure activities will include proper documentation and be available for review upon request and will be submitted to OCD within 60 days of closure of the below-grade tank. Closure report will be filed on C-144 and incorporate the following:
  - Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation (See Report)
  - Re-vegetation application rates and seeding techniques (See Report)
  - Photo documentation of the site reclamation (Included as an attachment)
  - Confirmation Sampling Results (Included as an attachment)
  - Proof of closure notice (Missing)

District I 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 District II
1301 W. Grand Avenue, Artesia, NM 88210
District III 1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410 District IV 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

## State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

Revised August 8, 2011

Form C-141

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office to accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

- 4			Rel	ease Notifica	atio	n and Co	orrective A	ction		
						<b>OPERA</b>	ГOR		Initi	al Report
				il & Gas Compan			ystal Walker			
Address 34 Facility Na		th St, Farmin	igton, NA	1			No.(505) 326-98 be: Gas Well	837		
Facility Na	me: Murp	ny D 3				racility Typ	e: Gas well			
Surface Ow	ner Feder	al		Mineral Ov	vner I	Federal (NN	1-02491)		API No	0.30-045-26475
				LOCA	TIO	N OF RE	LEASE			
Unit Letter	Section	Township	Range	Feet from the	North	South Line	Feet from the	East/W	est Line	County
D	27	30N	11W	800		North	1130	W	est	San Juan
						Longitud OF REL	e <u>-107.983161</u> EASE			
Type of Rele						Volume of				Recovered
Source of Re	elease					Date and I	Iour of Occurrent	ce	Date and	Hour of Discovery
Was Immedi	ate Notice		Yes [	] No ⊠ Not Rec	uired	If YES, To	Whom?		IX	
By Whom?	TELES.					Date and I			J. J. J. S.	
Was a Water	course Rea		Yes 🛛	No		If YES, V	olume Impacting	the Water	course.	
Describe Are N/A	ea Affected	and Cleanup	Action Tal	ken.*						
regulations a public health should their or the enviro	Il operators or the envi operations h nment. In a	are required to ronment. The nave failed to addition, NMO	to report a acceptant adequately OCD acceptant	nd/or file certain rel ce of a C-141 report investigate and rer	ease not by the nediate	otifications a e NMOCD m e contaminat	nd perform correct arked as "Final Roon that pose a three the operator of	ctive actio Report" do- reat to gro- responsib	ns for rel es not rel und water ility for c	eases which may endanger ieve the operator of liability r, surface water, human health ompliance with any other
Signature:							OIL CON	SERVA	ATION	DIVISION
Printed Nam	e: Crystal	Walker			i d	Approved by	Environmental S	pecialist:	ĠĒ.	
Title: Regul	atory Coord	linator				Approval Da	e:	Ex	xpiration	Date:
E-mail Addr	d Name: Crystal Walker  Regulatory Coordinator					Conditions o	Approval:			Attached
Date:		Phone: (50:	5) 326-983	37						

<sup>\*</sup> Attach Additional Sheets If Necessary



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

November 02, 2015

Emilee Skyles Animas Environmental 604 Pinon Street Farmington, NM 87401 TEL: (505) 564-2281

FAX

RE: COPC Murphy D 3

OrderNo.: 1510C25

#### Dear Emilee Skyles:

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory received 1 sample(s) on 10/27/2015 for the analyses presented in the following report.

These were analyzed according to EPA procedures or equivalent. To access our accredited tests please go to <a href="www.hallenvironmental.com">www.hallenvironmental.com</a> or the state specific web sites. In order to properly interpret your results it is imperative that you review this report in its entirety. See the sample checklist and/or the Chain of Custody for information regarding the sample receipt temperature and preservation. Data qualifiers or a narrative will be provided if the sample analysis or analytical quality control parameters require a flag. When necessary, data qualifers are provided on both the sample analysis report and the QC summary report, both sections should be reviewed. All samples are reported, as received, unless otherwise indicated. Lab measurement of analytes considered field parameters that require analysis within 15 minutes of sampling such as pH and residual chlorine are qualified as being analyzed outside of the recommended holding time.

Please don't hesitate to contact HEAL for any additional information or clarifications.

ADHS Cert #AZ0682 -- NMED-DWB Cert #NM9425 -- NMED-Micro Cert #NM0190

Sincerely,

Andy Freeman

Laboratory Manager

4901 Hawkins NE

Albuquerque, NM 87109

## Analytical Report

#### Lab Order 1510C25

Date Reported: 11/2/2015

## Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

**CLIENT:** Animas Environmental

Project: COPC Murphy D 3

Lab ID: 1510C25-001

Client Sample ID: BGT S-1

Collection Date: 10/26/2015 10:51:00 AM

Received Date: 10/27/2015 7:30:00 AM

Analyses	Result	RL Qu	al Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 418.1: TPH					Analys	t: TOM
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	ND	20	mg/Kg	1	10/29/2015	22036
<b>EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS</b>					Analys	t: LGT
Chloride	33	30	mg/Kg	20	10/29/2015 11:58:05 A	M 22082
EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RAN	GE ORGANIC	s			Analys	t: TOM
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	10	mg/Kg	1	10/29/2015 5:01:28 PM	M 22053
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	50	mg/Kg	1	10/29/2015 5:01:28 PM	M 22053
Surr: DNOP	97.0	70-130	%REC	1	10/29/2015 5:01:28 PM	M 22053
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RAI	NGE				Analys	t: NSB
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	4.9	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2015 12:09:38 F	M 22037
Surr: BFB	88.3	75.4-113	%REC	1	10/28/2015 12:09:38 F	M 22037
<b>EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES</b>					Analys	t: NSB
Benzene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2015 12:09:38 F	PM 22037
Toluene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2015 12:09:38 F	M 22037
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2015 12:09:38 F	PM 22037
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.099	mg/Kg	1	10/28/2015 12:09:38 F	PM 22037
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	105	80-120	%REC	1	10/28/2015 12:09:38 F	M 22037

Matrix: SOIL

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

#### Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits Page 1 of 6
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

## Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1510C25

02-Nov-15

Client: Project: Animas Environmental COPC Murphy D 3

Sample ID MB-22082

SampType: MBLK

TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

Client ID: PBS Batch ID: 22082

RunNo: 29897

Prep Date: 10/29/2015 Analysis Date: 10/29/2015

PQL

SeqNo: 910686

Units: mg/Kg

HighLimit

%RPD

**RPDLimit** Qual

Qual

Analyte Chloride

ND 1.5

Sample ID LCS-22082

SampType: LCS

TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

Client ID: LCSS Batch ID: 22082

RunNo: 29897

Units: mg/Kg

Prep Date: 10/29/2015

Analysis Date: 10/29/2015

SeqNo: 910687

HighLimit

%RPD **RPDLimit** 

PQL 1.5

0

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit

LowLimit

Analyte

Result

SPK value SPK Ref Val

90

110

Chloride

14

15.00

%REC 92.6

# Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Sample pH Not In Range
- Reporting Detection Limit

Page 2 of 6

## Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1510C25 02-Nov-15

Client:

Animas Environmental

Project:

Analyte

COPC Murphy D 3

Sample ID MB-22036

SampType: MBLK

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

Client ID:

PBS

Batch ID: 22036

RunNo: 29879

Prep Date: 10/27/2015 Analysis Date: 10/29/2015 PQL

20

SeqNo: 910049

Units: mg/Kg HighLimit

%RPD **RPDLimit** 

Qual

Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR

Client ID: LCSS

ND

Sample ID LCS-22036

SampType: LCS

Batch ID: 22036

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

RunNo: 29879

Units: mg/Kg

Analyte

Client ID:

Analyte

Prep Date: 10/27/2015

Analysis Date: 10/29/2015

SeqNo: 910050

%RPD

Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR

Result 100

Result

PQL 20

SPK value SPK Ref Val 100.0 0

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit

%REC 104

LowLimit 83.6 HighLimit 116 **RPDLimit** 

Qual

Sample ID LCSD-22036 LCSS02

SampType: LCSD

Batch ID: 22036

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

RunNo: 29879

101

Units: mg/Kg

116

Prep Date: 10/27/2015

Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR

Result

100

Analysis Date: 10/29/2015 PQL

20

100.0

SeqNo: 910051

0

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit

83.6

HighLimit %RPD

2.79

**RPDLimit** 20

Qual

#### Qualifiers:

S

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded H

% Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank В
- Value above quantitation range
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Sample pH Not In Range
- Reporting Detection Limit

Page 3 of 6

## Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Result

43

4.4

PQL

10

WO#: 1510C25

02-Nov-15

Client:

Animas Environmental

Project:

Analyte

Surr: DNOP

Diesel Range Organics (DRO)

COPC Murphy D 3

Sample ID         MB-22053           Client ID:         PBS           Prep Date:         10/28/2015	Batch ID: 22053		F	tCode: El RunNo: 2 SeqNo: 9	9870	8015M/D: Diesel Range Organics Units: mg/Kg				
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	10								
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	50								
Surr: DNOP	9.7		10.00		96.6	70	130			
Sample ID LCS-22053	SampT	ype: LC	s	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8015M/D: Di	esel Rang	e Organics	
Client ID: LCSS	Batch	ID: 22	053	F	RunNo: 2	9870				
Prep Date: 10/28/2015	Analysis D	ate: 1	0/29/2015		SeqNo: 9	10100	Units: mg/k	(g		

%REC

86.3

87.1

LowLimit

57.4

70

HighLimit

139

130

%RPD

**RPDLimit** 

Qual

SPK value SPK Ref Val

50.00

5.000

#### Qualifiers:

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

Page 4 of 6

- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

## Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1510C25

02-Nov-15

Client:

Animas Environmental

Project:

COPC Murphy D 3

Sample ID	MB-22037

SampType: MBLK

TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range

Client ID:

Batch ID: 22037

RunNo: 29859

Prep Date: 10/27/2015

Analysis Date: 10/28/2015

SegNo: 909453

Units: mg/Kg

Analyte

Result PQL 5.0 SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit

HighLimit

**RPDLimit** 

Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)

ND

1000

1000

86.7

Surr: BFB

870

Result

113

Sample ID LCS-22037

SampType: LCS LCSS Batch ID: 22037

RunNo: 29859

TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range

Client ID:

Prep Date: 10/27/2015

Analysis Date: 10/28/2015

SegNo: 909454

75.4

Units: mg/Kg

%RPD

%RPD

Qual

Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) Surr: BFB

26 5.0 940

PQL SPK value 25.00 SPK Ref Val %REC 105

LowLimit 79.6 75 4

HighLimit 122 113

**RPDLimit** 

Sample ID 5ML RB

SampType: MBLK

TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range

Client ID: PBS Prep Date:

Analysis Date: 10/28/2015

Batch ID: R29859

RunNo: 29859

93.9

SeaNo: 909477

Units: %REC

Analyte

Result

1000

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC

0

LowLimit 87.0

HighLimit %RPD 113

RPDLimit

Qual

Surr: BFB

Sample ID 2.5UG GRO LCS

LCSS

870

SampType: LCS Batch ID: R29859

PQL

TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range

RunNo: 29859

Prep Date:

Client ID:

Analysis Date: 10/28/2015

SegNo: 909478

Units: %REC

**RPDLimit** 

Qual

Analyte Surr: BFB Result 950 SPK value

SPK Ref Val

%REC Lowl imit HighLimit

%RPD

1000

95.1

754

113

#### Qualifiers:

S

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- Sample Diluted Due to Matrix D
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

% Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

- Not Detected at the Reporting Limit ND
- RPD outside accepted recovery limits R
- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank B
- E Value above quantitation range
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Sample pH Not In Range
- Reporting Detection Limit

Page 5 of 6

# Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1510C25

02-Nov-15

Client:

Animas Environmental

Project:

COPC Murphy D 3

Sample ID MB-22037	SampType: MBLK Batch ID: 22037			Tes						
Client ID: PBS				F	RunNo: 2	9859				
Prep Date: 10/27/2015	Analysis [	Date: 10	0/28/2015	SeqNo: 909488			Units: mg/Kg			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	ND	0.050								
Toluene	ND	0.050								
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.050								
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.10								
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.1		1.000		105	80	120			

Sample ID LCS-22037 Client ID: LCSS	SampType: LCS  Batch ID: 22037  Analysis Date: 10/28/2015			Tes						
Prep Date: 10/27/2015				5	SeqNo: 9	09489	Units: mg/h	<b>(</b> g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	1.1	0.050	1.000	0	113	80	120			
Toluene	1.0	0.050	1.000	0	102	80	120			
Ethylbenzene	0.99	0.050	1.000	0	98.6	80	120			
Xylenes, Total	3.0	0.10	3.000	0	98.5	80	120			
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.1		1.000		111	80	120			

#### Qualifiers:

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

P Sample pH Not In Range

RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 6 of 6



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109

TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: www.hallenvironmenial.com

# Sample Log-In Check List

Client Name: Animas Environmental Work Order Number	er: 1510C25		ReptNo: 1							
Received by/date: 57 10/27/15										
Logged By: Lindsay Mangin 10/27/2015 7:30:00 A	M	of 4th go								
Completed By: Lindsay Mangin 10/27/2015 9:00:25 A	AM	of 4HAD								
Reviewed By: 0 10/2-7/15		000								
Chain of Custody										
1 Custody seals intact on sample bottles?	Yes 🗆	No 🗆	Not Present							
2. Is Chain of Custody complete?	Yes 🗸	No 🗆	Not Present							
3. How was the sample delivered?	Courier									
Log In										
4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?	Yes 🗸	No 🗆	NA 🗆							
5. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C	Yes 🗸	No 🗌	NA 🗆							
Sample(s) in proper container(s)?	Yes 🗹	No 🗆								
7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?	Yes 🗸	No 🗆								
8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved?	Yes V	No 🗆								
9, Was preservative added to bottles?	Yes 🗆	No 🗸	NA 🗆							
10.VOA vials have zero headspace?	Yes 🗆	No 🗆	No VOA Vials							
11. Were any sample containers received broken?	Yes	No 🗹	# of preserved	13K 11						
	v- [4]	No []	bottles checked for pH:							
12. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody)	Yes 🗸	No 🗆	CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE	>12 unless noted						
13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?	Yes 🗸	No 🗆	Adjusted?							
14, Is it clear what analyses were requested?	Yes V	No 🗆								
15. Were all holding times able to be met?  (If no, notify customer for authorization.)	Yes 🗸	No 🗆	Checked by:							
Special Handling (if applicable)			17							
16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order?	Yes 🗌	No 🗆	NA 🗸							
Person Notified: Date  By Whom: Via:	eMail	Phone Fax	☐ In Person							
Regarding: Client Instructions:										
17. Additional remarks:			F P 7 2 2							
18. Cooler Information Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seal Intact Seal No	Seal Date	Signed By								
1 1.3 Good Yes	234. 2410		The second							

Chain-of-Custody Record ent: Animas Environmental Services, LLC			Turn-Around Time:  X Standard □ Rush				HALL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS LABORATORY												
Visit I	7			Project Name:				www.hallenvironmental.com											
Farmington, NM 87401  one #: 505-564-2281  nail or Fax#: eskyles@animasenvironmental.com  /QC Package: Standard			COPC Murphy D 3  Project #:  Project Manager:  E. Skyles				4901 Hawkins NE - Albuquerque, NM 87109 Tel. 505-345-3975 Fax 505-345-4107												
													s Req						
			sampler: C. Lameman																
NELAP   Other			On Ice: V Yes  No							P								î	
EDD (Type)			Sample Temperature: 1, 3				=	300.0						1				6	
Date	Time	Matrix	Sample Request ID	Container Type and #	Preservative Type	HEAL NO.  1510CZ5	BTEX - 8021B	TPH - EPA 418.1	Chlorides - 30										Air Bubbles (Y or N)
26-15	102 Cc	SOIL	BGT S-1	1 - 4 oz.	cool	-001	X	×	X										
	1051							a	7,1	100	15								
								-		PE	R	₹.5							
					-4-1-1														
			AT													$\sqcup$	+	-	_
					A TOTAL CONTROL										+	+	+	+	$\vdash$
																$\forall$	+	+	
Taken 1	N. S. S.			<b>4</b>									4						
				ALC: L	and the	The Later of	3									П			
te: /210/15	Time:	Relinquish Relinquish	o-h_	Christ Wacke 10/20/15 /432				Remarks: Bill to Conoco Phillips WO # Supervisor: Jack Brenfield USERID GARFECD											
26/15	1748	Man	it. Wooder	Received by:	at 1	10/27/15 0730	Area	ered	by:	Lind	SN	40	nma	s lin	a tra	nter			



