District I 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 District II 811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 District III 1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410 District IV 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources Department Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

For temporary pits, below-grade tanks, and multi-well fluid management pits, submit to the appropriate NMOCD District Office. For permanent pits submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

Pit, Below-Grade Tank, or	
13657 Proposed Alternative Method Permit or Closure Plan Applic	
Type of action: Below grade tank registration	OIL CONS. DIV DIST. 3
 ∠/5-30566 Permit of a pit or proposed alternative method △ Closure of a pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method △ Modification to an existing permit/or registration 	DEC 08 2015
Closure plan only submitted for an existing permitted or non-permitted	l pit, below-grade tank,
or proposed alternative method	
Instructions: Please submit one application (Form C-144) per individual pit, below-grade tank or a	
lease be advised that approval of this request does not relieve the operator of liability should operations result in pollution of sur nvironment. Nor does approval relieve the operator of its responsibility to comply with any other applicable governmental author	face water, ground water or the ority's rules, regulations or ordinances.
Operator: Burlington Resources Oil & Gas Company, LP OGRID #: 14538	
Address: PO BOX 4289, Farmington, NM 87499	
Facility or well name: <u>Sullivan 1B</u>	
API Number: 30-045-30566 OCD Permit Number:	
U/L or Qtr/Qtr <u>F (SENW)</u> Section <u>7</u> Township <u>30N</u> Range <u>10W</u> County: <u>San Juan</u>	
Center of Proposed Design: Latitude <u>36.828944</u> <u>N</u> Longitude <u>-107.924886</u> <u>W</u> NAD: <u>1927</u>	☑ 1983
Surface Owner: 🛛 Federal 🗌 State 🗋 Private 🗋 Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment	
2. [] Pit: Subsection F, G or J of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Temporary:] Drilling] Workover [] Permanent] Emergency] Cavitation] P&A] Multi-Well Fluid Management Low Chloride D [] Lined] Unlined Liner type: Thickness mil] LLDPE] HDPE] PVC] Other [] String-Reinforced Liner Seams:] Welded] Factory] Other Volume: bbl Dimensions: L> 3.	
Below-grade tank: Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC	
Volume: <u>120</u> bbl Type of fluid: <u>Produced Water</u>	
Tank Construction material: <u>Metal</u>	
Secondary containment with leak detection Visible sidewalls, liner, 6-inch lift and automatic overflow shut-of	f
Visible sidewalls and liner Visible sidewalls only Other	The second states in
Liner type: Thickness mil HDPE PVC OtherUnspecified	
4.	
Alternative Method:	r c 11 c c 1
Submittal of an exception request is required. Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau of	nce for consideration of approval.
Fencing: Subsection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits, temporary pits, and below-grade tanks)	maidanaa aaka-l hamit-l
Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top (Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent institution or church)	residence, school, hospital,
Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet	
Alternate. Please specify	
Form C-144 Oil Conservation Division	Page 1 of 6 20 Alb

Netting: Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks)

Screen Netting Other

Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)

Signs: Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC

12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers

Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC

Variances and Exceptions:

Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance.

Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank:

- Variance(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district for consideration of approval.
- Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

Siting Criteria (regarding permitting): 19.15.17.10 NMAC

Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of acceptable source material are provided below. Siting criteria does not apply to drying pads or above-grade tanks.

General siting	
Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of a low chloride temporary pit or below-grade tank	□ Yes □ No ⊠ NA
Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of a Temporary pit, permanent pit, or Multi-Well Fluid Management pit. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	□ Yes □ No ⊠ NA
 Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
 Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division 	Yes No
 Within an unstable area. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map 	Yes No
Within a 100-year floodplain. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) - FEMA map	Yes No
Below Grade Tanks	1981.234
 Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, significant watercourse, lake bed, sinkhole, wetland or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	🗆 Yes 🛛 No
 Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for public or livestock consumption;. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
Temporary Pit using Low Chloride Drilling Fluid (maximum chloride content 15,000 mg/liter)	1.1.1
 Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). (Applies to low chloride temporary pits.) Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	Yes No
Within 300 feet from a occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.	Yes No
- Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	CONTRACTOR IN
Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 300feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of the initial application. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search: Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No

 Within 100 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	Yes No
Temporary Pit Non-low chloride drilling fluid	
 Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse, or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
 Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
 Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in the existence at the time of the initial application; NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
 Within 300 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	Yes No
Permanent Pit or Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit	
 Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
 Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image 	Yes No
 Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
 Within 500 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
 10. <u>Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist</u>: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 N <i>Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the dot attached.</i> Hydrogeologic Report (Below-grade Tanks) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Hydrogeologic Data (Temporary and Emergency Pits) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19. and 19.15.17.13 NMAC 	o NMAC 15.17.9 NMAC
Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number:	
11. Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the domattached. Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC A List of wells with approved application for permit to drill associated with the pit. Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19 and 19.15.17.13 NMAC Hydrogeologic Data - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC	
Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number:	a single
	1115.50 .01

12.	
<u>Permanent Pits Permit Application Checklist</u> : Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the	documents are
attached.	uocuments ure
Hydrogeologic Report - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC	
 Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Climatological Factors Assessment 	
Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC	
Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC	
Leak Detection Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC	
 Liner Specifications and Compatibility Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Quality Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installation Plan 	
Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC	
Freeboard and Overtopping Prevention Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC	
 Nuisance or Hazardous Odors, including H₂S, Prevention Plan Emergency Response Plan 	
Oil Field Waste Stream Characterization	
Monitoring and Inspection Plan	
 Erosion Control Plan Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC 	
Closure Fian - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.5 NWAC and 19.15.17.15 NWAC	
13. Proposed Closure: 19.15.17.13 NMAC	
Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan.	
Type: Drilling Workover Emergency Cavitation P&A Permanent Pit Below-grade Tank Multi-well F	luid Management Pit
Alternative	0
Proposed Closure Method: Waste Excavation and Removal	
 Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only) On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems) 	And the second second
In-place Burial On-site Trench Burial	
Alternative Closure Method	
 Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings) Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC 	
	We are set of
15. <u>Siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only)</u> : 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendations of acceptable sour provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria require justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency. F 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance.	
Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	□ Yes □ No □ NA
Ground water is between 25-50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes No
Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
 Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	Yes No
 Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
 Within 300 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	Yes No
Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	Yes No
Within 300 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance	
Form C-144 Oil Conservation Division Page 4 of	4

 adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality 	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
 Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division 	Yes No
Within an unstable area.	1.10
 Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map 	Yes No
Within a 100-year floodplain. - FEMA map	Yes No
 16. On-Site Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure planet by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Proof of Surface Owner Notice - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection K of 19.15.17.13 Construction/Design Plan of Temporary Pit (for in-place burial of a drying pad) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.1 Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Waste Material Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings or in case on-site closure standards canned Soil Cover Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC 	11 NMAC 15.17.11 NMAC
17. Demokra A - Vice till continue	
Operator Application Certification: I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and believed and belie	ef
	ci.
Name (Print):	
Signature: Date:	
e-mail address: Telephone:	
	519015
e-mail address: Telephone: <u>OCD Approval</u> : Permit Application (including closure plan) Closure Plan (only) OCD Conditions (see attachment) OCD Representative Signature: Approval Date:	519012
e-mail address:	the closure report.
e-mail address: Telephone: B. OCD Approval: Permit Application (including closure plan) Closure Plan (only) OCD Conditions (see attachment) OCD Representative Signature: Approval Date: Title: OCD Permit Number: 19. Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion): 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved closure plan prior to implementing any closure activities and submitting The closure report is required to be submitted to the division within 60 days of the completion of the closure activities. Please do not	the closure report.
e-mail address:	the closure report. complete this

Oil Conservation Division

22. Operator Closure Certification:

I hereby certify that the information and attachments submitted with this closure report is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I also certify that the closure complies with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.

Name (Print):	Crystal Walker	Title: Regulatory Coordinator	
Signature:	Engetal	Walker Da	te: 12/7/15
e-mail address:	crystal.walker@cop.com	Telephone: (505) 326-9837	

Burlington Resources Oil & Gas Company, LP San Juan Basin Below Grade Tank Closure Report (Without Reclamation)

Lease Name: Sullivan 1B API No.: 30-045-30566

In accordance with Rule 19.15.17.13 NMAC the following information describes the closure of the below-grade tank referenced above. All proper documentation regarding closure activities is being included with the C-144.

General Plan:

 BR shall close a below-grade tank within 60 days of cessation of operations per Subsection G.4 of 19.15.17.13 NMAC. This will include a) below-grade tanks that do not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC or is not included in Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC within five years, if not retrofitted to comply with Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC; b) an earlier date that the division requires because of imminent danger to fresh water, public health or the environment. For any closure, BR will file the C144 Closure Report as required.

The below-grade tank referenced above was permitted and closed within 60 days of cessation of the below-grade tanks operation.

 BR shall remove liquids and sludge from a below-grade tank prior to implementing a closure method and shall dispose of the liquids and sludge in a division-approved facility. The facilities to be used will be Basin Disposal (Permit #NM-01-005), JFJ Landfarm % Industrial Ecosystem Inc. (Permit # NM-01-0010B) and Envirotech Land Farm (Permit #NM-01-011). The liner after being cleaned well (Subsection D, Paragraph 1, Subparagraph (m) of 19.15.9.712 NMAC) will be disposed of at the San Juan County Regional Landfill located on CR 3100.

All recovered liquids were disposed of at Basin Disposal (Permit #NM-01-005) and any sludge or soil required to be removed to facilitate closure was hauled to Envirotech Land Farm (Permit #NM-01-011) and JFJ Landfarm % IEI (Permit #NM-01-0010B). The liner was cleaned per Subsection D, Paragraph 1, Subparagraph (m) of 19.15.9.712 NMAC was disposed of at the San Juan County Regional Landfill located on CR 3100.

3. BR Will receive prior approval to remove the below-grade tank and dispose of it in a division-approved facility or recycle, reuse, or reclaim it in a manner that the appropriate division district office approves.

The below-grade tank was disposed of in a division-approved manner.

4. If there is any on-site equipment associated with a below-grade tank, then BR shall remove the equipment, unless the equipment is required for some other purpose.

All on-site equipment associated with the below-grade tank was removed.

5. BR will test the soils beneath the below-grade tank to determine whether a release has occurred. BR shall collect, at a minimum, a five point, composite sample; collect individual grab samples from any area that is wet, discolored or showing other evidence of a release; and analyzed for the constituents listed in Table I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC. BR shall notify the division of its results on form C-141.

A five point composite sample was taken of the below-grade tank using sampling tools and all samples tested per Subsection B of 19.15.17.1 3(B)(1)(b). (Sample results attached). Form C-141 is attached.

Components	Tests Method	Limit (mg/kg)
Benzene	EPA SW-846 8021B or 8260B	0.2
BTEX	EPA SW-846 8021B or 8260B	50
TPH	EPA SW-846 418.1	100
Chlorides	EPA 300.0	250

 If BR or the division determines that a release has occurred, then BR shall comply with 19.15.3.116 NMAC and 19.15.1.19 NMAC, as appropriate.

A release was not determined for the above referenced well.

7. If the sampling program demonstrates that a release has not occurred or that any release does not exceed the concentrations specified in Table I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, then BR shall backfill the excavation with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material; construct a division-prescribed soil cover; recontour and re-vegetate the site.

The below-grade tank area passed all requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 NMAC and was backfilled with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material.

- Notice of Closure will be given prior to closure to the Aztec Division office between 72 hours and one week via email or verbally. The notification of closure will include the following:
 - i. Operator's name
 - ii. Location by Unit Letter, Section, Township, and Range. Well name and API number.

Closure notification is missing.

9. The surface owner shall be notified of BR's closing of the below-grade tank 72 hours, but not more than one week, prior to closure as per the approved closure plan via certified mail, return receipt requested.

The closure process notification to the landowner was not found.

10. Re-contouring of location will match fit, shape, line, form and texture of the surrounding. Re-shaping will include drainage control, prevent ponding, and prevent erosion. Natural drainages will be unimpeded and water bars and/or silt traps will be place in areas where needed to prevent erosion on a large scale. Final re-contour shall have a uniform appearance with smooth surface, fitting the natural landscape.

The below-grade tank was removed and replaced with another tank in a different location on the well pad. No reclamation work will be done on this location.

11. BR shall seed the disturbed areas the first favorable growing season following closure of a below-grade tank. Seeding will be accomplished via drilling on the contour whenever practical or by other division-approved methods. BLM stipulated seed mixes will used on federally regulated lands and division-approved seed mixtures (administratively approved if required) will be utilized on all State or private lands. A uniform vegetative cover has been established that reflects a life-form ratio of plus or minus fifty percent (50%) of pre- disturbance levels and a total percent plant cover of at least seventy percent (70%) of pre-disturbance levels, excluding noxious weeds. If alternate seed mix is required by the state, private owner or tribe, it will be implemented with administrative approval if needed. BR will repeat seeding or planting will be continued until successful vegetative growth occurs.

The below-grade tank was removed and replaced with another tank in a different location on the well pad. No reclamation work will be done on this location.

12. A minimum of four feet of cover shall be achieved and the cover shall include one foot of suitable material, with chloride concentrations less than 600 mg/kg as analyzed by EPA Method 300.0, to establish vegetation at the site, or the background thickness of topsoil, whichever is greater.

The below-grade tank was removed with another tank in a different location on the well pad. No reclamation work will be done on this location.

- 13. All closure activities will include proper documentation and be available for review upon request and will be submitted to OCD within 60 days of closure of the below-grade tank. Closure report will be filed on C-144 and incorporate the following:
 - Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation (See Report)
 - Re-vegetation application rates and seeding techniques (See Report)
 - Photo documentation of the site reclamation (Included as an attachment)
 - Confirmation Sampling Results (Included as an attachment)
 - Proof of closure notice (Missing)

<u>District I</u>
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
<u>District II</u>
1301 W. Grand Avenue, Artesia, NM 88210
<u>District III</u>
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
<u>District IV</u>
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

> Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

Revised August 8, 2011 Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office to

Form C-141

Submit I Copy to appropriate District Office to accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

Release Notification and Corrective Action OPERATOR Initial Report Final Report Contact Crystal Walker Name of Company Burlington Resources Oil & Gas Company Address 3401 East 30th St, Farmington, NM Telephone No.(505) 326-9837 Facility Name: Sullivan 1B Facility Type: Gas Well Surface Owner Federal Mineral Owner Federal (NM-03195-A) API No.30-045-30566 LOCATION OF RELEASE North/South Line Unit Letter Township Feet from the Feet from the East/West Line Section Range County F 7 30N 10W 1605 North 2560 West San Juan Latitude 36.828944 Longitude -107.924886 NATURE OF RELEASE Type of Release Volume of Release Volume Recovered Source of Release Date and Hour of Occurrence Date and Hour of Discovery Was Immediate Notice Given? If YES, To Whom? Yes No X Not Required By Whom? Date and Hour Was a Watercourse Reached? If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse. Yes No If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.* N/A Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* No release was encountered during the BGT Closure. Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* N/A I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to ground water, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations. OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION Signature: Approved by Environmental Specialist: Printed Name: Crystal Walker **Expiration Date:** Title: Regulatory Coordinator Approval Date: E-mail Address: crystal.walker@cop.com Conditions of Approval: Attached

* Attach Additional Sheets If Necessary

Date:

Phone: (505) 326-9837



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

November 04, 2015

Emilee Skyles Animas Environmental 604 Pinon Street Farmington, NM 87401 TEL: (505) 564-2281 FAX

OrderNo.: 1510C99

Dear Emilee Skyles:

RE: COPC Sullivan 1B

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory received 1 sample(s) on 10/28/2015 for the analyses presented in the following report.

These were analyzed according to EPA procedures or equivalent. To access our accredited tests please go to <u>www.hallenvironmental.com</u> or the state specific web sites. In order to properly interpret your results it is imperative that you review this report in its entirety. See the sample checklist and/or the Chain of Custody for information regarding the sample receipt temperature and preservation. Data qualifiers or a narrative will be provided if the sample analysis or analytical quality control parameters require a flag. When necessary, data qualifers are provided on both the sample analysis report and the QC summary report, both sections should be reviewed. All samples are reported, as received, unless otherwise indicated. Lab measurement of analytes considered field parameters that require analysis within 15 minutes of sampling such as pH and residual chlorine are qualified as being analyzed outside of the recommended holding time.

Please don't hesitate to contact HEAL for any additional information or clarifications.

ADHS Cert #AZ0682 -- NMED-DWB Cert #NM9425 -- NMED-Micro Cert #NM0190

Sincerely,

ander

Andy Freeman Laboratory Manager 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109

Analytical Report Lab Order 1510C99

Date Reported: 11/4/2015

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Animas Environmental Client Sample ID: BGT S-1 Project: COPC Sullivan 1B Collection Date: 10/27/2015 11:50:00 AM Lab ID: 1510C99-001 Matrix: SOIL Received Date: 10/28/2015 8:34:00 AM Analyses Result RL Oual Units DE Date Analyzed E

Analyses		RE Qu	al Units	Dr	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 418.1: TPH					Analys	t: TOM
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	ND	20	mg/Kg	1	10/29/2015	22059
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analys	t: LGT
Chloride	ND	30	mg/Kg	20	11/2/2015 12:22:32 PM	1 22121
EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANG	SE ORGANIC	S			Analys	t: JME
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	9.7	mg/Kg	1	10/29/2015 4:34:04 PM	1 22053
Surr: DNOP	106	70-130	%REC	1	10/29/2015 4:34:04 PM	1 22053
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RAN	GE				Analys	t: NSB
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	4.8	mg/Kg	1	10/29/2015 11:44:35 A	M 22060
Surr: BFB	89.0	75.4-113	%REC	1	10/29/2015 11:44:35 A	M 22060
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analys	t: NSB
Benzene	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	10/29/2015 11:44:35 A	M 22060
Toluene	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	10/29/2015 11:44:35 A	M 22060
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	10/29/2015 11:44:35 A	M 22060
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.096	mg/Kg	1	10/29/2015 11:44:35 A	M 22060
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	107	80-120	%REC	1	10/29/2015 11:44:35 A	M 22060

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	в	Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
	D	Sample Diluted Due to Matrix	E	Value above quantitation range
	Н	Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded	J	Analyte detected below quantitation limits Page 1 of 6
	ND	Not Detected at the Reporting Limit	Р	Sample pH Not In Range
	R	RPD outside accepted recovery limits	RL	Reporting Detection Limit
	S	% Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix		

WO#: 1510C99 04-Nov-15

Hall Environmental	Analysis	Laboratory,	Inc.
--------------------	----------	-------------	------

Client: Project:		s Environmental Sullivan 1B	
Sample ID		SampType: MBLK	TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions
Client ID:	PBS	Batch ID: 22121	RunNo: 29959
Prep Date:	11/2/2015	Analysis Date: 11/2/2015	SeqNo: 912536 Units: mg/Kg
Analyte		Result PQL SPK value	SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual
Chloride	1994 - N. H. H.	ND 1.5	
Sample ID	LCS-22121	SampType: LCS	TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions
Client ID:	LCSS	Batch ID: 22121	RunNo: 29959
Prep Date:	11/2/2015	Analysis Date: 11/2/2015	SeqNo: 912537 Units: mg/Kg
Analyte		Result PQL SPK value	SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual
Chloride	1.	14 1.5 15.00	0 91.3 90 110

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 2 of 6

WO#: 1510C99 04-Nov-15

Hall Environmenta	l Analysis	Laboratory,	Inc.
-------------------	------------	-------------	------

	as Environmental C Sullivan 1B
Sample ID MB-22059	SampType: MBLK TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 22059 RunNo: 29879
Prep Date: 10/28/2015	Analysis Date: 10/29/2015 SeqNo: 910059 Units: mg/Kg
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	ND 20
Sample ID LCS-22059	SampType: LCS TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 22059 RunNo: 29879
Prep Date: 10/28/2015	Analysis Date: 10/29/2015 SeqNo: 910060 Units: mg/Kg
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	110 20 100.0 0 107 83.6 116
Sample ID LCSD-22059	SampType: LCSD TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH
Client ID: LCSS02	Batch ID: 22059 RunNo: 29879
Prep Date: 10/28/2015	Analysis Date: 10/29/2015 SeqNo: 910061 Units: mg/Kg
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qual
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	110 20 100.0 0 107 83.6 116 0 20

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 3 of 6

WO#: 1510C99 04-Nov-15

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

	s Environmen Sullivan 1B	ital								
Sample ID MB-22053 Client ID: PBS Prep Date: 10/28/2015	SampTy Batch Analysis Da	ID: 22	053	F	tCode: E RunNo: 2 SeqNo: 9	9870	8015M/D: Di Units: mg/ł		e Organics	
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO) Surr: DNOP	ND 9.7	10	10.00		96.6	70	130	Internet		
Sample ID LCS-22053 Client ID: LCSS	SampTy Batch	/pe: LC			tCode: E RunNo: 2		8015M/D: Di	esel Rang	e Organics	
Prep Date: 10/28/2015	Analysis Da	ate: 10	0/29/2015	5	SeqNo: 9	10100	Units: mg/H	٢g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	43	10	50.00	0	86.3	57.4	139	1.1.1	1. 1. 1. 1.	
Surr: DNOP	4.4		5.000		87.1	70	130			

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 4 of 6

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1510C99

04-Nov-15

Client: Project:	Animas E COPC Su	nvironmen llivan 1B	tal										
Sample ID	MB-22060	SampTy	pe: ME	BLK	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	8015D: Gase	oline Rang	le			
Client ID:	PBS	Batch ID: 22060				RunNo: 2	9871						
Prep Date:	10/28/2015	Analysis Da	ate: 10	0/29/2015	5	SeqNo: 9	10476	Units: mg/l	٢g				
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual		
	e Organics (GRO)	ND 860	5.0	1000	de la	85.9	75.4	113	1				
Sample ID	LCS-22060	SampTy	/pe: LC	s	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	8015D: Gase	oline Rang	e			
Client ID:	LCSS	Batch	ID: 22	060	F	RunNo: 2	9871						
Prep Date:	10/28/2015	Analysis Da	ate: 10	0/29/2015	S	SeqNo: 9	10477	Units: mg/k	٢g				
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual		
Gasoline Rang	e Organics (GRO)	24	5.0	25.00	0	97.5	79.6	122	1.5				
Surr: BFB	1. 16. 17	920	1.1	1000	1.10	91.7	75.4	113	5.00	and the second			
Sample ID	1510C99-001AMS	SampTy	pe: MS	S	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	8015D: Gase	oline Rang	e			
Client ID:	BGT S-1	Batch	ID: 22	060	F	RunNo: 2	9871						
Prep Date:	10/28/2015	Analysis Da	ate: 10	0/29/2015	5	SeqNo: 9	10479	Units: mg/Kg					
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual		
	e Organics (GRO)	29	4.8	24.04	0	119	62.5	151					
Surr: BFB	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	920		961.5		95.6	75.4	113	1 1 1 1	An I also			
Sample ID	1510C99-001AMS	SampTy	pe: MS	SD	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8015D: Gase	oline Rang	e			
Client ID:	BGT S-1	Batch	ID: 22	060	F	RunNo: 2	9871						
Prep Date:	10/28/2015	Analysis Da	ate: 10	0/29/2015	5	SeqNo: 9	10480	Units: mg/k	(g				
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual		
	e Organics (GRO)	30	4.8	24.04	0	125	62.5	151	5.19	22.1	10		
Surr: BFB		920		961.5		96.2	75.4	113	0	0			

Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. *
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded Н
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits J
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 5 of 6

WO#: 1510C99

04-Nov-15

Client:	Animas Environmental

Project: COPC Sullivan 1B

Sample ID MB-22060	Tes											
Client ID: PBS Batch ID: 22060				F	RunNo: 29871							
Prep Date: 10/28/2015	Analysis [Date: 1	0/29/2015	S	SeqNo: 9	10488	Units: mg/h	٢g				
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual		
Benzene	ND	0.050										
Toluene	ND	0.050										
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.050										
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.10										
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.0	-	1.000	ou il	103	80	120		a se la co			
Sample ID LCS-22060	Samp	Type: LC	s	Tes	26. 2							
Client ID: LCSS	Batc	h ID: 22	060	RunNo: 29871								
Prep Date: 10/28/2015	Analysis [Date: 1	0/29/2015	S	SeqNo: 9	10489	Units: mg/k	(g				
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual		
Benzene	1.1	0.050	1.000	0	111	80	120					
Toluene	0.99	0.050	1.000	0	99.3	80	120					
Ethylbenzene	0.97	0.050	1.000	0	97.1	80	120					
Xylenes, Total	2.9	0.10	3.000	0	97.0	80	120					
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.1		1.000		107	80	120					

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 6 of 6

ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS LABORATORY TEL: 505-345-39	tal Analysis Labor 4901 Hawkin Ubuquerque, NM 8 75 FAX: 505-345- hallenvironmenta	13 NE 7109 Sam	ole Log-In Check List
Client Name: Animas Environmental Work Order Numb	er: 1510C99	2000 C	RcptNo: 1
Received by/date: 10 28/15			
Logged By: Lindsay Mangin 10/28/2015 8:34:00	AM	Jullio	
Completed By: Lindsay Mangin 10/28/2015 10:13:14	AM .	Author	
Reviewed By: CS 10/28/15		000	
hain of Custody			
1. Custody seals intact on sample bottles?	Yes	No 🗆	Not Present
2. Is Chain of Custody complete?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌	Not Present
3. How was the sample delivered?	Courier		
3. How was the sample delivered?	ocunor		
<u>og In</u>			
4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?	Yes 🗹	No 🗆	NA 🗆
5. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0* C to 6.0*C	Yes 🗹	No 🗌	
6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?	Yes 🔽	No 🗆	
o. Sample(a) in proper container(o):			
7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌	
8, Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌	
9. Was preservative added to bottles?	Yes	No 🗹	NA 🗆
	Yes	No 🗆	No VOA Vials
0. VOA vials have zero headspace? 1. Were any sample containers received broken?	Yes	No V	
1, were any sample containers received protein	100		# of preserved bottles checked
2. Does paperwork match bottle labels?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌	for pH:
(Note discrepancies on chain of custody)			(<2 or >12 unless note Adjusted?
3. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?	Yes 🗹		
4, Is it clear what analyses were requested?5. Were all holding times able to be met?	Yes M	No 🗆	Checked by:
(If no, notify customer for authorization.)	100 111		
pecial Handling (if applicable)			
16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order?	Yes 🗌	No 🗆	NA 🗹
Person Notified: Date		-	
By Whom: Via:	eMail	Phone 🗌 Fax	In Person
Regarding:		1	
Client Instructions:			
17. Additional remarks:			
18. Cooler Information			
Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seal Intact Seal No	Seal Date	Signed By	
1 2.2 Good Yes			

Chain-of-Custody Record ient: Animas Environmental Services, LLC		X Standard				HALL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS LABORATORY											
	1.55		Contraction and a second	Project Name:						. 1	www.hal	lenviro	onmer	ntal.com	m		
ailing Ad	dress:	604 W	Pinon St.	1.	COPC Sulliv	van 1B	1	49	01 H	lawkir	IS NE -	Albu	querq	ue, NN	87109		
		Farmin	gton, NM 87401	Project #:	-			т	el. 50	05-34	5-3975	Fa	x 505	5-345-4	107		
none #:	505-564	-2281									Ana	alysis	Requ	iest			
noil or E		ulas	naconvironmental com	Project Manag	ar.	1.		34									
VQC Pac Standar	kage:	yies@anii	Level 4 (Full Validation		E. Skyles					2							
creditati	ion:			Sampler:	CL												
NELAP		Other		On Ice:	Ø Yes	□ No							1				7
EDD (T	ype)			Sample Temp	erature: 2,	2		-	0	BOIS		-	1				or N)
Date	Time	Matrix	Sample Request ID	Container Type and #	Preservative Type	HEAL NO.	BTEX - 8021B	TPH - EPA 418.1	rides -	600/DR0- 80							Air Bubbles (Y
27-15	1150	SOIL	BGT S-1	2-4 oz.	cool	-001	×	x	×	×				-			-
																	+
					2												
																	-
																	-
te: 27/15 te: 127/15	Time: [737] Time: 1827	Relinquish Relinquish	ih	Received by:	Valte	Date Time ''/27/15 /737 Date Time	WO Sup USE Area)# ervi ERIC a: 2	sor: ,); Gi	Jack	Birchfie CD Unds	.[d	(max)	Lisa	Hunter	<u> </u>	

e

