

District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico
Energy Minerals and Natural Resources
Department
Oil Conservation Division
1220 South St. Francis Dr.
Santa Fe, NM 87505

Form C-144
Revised June 6, 2013

For temporary pits, below-grade tanks, and multi-well fluid management pits, submit to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.
For permanent pits submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

Pit, Below-Grade Tank, or

13688

Proposed Alternative Method Permit or Closure Plan Application

OIL CONS. DIV DIST. 3

Type of action:

- ☐ Below grade tank registration
☐ Permit of a pit or proposed alternative method
☒ Closure of a pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method
☐ Modification to an existing permit/or registration
☐ Closure plan only submitted for an existing permitted or non-permitted pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method

DEC 15 2015

Instructions: Please submit one application (Form C-144) per individual pit, below-grade tank or alternative request

Please be advised that approval of this request does not relieve the operator of liability should operations result in pollution of surface water, ground water or the environment. Nor does approval relieve the operator of its responsibility to comply with any other applicable governmental authority's rules, regulations or ordinances.

1.

Operator: Burlington Resources Oil & Gas Company, LP OGRID #: 14538

Address: PO BOX 4289, Farmington, NM 87499

Facility or well name: DAY B 4N

API Number: 30-045-34147

OCD Permit Number: _____

U/L or Qtr/Qtr N Section 7 Township 27 N Range 8 W County: San Juan

Center of Proposed Design: Latitude 36.5847717 °N Longitude -107.7237100 °W NAD: ☐ 1927 ☒ 1983

Surface Owner: ☒ Federal ☐ State ☐ Private ☐ Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment

2.

☐ **Pit:** Subsection F, G or J of 19.15.17.11 NMAC

Temporary: ☐ Drilling ☐ Workover

☐ Permanent ☐ Emergency ☐ Cavitation ☐ P&A ☐ Multi-Well Fluid Management Low Chloride Drilling Fluid ☐ yes ☐ no

☐ Lined ☐ Unlined Liner type: Thickness _____ mil ☒ LLDPE ☐ HDPE ☐ PVC ☐ Other _____

☐ String-Reinforced

Liner Seams: ☐ Welded ☐ Factory ☐ Other _____ Volume: _____ bbl Dimensions: L _____ x W _____ x D _____

3.

☒ **Below-grade tank:** Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC

Volume: 120 bbl Type of fluid: Produced Water

Tank Construction material: Metal

☐ Secondary containment with leak detection ☒ Visible sidewalls, liner, 6-inch lift and automatic overflow shut-off

☐ Visible sidewalls and liner ☐ Visible sidewalls only ☐ Other _____

Liner type: Thickness _____ mil ☐ HDPE ☐ PVC ☒ Other UNSPECIFIED

4.

☐ **Alternative Method:**

Submittal of an exception request is required. Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

5.

Fencing: Subsection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits, temporary pits, and below-grade tanks)

☐ Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top (Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution or church)

☐ Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet

☐ Alternate. Please specify _____

6. **Netting:** Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (*Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks*)

- ☐ Screen ☐ Netting ☐ Other _____
- ☐ Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)

7. **Signs:** Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC

- ☐ 12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers
- ☐ Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC

8. **Variations and Exceptions:**

Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance.

Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank:

- ☐ Variance(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district for consideration of approval.
- ☐ Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

9. **Siting Criteria (regarding permitting):** 19.15.17.10 NMAC

Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of acceptable source material are provided below. Siting criteria does not apply to drying pads or above-grade tanks.

General siting

Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of a low chloride temporary pit or below-grade tank.

- ☐ NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; ☐ USGS; ☐ Data obtained from nearby wells

☐ Yes ☐ No
☒ NA

Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of a Temporary pit, permanent pit, or Multi-Well Fluid Management pit.

NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells

☐ Yes ☐ No
☒ NA

Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. (**Does not apply to below grade tanks**)

- Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. (**Does not apply to below grade tanks**)

- Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within an unstable area. (**Does not apply to below grade tanks**)

- Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within a 100-year floodplain. (**Does not apply to below grade tanks**)

- FEMA map

☐ Yes ☐ No

Below Grade Tanks

Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, significant watercourse, lake bed, sinkhole, wetland or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).

- Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

☐ Yes ☒ No

Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for public or livestock consumption;

- NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

☐ Yes ☒ No

Temporary Pit using Low Chloride Drilling Fluid (maximum chloride content 15,000 mg/liter)

Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). (Applies to low chloride temporary pits.)

- Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within 300 feet from a occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.

- Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 300feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of the initial application.

NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within 100 feet of a wetland.

- US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

☐ Yes ☐ No

Temporary Pit Non-low chloride drilling fluid

Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse, or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).

- Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.

- Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in the existence at the time of the initial application;

- NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within 300 feet of a wetland.

- US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

☐ Yes ☐ No

Permanent Pit or Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit

Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).

- Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.

- Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application.

- NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within 500 feet of a wetland.

- US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

☐ Yes ☐ No

10.

Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC

Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.

- ☐ Hydrogeologic Report (Below-grade Tanks) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC
- ☐ Hydrogeologic Data (Temporary and Emergency Pits) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC
- ☐ Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC
- ☐ Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- ☐ Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC
- ☐ Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC

☐ Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: _____ or Permit Number: _____

11.

Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC

Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.

- ☐ Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- ☐ Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC
- ☐ A List of wells with approved application for permit to drill associated with the pit.
- ☐ Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☐ Hydrogeologic Data - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC
- ☐ Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC

☐ Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: _____ or Permit Number: _____

12.

Permanent Pits Permit Application Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC

Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.

- ☐ Hydrogeologic Report - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC
- ☐ Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC
- ☐ Climatological Factors Assessment
- ☐ Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- ☐ Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- ☐ Leak Detection Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- ☐ Liner Specifications and Compatibility Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- ☐ Quality Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installation Plan
- ☐ Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC
- ☐ Freeboard and Overtopping Prevention Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- ☐ Nuisance or Hazardous Odors, including H₂S, Prevention Plan
- ☐ Emergency Response Plan
- ☐ Oil Field Waste Stream Characterization
- ☐ Monitoring and Inspection Plan
- ☐ Erosion Control Plan
- ☐ Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC

13.

Proposed Closure: 19.15.17.13 NMAC

Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan.

Type: ☐ Drilling ☐ Workover ☐ Emergency ☐ Cavitation ☐ P&A ☐ Permanent Pit ☐ Below-grade Tank ☐ Multi-well Fluid Management Pit
☐ Alternative

Proposed Closure Method: ☒ Waste Excavation and Removal
☐ Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only)
☐ On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems)
☐ In-place Burial ☐ On-site Trench Burial
☐ Alternative Closure Method

14.

Waste Excavation and Removal Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) **Instructions:** Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.

- ☒ Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☒ Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☒ Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings)
- ☒ Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☒ Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☒ Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC

15.

Siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only): 19.15.17.10 NMAC

Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendations of acceptable source material are provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria require justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency. Please refer to 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance.

Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Ground water is between 25-50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> NA
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Within 300 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Within 300 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended.

- Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within the area overlying a subsurface mine.

- Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within an unstable area.

- Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within a 100-year floodplain.

- FEMA map

☐ Yes ☐ No

16.

On-Site Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) *Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.*

- ☐ Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC
- ☐ Proof of Surface Owner Notice - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☐ Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection K of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- ☐ Construction/Design Plan of Temporary Pit (for in-place burial of a drying pad) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
- ☐ Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☐ Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☐ Waste Material Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☐ Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings or in case on-site closure standards cannot be achieved)
- ☐ Soil Cover Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☐ Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
- ☐ Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC

17.

Operator Application Certification:

I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Name (Print): _____ Title: _____

Signature: _____ Date: _____

e-mail address: _____ Telephone: _____

18.

OCD Approval: ☐ Permit Application (including closure plan) ☐ Closure Plan (only) ☐ OCD Conditions (see attachment)

OCD Representative Signature: _____ Approval Date: 12/22/2015

Title: Environmental Specialist OCD Permit Number: _____

19.

Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion): 19.15.17.13 NMAC

Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved closure plan prior to implementing any closure activities and submitting the closure report. The closure report is required to be submitted to the division within 60 days of the completion of the closure activities. Please do not complete this section of the form until an approved closure plan has been obtained and the closure activities have been completed.

☒ Closure Completion Date: 8/5/14

20.

Closure Method:

- ☒ Waste Excavation and Removal ☐ On-Site Closure Method ☐ Alternative Closure Method ☐ Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only)
- ☐ If different from approved plan, please explain.

21.

Closure Report Attachment Checklist: *Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure report. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.*

- ☒ Proof of Closure Notice (surface owner and division)
- ☐ Proof of Deed Notice (required for on-site closure for private land only)
- ☐ Plot Plan (for on-site closures and temporary pits)
- ☒ Confirmation Sampling Analytical Results (if applicable)
- ☐ Waste Material Sampling Analytical Results (required for on-site closure)
- ☐ Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number
- ☒ Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation
- ☒ Re-vegetation Application Rates and Seeding Technique
- ☒ Site Reclamation (Photo Documentation)

On-site Closure Location: Latitude °N Longitude °W NAD: ☐ 1927 ☐ 1983

Operator Closure Certification:

I hereby certify that the information and attachments submitted with this closure report is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I also certify that the closure complies with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.

Name (Print): Kelly G. Roberts Title: Regulatory Technician

Signature: Kelly G. Roberts Date: 12/14/15

e-mail address: Kelly.Roberts@cop.com Telephone: (505) 326-9775

Burlington Resources Oil & Gas Company, LP
San Juan Basin
Below Grade Tank Closure Report

Lease Name: DAY B 4N

API No.: 30-045-34147

In accordance with Rule 19.15.17.13 NMAC the following information describes the closure of the below-grade tank referenced above. All proper documentation regarding closure activities is being included with the C-144.

General Plan:

1. BR shall close a below-grade tank within 60 days of cessation of operations per Subsection G.4 of 19.15.17.13 NMAC. This will include a) below-grade tanks that do not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC or is not included in Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC within five years, if not retrofitted to comply with Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC; b) an earlier date that the division requires because of imminent danger to fresh water, public health or the environment. For any closure, BR will file the C144 Closure Report as required.

The below-grade tank referenced above was permitted and closed within 60 days of cessation of the below-grade tanks operation.

2. BR shall remove liquids and sludge from a below-grade tank prior to implementing a closure method and shall dispose of the liquids and sludge in a division-approved facility. The facilities to be used will be Basin Disposal (Permit #NM-01-005), JFJ Landfarm % Industrial Ecosystem Inc. (Permit # NM-01-0010B) and Envirotech Land Farm (Permit #NM-01-011). The liner after being cleaned well (Subsection D, Paragraph 1, Subparagraph (m) of 19.15.9.712 NMAC) will be disposed of at the San Juan County Regional Landfill located on CR 3100.

All recovered liquids were disposed of at Basin Disposal (Permit #NM-01-005) and any sludge or soil required to be removed to facilitate closure was hauled to Envirotech Land Farm (Permit #NM-01-011) and JFJ Landfarm % IEI (Permit #NM-01-0010B). The liner was cleaned per Subsection D, Paragraph 1, Subparagraph (m) of 19.15.9.712 NMAC was disposed of at the San Juan County Regional Landfill located on CR 3100.

3. BR will receive prior approval to remove the below-grade tank and dispose of it in a division-approved facility or recycle, reuse, or reclaim it in a manner that the appropriate division district office approves.

The below-grade tank was disposed of in a division-approved manner.

4. If there is any on-site equipment associated with a below-grade tank, then BR shall remove the equipment, unless the equipment is required for some other purpose.

All on-site equipment associated with the below-grade tank was removed.

5. BR will test the soils beneath the below-grade tank to determine whether a release has occurred. BR shall collect, at a minimum, a five point, composite sample; collect individual grab samples from any area that is wet, discolored or showing other evidence of a release; and analyzed for the constituents listed in Table I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC. COPC shall notify the division of its results on form C-141.

12/14/2015

A five point composite sample was taken of the below-grade tank using sampling tools and all samples tested per Subsection B of 19.15.17.1 3(B)(1)(b). (Sample results attached). Form C-141 is attached.

Components	Tests Method	Limit (mg/kg)
Benzene	EPA SW-846 8021B or 8260B	0.2
BTEX	EPA SW-846 8021B or 8260B	50
TPH	EPA SW-846 418.1	100
Chlorides	EPA 300.0	250

6. If BR or the division determines that a release has occurred, then BR shall comply with 19.15.3.116 NMAC and 19.15.1.19 NMAC, as appropriate.

A release was determined for the above referenced well.

7. If the sampling program demonstrates that a release has not occurred or that any release does not exceed the concentrations specified in Table I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, then BR shall backfill the excavation with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material; construct a division-prescribed soil cover; recontour and re-vegetate the site.

The below-grade tank area passed all requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 NMAC and was backfilled with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material.

8. Notice of Closure will be given prior to closure to the Aztec Division office between 72 hours and one week via email or verbally. The notification of closure will include the following:
- Operator's name
 - Location by Unit Letter, Section, Township, and Range. Well name and API number.

Notification of closure was not provided to the Aztec Division office between 72 hours and one week prior to closure.

9. The surface owner shall be notified of BR's closing of the below-grade tank 72 hours, but not more than one week, prior to closure as per the approved closure plan via certified mail, return receipt requested.

The closure process notification to the landowner was not found.

10. Re-contouring of location will match fit, shape, line, form and texture of the surrounding. Re-shaping will include drainage control, prevent ponding, and prevent erosion. Natural drainages will be unimpeded and water bars and/or silt traps will be place in areas where needed to prevent erosion on a large scale. Final re-contour shall have a uniform appearance with smooth surface, fitting the natural landscape.

The below-grade tank area was re-contoured to match fit, shape, line, form and texture of the surrounding area. Re-shaping including drainage control, to prevent ponding and erosion. Natural drainages were unimpeded and water bars and/or silt traps were placed in areas where needed to prevent erosion on a large scale. Final recontour has a uniform appearance with smooth surface, fitting the natural landscape.

11. BR shall seed the disturbed areas the first favorable growing season following closure of a below-grade tank. Seeding will be accomplished via drilling on the contour whenever practical or by other division-approved methods. BLM stipulated seed mixes will used on federally regulated lands and division-approved seed mixtures (administratively approved if required) will be utilized on all State or private lands. A uniform vegetative cover has been established that reflects a life-form ratio of plus or minus fifty percent (50%) of pre- disturbance levels and a total percent plant cover of at least seventy percent (70%) of pre-disturbance levels, excluding noxious weeds. If alternate seed mix is required by the state, private owner or tribe, it will be implemented with administrative approval if needed. COPC will repeat seeding or planting will be continued until successful vegetative growth occurs.

Provision 13 was accomplished through complying with BLM seeding requirements as allowed by the BLM/OCD MOU.

12. A minimum of four feet of cover shall be achieved and the cover shall include one foot of suitable material, with chloride concentrations less than 600 mg/kg as analyzed by EPA Method 300.0, to establish vegetation at the site, or the background thickness of topsoil, whichever is greater.

The below-grade tank area was backfilled and more than four feet of cover was achieved and the cover included one foot of suitable material to establish vegetation at the site.

13. All closure activities will include proper documentation and be available for review upon request and will be submitted to OCD within 60 days of closure of the below-grade tank. Closure report will be filed on C-144 and incorporate the following:
 - Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation (**See Report**)
 - Re-vegetation application rates and seeding techniques (**See Report**)
 - Photo documentation of the site reclamation (**Included as an attachment**)
 - Confirmation Sampling Results (**Included as an attachment**)
 - Proof of closure notice (**Included as an attachment**)

District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
1301 W. Grand Avenue, Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico
Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

Oil Conservation Division
1220 South St. Francis Dr.
Santa Fe, NM 87505

Form C-141
Revised August 8, 2011

Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office to
accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

Release Notification and Corrective Action

OPERATOR

☐ Initial Report ☒ Final Report

Name of Company Burlington Resources, a Wholly Owned Subsidiary of ConocoPhillips Company	Contact Lisa Hunter
Address 3401 East 30th St, Farmington, NM	Telephone No. (505) 258-1607
Facility Name: Day B #4N	Facility Type: Gas Well

Surface Owner Federal	Mineral Owner Federal (SF-078571)	API No. 3004534147
------------------------------	------------------------------------------	---------------------------

LOCATION OF RELEASE

Unit Letter N	Section 07	Township 27N	Range 08W	Feet from the 895'	North/South Line South	Feet from the 1935'	East/West Line West	County San Juan
-------------------------	----------------------	------------------------	---------------------	------------------------------	----------------------------------	-------------------------------	-------------------------------	---------------------------

Latitude 36.58495 Longitude -107.72423

NATURE OF RELEASE

Type of Release Hydrocarbon	Volume of Release Unknown	Volume Recovered None
Source of Release Below Grade Tank (BGT) Closure	Date and Hour of Occurrence Unknown	Date and Hour of Discovery 07-28-14
Was Immediate Notice Given? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not Required	If YES, To Whom? N/A	
By Whom? N/A	Date and Hour N/A	
Was a Watercourse Reached? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse. N/A	

If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.*
N/A

Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.*

Below-Grade Tank Closure activities with samples taken resulting in constituents exceeded standards outlined by 19.15.17.13 NMAC.


Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.*

NMOCD action levels for releases are specified in NMOCD's Guidelines for Leaks, Spills and Releases and the release was assigned a ranking score of 10. Samples were collected and analytical results are below applicable NMOCD action levels. No further work will be performed. The final report is attached for review.

I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to ground water, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

Signature:



Approved by Environmental Specialist:

Printed Name: **Lisa Hunter**

Title: **Field Environmental Specialist**

Approval Date:

Expiration Date:

E-mail Address: **Lisa.Hunter@cop.com**

Conditions of Approval:

Attached ☐

Date: **December 14, 2015**

Phone: **(505) 258-1607**

* Attach Additional Sheets If Necessary



Animas Environmental Services, LLC

www.animasenvironmental.com

624 E. Comanche
Farmington, NM 87401
505-564-2281

Durango, Colorado
970-403-3084

September 26, 2014

Lindsay Dumas
ConocoPhillips
San Juan Business Unit
Office 214-07
5525 Hwy 64
Farmington, New Mexico 87401

Via electronic mail to: SJBUE-Team@ConocoPhillips.com

**RE: Below Grade Tank Closure Report
Day B #4N
San Juan County, New Mexico**

Dear Ms. Dumas:

Animas Environmental Services, LLC (AES) is pleased to provide the final report associated with the below grade tank (BGT) closure at ConocoPhillips (CoP) Day B #4N, located in San Juan County, New Mexico. Tank removal was completed by CoP contractors while AES was on site.

1.0 Site Information

1.1 Location

Site Name – Day B #4N

Legal Description – SE¼ SW¼, Section 7, T27N, R8W, San Juan County, New Mexico

Well Latitude/Longitude – N36.58476 and W107.72436, respectively

BGT Latitude/Longitude – N36.58495 and W107.72423, respectively

Land Jurisdiction – Bureau of Land Management

Figure 1. Topographic Site Location Map

Figure 2. Aerial Site Map, August 2014

1.2 NMOCD Ranking

In accordance with the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) *Guidelines for Remediation of Leaks, Spills, and Releases* (August 1993), the location was given a ranking score of 10 based on the following factors:

- **Depth to Groundwater:** A cathodic protection report for the Day B #4, located 850 feet northwest and 31 feet higher than the location, reported no groundwater within 300 feet below ground surface (bgs). (0 points)
- **Wellhead Protection Area:** The tank location is not within a wellhead protection area. (0 points)
- **Distance to Surface Water Body:** The wash in Fresno Canyon is 700 feet northeast of the location. (10 points)

1.3 BGT Closure Assessment

AES was initially contacted by Ralph Sloane, CoP representative, on July 28, 2014, and on August 5, 2014, Corwin Lameman and David Reese of AES mobilized to the location. AES personnel collected six soil samples from below the BGT liner. Four samples were collected from the perimeter of the BGT footprint, one sample was collected from the center of the BGT footprint, and one sample was composited from the four perimeter samples and one center sample.

2.0 Soil Sampling

On August 5, 2014, AES personnel collected five soil samples (S-1 through S-5) and one 5-point composite (SC-1) from below the BGT. Soil samples were collected from approximately 0.5 feet below the former BGT for field screening of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and analysis of total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH). Soil sample SC-1 was field screened for VOCs, TPH, and chloride, and was submitted for confirmation laboratory analysis. Soil sample locations are included on Figure 2.

2.1 Field Sampling

2.1.1 Volatile Organic Compounds

A portion of each sample was utilized for field screening of VOC vapors with a photo-ionization detector (PID) organic vapor meter (OVM). Before beginning field screening, the PID-OVM was first calibrated with 100 parts per million (ppm) isobutylene gas.

2.1.2 Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons

Soil samples were also analyzed in the field for TPH per U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method 418.1 using a Buck Scientific Model HC-404 Total Hydrocarbon Analyzer Infrared Spectrometer (Buck). A 3-point calibration was completed prior to conducting soil analyses. Field analytical protocol followed AES's *Standard Operating Procedure: Field Analysis Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons per EPA Method 418.1*.

2.1.3 Chlorides

Soil sample SC-1 was field screened for chlorides using Chloride Drop Count Titration with silver nitrate. Sampling and analysis methods followed procedures provided by Hach Company.

2.2 Laboratory Analyses

The composite soil sample SC-1 collected for laboratory analysis was placed into a new, clean, laboratory-supplied container, which was then labeled, placed on ice, and logged onto a sample chain of custody record. The sample was maintained on ice until delivery to the analytical laboratory, Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory (Hall), in Albuquerque, New Mexico. Soil sample SC-1 was laboratory analyzed for:

- Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene (BTEX) per USEPA Method 8260B;
- TPH for gasoline range organics (GRO) and diesel range organics (DRO) per USEPA Method 8015D; and
- Chloride per USEPA Method 300.0.

2.3 Field and Laboratory Analytical Results

Field screening readings for VOCs via OVM ranged from 2.0 ppm in S-4 up to 50.5 ppm in S-3. Field TPH concentrations ranged from 40.6 mg/kg in S-4 up to 2,040 mg/kg in S-3. The field chloride concentration in SC-1 was 100 mg/kg. Field sampling results are summarized in Table 1 and presented on Figure 2. The AES Field Sampling Report is attached.

Table 1. Soil Field Sampling VOCs, TPH, and Chloride Results
Day B #4N BGT Closure, August 2014

<i>Sample ID</i>	<i>Date Sampled</i>	<i>Depth below BGT (ft)</i>	<i>VOCs OVM Reading (ppm)</i>	<i>TPH 418.1 (mg/kg)</i>	<i>Field Chlorides (mg/kg)</i>
<i>NMOCD Action Level* (NMAC 19.15.17.13E)</i>			<i>NE/100</i>	<i>100/1,000</i>	<i>250/NE</i>
S-1	8/5/14	0.5	6.1	272	NA
S-2	8/5/14	0.5	2.9	276	NA
S-3	8/5/14	0.5	50.5	2,040	NA
S-4	8/5/14	0.5	2.0	40.6	NA
S-5	8/5/14	0.5	9.8	142	NA
SC-1	8/5/14	0.5	15.4	533	100

NA - not analyzed

NE - not established

*Action level determined by the NMOCD ranking score per *NMOCD Guidelines for Remediation of Leaks, Spills, and Releases* (August 1993) and NMAC 19.15.17.13E.

Laboratory analytical results reported benzene and total BTEX concentrations in SC-1 as less than 0.028 mg/kg and 0.14 mg/kg, respectively. TPH concentrations as GRO and DRO were reported at less than 2.8 mg/kg and at 140 mg/kg, respectively. The laboratory chloride concentration was reported at 260 mg/kg. Laboratory analytical results are summarized in Table 2 and included on Figure 2. The laboratory analytical report is attached.

Table 2. Soil Laboratory Analytical Results
Day B #4N BGT Closure, August 2014

<i>Sample ID</i>	<i>Date Sampled</i>	<i>Depth (ft)</i>	<i>Benzene (mg/kg)</i>	<i>Total BTEX (mg/kg)</i>	<i>TPH-GRO (mg/kg)</i>	<i>TPH-DRO (mg/kg)</i>	<i>Chlorides (mg/kg)</i>
NMOCD Action Level* (NMAC 19.15.17.13E)			0.2/10	50	100/1,000		250/NE
SC-1	8/5/14	0.5	<0.028	<0.14	<2.8	140	260

NE - not established

*Action level determined by the NMOCD ranking score per *NMOCD Guidelines for Remediation of Leaks, Spills, and Releases* (August 1993) and NMAC 19.15.17.13E.

3.0 Conclusions and Recommendations


NMOCD action levels for BGT closures are specified in New Mexico Administrative Code (NMAC) 19.15.17.13E. Field TPH concentrations were above the NMOCD action level of 100 mg/kg in all samples except S-4, with the highest concentration reported in S-3 with 2,040 mg/kg. Benzene and total BTEX concentrations in SC-1 were below the NMOCD action levels of 0.2 mg/kg and 50 mg/kg, respectively. However, laboratory TPH concentrations exceeded the NMOCD action level of 100 mg/kg, and chloride concentrations in SC-1 were above the NMOCD action level of 250 mg/kg. Based on field and laboratory analytical results for benzene, total BTEX, TPH, and chlorides, a release was confirmed at Day B #4N.

Action levels for releases are determined by the NMOCD ranking score per *NMOCD Guidelines for Remediation of Leaks, Spills, and Releases* (August 1993), and the site was assigned a rank of 10. Benzene and total BTEX concentrations were reported below the NMOCD action levels of 10 mg/kg and 50 mg/kg, respectively, in SC-1. Laboratory TPH concentrations as GRO/DRO were also below the NMOCD action level of 1,000 mg/kg. NMOCD release action levels have not been established for chloride.


Based on final field and laboratory analytical results of the release at the Day B #4N, benzene, total BTEX, VOC, and TPH concentrations were below applicable NMOCD action levels for a site ranking of 10. No further work is recommended.

If you have any questions about this report or site conditions, please do not hesitate to contact Emilee Skyles at (505) 564-2281.

Sincerely,



David J. Reese
Environmental Scientist



Elizabeth McNally, P.E.

Attachments:

- Figure 1. Topographic Site Location Map
- Figure 2. Aerial Site Map, August 2014
- AES Field Sampling Report 080514
- Hall Analytical Report 1408232

C:\Users\emcnally\Dropbox (Animas Environmental)\0000 Animas Server Dropbox EM\2014
Projects\ConocoPhillips\Day B #4N\Day B #4N BGT Closure Report 092614.docx





FIGURE 1

TOPOGRAPHIC SITE LOCATION MAP

ConocoPhillips

DAY B #4N

SE¼ SW¼, SECTION 7, T27N, R8W
SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO
N36.58476, W107.72436



Animas Environmental Services, LLC

DRAWN BY:

S. Glasses

DATE DRAWN:

August 6, 2014

REVISIONS BY:

C. Lameman

DATE REVISED:

August 6, 2014

CHECKED BY:

E. Skyles

DATE CHECKED:

August 6, 2014

APPROVED BY:

E. McNally

DATE APPROVED:

August 6, 2014

LEGEND

● SAMPLE LOCATIONS

Field Sampling Results

Sample ID	Date	OVM-PID (ppm)	TPH (mg/kg)	Chlorides (mg/kg)
NMOCD ACTION LEVEL		--	100/1,000	250/NE
S-1	8/5/14	6.1	272	NA
S-2	8/5/14	2.9	276	NA
S-3	8/5/14	50.5	2,040	NA
S-4	8/5/14	2.0	40.6	NA
S-5	8/5/14	9.8	142	NA
SC-1	8/5/14	15.4	533	100

SC-1 IS A 5-POINT COMPOSITE SAMPLE OF S-1 THROUGH S-5. NA - NOT ANALYZED


Laboratory Analytical Results

Sample ID	Date	Benzene (mg/kg)	Total BTEX (mg/kg)	TPH - GRO (mg/kg)	TPH - DRO (mg/kg)	Chlorides (mg/kg)
NMOCD ACTION LEVEL		0.2/10	50	100/1,000		250/NE
SC-1	8/5/14	<0.028	<0.14	<2.8	140	260

SAMPLE WAS ANALYZED PER EPA METHOD 8260B, 8015D, AND 300.0.



DAY B #4N WELL MONUMENT




AERIAL SOURCE: © 2013 GOOGLE EARTH PRO, AERIAL DATE: MAY 2, 2013

FIGURE 2

AERIAL SITE MAP
BELOW GRADE TANK CLOSURE
AUGUST 2014

ConocoPhillips
DAY B #4N

SE¼ SW¼, SECTION 7, T27N, R8W
SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO
N36.58476, W107.72436



Animas Environmental Services, LLC

DRAWN BY:
S. Glasses

DATE DRAWN:
August 6, 2014

REVISIONS BY:
C. Lameman

DATE REVISED:
August 6, 2014

CHECKED BY:
E. Skyles

DATE CHECKED:
August 6, 2014

APPROVED BY:
E. McNally

DATE APPROVED:
August 6, 2014

AES Field Sampling Report



Animas Environmental Services, LLC

www.animasenvironmental.com

624 E. Comanche
Farmington, NM 87401
505-564-2281

Durango, Colorado
970-403-3084

Client: ConocoPhillips

Project Location: Day B #4N

Date: 8/5/2014

Matrix: Soil

Sample ID	Collection Date	Time of Sample Collection	Sample Location	OVM (ppm)	Field Chloride (mg/kg)	Field TPH Analysis Time	Field TPH* (mg/kg)	TPH PQL (mg/kg)	DF	TPH Analysts Initials
S-1	8/5/2014	11:15	North	6.1	NA	11:57	272	20.0	1	CL
S-2	8/5/2014	11:17	South	2.9	NA	12:00	276	20.0	1	DR
S-3	8/5/2014	11:19	East	50.5	NA	12:03	2,041	20.0	1	CL
S-4	8/5/2014	11:22	West	2.0	NA	12:07	40.6	20.0	1	DR
S-5	8/5/2014	11:25	Center	9.8	NA	12:09	142	20.0	1	CL
SC-1	8/5/2014	11:28	Composite	15.4	100	12:39	533	20.0	1	CL

DF

Dilution Factor

NA

Not Analyzed

ND

Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

PQL

Practical Quantitation Limit

*Field TPH concentrations recorded may be below PQL.

Field Chloride - Quantab Chloride Titrators or Drop Count

Titration with Silver Nitrate

Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons - USEPA 418.1

Analyst:

Carli
David Reese



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory
4901 Hawkins NE
Albuquerque, NM 87109
TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107
Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

August 08, 2014

Debbie Watson

Animas Environmental
624 East Comanche
Farmington, NM 87401
TEL: (505) 486-4071
FAX

RE: CoP Day B #4N

OrderNo.: 1408232

Dear Debbie Watson:

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory received 1 sample(s) on 8/6/2014 for the analyses presented in the following report.

These were analyzed according to EPA procedures or equivalent. To access our accredited tests please go to www.hallenvironmental.com or the state specific web sites. In order to properly interpret your results it is imperative that you review this report in its entirety. See the sample checklist and/or the Chain of Custody for information regarding the sample receipt temperature and preservation. Data qualifiers or a narrative will be provided if the sample analysis or analytical quality control parameters require a flag. When necessary, data qualifiers are provided on both the sample analysis report and the QC summary report, both sections should be reviewed. All samples are reported, as received, unless otherwise indicated. Lab measurement of analytes considered field parameters that require analysis within 15 minutes of sampling such as pH and residual chlorine are qualified as being analyzed outside of the recommended holding time.

Please don't hesitate to contact HEAL for any additional information or clarifications.

ADHS Cert #AZ0682 -- NMED-DWB Cert #NM9425 -- NMED-Micro Cert #NM0190

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Andy Freeman", is written over a horizontal line.

Andy Freeman
Laboratory Manager
4901 Hawkins NE
Albuquerque, NM 87109

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.**Analytical Report**

Lab Order 1408232

Date Reported: 8/8/2014

CLIENT: Animas Environmental**Client Sample ID:** SC-1**Project:** CoP Day B #4N**Collection Date:** 8/5/2014 11:28:00 AM**Lab ID:** 1408232-001**Matrix:** SOIL**Received Date:** 8/6/2014 7:35:00 AM

Analyses	Result	RL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 8015D: DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS							Analyst: BCN
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	140	10		mg/Kg	1	8/6/2014 11:53:56 AM	14626
Surr: DNOP	98.8	57.9-140		%REC	1	8/6/2014 11:53:56 AM	14626
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS							Analyst: JRR
Chloride	260	30		mg/Kg	20	8/6/2014 12:56:31 PM	14629
EPA METHOD 8260B: VOLATILES SHORT LIST							Analyst: KJH
Benzene	ND	0.028		mg/Kg	1	8/6/2014 11:50:10 AM	R20394
Toluene	ND	0.028		mg/Kg	1	8/6/2014 11:50:10 AM	R20394
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.028		mg/Kg	1	8/6/2014 11:50:10 AM	R20394
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.056		mg/Kg	1	8/6/2014 11:50:10 AM	R20394
Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	86.6	70-130		%REC	1	8/6/2014 11:50:10 AM	R20394
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	82.3	70-130		%REC	1	8/6/2014 11:50:10 AM	R20394
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	87.9	70-130		%REC	1	8/6/2014 11:50:10 AM	R20394
Surr: Toluene-d8	90.4	70-130		%REC	1	8/6/2014 11:50:10 AM	R20394
EPA METHOD 8015D MOD: GASOLINE RANGE							Analyst: KJH
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	2.8		mg/Kg	1	8/6/2014 11:50:10 AM	R20394
Surr: BFB	113	61.2-137		%REC	1	8/6/2014 11:50:10 AM	R20394

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	B	Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
	E	Value above quantitation range	H	Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
	J	Analyte detected below quantitation limits	ND	Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
	O	RSD is greater than RSDlimit	P	Sample pH greater than 2.
	R	RPD outside accepted recovery limits	RL	Reporting Detection Limit
	S	Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits		

QC SUMMARY REPORT

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1408232

08-Aug-14

Client: Animas Environmental

Project: CoP Day B #4N

Sample ID	MB-14629	SampType:	MBLK	TestCode:	EPA Method 300.0: Anions					
Client ID:	PBS	Batch ID:	14629	RunNo:	20424					
Prep Date:	8/6/2014	Analysis Date:	8/6/2014	SeqNo:	594128	Units:	mg/Kg			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride	ND	1.5								

Sample ID	LCS-14629	SampType:	LCS	TestCode:	EPA Method 300.0: Anions					
Client ID:	LCSS	Batch ID:	14629	RunNo:	20424					
Prep Date:	8/6/2014	Analysis Date:	8/6/2014	SeqNo:	594129	Units:	mg/Kg			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride	14	1.5	15.00	0	94.0	90	110			

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

QC SUMMARY REPORT

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1408232

08-Aug-14

Client: Animas Environmental

Project: CoP Day B #4N

Sample ID	MB-14626	SampType:	MBLK	TestCode:	EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics					
Client ID:	PBS	Batch ID:	14626	RunNo:	20396					
Prep Date:	8/6/2014	Analysis Date:	8/6/2014	SeqNo:	593213	Units:	mg/Kg			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	10								
Surr: DNOP	9.0		10.00		90.3	57.9	140			

Sample ID	LCS-14626	SampType:	LCS	TestCode:	EPA Method 8015D: Diesel Range Organics					
Client ID:	LCSS	Batch ID:	14626	RunNo:	20396					
Prep Date:	8/6/2014	Analysis Date:	8/6/2014	SeqNo:	593214	Units:	mg/Kg			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	45	10	50.00	0	90.5	68.6	130			
Surr: DNOP	4.5		5.000		89.9	57.9	140			

Qualifiers:

* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
E Value above quantitation range
J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
P Sample pH greater than 2.
RL Reporting Detection Limit

QC SUMMARY REPORT

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1408232

08-Aug-14

Client: Animas Environmental

Project: CoP Day B #4N

Sample ID	MB-14615MK		SampType: MBLK		TestCode: EPA Method 8260B: Volatiles Short List					
Client ID:	PBS		Batch ID: R20394		RunNo: 20394					
Prep Date:			Analysis Date: 8/6/2014		SeqNo: 593945		Units: mg/Kg			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	ND	0.050								
Toluene	ND	0.050								
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.050								
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.10								
mp-Xylenes	ND	0.050								
o-Xylene	ND	0.050								
Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	0.45		0.5000		90.5	70	130			
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.44		0.5000		88.7	70	130			
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	0.46		0.5000		91.3	70	130			
Surr: Toluene-d8	0.45		0.5000		90.6	70	130			

Sample ID	LCS-14615MK		SampType: LCS		TestCode: EPA Method 8260B: Volatiles Short List					
Client ID:	LCSS		Batch ID: R20394		RunNo: 20394					
Prep Date:			Analysis Date: 8/6/2014		SeqNo: 593946		Units: mg/Kg			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	0.97	0.050	1.000	0	97.1	70	130			
Toluene	0.99	0.050	1.000	0	99.3	60.1	120			
Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	0.44		0.5000		87.9	70	130			
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.41		0.5000		82.9	70	130			
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	0.45		0.5000		90.0	70	130			
Surr: Toluene-d8	0.46		0.5000		91.5	70	130			

Qualifiers:

* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
E Value above quantitation range
J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
P Sample pH greater than 2.
RL Reporting Detection Limit

QC SUMMARY REPORT

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1408232

08-Aug-14

Client: Animas Environmental

Project: CoP Day B #4N


Sample ID	MB-14615MK	SampType:	MBLK	TestCode:	EPA Method 8015D Mod: Gasoline Range					
Client ID:	PBS	Batch ID:	R20394	RunNo:	20394					
Prep Date:		Analysis Date:	8/6/2014	SeqNo:	593947	Units:	mg/Kg			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	5.0								
Surr: BFB	480		500.0		95.1	61.2	137			

Sample ID	LCS-14615MK	SampType:	LCS	TestCode:	EPA Method 8015D Mod: Gasoline Range					
Client ID:	LCSS	Batch ID:	R20394	RunNo:	20394					
Prep Date:		Analysis Date:	8/6/2014	SeqNo:	593948	Units:	mg/Kg			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	30	5.0	25.00	0	118	80	120			
Surr: BFB	560		500.0		113	61.2	137			

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory
4901 Hawkins NE
Albuquerque, NM 87109
TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107
Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

Sample Log-In Check List

Client Name: Animas Environmental

Work Order Number: 1408232

RcptNo: 1

Received by/date:

AT 08/06/14

Logged By: Anne Thorne

8/6/2014 7:35:00 AM

Anne Thorne

Completed By: Anne Thorne

8/6/2014

Anne Thorne

Reviewed By:

MG 08/06/14

Chain of Custody

1. Custody seals intact on sample bottles? Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Present ☒
2. Is Chain of Custody complete? Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Present ☐
3. How was the sample delivered? Courier

Log In

4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes ☒ No ☐ NA ☐
5. Were all samples received at a temperature of $>0^{\circ}\text{C}$ to 6.0°C ? Yes ☒ No ☐ NA ☐
6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes ☒ No ☐
7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes ☒ No ☐
8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes ☒ No ☐
9. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes ☐ No ☒ NA ☐
10. VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes ☐ No ☐ No VOA Vials ☒
11. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes ☐ No ☒
12. Does paperwork match bottle labels?
(Note discrepancies on chain of custody) Yes ☒ No ☐
13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes ☒ No ☐
14. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes ☒ No ☐
15. Were all holding times able to be met?
(If no, notify customer for authorization.) Yes ☒ No ☐

of preserved
bottles checked
for pH:

(<2 or >12 unless noted)

Adjusted? _____

Checked by: _____

Special Handling (if applicable)

16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes ☐ No ☐ NA ☒

Person Notified: _____

Date: _____

By Whom: _____

Via: ☐ eMail ☐ Phone ☐ Fax ☐ In Person

Regarding: _____

Client Instructions: _____

17. Additional remarks:

per dew collection date is 08/05/14
AT 08/06/14

18. Cooler Information

Cooler No.	Temp $^{\circ}\text{C}$	Condition	Seal Intact	Seal No.	Seal Date	Signed By
1	3.6	Good	Yes			

Client: Arimas Environmental
Services
Mailing Address: 404 E. Comanche
Farmington NM 87401
Phone #: 505-564-2281
email or Fax#:
QA/QC Package:
☒ Standard ☐ Level 4 (Full Validation)
Accreditation
☐ NELAP ☐ Other _____
☐ EDD (Type)


☐ Standard ☒ Rush Same Day

Project Name: Cap Day B #4N

Project #: _____

Project Manager: D. Watson

Sampler:	CL/DR
On Ice:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Sample Temperature	31



www.hallenvironmental.com

4901 Hawkins NE - Albuquerque, NM 87109



Tel. 505-345-3975 Fax 505-345-4107

Analysis Request

X	BTEX + PAHs (8021)
	BTEX + MTBE + TPH (Gas only)
X	TPH 8015B (GRO / DRO / PAHs)
	TPH (Method 418.1)
	EDB (Method 504.1)
	PAH's (8310 or 8270 SIMS)
	RCRA 8 Metals
	Anions ($F, Cl, NO_3, NO_2, PO_4, SO_4$)
	8081 Pesticides / 8082 PCB's
	8260B (VOA)
	8270 (Semi-VOA)
X	Chlorides (200.0)
	Air Bubbles (Y or N)

Air Bubbles (Y or N)

[illegible][illegible]

Date: 8/5/14	Time: 1759	Relinquished by: 
Date: 8/5/14	Time: 1910	Relinquished by: 

Received by:	Date	Time
Christopher Walty	8/5/14	1755
Received by:	Date	Time
[Signature]	08/06/14	157

Remarks: Bill to Conoco Phillips
WD: 10356716
Supervisor: Mark McKnight
User: KGA
Area: 22

If necessary, samples submitted to Hall Environmental may be subcontracted to other accredited laboratories. This serves as notice of this possibility. Any sub-contracted data will be clearly notated on the analytical report.

ort. # 41
vms 9

Day B #4N

