OIL CONS. DIV DIST. 3

District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
1301 W. Grand Avenue, Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico
Energy Minerals and Natural Resources
Department
Oil Conservation Division
1220 South St. Francis Dr.
Santa Fe, NM 87505

DEC 2 1 2015

Form C-144 July 21, 2008

For temporary pits, closed-loop systems, and below-grade tanks, submit to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

For permanent pits and exceptions submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

Pr	Pit, Closed-Loc oposed Alternative M	op System, Belo Method Permit of			<u>on</u>
45 -08760 below-grad	tion: Permit of a pit, closure plan only set tank, or proposed alternative	osed-loop system, belo existing permit submitted for an existing or method	ow-grade tank, or particle or nor	proposed alterna n-permitted pit,	closed-loop system,
Instructions: Please so Please be advised that approval of environment. Nor does approval re		perator of liability should of	operations result in pol	llution of surface v	water, ground water or the
Operator: BP AMERICA PF Address: 200 Energy Court Facility or well name: LOBAT					
API Number: 3004508760		OCD P:41			
	20 ==				on County
	Section 3.0 Town				
	atitude 36.75628 State Private Tribal Trust		107.76096		_ NAD: [1927 1983
String-Reinforced	type: Thicknessmil				
Type of Operation: P&A [intent) Drying Pad Above Gro Lined Unlined Liner ty	osection H of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Drilling a new well Work ound Steel Tanks Haul-off E pe: Thicknessn Factory Other	over or Drilling (Applies Bins			
	bbl Type of fluid: Produ	dewalls, liner, 6-inch lift a			
5. Alternative Method:				100	- ICHES

Submittal of an exception request is required. Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

Fencing: Subsection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits, temporary pits, and below-grade tanks) Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top (Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent residence, school, institution or church) Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet Alternate. Please specify 4' Hogwire with single barbed wire	l, hospital,
Netting: Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks) Screen Netting Other Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)	
8. Signs: Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC ☐ 12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers ☑ Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC	
Administrative Approvals and Exceptions: Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance. Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank: Administrative approval(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district or the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau consideration of approval. Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.	ı office for
Siting Criteria (regarding permitting): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of accematerial are provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria may require administrative approval from the approfice or may be considered an exception which must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of Applicant must attach justification for request. Please refer to 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance. Siting criteria does not apply to dry above-grade tanks associated with a closed-loop system.	opriate district approval.
Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of the temporary pit, permanent pit, or below-grade tank. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	➤ Yes □ No
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ⋈ No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. (Applies to temporary, emergency, or cavitation pits and below-grade tanks) - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	× Yes □ No □ NA
Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. (Applies to permanent pits) - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No 区 NA
Within 500 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring that less than five households use for domestic or stock watering purposes, or within 1000 horizontal feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of initial application. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	➤ Yes □ No
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes 🗷 No
Within 500 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	¥ Yes □ No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	☐ Yes 🗵 No
Within an unstable area. - Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map	☐ Yes ☒ No
Within a 100-year floodplain FEMA map	x Yes □ No

Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. □ Hydrogeologic Report (Below-grade Tanks) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC □ Hydrogeologic Data (Temporary and Emergency Pits) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC □ Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC □ Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC □ Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC □ Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC □ Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number:
Closed-loop Systems Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Geologic and Hydrogeologic Data (only for on-site closure) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (3) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations (only for on-site closure) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC
Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number:
Previously Approved Operating and Maintenance Plan API Number: (Applies only to closed-loop system that use
above ground steel tanks or haul-off bins and propose to implement waste removal for closure)
13.
Proposed Closure: 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan. Type: Drilling Workover Emergency Cavitation P&A Permanent Pit Below-grade Tank Closed-loop System Alternative Proposed Closure Method: Waste Excavation and Removal Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only) On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems) In-place Burial On-site Trench Burial Alternative Closure Method (Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau for consideration)
Waste Excavation and Removal Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. ■ Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC ■ Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC ■ Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings) ■ Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC ■ Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection G of 19.15.17.13 NMAC

Waste Removal Closure For Closed-loop Systems That Utilize Above Ground Steel Tanks or Haul-off Bins Only: (19.15.17.13.) Instructions: Please indentify the facility or facilities for the disposal of liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings. Use attachment if facilities are required.	
Disposal Facility Name: Disposal Facility Permit Number:	
Disposal Facility Name: Disposal Facility Permit Number:	
Will any of the proposed closed-loop system operations and associated activities occur on or in areas that will not be used for future ser Yes (If yes, please provide the information below) No	vice and operations?
Required for impacted areas which will not be used for future service and operations: Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection G of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	С
Siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendations of acceptable sour provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria may require administrative approval from the appropriate dist considered an exception which must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval. Justi demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance.	rict office or may be
Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes No
Ground water is between 50 and 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes No
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring that less than five households use for domestic or stock watering purposes, or within 1000 horizontal feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of initial application. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	☐ Yes ☐ No
 Within an unstable area. Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map 	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within a 100-year floodplain FEMA map	☐ Yes ☐ No
18. On-Site Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plans a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Proof of Surface Owner Notice - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Construction/Design Plan of Temporary Pit (for in-place burial of a drying pad) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19. Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Waste Material Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Soil Cover Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection G of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	15.17.11 NMAC

Operator Application Certification:	
I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true, accura	ate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.
30 CONTROL OF THE STATE OF THE	
Name (Print):	Title:
Signature:	Date:
e-mail address:	Telephone:
OCD Approval: Permit Application (Including closure plan) Closure Plan	
OCD Representative Signature:	Approval Date: 12 28 2015
~ ~	Approvar Date. 100 800
Title: Environmental Specialist	OCD Permit Number:
Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion): Subsection I Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved closure plan prior to The closure report is required to be submitted to the division within 60 days of the section of the form until an approved closure plan has been obtained and the closure plan plan has been obtained and the closure plan has been obtained and the closure plan plan has been obtained and the closure plan plan plan plan has been obtained and the closure plan plan plan plan plan plan plan plan	o implementing any closure activities and submitting the closure report. the completion of the closure activities. Please do not complete this
22.	
Closure Method: ☐ Waste Excavation and Removal ☐ On-Site Closure Method ☐ Alternate ☐ If different from approved plan, please explain.	tive Closure Method Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only)
Closure Report Regarding Waste Removal Closure For Closed-loop Systems Instructions: Please indentify the facility or facilities for where the liquids, drill two facilities were utilized.	ing fluids and drill cuttings were disposed. Use attachment if more than
Disposal Facility Name:	Disposal Facility Permit Number:
Disposal Facility Name:	Disposal Facility Permit Number:
Were the closed-loop system operations and associated activities performed on or Yes (If yes, please demonstrate compliance to the items below) No	in areas that will not be used for future service and operations?
Required for impacted areas which will not be used for future service and operation Site Reclamation (Photo Documentation) Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation	ons:
Re-vegetation Application Rates and Seeding Technique	
24. Closure Report Attachment Checklist: Instructions: Each of the following ite mark in the box, that the documents are attached. ∑ Proof of Closure Notice (surface owner and division) □ Proof of Deed Notice (required for on-site closure) □ Plot Plan (for on-site closures and temporary pits) ∑ Confirmation Sampling Analytical Results (if applicable) □ Waste Material Sampling Analytical Results (required for on-site closure) □ Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number ∑ Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation ∑ Re-vegetation Application Rates and Seeding Technique ∑ Site Reclamation (Photo Documentation) On-site Closure Location: Latitude	
	107.76096 NAD:
25. Operator Closure Cartification:	107.76096NAD:1927\overline{\text{N}}1983
Operator Closure Certification: I hereby certify that the information and attachments submitted with this closure rebelief. I also certify that the closure complies with all applicable closure requirements.	eport is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and
Operator Closure Certification: I hereby certify that the information and attachments submitted with this closure re	eport is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and
Operator Closure Certification: I hereby certify that the information and attachments submitted with this closure rebelief. I also certify that the closure complies with all applicable closure requirements.	eport is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and ents and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.

BP AMERICA PRODUCTION COMPANY

SAN JUAN BASIN, NORTHWEST NEW MEXICO

BELOW-GRADE TANK CLOSURE PLAN

Lobato Gas Com C 001 API No. 3004508760 Unit Letter H. Section 3, T29N, R9W

This plan will address the standard protocols and procedures for closure of below-grade tanks (BGTs) on BP America Production Company (BP) well sites. As stipulated in Paragraph A of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall close a BGT within the time periods provided in 19.15.17.13 NMAC, or by an earlier date that the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) requires because of imminent danger to fresh water, public health, safety or the environment. If deviations from this plan are necessary, any specific changes will be included on form C-144 and approved by the NMOCD. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC or is not included in Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC within five years after June 16, 2008, if not retrofit with a BGT that complies with the BP NMOCD approved BGT design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC, if not previously retrofitted to comply with the BP NMOCD approve BGT Design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan, prior to any sale or change in operator pursuant to 19.15.9.9 NMAC. BP shall close the permitted BGT within 60 days of cessation of the BGTs operation or as required by the transitional provisions of Subsection B, D, or E of 19.15.17.17 NMAC.

General Closure Plan

- BP shall notify the surface owner by certified mail that it plans to close a BGT. Evidence of mailing of the notice to the address of the surface owner shown in the county tax records demonstrates compliance with this requirement. Notice was made to the landowner. Attached is a copy of the letter.
- BP shall notify the division District III office verbally or by other means at least 72 hours, but not more than one (1) week, prior to any closure operation. The notice shall

include the operator's name, and the location to be closed by unit letter, section, township and range. If the BGT closure is associated with a particular well, then the notice shall also include the well's name, number and API number.

The initial notice was not provided to the NMOCD during the removal of the BG due to a breach in internal protocol. Notice was given to the NMOCD District III office to witness sampling of the BGT location.

- BP shall remove liquids and sludge from the BGT prior to implementing a closure method and dispose of the liquids and sludge in a NMOCD's division-approved facility. The facilities to be used are:
 - a. BP Crouch Mesa Landfarm, Permit NM-02-003 (Solids)
 - JFJ Landfarm, Permit NM-01-010(B) (Solids and Sludge) b.
 - Basin Disposal, Permit NM-01-0005 (Liquids) C.

- d. Envirotech Inc Soil Remediation Facility, Permit NM-01-0011 (Solids and Sludge)
- e. BP Operated E.E. Elliott SWD #1, API 30-045-27799 (Liquids)
- f. BP Operated 13 GCU SWD #1, API 30-045-28601 (Liquids)
- g. BP Operated GCU 259 SWD, API 30-045-20006 (Liquids)
- h. BP Operated GCU 306 SWD, API 30-045-24286 (Liquids)
- i. BP Operated GCU 307 SWD, API 30-045-24248 (Liquids)
- j. BP Operated GCU 328 SWD, API 30-045-24735 (Liquids)
- k. BP Operated Pritchard SWD #1, API 30-045-28351 (Liquids)

All liquids and sludge in the BGT were removed and sent to one of the above NMOCD approved facilities for disposal.

4. BP shall remove the BGT and dispose of it in a NMOCD approved facility or recycle, reuse, or reclaim it in a manner that the NMOCD approves. If a liner is present and must be disposed of it will be cleaned by scraping any soils or other attached materials on the liner to a de minimus amount and disposed at a permitted solid waste facility, pursuant to Subparagraph (m) of Paragraph (1) of Subsection C of 19.15.35.8 NMAC. Documentation as to the final disposition of the removed BGT will be provided in the final closure report.

The BGT was transported to a storage area for sale and re-use.

- 5. BP shall remove any on-site equipment associated with a BGT unless the equipment is required for well production.
 - All equipment associated with the BGT has been removed.
- 6. BP shall test the soils beneath the BGT to determine whether a release has occurred. BP shall collect at a minimum: a five (5) point composite sample and individual grab samples from any area that is wet, discolored or showing other evidence of a release and analyze for BTEX, TPH and chlorides. The testing methods for those constituents are as follows;

Constituents	Testing Method 21 bbl BGT	Release Verification (mg/Kg)	Sample results
Benzene	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	0.2	< 0.049
Total BTEX	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	50	< 0.098
TPH	US EPA Method SW-846 418.1	100	<20
Chlorides	US EPA Method 300.0 or 4500B	250 or background	<30

Notes: mg/Kg = milligram per kilogram, BTEX = benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes, TPH = total petroleum hydrocarbons. Other EPA methods that the division approves may be applied to all constituents listed. Chloride closure standards will be determined by which ever concentration level is greatest.

Soil under the BGT was sampled for TPH, BTEX and chloride. BTEX and chloride concentrations were below the stated limits. THP exceeded the standard via method 418.1; Method 8015 was not elected at the time. Sampling data is attached.

- 7. BP shall notify the division District III office of its results on form C-141. **C-141 is attached.**
- 8. If it is determined that a release has occurred, then BP will comply with 19.15.30 NMAC and 19.15.29 NMAC, as appropriate.

 Sampling results indicate a release has not occurred.
- 9. If the sampling demonstrates that a release has not occurred or that any release does not exceed the concentrations specified above, then BP shall backfill the excavation, with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material; construct a division-prescribed soil cover, re-contour and re-vegetate the location. The location will be reclaimed if it is not with in the active process area

Sampling demonstrates a release has not occurred.

10. BP shall reclaim the BGT location and all areas associated with the BGT including associated access roads to a safe and stable condition that blends with the surrounding undisturbed area. BP shall substantially restore the impacted surface area to the condition that existed prior to oil and gas operations by placement of the soil cover as provided in Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, re-contour the location and associated areas to a contour that approximates the original contour and blends with the surrounding topography and re-vegetate according to Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC.

The BGT was backfilled and the well has been plugged and abandoned. The surface has been cleared as agreed by the private landowner as part of final reclamation.

11. The soil cover for closures where the BGT has been removed or remediated to the NMOCD's satisfaction shall consist of the background thickness of topsoil or one foot of suitable material to establish vegetation at the site, whichever is greater. The soil cover will be constructed to the site's existing grade and all practicable efforts will be made to prevent ponding of water and erosion of the cover material.

The BGT was backfilled and the well has been plugged and abandoned. The surface has been cleared as agreed by the private landowner as part of final reclamation.

12. BP shall seed the disturbed area the first growing season after closure of the BGT. Seeding will be accomplished by drilling on the contour whenever practical or by other division-approved methods. Vegetative cover will be, at a minimum, 70% of the native perennial vegetative cover (un-impacted by overgrazing, fire or other intrusion damaging to native vegetation), consisting of at least three native plant species, including at least one grass, but not including noxious weeds, and maintenance of that cover through two successive growing seasons. During the two growing seasons that prove viability, there shall be no artificial irrigation of the vegetation.

The BGT was backfilled and the well has been plugged and abandoned. The surface has been cleared as agreed by the private landowner as part of final reclamation.

13. BP shall seed, plant and re-seed pursuant to Paragraph (3) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, until the location successfully achieves the required vegetative cover.

The BGT was backfilled and the well has been plugged and abandoned. The surface has been cleared as agreed by the private landowner as part of final reclamation.

14. Pursuant to Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall notify the NMOCD when it has seeded or planted and when it successfully achieves revegetation.

The BGT was backfilled and the well has been plugged and abandoned. The surface has been cleared as agreed by the private landowner as part of final reclamation.

- 15. Within 60 days of closure completion, BP shall submit a closure report on NMOCD's form C-144, and will include the following;
 - a. proof of closure notification (surface owner and NMOCD)
 - b. sampling analytical reports; information required by 19.15.17 NMAC;
 - c. disposal facility name and permit number
 - d. details on back-filling, capping, covering, and where applicable re-vegetation application rates and seeding techniques and
 - e. site reclamation, photo documentation.

 Closure report on C-144 form is included.
- 16. BP shall certify that all information in the report and attachments is accurate, truthful, and compliant with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.

Certification section of C-144 has been completed.

<u>District I</u> 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 District II 811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 District III 1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410 District IV 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

Form C-141 Revised August 8, 2011

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

						OPERA'	TOR	☐ In	itial Report Final Rep					
Name of C	ompany: E	3P				Contact: Ste			- VA I mai reep					
		Court, Farm	ington, N	IM 87401		Telephone No.: 505-326-9497								
		o Gas Com				Facility Type: Natural gas well								
Surface Ov	mer: Fee			Mineral ()wner	Federal		API	No. 3004508760					
Surface Ov	mer. rec							, All	10. 3004300700					
TI-'t I -tt	C+:	T1:-	D			N OF RE	TATION STATE OF THE PARTY OF TH	E - t/M - t I :-	- I C t - C I					
Unit Letter H	Section 3	Township 29N	Range 9W	Feet from the 1,650	North	/South Line	Feet from the 800	East/West Lin East	e County: San Juan					
		Lat	itude 3	6.75628		Longitude	e -107.76096							
				NAT	TURE	OF REL	EASE							
Type of Rele	ease: none			1172	CICL		Release: unknov	vn Volum	e Recovered: N/A					
Source of Release: below grade tank – 21 bbl							Hour of Occurrence		nd Hour of Discovery: none					
Was Immed	ate Notice	Given?				If YES, To	Whom?							
was illilled	ate Notice		Yes 🛭	No Not R	equired	11 123, 10	whom:							
By Whom?						Date and I	lour	The second						
Was a Water	Was a Watercourse Reached?						If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse.							
☐ Yes ⊠ No														
chloride belo	w BGT clo	sure standard	s. Analysi	s results are attack	hed.				sis resulted for TPH, BTEX and					
Describe Are	ea Affected	and Cleanup	Action Tal	ken.* BGT was re	emoved	and backfilled	l and reclaimed as	s part of the agre	ement with the private landown					
regulations a public health should their or the enviro	Il operators or the envi operations l nment. In a	are required to ironment. The have failed to	to report a e acceptana adequately OCD accep	nd/or file certain reports of a C-141 report investigate and reports of the certain reports	release n ort by th remediat	otifications a e NMOCD m e contaminati	nd perform correct arked as "Final R on that pose a thr	ctive actions for a deport" does not a reat to ground was	ursuant to NMOCD rules and releases which may endanger relieve the operator of liability ster, surface water, human health r compliance with any other					
Signature: 2	an	Try)					OIL CON	SERVATIO	N DIVISION					
Printed Name: Steve Moskal						Approved by	Environmental S	pecialist:						
Γitle: Field I	Environmen	tal Coordinate	or			Approval Date:			on Date:					
E-mail Addr	ess: steven.	moskal@bp.c	om			Conditions of	f Approval:		Attached					
Date: Decer		ets If Necess		one: 505-326-9497	7									

	DI ACCE	NOINEEDING INC							
CLIENT: BP		NGINEERING, INC LOOMFIELD, NM		API #: 3004508760					
CLIENT:		05) 632-1199	5/413	TANK ID (if applicable):					
FIELD REPORT:	(circle one): BGT CONFIRMATION	/ RELEASE INVESTIGATION / OTH	ER:	PAGE #: 1 of	_1				
SITE INFORMATION	I: SITE NAME: LOBAT	O GC C #1		DATE STARTED: 11/17	//15				
QUAD/UNIT: H SEC: 3 TWP:	29N RNG: 9W PM:	NM CNTY: SJ	ST: NM	DATE FINISHED:					
1/4-1/4/FOOTAGE: 1,650'N / 80		TYPE: FEDERAL/STATE FE STRIKE ONTRACTOR: MBF - S. GL		ENVIRONMENTAL SPECIALIST(S): JCI	В				
REFERENCE POINT	_								
1) 21 BGT (SW/DB)	GPS COORD.: 36		DISTANCE/BEA	RING FROM W.H.: 97', S22					
2)	GPS COORD.:			RING FROM W.H.:					
3)	GPS COORD.:			RING FROM W.H.:					
	GPS COORD.:		DISTANCE/BEA	RING FROM W.H.:	OVM				
SAMPLING DATA:	CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD(S) # 0	INCL			READING (ppm)				
1) SAMPLE ID: 21 BGT 5-pt. (NA				
2) SAMPLE ID:									
3) SAMPLE ID:			ALICE TO A STATE OF THE STATE O						
4) SAMPLE ID:	SAMPLE DATE:	SAMPLETIME: LAE	ANALYSIS:						
SOIL DESCRIPTION	SOIL TYPE: SAND SILTY SAND	SILT / SILTY CLAY / CLAY GRAVEL	OTHER PEBBLE	TO COBBLE IN SIZE) BELO	W3FT.				
SOIL COLOR: DARK YELLOV		PLASTICITY (CLAYS): NON PLASTIC / S							
COHESION (ALL OTHERS): NON COHESIVE SLIGHTLY		DENSITY (COHESIVE CLAYS & SILT	The second second second second						
CONSISTENCY (NON COHESIVE SOILS): LO		HC ODOR DETECTED: YES NO EX	PLANATION -						
SAMPLE TYPE: GRAB (COMPOSITE) #		ANY AREAS DISPLAYING WETNESS:	YES NO EXPLA	NATION -					
DISCOLORATION/STAINING OBSERVED: YES	O EXPLANATION -				7.4				
SITE OBSERVATION	IS: LOST INTEGRITY OF EQUIPMENT	YES NO EXPLANATION -							
APPARENT EVIDENCE OF A RELEASE OBSERVE	ED AND/OR OCCURRED : YES NO EXPL			I The hay been a					
EQUIPMENT SET OVER RECLAIMED AREA: OTHER: BGT CONSTRUCTION ALSO CO		TOM WELL SITE PLUGGED &	ARANDONED (F	P&A) AND RELEASED IN MAY	2015				
IMPORTED ROAD BASE; 0 - 3 FT. BEI		TOWN. WELL SITE I LOGOLD &	ADAMOUND (I	CAN AND NEEDHOLD IN MAI	2010.				
SOIL IMPACT DIMENSION ESTIMATION:				TIMATION (Cubic Yards) :	NA				
	IEAREST WATER SOURCE: <1,000	NEAREST SURFACE WATER:	<1,000 NMOC	CD TPH CLOSURE STD: 100	ppm				
SITE SKETCH	BGT Located: off on sit	e PLOT PLAN circle:	attached	CALIB. READ. = NA ppm	RF =0.52				
		Φ	♦ OVM	CALIB. GAS = NA ppm	111 0.02				
PROPERTY —		P&A MARKER	N TIME	NA am/pm DATE: N	Α				
FENCE	*	WARRER		MISCELL. NOTE	S				
			l v	VO:					
				EF#: P- 23	NAME OF TAXABLE				
	×			ID: ZBEEBSOSJS					
				J#: X7-00666-E:RE	ST				
	(3)	PBGTL	_	ermit date(s): 06/14/1					
	¥ (*!*) ←	− T.B. ~ 3' B.G.	_	CD Appr. date(s): 08/08/1	2170-0-1				
8.72			Tai	nk OVM = Organic Vapor Meter					
			A						
	*	χ.	S.P.D.	BGT Sidewalls Visible: Y / N	HHS				
NOTES: BGT = BELOW-GRADE TANK; E.D. = EXCAVATION		ELOW, T.H. = TEST HOLE; ~ = APPROX.; W.H.	= WELL HEAD;	BGT Sidewalls Visible: Y / N					
	.OW-GRADE TANK LOCATION; SPD = SAMPLE F E WALL; DW - DOUBLE WALL; SB - SINGLE BOT		L; NA - NOT N	Magnetic declination: 10°	E				
NOTES: GOOGLE FARTH IMAG	and the second s	ONCITE: 11/17/15		I sall Sura nash					

Analytical Report

Lab Order 1511728

Date Reported: 11/30/2015

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Blagg Engineering

Client Sample ID: 21 BGT 5pt @ 4 1/2'

Project: Lobato GC C1

Collection Date: 11/17/2015 12:20:00 PM

Lab ID: 1511728-001

Matrix: SOIL

Received Date: 11/18/2015 7:30:00 AM

Analyses	Result	RL (Qual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 418.1: TPH		11.7			Anal	yst: TOM
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	ND	20	mg/Kg	1	11/24/2015	22425
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Anal	yst: LGT
Chloride	ND	30	mg/Kg	20	11/24/2015 11:16:09	AM 22509
EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANG	E ORGANIC	S			Anal	yst: KJH
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	9.7	mg/Kg	1	11/23/2015 12:20:31	PM 22442
Surr: DNOP	101	70-130	%REC	1	11/23/2015 12:20:31	PM 22442
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANG	SE				Analy	yst: NSB
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	4.9	mg/Kg	1	11/20/2015 10:53:31	AM 22392
Surr: BFB	82.4	75.4-113	%REC	1	11/20/2015 10:53:31	AM 22392
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analy	st: NSB
Benzene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	11/20/2015 10:53:31	AM 22392
Toluene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	11/20/2015 10:53:31	AM 22392
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	11/20/2015 10:53:31	AM 22392
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.098	mg/Kg	1	11/20/2015 10:53:31	AM 22392
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	103	80-120	%REC	1	11/20/2015 10:53:31	AM 22392

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits Page 1 of 6
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

C	hain	of-Cu	stody Record	Turn-Around	Time:					-	A		F	NV	TE	20	NIN	AF	NT	AI	
lient:	BP	Amen	1(4	Standard	□ Rush	المتاليسينيا	-													RY	,
2012	The	A =	cia aci 7 m	Project Name										riron							
lailing	Address	y Ev	agineering Inc.	LCBA-	TO GC	C1		49	01 H	awki								109			
		3.0		Project #:				Te	el. 50	5-34	5-39	975	F	ax	505-	345-	4107	7			
hone #	#: 5	OS - 3	320-1183										- 4	ysis		mana/sta					
	r Fax#:			Project Mana	ger:			(<u>y</u>	9				Tan I	(†)				1			\Box
A/QC F	Package: dard		☐ Level 4 (Full Validation)	J.	BLALE		s (8021	TPH (Gas only)	SO / JANE			(SIMS)		PO4,SC	PCB's						
ccredi		10,81		Sampler:	J. Blog	5	MB	PH	/ DF	7	=	202		102	3082						5
NEL	AP	□ Othe	er	On Ice:	Yes /	□ No] #	+	RO	118.	504	r 82	ro.	03,1	8/8		JA)	IN			or
EDD	(Type)			Sample Tem	perature: 1,5		1 #	BE	3 (G	po v	po	00	etal	Z,	side	F)-i	2			2
Date	Time	Matrix	Sample Request ID	Container Type and #	Preservative Type	HEAL No. 15/1728	BTEX + MTBE + TMB's (8021)	BTEX + MTBE	TPH 8015B (GRO / DRO / MRO)	TPH (Method 418.1)	EDB (Method 504.1)	PAH's (8310 or 8270 SIMS)	RCRA 8 Metals	Anions (F,CI,NO3,NO2,PO4,SO4)	8081 Pesticides / 8082 PCB's	8260B (VOA)	8270 (Semi-VOA)	CHLCKID			Air Bubbles (Y or N)
17/5	1220	SEIL	21367 5 ot @42'	402×1	COUL	-001	X		×	X				-				X			
7: /	1000	2010				001		19-11													T
				No.																+	\forall
					art or to	A MICHAEL N													+		\vdash
						Marrie Market													+	-	Н
																				+	\forall
							_														\forall
T				1 30 101						H		Ter									\forall
THE STATE OF							192	-1-1				H				HEAT					\Box
																	4.0				\top
											17.5				30		. 7				
													1		10						
ate:	Time:	Relinquish	ed by:	Received by:	, (Date Time	Ren	mark	s: ;	Piu	B	>									
17/5	1530	1/4	4 Dlegg	Miste	Walt	11/17/15 1530		P	Ark	py:	7	BF	FE	25	(0)	T	~				
ate:	Time:	Relinquish	ed by:	Received by:		1 Date Time		R	ets	ey 3	(P:	P	- 2	3	C 2		3)				
Inlis	1800	1/m	vottre Waller	Y W	11/18	15 0730				Co	1 +	2007	- ;	5	tel	4 1	Mo	sk	a/		
If	necessary,	samples sub	mitted to Hall Environmental may be subo	contracted to other a	ccredited laboratorie	s. This serves as notice of thi	s possi	ibility.	Any su												-78

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 30-Nov-15

1511728

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

Lobato GC C1

Sample ID MB-22509

SampType: MBLK

TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

Client ID:

PBS Prep Date: 11/24/2015

Batch ID: 22509

RunNo: 30484

Units: mg/Kg

Analyte Chloride

Analysis Date: 11/24/2015

SeqNo: 930523

HighLimit

%RPD

RPDLimit

Qual

ND

SampType: LCS Batch ID: 22509

1.5

TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

Sample ID LCS-22509

Client ID: LCSS

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit

RunNo: 30484

Prep Date: 11/24/2015

Analysis Date: 11/24/2015

SeqNo: 930524

Units: mg/Kg

Analyte

PQL

15.00

0

LowLimit

%RPD

RPDLimit

Qual

Chloride

Result 14

1.5

94.1

90

HighLimit 110

Qualifiers:

S

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

Sample Diluted Due to Matrix D

Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded H

% Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

RPD outside accepted recovery limits R

Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

E Value above quantitation range

Reporting Detection Limit

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

P Sample pH Not In Range

RL

Page 2 of 6

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1511728

30-Nov-15

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

Lobato GC C1

Sample ID MB-22425

SampType: MBLK

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

Client ID: PBS

Analyte

Batch ID: 22425

RunNo: 30453

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit

0

0

Prep Date: 11/19/2015

Analysis Date: 11/24/2015

20

20

SeqNo: 929502

Units: mg/Kg

HighLimit

RPDLimit

Qual

Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR

Sample ID LCS-22425

Prep Date: 11/19/2015

ND

SampType: LCS

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

Client ID: LCSS

Batch ID: 22425

RunNo: 30453

Units: mg/Kg

RPDLimit

Analyte Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR

Analysis Date: 11/24/2015 PQL Result

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC 100.0

SeqNo: 929503 116

HighLimit LowLimit

83.6

%RPD

%RPD

Qual

Sample ID LCSD-22425

SampType: LCSD

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH RunNo: 30453

116

Prep Date: 11/19/2015

Client ID: LCSS02

Batch ID: 22425

120

120

SeqNo: 929504

Units: mg/Kg

RPDLimit Qual

Page 3 of 6

Analyte Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR

Analysis Date: 11/24/2015 Result

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC PQL

20

100.0

116

LowLimit 83.6 HighLimit 116 %RPD

0 20

Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded H
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- Sample pH Not In Range

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Analysis Date: 11/23/2015

10

51

5.5

WO#:

1511728

30-Nov-15

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

Prep Date: 11/20/2015

Diesel Range Organics (DRO)

Analyte

Surr: DNOP

Lobato GC C1

Sample ID MB-22442 SampType: MBLK TestCode: EPA Method 8015M/D: Diesel Range Organics Client ID: PBS Batch ID: 22442 RunNo: 30413 Prep Date: 11/20/2015 Analysis Date: 11/23/2015 SeqNo: 928213 Units: mg/Kg SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD **RPDLimit** Diesel Range Organics (DRO) ND 10 Surr: DNOP 11 10.00 110 70 130 Sample ID LCS-22442 TestCode: EPA Method 8015M/D: Diesel Range Organics SampType: LCS Client ID: LCSS Batch ID: 22442 RunNo: 30413

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC

0

50.00

5.000

SeqNo: 928361

101

110

LowLimit

57.4

70

Units: mg/Kg

139

130

%RPD

RPDLimit

Qual

HighLimit

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 4 of 6

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

23

990

5.0

25.00

1000

WO#:

1511728

30-Nov-15

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)

Surr: BFB

Lobato GC C1

Sample ID MB-22392 SampType: MBLK TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range Client ID: **PBS** Batch ID: 22392 RunNo: 30395 Prep Date: 11/18/2015 Analysis Date: 11/20/2015 SeqNo: 927442 Units: mg/Kg %RPD Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit **RPDLimit** Qual Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) ND 5.0 Surr: BFB 810 1000 80.7 75.4 113 Sample ID LCS-22392 SampType: LCS TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range Client ID: LCSS Batch ID: 22392 RunNo: 30395 Analysis Date: 11/20/2015 SegNo: 927443 Prep Date: 11/18/2015 Units: mg/Kg PQL %RPD **RPDLimit** Result SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit Qual Analyte

91.5

99.4

79.6

75.4

122

113

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 5 of 6

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1

1511728 30-Nov-15

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

Lobato GC C1

Sample ID MB-22392 Client ID: PBS Prep Date: 11/18/2015	Batc	SampType: MBLK TestCode: EPA Method 8 Batch ID: 22392 RunNo: 30395 Analysis Date: 11/20/2015 SeqNo: 927458 U				od 8021B: Volatiles Units: mg/Kg							
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual			
Benzene	ND	0.050				1111		44110					
Toluene	ND	0.050											
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.050											
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.10											
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.0		1.000	referred	102	80	120			الأعال			
Sample ID LCS-22392	Samp ⁻	Type: LC	s	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8021B: Vola	tiles	107/11/7				
Client ID: LCSS	Batc	h ID: 22	392	F	RunNo: 3	0395							
Prep Date: 11/18/2015	Analysis I	Date: 11	/20/2015		SegNo: 9	27459	Units: mg/K	(g					

Prep Date: 11/18/2015	Analysis I	Date: 1	1/20/2015	5	SeqNo: 9	27459	Units: mg/k	(g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	1.0	0.050	1.000	0	101	80	120		THE RESERVE	- 17-2
Toluene	0.96	0.050	1.000	0	95.8	80	120			
Ethylbenzene	1.0	0.050	1.000	0	101	80	120			
Xylenes, Total	2.9	0.10	3.000	0	98.3	80	120			
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.3		1.000		127	80	120			S

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 6 of 6



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NF. Albuquergus, KM 87109

TEL. 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: www.hallenvironmenval.com

Sample Log-In Check List

Client Name: BLAGG Work Order Num	ber: 1511728		RopiNo: 1
Received byldate:	5		
Logged By: Ashley Gallegos 11/18/2015 7:30:00	AM (A	
Completed By: Ashley Gallegos 11/18/2015 8:41:12	2 AM	A	
Reviewed By: 11/18/15			
Chain of Custody			The second second
1 Custody seals intact on sample bottles?	Yes 🗆	No. L	Not Present ❤
2. Is Chain of Custody complete?	Yes W	No 🗆	Not Present
3. How was the sample delivered?	Couler		
<u>Log In</u>			
4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?	Yes 🔽	No 🗔	NA. L
5. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C	Yes 🗹	No 🗆	NA L
6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?	Yes 🗹	No 🏳	
7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?	Yes V	No 🗆	
8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved?	Yes 🛛	No 🗆	
9 Was preservative added to bottles?	Yes 🖸	No le	NA 🗆
10. VOA vials have zero headspace?	Yes []	No. [No VOA Vials
11. Were any sample containers received broken?	Yes 🗆	No V	# of preserved
Table 1	- PA	W. (7)	bottles checked
12. Does paperwork match buttle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody)	Yes 🗹	No 🔛	for pH: (<2 or >12 unless noted)
13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?	Yes V	No 🗆	Adjusted?
14. Is it clear what analyses were requested?	Yes W	No 🗆	W. I. V. S.
15. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.)	Yes 🗹	No 🔲	Checked by
Special Handling (if applicable)			
16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order?	Yes 🗀	No D	NA.W
Person Natifieri: Dát	•		
By Whom: Via:	A second	Phone Fax	In Person
Regarding:			
Client Instructions:			
17. Additional remarks:			
18. Cooler Information			
Cooler No Temp "C Condition Seal Intact Seal No	Seal Date	Signed By	
1 1.5 Good Yes	4	- 4	



