<u>District I</u> 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 District II 811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 District III 1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources Department Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

Form C-144 Revised June 6, 2013

For temporary pits, below-grade tanks, and multi-well fluid management pits, submit to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

For permanent pits submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

	Pit, Below-Grade Tank, or	
13693 Propo	sed Alternative Method Permit or Closure Plan Appl	ication
Type of action:	☐ Below grade tank registration ☐ Permit of a pit or proposed alternative method	OIL CONS. DIV DIST. 3
45-09050	☐ Closure of a pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method ☐ Modification to an existing permit/or registration	DEC 1 5 2015
or proposed alter	Closure plan only submitted for an existing permitted or non-permitted relative method	ed pit, below-grade tank,
Instructions: Plea	se submit one application (Form C-144) per individual pit, below-grade tank or	alternative request

Closure plan only submitted for an existing permitted or non-permitted pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method
Instructions: Please submit one application (Form C-144) per individual pit, below-grade tank or alternative request
lease be advised that approval of this request does not relieve the operator of liability should operations result in pollution of surface water, ground water or the nvironment. Nor does approval relieve the operator of its responsibility to comply with any other applicable governmental authority's rules, regulations or ordinances.
1. Operator: _Burlington Resources Oil & Gas Company, LP _ OGRID #: _14538 Address: _PO BOX 4289, Farmington, NM 87499 Facility or well name: _Murphy E 1 API Number:30-045-09050
Pit: Subsection F, G or J of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Temporary: Drilling Workover Drilling Workover Drilling Cavitation P&A Multi-Well Fluid Management Low Chloride Drilling Fluid yes no Lined Unlined Liner type: Thickness mil LLDPE HDPE PVC Other String-Reinforced Volume: bbl Dimensions: L x W x D Liner Seams: Welded Factory Other Volume: bbl Dimensions: L x W x D Liner Seams: Drilling Fluid Peter Seams: Welded Factory Other Potential Pvolume: bbl Dimensions: L x W x D Liner Seams: Drilling Fluid Peter Seams: Drill
3.
Below-grade tank: Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
Volume: 120 bbl Type of fluid: Produced Water
Tank Construction material: Metal
☐ Secondary containment with leak detection ☒ Visible sidewalls, liner, 6-inch lift and automatic overflow shut-off
☐ Visible sidewalls and liner ☐ Visible sidewalls only ☐ Other
Liner type: Thickness mil HDPE PVC Other Unspecified
Line type. Thickness init Tible Tve Other
Alternative Method: Submittal of an exception request is required. Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.
5.
Fencing: Subsection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits, temporary pits, and below-grade tanks)
Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top (Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution or church)
Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet
Altamata Place charify

20

Netting: Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks)	
☐ Screen ☐ Netting ☐ Other	
☐ Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)	
7.	
Signs: Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC	
☐ 12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers	
☐ Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC	
8. Variances and Exceptions:	
Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance.	
Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank:	
 □ Variance(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district for consideration of approval. □ Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval. 	
Exception(s). Requests must be submitted to the Santa Te Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.	
9. Siting Criteria (regarding permitting): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of accommendation are provided below. Siting criteria does not apply to drying pads or above-grade tanks.	eptable source
General siting	
Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of a low chloride temporary pit or below-grade tank. - □ NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; □ USGS; □ Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of a Temporary pit, permanent pit, or Multi-Well Fluid Management pit. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes No
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	☐ Yes ☐ No
 Within an unstable area. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map 	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within a 100-year floodplain. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) - FEMA map	Yes No
Below Grade Tanks	
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, significant watercourse, lake bed, sinkhole, wetland or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☒ No
Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for public or livestock consumption;. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☒ No
Temporary Pit using Low Chloride Drilling Fluid (maximum chloride content 15,000 mg/liter)	
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). (Applies to low chloride temporary pits.) - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet from a occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.	☐ Yes ☐ No
 Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image 	
Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 300feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of the initial application. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No

Within 100 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Temporary Pit Non-low chloride drilling fluid	
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse, or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in the existence at the time of the initial application; - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Permanent Pit or Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit	
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 feet of a wetland US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 Natructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the do attached. Hydrogeologic Report (Below-grade Tanks) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Hydrogeologic Data (Temporary and Emergency Pits) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19. and 19.15.17.13 NMAC Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number:	NMAC 15.17.9 NMAC
Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the docattached. Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC A List of wells with approved application for permit to drill associated with the pit. Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19 and 19.15.17.13 NMAC Hydrogeologic Data - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC	
Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number:	

Permanent Pits Permit Application Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the	documents are
attached. ☐ Hydrogeologic Report - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC ☐ Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC ☐ Climatological Factors Assessment ☐ Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC	
Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Leak Detection Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Liner Specifications and Compatibility Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC	
 Quality Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installation Plan ○ Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC ○ Freeboard and Overtopping Prevention Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC ○ Nuisance or Hazardous Odors, including H₂S, Prevention Plan ○ Emergency Response Plan ○ Oil Field Waste Stream Characterization 	
 ☐ Monitoring and Inspection Plan ☐ Erosion Control Plan ☐ Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC 	
Proposed Closure: 19.15.17.13 NMAC	
Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan. Type: Drilling Workover Emergency Cavitation P&A Permanent Pit Below-grade Tank Multi-well F Alternative Proposed Closure Method: Waste Excavation and Removal Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only) On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems)	luid Management Pit
☐ In-place Burial ☐ On-site Trench Burial ☐ Alternative Closure Method	
Waste Excavation and Removal Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. □ Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC □ Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.13 NMAC □ Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings) □ Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC □ Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC □ Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	
Siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendations of acceptable sour provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria require justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency. Fig. 15.17.10 NMAC for guidance.	
Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes No
Ground water is between 25-50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes No
Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes No
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance	L 165 L NO

adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within an unstable area. - Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map	
Within a 100-year floodplain.	Yes No
- FEMA map	☐ Yes ☐ No
On-Site Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plan by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Proof of Surface Owner Notice - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection K of 19.15.17.13 Construction/Design Plan of Temporary Pit (for in-place burial of a drying pad) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15 Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Waste Material Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings or in case on-site closure standards cannot Soil Cover Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	11 NMAC 5.17.11 NMAC
Operator Application Certification: I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and believed.	
Name (Print): Title:	
Signature: Date:	1
e-mail address:Telephone:	E aria,
OCD Approval: Permit Application (including closure plan) Closure Plan (only) OCD Conditions (see attachment) OCD Representative Signature: Approval Date: 1212 Title: OCD Permit Number:	813015
Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion): 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved closure plan prior to implementing any closure activities and submitting The closure report is required to be submitted to the division within 60 days of the completion of the closure activities. Please do not section of the form until an approved closure plan has been obtained and the closure activities have been completed.	
☐ Closure Completion Date: 06/04/2014	
20. Closure Method: Waste Excavation and Removal □ On-Site Closure Method □ Alternative Closure Method □ Waste Removal (Closed-location of the Control of	op systems only)
Closure Report Attachment Checklist: Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure report. Please incomark in the box, that the documents are attached. Proof of Closure Notice (surface owner and division) Proof of Deed Notice (required for on-site closure for private land only) Plot Plan (for on-site closures and temporary pits) Confirmation Sampling Analytical Results (if applicable) Waste Material Sampling Analytical Results (required for on-site closure) Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation Re-vegetation Application Rates and Seeding Technique Site Reclamation (Photo Documentation)	dicate, by a check

22.	,	
Operator Closu	Certification:	
I hereby certify t	the information and attachments submitted with this closure report is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and	
belief. I also cer	that the closure complies with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.	
Name (Print):	Crystal Walker Title: Regulatory Coordinator	
Signature:	Total Walker Date: 12/11/2015	
e-mail address:	crystal.walker@cop.com Telephone: (505) 326-9837	

Burlington Resources Oil & Gas Company, LP San Juan Basin Below Grade Tank Closure Report

Lease Name: Murphy E 1 API No.: 30-045-09050

In accordance with Rule 19.15.17.13 NMAC the following information describes the closure of the below-grade tank referenced above. All proper documentation regarding closure activities is being included with the C-144.

General Plan:

BR shall close a below-grade tank within 60 days of cessation of operations per Subsection G.4 of 19.15.17.13 NMAC.
 This will include a) below-grade tanks that do not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC or is not included in Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC within five years, if not retrofitted to comply with Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC; b) an earlier date that the division requires because of imminent danger to fresh water, public health or the environment. For any closure, BR will file the C144 Closure Report as required.

The below-grade tank referenced above was permitted and closed within 60 days of cessation of the below-grade tanks operation.

2. BR shall remove liquids and sludge from a below-grade tank prior to implementing a closure method and shall dispose of the liquids and sludge in a division-approved facility. The facilities to be used will be Basin Disposal (Permit #NM-01-005), JFJ Landfarm % Industrial Ecosystem Inc. (Permit # NM-01-0010B) and Envirotech Land Farm (Permit #NM-01-011). The liner after being cleaned well (Subsection D, Paragraph 1, Subparagraph (m) of 19.15.9.712 NMAC) will be disposed of at the San Juan County Regional Landfill located on CR 3100.

All recovered liquids were disposed of at Basin Disposal (Permit #NM-01-005) and any sludge or soil required to be removed to facilitate closure was hauled to Envirotech Land Farm (Permit #NM-01-011) and JFJ Landfarm % IEI (Permit #NM-01-0010B). The liner was cleaned per Subsection D, Paragraph 1, Subparagraph (m) of 19.15.9.712 NMAC was disposed of at the San Juan County Regional Landfill located on CR 3100.

 BR will receive prior approval to remove the below-grade tank and dispose of it in a division-approved facility or recycle, reuse, or reclaim it in a manner that the appropriate division district office approves.

The below-grade tank was disposed of in a division-approved manner.

4. If there is any on-site equipment associated with a below-grade tank, then BR shall remove the equipment, unless the equipment is required for some other purpose.

All on-site equipment associated with the below-grade tank was removed.

5. BR will test the soils beneath the below-grade tank to determine whether a release has occurred. BR shall collect, at a minimum, a five point, composite sample; collect individual grab samples from any area that is wet, discolored or showing other evidence of a release; and analyzed for the constituents listed in Table I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC. COPC shall notify the division of its results on form C-141.

A five point composite sample was taken of the below-grade tank using sampling tools and all samples tested per Subsection B of 19.15.17.1 3(B)(1)(b). (Sample results attached). Form C-141 is attached.

Components	Tests Method	Limit (mg/kg)		
Benzene	EPA SW-846 8021B or 8260B	0.2		
BTEX	EPA SW-846 8021B or 8260B	50		
TPH	EPA SW-846 418.1	100		
Chlorides	EPA 300.0	250		

6. If BR or the division determines that a release has occurred, then BR shall comply with 19.15.3.116 NMAC and 19.15.1.19 NMAC, as appropriate.

A release was determined for the above referenced well.

7. If the sampling program demonstrates that a release has not occurred or that any release does not exceed the concentrations specified in Table I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, then BR shall backfill the excavation with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material; construct a division-prescribed soil cover; recontour and re-vegetate the site.

The below-grade tank area passed all requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 NMAC and was backfilled with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material.

- 8. Notice of Closure will be given prior to closure to the Aztec Division office between 72 hours and one week via email or verbally. The notification of closure will include the following:
 - i. Operator's name
 - ii. Location by Unit Letter, Section, Township, and Range. Well name and API number.

Notification is missing.

9. The surface owner shall be notified of BR's closing of the below-grade tank 72 hours, but not more than one week, prior to closure as per the approved closure plan via certified mail, return receipt requested.

The closure process notification to the landowner was not found.

10. Re-contouring of location will match fit, shape, line, form and texture of the surrounding. Re-shaping will include drainage control, prevent ponding, and prevent erosion. Natural drainages will be unimpeded and water bars and/or silt traps will be place in areas where needed to prevent erosion on a large scale. Final re-contour shall have a uniform appearance with smooth surface, fitting the natural landscape.

The below-grade tank area was re-contoured to match fit, shape, line, form and texture of the surrounding area. Re-shaping including drainage control, to prevent ponding and erosion. Natural drainages were unimpeded and water bars and/or silt traps were placed in areas where needed to prevent erosion on a large scale. Final recontour has a uniform appearance with smooth surface, fitting the natural landscape.

11. BR shall seed the disturbed areas the first favorable growing season following closure of a below-grade tank. Seeding will be accomplished via drilling on the contour whenever practical or by other division-approved methods. BLM stipulated seed mixes will used on federally regulated lands and division-approved seed mixtures (administratively approved if required) will be utilized on all State or private lands. A uniform vegetative cover has been established that reflects a life-form ratio of plus or minus fifty percent (50%) of pre- disturbance levels and a total percent plant cover of at least seventy percent (70%) of pre-disturbance levels, excluding noxious weeds. If alternate seed mix is required by the state, private owner or tribe, it will be implemented with administrative approval if needed. COPC will repeat seeding or planting will be continued until successful vegetative growth occurs.

Provision 13 was accomplished through complying with BLM seeding requirements as allowed by the BLM/OCD MOU.

12. A minimum of four feet of cover shall be achieved and the cover shall include one foot of suitable material, with chloride concentrations less than 600 mg/kg as analyzed by EPA Method 300.0, to establish vegetation at the site, or the background thickness of topsoil, whichever is greater.

The below-grade tank area was backfilled and more than four feet of cover was achieved and the cover included one foot of suitable material to establish vegetation at the site.

- 13. All closure activities will include proper documentation and be available for review upon request and will be submitted to OCD within 60 days of closure of the below-grade tank. Closure report will be filed on C-144 and incorporate the following:
 - Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation (See Report)
 - Re-vegetation application rates and seeding techniques (See Report)
 - Photo documentation of the site reclamation (Included as an attachment)
 - Confirmation Sampling Results (Included as an attachment)
 - Proof of closure notice (Missing)

District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
1301 W. Grand Avenue, Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

ivision Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office to accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

Form C-141 Revised August 8, 2011

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

			Rele	ease Notific	eatior	and C	orrective A	ction		To a		
						OPERA	TOR		☐ Initi	al Report		Final Report
		urlington R Phillips Co		, a Wholly Own	ned	Contact Li	sa Hunter		S HAR			
		th St, Farm		NM		Telephone	No. (505) 258-	1607		T. S. 18		
Facility Na	me: Murp	hy E 1				Facility Typ	e: Gas Well (l	P&A)				
Surface Ow	ner Feder	ral		Mineral C)wner	Federal (S	F-043260-B)		API No	. 3004509	050	
			1/4	LOCA	TION	OF RE	LEASE			ANCES.	1.45	
Unit Letter	Section 34	Township 30N	Range 11W	Feet from the 990		South Line North	Feet from the 990	1000000	West Line East	County San Juan		
				Latitude 36.7	73745	Longitu	de - <u>107.972439</u>	9				
				NAT	URE	OF REL	EASE					
						Volume of	A ROBERT SPECIAL DIE	nown	11. 27/10/07/27/2000	Recovered	Non	e
Source of Re	elease Belo	w Grade Ta	nk (BGT)	Closure Resamp	ole	Unknown	Hour of Occurrent	ce	Date and 10/26/15	Hour of Dis	covery	100
Was Immedi	ate Notice G	liven?			-	If YES, To		_	10/20/15			1000
			Yes [No Not Re	equired	N/A						1.00
By Whom?	N/A					Date and I	Hour N/A			12.0		
	course Reac	COST THE COST	Yes 🛛 1	No		If YES, V	olume Impacting	the Wat	ercourse.			
N/A Describe Car	use of Proble	em and Remed	dial Action	n Taken.*	Iting in	constituents	exceeded standa	ards out	lined by 19	9.15.17.13 N	MAC.	
NMOCD ac score of 10. final lab rep terminating	stion levels for Samples we out is attach at sandston	or releases an ere collected hed for review he at 2.5 feet.	re specifie and analy w. Sampl	ed in NMOCD's viical results are les were collected	below a l by thir	pplicable Ni d-party env	MOCD action le ironmental using	vels. No	further w probe in th	ork will be e center of	perfori former	med. The BGT area
regulations a public health should their or the enviro	all operators a or the envir operations has onment. In ac	are required to conment. The ave failed to a ddition, NMO	acceptant acceptant adequately OCD accep	nd/or file certain r ce of a C-141 report investigate and r	elease no ort by the emediate	otifications a NMOCD n contaminat	nd perform correct parked as "Final Rich that pose a thr	ctive act Report" of reat to g	ions for rel loes not rel round wate	eases which ieve the oper r, surface wa	may en rator of iter, hur	danger liability nan health
	,						OIL CON	SERV	ATION	DIVISIO	N	
Signature:	Ish	-111				Approved by	Environmental S	Specialic				
Printed Nam	e: Lisa Hur	nter				ripproved by	Livionnental	pecialis				
Title: Field	Environme	ntal Specialis	t			Approval Da	te:		Expiration	Date:		1-6
Type of Release Hydrocarbon Source of Release Below Grade Tank (BGT) Closure Resample Was Immediate Notice Given? Was Immediate Notice Given? Yes No Not Required Mass a Watercourse Reached? Yes No If a Watercourse Reached? Yes No If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.* N/A Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* Below-Grade Tank Closure activities with samples taken resulting in con Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* NMOCD action levels for releases are specified in NMOCD's Guidelines score of 10. Samples were collected and analytical results are below appl final lab report is attached for review. Samples were collected by third-pterminating at sandstone at 2.5 feet. I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the b regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifi public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the NI should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate co or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report does federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations. Signature: Printed Name: Lisa Hunter Title: Field Environmental Specialist Approximately and the proper and t					Conditions o	f Approval:			Attached		ari i	
Date: Decer		5 Ph) 258-1607								



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

November 02, 2015

Emilee Skyles Animas Environmental 604 Pinon Street Farmington, NM 87401 TEL: (505) 564-2281

FAX

RE: COPC Murphy E 1

OrderNo.: 1510C26

Dear Emilee Skyles:

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory received 1 sample(s) on 10/27/2015 for the analyses presented in the following report.

These were analyzed according to EPA procedures or equivalent. To access our accredited tests please go to www.hallenvironmental.com or the state specific web sites. In order to properly interpret your results it is imperative that you review this report in its entirety. See the sample checklist and/or the Chain of Custody for information regarding the sample receipt temperature and preservation. Data qualifiers or a narrative will be provided if the sample analysis or analytical quality control parameters require a flag. When necessary, data qualifers are provided on both the sample analysis report and the QC summary report, both sections should be reviewed. All samples are reported, as received, unless otherwise indicated. Lab measurement of analytes considered field parameters that require analysis within 15 minutes of sampling such as pH and residual chlorine are qualified as being analyzed outside of the recommended holding time.

Please don't hesitate to contact HEAL for any additional information or clarifications.

ADHS Cert #AZ0682 -- NMED-DWB Cert #NM9425 -- NMED-Micro Cert #NM0190

Sincerely,

Andy Freeman

Laboratory Manager

andyl

4901 Hawkins NE

Albuquerque, NM 87109

Analytical Report

Lab Order 1510C26

Date Reported: 11/2/2015

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Animas Environmental

Project: COPC Murphy E 1

Lab ID: 1510C26-001

Client Sample ID: BGT S-1

Collection Date: 10/26/2015 10:14:00 AM

Received Date: 10/27/2015 7:30:00 AM

Analyses	Result	RL (Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 418.1: TPH						Analyst:	том
Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR	530	20		mg/Kg	1	10/29/2015	22036
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS						Analyst:	LGT
Chloride	ND	30		mg/Kg	20	10/29/2015 12:10:30 PM	1 22082
EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANG	E ORGANIC	S				Analyst:	TOM
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	110	9.9		mg/Kg	1	10/29/2015 5:22:41 PM	22053
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	49		mg/Kg	1	10/29/2015 5:22:41 PM	22053
Surr: DNOP	96.2	70-130		%REC	1	10/29/2015 5:22:41 PM	22053
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANG	3E					Analyst:	NSB
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	350	24		mg/Kg	5	10/28/2015 9:02:46 AM	22037
Surr: BFB	380	75.4-113	S	%REC	5	10/28/2015 9:02:46 AM	22037
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES						Analyst	NSB
Benzene	ND	0.12		mg/Kg	5	10/28/2015 9:02:46 AM	22037
Toluene	ND	0.24		mg/Kg	5	10/28/2015 9:02:46 AM	22037
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.24		mg/Kg	5	10/28/2015 9:02:46 AM	22037
Xylenes, Total	1.8	0.49		mg/Kg	5	10/28/2015 9:02:46 AM	22037
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	131	80-120	S	%REC	5	10/28/2015 9:02:46 AM	22037

Matrix: SOIL

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits Page 1 of 6
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1510C26

02-Nov-15

Client:

Animas Environmental

Project:

COPC Murphy E 1

Sample ID MB-22082

SampType: MBLK

TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

Client ID: PBS

Batch ID: 22082

PQL

RunNo: 29897

Prep Date: 10/29/2015

SeqNo: 910686

Units: mg/Kg

Qual

Analyte

Analysis Date: 10/29/2015

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit

HighLimit

%RPD **RPDLimit**

Chloride

ND 1.5

SampType: LCS

TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

Client ID: LCSS

Sample ID LCS-22082

Prep Date: 10/29/2015

Batch ID: 22082

PQL

RunNo: 29897

Units: mg/Kg

Analysis Date: 10/29/2015

Result

SeqNo: 910687 %REC SPK value SPK Ref Val

0

LowLimit

HighLimit

RPDLimit %RPD

Analyte Chloride

1.5 15.00

92.6

Qual

14

110

Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- Sample Diluted Due to Matrix D
- Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded H
- Not Detected at the Reporting Limit ND
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank В
- Value above quantitation range E
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Sample pH Not In Range
- Reporting Detection Limit

Page 2 of 6

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1510C26

02-Nov-15

Client: Project: Animas Environmental COPC Murphy E 1

Sample ID MB-22036

SampType: MBLK

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

PRS Client ID:

Batch ID: 22036

RunNo: 29879

Prep Date: 10/27/2015

Analysis Date: 10/29/2015

SeqNo: 910049

Units: mg/Kg

Analyte

Sample ID LCS-22036

LCSS

Result PQL ND

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit

HighLimit

%RPD **RPDLimit**

Qual

Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR

20

SampType: LCS

TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

RunNo: 29879

Client ID: Prep Date: 10/27/2015 Analyte

Batch ID: 22036 Analysis Date: 10/29/2015

SegNo: 910050

Units: mg/Kg

%RPD

Qual

Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR

Result 100

100.0

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC 104 0

LowLimit 83.6 TestCode: EPA Method 418.1: TPH

HighLimit 116 **RPDLimit**

Qual

Sample ID LCSD-22036

Prep Date: 10/27/2015

LCSS02

SampType: LCSD

PQL

Batch ID: 22036

20

20

RunNo: 29879 SeqNo: 910051

Units: mg/Kg

Analyte Petroleum Hydrocarbons, TR

Client ID:

Analysis Date: 10/29/2015 PQL Result

100

SPK value SPK Ref Val

100.0 0 %REC 101

LowLimit 83.6

%RPD HighLimit 116 2.79

RPDLimit

20

Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- Sample Diluted Due to Matrix D
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- Not Detected at the Reporting Limit ND
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Sample pH Not In Range
- Reporting Detection Limit

Page 3 of 6

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1510C26

02-Nov-15

Client:

Animas Environmental

Project:

COPC Murphy E 1

Sample ID MB-22053	SampType: MBLK			TestCode: EPA Method 8015M/D: Diesel Range Organics						
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 22053		F	RunNo: 29870						
Prep Date: 10/28/2015	Analysis D	ate: 10)/29/2015	S	SeqNo: 9	10099	Units: mg/k	(g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	10						100		
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	50								
Surr: DNOP	9.7		10.00		96.6	70	130			

Sample ID LCS-22053	SampType: LCS			TestCode: EPA Method 8015M/D: Diesel Range Organics						
Client ID: LCSS Batch ID: 22053		F	RunNo: 29870							
Prep Date: 10/28/2015	Analysis D	ate: 10	0/29/2015	8	SeqNo: 9	10100	Units: mg/K	(g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	43	10	50.00	0	86.3	57.4	139			
Surr: DNOP	4.4		5.000		87.1	70	130			

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

Page 4 of 6

- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1510C26

02-Nov-15

Client: Project:

Animas Environmental

Sample ID MB-22037

COPC Murphy E 1

SampType: MBLK

TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range

Client ID:

PBS

Batch ID: 22037

RunNo: 29859

Prep Date: 10/27/2015

Analysis Date: 10/28/2015

5.0

SeqNo: 909453

Units: mg/Kg

Analyte

Result

PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC

HighLimit

Qual

Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)

ND 870

1000

25.00

1000

86.7

75.4

79.6

75.4

LowLimit

RPDLimit

Surr: BFB

Sample ID LCS-22037

SampType: LCS

113 TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range

%RPD

%RPD

Client ID: LCSS

Batch ID: 22037

RunNo: 29859

122

113

Analyte

Prep Date: 10/27/2015

Analysis Date: 10/28/2015

SeqNo: 909454

Units: mg/Kg

Qual

Surr: BFB

Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)

Result PQL SPK value 5.0

SPK Ref Val

%REC LowLimit HighLimit

RPDLimit

SampType: MBLK

TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range

Client ID: PBS

Sample ID 5ML RB

26

940

Batch ID: R29859 Analysis Date: 10/28/2015 RunNo: 29859 SegNo: 909477

105

93.9

Units: %REC

Prep Date: Analyte

Result 870 1000

SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC

LowLimit 87.0 75.4

%RPD HighLimit 113

RPDLimit

Qual

Surr: BFB

Client ID:

Prep Date:

Sample ID 2.5UG GRO LCS

LCSS

SampType: LCS

Batch ID: R29859

Analysis Date: 10/28/2015

PQL

TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range

SPK Ref Val

RunNo: 29859

%RPD

SeqNo: 909478

%REC LowLimit Units: %REC HighLimit

RPDLimit

Qual

Analyte Surr: BFB Result 950 SPK value 1000

95.1

75.4

113

Oualifiers:

- Sample Diluted Due to Matrix D
- Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded H
- RPD outside accepted recovery limits R
- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- Not Detected at the Reporting Limit ND
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank B
- Value above quantitation range
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Sample pH Not In Range
- Reporting Detection Limit

Page 5 of 6

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1510C26

02-Nov-15

Client: Animas Environmental
Project: COPC Murphy E 1

TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles Sample ID MB-22037 SampType: MBLK Batch ID: 22037 RunNo: 29859 Client ID: PBS Prep Date: 10/27/2015 Analysis Date: 10/28/2015 SeqNo: 909488 Units: mg/Kg SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit **RPDLimit** Analyte Result PQL HighLimit %RPD Qual ND 0.050 Benzene 0.050 Toluene ND Ethylbenzene ND 0.050 Xylenes, Total ND 0.10 1.000 Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene 1.1 105 80 120

Sample ID LCS-22037	SampType: LCS Batch ID: 22037 Analysis Date: 10/28/2015			TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles										
Client ID: LCSS				F										
Prep Date: 10/27/2015				5	SeqNo: 9	09489	Units: mg/F	(g						
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual				
Benzene	1.1	0.050	1.000	0	113	80	120							
Toluene	1.0	0.050	1.000	0	102	80	120							
Ethylbenzene	0.99	0.050	1.000	0	98.6	80	120							
Xylenes, Total	3.0	0.10	3.000	0	98.5	80	120							
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.1		1.000		111	80	120							

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 6 of 6



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109

TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website. www.hallenvironmental.com

Sample Log-In Check List

Received by/date: 10 Z4 I5 Logged By: Lindsay Mangin 10/27/2015 7:30:00 AM Completed By: Lindsay Mangin 10/27/2015 9:03:01 AM Reviewed By:	
Completed By: Lindsay Mangin 10/27/2015 9:03:01 AM Reviewed By:	
Chein of Custody 1. Custody seals intact on sample bottles? 2. Is Chain of Custody complete? 3. How was the sample delivered? Log In 4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes No No Not Present A. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes No No Not Present Not Pr	
Chain of Custody 1. Custody seals intact on sample bottles?	
Chein of Custody 1. Custody seals intact on sample bottles? 2. Is Chain of Custody complete? 3. How was the sample delivered? Courier Courier Log In 4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes W No No NA 5. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C Yes W No NA 6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? 7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? 8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? 9. Was preservative added to bottles? 10. VOA vials have zero headspace? 11. Were any sample containers received broken? 12. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) 13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? 14. It it clear what analyses were requested? Yes W No Checked Checked Courier No Note Present Note Present No Note Present No Note Present Note Present Note Present No Note Present Note Present Note Present No Note Present Note Pr	
1. Custody seals intact on sample bottles? 2. Is Chain of Custody complete? 3. How was the sample delivered? Courier Courier Log In 4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes Mo No	
3. How was the sample delivered? Log In 4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? 5. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C 7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? 8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? 9. Was preservative added to bottles? 10. VOA vials have zero headspace? 11. Were any sample containers received broken? 12. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) 13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? 15. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes V No Checked.	$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$
Log In 4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes V No No NA 5. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C Yes V No NA 6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? 7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? 8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? 9. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes No NO NA 10. VOA vials have zero headspace? 11. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes No No No VOA Vials 11. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes No And No VOA Vials 12. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) 13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? 14. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes V No Checked.	
4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes No No NA	
4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes ☑ No □ NA No □ No VOA Vials No □ No VOA Vials No □ No VOA Vials No □ No □ No □ No VOA Vials No □ No □ No VOA Vials No □ No	
6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? 7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? 8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? 9. Was preservative added to bottles? 10. VOA vials have zero headspace? 11. Were any sample containers received broken? 12. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) 13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? 14. Is it clear what analyses were requested? 15. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes V No Checked.	
7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? 8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? 9. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes No	
8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? 9. Was preservative added to bottles? 10. VOA viais have zero headspace? 11. Were any sample containers received broken? 12. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) 13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? 14. Is it clear what analyses were requested? 15. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes No	
9. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes No No No No VOA Vials 10. VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes No No No VOA Vials 11. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes No W # of preserved bottles checked bottles checked bottles checked bottles checked for pH: (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) 13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No Adjuste No Checked Checked	
10. VOA vials have zero headspace? 11. Were any sample containers received broken? 12. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) 13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? 14. Is it clear what analyses were requested? 15. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes No No No No Checked	
11. Were any sample containers received broken? 12. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) 13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? 14. Is it clear what analyses were requested? 15. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes No # of preserved bottles checked for pH: # of preserved bottles checked bott	
# of preserved bottles checked for pH: 12. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) 13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? 14. Is it clear what analyses were requested? 15. Were all holding times able to be met? # of preserved bottles checked for pH: No □ Adjuste **No □ Checked** **Of preserved bottles checked bottles checked for pH: **Of preserved bottles checked bottles checked for pH: **Of preserved bottles checked bottles checked for pH: **Of preserved bottles checked for pH: **No □ **Of preserved bottles checked for pH: *	₩
12. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) 13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? 14. Is it clear what analyses were requested? 15. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes ✓ No ☐ Checked	
(Note discrepancies on chain of custody) 13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? 14. Is it clear what analyses were requested? 15. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes ✓ No ☐ Checked	
13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? 14. Is it clear what analyses were requested? 15. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes ✓ No ☐ Checked	(<2 or >12 unless note
14. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes ✓ No ☐ 15. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes ✓ No ☐ Checked	
15. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes No □ Checked	
(i no, notify desication for additional and in a	by:
Special Handling (if applicable)	
16, Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order?	\mathbf{V}
Person Notified: Date	A September
By Whom: Via: _ eMail _ Phone _ Fax _ In Person	
Regarding:	
Client Instructions:	
17. Additional remarks:	
18. Cooler Information Cooler No Temp C Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By	

Chain-of-Custody Record			HALL ENVIRONMENTA								'AI								
lient Animas Environmental Services, LLC			X Standard □ Rush				ANALYSIS LABORATORY												
MEN				Project Name											nental.				
lailing Address: 604 W Pinon St. Farmington, NM 87401			COPC Murphy E 1				4901 Hawkins NE - Albuquerque, NM 87109 Tel. 505-345-3975 Fax 505-345-4107												
																			hone #:
mail or F	ax#: esk	vles@anir	masenvironmental.com	Project Manag	er.					-									
A/QC Pad Standar	kage:		☐ Level 4 (Full Validation		E. Skyles														
ccreditation:			Sampler: C. Lameman																
1 NELAP Other			On Ice: 🔯 Yes 🗆 No															ê	
1 EDD (Type)			Sample Temperature: 1. 3			-	-	0.0										o	
Date	Time	Matrix	Sample Request ID	Container Type and #	Preservative Type	HEAL NO.	BTEX - 8021B	TPH - EPA 418.1	Chlorides - 300.0										Air Bubbles (Y or N)
-26-15	1014	SOIL	BGT S-1	1 - 4 oz.	cool	-001	X	x	X	,									
							-	#	10	2/1/	EE	5,							
					BE LOVE		12.						+						
	1														_	+		+	+
															+	+		+	+
																+	\vdash	+	+
					200											_	\vdash	+	+
				71-3/1-1															+
					0.150												\vdash	+	+
																	\vdash	+	+
					1998 TE											+		+	+
ate: 0 2 2 1 5 ate:	Time:	Relinquish	· h-	Received by:	Mote Walte 19/24/15 1632				Remarks: Bill to Conoco Phillips WO # Supervisor: Jack Brehfield USERID GARRECD Area:2										
10/26/15	1748	Man	tra. Whiles	Dove Och	+ 1	0/27/15 0730	Ord	ered	by:	linde	514	Dan	has l	liku.	Hante	r			

