District I 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 District II 811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 District III 1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410 District IV 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505 State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources Department Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505 Form C-144 Revised June 6, 2013

For temporary pits, below-grade tanks, and multi-well fluid management pits, submit to the appropriate NMOCD District Office. For permanent pits submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

Pit, Below-Grade Tank, or Proposed Alternative Method Permit or Closure Plan Application
Type of action: Below grade tank registration OIL CONS. DIV DIST. 3
Image: Second properties of a pit or proposed alternative method         Image: Second properties of a pit or proposed alternative method         Image: Second properties of a pit or proposed alternative method         Image: Second properties of a pit or proposed alternative method         Image: Second properties of a pit or proposed alternative method         Image: Second properties of a pit or proposed alternative method         Image: Second properties of a pit or proposed alternative method         Image: Second properties of a pit or proposed alternative method         Image: Second properties of a pit or proposed alternative method         Image: Second properties of a pit or proposed alternative method         Image: Second properties of a pit or proposed alternative method         Image: Second properties of a pit or proposed alternative method         Image: Second properties of a pit or proposed alternative method         Image: Second properties of a pit or proposed alternative method         Image: Second properties of a pit or proposed alternative method         Image: Second properties of a pit or proposed alternative method         Image: Second properties of a pit or proposed alternative method         Image: Second properties of a pit or proposed alternative method         Image: Second properties of a pit or proposed properties of a pit or proposed pit or pit
or proposed alternative method
Instructions: Please submit one application (Form C-144) per individual pit, below-grade tank or alternative request
Please be advised that approval of this request does not relieve the operator of liability should operations result in pollution of surface water, ground water or the environment. Nor does approval relieve the operator of its responsibility to comply with any other applicable governmental authority's rules, regulations or ordinances.
Operator: <u>BP America Production Company</u> OGRID #: <u>778</u>
Address: 200 Energy Court, Farmington, NM 87401
Facility or well name: Riddle C LS 003A
API Number:         3004522450         OCD Permit Number:
U/L or Qtr/Qtr <u>F</u> Section <u>29</u> Township <u>31N</u> Range <u>09W</u> County: <u>San Juan</u>
Center of Proposed Design: Latitude <u>36.872138</u> Longitude <u>-107.806329</u> NAD: □1927 ⊠ 1983
Surface Owner: 🛛 Federal 🗌 State 🗌 Private 🗌 Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment
<sup>2.</sup> <b>Pit:</b> Subsection F, G or J of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
Temporary: Drilling Workover
□ Permanent □ Emergency □ Cavitation □ P&A □ Multi-Well Fluid Management Low Chloride Drilling Fluid □ yes □ no
Lined Unlined Liner type: Thickness mil LLDPE HDPE PVC Other
String-Reinforced
Liner Seams: Welded Factory Other Volume: bbl Dimensions: L x W x D
Below-grade tank: Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC TANK B
Volume: 95 bbl Type of fluid: Produced water
Tank Construction material: <u>Steel</u>
Secondary containment with leak detection 🗌 Visible sidewalls, liner, 6-inch lift and automatic overflow shut-off
Visible sidewalls and liner Visible sidewalls only Other Single wall/ Double bottom; no visible sidewalls
Liner type: Thicknessmil
4. Alternative Method:
Submittal of an exception request is required. Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

<ul> <li>5.</li> <li>Fencing: Subsection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits, temporary pits, and below-grade tanks)</li> <li>Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top (Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent residence, school, institution or church)</li> <li>Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet</li> <li>Alternate. Please specify</li></ul>	hospital,
6,	
Netting:       Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks)         Screen       Netting         Other         Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)	
7.	
Signs:       Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC         12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers         Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC	
8.	
Variances and Exceptions:         Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance.         Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank:         Variance(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district for consideration of approval.         Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.	
9. <u>Siting Criteria (regarding permitting)</u> : 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of accept material are provided below. Siting criteria does not apply to drying pads or above-grade tanks.	ntable source
General siting	
Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of a low chloride temporary pit or below-grade tank	□ Yes □ No □ NA
Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of a Temporary pit, permanent pit, or Multi-Well Fluid Management pit. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	□ Yes □ No □ NA
<ul> <li>Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. (Does not apply to below grade tanks)</li> <li>Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality</li> </ul>	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
<ul> <li>Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. (Does not apply to below grade tanks)</li> <li>Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division</li> </ul>	🗋 Yes 🗌 No
<ul> <li>Within an unstable area. (Does not apply to below grade tanks)</li> <li>Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology &amp; Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map</li> </ul>	Yes No
Within a 100-year floodplain. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) - FEMA map	🗋 Yes 🗌 No
Below Grade Tanks	
<ul> <li>Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, significant watercourse, lake bed, sinkhole, wetland or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).</li> <li>Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site</li> </ul>	🗋 Yes 🗌 No
<ul> <li>Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for public or livestock consumption;.</li> <li>NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site</li> </ul>	🗋 Yes 🗌 No
Temporary Pit using Low Chloride Drilling Fluid (maximum chloride content 15,000 mg/liter)	
<ul> <li>Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). (Applies to low chloride temporary pits.)</li> <li>Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site</li> </ul>	🗌 Yes 🗌 No

4

Within 300 feet from a occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.	Yes No
<ul> <li>Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image</li> </ul>	
Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 300feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of the initial application. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	🗋 Yes 🗌 No
<ul> <li>Within 100 feet of a wetland.</li> <li>US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site</li> </ul>	🗋 Yes 🗌 No
Temporary Pit Non-low chloride drilling fluid	
<ul> <li>Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse, or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).</li> <li>Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site</li> </ul>	□ Yes □ No
<ul> <li>Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.</li> <li>Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image</li> </ul>	Yes No
<ul> <li>Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in the existence at the time of the initial application;</li> <li>NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site</li> </ul>	Yes 🗌 No
<ul> <li>Within 300 feet of a wetland.</li> <li>US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site</li> </ul>	Yes No
Permanent Pit or Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit	
<ul> <li>Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).</li> <li>Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site</li> </ul>	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
<ul> <li>Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.</li> <li>Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image</li> </ul>	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of	
initial application NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	🗋 Yes 🗌 No
<ul> <li>Within 500 feet of a wetland.</li> <li>US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site</li> </ul>	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
10. <u>Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist</u> : Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 N Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the dot attached.	
<ul> <li>Hydrogeologic Report (Below-grade Tanks) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC</li> <li>Hydrogeologic Data (Temporary and Emergency Pits) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC</li> <li>Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC</li> <li>Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC</li> <li>Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC</li> <li>Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19. and 19.15.17.13 NMAC</li> </ul>	
Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number:	
II. Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the doc attached. Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC A List of wells with approved application for permit to drill associated with the pit.	cuments are
<ul> <li>Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19. and 19.15.17.13 NMAC</li> <li>Hydrogeologic Data - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC</li> </ul>	15.17.9 NMAC
<ul> <li>Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC</li> <li>Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number:</li> </ul>	

×

12. <u>Permanent Pits Permit Application Checklist</u> : Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the a attached.	documents are
<ul> <li>Hydrogeologic Report - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC</li> <li>Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC</li> <li>Climatological Factors Assessment</li> <li>Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC</li> <li>Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Leak Detection Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC</li> <li>Liner Specifications and Compatibility Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC</li> <li>Quality Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installation Plan</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC</li> <li>Freeboard and Overtopping Prevention Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC</li> <li>Nuisance or Hazardous Odors, including H<sub>2</sub>S, Prevention Plan</li> <li>Emergency Response Plan</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Oil Field Waste Stream Characterization</li> <li>Monitoring and Inspection Plan</li> <li>Erosion Control Plan</li> </ul>	
Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC	
13. <u>Proposed Closure</u> : 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan.	
Type: Drilling Workover Emergency Cavitation P&A Permanent Pit Below-grade Tank Multi-well Fl Alternative Proposed Closure Method: Waste Excavation and Removal	uid Management Pit
<ul> <li>Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only)</li> <li>On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems)</li> <li>In-place Burial</li> <li>On-site Trench Burial</li> <li>Alternative Closure Method</li> </ul>	
14.	attached to the
Waste Excavation and Removal Closure Plan Checklist:       (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be a closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.            Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC         Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.13 NMAC         Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings)         Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC         Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC         Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC         Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC         Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC         Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC         Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC         Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC         Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC         Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC         Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC         Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	unachea to the
Siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendations of acceptable sour provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria require justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency. P. 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance.	ce material are llease refer to
<ul> <li>Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of the buried waste.</li> <li>NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells</li> </ul>	□ Yes □ No □ NA
Ground water is between 25-50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes No NA
<ul> <li>Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste.</li> <li>NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells</li> </ul>	□ Yes □ No □ NA
<ul> <li>Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).</li> <li>Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site</li> </ul>	Yes No
<ul> <li>Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.</li> <li>Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image</li> </ul>	Yes No
<ul> <li>Within 300 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application.</li> <li>NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site</li> </ul>	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	🗋 Yes 🗌 No
Within 300 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance	

.

1

<ul> <li>adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended.</li> <li>Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality</li> </ul>	Yes No
<ul> <li>Within the area overlying a subsurface mine.</li> <li>Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division</li> </ul>	Yes No
<ul> <li>Within an unstable area.</li> <li>Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology &amp; Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map</li> </ul>	
	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
Within a 100-year floodplain. - FEMA map	Yes No
<ul> <li>16.</li> <li>On-Site Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plane by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.</li> <li>Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC</li> <li>Proof of Surface Owner Notice - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 NMAC</li> <li>Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection K of 19.15.17.13 NMAC</li> <li>Construction/Design Plan of Temporary Pit (for in-place burial of a drying pad) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC</li> <li>Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC</li> <li>Waste Material Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC</li> <li>Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings or in case on-site closure standards canned Soil Cover Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC</li> <li>Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC</li> </ul>	11 NMAC 5.17.11 NMAC
17. Operator Application Certification:	
I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and believed and be	ef.
Name (Print): Title:	
Signature: Date:	
Signature:       Date:         e-mail address:       Telephone:	
	2017
e-mail address: Telephone:	DOID
e-mail address: Telephone: <u>OCD Approval</u> : Permit Application (including closure plan) Closure Plan (only) OCD Conditions (see attachment) OCD Representative Signature: Approval Date: Title: OCD Permit Number: <u>19.</u> <u>Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion)</u> : 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved closure plan prior to implementing any closure activities and submitting	DOID
e-mail address: Telephone: 18. OCD Approval: Permit Application (including closure plan) Closure Plan (only) OCD Conditions (see attachment) OCD Representative Signature: Approval Date: Title: OCD Permit Number: 19. Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion): 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved closure plan prior to implementing any closure activities and submitting the closure report is required to be submitted to the division within 60 days of the completion of the closure activities. Please do not a section of the form until an approved closure plan has been obtained and the closure activities have been completed.	the closure report.

3

.

١

Oil Conservation Division

22. Operator Closure Certification:	
I hereby certify that the information and attachments submitted with the belief. I also certify that the closure complies with all applicable closure	s closure report is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and e requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.
Name (Print): Steve Moskal	Title: Field Environmental Coordinator
Signature: Mars Muc	Date:December 12, 2016
e-mail address: <u>steven.moskal@bp.com</u>	Telephone: (505) 326-9497

## BP AMERICA PRODUCTION COMPANY SAN JUAN BASIN, NORTHWEST NEW MEXICO

#### BELOW-GRADE TANK CLOSURE PLAN

### <u>Riddle C LS 003A</u> <u>API No. 3004522450</u> Unit Letter F, Section 29, T31N, R09W

This plan will address the standard protocols and procedures for closure of below-grade tanks (BGTs) on BP America Production Company (BP) well sites. As stipulated in Paragraph A of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall close a BGT within the time periods provided in 19.15.17.13 NMAC, or by an earlier date that the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) requires because of imminent danger to fresh water, public health, safety or the environment. If deviations from this plan are necessary, any specific changes will be included on form C-144 and approved by the NMOCD. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC or is not included in Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC within five years after June 16, 2008, if not retrofit with a BGT that complies with the BP NMOCD approved BGT design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC, if not previously retrofitted to comply with the BP NMOCD approve BGT Design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan, prior to any sale or change in operator pursuant to 19.15.9.9 NMAC. BP shall close the permitted BGT within 60 days of cessation of the BGTs operation or as required by the transitional provisions of Subsection B, D, or E of 19.15.17.17 NMAC.

### **General Closure Plan**

- 1. BP shall notify the surface owner by certified mail that it plans to close a BGT. Evidence of mailing of the notice to the address of the surface owner shown in the county tax records demonstrates compliance with this requirement. Notice is attached.
- 2. BP shall notify the division District III office verbally or by other means at least 72 hours, but not more than one (1) week, prior to any closure operation. The notice shall include the operator's name, and the location to be closed by unit letter, section, township and range. If the BGT closure is associated with a particular well, then the notice shall also include the well's name, number and API number. Notice was provided and is attached.
- 3. BP shall remove liquids and sludge from the BGT prior to implementing a closure method and dispose of the liquids and sludge in a NMOCD's division-approved facility. The facilities to be used are:
  - a. BP Crouch Mesa Landfarm, Permit NM-02-003 (Solids)
  - b. JFJ Landfarm, Permit NM-01-010(B) (Solids and Sludge)
  - c. Basin Disposal, Permit NM-01-0005 (Liquids)
  - d. Envirotech Inc Soil Remediation Facility, Permit NM-01-0011 (Solids and Sludge)
  - e. BP Operated E.E. Elliott SWD #1, API 30-045-27799 (Liquids)

BP BGT Closure Plan 04-01-2010

- f. BP Operated 13 GCU SWD #1, API 30-045-28601 (Liquids)
- g. BP Operated GCU 259 SWD, API 30-045-20006 (Liquids)
- h. BP Operated GCU 306 SWD, API 30-045-24286 (Liquids)
- i. BP Operated GCU 307 SWD, API 30-045-24248 (Liquids)
- j. BP Operated GCU 328 SWD, API 30-045-24735 (Liquids)
- k. BP Operated Pritchard SWD #1, API 30-045-28351 (Liquids)

All liquids and sludge in the BGT were removed and sent to one of the above NMOCD approved facilities for disposal.

4. BP shall remove the BGT and dispose of it in a NMOCD approved facility or recycle, reuse, or reclaim it in a manner that the NMOCD approves. If a liner is present and must be disposed of it will be cleaned by scraping any soils or other attached materials on the liner to a de minimus amount and disposed at a permitted solid waste facility, pursuant to Subparagraph (m) of Paragraph (1) of Subsection C of 19.15.35.8 NMAC. Documentation as to the final disposition of the removed BGT will be provided in the final closure report.

The BGT was transported for recycling.

5. BP shall remove any on-site equipment associated with a BGT unless the equipment is required for well production.

All equipment associated with the BGT has been removed.

6. BP shall test the soils beneath the BGT to determine whether a release has occurred. BP shall collect at a minimum: a five (5) point composite sample and individual grab samples from any area that is wet, discolored or showing other evidence of a release and analyze for BTEX, TPH and chlorides. The testing methods for those constituents are as follows;

Constituents	Testing Method	<b>Release Verification</b>	Sample
	95 bbl BGT	(mg/Kg)	results
Benzene	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	0.2	< 0.017
Total BTEX	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	50	< 0.070
TPH	US EPA Method SW-846 418.1 or 8015 extended	100	<u>310</u>
Chlorides	US EPA Method 300.0 or 4500B	250 or background	<30

Notes: mg/Kg = milligram per kilogram, BTEX = benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes, TPH = total petroleum hydrocarbons. Other EPA methods that the division approves may be applied to all constituents listed. Chloride closure standards will be determined by which ever concentration level is greatest.

> Soil under the BGT was sampled for BTEX and chloride with all concentrations below the stated limits. TPH exceeded the BGT closure standard, but remained below the spill and release guidelines for a site ranking of 10. The field report and laboratory reports are attached.

7. BP shall notify the division District III office of its results on form C-141.

#### C-141 is attached.

- 8. If it is determined that a release has occurred, then BP will comply with 19.15.30 NMAC and 19.15.29 NMAC, as appropriate.
   Sampling results indicate a release had occurred. TPH exceeded the BGT closure standard, but remained below the spill and release guidelines for a site ranking of 10. Attached is a laboratory report and C-141.
- 9. If the sampling demonstrates that a release has not occurred or that any release does not exceed the concentrations specified above, then BP shall backfill the excavation, with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material; construct a division-prescribed soil cover, re-contour and re-vegetate the location. The location will be reclaimed if it is not with in the active process area

Sampling results indicate a release had occurred. TPH exceeded the BGT closure standard, but remained below the spill and release guidelines for a site ranking of 10. Attached is a laboratory report and field report. The location will be reclaimed once the well is plugged and abandoned.

10. BP shall reclaim the BGT location and all areas associated with the BGT including associated access roads to a safe and stable condition that blends with the surrounding undisturbed area. BP shall substantially restore the impacted surface area to the condition that existed prior to oil and gas operations by placement of the soil cover as provided in Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, re-contour the location and associated areas to a contour that approximates the original contour and blends with the surrounding topography and re-vegetate according to Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC.

The area has been backfilled and will be reclaimed once the well has been plugged and abandoned.

11. The soil cover for closures where the BGT has been removed or remediated to the NMOCD's satisfaction shall consist of the background thickness of topsoil or one foot of suitable material to establish vegetation at the site, whichever is greater. The soil cover will be constructed to the site's existing grade and all practicable efforts will be made to prevent ponding of water and erosion of the cover material.

The area has been backfilled and will be reclaimed once the well has been plugged and abandoned.

12. BP shall seed the disturbed area the first growing season after closure of the BGT. Seeding will be accomplished by drilling on the contour whenever practical or by other division-approved methods. Vegetative cover will be, at a minimum, 70% of the native perennial vegetative cover (un-impacted by overgrazing, fire or other intrusion damaging to native vegetation), consisting of at least three native plant species, including at least one grass, but not including noxious weeds, and maintenance of that cover through two successive growing seasons. During the two growing seasons that prove viability, there shall be no artificial irrigation of the vegetation.

The area has been backfilled and will be reclaimed once the well has been plugged and abandoned. 13. BP shall seed, plant and re-seed pursuant to Paragraph (3) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, until the location successfully achieves the required vegetative cover.

The area has been backfilled and will be reclaimed once the well has been plugged and abandoned.

14. Pursuant to Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall notify the NMOCD when it has seeded or planted and when it successfully achieves revegetation.

### BP will notify NMOCD when re-vegetation is successful.

- 15. Within 60 days of closure completion, BP shall submit a closure report on NMOCD's form C-144, and will include the following;
  - a. proof of closure notification (surface owner and NMOCD)
  - b. sampling analytical reports; information required by 19.15.17 NMAC;
  - c. disposal facility name and permit number
  - d. details on back-filling, capping, covering, and where applicable re-vegetation application rates and seeding techniques and
  - e. site reclamation, photo documentation.
    - Closure report on C-144 form is included including photos of reclamation completion.
- 16. BP shall certify that all information in the report and attachments is accurate, truthful, and compliant with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.

Certification section of C-144 has been completed.

District I 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 District II 811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 District III 1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410 District IV 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

## State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

Form C-141 Revised August 8, 2011

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505 Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

# **Release Notification and Corrective Action**

	OPERATOR	Initial Report	$\boxtimes$	Final Report
Name of Company: BP	Contact: Steve Moskal			
Address: 200 Energy Court, Farmington, NM 87401	Telephone No.: 505-326-9497			
Facility Name: Riddle C LS 003A	Facility Type: Natural gas well	(5)	e	
	a set a		30	

Surface Owner: Federal

Mineral Owner: Federal

API No. 3004522450

### LOCATION OF RELEASE

Unit Letter	Section	Township	Range	Feet from the	North/South Line	Feet from the	East/West Line	County: San Juan
F	29	31N	09W	1,500	North	1,800	West	

Latitude <u>36.872138°</u> Longitude <u>-107.806329°</u>

NATURE OF RELEASE

Type of Release: none	Volume of Release: unknown	Volume Recovered: N/A
Source of Release: below grade tank – 95 bbl	Date and Hour of Occurrence: none	Date and Hour of Discovery: none
Was Immediate Notice Given?	If YES, To Whom?	
Yes X No Not Required		
By Whom?	Date and Hour	
Was a Watercourse Reached?	If YES, Volume Impacting the Wa	tercourse.
🗆 Yes 🖾 No		
If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.*		and the second
Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* Sampling of the		
BTEX and chloride below BGT closure standards. TPH exceeded the BC	GT closure standard, but remained belo	ow the spill and release guidelines for a site
ranking of 10. Field reports and laboratory results are attached.		
Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* No action necessar	v Final laboratory analysis determined	t no remedial action is required
beseries med miteled and cleanap retion raken. The action necessar	y. I mai haboratory anarysis determined	a no remediai action is required.
I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to	the best of my knowledge and understa	and that pursuant to NMOCD rules and
regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release	notifications and perform corrective ac	tions for releases which may endanger
public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the		
should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remedia		
or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report	does not relieve the operator of respon	sibility for compliance with any other
federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.		
22	OIL CONSER	VATION DIVISION
Signature: Mars Miles		
	4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Printed Name: Steve Moskal	Approved by Environmental Speciali	st:
Title: Field Ferriesenet 1 Constitution	1	P. India Data
Title: Field Environmental Coordinator	Approval Date:	Expiration Date:
E-mail Address: steven.moskal@bp.com	Conditions of Approval:	
12-man Audress. steven.moskai@p.com	Conditions of Approval:	Attached

Phone: 505-326-9497

Date: December 12, 2016

\* Attach Additional Sheets If Necessary

# bp



BP America Production Company 200 Energy Court Farmington, NM 87401

October 10, 2016

Bureau of Land Management Whitney Thomas 6251 College Suite A Farmington, NM 87402

### VIA EMAIL

Re: Notification of plans to close/remove a below grade tank Well Name: RIDDLE C LS 003A API #: 3004522450

Dear Mrs. Thomas,

As part of the NM "Pit Rule": 19.15.17.13 Closure Requirements, Paragraph J. BP America Production Company (BP) is required to notify the surface owner of BP's plans to close/remove a below grade tank. BP wishes to inform you of our plans to close/remove the below grade tank on its well pad located on your surface. BP plans to commence this work on or about October 13, 2016. If there aren't any unforeseen problems, the work should be completed within 10 working days.

As a point of clarification, BP will be closing the below grade tank and either operating without one or replacing it with an above ground tank, the well site will continue to operate.

If witnessing of the tank removal is required please contact me for a specific time (505)-326-9497.

Sincerely,

Steven Moskal

BP America Production Company

#### Moskal, Steven

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Railsback, Farrah (CH2M HILL) Monday, October 10, 2016 3:35 PM 'Smith, Cory, EMNRD'; 'Fields, Vanessa, EMNRD (Vanessa.Fields@state.nm.us)' 'jeffcblagg@aol.com'; 'blagg\_njv@yahoo.com'; Moskal, Steven RE: BP Pit Close Notification - RIDDLE C LS 003A

> BP America Production Company 200 Energy Court Farmington, NM 87401 Phone: (505) 326-9200

### SENT VIA E-MAIL TO: CORY.SMITH@STATE.NM.US; VANESSA.FIELDS@STATE.NM.US

October 10, 2016

New Mexico Oil Conservation Division 1000 Rio Brazos Road Aztec, New Mexico 87410

### RE: Notice of Proposed Below-Grade Tank (BGT) Closure

RIDDLE C LS 003A API 30-045-22450 (F) Section 29 – T31N – R09W San Juan County, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Cory Smith and Mrs. Vanessa Fields,

In regards to the captioned subject and requirements of the NMOCD pit rule, this letter is notification that BP is planning to close a 21bbl BGT and a 95BBL BGT that will no longer be operational at this well site. We anticipate this work to start on or around October 13, 2016.

1

Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact BP at our Farmington office.

Sincerely,

Steven Moskal BP Field Environmental Coordinator (505) 326-9497

# Farrah Railsback

BGT Project Support 970-946-9199 -cell

This email and any attachments are intended only for the addressee(s) listed above and may contain confidential, proprietary, and/or privileged information. If you are not an intended recipient, please immediately advise the sender by return email, delete this email and any attachments, and destroy any copies of same. Any unauthorized review, use, copying disclosure or distribution of this email and any attachments is prohibited.

		GINEERING, IN	C	200450	450
CLIENT: BP	P.O. BOX 87, BL			API #: 3004522	2450
	A STATE AND A STATE OF	632-1199		(if applicble):	}
FIELD REPORT:	(circle one): BGT CONFIRMATION / R		THER:	PAGE #:1_ c	of <b>1</b>
SITE INFORMATION		CLS#3A		DATE STARTED: 10/*	13/16
QUAD/UNIT: F SEC: 29 TWP:	31N RNG: 9W PM:	NM CNTY: SJ	ST: NM	DATE FINISHED:	
1/4 -1/4/FOOTAGE: 1,500'N / 1,8	00'W SE/NW LEASE TYP		FEE / INDIAN	ENVIRONMENTAL	
LEASE #: SF078319A	PROD. FORMATION: MV CON	STRIKE TRACTOR: BP - A. SA	LAZAR	SPECIALIST(S):	JV
<b>REFERENCE POINT</b>				GL ELEV.: 6	5.290'
1) 95 BGT (SW/DB) - B		2138 X 107.806329			
2)	GPS COORD .:				
3)	GPS COORD.:		DISTANCE/BEA	RING FROM W.H.:	
	GPS COORD.:			RING FROM W.H.:	
SAMPLING DATA:	CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD(S) # OR L	A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR OFTA CONT		And the set of the set	OVM READING
1) SAMPLE ID: 5PC - TB @ 5'				5B/8021B/300.0 (CI)	(ppm)
2) SAMPLE ID: GRAB @ 8' - (benea					NA
3) SAMPLE ID:					
	SAMPLE DATE:				
				the second se	
SOIL DESCRIPTION					
SOIL COLOR: MODERATE TO DARK COHESION (ALL OTHERS): NON COHESIVE SLIGHTLY		ASTICITY (CLAYS): NON PLASTIC ENSITY (COHESIVE CLAYS & S		OHESIVE / MEDIUM PLASTIC / HIGH	ILY PLASTIC
CONSISTENCY (NON COHESIVE SOILS): LC		ODOR DETECTED: YES NO			_
MOISTURE: DRY/SLIGHTLYMOIST/MOIST/W	ET / SATURATED / SUPER SATURATED				
SAMPLE TYPE: GRAB COMPOSITE #		IY AREAS DISPLAYING WETNES	S: YES NO EXPLAN	IATION -	
DISCOLORATION/STAINING OBSERVED: YES					
SITE OBSERVATION					
EQUIPMENT SET OVER RECLAIMED AREA:			OVE-GRADE TANK	TO BE SET ATOP BGT LOCA	ATION.
OTHER: CONFIRMATION SAMPLE EXCEE	DED BGT PERMIT CLOSURE STANDA	RD FOR TPH. COLLECTED	GRAB SAMPLE AT	NORTHERN END & BENEA	TH NEWLY
INSTALLED ABOVE- GRADE TANK BY A SOIL IMPACT DIMENSION ESTIMATION:		LY, NMOCD REP. NOT PRE t. X NA ft.		CONFIRMATION SAMPLING IMATION (Cubic Yards) :	NA
				D TPH CLOSURE STD: 10	
	BGT Located : off on site	PLOT PLAN circl			
		FLOTFLAN CIG			m RF =0.52
a an	TO W.H.			CALIB. GAS = <u>NA</u> pp : <u>NA</u> am/pm DATE:	NA
				and the second s	
	SOUND WALLS DEDM		Ka z.	MISCELL. NO	IES
	BERM			0:	
		SEPARATOR		EF #: P - 694	
COMPRESSOR			\$15, C	D: VHIXONEVB2	
		HAND AUGER GRAB SAMPLE		J #:	0/40
		10/19/16	State -	ermit date(s): 06/0	
	(95)	ENCE	Tan	k OVM = Organic Vapor Me	
	PBGTL T.B. ~ 5'		B	BGT Sidewalls Visible: Y /	N)
	B.G.			BGT Sidewalls Visible: Y /	<u> </u>
NOTES: BGT = BELOW-GRADE TANK; E.D. = EXCAVATIO				BGT Sidewalls Visible: Y /	
T.B. = TANK BOTTOM; PBGTL = PREVIOUS BEL	IN DEPRESSION, B.G. = BELOW GRADE, B = BELO OW-GRADE TANK LOCATION; SPD = SAMPLE POIN E WALL; DW- DOUBLE WALL; SB - SINGLE BOTTOM	DESIGNATION; R.W. = RETAINING V	NALL; NA - NOT	agnetic declination: 10	
NOTES: GOOGLE EARTH IMAGE		ONSITE: 10/13/1	6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1

Hall Environmental Analysis	Labora	atory, In	ic.		Date Reported: 10/26	/2016
CLIENT: Blagg Engineering			Client Sample	e ID: GI	RAB @ 8' -(beneath	95 BGT)
Project: RIDDLE C LS 3A			Collection I	Date: 10	/19/2016 1:50:00 PM	4
Lab ID: 1610B03-001	Matrix: SOIL Received Date: 10/21/2016 8:15:00 AM					M
Analyses	Result	PQL	Qual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE	ORGANIC	S			Analy	st: TOM
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	9.4	mg/Kg	1	10/25/2016 11:21:46	AM 28237
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	47	mg/Kg	1	10/25/2016 11:21:46	AM 28237
Surr: DNOP	89.3	70-130	%Rec	1	10/25/2016 11:21:46	AM 28237
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANG	E				Analy	st: NSB
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	4.9	mg/Kg	1	10/25/2016 10:59:06	PM 28236
Surr: BFB	86.7	68.3-144	%Rec	1	10/25/2016 10:59:06	PM 28236

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	в	Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
	D	Sample Diluted Due to Matrix	Е	Value above quantitation range
	н	Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded	J	Analyte detected below quantitation limits Page 1 of 3
	ND	Not Detected at the Reporting Limit	Р	Sample pH Not In Range
	R	RPD outside accepted recovery limits	RL	Reporting Detection Limit
	S	% Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix	W	Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

**Analytical Report** Lab Order 1610B03

Analytica	l Report
-----------	----------

Lab Order 1610685

Date Reported: 10/17/2016

# Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

-

Analyses		Decult	POL Qual	Unite	DF Date Analyzed	Batch
Lab ID:	1610685-002	Matrix:	MEOH (SOIL)	Received	Date: 10/14/2016 7:15:00 AN	Л
<b>Project:</b>	Riddle C LS 3A			Collection	Date: 10/13/2016 2:05:00 PM	1
CLIENT:	Blagg Engineering		C	lient Samp	le ID: 5PC-TB@5'(95)-B	

Analyses	Result	PQL Qu	al Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analy	st: MRA
Chloride	ND	30	mg/Kg	20	10/14/2016 12:04:43	PM 28074
EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RA	NGE ORGANIC	S			Analys	st: TOM
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	110	9.8	mg/Kg	1	10/14/2016 12:58:34	PM 28064
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	200	49	mg/Kg	1	10/14/2016 12:58:34	PM 28064
Surr: DNOP	118	70-130	%Rec	1	10/14/2016 12:58:34	PM 28064
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RA	NGE				Analys	st: NSB
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	3.5	mg/Kg	1	10/14/2016 1:09:07 P	M 28056
Surr: BFB	86.0	68.3-144	%Rec	1	10/14/2016 1:09:07 P	M 28056
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analys	st: NSB
Benzene	ND	0.017	mg/Kg	1	10/14/2016 1:09:07 P	M 28056
Toluene	ND	0.035	mg/Kg	1	10/14/2016 1:09:07 P	M 28056
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.035	mg/Kg	1	10/14/2016 1:09:07 P	M 28056
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.070	mg/Kg	1	10/14/2016 1:09:07 P	M 28056
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	93.2	80-120	%Rec	1	10/14/2016 1:09:07 P	M 28056

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	в	Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
	D	Sample Diluted Due to Matrix	E	Value above quantitation range
	н	Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded	J	Analyte detected below quantitation limits Page 2 of 6
	ND	Not Detected at the Reporting Limit	Р	Sample pH Not In Range
	R	RPD outside accepted recovery limits	RL	Reporting Detection Limit
	S	% Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix	w	Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

WO#: 1610685 17-Oct-16

Hall Environmenta	l Analysis	Laboratory,	Inc.
-------------------	------------	-------------	------

Client:Blagg EngineeringProject:Riddle C LS 3A

Sample ID MB-28074	SampType: MBLK	TestCode: EPA Method	300.0: Anions	
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 28074	RunNo: 37955		
Prep Date: 10/14/2016	Analysis Date: 10/14/2016	SeqNo: 1183509	Units: mg/Kg	
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value	SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit	HighLimit %RPD	RPDLimit Qual
Chloride	ND 1.5			
Sample ID LCS-28074	SampType: LCS	TestCode: EPA Method	300.0: Anions	
Sample ID LCS-28074 Client ID: LCSS		TestCode: EPA Method RunNo: 37955	300.0: Anions	
	SampType: LCS		300.0: Anions Units: mg/Kg	
Client ID: LCSS	SampType: LCS Batch ID: 28074 Analysis Date: 10/14/2016	RunNo: 37955		RPDLimit Qual

#### Qualifiers:

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

Page 3 of 6

# Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1610685

17-Oct-16

Client:Blagg EngineeringProject:Riddle C LS 3A

Sample ID LCS-28064	SampT	ype: LC	s	Tes	TestCode: EPA Method 8015M/D: Diesel Range Organics					
Client ID: LCSS	Batch	ID: 28	064	F	RunNo: 3	7940				
Prep Date: 10/14/2016	Analysis D	ate: 10	0/14/2016	S	SeqNo: 1	182487	Units: mg/H	٢g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	51	10	50.00	0	101	62.6	124			
Surr: DNOP	4.6		5.000		91.3	70	130			
Sample ID MB-28064	SampT	ype: ME	BLK	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8015M/D: Di	esel Rang	e Organics	
Client ID: PBS	Batch	ID: 28	064	F	RunNo: 3	7940				
Prep Date: 10/14/2016	Analysis D	ate: 10	0/14/2016	S	SeqNo: 1	182488	Units: mg/K	g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	10								
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	50								
Surr: DNOP										
SUIT. DINOP	10		10.00		99.7	70	130			

#### Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

Page 4 of 6

WO#: 1610685

Hall Environmen	tal	Analysis	s La	bora	tory,	Inc.
-----------------	-----	----------	------	------	-------	------

17-Oct-16

	Engineering C LS 3A					1 1			2
Sample ID MB-28056	SampType: N	IBLK	Tes	tCode: EF	PA Method	8015D: Gaso	line Rang	le	
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 2	8056	F	RunNo: 3	7953				
Prep Date: 10/13/2016	Analysis Date:	0/14/2016	5	SeqNo: 1	183188	Units: mg/k	(g		
Analyte	Result PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND 5.0	)							
Surr: BFB	990	1000		98.6	68.3	<mark>144</mark>		10.00	
Sample ID LCS-28056	SampType: L	cs	Tes	tCode: EF	PA Method	8015D: Gaso	line Rang	e	
Client ID: LCSS	Batch ID: 2	8056	F	RunNo: 37	7953				
Prep Date: 10/13/2016	Analysis Date:	0/14/2016	5	SeqNo: 11	183189	Units: mg/k	(g		
Analyte	Result PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	30 5.0	25.00	0	119	74.6	123			
Surr: BFB	1100	1000		108	68.3	144			

Qualifiers:

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- RPD outside accepted recovery limits R
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Value above quantitation range E
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits J
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified W

Page 5 of 6

**Reporting Detection Limit** RL

## Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

**Client: Blagg Engineering** Riddle C LS 3A **Project:** 

							in the second			
Sample ID MB-28056	SampType: MBLK TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles						tiles			
Client ID: PBS	Batch	Batch ID: 28056			RunNo: 3	7953				
Prep Date: 10/13/2016	Analysis D	Date: 10	0/14/2016	5	SeqNo: 1	183226	Units: mg/k	٢g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	ND	0.025				·				
Toluene	ND	0.050								
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.050						41 - E		
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.10								
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.2		1.000		117	80	120	2	8	A
Sample ID LCS-28056	SampT	ype: LC	s	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8021B: Vola	tiles		
Client ID: LCSS	Batch	h ID: 28	056	··· F	RunNo: 3	7953				
Prep Date: 10/13/2016	Analysis D	Date: 10	0/14/2016	S	SeqNo: 1	183228	Units: mg/k	(g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	1.1	0.025	1.000	0	106	75.2	115	6 X		
Toluene	1.0	0.050	1.000	0	103	80.7	112			
Ethylbenzene	1.0	0.050	1.000	0	101	78.9	117			
Xylenes, Total	3.0	0.10	3.000	0	100	79.2	115			
Aylonos, rotar	5.0	0.10	3.000	0	100	19.2	115			

**Qualifiers:** 

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- Not Detected at the Reporting Limit ND
- RPD outside accepted recovery limits R
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Е Value above quantitation range
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits J
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- **Reporting Detection Limit** RL
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

WO#: 1610685

17-Oct-16

- Page 6 of 6

01 11	ANALYSIS ABORATORY TEL: 505-345-39	tal Analysis Labord 4901 Hawkin Ilbuquerque, NM 8 175 FAX: 505-345- hallenvironmental	7105 Sam	ole Log-In Ch	eck List
Received by/date: / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	, /				
Completed By:       Unidasy Mangin       10/14/2016 7:58:45 AM       Julia         Reviewed By:       Unil 14/140       Image: State of the state of t	Received by/date:	· • · · • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		ана ана 1997 — Ана 1997 — Ана	
Reviewed by: HC whitelife Instance of Custody 1. Custody seels intact on sample bottles? 2. Is Chain of Custody complete? 3. How was the sample delivered? Courier Log In 4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? 5. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C Yes Mo No NA 5. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C Yes Mo No NA 6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? 7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? 8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? 9. Was preservative added to bottles? 10. VOA viais have zero headspace? 11. Were any sample containers received broken? 12. Does papervork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) 13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? 14. Le it clear what analyses were requested? 15. Were all hotting times able to be mer? (Were all hotting times able to be mer? (Were all hotting times able to be mer? (Were mit hottified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes Mo No No No No No No No Ma Me 16. Sample(s) in notified: Deliver in a constraint of custody? Yes Mo No	: الم	AM	Junky Hango		
hain of Custody         1. Custody seals intact on sample bottles?       Yes       No       Not Present         2. Is Chain of Custody complete?       Yes       No       Not Present         3. How was the sample delivered?       Courier         Log In	Completed By: Lindsay Mangin 10/14/2016 7:58:45	AM	Annaly Happ		
thain of Custody         1. Custody seals intact on sample bottles?       Yes       No       Not Present         2. Is Chain of Custody complete?       Yes       No       Not Present         3. How was the sample delivered?       Courier         Log In	Reviewed By: 47 - 10/14/16		000		
1. Custody seals intact on sample bottles?       Yes       No       Not Present         2. Is Chain of Custody complete?       Yes       No       Not Present         3. How was the sample delivered?       Courier         Log In					
2. Is Chain of Custody complete?       Yes       No       Not Present         3. How was the sample delivered?       Counter         Log In		Yes	No 🗌	Not Present	
4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?       Yes       No       NA         5. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C       Yes       No       NA         5. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C       Yes       No       NA         6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?       Yes       No       NA         7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?       Yes       No       No         8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved?       Yes       No       NA         9. Was preservative added to bottles?       Yes       No       NA         10. VOA vials have zero headspace?       Yes       No       No       Wo         11. Were any sample containers received broken?       Yes       No       Wo       df of preserved         12. Does papenwork match bottle labels?       Yes       No       Image: Correctly identified on Chain of Custody?       Yes       No       Adjusted?         13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?       Yes       No       Image: Correctly identified on Chain of Custody?       Yes       No       Adjusted?         14. Let clear what analyses were requested?       Yes       No       Image: Correctly identified on Chain of Custody?       Yes       No       Image: Correctly identified on Chain o		Yes 🛃	No 🗆	Not Present	
4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?       Yes       No       NA         5. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C       Yes       No       NA         6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?       Yes       No       NA         7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?       Yes       No       No         8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved?       Yes       No       NA         9. Was preservative added to bottles?       Yes       No       NA         10.VOA vials have zero headspace?       Yes       No       No       Was preserved bottle seles?         11. Were any sample containers received broken?       Yes       No       Image: Correctly identified on Chain of Custody?       Yes       No       Image: Correctly identified on Chain of Custody?         12. Does paperwork match bottle labels?       Yes       No       Image: Correctly identified on Chain of Custody?       Yes       No       Image: Correctly identified on Chain of Custody?         13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?       Yes       No       Image: Correctly identified on Chain of Custody?       Yes       No       Checked by:       Checked identified on Chain of Custod	3. How was the sample delivered?	Courier			
4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?       Yes       No       NA         5. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C       Yes       No       NA         6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?       Yes       No       NA         7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?       Yes       No       No         8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved?       Yes       No       NA         9. Was preservative added to bottles?       Yes       No       NA         10. VOA vials have zero headspace?       Yes       No       No       Ha         11. Were any sample containers received broken?       Yes       No       Image: No       Image: No         12. Does paperwork match bottle labels?       Yes       No       Image: No       Image: No       Image: No       Image: No       Image: Adjusted?         13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?       Yes       No       Image: No       Image: Adjusted?       Image: No	and the				
5. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C       Yes       No       NA         6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?       Yes       No       NA         7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?       Yes       No       No         8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved?       Yes       No       NA         9. Was preservative added to bottles?       Yes       No       NA         10. VOA vials have zero headspace?       Yes       No       No         11. Were any sample containers received broken?       Yes       No       If of preserved bottles for pH:         (Note discrepancies on chain of custody)       Yes       No       If of preserved bottles for pH:       (-2 or >12 unless m Adjusted?         13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?       Yes       No       Adjusted?         15. Were all holding times able to be met?       Yes       No       No         16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order?       Yes       No       NA         17. Additional remarks:       18. Cooler Information       Yes       No       NA         17. Additional remarks:       18. Cooler Information       Na       In Person					
6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?       Yes       No         7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?       Yes       No         8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved?       Yes       No         9. Was preservative added to bottles?       Yes       No         9. Was preservative added to bottles?       Yes       No         10. VOA vials have zero headspace?       Yes       No         11. Were any sample containers received broken?       Yes       No         12. Does paperwork match bottle labels?       Yes       No         (Note discrepancies on chain of custody)       (3, Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?       Yes         13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?       Yes       No       Adjusted?         14. Is it clear what analyses were requested?       Yes       No       Checked by:         15. Were all holding times able to be met?       Yes       No       Na         16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order?       Yes       No       Na         17. Additional remarks:       In Person       In Person       In Person         18. Cooler Information       Information       Information       Information	4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?	Yes 🛃	No 🗀		
7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?       Yes       No         8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved?       Yes       No         9. Was preservative added to bottles?       Yes       No         9. Was preservative added to bottles?       Yes       No         10. VOA vials have zero headspace?       Yes       No       No         11. Were any sample containers received broken?       Yes       No       If of preserved bottles for pH:         (Note discrepancies on chain of custody)       Yes       No       If of preserved bottles for pH:         (Note discrepancies on chain of custody)       Yes       No       If of preserved bottles for pH:         (Note discrepancies on chain of custody)       Yes       No       If of preserved bottles for pH:         (Note discrepancies on chain of custody?       Yes       No       If of preserved bottles for pH:         (If no, notify customer for authorization.)       Yes       No       Checked by:         If no, notified if all discrepancies with this order?       Yes       No       No         If was client notified of all discrepancies with this order?       Yes       No       NA         If was client notified if all discrepancies with this order?       Yes       No       NA         If without       Via:	5. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C	Yes 🖈	No 🗆		,
8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes No   9. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes No   9. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes No   10. VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes No   11. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes No   12. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes No   (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) Yes No   3. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No   3. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No   4. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes No   5. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes No   (f no, notify customer for authorization.) Date:	6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?	Yes 🖈	No 🗌		
8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes No   9. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes No   10. VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes No   11. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes No   12. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes No   (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) Yes No   (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) Yes No   (Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No   (A, Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes No   (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Yes No   Person Notified:   Date: Date: Date:   By Whom: Via: eMail   Client Instructions: Via: eMail   17. Additional remarks: 18. Cooler Information	7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?	Yes 🛃	No 🗌		
10. VOA viais have zero headspace?       Yes       No       No VOA Viais         11. Were any sample containers received broken?       Yes       No       # of preserved bottles checked for ph::         (12. Does paperwork match bottle labels?       Yes       No       # of preserved bottles checked for ph::         (Note discrepancies on chain of custody)       Yes       No       Hottles checked for ph::         (3. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?       Yes       No       Adjusted?         (4, is it clear what analyses were requested?       Yes       No       Checked by:         (if no, notify customer for authorization.)       Yes       No       NA         pecial Handling (if applicable)       Is created in this order?       Yes       No       NA         [6.Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order?       Yes       No       NA       In Person         [7. Additional remarks:       Is Cooler Information       Via:       eMail       Phone       Fax       In Person         [8. Cooler Information       Intermarks:       Intermarks:       Intermarks:       Intermarks:		Yes 🛃	No 🗌		
11. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes No # of preserved bottles checked   12. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes No # of preserved bottles checked   12. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes No # of preserved bottles checked   13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No Adjusted?   14. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes No Adjusted?   15. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes No Checked by:   16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No NA   Person Notified: Date:	9. Was preservative added to bottles?	Yes	No 🛃	NA 🗌	
12. Does paperwork match bottle labels?       Yes       No       # of preserved bottles checked for pH:         (Note discrepancies on chain of custody)       (3, Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?       Yes       No       Adjusted?         14, Is it clear what analyses were requested?       Yes       No       Adjusted?         15. Were all holding times able to be met?       Yes       No       Checked by:         (If no, notify customer for authorization.)       Yes       No       NA         pecial Handling (if applicable)       Date:	10.VOA vials have zero headspace?	Yes	No 🗆	No VOA Vials 🛃	
12. Does paperwork match bottle labels?       Yes       No       bottles checked for pH:       (<2 or >12 unless nu clean what analyses were requested?       Yes       No       Adjusted?         13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?       Yes       No       Adjusted?       Adjusted?         14. Is it clear what analyses were requested?       Yes       No       Checked by:       Checked by:         15. Were all holding times able to be met?       Yes       No       Checked by:       Checked by:         16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order?       Yes       No       NA       Person Notified:         By Whom:       Via:       eMail       Phone       Fax       In Person         17. Additional remarks:       Its Cooler Information       In Person       In Person	11. Were any sample containers received broken?	Yes	No 🛃		
(Note discrepancies on chain of custody)   (3, Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?   (4, Is it clear what analyses were requested?   Yes   No   (If no, notify customer for authorization.)				bottles checked	
(3. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No Adjusted?   (4. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes No Checked by:   (15. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes No Checked by:   (If no, notify customer for authorization.)		Yes 🛃	No		>12 unless noted
14. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes No   15. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes No   (If no, notify customer for authorization.)		Yes		1	
(If no, notify customer for authorization.)         pecfal Handling (if applicable)         [6. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order?         Yes       No         No       NA         Person Notified:       Date:         By Whom:       Via:         Regarding:       Client Instructions:         17. Additional remarks:         18. Cooler Information					a de la s
pecial Handling (if applicable)         16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order?       Yes       No       NA         Person Notified:       Date:		Yes 🛃	No 🗆	Checked by:	
16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order?       Yes       No       NA         Person Notified:       Date:	(If no, notify customer for authorization.)		L. L.		
16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order?       Yes       No       NA         Person Notified:       Date:	nonial Handling (if annlinghia)				
Person Notified:       Date:         By Whom:       Via:         Regarding:       In Person         Client Instructions:       In Person         17. Additional remarks:       In Person         18. Cooler Information       In Person		No.	N- 🗆		
By Whom:       Via:       eMail       Phone       Fax       In Person         Regarding:       Client Instructions:       In Person       In Person         17. Additional remarks:       In Person       In Person       In Person         18. Cooler Information       In Person       In Person		Yes 🗔			
Regarding:       Image: Client Instructions:         17. Additional remarks:       Image: Regarding instruction	COMPANY AND A COLOMER A STALL AND A DRIVEN AND A	,			
Client Instructions: 17. Additional remarks: 8. <u>Cooler Information</u>			Phone 📋 Fax		
17. Additional remarks: 18. <u>Cooler Information</u>		Will this is a second of the base to the			
18. Cooler Information					
	I / . Additional remarks:				
Cooler No 1, Lemp "C 1, Condition   Seal Intact   Seal No 1 Seal Date   Signed By		Sec. estable	in the second second		
1 2.0 Good Yes		Seal Date	Signed By		

Chain-of-Custody Record			Turn-Around Time:				HALL ENVIRONMENTAL														
Client: BLAGG ENGR. / BP AMERICA				Standard 🔲 Rush								LY	We is a second			A					
				Project Name:				www.hallenvironmental.com													
Mailing Address: P.O. BOX 87			RIDDLE C LS # 3A				4901 Hawkins NE - Albuquerque, NM 87109														
BLOOMFIELD, NM 87413				Project #:				Tel. 505-345-3975 Fax 505-345-4107													
Phone #: (505) 632-1199				1					4 _ 103862PT			Ana	lysi	s Re	que	st					
email or Fax#:				Project Manager:							7		4)			11	300.1)	and and a	27		
QA/QC Package: Standard Level 4 (Full Validation)			NELSON VELEZ			TMB's (8021B)	s only)	/ MRO)				PO4,SO	2 PCB's			water - 30(			e		
Accreditation:			Sampler: NELSON VELEZ			B's (8	I (Ga	DR0	न	न्।		No.	808			W / 0			sample	-	
NELAP     Other			On Ice: <u>A</u> Yes INO Sample Temperature: J 1			+ TM	+ TPI	ò	418	504	78 4	0%	es /		(A)	300.0 /			tes	or N	
	Гуре)			Sample Temp	erature: [, ]			BE	<u> </u>	poq		U or	C, N	licid	R	ni-V			ble	posi	s (Y
Date	Time	Matrix	Sample Request ID	Container Type and #	Preservative Type	HEALNO. HOLOROY	BTEX + MTBE	BTEX + MTBE + TPH (Gas only)	TPH 8015B (GRO / DRO / MRO)	TPH (Method 418.1)	EDB (Method 504.1)	PAH (8310 or 82/05IMS) RCRA & Metals	Anions (F,CI,NO <sub>3</sub> , NO <sub>2</sub> , PO <sub>4</sub> , SO <sub>4</sub> )	8081 Pesticides / 8082 PCB's	8260B (VOA)	8270 (Semi-VOA)	Chloride (soil		Grab sample	5 pt. composite	Air Bubbles (Y or N)
10/19/16	1350	SOIL	GRAB@§	4 oz 1	Cool	-001			V	1			1	-			_		V		
			- (beneath 95 BGT)								-										
													-						-	-	
																				-	
													1							-	_
											+		1	$\square$					-		
													$\top$	$\square$							
											1										
<b>RE (21 LINE DIR 1 ) )</b>																				1	
								-	ž.					1							
															1						
Date:	Time: 2011	Relinquish	du Vj-	Received by: Date Time										USING THE CIRCLED CONTACT WITH ID & REFERENCE # WHEN APPLICABLE; Steve Moskal Steve Moskal							
Date:	Time: 2048	Relinquish	ed by: Walte	Received by: Date Time Date Time			VID: VHIXONEVB2					B .	VDRINKWJA1 P - 694				VMOS6HQFEC				
	If necessary,	amples sut	omitted to Hall Environmental may be su	bcontracted to other	accredited laboratorie		of this p	possit	oility. /	Any sub	-contra	acted d	ata will	be cle	early n	otated	on the	analy	lical re	eport.	<b>)</b>