District I 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 District II 1301 W. Grand Avenue, Artesia, NM 88210 District III 1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410 District IV 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505 State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources Department Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505 Form C-144 July 21, 2008

For temporary pits, closed-loop systems, and below-grade tanks, submit to the appropriate NMOCD District Office. For permanent pits and exceptions submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

Pit, Closed-Loop System, Below-Grade Tank, or Proposed Alternative Method Permit or Closure Plan Application

Type of action:

Permit of a pit, closed-loop system, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method
 Closure of a pit, closed-loop system, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method
 Modification to an existing permit

Closure plan only submitted for an existing permitted or non-permitted pit, closed-loop system,

below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method

Instructions: Please submit one application (Form C-144) per individual pit, closed-loop system, below-grade tank or alternative request

Please be advised that approval of this request does not relieve the operator of liability should operations result in pollution of surface water, ground water or the environment. Nor does approval relieve the operator of its responsibility to comply with any other applicable governmental authority's rules, regulations or ordinances.

| Deperator: BP AMERICA PRODUCTION COMPANY OGRID #: 778 |
|---|
| Address: 200 Energy Court, Farmington, NM 87401 |
| Facility or well name: BARNES LS 007A |
| API Number: 3004522458 OCD Permit Number: |
| U/L or Qtr/Qtr C Section 23.0 Township 32.0N Range 11W County: San Juan County |
| Center of Proposed Design: Latitude 36.974893 Longitude -107.9626 OL CONS. DIV DIST. 3927 ≥ 1983 |
| Surface Owner: 🗵 Federal 🗌 State 🗋 Private 🗋 Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment |
| 2. FEB 0 9 2017 |
| Pit: Subsection F or G of 19.15.17.11 NMAC |
| Temporary: Drilling Workover |
| Permanent Emergency Cavitation P&A |
| Lined Unlined Liner type: Thicknessmil LLDPE HDPE PVC Other |
| String-Reinforced |
| Liner Seams: Welded Factory Other Volume: bbl Dimensions: L x W x D |
| 3. Closed-loop System: Subsection H of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Type of Operation: P&A Drilling a new well Workover or Drilling (Applies to activities which require prior approval of a permit or notice of intent) Drying Pad Above Ground Steel Tanks Haul-off Bins Other Lined Unlined Liner type: Thickness mil LLDPE HDPE PVC Other Liner Seams: Welded Factory Other |
| 4. X Below-grade tank: Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Tank ID: B |
| Volume: 45.0 bbl Type of fluid: Produced Water |
| Tank Construction material: Steel |
| Secondary containment with leak detection 🗌 Visible sidewalls, liner, 6-inch lift and automatic overflow shut-off |
| Visible sidewalls and liner Visible sidewalls only Other SINGLE WALLED DOUBLE BOTTOMED SIDEWALLS NOT VISIBLE |
| Liner type: Thickness mil 🗌 HDPE 🗋 PVC 🗋 Other |
| 5. |
| Alternative Method: |
| Submittal of an exception request is required. Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval. |

| 6. <u>Fencing</u> : Subsection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits, temporary pits, and below-grade tanks) | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------|
| Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top (Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent of institution or church) | residence, school, i | hospital, |
| \Box Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet | | |
| Alternate. Please specify | | |
| 7. | | |
| Netting: Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks) | | |
| Screen Netting Other | | |
| Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible) | | |
| 8. | | |
| Signs: Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC | | |
| 12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers | | |
| Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC | | |
| 9. Administrative Approvals and Exceptions: | | |
| Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance. | | |
| Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank: | | CC |
| Administrative approval(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district or the Santa Fe Envir consideration of approval. | onmental Bureau o | office for |
| Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of app | roval. | |
| ^{10.} <u>Siting Criteria (regarding permitting)</u> : 19.15.17.10 NMAC <i>Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recomme</i> <i>material are provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria may require administrative approve</i> <i>office or may be considered an exception which must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for c</i> <i>Applicant must attach justification for request. Please refer to 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance.</i> Siting criteria does <u>above-grade tanks associated with a closed-loop system.</u> | al from the approp consideration of ap | priate district pproval. |
| Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of the temporary pit, permanent pit, or below-grade tank. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells | | Yes No |
| Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse or lakebed, sinkh | nole, or playa | Yes No |
| lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | | |
| Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial appl (Applies to temporary, emergency, or cavitation pits and below-grade tanks) Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image | lication. | □ Yes □ No □ NA |
| Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial app (<i>Applies to permanent pits</i>) Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image | plication. | □ Yes □ No □ NA |
| Within 500 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring that less than five households use for domestic watering purposes, or within 1000 horizontal feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of initia - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed s | al application. | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No |
| Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality | I ordinance | Yes No |
| Within 500 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the prop | posed site | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No |
| Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. | | Yes No |

Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division -

Within an unstable area.

3

Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological -Society; Topographic map

Within a 100-year floodplain. - FEMA map

Yes No

Yes No

| 11. Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check | ck mark in the box, that the documents are ection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC 2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC 0) NMAC irements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Permit Number: |
|---|--|
| Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements and 19.15.17.13 NMAC | irements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC |
| Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: Previously Approved Operating and Maintenance Plan API Number: | (Applies only to closed loop system that use |
| above ground steel tanks or haul-off bins and propose to implement waste removal for closure) | Appries only to closed-loop system that use |
| 13. Permanent Pits Permit Application Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check attached. Hydrogeologic Report - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 Climatological Factors Assessment Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Liner Specifications and Compatibility Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Quality Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installation Plan Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Freeboard and Overtopping Prevention Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Distance or Hazardous Odors, including H₂S, Prevention Plan Emergency Response Plan Oil Field Waste Stream Characterization Monitoring and Inspection Plan Erosion Control Plan Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 1 | NMAC 0 NMAC C 17.11 NMAC 15.17.11 NMAC 11 NMAC |
| Proposed Closure: 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure Type: Drilling Workover Emergency Cavitation P&A Permanent Pit Below-g Alternative Alternative Proposed Closure Method: Waste Excavation and Removal On-site Closure Method (Closed-loop systems only) On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems) In-place Burial On-site Trench Burial Alternative Closure Method (Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe | grade Tank 🔲 Closed-loop System |
| 15. Waste Excavation and Removal Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of a closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings) Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection G of 19.15.17.13 NMAC | F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC ion H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC |

۰.

| ^{16.} <u>Waste Removal Closure For Closed-loop Systems That Utilize Above Ground Steel Tanks or Haul-off Bins Only</u> : (19.15.17.13. <i>Instructions: Please indentify the facility or facilities for the disposal of liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings. Use attachment if facilities are required.</i> | |
|---|------------------------|
| Disposal Facility Name: Disposal Facility Permit Number: | |
| Disposal Facility Name: Disposal Facility Permit Number: | |
| Will any of the proposed closed-loop system operations and associated activities occur on or in areas that <i>will not</i> be used for future set Yes (If yes, please provide the information below) No | vice and operations? |
| Required for impacted areas which will not be used for future service and operations: Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection G of 19.15.17.13 NMAC | С |
| ^{17.} Siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendations of acceptable sou provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria may require administrative approval from the appropriate dis considered an exception which must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval. Just demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance. | trict office or may be |
| Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells | Yes No NA |
| Ground water is between 50 and 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells | □ Yes □ No □ NA |
| Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells | ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA |
| Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | 🗋 Yes 🗌 No |
| Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image | Yes No |
| Within 500 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring that less than five households use for domestic or stock watering purposes, or within 1000 horizontal feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of initial application. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No |
| Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No |
| Within 500 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | Yes No |
| Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No |
| Within an unstable area. Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map | Yes No |
| Within a 100-year floodplain. - FEMA map | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No |
| 18. On-Site Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plan by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Proof of Surface Owner Notice - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Construction/Design Plan of Temporary Pit (for in-place burial of a drying pad) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC | |

 Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
 Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
 Waste Material Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection F of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
 Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings or in case on-site closure standards can Soil Cover Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
 Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
 Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection G of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings or in case on-site closure standards cannot be achieved)

| 19. Operator Application Certification: I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. |
|---|
| Name (Print): Title: |
| |
| |
| e-mail address: Telephone: |
| 20. OCD Approval: Permit Application (including closure plan ()) OCD Representative Signature: Approval Date: OCD Representative Signature: OCD Permit Number: |
| 21. <u>Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion)</u>: Subsection K of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved closure plan prior to implementing any closure activities and submitting the closure report. The closure report is required to be submitted to the division within 60 days of the completion of the closure activities. Please do not complete this section of the form until an approved closure plan has been obtained and the closure activities have been completed. X Closure Completion Date: 11/24/2016 |
| 22. |
| Closure Method: Waste Excavation and Removal On-Site Closure Method Alternative Closure Method Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only) If different from approved plan, please explain. |
| ^{23.} <u>Closure Report Regarding Waste Removal Closure For Closed-loop Systems That Utilize Above Ground Steel Tanks or Haul-off Bins Only:</u> Instructions: Please indentify the facility or facilities for where the liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings were disposed. Use attachment if more than two facilities were utilized. |
| Disposal Facility Name: Disposal Facility Permit Number: |
| Disposal Facility Name: Disposal Facility Permit Number: |
| Were the closed-loop system operations and associated activities performed on or in areas that <i>will not</i> be used for future service and operations? Yes (If yes, please demonstrate compliance to the items below) No |
| Required for impacted areas which will not be used for future service and operations: Site Reclamation (Photo Documentation) Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation Re-vegetation Application Rates and Seeding Technique |
| 24. Closure Report Attachment Checklist: Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure report. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. □ Proof of Closure Notice (surface owner and division) □ Proof of Deed Notice (required for on-site closure) □ Plot Plan (for on-site closures and temporary pits) ⊠ Confirmation Sampling Analytical Results (if applicable) □ Waste Material Sampling Analytical Results (required for on-site closure) □ Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number ⊠ Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation □ Re-vegetation Application Rates and Seeding Technique ⊠ Site Reclamation (Photo Documentation) On-site Closure Location: Latitude 36.974893 Longitude -107.962687 NAD: □1927 🗙 1983 |
| 25. Operator Closure Certification: |
| I hereby certify that the information and attachments submitted with this closure report is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I also certify that the closure complies with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan. |
| Name (Print): Steve Moskal Title: Field Environmental Coordinator |
| Signature: Date: 02\01\2017 |
| e-mail address: steven.moskal@bp.com Telephone: 505-326-9497 |

۰.

BP AMERICA PRODUCTION COMPANY SAN JUAN BASIN, NORTHWEST NEW MEXICO

BELOW-GRADE TANK CLOSURE PLAN

Barnes LS # 7A – Tank ID: B <u>API #: 3004522458</u> Unit Letter C, Section 23, T32N, R11W

This plan will address the standard protocols and procedures for closure of below-grade tanks (BGTs) on BP America Production Company (BP) well sites. As stipulated in Paragraph A of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall close a BGT within the time periods provided in 19.15.17.13 NMAC, or by an earlier date that the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) requires because of imminent danger to fresh water, public health, safety or the environment. If deviations from this plan are necessary, any specific changes will be included on form C-144 and approved by the NMOCD. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC or is not included in Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC within five years after June 16, 2008, if not retrofit with a BGT that complies with the BP NMOCD approved BGT design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC within five years after June 16, 2008, if not retrofit with a BGT that complies with the BP NMOCD approved BGT design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC, if not previously retrofitted to comply with the BP NMOCD approve BGT Design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan, prior to any sale or change in operator pursuant to 19.15.9.9 NMAC. BP shall close the permitted BGT within 60 days of cessation of the BGTs operation or as required by the transitional provisions of Subsection B, D, or E of 19.15.17.17 NMAC.

General Closure Plan

- BP shall notify the surface owner by certified mail that it plans to close a BGT. Evidence of mailing of the notice to the address of the surface owner shown in the county tax records demonstrates compliance with this requirement. <u>Notice is attached.</u>
- 2. BP shall notify the division District III office verbally or by other means at least 72 hours, but not more than one (1) week, prior to any closure operation. The notice shall include the operator's name, and the location to be closed by unit letter, section, township and range. If the BGT closure is associated with a particular well, then the notice shall also include the well's name, number and API number.

Notice was provided and documented in the attached email.

- 3. BP shall remove liquids and sludge from the BGT prior to implementing a closure method and dispose of the liquids and sludge in a NMOCD's division-approved facility. The facilities to be used are:
 - a. BP Crouch Mesa Landfarm, Permit NM-02-003 (Solids)
 - b. JFJ Landfarm, Permit NM-01-010(B) (Solids and Sludge)
 - c. Basin Disposal, Permit NM-01-0005 (Liquids)
 - d. Envirotech Inc Soil Remediation Facility, Permit NM-01-0011 (Solids and Sludge)
 - e. BP Operated E.E. Elliott SWD #1, API 30-045-27799 (Liquids)
 - f. BP Operated 13 GCU SWD #1, API 30-045-28601 (Liquids)
 - g. BP Operated GCU 259 SWD, API 30-045-20006 (Liquids)
 - h. BP Operated GCU 306 SWD, API 30-045-24286 (Liquids)
 - i. BP Operated GCU 307 SWD, API 30-045-24248 (Liquids)
 - j. BP Operated GCU 328 SWD, API 30-045-24735 (Liquids)
 - k. BP Operated Pritchard SWD #1, API 30-045-28351 (Liquids)

<u>All liquids and/or sludge within the BGT were removed and sent to one of the above NMOCD approved facilities for disposal.</u>

4. BP shall remove the BGT and dispose of it in a NMOCD approved facility or recycle, reuse, or reclaim it in a manner that the NMOCD approves. If a liner is present and must be disposed of it will be cleaned by scraping any soils or other attached materials on the liner to a de minimus amount and disposed at a permitted solid waste facility, pursuant to Subparagraph (m) of Paragraph (1) of Subsection C of 19.15.35.8 NMAC. Documentation as to the final disposition of the removed BGT will be provided in the final closure report.

The BGT was transported for recycling.

5. BP shall remove any on-site equipment associated with a BGT unless the equipment is required for well production.

All equipment associated with the BGT has been removed.

6. BP shall test the soils beneath the BGT to determine whether a release has occurred. BP shall collect at a minimum: a five (5) point composite sample and individual grab samples from any area that is wet, discolored or showing other evidence of a release and analyze for BTEX, TPH and chlorides. The testing methods for those constituents are as follows;

| Constituents | Testing Method | Release Verification | Sample |
|--------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------|
| | | (mg/Kg) | Results |
| Benzene | US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B | 0.2 | < 0.018 |
| Total BTEX | US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B | 50 | < 0.072 |
| TPH | US EPA Method SW-846 418.1 | 100 | <50 |
| Chlorides | US EPA Method 300.0 or 4500B | 250 or background | <30 |

Notes: mg/Kg = milligram per kilogram, BTEX = benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes, TPH = total petroleum hydrocarbons. Other EPA methods that the division approves may be applied to all constituents listed. Chloride closure standards will be determined by which ever concentration level is greatest.

Soil beneath the BGT was sampled for TPH, BTEX, and chloride. All test parameters were below the stated limits. A field and laboratory reports are attached.

- BP shall notify the division District III office of its results on form C-141. C-141 is attached.
- If it is determined that a release has occurred, then BP will comply with 19.15.30 NMAC and 19.15.29 NMAC, as appropriate.
 Sampling results reveal no evidence of a release has occurred.
- 9. If the sampling demonstrates that a release has not occurred or that any release does not exceed the concentrations specified above, then BP shall backfill the excavation, with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material; construct a division-prescribed soil cover, re-contour and re-vegetate the location. The location will be reclaimed if it is not with in the active process area.

<u>Sampling results reveal no evidence of a release has occurred</u>. Area was backfilled with clean, earthen material and is within the active well pad.

10. BP shall reclaim the BGT location and all areas associated with the BGT including associated access roads to a safe and stable condition that blends with the surrounding undisturbed area. BP shall substantially restore the impacted surface area to the condition that existed prior to oil and gas operations by placement of the soil cover as provided in Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, re-contour the location and associated areas to a contour that approximates the original contour and blends with the surrounding topography and re-vegetate according to Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC.

The BGT area has been backfilled and will be reclaimed once the well has been plugged & abandoned.

11. The soil cover for closures where the BGT has been removed or remediated to the NMOCD's satisfaction shall consist of the background thickness of topsoil or one foot of suitable material to establish vegetation at the site, whichever is greater. The soil cover will be constructed to the site's existing grade and all practicable efforts will be made to prevent ponding of water and erosion of the cover material.

The BGT area has been backfilled and will be reclaimed once the well has been plugged & abandoned.

- 12. BP shall seed the disturbed area the first growing season after closure of the BGT. Seeding will be accomplished by drilling on the contour whenever practical or by other division-approved methods. Vegetative cover will be, at a minimum, 70% of the native perennial vegetative cover (un-impacted by overgrazing, fire or other intrusion damaging to native vegetation), consisting of at least three native plant species, including at least one grass, but not including noxious weeds, and maintenance of that cover through two successive growing seasons. During the two growing seasons that prove viability, there shall be no artificial irrigation of the vegetation. The BGT area has been backfilled and will be reclaimed once the well has been plugged & abandoned.
- BP shall seed, plant and re-seed pursuant to Paragraph (3) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, until the location successfully achieves the required vegetative cover.
 <u>The BGT area has been backfilled and will be reclaimed once the well has been plugged & abandoned.</u>
- Pursuant to Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall notify the NMOCD when it has seeded or planted and when it successfully achieves re-vegetation.
 BP will notify NMOCD when re-vegetation is successfully completed.
- 15. Within 60 days of closure completion, BP shall submit a closure report on NMOCD's form C-144, and will include the following;
 - a. proof of closure notification (surface owner and NMOCD)
 - b. sampling analytical reports; information required by 19.15.17 NMAC;
 - c. disposal facility name and permit number
 - d. details on back-filling, capping, covering, and where applicable re-vegetation application rates and seeding techniques and
 - e. site reclamation, photo documentation.

<u>Closure report on C-144 form is included & contains a photo of the reclamation</u> <u>completion.</u>

16. BP shall certify that all information in the report and attachments is accurate, truthful, and compliant with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.

Certification section of C-144 has been completed.

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

cis Dr.

Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

Release Notification and Corrective Action

| | OPERATOR | Initial Report | \bowtie | Final Report |
|--|--------------------------------|----------------|-----------|--------------|
| Name of Company BP America Production Company | Contact Steve Moskal | | | |
| Address 200 Energy Court, Farmington, NM 87401 | Telephone No. (505) 326-9497 | | | |
| Facility Name BARNES LS 007A | Facility Type Natural Gas Well | | | |
| | | | | |

Surface Owner Private/Fee

Mineral Owner Private/Fee

API No. 3004522458

LOCATION OF RELEASE

| Unit Letter | Section | Township | Range | Feet from the | North/South Line | Feet from the | East/West Line | County |
|-------------|---------|----------|-------|---------------|------------------|---------------|----------------|----------|
| C | 23 | 32N | 11W | 960 | NORTH | 1,500 | WEST | SAN JUAN |
| | | | | | | | | |

Latitude <u>36.974893</u> Longitude <u>-107.962687</u>

NATURE OF RELEASE

| Type of Release NONE - BGT CONFIRMATION SAMPLING | Volume of Release N/A | Volume | Recovered N/A | | | | |
|--|--|----------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Source of Release NOT APPLICABLE (N/A) | Date and Hour of Occurrence N/A Date and | | Hour of Discovery N/A | | | | |
| Was Immediate Notice Given? | If YES, To Whom? | | | | | | |
| 🗌 Yes 🗌 No 🛛 Not Required | | | | | | | |
| By Whom? | Date and Hour | | | | | | |
| Was a Watercourse Reached? | If YES, Volume Impacting the Wa | tercourse. | | | | | |
| 🗌 Yes 🖾 No | | | | | | | |
| If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.* | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* NO INDICAT | ION OF ANY INTECDITY & OD MAD | TENANCE | DODI EMS WITH THE DOT | | | | |
| THEREFORE NO REMEDIAL ACTION NECESSARY. SAMPLING BEN | EATH BGT WAS CONDUCTED IMM | DIATELYA | FTER REMOVAL. FIELD & | | | | |
| LABORATORY ANALYTICAL REPORTS ARE ATTACHED. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Describe Area Affected and Cleanur Action Taken * NO CLEANUR AC | PION NECESSARY ERIAL LABORA | CODV DECU | TE SUBBODT CLOSUDE OF | | | | |
| Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* <u>NO CLEANUP ACTION NECESSARY. FINAL LABORATORY RESULTS SUPPORT CLOSURE OF</u> THE BGT LOCATION. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to | | | | | | | |
| regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release | | | | | | | |
| public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the | | | | | | | |
| should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remedia or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report of | | | | | | | |
| federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations. | loes not reneve the operator of respons | ability for co | inpliance with any other | | | | |
| reasing states, or robar name and or regulations. | OIL CONSERV | ATION | DIVISION | | | | |
| anna | OIE CONSERV | AIION | DIVISION | | | | |
| Signature: | | | | | | | |
| | Approved by Environmental Specialist: | | | | | | |
| Printed Name: Steve Moskal | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Title: Environmental Field Coordinator | Approval Date: | Expiration D | Jate: | | | | |
| E-mail Address: steven.moskal@bp.com | Conditions of Approval: | | | | | | |
| 1-man Address. steven.moskan@up.com | Conditions of Approval. | | Attached 🗌 | | | | |
| Date: February 1, 2017 Phone: (505) 326-9497 | | | | | | | |

* Attach Additional Sheets If Necessary

Tank ID: B

Revised August 8, 2011

Form C-141

RE: BP Pit Close Notification - BARNES LS 007A

From: Moskal, Steven <Steven.Moskal@bp.com>

- To: Smith, Cory, EMNRD, Fields, Vanessa, EMNRD (Vanessa, Fields@state.nm.us)
- CC: jeffcblagg@aol.com, blagg_njv@yahoo.com, Railsback, Farrah (CH2M HILL), Salazar, Augustine T

The BGT is scheduled to be removed on Monday 11/21/16 at 9:00 AM.

Thank you,

Steve Moskal

BP Lower 48 – San Juan – Farmington Field Environmental Coordinator Office: (505) 326-9497 Cell: (505) 330-9179

This email and any attachments are intended only for the addressee(s) listed above and may contain confidential, proprietary, and/or privileged information. If you are not an intended recipient, please immediately advise the sender by return email, delete this email and any attachments, and destroy any copies of same. Any unauthorized review, use, copying disclosure or distribution of this email and any attachments is prohibited.

From: Railsback, Farrah (CH2M HILL) Sent: Thursday, November 17, 2016 11:55 AM To: Smith, Cory, EMNRD; Fields, Vanessa, EMNRD (Vanessa.Fields@state.nm.us) Cc: jeffcblagg@aol.com; blagg_njv@yahoo.com; Moskal, Steven Subject: BP Pit Close Notification - BARNES LS 007A

> BP America Production Company 200 Energy Court Farmington, NM 87401 Phone: (505) 326-9200

SENT VIA E-MAIL TO: CORY.SMITH@STATE.NM.US; VANESSA.FIELDS@STATE.NM.US

November 17, 2016

New Mexico Oil Conservation Division 1000 Rio Brazos Road Aztec, New Mexico 87410

RE: Notice of Proposed Below-Grade Tank (BGT) Closure

BARNES LS 007A API 30-045-22458 (C) Section 23 – T32N – R11W San Juan County, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Cory Smith and Mrs. Vanessa Fields,

In regards to the captioned subject and requirements of the NMOCD pit rule, this letter is notification that BP is planning to close two 45bbl BGT's that will no longer be operational at this well site. We anticipate this work to start on or around November 21, 2016.

Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact BP at our Farmington office.

Sincerely,

Steven Moskal BP Field Environmental Coordinator

(505) 326-9497

Farrah Railsback

BGT Project Support 970-946-9199 -cell

This email and any attachments are intended only for the addressee(s) listed above and may contain confidential, proprietary, and/or privileged information. If you are not an intended recipient, please immediately advise the sender by return email, delete this email and any attachments, and destroy any copies of same. Any unauthorized review, use, copying disclosure or distribution of this email and any attachments is prohibited



BP America Production Company 200 Energy Court Farmington, NM 87401 Phone: (505) 326-9200

November 17, 2016

bb

Maddox Properties LLC PO Box 40713 Albuquerque, NM 87196-0713

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL – RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Re: Notification of plans to close/remove a below grade tank Well Name: BARNES LS 007A

To Whom It May Concern,

As part of the NM "Pit Rule": 19.15.17.13 Closure Requirements, Paragraph J. BP America Production Company (BP) is required to notify the surface owner of BP's plans to close/remove a below grade tank. BP wishes to inform you of our plans to close/remove the below grade tank on its well pad located on your surface. BP plans to commence this work on or about November 21, 2016. If there aren't any unforeseen problems, the work should be completed within 10 working days.

As a point of clarification, BP will be closing the below grade tank and either operating without one or replacing it with an above ground tank, the well site will continue to operate.

Unless you have questions about this notice, there is no need to respond to this letter. If you do have any questions or concerns, please contact me at (505) 326-9497.

Sincerely,

Steven Moskal BP Field Environmental Coordinator

| ۰. | | | | |
|--|---|--|------------------|---|
| | BLAGG E P.O. BOX 87, B | 413 | API#: 3004522458 | |
| | (50 | 5) 632-1199 | | TANK ID (if applicble): B |
| FIELD REPORT: | (circle one): BGT CONFIRMATION | RELEASE INVESTIGATION / OTHER: | | PAGE #: _1_ of _1_ |
| SITE INFORMATION | | S LS #7A | | DATE STARTED: 11/22/16 |
| QUAD/UNIT: C SEC: 23 TWP: | | | NM | DATE FINISHED: |
| 1/4 -1/4/FOOTAGE: 960'N / 1,500 | | | | |
| | | ONTRACTOR: BP - A. SALAZA | | ENVIRONMENTAL SPECIALIST(S): JCB |
| REFERENCE POINT | | COORD.: 36.97513 X 1 | | |
| 1) 45 BGT (SW/DB) - B | GPS COORD.: 36.9 | 974893 X 107.962687 | DISTANCE/BEAI | RING FROM W.H.: 115.5', S44.5W |
| 2) | GPS COORD.: | | DISTANCE/BEAM | RING FROM W.H.: |
| 3) | GPS COORD.: | | DISTANCE/BEAM | RING FROM W.H.: |
| 4) | GPS COORD.: | | DISTANCE/BEAM | RING FROM W.H.: |
| SAMPLING DATA: | CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD(S) # C | DR LAB USED: HALL | | OVM READING (ppm) |
| 1) SAMPLE ID: 45 BGT (B) 5-pt | . @ 6' SAMPLE DATE: 11/22/ | 16 SAMPLE TIME: 1110 LAB ANAL | YSIS: 801 | 5B/8021B/300.0 (CI) NA |
| 2) SAMPLE ID: | SAMPLE DATE: | SAMPLE TIME: LAB ANAL | YSIS: | |
| 3) SAMPLE ID: | SAMPLE DATE: | SAMPLE TIME: LAB ANAL | YSIS: | |
| 4) SAMPLE ID: | SAMPLE DATE: | SAMPLE TIME: LAB ANAL | YSIS: | |
| SOIL DESCRIPTION | SOIL TYPE: SAND SILTY SAND | SILT / SILTY CLAY / CLAY / GRAVEL / OTH | ER | |
| SOIL COLOR: DARK YELLOW | ISH ORANGE | PLASTICITY (CLAYS): NON PLASTIC / SLIGH | TLY PLASTIC / CO | OHESIVE / MEDIUM PLASTIC / HIGHLY PLASTIC |
| COHESION (ALL OTHERS): NON COHESIVE (SLIGHTLY | | DENSITY (COHESIVE CLAYS & SILTS): | | |
| CONSISTENCY (NON COHESIVE SOILS): LC MOISTURE: DRY /SLIGHTLY MOIST / MOIST / WO | | HC ODOR DETECTED: YES NO EXPLAN | АПОN - | |
| SAMPLE TYPE: GRAB (COMPOSITE) # | | ANY AREAS DISPLAYING WETNESS: YES | NO EXPLAN | ATION - |
| DISCOLORATION/STAINING OBSERVED: YES | | | | |
| SITE OBSERVATION | | | | |
| APPARENT EVIDENCE OF A RELEASE OBSERVE EQUIPMENT SET OVER RECLAIMED AREA: | | | -GRADE TAN | NK TO BE SET ATOP BGT LOCATION |
| OTHER: MMOCD REP. NOT PRESENT TO | MTNESS CONFIRMATION SAMPLIN | NG. | | |
| SOIL IMPACT DIMENSION ESTIMATION: | NA ft. X NA | ft. X NA ft. EXC/ | | TIMATION (Cubic Yards) : NA |
| | EAREST WATER SOURCE: >1,000 | | | CD TPH CLOSURE STD: 1,000 ppm |
| | BGT Located : off on site | | | |
| | | | | CALIB. READ. = <u>NA</u> ppm CALIB. GAS = <u>NA</u> ppm |
| (45)-A | PROD. TANK | | | : NA am/pm DATE: NA |
| $\langle \langle \cdot \rangle \rangle$ | X | | | MISCELL. NOTES |
| | FENCE | E | | |
| | | METER | | /O: EF #: P - 668 |
| BERM | | ⊕ ₩. H . | | ID: VHIXONEVB2 |
| | | | | J#: |
| | | | | ermit date(s): 06/14/10 |
| BERI | M | | | CD Appr. date(s): 04/03/16 |
| (45)-B | | RATOR | Tan ID | ppm = parts per million |
| PBGTL T.B. ~ 6' | FENCE | | В | BGT Sidewalls Visible: Y /(N) |
| B.G. | \mathbf{V} | X - S | | BGT Sidewalls Visible: Y / N |
| | OW-GRADE TANK LOCATION; SPD = SAMPLE P | POINT DESIGNATION; R.W. = RETAINING WALL; NA | | BGT Sidewalls Visible: Y / N lagnetic declination: 10 ° E |
| APPLICABLE OR NOT AVAILABLE; SW - SINGLE | WALL; DW - DOUBLE WALL; SB - SINGLE BOT | TOM; DB - DOUBLE BOTTOM. | 1 | |
| NOTES: GOOGLE EARTH IMAGE | ERY DATE: 3/15/2015. | ONSITE: 11/22/16 | | |

revised: 11/26/13

| Hall Er | nvironmental Analys | sis Labora | ntory, Inc. | | | Date Reported: 11/30/ | 2016 |
|--------------------------------|--|------------|-------------|------------|------------------|---|----------|
| CLIENT: Project: Lab ID: | Blagg Engineering Barnes LS 7A 1611C31-001 | Matrix: | MEOH (SOIL) | Collection | Date: 11/ | BGT (B) 5-pt@6' /22/2016 11:10:00 A /23/2016 7:40:00 AM | |
| Analyses | | Result | PQL Qual | Units | DF | Date Analyzed | Batch |
| EPA MET | HOD 300.0: ANIONS | | | | | Analys | st: LGT |
| Chloride | | ND | 30 | mg/Kg | 20 | 11/23/2016 9:35:53 A | M 28841 |
| EPA MET | HOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RAN | GE ORGANIC | S | | | Analys | st: TOM |
| Diesel Ra | ange Organics (DRO) | ND | 10 | mg/Kg | 1 | 11/23/2016 12:57:39 I | PM 28837 |
| Motor Oil | Range Organics (MRO) | ND | 50 | mg/Kg | 1 | 11/23/2016 12:57:39 | PM 28837 |
| Surr: D | DNOP | 90.6 | 70-130 | %Rec | 1 | 11/23/2016 12:57:39 | PM 28837 |
| EPA MET | HOD 8015D: GASOLINE RAN | IGE | | | | Analys | st: NSB |
| Gasoline | Range Organics (GRO) | ND | 3.6 | mg/Kg | 1 | 11/23/2016 10:12:18 / | AM 28824 |
| Surr: E | 3FB | 84.5 | 68.3-144 | %Rec | 1 | 11/23/2016 10:12:18 / | AM 28824 |
| EPA MET | HOD 8021B: VOLATILES | | | | | Analys | st: NSB |
| Benzene | | ND | 0.018 | mg/Kg | 1 | 11/23/2016 10:12:18 / | AM 28824 |
| Toluene | | ND | 0.036 | mg/Kg | 1 | 11/23/2016 10:12:18 / | AM 28824 |
| Ethylben | zene | ND | 0.036 | mg/Kg | 1 | 11/23/2016 10:12:18 / | AM 28824 |
| Xylenes, | Total | ND | 0.072 | mg/Kg | 1 | 11/23/2016 10:12:18 / | AM 28824 |
| Surr: 4 | -Bromofluorobenzene | 99.0 | 80-120 | %Rec | 1 | 11/23/2016 10:12:18 / | AM 28824 |

Analytical Report Lab Order 1611C31

۰.

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

| Qualifiers: | * | Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. | В | Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank |
|-------------|----|---|----|---|
| | D | Sample Diluted Due to Matrix | E | Value above quantitation range |
| | н | Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded | J | Analyte detected below quantitation limits Page 1 of 6 |
| | ND | Not Detected at the Reporting Limit | Р | Sample pH Not In Range |
| | R | RPD outside accepted recovery limits | RL | Reporting Detection Limit |
| | S | % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix | W | Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified |

| Ci | nain-o | of-Cus | stody Record | Turn-Around | Time: | SAME | | | 120 | н | A | | E | NV | TF | 20 | N | ME | NT | | L | |
|------------|----------|------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-----------|--|------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|------------------|------------------|---------------|---------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| Client: | BLAG | G ENGR | / BP AMERICA | Standard | | DAY | | | | | | | | | | | | | T | | | |
| | | | | Project Name | | | | | | | vwv | v.ha | llen | viro | nme | ental | .con | п | | | | |
| Mailing A | ddress: | P.O. BO | X 87 | B | ARNES LS | # 7A | And a second | 49 | 01 H | awki | ns N | IE - | Alb | uqu | ierqi | ue; N | IM 8 | 3710 | 9 | | | |
| | | BLOOM | FIELD, NM 87413 | Project #: | | | | Te | 1. 50 | 5-34 | 5-39 | 975 | F | ах | 505- | 345 | 410 | 7 | | | | |
| ohone #: | | (505) 63 | 32-1199 | | | | | | | | | A | naly | ysis | Rec | ques | st | | | | | |
| email or f | ax#; | | | Project Mana | ger | | | | | | | | | 14) | | | | 300.11 | | | | |
| QA/QC Pa | - | | Level 4 (Full Validation) | | NELSON V | ELEZ | (80218) | s only] | / MRO) | | | (S) | | PO4,SC | 2 PCB's | | | water - 30 | | | E | |
| Accredita | tion | | | Sampler: | NELSON V | ELEZ | 12 | (Ga | ORO | T | 규 | SIN | | 10,1 | 308 | | | | | | du | |
| | - | C Other | - | Onlices | - Work - | D No | Ē | HdT | 0/1 | 418. | 504. | 827(| 5 | 03.1 | 1 5 | | (VC | 300.0 | | | e sa | N) |
| | Type) | | 1 | Sample Temp | érature: 1 🟹 | k | TH. | BE + | (GR | pou | pou | OL | etal | CI'N | cide | N) | i-V0 | | | 0 | osit | ž |
| Date | Time | Matrix | Sample Request ID | Container Type and # | Preservative Type | HEAL NO. | BIEX -MINE + TWB'S | BTFX + MTBE + TPH (Gas only) | TPH 80158 (GRO / DRO | 1PH (Method 418.1) | EDB (Method 504.1) | PAH (8310 or 82705IMS) | RCRA 8 Metals | Anions (F,CI,NO3,NO2,PO4,SO4) | 8081 Pesticides / 8082 PCB's | 8260B (VOA) | 8270 (Serni-VOA) | Chloride (soil - | | Grab sample | # pt. composite sample | Air Bubbles (Y or N) |
| 122/2016 | 1110 | SOIL | 45 BGT (B) 5-2266 | 402-1 | COOL | -001 | X | | X | | | | | | | | | х | | | | |
| . 3.8 | [[" | - E) | 45 BOT (A) >7005 | ¥7 | 17 | - 002 | 2 | | 5 | | | | | | | | | 7. | - | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | |
| | | | | | | | - | - | | \neg | | | | | | | | | | - | - | - |
| | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | _ | - | | | | | | | - | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | -+ | | | | | | - | | | | \rightarrow | | |
| | | For | SALPPINE | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | - | | | | |
| Date: | Time: 12 | Relingyish | ed by: | Received by: | 1/ | Date Time | Ren | harks | | | | | | | | | | | T WIT | | | |
| Var zola | 1337 | M. | 1 944 | H | | alim 1/20 | | | _ | _ | | 100 | | | | | | | LICAB | | -1 | |
| Date | Time: | Relinquish | ed by: | Received by: | 2 III | Date Time | | | VID: | | | Hixo NEVI | | 1 | | Mos KWJ | | | eve I AOS6 | | | |
| | | | | | | | Ref | eren | ce # | | - 6 | |) | | | | | _ | | | | |

.

If necessary samples submitted to Half Environmental may be subcontracted to other exercises. This serves as notice of this possibility. Any sub-contracted data will be clearly related on the analytical report

QC SUMMARY REPORT Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Client: Blagg Engineering Barnes LS 7A **Project:**

•

| Sample ID MB-28841 | SampType: MBLK | TestCode: EPA Method | 300.0: Anions | | |
|--|---|--------------------------------------|---|----------|------|
| Client ID: PBS | Batch ID: 28841 | RunNo: 38972 | | | |
| Prep Date: 11/23/2016 | Analysis Date: 11/23/2016 | SeqNo: 1218674 | Units: mg/Kg | | |
| Analyte | Result PQL SPK value | SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit | HighLimit %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| | | | | | |
| Chloride | ND 1.5 | | Chine and the second | | |
| | ND 1.5 SampType: LCS | TestCode: EPA Method | 300.0: Anions | | |
| Sample ID LCS-28841 Client ID: LCSS | | TestCode: EPA Method RunNo: 38972 | 300.0: Anions | | |
| Sample ID LCS-28841 | SampType: LCS | | 300.0: Anions Units: mg/Kg | | |
| Sample ID LCS-28841 Client ID: LCSS | SampType: LCS Batch ID: 28841 Analysis Date: 11/23/2016 | RunNo: 38972 | | RPDLimit | Qual |

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Η Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix S
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

Page 3 of 6

WO#: 1611C31 30-Nov-16

QC SUMMARY REPORT Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

٠,

WO#: 1611C31

30-Nov-16

| Client: | Blagg E | ngineering | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|------------------|------------|---------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|------------|------------|------|
| Project: | Barnes I | LS 7A | | | | | | | | | |
| Sample ID | MB-28837 | SampT | уре: МІ | BLK | Tes | tCode: E | PA Method | 8015M/D: Di | esel Rang | e Organics | |
| Client ID: | PBS | Batch | D: 28 | 837 | F | RunNo: 3 | 8942 | | | | |
| Prep Date: | 11/23/2016 | Analysis D | ate: 1 | 1/23/2016 | 5 | SeqNo: 1 | 217836 | Units: mg/H | ٢g | | |
| Analyte | | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Diesel Range (| Organics (DRO) | ND | 10 | | | | | | | | |
| Motor Oil Rang | e Organics (MRO) | ND | 50 | | | | | | | | |
| Surr: DNOP | | 11 | | 10.00 | | 107 | 70 | 130 | _ | | |
| Sample ID | LCS-28837 | SampT | ype: LC | s | Tes | tCode: El | PA Method | 8015M/D: Di | esel Rang | e Organics | |
| Client ID: | LCSS | Batch | 1D: 28 | 837 | F | RunNo: 3 | 8942 | | | | |
| Prep Date: | 11/23/2016 | Analysis D | ate: 1 | 1/23/2016 | 5 | SeqNo: 1 | 217847 | Units: mg/h | (g | | |
| Analyte | | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Diesel Range (| Organics (DRO) | 43 | 10 | 50.00 | 0 | 85.9 | 62.6 | 124 | | | |
| Surr: DNOP | | 4.3 | | 5.000 | | 86.0 | 70 | 130 | | | |
| Sample ID | 1611C31-001AM | S SampT | ype: MS | 3 | Tes | tCode: El | PA Method | 8015M/D: Di | esel Range | e Organics | |
| Client ID: | 45 BGT (B) 5-pt@ | 6' Batch | ID: 28 | 837 | F | anNo: 3 | 8942 | | | | |
| Prep Date: | 11/23/2016 | Analysis D | ate: 11 | 1/23/2016 | 5 | SeqNo: 1 | 217890 | Units: mg/h | g | | |
| Analyte | | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Diesel Range (| Organics (DRO) | 43 | 9.6 | 47.85 | 2.409 | 84.6 | 51.6 | 130 | | | |
| Surr: DNOP | | 4.4 | | 4.785 | | 92.3 | 70 | 130 | | | |
| Sample ID | 1611C31-001AM | SD SampT | ype: MS | D | Tes | Code: El | PA Method | 8015M/D: Di | esel Range | e Organics | |
| Client ID: | 45 BGT (B) 5-pt@ | 6' Batch | ID: 28 | 837 | R | unNo: 3 | 8942 | | | | |
| Prep Date: | 11/23/2016 | Analysis D | ate: 11 | /23/2016 | S | eqNo: 1 | 217891 | Units: mg/k | g | | |
| Analyte | | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Diesel Range C | Organics (DRO) | 43 | 9.6 | 48.17 | 2.409 | 84.8 | 51.6 | 130 | 0.893 | 20 | |
| Surr: DNOP | | 4.4 | | 4.817 | | 91.4 | 70 | 130 | 0 | 0 | |

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

Page 4 of 6

QC SUMMARY REPORT Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1611C31

30-Nov-16

| Client: Project: | Blagg En Barnes L | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|----------------------|------------|----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|------------|----------|------|
| Sample ID | MB-28824 | SampT | ype: M | BLK | Tes | tCode: E | PA Method | 8015D: Gase | oline Rang | e | |
| Client ID: | PBS | Batch | n ID: 28 | 824 | F | RunNo: 3 | 8948 | | | | |
| Prep Date: | 11/22/2016 | Analysis D | ate: 1 | 1/23/2016 | S | SeqNo: 1 | 218275 | Units: mg/k | ٢g | | |
| Analyte | | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Gasoline Rang | e Organics (GRO) | ND | 5.0 | | | | | | | | |
| Surr: BFB | | 840 | | 1000 | | 83.5 | 68.3 | 144 | | | |
| Sample ID | LCS-28824 | SampT | ype: LC | s | Tes | tCode: El | PA Method | 8015D: Gaso | line Rang | е | |
| Client ID: | LCSS | Batch | n ID: 28 | 824 | F | RunNo: 3 | 8948 | | | | |
| Prep Date: | 11/22/2016 | Analysis D | ate: 1 | 1/23/2016 | S | SeqNo: 1 | 218276 | Units: mg/k | (g | | |
| Analyte | | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Gasoline Rang | e Organics (GRO) | 24 | 5.0 | 25.00 | 0 | 94.8 | 74.6 | 123 | | | |
| Surr: BFB | | 890 | | 1000 | | 89.3 | 68.3 | 144 | | | |
| Sample ID | 1611C31-001AMS | SampT | ype: MS | S | Tes | tCode: El | PA Method | 8015D: Gaso | line Rang | e | |
| Client ID: | 45 BGT (B) 5-pt@6 | Batch | ID: 28 | 824 | F | RunNo: 3 | 8948 | | | | |
| Prep Date: | | Analysis D | ate: 1 | 1/23/2016 | S | SeqNo: 1 | 218277 | Units: mg/k | g | | |
| Analyte | | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Gasoline Rang | e Organics (GRO) | 16 | 3.6 | 18.03 | 0 | 88.6 | 61.3 | 150 | | | |
| Surr: BFB | | 710 | | 721.0 | | 98.4 | 68.3 | 144 | | | |
| Sample ID | 1611C31-001AMS | SampT | ype: MS | SD | Tes | tCode: El | PA Method | 8015D: Gasc | line Rang | e | |
| Client ID: | 45 BGT (B) 5-pt@6 | Batch | ID: 28 | 824 | R | unNo: 3 | 8948 | | | | |
| Prep Date: | | Analysis D | ate: 11 | 1/23/2016 | S | eqNo: 1 | 218278 | Units: mg/K | g | | |
| Analyte | | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| - | e Organics (GRO) | 16 | 3.6 | 18.03 | 0 | 91.2 | 61.3 | 150 | 2.89 | 20 | |
| Surr: BFB | | 690 | | 721.0 | | 96.4 | 68.3 | 144 | 0 | 0 | |

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

Page 5 of 6

QC SUMMARY REPORT

| | Hall | Environmental | Analysis | Laboratory, | Inc. |
|--|------|---------------|----------|-------------|------|
|--|------|---------------|----------|-------------|------|

Client: Blagg Engineering

Project: Barnes LS 7A

•

| Sample ID MB-28824 | Samp | уре: М | BLK | Tes | tCode: E | PA Method | 8021B: Volat | tiles | | |
|----------------------------|------------|----------|-----------|-------------|----------|-----------|--------------|-------|----------|------|
| Client ID: PBS | Batc | h ID: 28 | 824 | F | RunNo: 3 | 8948 | | | | |
| Prep Date: 11/22/2016 | Analysis D | Date: 1 | 1/23/2016 | S | SeqNo: 1 | 218290 | Units: mg/K | g | | |
| Analyte | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Benzene | ND | 0.025 | | | | | | | | |
| Toluene | ND | 0.050 | | | | | | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.050 | | | | | | | | |
| Xylenes, Total | ND | 0.10 | | | | | | | | |
| Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 0.99 | | 1.000 | | 98.6 | 80 | 120 | | | |
| Sample ID LCS-28824 | SampT | ype: LC | S | Tes | Code: El | PA Method | 8021B: Volat | iles | | |
| Client ID: LCSS | Batch | n ID: 28 | 824 | R | unNo: 3 | 8948 | | | | |
| Prep Date: 11/22/2016 | Analysis D | Date: 11 | 1/23/2016 | S | eqNo: 1 | 218291 | Units: mg/K | g | | |
| Analyte | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Benzene | 0.95 | 0.025 | 1.000 | 0 | 95.3 | 75.2 | 115 | | | |
| - | | 0.050 | 1 000 | 0 | 88.4 | 80.7 | 112 | | | |
| loluene | 0.88 | 0.050 | 1.000 | 0 | 00.4 | 00.1 | 111 | | | |
| Toluene Ethylbenzene | 0.88 | 0.050 | 1.000 | 0 | 85.8 | 78.9 | 117 | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0.86 | 0.050 | 1.000 | 0 | 85.8 | 78.9 | 117 | | | |

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

WO#: 1611C31 30-Nov-16

Page 6 of 6

P Sample pH Not In Range

| HALL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS LABORATORY | Hall unvironmental : (Ibue IEL: 505-345-3975) Website: www.hali | 1901 Hawkin pperque NM 8 A.Y. 505 345- | 15 NE 17109 Samj 1407 | ole Log-In | Check I |
|---|--|--|-----------------------------|------------------------------|---------------|
| Client Name: BLAGG | Work Order Number | 1611C31 | | Repli | No 1 |
| Received by/date | 11/23/14 | | | | |
| Logged By- Lindsey Mangin | 11/23/2016 7:40:00 AM | | Alles | | |
| Completed By Lindsay Mangin | 11/23/2016 7:49:49 AM | | Autor | | |
| Reviewed By: 0/5 | 11/23/16 | | 0.00 | | |
| Chain of Custody | | | | | |
| 1, Custody seals intact on sample bottles? | | Yas | No | Not Present | 2 |
| 2. Is Chain of Custody complete? | | Yes Y | No [] | No: Present | 1 |
| 3. How was the sample delivered? | | Courier | | | |
| Log In | | | | | |
| 4. Was an attempt made to cool the sample | es? | Yes V | No | NA | |
| 5 Were all samples received at a temperat | ure of >D°C to 6.0°C | Yes 🖌 | No | NA | |
| 6. Sample(ş) in proper container(ş)? | | Yes 🗹 | No | | |
| 7 Sufficient sample volume for indicated te | st(s)? | Yes 🖌 | No 🗍 | | |
| B. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) pro | parly preserved? | Yes 🗹 | No | | |
| 9 Was preservative added to bottles? | | Yes | No M | NA | - |
| 10.VOA vials have zero headspace? | | Yes | No 1 | No VOA Viels | 1 |
| 11, Were any sample containers received bi | oken? | Yeş 🗌 | No V | # of preserved | |
| | | - | No | bottles checked for pl 1: | |
| Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepances on chain of custody) | | Yes 🗹 | | | 2 or >12 unle |
| 13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chair | n of Custody? | Yes W | No | Adjusted? | |
| 14. Is it clear what analysis were requested | ? | Yes | No L | | |
| 15. Were all holding times able to be met? (If vio, notify customer for authorization.) | | Yes 🖌 | No | Checked by | y : |
| Special Handling (if applicable) | | | | | |
| 16. Was client notified of all discrepancies w | ith this proer? | Yes _ | No | NA 3 | 1 |
| Person Notified | Date | | | | |
| By Whom | | eMail | Phone Fax | In Person | |
| Regarding | | | | | |
| Client Instructions: | | | | | |
| 17. Additional remarks: | | | | | |
| 18. Cooler Information | | | | | |
| Cooler No Temp C Condition | Seal Intact Seal No S | eal Date | Signed By | | |



