| District I |
|---|
| 1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240 |
| District II |
| 811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210 |
| District III |
| 1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410 |
| District IV |
| 1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505 |

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources Department Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

For temporary pits, below-grade tanks, and multi-well fluid management pits, submit to the appropriate NMOCD District Office. For permanent pits submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

| Pit, Below-Grade Tank, or Proposed Alternative Method Permit or Closure Plan | Application |
|---|---|
| Type of action: Below grade tank registration | <u>r Application</u> |
| Permit of a pit or proposed alternative method Closure of a pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative n | nethod |
| Modification to an existing permit/or registration | n-permitted pit below-grade tank |
| or proposed alternative method | i perintica più, cele il grade tanti, |
| Instructions: Please submit one application (Form C-144) per individual pit, below-grad | le tank or alternative request |
| Please be advised that approval of this request does not relieve the operator of hability should operations result in polenvironment. Nor does approval relieve the operator of its responsibility to comply with any other applicable govern | nution of surface water, ground water of the mental authority's rules, regulations or ordinances. |
| 1. Operator: ConocoPhillips Company OGRID #: 217817 | OIL CONS. DIV DIST. 3 |
| Address: PO BOX 4289, Farmington, NM 87499 | APR 0 5 2017 |
| Facility or well name: <u>STATE COM AD #26</u> | |
| API Number:30-045-07604 OCD Permit Number: | |
| U/L or Qtr/Qtr N Section 36 Township 29N Range 11W Court | nty: <u>San Juan</u> |
| Center of Proposed Design: Latitude <u>36.677341•N</u> Longitude <u>-107.94595_•W</u> NAD: □1927 ⊠ | 1983 |
| Surface Owner: 🗌 Federal 🖾 State 🗋 Private 🗋 Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment | |
| 2. Pit: Subsection F, G or J of 19.15.17.11 NMAC | n. + Separte C-141 |
| Temporary: Drilling Workover | |
| Permanent Emergency Cavitation P&A Multi-Well Fluid Management Low | Chloride Drilling Fluid 🗌 yes 🗌 no |
| Lined Unlined Liner type: Thicknessmil LLDPE HDPE PVC Other | |
| String-Reinforced | |
| Liner Seams: Welded Factory Other Volume:bbl Dimensi | ons: Lx Wx D |
| 3. | |
| Below-grade tank: Subsection 1 of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Volume: 120 bhl Ture of fluid: Produced Water | |
| Tank Construction material: Metal | |
| Secondary containment with leak detection X Visible sidewalls, liner, 6-inch lift and automatic overf | low shut-off |
| □ Visible sidewalls and liner □ Visible sidewalls only □ Other | |
| Liner type: Thickness45mil | |
| 4. | |
| ∐ <u>Alternative Method</u> : | |
| Submittal of an exception request is required. Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmenta | Bureau office for consideration of approval. |
| 5. Fencing: Subsection D of 1915 1711 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits temporary pits and below-grade | e tanks) |
| Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top <i>(Reauired if located within 1000 feet of a</i> | permanent residence, school, hospital |
| institution or church) | ,,,,,,,, . |
| Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet | |
| | |

Form C-144

Oil Conservation Division

62 /

Netting: Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks)

Screen Netting Other_

Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)

Signs: Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC

12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers

Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC

Variances and Exceptions:

Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance.

Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank:

- □ Variance(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district for consideration of approval.
- Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

Siting Criteria (regarding permitting): 19.15.17.10 NMAC

Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of acceptable source material are provided below. Siting criteria does not apply to drying pads or above-grade tanks.

| General siting | |
|--|----------------------------|
| Crowned water is less than 25 fast below the bettern of a low oblavide temporary pit or below grade tenk | |
| - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells | \square Yes \square NO |
| Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of a Temporary pit, permanent pit, or Multi-Well Fluid Management pit. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells | ☐ Yes ☐ No ⊠ NA |
| Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No |
| Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division | Yes No |
| Within an unstable area. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map | Yes No |
| Within a 100-year floodplain. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) - FEMA map | Yes No |
| Below Grade Tanks | |
| Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, significant watercourse, lake bed, sinkhole, wetland or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | 🗌 Yes 🛛 No |
| Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for public or livestock consumption;. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | 🗌 Yes 🛛 No |
| Temporary Pit using Low Chloride Drilling Fluid (maximum chloride content 15,000 mg/liter) | |
| Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). (Applies to low chloride temporary pits.) Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No |
| Within 300 feet from a occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No |
| Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 300feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of the initial application. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | Yes No |

| Within 100 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | Yes No |
|---|--|
| Temporary Pit Non-low chloride drilling fluid | |
| Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse, or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | Yes No |
| Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No |
| Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in the existence at the time of the initial application; NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No |
| Within 300 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | Yes No |
| Permanent Pit or Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit | |
| Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No |
| Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No |
| Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No |
| Within 500 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No |
| 10. Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 N <i>Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the doc attached.</i> Hydrogeologic Report (Below-grade Tanks) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Hydrogeologic Data (Temporary and Emergency Pits) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19. and 19.15.17.13 NMAC Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number: or Permit Number: | MAC cuments are NMAC 15.17.9 NMAC |
| 11. | |
| Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the doc attached. Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC A List of wells with approved application for permit to drill associated with the pit. Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19. and 19.15.17.13 NMAC Hydrogeologic Data - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC | cuments are |
| Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number: | |

| Permanent Pits Permit Application Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the attached. Hydrogeologic Report - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Climatological Factors Assessment Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Liner Specifications and Compatibility Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Quality Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installation Plan Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Remergency Response Plan Oil Field Waste Stream Characterization Monitoring and Inspection Plan Erosion Control Plan Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC | e documents are |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| 13. <u>Proposed Closure</u> : 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Plage complete the applicable bayes. Bayes 14 through 18 in regards to the proposed closure plan | |
| Type: Drilling Workover Emergency Cavitation P&A Permanent Pit Below-grade Tank Multi-well | Fluid Management Pit |
| Alternative Proposed Closure Method: X Waste Excavation and Removal | |
| Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only) | |
| ☐ In-place Burial ☐ On-site Trench Burial | |
| Alternative Closure Method | |
| Waste Excavation and Removal Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. | e attached to the C |
| 15. | <u>.</u> |
| Siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendations of acceptable so provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria require justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency. 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance. | urce material are Please refer to |
| Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells | □ Yes □ No □ NA |
| Ground water is between 25-50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells | □ Yes □ No □ NA |
| Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells | ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA |
| Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | Yes No |
| Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image | Yes No |
| Within 300 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | Yes No |
| Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality | Yes No |
| Within 300 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | 🗌 Yes 🗌 No |
| Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance | |
| Form C-144 Oil Conservation Division Page 4 o | f 6 |

| adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality | Yes No |
|---|--|
| Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division | Yes No |
| Within an unstable area. Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological | |
| Society; Topographic map | Yes No |
| - FEMA map | Yes No |
| 16. On-Site Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure play a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Proof of Surface Owner Notice - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection K of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Construction/Design Plan of Temporary Pit (for in-place burial of a drying pad) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Waste Material Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings or in case on-site closure standards canned Soil Cover Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC | II NMAC 11 NMAC 15.17.11 NMAC |
| 17. Operator Application Certification: | -f |
| Name (Print): | 21. |
| Name (Print): file: | |
| Signature: Date: | |
| e-mail address: Telephone: | |
| 18. OCD Approval: Permit Application (including closure plan) Image: Closure Plan (only) Image: OCD Conditions (see attachment) OCD Representative Signature: Image: Ocd State Plan (only) Image: Ocd State Plan (only) <td>112017</td> | 112017 |
| 19. Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion): 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved closure plan prior to implementing any closure activities and submitting The closure report is required to be submitted to the division within 60 days of the completion of the closure activities. Please do not section of the form until an approved closure plan has been obtained and the closure activities have been completed. Closure Completion Date: 1/11/2017 | the closure report. complete this |
| 20. Closure Method: Waste Excavation and Removal On-Site Closure Method Alternative Closure Method Waste Removal (Closed-loo If different from approved plan, please explain. | op systems only) |
| ^{21.} <u>Closure Report Attachment Checklist</u> : Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure report. Please ind mark in the box, that the documents are attached. | |

Operator Closure Certification:

22.

I hereby certify that the information and attachments submitted with this closure report is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I also certify that the closure complies with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.

| Name (Print) Christine Brock | Title: <u>Regu</u> | ulatory Specialist | | |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|-------|-----------|
| Signature: | | | Date: | 3/30/2017 |
| e-mail address:christine.brock@cop.com | Telephone: | (505) 326-9775 | | |

ConocoPhillips Company San Juan Basin Below Grade Tank Closure Report

Lease Name: State Com AD #26 API No.: 30-045-07604

In accordance with Rule 19.15.17.13 NMAC the following information describes the closure of the below-grade tank referenced above. All proper documentation regarding closure activities is being included with the C-144.

General Plan:

 COPC shall close a below-grade tank within 60 days of cessation of operations per Subsection G.4 of 19.15.17.13 NMAC. This will include a) below-grade tanks that do not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC or is not included in Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC within five years, if not retrofitted to comply with Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC; b) an earlier date that the division requires because of imminent danger to fresh water, public health or the environment. For any closure, COPC will file the C144 Closure Report as required.

The below-grade tank referenced above was permitted and closed within 60 days of cessation of the below-grade tanks operation.

 COPC shall remove liquids and sludge from a below-grade tank prior to implementing a closure method and shall dispose of the liquids and sludge in a division-approved facility. The facilities to be used will be Basin Disposal (Permit #NM-01-005), JFJ Landfarm % Industrial Ecosystem Inc. (Permit # NM-01-0010B) and Envirotech Land Farm (Permit #NM-01-011). The liner after being cleaned well (Subsection D, Paragraph 1, Subparagraph (m) of 19.15.9.712 NMAC) will be disposed of at the San Juan County Regional Landfill located on CR 3100.

All recovered liquids were disposed of at Basin Disposal (Permit #NM-01-005) and any sludge or soil required to be removed to facilitate closure was hauled to Envirotech Land Farm (Permit #NM-01-011) and JFJ Landfarm % IEI (Permit #NM-01-0010B). The liner was cleaned per Subsection D, Paragraph 1, Subparagraph (m) of 19.15.9.712 NMAC was disposed of at the San Juan County Regional Landfill located on CR 3100.

3. COPC will receive prior approval to remove the below-grade tank and dispose of it in a division-approved facility or recycle, reuse, or reclaim it in a manner that the appropriate division district office approves.

The below-grade tank was disposed of in a division-approved manner.

4. If there is any on-site equipment associated with a below-grade tank, then COPC shall remove the equipment, unless the equipment is required for some other purpose.

All on-site equipment associated with the below-grade tank was removed.

5. COPC will test the soils beneath the below-grade tank to determine whether a release has occurred. COPC shall collect, at a minimum, a five point, composite sample; collect individual grab samples from any area that is wet, discolored or showing other evidence of a release; and analyzed for the constituents listed in Table I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC. COPC shall notify the division of its results on form C-141.

A five point composite sample was taken of the below-grade tank using sampling tools and all samples tested per Subsection B of 19.15.17.1 3(B)(1)(b). (Sample results attached). Form C-141 is attached.

| Components | Tests Method | Limit (mg/kg) |
|------------|---------------------------|---------------|
| Benzene | EPA SW-846 8021B or 8260B | 0.2 |
| BTEX | EPA SW-846 8021B or 8260B | 50 |
| ТРН | EPA SW-846 418.1 | 100 |
| Chlorides | EPA 300.0 | 250 |

6. If COPC or the division determines that a release has occurred, then COPC shall comply with 19.15.3.116 NMAC and 19.15.1.19 NMAC, as appropriate.

A release was determined for the above referenced well.

7. If the sampling program demonstrates that a release has not occurred or that any release does not exceed the concentrations specified in Table I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, then COPC shall backfill the excavation with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material; construct a division-prescribed soil cover; recontour and re-vegetate the site.

The below-grade tank area passed all requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 NMAC and was backfilled with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material.

- 8. Notice of Closure will be given prior to closure to the Aztec Division office between 72 hours and one week via email or verbally. The notification of closure will include the following:
 - i. Operator's name
 - ii. Location by Unit Letter, Section, Township, and Range. Well name and API number.

Notification is attached.

9. The surface owner shall be notified of COPC's closing of the below-grade tank 72 hours, but not more than one week, prior to closure as per the approved closure plan via certified mail, return receipt requested.

The closure process notification to the landowner was sent via email. (See Attached) (Well located on Federal Land, certified mail is not required for Federal Land per BLM/OCD MOU.)

10. Re-contouring of location will match fit, shape, line, form and texture of the surrounding. Re-shaping will include drainage control, prevent ponding, and prevent erosion. Natural drainages will be unimpeded and water bars and/or silt traps will be place in areas where needed to prevent erosion on a large scale. Final re-contour shall have a uniform appearance with smooth surface, fitting the natural landscape.

The below-grade tank area was re-contoured to match fit, shape, line, form and texture of the surrounding area. Re-shaping including drainage control, to prevent ponding and erosion. Natural drainages were unimpeded and water bars and/or silt traps were placed in areas where needed to prevent erosion on a large scale. Final recontour has a uniform appearance with smooth surface, fitting the natural landscape.

11. COPC shall seed the disturbed areas the first favorable growing season following closure of a below-grade tank. Seeding will be accomplished via drilling on the contour whenever practical or by other division-approved methods. BLM stipulated seed mixes will be used on federally regulated lands and division-approved seed mixtures (administratively approved if required) will be utilized on all State or private lands. A uniform vegetative cover has been established that reflects a life-form ratio of plus or minus fifty percent (50%) of pre- disturbance levels and a total percent plant cover of at least seventy percent (70%) of pre-disturbance levels, excluding noxious weeds. If alternate seed mix is required by the state, private owner or tribe, it will be implemented with administrative approval if needed. COPC will repeat seeding or planting will be continued until successful vegetative growth occurs.

Provision 13 was accomplished through complying with BLM seeding requirements as allowed by the BLM/OCD MOU.

12. A minimum of four feet of cover shall be achieved and the cover shall include one foot of suitable material, with chloride concentrations less than 600 mg/kg as analyzed by EPA Method 300.0, to establish vegetation at the site, or the background thickness of topsoil, whichever is greater.

The below-grade tank area was backfilled and more than four feet of cover was achieved and the cover included one foot of suitable material to establish vegetation at the site.

- 13. All closure activities will include proper documentation and be available for review upon request and will be submitted to OCD within 60 days of closure of the below-grade tank. Closure report will be filed on C-144 and incorporate the following:
 - Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation (See Report)

f

- Re-vegetation application rates and seeding techniques (See Report)
- Photo documentation of the site reclamation (Included as an attachment)
- Confirmation Sampling Results (Included as an attachment)
- Proof of closure notice (Included as an attachment)

Brock, Christine

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Walker, Crystal Friday, January 06, 2017 8:16 AM Brandon Foley (bfoley@slo.state.nm.us) Walker, Crystal FW: BGT Closure Notification: State Com AD 26

From: Walker, Crystal
Sent: Friday, January 06, 2017 6:13 AM
To: Cory Smith <cory.smith@state.nm.us>; Fields, Vanessa, EMNRD <Vanessa.Fields@state.nm.us>
Cc: Farrell, Juanita R <Juanita.R.Farrell@conocophillips.com>; GRP:SJBU Regulatory <SJBURegulatory@conocophillips.com>; Jones, Lisa <Lisabeth.S.Jones@conocophillips.com>; SJBU E-Team <SJBUE-Team@conocophillips.com>; Trujillo, Fasho D
<Eufracio.D.Trujillo@conocophillips.com>
Subject: BGT Closure Notification: State Com AD 26

Approximate State Date & Time: Wednesday, January 11th, 2017 at 10:00AM

The subject well has a below-grade tank that will begin the closure process between 72 hours and one week from this notification. Please contact me at any time if you have any questions or concerns.

Well Name: State Com AD 26

API#: 3004507604

Location: N - 36 - 29N - 11W

Footages: 790' FSL & 1650' FWL

Operator: ConocoPhillips

Surface Owner: State

Thank you, Crystal Walker Regulatory Coordinator ConocoPhillips Lower 48

T: 505-326-9837 | M: 505-793-2398 | crystal.walker@cop.com

Visit the new Lower 48 website: www.conocophillipsuslower48.com

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

Form C-141 Revised August 8, 2011

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505 Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office to accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

API No. 3004507604

Release Notification and Corrective Action

| | OPERATOR | Initial Report | \bowtie | Final Repor |
|---|------------------------------|----------------|-----------|-------------|
| Name of Company ConocoPhillips Company | Contact Lisa Hunter | | | |
| Address 3401 East 30th St, Farmington, NM | Telephone No. (505) 258-1607 | | | |
| Facility Name: State Com AD 26 | Facility Type: Gas Well | | | |
| | | | | |

| Surface Owner S | tate |
|-----------------|------|
|-----------------|------|

LOCATION OF RELEASE

Mineral Owner State (B-10644-48)

| | | | | | Country of the second se | | | | |
|-------------|---------|----------|-------|---------------|---|---------------|----------------|----------|--|
| Unit Letter | Section | Township | Range | Feet from the | North/South Line | Feet from the | East/West Line | County | |
| N | 36 | 29N | 11W | 790 | South | 1650 | West | San Juan | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Latitude 36.67784 Longitude -107.94608

NATURE OF RELEASE

| Type of Release Hydrocarbon | Volume of Release Unknown | Volume Recovered 260 c/yds |
|--|---|--|
| Source of Release BGT – Historic Contamination | Date and Hour of Occurrence | Date and Hour of Discovery |
| | Unknown | 01-13-17 |
| Was Immediate Notice Given? | If YES, To Whom? | |
| 🛛 Yes 🗌 No 🗌 Not Required | OCD Specialists via email | |
| By Whom? Lisa Hunter | Date and Hour 01-16-2017 @ 11: | 08 a.m. |
| Was a Watercourse Reached? | If YES, Volume Impacting the Wat | ercourse. |
| 🗌 Yes 🛛 No | N/A | |
| If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.* N/A | | |
| Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* | | |
| Contamination was discovered during P&A facility strip – BGT Close | ure activities on the State Com AD # | 26. As of 01/13/17, approximately 170 |
| yards of soil was removed during sampling and assessment by contra- | ctors, and we are currently waiting t | for lab results of base before proceeding |
| any further. | | |
| | | |
| Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.* | | |
| Excavation was at approximately 20ft x 25ft x 10ft deep when sample | s were collected. Wall samples clear | red in the field and samples sent to lab. |
| Base samples were above NMOCD Action Levels and were rushed in | the lab. 01/16/17, lab results were st | till high for base, additional |
| contaminated soil will be removed, and base resampled. 01/31/17 an | additional 3-5 feet had been rem | noved from base and base resampled. |
| | | |
| The final excavation was approximately 20' x 25' x 14' in dept | h and approximately 260 c/yds c | ontaminated soil was transported to |
| The final excavation was approximately 20° x 25° x 14° in dept IEI land farm. Analytical results were below the regulatory st | h and approximately 260 c/yds c andards – no further action requ | uired. The soil sampling report is |
| The final excavation was approximately 20° x 25° x 14° in dept IEI land farm. Analytical results were below the regulatory st attached for review. | h and approximately 260 c/yds c andards – no further action requ | ontaminated soil was transported to uired. The soil sampling report is |
| The final excavation was approximately 20° x 25° x 14° in dept IEI land farm. Analytical results were below the regulatory st attached for review. | h and approximately 260 c/yds c andards – no further action requ | nd that purpuent to NMOCD rules and |
| The final excavation was approximately 20° x 25° x 14° in dept IEI land farm. Analytical results were below the regulatory st attached for review. | h and approximately 260 c/yds c andards – no further action requ he best of my knowledge and understa otifications and perform corrective act | nd that pursuant to NMOCD rules and |
| The final excavation was approximately 20' x 25' x 14' in dept IEI land farm. Analytical results were below the regulatory st attached for review. I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release n public health or the environment. The accentance of a C-141 report by the | h and approximately 260 c/yds c andards – no further action requ he best of my knowledge and understa otifications and perform corrective act e NMOCD marked as "Final Report" of | ontaminated soil was transported to uired. The soil sampling report is nd that pursuant to NMOCD rules and tions for releases which may endanger here not relieve the operator of liability |
| The final excavation was approximately 20' x 25' x 14' in dept IEI land farm. Analytical results were below the regulatory st attached for review. I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to th regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release n public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediat | h and approximately 260 c/yds c andards – no further action requ he best of my knowledge and understa otifications and perform corrective act e NMOCD marked as "Final Report" c e contamination that pose a threat to g | and that pursuant to NMOCD rules and tions for releases which may endanger does not relieve the operator of liability round water, surface water, human health |
| The final excavation was approximately 20' x 25' x 14' in dept IEI land farm. Analytical results were below the regulatory st attached for review. I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to th regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release n public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report d | h and approximately 260 c/yds c andards – no further action requ he best of my knowledge and understa otifications and perform corrective act e NMOCD marked as "Final Report" of e contamination that pose a threat to g oes not relieve the operator of respons | and that pursuant to NMOCD rules and tions for releases which may endanger does not relieve the operator of liability round water, surface water, human health ibility for compliance with any other |
| The final excavation was approximately 20' x 25' x 14' in dept IEI land farm. Analytical results were below the regulatory st attached for review. I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to th regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release n public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediato or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report d federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations. | h and approximately 260 c/yds c andards – no further action requ he best of my knowledge and understa otifications and perform corrective act e NMOCD marked as "Final Report" of e contamination that pose a threat to g oes not relieve the operator of respons | ontaminated soil was transported to uired. The soil sampling report is nd that pursuant to NMOCD rules and tions for releases which may endanger does not relieve the operator of liability round water, surface water, human health ibility for compliance with any other |
| The final excavation was approximately 20' x 25' x 14' in dept IEI land farm. Analytical results were below the regulatory st attached for review. I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to th regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release n public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediato or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report d federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations. | h and approximately 260 c/yds c andards – no further action requ he best of my knowledge and understa otifications and perform corrective act e NMOCD marked as "Final Report" of e contamination that pose a threat to g oes not relieve the operator of respons OIL CONSERV | Ind that pursuant to NMOCD rules and tions for releases which may endanger does not relieve the operator of liability round water, surface water, human health ibility for compliance with any other |
| The final excavation was approximately 20' x 25' x 14' in dept IEI land farm. Analytical results were below the regulatory st attached for review. I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release ne public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report d federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations. | h and approximately 260 c/yds c andards – no further action requ he best of my knowledge and understa otifications and perform corrective act e NMOCD marked as "Final Report" of e contamination that pose a threat to g oes not relieve the operator of respons OIL CONSERV | Ind that pursuant to NMOCD rules and tions for releases which may endanger does not relieve the operator of liability round water, surface water, human health ibility for compliance with any other |
| The final excavation was approximately 20' x 25' x 14' in dept IEI land farm. Analytical results were below the regulatory st attached for review. I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release ne public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediated or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report deferral, state, or local laws and/or regulations. | h and approximately 260 c/yds c andards – no further action requ he best of my knowledge and understa otifications and perform corrective act e NMOCD marked as "Final Report" of e contamination that pose a threat to g oes not relieve the operator of respons OIL CONSERV | Ind that pursuant to NMOCD rules and tions for releases which may endanger does not relieve the operator of liability round water, surface water, human health ibility for compliance with any other |
| The final excavation was approximately 20' x 25' x 14' in dept IEI land farm. Analytical results were below the regulatory st attached for review. I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release ne public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediated or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report deferral, state, or local laws and/or regulations. Signature: | h and approximately 260 c/yds c andards – no further action requ he best of my knowledge and understa otifications and perform corrective act e NMOCD marked as "Final Report" of e contamination that pose a threat to g oes not relieve the operator of respons OIL CONSERV Approved by Environmental Specialis | Ind that pursuant to NMOCD rules and tions for releases which may endanger does not relieve the operator of liability round water, surface water, human health ibility for compliance with any other |
| The final excavation was approximately 20' x 25' x 14' in dept IEI land farm. Analytical results were below the regulatory st attached for review. I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release ne public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report defederal, state, or local laws and/or regulations. Signature: Printed Name: Lisa Hunter | h and approximately 260 c/yds c andards – no further action requ he best of my knowledge and understa otifications and perform corrective act e NMOCD marked as "Final Report" of e contamination that pose a threat to g oes not relieve the operator of respons OIL CONSERV Approved by Environmental Specialis | Ind that pursuant to NMOCD rules and tions for releases which may endanger does not relieve the operator of liability round water, surface water, human health ibility for compliance with any other VATION DIVISION |
| The final excavation was approximately 20' x 25' x 14' in dept IEI land farm. Analytical results were below the regulatory st attached for review. I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release n public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report d federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations. Signature: Yes Printed Name: Lisa Hunter | h and approximately 260 c/yds c andards – no further action requ he best of my knowledge and understa otifications and perform corrective act e NMOCD marked as "Final Report" of e contamination that pose a threat to g oes not relieve the operator of respons OIL CONSERV Approved by Environmental Specialis | Ind that pursuant to NMOCD rules and tions for releases which may endanger does not relieve the operator of liability round water, surface water, human health ibility for compliance with any other VATION DIVISION |
| The final excavation was approximately 20' x 25' x 14' in dept IEI land farm. Analytical results were below the regulatory st attached for review. I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to th regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release n public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report d federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations. Signature: | h and approximately 260 c/yds c andards – no further action requ he best of my knowledge and understa otifications and perform corrective act e NMOCD marked as "Final Report" of e contamination that pose a threat to g oes not relieve the operator of respons OIL CONSERV Approved by Environmental Specialis Approval Date: | Ind that pursuant to NMOCD rules and tions for releases which may endanger does not relieve the operator of liability round water, surface water, human health ibility for compliance with any other VATION DIVISION |
| The final excavation was approximately 20' x 25' x 14' in dept IEI land farm. Analytical results were below the regulatory st attached for review. I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to th regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release n public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report d federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations. Signature: | h and approximately 260 c/yds c andards – no further action requ he best of my knowledge and understa otifications and perform corrective act e NMOCD marked as "Final Report" of e contamination that pose a threat to g oes not relieve the operator of respons OIL CONSERV Approved by Environmental Specialis Approval Date: | Ind that pursuant to NMOCD rules and tions for releases which may endanger does not relieve the operator of liability round water, surface water, human health ibility for compliance with any other VATION DIVISION |
| The final excavation was approximately 20' x 25' x 14' in deptivity in the second structure of the regulatory structure of the regulatory structure of the regulation of the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report d federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations. Signature: Image: Lisa Hunter Title: Field Environmental Specialist E-mail Address: Lisa.Hunter@cop.com | h and approximately 260 c/yds c andards – no further action requ he best of my knowledge and understa otifications and perform corrective act e NMOCD marked as "Final Report" of e contamination that pose a threat to g oes not relieve the operator of respons OIL CONSERV Approved by Environmental Specialis Approval Date: HUDD Conditions of Approval: | Ind that pursuant to NMOCD rules and tions for releases which may endanger does not relieve the operator of liability round water, surface water, human health ibility for compliance with any other VATION DIVISION |
| The final excavation was approximately 20' x 25' x 14' in deptivity in the final excavation was approximately 20' x 25' x 14' in deptivity in the information results were below the regulatory stattached for review. I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release negulations all operators have failed to adequately investigate and remediate or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report defederal, state, or local laws and/or regulations. Signature: | h and approximately 260 c/yds c andards – no further action requ he best of my knowledge and understa otifications and perform corrective act e NMOCD marked as "Final Report" of e contamination that pose a threat to g oes not relieve the operator of respons OIL CONSERV Approved by Environmental Specialis Approval Date: HILDON Conditions of Approval: | Ind that pursuant to NMOCD rules and tions for releases which may endanger does not relieve the operator of liability round water, surface water, human health ibility for compliance with any other VATION DIVISION |

* Attach Additional Sheets If Necessary

Submit Deperate C-141 Final and Intial

State Com AD #26 Release Report

Unit Letter N, Section 36, Township 29 North, Range 11 West San Juan County, New Mexico

March 6, 2017

Prepared for: ConocoPhillips 5525 Highway 64 Farmington, New Mexico 87401

Prepared by: Rule Engineering, LLC 501 Airport Drive, Suite 205 Farmington, New Mexico 87401



ConocoPhillips State Com AD #26 Release Report

Prepared for:

ConocoPhillips 5525 Highway 64 Farmington, New Mexico 87401

Prepared by:

Rule Engineering, LLC 501 Airport Drive, Suite 205 Farmington, New Mexico 87401

Heather M. Wood

Heather M. Woods, P.G., Area Manager

Reviewed by:

Russell Knight, PG, Principal Hydrogeologist

March 6, 2017

Table of Contents

1 1

| 1.0 | Introduction | 1 |
|-----|-----------------------------------|---|
| 2.0 | Release Summary | 1 |
| 3.0 | NMOCD Site Ranking | 1 |
| 4.0 | Below Grade Tank Closure Sampling | 2 |
| 4.1 | Field Activities | 2 |
| 4.2 | Soil Sampling | 2 |
| 4.3 | Field Screening Results | 3 |
| 4.4 | Laboratory Analytical Results | 3 |
| 5.0 | Site Assessment | 3 |
| 5.1 | Field Activities | 3 |
| 5.2 | Soil Sampling | 3 |
| 5.3 | Field Screening Results | 3 |
| 6.0 | Excavation Confirmation Sampling | 4 |
| 6.1 | Field Activities | 4 |
| 6.2 | Soil Sampling | 4 |
| 6.3 | Field Screening Results | 4 |
| 6.4 | Laboratory Analytical Results | 5 |
| 7.0 | Conclusions | 5 |
| 8.0 | Closure and Limitations | 5 |

Tables

| Table 1 | NMOCD Site Ranking Determination |
|---------|---|
| Table 2 | Site Assessment Field Screening and Laboratory Analytical Results |
| Table 3 | Excavation Confirmation Field Screening and Laboratory Analytical Results |

Figures

| Figure 1 | Topographic Map |
|----------|---|
| Figure 2 | Aerial Site Map |
| Figure 3 | Excavation Confirmation Sample Location Map |

Appendices

Appendix A Analytical Laboratory Reports



1.0 Introduction

The ConocoPhillips State Com AD #26 release site is located in Unit Letter N, Section 36, Township 29 North, Range 11 West, in San Juan County, New Mexico. A historical release was discovered on January 11, 2017, during below grade tank (BGT) closure sampling when stained soils were observed in the southern base of the BGT cellar.

A topographic map of the location reproduced from the United States Geological Society quadrangle map of the area is included as Figure 1 and an aerial site map is included as Figure 2.

| Site Name | State Com AD #26 | State Com AD #26 | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Site Location Description | Unit Letter N, Section 36, Township 29 North, Range 11 West | | | | | | | | |
| Wellhead GPS Location | N36.67749 and W107.94654 | N36.67784 and W107.94608 | | | | | | | |
| Land Jurisdiction | New Mexico State Land Office | Discovery Date | January 11, 2017 | | | | | | |
| Release Source | Unknown/Historical | | | | | | | | |
| NMOCD Site Rank | 10 | | | | | | | | |
| Distance to Nearest Surface Water | The wash of Sullivan Canyon is located approximately 860 fe east of the location. | | | | | | | | |
| Estimated Depth to Groundwater | Greater than 100 feet below ground surface (bgs) Distance to Rearest Water Well or Spring | | | | | | | | |

2.0 Release Summary

3.0 NMOCD Site Ranking

In accordance with the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) Guidelines for Remediation of Leaks, Spills, and Releases (August 1993), this site was assigned a ranking score of 10 (Table 1).

Depth to groundwater at the site is greater than 100 feet bgs based on the elevation differential between the location and local drainages and the depths to groundwater reported on local cathodic well reports.

A review was completed of the New Mexico Office of the State Engineer (NMOSE) online New Mexico Water Rights Reporting System (NMWRRS) and no water wells were identified within a 1,000 foot radius of the location. No water wells were observed within a 1,000 foot radius of the location during a visual inspection.



The wash of Sullivan Canyon is located approximately 860 feet east of the location.

Based on the ranking score of 10, action levels for remediated soils at the site are as follows: 10 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg) benzene, 50 mg/kg total benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes (BTEX), and 1,000 mg/kg total petroleum hydrocarbons (TPH).

4.0 Below Grade Tank Closure Sampling

As outlined in 19.15.17.13 New Mexico Administrative Code (NMAC), BGT closure standards for the State Com AD #26 are as follows: 0.2 mg/kg benzene, 50 mg/kg total BTEX, 100 mg/kg TPH, and 250 mg/kg chlorides.

4.1 Field Activities

On January 11, 2017, Rule Engineering, LLC (Rule) personnel conducted a visual inspection for surface/subsurface indications of a release. Staining was observed in the southern base of the BGT cellar. Rule personnel then collected one composite soil sample (BGT-1) from the base of the BGT cellar. Soil sample locations are illustrated on Figure 2.

4.2 Soil Sampling

Rule collected a five-point composite sample (BGT-1) from approximately 0.5 feet below the base of the BGT cellar. A portion of the sample was field screened for volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and chlorides, and field analyzed for TPH.

Field screening for VOC vapors was conducted with a MiniRAE 3000 photoionization detector (PID). Prior to field screening, the PID was calibrated with 100 parts per million (ppm) isobutylene gas. Field analysis for TPH was conducted for selected samples per United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method 418.1, utilizing a Buck Scientific HC-404 total hydrocarbon analyzer. Prior to field analysis, the analyzer was calibrated following the manufacturer's procedure which includes calculation of a calibration curve using known concentration standards. Rule's practical quantitation limit for USEPA Method 418.1 is 20 mg/kg. Field screening for chloride was conducted using the Hach chloride low range test kit. Chloride concentrations were determined by drop count titration method using silver nitrate titrant.

The soil sample collected for laboratory analysis was placed into laboratory supplied glassware, labeled, and maintained on ice until delivery to Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory in Albuquerque, New Mexico. The sample was analyzed for BTEX per USEPA Method 8021B, TPH per USEPA Method 8015M/D and 418.1, and chlorides per USEPA Method 300.0.

Field and laboratory results for BGT-1 are summarized in Table 2, and the analytical report is included in Appendix A.



4.3 Field Screening Results

Field sampling results for soil composite sample BGT-1 indicated a VOC concentration of 608 ppm and a TPH concentration of 1,450 mg/kg. Field chloride concentration was recorded at 60 mg/kg.

4.4 Laboratory Analytical Results

Laboratory analytical results for sample BGT-1 reported a benzene concentration below the laboratory reporting limit of 0.096 mg/kg and a total BTEX concentration below the laboratory reporting limit of 0.86 mg/kg, which are below the BGT closure standards and NMOCD action levels. Laboratory analytical results for sample BGT-1 reported TPH concentrations of 210 mg/kg as GRO per USEPA Method 8015 M/D, 1,500 mg/kg DRO per USEPA Method 8015 M/D, and 1,400 mg/kg per USEPA Method 418.1, which exceed the BGT closure standards and NMOCD action levels. The laboratory analytical result for sample BGT-1 for chloride concentration was below the laboratory reporting limit of 7.5 mg/kg, which is below the BGT closure standard.

5.0 Site Assessment

5.1 Field Activities

On the same day of BGT closure activities, a site assessment to determine the horizontal and vertical extents of the release was initiated. Rule personnel provided guidance and field analysis of soil samples collected from five backhoe test pits (TP-1 through TP-5). Test pits were advanced to depths ranging from approximately 10 to 12 feet bgs where refusal was encountered on sandstone or the limit of the equipment was reached. Test pit locations are illustrated on Figure 2.

5.2 Soil Sampling

Rule collected soil samples from the test pits at selected intervals or at changes in lithology or contamination. The lithology encountered at the site included interbedded clayey sand and poorly graded sand underlain by sandstone to the maximum depths of the test pits.

A portion of each sample was field screened for VOCs and selected samples were also field analyzed for TPH utilizing the same methods as described in Section 4.2.

Site assessment field screening results are summarized in Table 2.

5.3 Field Screening Results

Field screening results for samples collected from test pits TP-1 through TP-5 indicated VOC concentrations ranging from 0.0 ppm to 591 ppm. Field TPH results for samples collected from test pit TP-1 indicated TPH concentrations ranging from 971 mg/kg to 2,670 mg/kg. Field screening results for VOCs and TPH indicated limited horizontal



impacts and vertical impacts diminishing to near NMOCD action levels around 11 feet bgs.

6.0 Excavation Confirmation Sampling

6.1 Field Activities

Hydrocarbon impacted soils were excavated prior to January 13, 2017, when Rule personnel returned to the site to collect confirmation samples from the resultant excavation which measured approximately 28.5 feet by 25 feet by 10 feet in depth. Field and laboratory analysis indicated TPH concentrations in excess of NMOCD action levels from the sample collected from the base of the excavation. An additional 3 to 5 feet of material was removed from the base of the excavation and resampling of the base measuring approximately 13 to 15 feet in depth was conducted on January 31, 2017. Excavated hydrocarbon impacted soils and rock were transported to a local NMOCD approved landfarm for disposal/remediation and the excavation was backfilled with clean, imported material. A depiction of the final excavation with sample locations is included on Figure 3.

6.2 Soil Sampling

Rule collected five composite confirmation soil samples (SC-1 through SC-5) on January 13, 2017, and one additional sample (SC-6) on January 31, 2017. Each confirmation soil sample is a representative composite comprised of five equivalent portions of soil collected from the sampled area.

A portion of each sample was field screened for VOCs and field analyzed for TPH utilizing the same methods as described in Section 4.2.

Soil samples collected for laboratory analysis were placed into laboratory supplied glassware, labeled, and maintained on ice until delivery to Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory in Albuquerque, New Mexico. All excavation confirmation samples were analyzed for BTEX per USEPA Method 8021B, and TPH per USEPA Method 8015M/D.

Field screening and laboratory analytical results are summarized in Table 3. The analytical laboratory reports are included in Appendix A.

6.3 Field Screening Results

Field screening results for soil confirmation samples SC-1 through SC-6 indicated VOC concentrations ranging from 0.2 ppm to 743 ppm. Field TPH concentration results for these samples ranged from below the reporting limit of 20 mg/kg to 1,833 mg/kg.



6.4 Laboratory Analytical Results

Sample Removed by Excavation: Sample SC-5, representing the base of the excavation at approximately 10 feet in depth, was removed by excavation due to exceedance of the NMOCD action level for TPH. Laboratory analytical results for this sample reported a benzene concentration below the laboratory reporting limit of 0.091 mg/kg, a total BTEX concentration of 6.9 mg/kg, and a TPH concentration of 1,710 mg/kg.

Final Excavation Confirmation Samples: Samples collected for final excavation confirmation include SC-1, SC-2, SC-3, SC-4, and SC-6. Laboratory analytical results for final excavation confirmation samples reported benzene and total BTEX concentrations below the laboratory reporting limits, which are below the applicable NMOCD action levels. Laboratory analytical results for the final excavation samples reported TPH concentrations ranging from below the laboratory reporting limits to 70 mg/kg, which are below the NMOCD action level for a site rank of 10.

7.0 Conclusions

Hydrocarbon impacted soils associated with a historical release discovered during BGT closure activities at the ConocoPhillips State Com AD #26 have been excavated and transported to an NMOCD approved landfarm for disposal/remediation. Field screening and laboratory analytical results for samples collected from the final excavation sidewalls and base indicate that concentrations of benzene, total BTEX, and TPH are below NMOCD action levels for a site rank of 10. Therefore, no further work is recommended at this time.

8.0 Closure and Limitations

This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of ConocoPhillips and is subject to the terms, conditions, and limitations stated in Rule's report and Service Agreement with ConocoPhillips. All work has been performed in accordance with generally accepted professional environmental consulting practices. No other warranty is expressed or implied.

ConocoPhillips State Com AD #26 Release Report

.

Tables



Table 1. NMOCD Site Ranking Determination ConocoPhillips State Com AD #26 San Juan County, New Mexico

| Ranking Criteria | Ranking | Site-Based | Basis for Determination | Data | |
|---|--------------------|---------------|---|--|--|
| | Score | Ranking Score | | Sources | |
| | | | | | |
| Depth to Groundwater | | | | | |
| <50 feet | 20 | | Depth to groundwater is estimated to be greater than 100 feet below ground surface based on elevation | NMOCD Online database, | |
| 50-99 feet | 10 | 0 | differential between location and local drainages and the depths to groundwater reported on local cathodic | Gould Pass Quadrangle, Google Earth, and Visual Inspection | |
| >100 feet | 0 | | well reports. | | |
| | | | | | |
| Wellhead Protection Area | | | | | |
| <1,000 feet from a water source, or <200 feet from private domestic water source | 20 (Yes) 0 (No) | - 0 | No water source or recorded water wells within 1,000 foot radius of location. | NMOSE NMWRRS, Gould Pass Quadrangle, Google Earth, and Visual Inspection | |
| | | | | | |
| Distance to Surface Water Body | | | | | |
| <200 horizontal feet | 20 | | The week of Culliner Conversion located environtlaw | Bloomfield Quadrangle, | |
| 200 to 1,000 horizontal feet | 10 | 10 | 860 feet east of the location. | Google Earth, and Visual | |
| >1,000 horizontal feet | 0 | | | Inspection | |
| Site Based Total Rank | ing Score | 10 | | | |



Table 2. Site Assessment Field Screening and Laboratory Analytical ResultsConocoPhillipsState Com AD #26San Juan County, New Mexico

| . * | 8 | Approximate | | Field Results | | Laboratory Results | | | | | |
|----------------|-----------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|
| Sample Name | Date | Sample Depth (ft bgs) | Field VOCs by PID (ppm) | Field TPH by 418.1 (mg/kg) | Field Chlorides (mg/kg) | Benzene (mg/kg) | Total BTEX (mg/kg) | TPH as GRO (mg/kg) | TPH as DRO (mg/kg) | TPH by 418.1 (mg/kg) | Chloride (mg/kg) |
| | BGT Closu | re Standards* | | 100 | 250 | 0.2 | 50 | 1(| 00 | 100 | 250 |
| | NMOCD | Action Level** | 100 | 1,000 | | 10 | 50 | 1,000 | | 1,000 | |
| BGT-1 | 1/11/2017 | 4.5 | 608 | 1,450 | 60 | <0.096 | <0.86 | 210 | 1,500 | 1,400 | <7.5 |
| | | 6 | 269 | 2,020 | | | | | | | |
| TP-1 | 1/11/2017 | 9 | 591 | 2,670 | - | | - | | | | |
| | | 11 | 150 | 971 | | | | | | | |
| | | 4 | 0.1 | | | | | | | | |
| TP-2 | 1/11/2017 | 8 | 0.0 | - | - | | | | | | - |
| | | 10 | 0.2 | | | | | | | | |
| | | 6.5 | 0.2 | | | | | | | | |
| TP-3 | 1/11/2017 | 9 | 0.2 | | | | | | | | |
| | | 12 | 0.2 | | | - | | | | | |
| | | 6 | 0.1 | | | | | | | | |
| TP-4 | 1/11/2017 | 9.5 | 0.1 | | | | | | | | |
| | | 11 | 0.5 | | | | | | | | - |
| TP-5 | 1/11/2017 | 7 | 0.5 | | | | | | | | |
| 11-5 | 1/1/2017 | 11 | 0.2 | | | | | | | | |

Notes:

VOCs - volatile organic compounds PID - photoionization detector

ft bgs - feet below grade surface

ppm - parts per million

mg/kg - milligrams per kilogram

*19.15.17.13 NMAC

TPH - total petroleum hydrocarbons

GRO - gasoline range organics

DRO - diesel range organics

BTEX - benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes

NMOCD - New Mexico Oil Conservation Division

**Based on the NMOCD Guidelines for Remediation of Leaks, Spills and Releases (August 1993)



Table 3. Excavation Confirmation Field Screening and Laboratory Analytical Results ConocoPhillips

State Com AD #26

San Juan County, New Mexico

| Sample Name | Date | Approximate Sample Depth (ft bgs) | Sample Location | Field VOCs by PID (ppm) | Field TPH by 418.1 (mg/kg) | Benzene (mg/kg) | Toluene (mg/kg) | Ethylben- zene (mg/kg) | Total Xylenes (mg/kg) | Total BTEX (mg/kg) | TPH as GRO (mg/kg) | TPH as DRO (mg/kg) | TPH as MRO (mg/kg) |
|-------------------------------|-----------|---|--------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | NMOC | D Action Level* | 100 | 1,000** | 10 | NE | NE | NE | 50 | | 1,000** | |
| Samples Removed by Excavation | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SC-5 | 1/13/2017 | 10 | Base | 743 | 1,833 | < 0.091 | <0.18 | <0.18 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 510 | 1,200 | <97 |
| | | | | | Excav | ation Confirn | nation Sampl | es | | | | | |
| SC-1 | 1/13/2017 | 0 to 10 | North Wall | 0.2 | 111 | <0.024 | <0.047 | <0.047 | < 0.095 | ND | <4.7 | <9.9 | <49 |
| SC-2 | 1/13/2017 | 0 to 10 | East Wall | 0.4 | <20 | <0.023 | < 0.046 | < 0.046 | < 0.092 | ND | <4.6 | <9.8 | <49 |
| SC-3 | 1/13/2017 | 0 to 10 | South Wall | 2.6 | 27.1 | < 0.024 | <0.047 | < 0.047 | < 0.095 | ND | <4.7 | <10 | <50 |
| SC-4 | 1/13/2017 | 0 to 10 | West Wall | 0.9 | 29.8 | < 0.023 | < 0.047 | <0.047 | <0.094 | ND | <4.7 | 21 | 49 |
| SC-6 | 1/31/2017 | 13 to 15 | Base | 0.5 | <20 | <0.024 | < 0.049 | < 0.049 | < 0.097 | ND | <4.9 | <10 | <50 |

ND - not detected above laboratory reporting limits

TPH - total petroleum hydrocarbons

GRO - gasoline range organics

DRO - diesel range organics

BTEX - benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes

VOCs - volatile organic compounds Notes:

PID - photoionization detector

ft bgs - feet below grade surface

ppm - parts per million

mg/kg - milligrams per kilogram

NE - not-established

NMOCD - New Mexico Oil Conservation Division

*Based on the NMOCD Guidelines for Remediation of Leaks, Spills and Releases (August 1993)

**Based on a site ranking of 10.



.

Figures





oPhillips/ConocoPhilips/State Com AD 26/State Com AD 26 Topo Map.mxd





Appendix A

Analytical Laboratory Reports



HALL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS LABORATORY

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: <u>www.hallenvironmental.com</u>

January 23, 2017

Heather Woods Rule Engineering LLC 501 Airport Dr., Ste 205 Farmington, NM 87401 TEL: (505) 325-1055 FAX

RE: COP State Com AD #26

OrderNo.: 1701452

Dear Heather Woods:

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory received 1 sample(s) on 1/12/2017 for the analyses presented in the following report.

These were analyzed according to EPA procedures or equivalent. To access our accredited tests please go to <u>www.hallenvironmental.com</u> or the state specific web sites. In order to properly interpret your results it is imperative that you review this report in its entirety. See the sample checklist and/or the Chain of Custody for information regarding the sample receipt temperature and preservation. Data qualifiers or a narrative will be provided if the sample analysis or analytical quality control parameters require a flag. When necessary, data qualifers are provided on both the sample analysis report and the QC summary report, both sections should be reviewed. All samples are reported, as received, unless otherwise indicated. Lab measurement of analytes considered field parameters that require analysis within 15 minutes of sampling such as pH and residual chlorine are qualified as being analyzed outside of the recommended holding time.

Please don't hesitate to contact HEAL for any additional information or clarifications.

ADHS Cert #AZ0682 -- NMED-DWB Cert #NM9425 -- NMED-Micro Cert #NM0190

Sincerely,

andig

Andy Freeman Laboratory Manager 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109

| Anal | lvtica | I Re | port |
|---------|--------|------|------|
| 1 II al | lytica | INC | μυτι |

Lab Order **1701452** Date Reported: **1/23/2017**

1/19/2017 2:33:34 PM

1/17/2017 2:21:20 AM

1/17/2017 2:21:20 AM

1/17/2017 2:21:20 AM

1/13/2017 2:03:40 PM

Analyst: LGT

Analyst: TOM

Analyst: NSB

Analyst: NSB

29791

29664

29664

29664

29660

29660

29660

29660

29660

29660

29660

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS

Diesel Range Organics (DRO)

Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)

Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)

Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene

EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES

EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANGE

EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS

Chloride

Surr: DNOP

Surr: BFB

Benzene

Toluene

Ethylbenzene

Xylenes, Total

| CLIENT: | Rule Engineering LLC | Client Sample ID: BGT-1 | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|----------------------|--|---------------------|---------|-----------|------------------------|---------|-------|--|--|--|
| Project: | COP State Com AD #26 | Collection Date: 1/11/2017 10:35:00 AM | | | | | | | | | |
| Lab ID: | 1701452-001 | Matrix: S | SOIL Received Date: | | Date: 1/1 | : 1/12/2017 7:00:00 AM | | | | | |
| Analyses | | Result | PQL Qua | l Units | DF | Date Analy | zed | Batch | | | |
| EPA MET | HOD 418.1: TPH | | | | | | Analyst | MAB | | | |
| Petroleur | m Hydrocarbons, TR | 1400 | 190 | mg/Kg | 10 | 1/18/2017 | | 29730 | | | |

7.5

19

95

19

S

70-130

68.3-144

0.096

0.19

0.19

0.38

80-120

mg/Kg

mg/Kg

mg/Kg

%Rec

mg/Kg

%Rec

mg/Kg

mg/Kg

mg/Kg

mg/Kg

%Rec

5

2

2

2

4

4

4

4

4

4

4

ND

1500

ND

106

210

502

ND

ND

ND

ND

119

| Qualifiers: | * | Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. | В | Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank |
|-------------|----|---|----|---|
| | D | Sample Diluted Due to Matrix | E | Value above quantitation range |
| | Η | Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded | J | Analyte detected below quantitation limits Page 1 of 6 |
| | ND | Not Detected at the Reporting Limit | Р | Sample pH Not In Range |
| | R | RPD outside accepted recovery limits | RL | Reporting Detection Limit |
| | S | % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix | W | Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified |
| | | | | |

,

1

WO#: 1701452 23-Jan-17

Page 2 of 6

| Client: Project: | Rule COP | Engineering LLC State Com AD #26 | | |
|---------------------|-------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------------|
| Sample ID | MB-29791 | SampType: | MBLK | TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions |
| Client ID: | PBS | Batch ID: | 29791 | RunNo: 40154 |
| Prep Date: | 1/19/2017 | Analysis Date: | 1/19/2017 | SeaNo: 1258757 Units: mg/Kg |

| Analyte | Result P | QL SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
|----------------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|--------------|------|----------|------|
| Chloride | ND | 1.5 | | | | | | | |
| Sample ID LCS-29791 | SampType | e: LCS | Tes | tCode: EF | PA Method | 300.0: Anion | s | | |
| Client ID: LCSS | Batch ID | 29791 | F | RunNo: 40 | 0154 | | | | |
| Prep Date: 1/19/2017 | Analysis Date | 1/19/2017 | 5 | SeqNo: 12 | 258758 | Units: mg/K | g | | |
| Analyte | Result P | QL SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Chloride | 14 | 1.5 15.00 | 0 | 94.8 | 90 | 110 | | | |

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded H
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank В
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

| And and a second se | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------|-------------|---------|-----------|-------------|----------|-----------|-------------|------|----------|------|
| Sample ID | MB-29730 | SampTy | pe: M | BLK | Tes | tCode: E | PA Method | 418.1: TPH | | | |
| Client ID: | PBS | Batch | ID: 29 | 730 | F | RunNo: 4 | 0093 | | | | |
| Prep Date: | 1/17/2017 | Analysis Da | ite: 1/ | 18/2017 | 5 | SeqNo: 1 | 256594 | Units: mg/k | ٢g | | |
| Analyte | | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Petroleum Hyd | frocarbons, TR | ND | 20 | | | | | | | | |
| Sample ID | LCS-29730 | SampTy | pe: LC | s | Tes | tCode: E | PA Method | 418.1: TPH | | | |
| Client ID: | LCSS | Batch | ID: 29 | 730 | F | RunNo: 4 | 0093 | | | | |
| Prep Date: | 1/17/2017 | Analysis Da | te: 1/ | 18/2017 | S | SeqNo: 1 | 256595 | Units: mg/k | ٢g | | |
| Analyte | | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Petroleum Hyd | Irocarbons, TR | 89 | 20 | 100.0 | 0 | 88.7 | 80.7 | 121 | | | |
| Sample ID | LCSD-29730 | SampTy | pe: LC | SD | Tes | tCode: E | PA Method | 418.1: TPH | | | |
| Client ID: | LCSS02 | Batch | ID: 29 | 730 | F | RunNo: 4 | 0093 | | | | |
| Prep Date: | 1/17/2017 | Analysis Da | te: 1/ | 18/2017 | 5 | SeqNo: 1 | 256596 | Units: mg/h | ٢g | | |
| Analyte | | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Petroleum Hyd | Irocarbons, TR | 94 | 20 | 100.0 | 0 | 93.7 | 80.7 | 121 | 5.49 | 20 | |

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

Page 3 of 6

WO#: 1701452 23-Jan-17

Client: Rule Engineering LLC COP State Com AD #26 **Project:**

| Sample ID LCS-29664 | Samp | SampType: LCS TestCode: EPA Method 8015M/D: Diesel Range Organics | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|---|--------------------------|------------------------|------|
| Client ID: LCSS | Batc | h ID: 29 | 664 | F | RunNo: 3 | 9995 | | | | |
| Prep Date: 1/12/2017 | Analysis E | Date: 1/ | 13/2017 | S | SeqNo: 1 | 253532 | Units: mg/k | ٢g | | |
| Analyte | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Diesel Range Organics (DRO) | 49 | 10 | 50.00 | 0 | 97.2 | 63.8 | 116 | | | |
| Surr: DNOP | 4.6 | | 5.000 | | 92.7 | 70 | 130 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sample ID MB-29664 | Samp | vpe MF | RIK | Tes | tCode: El | PA Method | 8015M/D: Di | esel Rang | organics | |
| Sample ID MB-29664 | Samp | Type: ME | BLK | Tes | tCode: El | PA Method | 8015M/D: Di | esel Range | e Organics | |
| Sample ID MB-29664 Client ID: PBS | Samp1 Batcl | Type: ME h ID: 29 | 3LK 664 | Tes R | tCode: El RunNo: 3 | PA Method 9995 | 8015M/D: Di | esel Range | e Organics | |
| Sample ID MB-29664 Client ID: PBS Prep Date: 1/12/2017 | SampT Batcl Analysis D | Type: ME h ID: 29 Date: 1/ | 3LK 664 13/2017 | Tes R S | tCode: El RunNo: 3 SeqNo: 1 | PA Method 9995 253533 | 8015M/D: Di Units: mg/F | esel Range Kg | e Organics | |
| Sample ID MB-29664 Client ID: PBS Prep Date: 1/12/2017 Analyte | SampT Batcl Analysis D Result | Type: ME h ID: 29 Date: 1/ PQL | BLK 664 13/2017 SPK value | Tesi R SPK Ref Val | tCode: El RunNo: 3 SeqNo: 1 %REC | PA Method 9995 253533 LowLimit | 8015M/D: Di Units: mg/H HighLimit | esel Rango (g %RPD | e Organics RPDLimit | Qual |
| Sample ID MB-29664 Client ID: PBS Prep Date: 1/12/2017 Analyte Diesel Range Organics (DRO) | SampT Batcl Analysis E Result ND | Type: ME h ID: 29 Date: 1/ PQL 10 | 3LK 664 13/2017 SPK value | Tesi R S SPK Ref Val | tCode: El RunNo: 3 SeqNo: 1 %REC | PA Method 9995 253533 LowLimit | 8015M/D: Di Units: mg/H HighLimit | esel Rango (g %RPD | e Organics RPDLimit | Qual |
| Sample ID MB-29664 Client ID: PBS Prep Date: 1/12/2017 Analyte Diesel Range Organics (DRO) Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO) | SampT Batcl Analysis E Result ND ND | Fype: ME h ID: 29 Date: 1/ PQL 10 50 | 3LK 664 13/2017 SPK value | Tes R SPK Ref Val | tCode: El RunNo: 3 SeqNo: 1 %REC | PA Method 9995 253533 LowLimit | 8015M/D: Di Units: mg/F HighLimit | esel Rango (g %RPD | e Organics RPDLimit | Qual |

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded Н
- Not Detected at the Reporting Limit ND
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Value above quantitation range E
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits J
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- Reporting Detection Limit RL
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

Page 4 of 6

23-Jan-17

Client: Rule Engineering LLC

Project: COP State Com AD #26

| Sample ID MB-29660 | Samp1 | SampType: MBLK TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|-----------------------------------|--|---|--|--------------------------|---------------|------|
| Client ID: PBS | Batcl | Batch ID: 29660 RunNo: 39999 | | | | | | | | |
| Prep Date: 1/12/2017 | Analysis D | Date: 1/ | 13/2017 | S | SeqNo: 1 | 253665 | Units: mg/k | g | | |
| Analyte | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) | ND | 5.0 | | | | | | | | |
| Surr: BFB | 860 | | 1000 | | 86.4 | 68.3 | 144 | | | |
| | SampType: LCS TestCode: EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range | | | | | | | | | |
| Sample ID LCS-29660 | SampT | Type: LC | s | Tes | tCode: El | PA Method | 8015D: Gaso | line Rang | e | |
| Sample ID LCS-29660 Client ID: LCSS | Samp1 Batcl | Type: LC | :S 660 | Tes F | tCode: El | PA Method 9999 | 8015D: Gasc | line Rang | 0 | |
| Sample ID LCS-29660 Client ID: LCSS Prep Date: 1/12/2017 | Samp1 Batcl Analysis D | Type: LC h ID: 29 Date: 1/ | :S 660 13/2017 | Tes F S | tCode: El RunNo: 3 SeqNo: 1 | PA Method 9999 253666 | 8015D: Gasc Units: mg/K | oline Rang | 9 | |
| Sample ID LCS-29660 Client ID: LCSS Prep Date: 1/12/2017 Analyte | SampT Batcl Analysis D Result | Type: LC h ID: 29 Date: 1/ PQL | :S 660 13/2017 SPK value | Tes F S SPK Ref Val | tCode: El RunNo: 3 SeqNo: 1 %REC | PA Method 9999 253666 LowLimit | 8015D: Gaso Units: mg/K HighLimit | vline Rang Gg %RPD | e RPDLimit | Qual |
| Sample ID LCS-29660 Client ID: LCSS Prep Date: 1/12/2017 Analyte Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) | SampT Batcl Analysis D Result 27 | Type: LC h ID: 29 Date: 1/ PQL 5.0 | S 660 13/2017 SPK value 25.00 | Tes F S SPK Ref Val 0 | tCode: El RunNo: 3 SeqNo: 1 %REC 108 | PA Method 9999 253666 LowLimit 74.6 | 8015D: Gasc Units: mg/K HighLimit 123 | oline Rang Sg %RPD | e RPDLimit | Qual |

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

Page 5 of 6

WO#: 1701452 23-Jan-17

QC SUMMARY REPORT

,

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Client: Rule Engineering LLC COP State Com AD #26 **Project:**

| Sample ID MB-29660 | Sample ID MB-29660 SampType: MBLK | | | | | TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------|-----------|--------------|-----------|---------------------------------------|--------------|-------|----------|------|--|--|--|
| Client ID: PBS | Batc | h ID: 29 | 660 | RunNo: 39999 | | | | | | | | | |
| Prep Date: 1/12/2017 | Analysis Date: 1/13/2017 | | | S | SeqNo: 1 | 253686 | Units: mg/Kg | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual | | | |
| Benzene | ND | 0.025 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Toluene | ND | 0.050 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.050 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Xylenes, Total | ND | 0.10 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 0.95 | | 1.000 | | 94.9 | 80 | 120 | | | | | | |
| Sample ID LCS-29660 | Samp | Type: LC | S | Tes | tCode: El | PA Method | 8021B: Volat | tiles | | | | | |
| Client ID: LCSS | Batc | h ID: 29 | 660 | R | RunNo: 3 | 9999 | | | | | | | |
| Prep Date: 1/12/2017 | Analysis [| Date: 1/ | 13/2017 | S | SeqNo: 1 | 253687 | Units: mg/K | g | | | | | |
| Analyte | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual | | | |
| Benzene | 0.95 | 0.025 | 1.000 | 0 | 95.3 | 75.2 | 115 | | | | | | |
| Toluene | 0.97 | 0.050 | 1.000 | 0 | 96.7 | 80.7 | 112 | | | | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | 0.98 | 0.050 | 1.000 | 0 | 97.7 | 78.9 | 117 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Xylenes, Total | 2.9 | 0.10 | 3.000 | 0 | 97.9 | 79.2 | 115 | | | | | | |

Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. *
- Sample Diluted Due to Matrix D
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- RPD outside accepted recovery limits R
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Sample pH Not In Range Р
- **Reporting Detection Limit** RL
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

Page 6 of 6

23-Jan-17

| HALL Hall Environment ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS LABORATORY TEL: 505-345-35 Website: www | tal Analysis Laborat 4901 Hawkins Albuquerque, NM 87. 075 FAX: 505-345-4 hallenvironmental.c | ory NE 109 Sam 107 com | iple Log-In Cl | neck List |
|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| Client Name: RULE ENGINEERING LL Work Order Numb | per: 1701452 | | RcptNo: | 1 |
| Received by/date: 0.7 (12/17 | | | | |
| Complete By Andy Jansson 1/12/17 | 11/1 | any mon | | |
| Completed By: HOOY Suisabilis (11211) | | | | |
| Reviewed By: 01/12/11 | | | | |
| Chain of Custody | _ | _ | | |
| 1. Custody seals intact on sample bottles? | Yes | No 🗌 | Not Present | |
| 2. Is Chain of Custody complete? | Yes 🗹 | No | Not Present | |
| 3. How was the sample delivered? | Courier | | | |
| Log In | | | | |
| 4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? | Yes 🔽 | No 🗆 | NA 🗆 | |
| 5. Were all samples received at a temperature of $>0^{\circ}$ C to 6.0° C | Yes 🗹 | No 🗌 | NA 🗌 | |
| 6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? | Yes 🗹 | No 🗌 | | |
| 7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? | Yes 🖌 | No 🗆 | | |
| 8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? | Yes 🗹 | No 🗆 | | |
| 9. Was preservative added to bottles? | Yes | No 🗹 | NA 🗆 | |
| 10.VOA vials have zero headspace? | Yes | No 🗌 | No VOA Vials 🗹 | |
| 11. Were any sample containers received broken? | Yes | No 🗹 | # of preserved bottles checked | |
| 12. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) | Yes 🗹 | No 🗆 | for pH: (<2 or | >12 unless no |
| 13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? | Yes 🗹 | No 🗌 | Adjusted? | |
| 14. Is it clear what analyses were requested? | Yes 🗹 | No L | Checked by | |
| 15. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.) | Yes M | No 🗀 | Checked by | |
| Special Handling (if applicable) | | | | |
| 10. vvas client notified of all discrepancies with this order? | Yes 🗀 | No 🗆 | NA 🗹 | |
| Person Notified: Date | | | | |
| By whom: Via: | | hone 📋 Fax | | |
| Client Instructions: | 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 | Contraction of the Solid State | | |
| 17. Additional remarks: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Cooler Information Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seal Intact Seal No 1 1.0 Good Yes Yes | Seal Date | Signed By | | |
| | | | | |

| Client D 4 5 | | | Turn-Around | Time: | | | | | | | | | MM | | 20 | | | | | · | |
|-------------------------|---|--------|---------------------------|---|----------------------|--------------------|---|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|----------|-------------|------------|-----------|-----------|----------|------|---------|-------------|
| Client: | Rule | Engine | erine LLC | I Standard | Rush | | | | | | | | | | | | | TE DA | TC | AL D | / |
| - | | 0 | 0; | Project Name |): | | | | | | | v hal | | | mont | | | | | | |
| Mailing | Address | 501 A. | met Do Sie 205 | Cop Sto | te Com | ADHZA | 4901 Hawkins NE - Albuquerque, NM 87109 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ecm | incha | JUM | RIUNI DICEO- | Project #: | | | | Tel 505-345-3075 Eav 505-345-4107 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Phone # | + (505 | 716. | 2787 | 1 | | | | 10 | 1. 00 | 0-04 | 0-01 | A | naly | sis | Reg | uest | 101 | | | | |
| email or | Fax#: | woods@ | rullencineering. com | Project Manager: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| QA/QC F | Package: | | 0 0 | | | | | son | MR | | | | | SO, | B's | | | | | | |
| Stan | dard | | Level 4 (Full Validation) | Heather Woods | | | | (Ga | 0 | | | WIN | | Q | PC | | | | | | |
| Accredit | tation | | , | Sampler: He | ather we | ods | | F | D | = | ÷ | 20 | d | 02 | 3082 | | | | | | 7 |
| | NELAP Other EDD (Type) | | | On ice: | Nes | I No | 代 + | + | 8 | 118. | 504. | r 82 | S | 200 | s / 8 | | R | | | | or N |
| | (Type) | | | Sample Temp | perature. | 1.0°C | | | 0 | po | po | 00 | etal | る | cide | (A | Ň | | | | Z |
| Date | Time | Matrix | Sample Request ID | Container Type and # | Preservative Type | HEALNO. 1701452 | BTEX + 🕅 | BTEX + M | TPH 8015E | TPH (Meth | EDB (Meth | PAH's (831 | RCRA 8 M | Anions (F(| 8081 Pesti | 8260B (VO | 8270 (Sem | | | | Air Bubbles |
| 111/17 | 1035 | Soll | BG-T-1 | (1) YOR GIALS | Cold | -001 | × | | x | × | | | | X | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | |
| - | | | | · · · · | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | + | + | + |
| | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | | | | + | + | +- |
| | | | NE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | + | + | |
| | | | | Hw. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | + | + | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | + |
| | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | + | + | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | + | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Date: ////7 Date: | Date: Time: Relinquished by: 11/17 1730 Heatth Woods Date: Time: Relinquished by: | | | Received by: Date Time Musturbalte /11/17 1730 | | | Ren Di- | narks rect | ын 530 | to 1 | Con Z O | 000 7 | Phil | hip: rea | s Supe | - FUI LAG | or:Fi | iash | oTri | illo | , |
| 1/17 | ate: Time: Relinquished by: 1/17 1864 (Mistre Walter | | am | Im | 1/12/17 0700 | US | a:2 | KAI | TL | w | | Or | dive | ed k | y: | Lise | n H | inste | r | | |

If necessary, samples submitted to Hall Environmental may be subcontracted to other accredited laboratories. This serves as notice of this possibility. Any sub-contracted data will be clearly notated on the analytical report.

HALL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS LABORATORY

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

January 20, 2017

Heather Woods Rule Engineering LLC 501 Airport Dr., Ste 205 Farmington, NM 87401 TEL: (505) 325-1055 FAX

RE: CoP State Com AD 26

OrderNo.: 1701599

Dear Heather Woods:

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory received 4 sample(s) on 1/14/2017 for the analyses presented in the following report.

These were analyzed according to EPA procedures or equivalent. To access our accredited tests please go to <u>www.hallenvironmental.com</u> or the state specific web sites. In order to properly interpret your results it is imperative that you review this report in its entirety. See the sample checklist and/or the Chain of Custody for information regarding the sample receipt temperature and preservation. Data qualifiers or a narrative will be provided if the sample analysis or analytical quality control parameters require a flag. When necessary, data qualifers are provided on both the sample analysis report and the QC summary report, both sections should be reviewed. All samples are reported, as received, unless otherwise indicated. Lab measurement of analytes considered field parameters that require analysis within 15 minutes of sampling such as pH and residual chlorine are qualified as being analyzed outside of the recommended holding time.

Please don't hesitate to contact HEAL for any additional information or clarifications.

ADHS Cert #AZ0682 -- NMED-DWB Cert #NM9425 -- NMED-Micro Cert #NM0190

Sincerely,

andy

Andy Freeman Laboratory Manager 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109

| Analytical | Report |
|------------|--------|
|------------|--------|

Lab Order **1701599** Date Reported: **1/20/2017**

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

| | | | | | Amelia | | | | | |
|----------|----------------------|-----------|---------------------------------------|------------|----------------------------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| Analyses | | Result | PQL | Qual Units | DF Date Analyzed | Batch | | | | |
| Lab ID: | 1701599-001 | Matrix: S | SOIL | Received | Date: 1/14/2017 9:00:00 AM | | | | | |
| Project: | CoP State Com AD 26 | | Collection Date: 1/13/2017 8:30:00 AM | | | | | | | |
| CLIENT: | Rule Engineering LLC | | Client Sample ID: SC-1 | | | | | | | |

| Diesel Range Organics (DRO) | ND | 9.9 | mg/Kg | 1 | 1/18/2017 2:56:37 PM | 29732 |
|----------------------------------|------|----------|-------|---|-----------------------|-------|
| Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO) | ND | 49 | mg/Kg | 1 | 1/18/2017 2:56:37 PM | 29732 |
| Surr: DNOP | 103 | 70-130 | %Rec | 1 | 1/18/2017 2:56:37 PM | 29732 |
| EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANGE | | | | | Analyst: | NSB |
| Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) | ND | 4.7 | mg/Kg | 1 | 1/17/2017 10:53:54 PM | 29710 |
| Surr: BFB | 85.8 | 68.3-144 | %Rec | 1 | 1/17/2017 10:53:54 PM | 29710 |
| EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES | | | | | Analyst: | NSB |
| Benzene | ND | 0.024 | mg/Kg | 1 | 1/17/2017 10:53:54 PM | 29710 |
| Toluene | ND | 0.047 | mg/Kg | 1 | 1/17/2017 10:53:54 PM | 29710 |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.047 | mg/Kg | 1 | 1/17/2017 10:53:54 PM | 29710 |
| Xylenes, Total | ND | 0.095 | mg/Kg | 1 | 1/17/2017 10:53:54 PM | 29710 |
| Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 89.7 | 80-120 | %Rec | 1 | 1/17/2017 10:53:54 PM | 29710 |

| Qualifiers: | * | Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. | В | Analyte detected in the associated Method B | lank |
|-------------|----|---|----|--|--------------|
| | D | Sample Diluted Due to Matrix | E | Value above quantitation range | |
| | Н | Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded | J | Analyte detected below quantitation limits | Page 1 of 7 |
| | ND | Not Detected at the Reporting Limit | Р | Sample pH Not In Range | rage ror / |
| | R | RPD outside accepted recovery limits | RL | Reporting Detection Limit | |
| | S | % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix | W | Sample container temperature is out of limit | as specified |
| | | | | | |

| Analytical | Report |
|------------|--------|
|------------|--------|

Lab Order 1701599

Date Reported: 1/20/2017

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

.

| CLIENT: Rule Engineering LLCClient Sample ID: SC-2Project:CoP State Com AD 26Collection Date: 1/13/2017 8 | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|--|--------|----------|----|----------------------|-------|--|
| Lab ID: | 1701599-002 | Matrix: SOIL Received Date: 1/13/2017 9:00:00 AM | | | | | | |
| Analyses | | Result | PQL Qu | al Units | DF | Date Analyzed | Batch | |
| EPA MET | HOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RAN | GE ORGANICS | | | | Analyst | том | |
| Diesel Ra | ange Organics (DRO) | ND | 9.8 | mg/Kg | 1 | 1/18/2017 4:06:28 PM | 29732 | |
| Motor Oil | Range Organics (MRO) | ND | 49 | mg/Kg | 1 | 1/18/2017 4:06:28 PM | 29732 | |
| Surr: D | DNOP | 104 | 70-130 | %Rec | 1 | 1/18/2017 4:06:28 PM | 29732 | |
| EPA MET | HOD 8015D: GASOLINE RAN | IGE | | | | Analyst | NSB | |

| EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANGE | | | | | Analyst: | NSB |
|----------------------------------|------|----------|-------|---|-----------------------|-------|
| Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) | ND | 4.6 | mg/Kg | 1 | 1/18/2017 12:03:47 AM | 29710 |
| Surr: BFB | 83.3 | 68.3-144 | %Rec | 1 | 1/18/2017 12:03:47 AM | 29710 |
| EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES | | | | | Analyst: | NSB |
| Benzene | ND | 0.023 | mg/Kg | 1 | 1/18/2017 12:03:47 AM | 29710 |
| Toluene | ND | 0.046 | mg/Kg | 1 | 1/18/2017 12:03:47 AM | 29710 |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.046 | mg/Kg | 1 | 1/18/2017 12:03:47 AM | 29710 |
| Xylenes, Total | ND | 0.092 | mg/Kg | 1 | 1/18/2017 12:03:47 AM | 29710 |
| Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 90.5 | 80-120 | %Rec | 1 | 1/18/2017 12:03:47 AM | 29710 |

| Qualifiers: | * | Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. | В | Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank |
|-------------|----|---|----|---|
| | D | Sample Diluted Due to Matrix | E | Value above quantitation range |
| | Н | Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded | J | Analyte detected below quantitation limits Page 2 of 7 |
| | ND | Not Detected at the Reporting Limit | Р | Sample pH Not In Range |
| | R | RPD outside accepted recovery limits | RL | Reporting Detection Limit |
| | S | % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix | W | Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified |
| | | | | |

| Analytical Re | port |
|---------------|------|
|---------------|------|

Lab Order **1701599** Date Reported: **1/20/2017**

1/18/2017 1:13:50 AM

Analyst: NSB

Analyst: NSB

29710

29710

29710

29710

29710

29710

29710

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANGE

Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)

EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES

Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene

Surr: BFB

Benzene

Toluene

Ethylbenzene

Xylenes, Total

| CLIENT: | Rule Engineering LLC | Client Sample ID: SC-3 | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|-------------------------|--|---------|------------|-----------|----------------------|-------|--|--|--|
| Project: | CoP State Com AD 26 | Collection Date: 1/13/2017 10:20:00 AM | | | | | | | | |
| Lab ID: | 1701599-003 | Matrix: | SOIL | Received I | Date: 1/1 | 4/2017 9:00:00 AM | | | | |
| Analyses | | Result | PQL Qua | al Units | DF | Date Analyzed | Batch | | | |
| EPA MET | HOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RAN | GE ORGANIC | S | | | Analyst | том | | | |
| Diesel Ra | ange Organics (DRO) | ND | 10 | mg/Kg | 1 | 1/18/2017 4:29:38 PM | 29732 | | | |
| Motor Oil | Range Organics (MRO) | ND | 50 | mg/Kg | 1 | 1/18/2017 4:29:38 PM | 29732 | | | |
| Surr: D | NOP | 100 | 70-130 | %Rec | 1 | 1/18/2017 4:29:38 PM | 29732 | | | |

4.7

68.3-144

0.024

0.047

0.047

0.095

80-120

mg/Kg

%Rec

mg/Kg

mg/Kg

mg/Kg

mg/Kg

%Rec

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

ND

82.6

ND

ND

ND

ND

88.5

| Qualifiers: | * | Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. | В | Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank |
|-------------|----|---|----|---|
| | D | Sample Diluted Due to Matrix | E | Value above quantitation range |
| | н | Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded | J | Analyte detected below quantitation limits Page 3 of 7 |
| | ND | Not Detected at the Reporting Limit | Р | Sample pH Not In Range |
| | R | RPD outside accepted recovery limits | RL | Reporting Detection Limit |
| | S | % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix | W | Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified |
| | | | | |

| | - |
|--------------------|--------|
| Analytical | Report |
| I RARGER Y CROCKER | report |

Lab Order 1701599

Date Reported: 1/20/2017

_

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

| CLIENT: | Rule Engineering LLC | | | Client Sampl | e ID: SC | 2-4 | | | | |
|-----------------|-------------------------|--------------|----------|--------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|-------|--|--|--|
| Project: | CoP State Com AD 26 | | | Collection | Date: 1/1 | 3/2017 8:22:00 AM | | | | |
| Lab ID: | 1701599-004 | Matrix: | SOIL | Received 1 | Received Date: 1/14/2017 9:00:00 AM | | | | | |
| Analyses | | Result | PQL Qu | al Units | DF | Date Analyzed | Batch | | | |
| EPA MET | HOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RAM | NGE ORGANICS | 6 | | | Analyst | том | | | |
| Diesel Ra | ange Organics (DRO) | 21 | 9.6 | mg/Kg | 1 | 1/18/2017 2:33:25 PM | 29732 | | | |
| Motor Oil | Range Organics (MRO) | 49 | 48 | mg/Kg | 1 | 1/18/2017 2:33:25 PM | 29732 | | | |
| Surr: D | DNOP | 97.3 | 70-130 | %Rec | 1 | 1/18/2017 2:33:25 PM | 29732 | | | |
| EPA MET | HOD 8015D: GASOLINE RA | NGE | | | | Analyst | NSB | | | |
| Gasoline | Range Organics (GRO) | ND | 4.7 | mg/Kg | 1 | 1/18/2017 1:37:10 AM | 29710 | | | |
| Surr: E | BFB | 82.4 | 68.3-144 | %Rec | 1 | 1/18/2017 1:37:10 AM | 29710 | | | |
| EPA MET | HOD 8021B: VOLATILES | | | | | Analyst | NSB | | | |
| Benzene | | ND | 0.023 | mg/Kg | 1 | 1/18/2017 1:37:10 AM | 29710 | | | |
| Toluene | | ND | 0.047 | mg/Kg | 1 | 1/18/2017 1:37:10 AM | 29710 | | | |
| Ethylben | zene | ND | 0.047 | mg/Kg | 1 | 1/18/2017 1:37:10 AM | 29710 | | | |
| Xylenes, | Total | ND | 0.094 | mg/Kg | 1 | 1/18/2017 1:37:10 AM | 29710 | | | |
| Surr: 4 | -Bromofluorobenzene | 87.4 | 80-120 | %Rec | 1 | 1/18/2017 1:37:10 AM | 29710 | | | |

| Qualifiers: | * | Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. | В | Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank |
|-------------|----|---|----|---|
| | D | Sample Diluted Due to Matrix | E | Value above quantitation range |
| | H | Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded | J | Analyte detected below quantitation limits Page 4 of 7 |
| | ND | Not Detected at the Reporting Limit | Р | Sample pH Not In Range |
| | R | RPD outside accepted recovery limits | RL | Reporting Detection Limit |
| | S | % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix | W | Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified |
| | | | | |

Client:

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Rule Engineering LLC

| Project: | CoP State | e Com AD | 0 26 | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|-------------------|------------|----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|------------|------|
| Sample ID | MB-29732 | Samp | Туре: М | BLK | Tes | tCode: El | PA Method | 8015M/D: Di | esel Rang | e Organics | |
| Client ID: | PBS | Batc | h ID: 29 | 732 | F | RunNo: 4 | 0106 | | | | |
| Prep Date: | 1/17/2017 | Analysis [| Date: 1 | /18/2017 | S | SeqNo: 1 | 257309 | Units: mg/k | ٢g | | |
| Analyte | | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Diesel Range | Organics (DRO) | ND | 10 | | | | | | | | |
| Motor Oil Rang | ge Organics (MRO) | ND | 50 | | | | | | | | |
| Surr: DNOP | | 11 | | 10.00 | | 106 | 70 | 130 | | | |
| Sample ID | LCS-29732 | Samp | Type: LO | cs | Tes | tCode: El | PA Method | 8015M/D: Di | esel Rang | e Organics | |
| Client ID: | LCSS | Batc | h ID: 29 | 732 | F | RunNo: 4 | 0106 | | | | |
| Prep Date: | 1/17/2017 | Analysis [| Date: 1 | /18/2017 | 5 | SeqNo: 1 | 257323 | Units: mg/k | (g | | |
| Analyte | | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Diesel Range | Organics (DRO) | 45 | 10 | 50.00 | 0 | 90.3 | 63.8 | 116 | | | |
| Surr: DNOP | | 5.6 | | 5.000 | | 113 | 70 | 130 | | | |
| Sample ID | 1701599-001AMS | Samp | Гуре: М | S | Tes | tCode: El | PA Method | 8015M/D: Di | esel Rang | e Organics | |
| Client ID: | SC-1 | Batc | h ID: 29 | 732 | F | RunNo: 4 | 0101 | | | | |
| Prep Date: | 1/17/2017 | Analysis [| Date: 1 | /18/2017 | S | SeqNo: 1 | 257367 | Units: mg/h | (g | | |
| Analyte | | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Diesel Range | Organics (DRO) | 45 | 9.8 | 49.02 | 3.623 | 84.8 | 51.6 | 130 | | | |
| Surr: DNOP | | 4.9 | | 4.902 | | 99.9 | 70 | 130 | | | |
| Sample ID | 1701599-001AMS | Samp | Гуре: М | SD | Tes | tCode: El | PA Method | 8015M/D: Di | esel Rang | e Organics | |
| Client ID: | SC-1 | Batc | h ID: 29 | 732 | F | aunNo: 4 | 0101 | | | | |
| Prep Date: | 1/17/2017 | Analysis [| Date: 1 | /18/2017 | S | SeqNo: 1 | 257562 | Units: mg/k | (g | | |
| Analyte | | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Diesel Range | Organics (DRO) | 44 | 9.6 | 48.12 | 3.623 | 84.3 | 51.6 | 130 | 2.21 | 20 | |
| Surr: DNOP | | 4.9 | | 4.812 | | 102 | 70 | 130 | 0 | 0 | |

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

Page 5 of 7

WO#: 1701599 20-Jan-17

QC SUMMARY REPORT

Client:

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Rule Engineering LLC

| Project: | CoP State | Com AD | 26 | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------|------------|---------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|----------|------|
| Sample ID | MB-29710 | SampT | уре: М | BLK | Tes | tCode: El | PA Method | 8015D: Gaso | line Rang | e | |
| Client ID: | PBS | Batch | D: 29 | 710 | F | RunNo: 4 | 0079 | | | | |
| Prep Date: | 1/16/2017 | Analysis D | ate: 1/ | 17/2017 | S | SeqNo: 1 | 256313 | Units: mg/K | g | | |
| Analyte | | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Gasoline Rang Surr: BFB | ge Organics (GRO) | ND 850 | 5.0 | 1000 | | 85.2 | 68.3 | 144 | | | |
| Sample ID | LCS-29710 | SampT | ype: LC | s | Tes | tCode: El | PA Method | 8015D: Gaso | line Rang | e | |
| Client ID: | LCSS | Batch | ID: 29 | 710 | F | RunNo: 4 | 0079 | | | | |
| Prep Date: | 1/16/2017 | Analysis D | ate: 1/ | 17/2017 | 5 | SeqNo: 1 | 256314 | Units: mg/K | g | | |
| Analyte | | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Gasoline Rang | e Organics (GRO) | 25 | 5.0 | 25.00 | 0 | 98.4 | 74.6 | 123 | | | |
| Surr: BFB | | 1000 | | 1000 | | 101 | 68.3 | 144 | | | |
| Sample ID | 1701599-002AMS | SampT | ype: MS | 5 | Tes | tCode: El | PA Method | 8015D: Gaso | line Rang | e | |
| Client ID: | SC-2 | Batch | ID: 29 | 710 | R | RunNo: 4 | 0079 | | | | |
| Prep Date: | 1/16/2017 | Analysis D | ate: 1/ | 18/2017 | S | SeqNo: 1 | 256318 | Units: mg/K | g | | |
| Analyte | | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Gasoline Rang | e Organics (GRO) | 25 | 4.8 | 23.99 | 0 | 103 | 61.3 | 150 | | | |
| Surr: BFB | | 880 | | 959.7 | | 92.1 | 68.3 | 144 | | | |
| Sample ID | 1701599-002AMSE |) SampT | ype: MS | SD | Test | tCode: El | PA Method | 8015D: Gaso | line Rang | e | |
| Client ID: | SC-2 | Batch | ID: 29 | 710 | R | unNo: 4 | 0079 | | | | |
| Prep Date: | 1/16/2017 | Analysis D | ate: 1/ | 18/2017 | S | eqNo: 1 | 256319 | Units: mg/K | g | | |

RPDLimit Analyte Result PQL SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD Qual Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) 26 4.8 24.06 0 108 61.3 150 5.05 20 Surr: BFB 880 962.5 91.8 68.3 144 0 0

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- Not Detected at the Reporting Limit ND
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL **Reporting Detection Limit**
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

Page 6 of 7

20-Jan-17

WO#: 1701599

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Client: Rule Engineering LLC CoP State Com AD 26 **Project:**

| Sample ID | MB-29710 | SampType: MBLK TestCode: EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|------|
| Client ID: | PBS | Batc | h ID: 29 | 710 | F | RunNo: 4 | 0079 | | | | |
| Prep Date: | 1/16/2017 | Analysis [| Date: 1/ | 17/2017 | 5 | SeqNo: 1 | 256343 | Units: mg/k | ۲g | | |
| Analyte | | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Benzene | | ND | 0.025 | | | | | | | | |
| Toluene | | ND | 0.050 | | | | | | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | | ND | 0.050 | | | | | | | | |
| Xylenes, Total | | ND | 0.10 | | | | | | | | |
| Surr: 4-Bron | nofluorobenzene | 0.92 | | 1.000 | | 92.5 | 80 | 120 | | | |
| Sample ID | LCS-29710 | Samp | Type: LC | S | Tes | tCode: E | PA Method | 8021B: Vola | tiles | | |
| Client ID: | LCSS | Batc | h ID: 29 | 710 | F | RunNo: 4 | 0079 | | | | |
| Prep Date: | 1/16/2017 | Analysis [| Date: 1/ | 17/2017 | S | SeqNo: 1 | 256344 | Units: mg/k | (g | | |
| Analyte | | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Benzene | | 1.1 | 0.025 | 1.000 | 0 | 110 | 75.2 | 115 | | | |
| Toluene | | 1.0 | 0.050 | 1.000 | 0 | 101 | 80.7 | 112 | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | | 0.96 | 0.050 | 1.000 | 0 | 95.7 | 78.9 | 117 | | | |
| Xylenes, Total | | 2.9 | 0.10 | 3.000 | 0 | 95.9 | 79.2 | 115 | | | |
| Surr: 4-Brom | nofluorobenzene | 0.96 | | 1.000 | | 95.9 | 80 | 120 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sample ID | 1701599-001AMS | Samp | Type: MS | 3 | Tes | tCode: E | PA Method | 8021B: Vola | tiles | | |
| Sample ID Client ID: | 1701599-001AMS SC-1 | Samp ⁻ Batc | Type: MS | 5 710 | Tes | tCode: E RunNo: 4 | PA Method 0079 | 8021B: Vola | tiles | | |
| Sample ID Client ID: Prep Date: | 1701599-001AMS SC-1 1/16/2017 | Samp Batc Analysis [| Type: MS :h ID: 29 Date: 1 / | 5 710 17/2017 | Tes F | tCode: E RunNo: 4 SeqNo: 1 | PA Method 0079 256347 | 8021B: Vola Units: mg/F | tiles (g | | |
| Sample ID Client ID: Prep Date: Analyte | 1701599-001AMS SC-1 1/16/2017 | Samp Batc Analysis I Result | Type: MS :h ID: 29 Date: 1 / PQL | 5 710 17/2017 SPK value | Tes F S SPK Ref Val | tCode: E RunNo: 4 SeqNo: 1 %REC | PA Method 0079 256347 LowLimit | 8021B: Vola Units: mg/F HighLimit | tiles (g %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Sample ID Client ID: Prep Date: Analyte Benzene | 1701599-001AMS SC-1 1/16/2017 | Samp Batc Analysis I Result 1.1 | Type: MS th ID: 29 Date: 1 / PQL 0.024 | 5 710 17/2017 SPK value 0.9615 | Tes F S SPK Ref Val 0 | tCode: E RunNo: 4 SeqNo: 1 %REC 110 | PA Method 0079 256347 LowLimit 61.5 | 8021B: Vola Units: mg/k HighLimit 138 | tiles (g %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Sample ID Client ID: Prep Date: Analyte Benzene Toluene | 1701599-001AMS SC-1 1/16/2017 | Samp Batc Analysis I Result 1.1 1.0 | Type: MS th ID: 29 Date: 1 / PQL 0.024 0.048 | 5 710 17/2017 SPK value 0.9615 0.9615 | Tes F SPK Ref Val 0 0 | tCode: E RunNo: 4 SeqNo: 1 %REC 110 105 | PA Method 0079 256347 LowLimit 61.5 71.4 | 8021B: Vola Units: mg/k HighLimit 138 127 | tiles (g %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Sample ID Client ID: Prep Date: Analyte Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene | 1701599-001AMS SC-1 1/16/2017 | Samp Batc Analysis I Result 1.1 1.0 1.0 | Type: MS th ID: 29 Date: 1/ PQL 0.024 0.048 0.048 | 5 710 17/2017 SPK value 0.9615 0.9615 0.9615 | Tes F SPK Ref Val 0 0 0 0 | tCode: E RunNo: 4 SeqNo: 1 %REC 110 105 104 | PA Method 0079 256347 LowLimit 61.5 71.4 70.9 | 8021B: Vola Units: mg/k HighLimit 138 127 132 | tiles (g %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Sample ID Client ID: Prep Date: Analyte Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total | 1701599-001AMS SC-1 1/16/2017 | Samp Batc Analysis I Result 1.1 1.0 1.0 3.0 | Type: MS th ID: 29 Date: 1/ PQL 0.024 0.048 0.048 0.096 | 5 710 17/2017 SPK value 0.9615 0.9615 0.9615 2.885 | Tes F SPK Ref Val 0 0 0 0 0 0 | tCode: E RunNo: 4 SeqNo: 1 %REC 110 105 104 104 | PA Method 0079 256347 LowLimit 61.5 71.4 70.9 76.2 | 8021B: Vola Units: mg/F HighLimit 138 127 132 123 | tiles (g %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Sample ID Client ID: Prep Date: Analyte Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total Sur: 4-Bron | 1701599-001AMS SC-1 1/16/2017 | Samp Batc Analysis I Result 1.1 1.0 1.0 3.0 0.90 | Type: MS th ID: 29 Date: 1/ PQL 0.024 0.048 0.048 0.096 | 5 710 17/2017 SPK value 0.9615 0.9615 0.9615 2.885 0.9615 | Tes F S SPK Ref Val 0 0 0 0 0 | tCode: E RunNo: 4 SeqNo: 1 %REC 110 105 104 104 93.8 | PA Method 0079 256347 LowLimit 61.5 71.4 70.9 76.2 80 | 8021B: Vola Units: mg/P HighLimit 138 127 132 123 120 | tiles (g %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Sample ID Client ID: Prep Date: Analyte Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total Surr: 4-Bron Sample ID | 1701599-001AMS SC-1 1/16/2017 nofluorobenzene 1701599-001AMSE | Samp Batc Analysis I Result 1.1 1.0 1.0 3.0 0.90 Samp | Type: MS th ID: 29 Date: 1/ PQL 0.024 0.048 0.048 0.096 Type: MS | 5 710 17/2017 SPK value 0.9615 0.9615 2.885 0.9615 5D | Tes F SPK Ref Val 0 0 0 0 0 0 Tes | tCode: E RunNo: 4 SeqNo: 1 %REC 110 105 104 104 93.8 tCode: E | PA Method 0079 256347 LowLimit 61.5 71.4 70.9 76.2 80 PA Method | 8021B: Vola Units: mg/F HighLimit 138 127 132 123 120 8021B: Vola | tiles (g %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Sample ID Client ID: Prep Date: Analyte Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total Sur: 4-Bron Sample ID Client ID: | 1701599-001AMS SC-1 1/16/2017 nofluorobenzene 1701599-001AMSE SC-1 | Samp Batc Analysis I Result 1.1 1.0 1.0 3.0 0.90 Samp Batc | Type: MS ch ID: 29 Date: 1/ PQL 0.024 0.048 0.048 0.096 Type: MS ch ID: 29 | 3 710 17/2017 SPK value 0.9615 0.9615 0.9615 2.885 0.9615 5D 710 | Tes F SPK Ref Val 0 0 0 0 Tes F | tCode: E RunNo: 4 SeqNo: 1 %REC 110 105 104 104 93.8 tCode: E RunNo: 4 | PA Method 0079 256347 LowLimit 61.5 71.4 70.9 76.2 80 PA Method 0079 | 8021B: Vola Units: mg/P HighLimit 138 127 132 123 120 8021B: Vola | tiles (g %RPD tiles | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Sample ID Client ID: Prep Date: Analyte Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total Surr: 4-Bron Sample ID Client ID: Prep Date: | 1701599-001AMS SC-1 1/16/2017 nofluorobenzene 1701599-001AMSE SC-1 1/16/2017 | Samp Batc Analysis I Result 1.1 1.0 1.0 3.0 0.90 Samp Batc Analysis I | Type: MS th ID: 29 Date: 1/ PQL 0.024 0.048 0.048 0.096 Type: MS th ID: 29 Date: 1/ | 5 710 17/2017 SPK value 0.9615 0.9615 2.885 0.9615 2.885 0.9615 5D 710 17/2017 | Tes F SPK Ref Val 0 0 0 0 0 0 Tes F s | tCode: E RunNo: 4 SeqNo: 1 %REC 110 105 104 104 93.8 tCode: E RunNo: 4 SeqNo: 1 | PA Method 0079 256347 LowLimit 61.5 71.4 70.9 76.2 80 PA Method 0079 256348 | 8021B: Vola Units: mg/F HighLimit 138 127 132 123 120 8021B: Vola Units: mg/F | tiles (g %RPD tiles | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Sample ID Client ID: Prep Date: Analyte Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total Surr: 4-Bron Sample ID Client ID: Prep Date: Analyte | 1701599-001AMS SC-1 1/16/2017 nofluorobenzene 1701599-001AMSE SC-1 1/16/2017 | Samp Batc Analysis I Result 1.1 1.0 1.0 3.0 0.90 Samp Batc Analysis I Result | Type: MS ch ID: 29 Date: 1/ PQL 0.024 0.048 0.048 0.096 Type: MS ch ID: 29 Date: 1/ PQL | 5 710 17/2017 SPK value 0.9615 0.9615 2.885 0.9615 2.885 0.9615 5D 710 17/2017 SPK value | Tes F SPK Ref Val 0 0 0 0 Tes F SPK Ref Val | tCode: E RunNo: 4 SeqNo: 1 %REC 110 105 104 104 93.8 tCode: E RunNo: 4 SeqNo: 1 %REC | PA Method 0079 256347 LowLimit 61.5 71.4 70.9 76.2 80 PA Method 0079 256348 LowLimit | 8021B: Vola Units: mg/k HighLimit 138 127 132 123 120 8021B: Vola Units: mg/k HighLimit | tiles (g %RPD tiles (g %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Sample ID Client ID: Prep Date: Analyte Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total Surr: 4-Bron Client ID: Prep Date: Analyte Benzene | 1701599-001AMS SC-1 1/16/2017 nofluorobenzene 1701599-001AMSE SC-1 1/16/2017 | Samp Batc Analysis I Result 1.1 1.0 1.0 3.0 0.90 Samp Batc Analysis I Result 1.2 | Type: MS ch ID: 29 Date: 1/ PQL 0.024 0.048 0.048 0.096 Type: MS ch ID: 29 Date: 1/ PQL 0.025 | 5 710 17/2017 SPK value 0.9615 0.9615 2.885 0.9615 2.885 0.9615 5D 710 17/2017 SPK value 0.9833 | Tes F SPK Ref Val 0 0 0 0 Tes F SPK Ref Val 0 | tCode: E RunNo: 4 SeqNo: 1 %REC 110 105 104 104 93.8 tCode: E RunNo: 4 SeqNo: 1 %REC 119 | PA Method 0079 256347 LowLimit 61.5 71.4 70.9 76.2 80 PA Method 0079 256348 LowLimit 61.5 | 8021B: Vola Units: mg/k HighLimit 138 127 132 123 120 8021B: Vola Units: mg/k HighLimit 138 | tiles (g %RPD tiles (g %RPD 10.1 | RPDLimit RPDLimit 20 | Qual |
| Sample ID Client ID: Prep Date: Analyte Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total Surr: 4-Bron Client ID: Prep Date: Analyte Benzene Toluene | 1701599-001AMS SC-1 1/16/2017 nofluorobenzene 1701599-001AMSE SC-1 1/16/2017 | Samp Batc Analysis I Result 1.1 1.0 1.0 3.0 0.90 Samp Batc Analysis I Result 1.2 1.1 | Type: MS th ID: 29 Date: 1/ PQL 0.024 0.048 0.048 0.096 Type: MS th ID: 29 Date: 1/ PQL 0.025 0.049 | 5 710 17/2017 SPK value 0.9615 0.9615 2.885 0.9615 2.885 0.9615 5D 710 17/2017 SPK value 0.9833 0.9833 | Tes F SPK Ref Val 0 0 0 0 0 Tes F SPK Ref Val 0 0 | tCode: E RunNo: 4 SeqNo: 1 %REC 110 105 104 104 93.8 tCode: E RunNo: 4 SeqNo: 1 %REC 119 107 | PA Method 0079 256347 LowLimit 61.5 71.4 70.9 76.2 80 PA Method 0079 256348 LowLimit 61.5 71.4 | 8021B: Vola Units: mg/k HighLimit 138 127 132 123 120 8021B: Vola Units: mg/k HighLimit 138 127 | tiles (g %RPD tiles (g %RPD 10.1 4.18 | RPDLimit RPDLimit 20 20 | Qual |
| Sample ID Client ID: Prep Date: Analyte Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total Surr: 4-Bron Client ID: Prep Date: Analyte Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene | 1701599-001AMS SC-1 1/16/2017 nofluorobenzene 1701599-001AMSE SC-1 1/16/2017 | Samp Batc Analysis I Result 1.1 1.0 1.0 3.0 0.90 Samp Batc Analysis I Result 1.2 1.1 1.0 | Type: MS th ID: 29 Date: 1/ PQL 0.024 0.048 0.048 0.096 Type: MS th ID: 29 Date: 1/ PQL 0.025 0.049 0.049 0.049 | 5 710 17/2017 SPK value 0.9615 0.9615 2.885 0.9615 2.885 0.9615 5D 710 17/2017 SPK value 0.9833 0.9833 0.9833 | Tes F SPK Ref Val 0 0 0 0 0 Tes F SPK Ref Val 0 0 0 0 | tCode: E RunNo: 4 SeqNo: 1 %REC 110 105 104 104 93.8 tCode: E RunNo: 4 SeqNo: 1 %REC 119 107 103 | PA Method 0079 256347 LowLimit 61.5 71.4 70.9 76.2 80 PA Method 0079 256348 LowLimit 61.5 71.4 70.9 | 8021B: Vola Units: mg/k HighLimit 138 127 132 123 120 8021B: Vola Units: mg/k HighLimit 138 127 132 | tiles (g %RPD tiles (g %RPD 10.1 4.18 1.04 | RPDLimit RPDLimit 20 20 20 20 | Qual |
| Sample ID Client ID: Prep Date: Analyte Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total Surr: 4-Bron Client ID: Prep Date: Analyte Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total | 1701599-001AMS SC-1 1/16/2017 nofluorobenzene 1701599-001AMSE SC-1 1/16/2017 | Samp Batc Analysis I Result 1.1 1.0 1.0 3.0 0.90 Samp Batc Analysis I Result 1.2 1.1 1.0 3.1 | Type: MS th ID: 29 Date: 1/ PQL 0.024 0.048 0.048 0.096 Type: MS th ID: 29 Date: 1/ PQL 0.025 0.049 0.049 0.098 | 5 710 17/2017 SPK value 0.9615 0.9615 2.885 0.9615 2.885 0.9615 5D 710 17/2017 SPK value 0.9833 0.9833 0.9833 0.9833 2.950 | Tes F SPK Ref Val 0 0 0 0 0 0 5 SPK Ref Val 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | tCode: E RunNo: 4 SeqNo: 1 %REC 110 105 104 104 93.8 tCode: E RunNo: 4 SeqNo: 1 %REC 119 107 103 104 | PA Method 0079 256347 LowLimit 61.5 71.4 70.9 76.2 80 PA Method 0079 256348 LowLimit 61.5 71.4 70.9 76.2 | 8021B: Vola Units: mg/F HighLimit 138 127 132 123 120 8021B: Vola Units: mg/F HighLimit 138 127 132 123 | tiles (g %RPD tiles (g %RPD 10.1 4.18 1.04 2.17 | RPDLimit RPDLimit 20 20 20 20 20 20 | Qual |

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- Sample Diluted Due to Matrix D
- Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded Н
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix S
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank E
 - Value above quantitation range
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits J
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

Page 7 of 7

20-Jan-17

WO#: 1701599

| HALL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS LABORATORY | Hall Environmental Albu TEL: 505-345-3975 Website: www.ha | Analysi 4901 iquerqu FAX: 5 llenviro | s Laborate Hawkins e, NM 871 05-345-41 onmental.c | NE 105 Sam 107 07 | ble Log-In C | neck List |
|---|--|--|---|-----------------------------------|--|---------------------|
| Client Name: RULE ENGINEERING LL | Work Order Number: | 17018 | 599 | | RcptNo: | 1 |
| Received by/date: | 01/14/17 | | | | ······································ | |
| Logged By: Lindsay Mangin | 1/14/2017 9:00:00 AM | | | Judyttago | | |
| Completed By: Lindsay Mangin | 1/16/2017 8:15:43 AM | | | And Hard | | |
| Reviewed By: R 01/16/17 | | | | | | |
| Chain of Custody | | | | | | |
| 1. Custody seals intact on sample bottles? | | Yes | | No []] | Not Present | |
| 2. Is Chain of Custody complete? | | Yes | \checkmark | No [_] | Not Present | |
| 3. How was the sample delivered? | | Cour | ier | | | |
| Log In | | | | | | |
| 4. Was an attempt made to cool the sample | 95? | Yes | | No 🗌 | NA | |
| 5. Were all samples received at a temperate | ure of >0° C to 6.0°C | Yes | | No 🗌 | NA | |
| 6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? | | Yes | | No 🗌 | | |
| 7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated tes | st(s)? | Yes | \checkmark | No [] | | |
| 8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) prop | perly preserved? | Yes | | No 🗌 | | |
| 9. Was preservative added to bottles? | | Yes | | No 🗹 | NA | |
| 10.VOA vials have zero headspace? | | Yes | | No 🗌 | No VOA Vials 🗹 | |
| 11. Were any sample containers received bro | oken? | Yes | | No 🗹 | # =f ====== | |
| 12.Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) | | Yes | | No 🗐 | bottles checked for pH: (<2 o | r >12 unless noted) |
| 13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain | of Custody? | Yes | | No 🗔 | Adjusted? | |
| 14. Is it clear what analyses were requested? | | Yes | \checkmark | No [_] | | |
| 15. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.) | | Yes | | No [.] | Checked by: | |
| Special Handling (if applicable) | | | | | | |
| 16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with | th this order? | Yes | | No 🗌 | NA M | |
| Person Notified: | Date: | -] eMe | il [] Pi | one 🗆 Fax | | |

17. Additional remarks:

18. Cooler Information

Regarding: Client Instructions:

| Cooler No | Temp °C | Condition | Seal Intact | Seal No | Seal Date | Sighed By |
|-----------|---------|-----------|-------------|---------|-----------|-----------|
| 1 | 1.6 | Good | Yes | | | |

Variante de la contrata de la casa de la cas

Page 1 of 1

| C | hain- | of-Cu | istody Record | Turn-Around Time: | | | | HALL ENVIRONMENTAL | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|--------------------|-------------|---|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|-------------|--------------|------------|------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|------------|------------|---------|---------|------|-------------|
| lient: | Rule | Engine | ering LLC | ⊠7 Standard | 🗆 Rush | | | | | | N | | YS | TS | i L | AE | O | RA | то | R | 7 |
| | | .0 | 0, | Project Name | e: | | www.hallenvironmental.com | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ailing | Address | 501 A | A D Ci COC | Capes | ale Care | AD HOI | | 40 | 04 14 | v ovudsia | | -nam | | | ienu | | 1.07 | 100 | | | |
| _ | | JOIN | mporr Dr. Ste 200 | Project #: | ave lom | AD #26 | 1 | 49 | | awkir | | E - | | ique | rque | e, mr | 101 | 109 | | | |
| Far | mingot | n, N/ | 4 87401 | | | | | Te | el. 50 | 5-34 | 5-39 | 75 | Fa | ax 5 | 505- | 345- | 4107 | | | | |
| hone | # (505 | 5)716 | -2.787 | | | | | | | | | Ar | alys | sis I | keq | uest | | | | _ | |
| mail or | Fax#: | woods | Prukengineering. com | Project Mana | ger: | | ÷ | ylno | 8 | | | | | 04) | ø | | | | | | |
| A/QC Package: | | | | | | | 802 | as c | N | | | S) | | 04'S | B | | | | | | |
| Stan | dard | | Level 4 (Full Validation) | Heather | Woods | | S (| Q | 2 2 2 | | | SIN | | A | P P | | | | | | |
| ccredi | tation | | | Sampler: He | ather We | nds | 開 | Hd | 9 | 7 | 7 | 20 | | 2 | 308 | | | | | | 2 |
| NELAP 🗆 Other | | | er | On Ice | X Yes | D-No an A | + | + | 8 | 18 | 8 | 83 | | 03,1 | s/8 | | (Y | | | | or 1 |
| EDD | (Type)_ | | | Sample Tem | perature: 1, C | 0 | 王王 | BE | 0 | d 4 | p | 0 or | stals | Ž | ide | 8 | 2 | | | | Z |
| Date | Time | Matrix | Sample Request ID | Container Type and # | Preservative Type | HEAL No. | BTEX + ME | BTEX + MT | TPH 8015B | TPH (Metho | EDB (Metho | PAH's (831 | RCRA 8 Me | Anions (F,C | 8081 Pestic | 3260B (VO/ | 8270 (Semi | | | | Air Bubbles |
| 13/17 | 0830 | Soil | 5C-1 | (1)402Glass | Coid | -001 | X | | × | - | - | | | | ~ | ~ | ~ | | | | |
| 13/17 | 0834 | Soil | 50-2 | (1) for GLAUS | Coid | -002 | × | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10/17 | 1020 | Spill | SC-3 | (1) 40 Gus | Coid | -003 | X | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3/17 | 0822 | 5011 | 50-4 | (1) 402Glass | Cold | -004 | X | | X | | | | | | | | | | | + | \square |
| / | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | T | T | |
| | / | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | T | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | i | | - Ha | | | | | | | | | _ | _ | _ | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | \perp | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ate: | Time: | Relinquish | ed by: | Received by: | 1 | Date Time | Re | nark | s: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Un | 1140 | Alin | H. M. Lihad | 161A | Inet | 1/2/10 1740 | Di | nect ! | bill | 10 C | ono | cop | hilli | ps | | | | | • | | |
| ate: | Time: | Relinquish | ed by: | Received by: | 1 | Date Time | W | 10 | 39 | 220 | 7 | | | Am | es: | 2 | ۰, | • | i. | - 4- | |
| 2/1- | dia lay that I and | | | VIA | t nil | VILIZ MARY | Vse | r-Ki | Gr'E | -w insh | 5 7 | Full | Ho | En | direi | a be | 1 - 2 | ,isa | TARN | 1407 | |
| 44 | necessarv | samples sub | mitted to Hall Environmental may be sub | contracted to other a | ccredited laboratorie | es. This serves as notice of this | 5 0059 | ibility | Anve | b-contr | racted | data v | dil be | clearh | v note | ted on | the ar | alvtica | Ireport | | |
| | 1 | - | | (/ | | | | | | | | | | | , | | and di | | oport | | |



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

January 17, 2017

Heather Woods Rule Engineering LLC 501 Airport Dr., Ste 205 Farmington, NM 87401 TEL: (505) 325-1055 FAX

RE: CoP State Com AD #26

OrderNo.: 1701590

Dear Heather Woods:

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory received 1 sample(s) on 1/14/2017 for the analyses presented in the following report.

These were analyzed according to EPA procedures or equivalent. To access our accredited tests please go to <u>www.hallenvironmental.com</u> or the state specific web sites. In order to properly interpret your results it is imperative that you review this report in its entirety. See the sample checklist and/or the Chain of Custody for information regarding the sample receipt temperature and preservation. Data qualifiers or a narrative will be provided if the sample analysis or analytical quality control parameters require a flag. When necessary, data qualifers are provided on both the sample analysis report and the QC summary report, both sections should be reviewed. All samples are reported, as received, unless otherwise indicated. Lab measurement of analytes considered field parameters that require analysis within 15 minutes of sampling such as pH and residual chlorine are qualified as being analyzed outside of the recommended holding time.

Please don't hesitate to contact HEAL for any additional information or clarifications.

ADHS Cert #AZ0682 -- NMED-DWB Cert #NM9425 -- NMED-Micro Cert #NM0190

Sincerely,

andy

Andy Freeman Laboratory Manager 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109

| Analytica | l Report |
|-----------|----------|
|-----------|----------|

Lab Order 1701590

Date Reported: 1/17/2017

1/16/2017 10:31:40 AM B40040

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene

| CLIENT: Rule Engineering LLC Project: CoP State Com AD #26 | Client Sample ID: SC-5 Collection Date: 1/13/2017 8:26:00 AM | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------|-----|----------|--------------------|-----------------------|--------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Lab ID: 1701590-001 | Matrix: | MEOH (SOI | L) | Received | d Date: 1/1 | 14/2017 9:00:00 AM | | | | | | |
| Analyses | Result | PQL Q | ual | Units | DF | Date Analyzed | Batch | | | | | |
| EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANG | | s | | | | Analyst | том | | | | | |
| Diesel Range Organics (DRO) | 1200 | 19 | | mg/Kg | 2 | 1/16/2017 11:51:06 AM | 29698 | | | | | |
| Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO) | ND | 97 | | mg/Kg | 2 | 1/16/2017 11:51:06 AM | 29698 | | | | | |
| Surr: DNOP | 125 | 70-130 | | %Rec | 2 | 1/16/2017 11:51:06 AM | 29698 | | | | | |
| EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANG | θE | | | | | Analyst | NSB | | | | | |
| Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) | 510 | 18 | | mg/Kg | 5 | 1/16/2017 10:31:40 AM | G40040 | | | | | |
| Surr: BFB | 1270 | 68.3-144 | S | %Rec | 5 | 1/16/2017 10:31:40 AM | G40040 | | | | | |
| EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES | | | | | | Analyst | NSB | | | | | |
| Benzene | ND | 0.091 | | mg/Kg | 5 | 1/16/2017 10:31:40 AM | B40040 | | | | | |
| Toluene | ND | 0.18 | | mg/Kg | 5 | 1/16/2017 10:31:40 AM | B40040 | | | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.18 | | mg/Kg | 5 | 1/16/2017 10:31:40 AM | B40040 | | | | | |
| Xylenes, Total | 6.9 | 0.36 | | mg/Kg | 5 | 1/16/2017 10:31:40 AM | B40040 | | | | | |

80-120

S

%Rec

5

185

| Qualifiers: | * | Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. | В | Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank |
|-------------|--|---|----|---|
| | D | Sample Diluted Due to Matrix | E | Value above quantitation range |
| | H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded | | J | Analyte detected below quantitation limits Page 1 of 4 |
| | ND | Not Detected at the Reporting Limit | Р | Sample pH Not In Range |
| | R | RPD outside accepted recovery limits | RL | Reporting Detection Limit |
| | S | % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix | W | Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified |
| | | | | |

| 6 | | |
|-------|---|---|
| MBLK | TestCode: EPA Method 8015M/D: Diesel Range Organics | = |
| 29674 | RunNo: 40030 | |
| | | |

17-Jan-17

1701590

WO#:

Page 2 of 4

| Sample ID MB-29674 SampType: MBLK TestCode: EPA Method 8015M/D: Diesel Range Organics | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|----|--|--|--|--|
| Client ID: PBS | Batch ID: 29674 | RunNo: 40030 | | | | | |
| Prep Date: 1/13/2017 | Analysis Date: 1/16/2017 | SeqNo: 1254788 Units: %Rec | | | | | |
| Analyte | Result PQL SPK value | SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qua | al | | | | |
| Surr: DNOP | 10 10.00 | 105 70 130 | | | | | |
| Sample ID LCS-29674 SampType: LCS TestCode: EPA Method 8015M/D: Diesel Range Organics | | | | | | | |
| Sample ID LCS-29674 | SampType: LCS | TestCode: EPA Method 8015M/D: Diesel Range Organics | | | | | |
| Sample ID LCS-29674 Client ID: LCSS | SampType: LCS Batch ID: 29674 | TestCode: EPA Method 8015M/D: Diesel Range Organics RunNo: 40030 | | | | | |
| Sample ID LCS-29674 Client ID: LCSS Prep Date: 1/13/2017 | SampType: LCS Batch ID: 29674 Analysis Date: 1/16/2017 | TestCode: EPA Method 8015M/D: Diesel Range Organics RunNo: 40030 SeqNo: 1254824 Units: %Rec | | | | | |
| Sample ID LCS-29674 Client ID: LCSS Prep Date: 1/13/2017 Analyte | SampType: LCS Batch ID: 29674 Analysis Date: 1/16/2017 Result PQL SPK value | TestCode: EPA Method 8015M/D: Diesel Range Organics RunNo: 40030 SeqNo: 1254824 Units: %Rec SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit HighLimit %RPD RPDLimit Qua | al | | | | |

Oualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- Η Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank В
- Е Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL **Reporting Detection Limit**
- Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified W

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1701590 17-Jan-17

Page 3 of 4

| Client: R Project: C | Rule Engin | neering L Com AD | LC #26 | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|--------------------|----------|-----------|--------------|-----------|----------|------|--|--|
| Sample ID RB SampType: MBLK TestCode: EP | | | | | | | | 8015D: Gaso | line Rang | е | | | |
| Client ID: PBS Batch ID: G40040 | | | | | 40040 RunNo: 40040 | | | | | | | | |
| Prep Date: | | Analysis Date: 1/16/2017 | | | 5 | SeqNo: 1 | 254956 | Units: mg/Kg | | | | | |
| Analyte | | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual | | |
| Gasoline Range Organics (Surr: BFB | GRO) | ND 860 | 5.0 | 1000 | | 86.4 | 68.3 | 144 | | | | | |
| Sample ID 2.5UG GF | ROLCS | SampT | ype: LC | s | Tes | tCode: E | PA Method | 8015D: Gaso | line Rang | e | | | |
| Client ID: LCSS | | Batch | n ID: G4 | 10040 | F | RunNo: 4 | 0040 | | | | | | |
| Prep Date: | | Analysis D | ate: 1/ | 16/2017 | 5 | SeqNo: 1 | 254957 | Units: mg/k | g | | | | |
| Analyte | | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual | | |
| Gasoline Range Organics (| GRO) | 25 | 5.0 | 25.00 | 0 | 99.8 | 74.6 | 123 | | | | | |
| Surr: BFB | | 1000 | | 1000 | | 99.8 | 68.3 | 144 | | | | | |

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

.

Client:

| Hall Environmenta | l Analysis | Laboratory, | Inc. |
|-------------------|------------|-------------|------|
|-------------------|------------|-------------|------|

Rule Engineering LLC

| Project: CoP St | ate Com AD | #26 | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------|-----------------|-----------|-------------|--------------|-----------|-------------|-------|----------|------|--|
| Sample ID RB | Samp | Туре: МЕ | BLK | Tes | tCode: E | PA Method | 8021B: Vola | tiles | | | |
| Client ID: PBS | Batc | h ID: B4 | 0040 | F | RunNo: 40040 | | | | | | |
| Prep Date: | Analysis [| Date: 1/ | 16/2017 | S | SeqNo: 1 | 254972 | Units: mg/M | ٢g | | | |
| Analyte | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual | |
| Benzene | ND | 0.025 | | | | | | | | | |
| Toluene | ND | 0.050 | | | | | | | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.050 | | | | | | | | | |
| Xylenes, Total | ND | 0.10 | | | | | | | | | |
| Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 0.93 | | 1.000 | | 92.8 | 80 | 120 | | | | |
| Sample ID 100NG BTEX L | CS Samp | Type: LC | S | Tes | tCode: E | PA Method | 8021B: Vola | tiles | | | |
| Client ID: LCSS | Batc | h ID: B4 | 0040 | F | RunNo: 4 | 0040 | | | | | |
| Prep Date: | Analysis [| Date: 1/ | 16/2017 | S | SeqNo: 1 | 254973 | Units: mg/M | ٢g | | | |
| Analyte | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual | |
| Benzene | 1.1 | 0.025 | 1.000 | 0 | 109 | 75.2 | 115 | | | | |
| Toluene | 0.97 | 0.050 | 1.000 | 0 | 96.9 | 80.7 | 112 | | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | 0.94 | 0.050 | 1.000 | 0 | 94.3 | 78.9 | 117 | | | | |
| Xylenes, Total | 2.8 | 0.10 | 3.000 | 0 | 93.9 | 79.2 | 115 | | | | |
| Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 1.0 | | 1.000 | | 103 | 80 | 120 | | | | |

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

Page 4 of 4

WO#: **1701590** *17-Jan-17*

| HALL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS LABORATORY | Hall Environmental An Albuqu TEL: 505-345-3975 F/ Website: www.halle | alysi 4901 uerqu AX: 5 nviro | s Laborato Hawkins e, NM 871 05-345-41 mmental.co | NE 105 Se 107 107 | amj | ple Log-In (| Check List |
|---|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|------|--|----------------------|
| Client Name: RULE ENGINEERING LL | Work Order Number: 1 | 7015 | 590 | | | RcptNo | »: 1 |
| Received by/date: | 114/17 | . | | | | | 1 |
| Logged By: Lindsay Mangin 1/ | 14/2017 9:00:00 AM | | | Andyth | lego | | |
| Completed By: Lindsay Mangin 1/ | 14/2017 10:07:15 AM | | | Andyth | P | | |
| Reviewed By: 01 | 1 16 117 | | | ~ | | | |
| Chain of Custody | | | | | | | |
| 1. Custody seals intact on sample bottles? | | Yes | [_] | No | 1 | Not Present | |
| 2. Is Chain of Custody complete? | | Yes | | No | | Not Present | |
| 3. How was the sample delivered? | 1 | Cour | ier | | | | |
| Log In | | | | | | | |
| 4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? | | Yes | | No | [_] | NA | 1 |
| 5. Were all samples received at a temperature of | >0° C to 6.0°C | Yes | | No [| | | |
| 6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? | | Yes | V | No | []] | | |
| 7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? | | Yes | | No |] | | |
| 8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly | preserved? | Yes | \checkmark | No | [] | | |
| 9. Was preservative added to bottles? | | Yes | []] | No | | NA . | |
| 10,VOA vials have zero headspace? | , | Yes | | No [|] | No VOA Vials | |
| 11. Were any sample containers received broken? | | Yes | | No | | | |
| 12.Does paperwork match bottle labels? | | Yes | | No |] | # of preserved bottles checked for pH: | or >12 unless noted) |
| 13 Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Cu | istody? | Yes | | No | | Adjusted? | |
| 14. Is it clear what analyses were requested? | | Yes | | No [| | | |
| 15. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.) | | Yes | | No | 1 | Checked by | |
| Special Handling (if applicable) | | | | | | | |
| 16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this | order? | Yes | | No |] | NA 🗹 | |
| Person Notified: | Date: | | | | | | |
| By Whom: | Via: | eMa | II [] Ph | none [] F | Fax | In Person | |
| Regarding: | | | | - LAGID JAPTON | | | |
| Client Instructions: | | | | | | | |
| 17. Additional remarks: | | | | | | | |
| 18. Cooler Information | | | | | | | |
| Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seal | Intact Seal No Se | al Da | ite | Signed By | 1 | | |
| | L | | | | [| | |
| Page 1 of 1 | | | | | | | |

• . •

| С | hain- | of-Cu | stody Record | Turn-Around | Time: | | | | | н | | | EN | v | D | | | | лт | - 41 | | |
|--|--|--------------|--|--|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|-------|---------------|------------|-------------|----------|---------|------|---------------|---|
| Client: | Rule | Ensine | ering LLC | □ Standard | Rush | Same Day | | | | A | N | | 7 5 | IS | | AE | 0 | RA | TC | DR | r | |
| | | 0 | | Project Name | : | 0 | | | | , | www. | halle | enviro | onme | enta | l.co | m | | | | - | |
| Mailing | Address | 501 A | wort Dr. Ste 205 | CAP Sta | te Com A | +D #26 | | 49 | 01 H | awki | ns NI | E - / | Albud | uer | que | , NN | 1 87 | 109 | | | | |
| Fan | minado | n NM | 87401 | Project #: | | | | Te | əl. 50 | 5-34 | 5-39 | 75 | Fa | x 50 | 05-3 | 45-4 | 4107 | , | | | | |
| Phone # | # (505 | 7716- | 2787 | | | | | | | | in d | An | alys | is R | equ | est | | | | | | |
| email or | Fax#:h | woods en | rulargineering. Com | Project Manager: | | | | nly) | RO) | | | | ā | (4) | | | | | | | | |
| QA/QC F | Package: | | 0 | | | | | as o | W/ | | | (S) | S.C | 14.0 | CB | | | | | | | |
| Stan | dard | | Level 4 (Full Validation) | Heather Woods | | | | (C | S S | | | SIN | | | N D | | | | | | | |
| Accredit | tation AP | □ Othe | r | Sampler: Heather Woods | | | | TPH | 0/0 | 8.1) | 4.1) | 3270 | | 3,140 | / 808 | | 2 | | | | Î | |
| | (Type) | | | Sample Temperature | | | | | GR | 141 | q 20 | P | se a | | des | | NOV | | | | Ν | |
| Date | Time | Matrix | Sample Request ID | Container Type and # | Preservative Type | HEAL NO. | BTEX + ME | BTEX + MTE | TPH 8015B | TPH (Method | EDB (Method | PAH's (8310 | RCRA 8 Met | | 8081 Pesticio | 8260B (VOA | 8270 (Semi- | | | | Air Bubbles (| |
| 413/17 | 0826 | 5021 | 30-5 | (1) YOZ GKUS | Cold | -001 | X | | X | | | | | | | | | | | | T | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | + | 1 | | | | | - | | |
| - | | | | | | | | | | | + | + | + | + | + | + | | | - | + | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | + | + | + | | | | + | + | + | |
| | | | NEX | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | + | | |
| | | | Her | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| | | | | | | / | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Date: | ate: Time: Relinguished by: 13/7 1740 Death M. Woon | | | Received by: Date Time | | | Remarks: Direct bill to ConocoPhillips We'10392207 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dete: Time: Relinquished by: 1/3/17 18/4 Att Watt | | | | Received by: Date Time DI ULFIT DGDD | | | | User: KAITLW Ordered by: Lise Hunter Supervisor: Fasho Trujillo | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| lf | necessary, | samples subr | nitted to Hall Environmental may be subo | contracted to other a | ccredited laboratori | es. This serves as notice of this | possi | bility. | Any su | b-cont | racted | data w | ill be c | early | notate | ed on | the a | nalytica | al repo | rt. | | |



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

February 03, 2017

Heather Woods Rule Engineering LLC 501 Airport Dr., Ste 205 Farmington, NM 87401 TEL: (505) 325-1055 FAX

RE: COP State Com AD #26

OrderNo.: 1702008

Dear Heather Woods:

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory received 1 sample(s) on 2/1/2017 for the analyses presented in the following report.

These were analyzed according to EPA procedures or equivalent. To access our accredited tests please go to <u>www.hallenvironmental.com</u> or the state specific web sites. In order to properly interpret your results it is imperative that you review this report in its entirety. See the sample checklist and/or the Chain of Custody for information regarding the sample receipt temperature and preservation. Data qualifiers or a narrative will be provided if the sample analysis or analytical quality control parameters require a flag. When necessary, data qualifers are provided on both the sample analysis report and the QC summary report, both sections should be reviewed. All samples are reported, as received, unless otherwise indicated. Lab measurement of analytes considered field parameters that require analysis within 15 minutes of sampling such as pH and residual chlorine are qualified as being analyzed outside of the recommended holding time.

Please don't hesitate to contact HEAL for any additional information or clarifications.

ADHS Cert #AZ0682 -- NMED-DWB Cert #NM9425 -- NMED-Micro Cert #NM0190

Sincerely,

andy

Andy Freeman Laboratory Manager 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109

| Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc. Lab Order 1702008 Date Reported: 2/3/2017 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|------------|----------|------|------------|-----------|---------------------|--------|--|--|--|--|--|
| CLIENT: | Rule Engineering LLC | | | С | lient Samp | e ID: SC | C-6 | | | | | | |
| Project: | COP State Com AD #26 | | | | Collection | Date: 1/3 | 31/2017 2:25:00 PM | | | | | | |
| Lab ID: | /2017 8:00:00 AM | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Analyses | | Result | PQL | Qual | Units | DF | Date Analyzed | Batch | | | | | |
| EPA MET | THOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RAN | GE ORGANIC | s | | | | Analys | t: TOM | | | | | |
| Diesel R | ange Organics (DRO) | ND | 10 | | mg/Kg | 1 | 2/2/2017 6:16:18 PM | 29993 | | | | | |
| Motor Oi | I Range Organics (MRO) | ND | 50 | | mg/Kg | 1 | 2/2/2017 6:16:18 PM | 29993 | | | | | |
| Surr: I | DNOP | 106 | 70-130 | | %Rec | 1 | 2/2/2017 6:16:18 PM | 29993 | | | | | |
| EPA MET | THOD 8015D: GASOLINE RAI | NGE | | | | | Analys | t NSB | | | | | |
| Gasoline | e Range Organics (GRO) | ND | 4.9 | | mg/Kg | 1 | 2/2/2017 5:28:28 PM | 29991 | | | | | |
| Surr: E | BFB | 88.8 | 68.3-144 | | %Rec | 1 | 2/2/2017 5:28:28 PM | 29991 | | | | | |
| EPA MET | THOD 8021B: VOLATILES | | | | | | Analys | t NSB | | | | | |
| Benzene | • | ND | 0.024 | | mg/Kg | 1 | 2/2/2017 5:28:28 PM | 29991 | | | | | |
| Toluene | Toluene | | 0.049 | | mg/Kg | 1 | 2/2/2017 5:28:28 PM | 29991 | | | | | |
| Ethylben | izene | ND | 0.049 | | mg/Kg | 1 | 2/2/2017 5:28:28 PM | 29991 | | | | | |
| Xylenes, | Total | ND | 0.097 | | mg/Kg | 1 | 2/2/2017 5:28:28 PM | 29991 | | | | | |

80-120

%Rec

91.5

Analytical Report

1 2/2/2017 5:28:28 PM

29991

.

Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene

| Qualifiers: | * | Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. | В | Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank |
|-------------|----|---|----|---|
| | D | Sample Diluted Due to Matrix | E | Value above quantitation range |
| | Н | Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded | J | Analyte detected below quantitation limits Page 1 of 4 |
| | ND | Not Detected at the Reporting Limit | Р | Sample pH Not In Range |
| | R | RPD outside accepted recovery limits | RL | Reporting Detection Limit |
| | S | % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix | W | Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified |
| | | | | |

WO#: **1702008** *03-Feb-17*

| Client: | Rule E | ngineering L | LC | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|--|--------------|----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|------------|------------|------|--|--|--|
| Project: | COP S | tate Com AD | #26 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sample ID L | CS-29993 | SampT | ype: LC | S | Tes | tCode: El | PA Method | 8015M/D: Di | esel Rang | e Organics | Qual | | | |
| Client ID: L | ient: Rule E oject: COP S imple ID LCS-29993 ent ID: LCSS ep Date: 2/1/2017 ialyte iel Range Organics (DRO) urr: DNOP imple ID MB-29993 ent ID: PBS ep Date: 2/1/2017 alyte | | n ID: 29 | 993 | F | RunNo: 4 | 0459 | | | | | | | |
| Prep Date: | 2/1/2017 | Analysis D | ate: 2/ | 2/2017 | S | SeqNo: 1 | 268814 | Units: mg/k | (g | | | | | |
| Analyte | | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual | | | |
| Diesel Range Org | anics (DRO) | 48 | 10 | 50.00 | 0 | 96.0 | 63.8 | 116 | | | | | | |
| Surr: DNOP | | 5.2 | | 5.000 | | 104 | 70 | 130 | | | | | | |
| Sample ID M | IB-29993 | SampT | ype: ME | BLK | Tes | tCode: El | PA Method | 8015M/D: Di | esel Range | e Organics | | | | |
| Client ID: P | BS | Batch | D: 29 | 993 | R | RunNo: 4 | 0459 | | | | | | | |
| Prep Date: | 2/1/2017 | Analysis D | ate: 2/ | 2/2017 | S | SeqNo: 1 | 268815 | Units: mg/k | g | | | | | |
| Analyte | | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual | | | |
| Diesel Range Org | anics (DRO) | ND | 10 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Motor Oil Range (| Organics (MRO) | ND | 50 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Surr: DNOP | | 12 | | 10.00 | | 117 | 70 | 130 | | | | | | |

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

Page 2 of 4

WO#: **1702008** *03-Feb-17*

| Client: Rule Er Project: COP St | ngineering Ll ate Com AD | LC) #26 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------------------|-------------|-----------|----------|------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Sample ID MB-29991 | SampT | ype: ME | BLK | Tes | tCode: El | PA Method | 8015D: Gaso | line Rang | е | | | | | | |
| Client ID: PBS | PBS Batch ID: 29991 | | | | | RunNo: 40468 | | | | | | | | | |
| Prep Date: 2/1/2017 | Analysis Date: 2/2/2017 | | | S | eqNo: 1 | 268779 | Units: mg/K | g | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual | | | | | |
| Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) Surr: BFB | ND 900 | 5.0 | 1000 | | 89.7 | <mark>68.3</mark> | 144 | | | | | | | | |
| Sample ID LCS-29991 | SampT | ype: LC | s | Tes | tCode: El | PA Method | 8015D: Gaso | line Rang | e | | | | | | |
| Client ID: LCSS | Batch ID: 29991 | | | F | unNo: 4 | 0468 | | | | | | | | | |
| Prep Date: 2/1/2017 | Analysis D | ate: 2/ | 2/2017 | S | eqNo: 1 | 268780 | Units: mg/K | g | | | | | | | |
| Analyte | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual | | | | | |
| Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) | 27 | 5.0 | 25.00 | 0 | 108 | 74.6 | 123 | | | | | | | | |
| Surr: BFB | 990 | | 1000 | | 99.0 | 68.3 | 144 | | | | | | | | |

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

Page 3 of 4

Client:Rule Engineering LLCProject:COP State Com AD #26

| and the second se | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|---|--|---------------------|----------|------|
| Sample ID MB-29991 | Samp | Гуре: МЕ | BLK | Tes | tCode: E | PA Method | 8021B: Vola | tiles | | |
| Client ID: PBS | Batc | h ID: 29 | 991 | F | RunNo: 4 | 0468 | | | | |
| Prep Date: 2/1/2017 | 2/2017 | S | SeqNo: 1 | 268797 | Units: mg/k | g | | | | |
| Analyte | Result | PQL | SPK value | SPK Ref Val | %REC | LowLimit | HighLimit | %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Benzene | ND | 0.025 | | | | | | | | |
| Toluene | ND | 0.050 | | | | | | | | |
| Ethylbenzene | ND | 0.050 | | | | | | | | |
| Xylenes, Total | ND | 0.10 | | | | | | | | |
| Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene | 0.93 | | 1.000 | | 92.8 | 80 | 120 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sample ID LCS-29991 | Samp | Гуре: LC | S | Tes | tCode: El | PA Method | 8021B: Volat | tiles | | |
| Sample ID LCS-29991 Client ID: LCSS | Samp [¬] Batc | Гуре: LC h ID: 29 | S 991 | Tes | tCode: El RunNo: 4 | PA Method 0468 | 8021B: Vola | tiles | | |
| Sample ID LCS-29991 Client ID: LCSS Prep Date: 2/1/2017 | Samp Batc Analysis [| Гуре: LC h ID: 29 Date: 2/ | S 991 2/2017 | Tes R S | tCode: El RunNo: 4 GeqNo: 1 | PA Method 0468 268798 | 8021B: Volat | tiles | | |
| Sample ID LCS-29991 Client ID: LCSS Prep Date: 2/1/2017 Analyte | Samp Batc Analysis I Result | Type: LC h ID: 29 Date: 2/ PQL | S 991 2/2017 SPK value | Tes F S SPK Ref Val | tCode: El RunNo: 4 SeqNo: 1 %REC | PA Method 0468 268798 LowLimit | 8021B: Volat Units: mg/K HighLimit | tiles (g %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Sample ID LCS-29991 Client ID: LCSS Prep Date: 2/1/2017 Analyte Benzene | Samp Batc Analysis I Result 1.1 | Type: LC h ID: 29 Date: 2/ PQL 0.025 | S 991 2/2017 SPK value 1.000 | Tes F S SPK Ref Val 0 | tCode: El RunNo: 4 BeqNo: 1 %REC 106 | PA Method 0468 268798 LowLimit 75.2 | 8021B: Volat Units: mg/K HighLimit 115 | iles (g %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Sample ID LCS-29991 Client ID: LCSS Prep Date: 2/1/2017 Analyte Benzene Toluene | Samp Batc Analysis [Result 1.1 0.92 | Type: LC h ID: 299 Date: 2/ PQL 0.025 0.050 | S 991 2/2017 SPK value 1.000 1.000 | Tes F S SPK Ref Val 0 0 | tCode: El RunNo: 4 SeqNo: 1 %REC 106 91.6 | PA Method 0468 268798 LowLimit 75.2 80.7 | 8021B: Volat Units: mg/K HighLimit 115 112 | tiles (g %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Sample ID LCS-29991 Client ID: LCSS Prep Date: 2/1/2017 Analyte Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene | Samp Batc Analysis I Result 1.1 0.92 0.88 | Type: LC h ID: 299 Date: 2/ PQL 0.025 0.050 0.050 | S 991 2/2017 SPK value 1.000 1.000 1.000 | Tes F S SPK Ref Val 0 0 0 0 | tCode: El RunNo: 4 GeqNo: 1 %REC 106 91.6 87.5 | PA Method 0468 268798 LowLimit 75.2 80.7 78.9 | 8021B: Volat Units: mg/K HighLimit 115 112 117 | tiles Gg %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |
| Sample ID LCS-29991 Client ID: LCSS Prep Date: 2/1/2017 Analyte Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Xylenes, Total | Samp Batc Analysis I Result 1.1 0.92 0.88 2.6 | Type: LC h ID: 299 Date: 2/ PQL 0.025 0.050 0.050 0.10 | S 391 2/2017 SPK value 1.000 1.000 1.000 3.000 | Tes F SPK Ref Val 0 0 0 0 0 0 | tCode: El RunNo: 4 SeqNo: 1 %REC 106 91.6 87.5 87.6 | PA Method 0468 268798 LowLimit 75.2 80.7 78.9 79.2 | 8021B: Volat Units: mg/K HighLimit 115 112 117 115 | iiles G %RPD | RPDLimit | Qual |

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

WO#: 1702008

03-Feb-17

Page 4 of 4

| HALL ENVIRONMENTA ANALYSIS LABORATORY | L | Hall Environmen TEL: 505-345-39 Website: www | atal Analysis Labor 4901 Hawki Albuquergue, NM 975 FAX: 505-345 hallenvironmenta | ratory ins NE 87109 Sam 4107 al.com | ple Log-In C | heck List |
|--|---|--|---|---|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| Client Name: RULE ENGI | | Nork Order Num | ber: 1702008 | | RcptNo: | 1 |
| Received by/date: | J Z son 2/1 Jansfon Z O | 11 17 12017 8:00:00 AI 11 17 201 17 | м | ang 12-04- | | |
| 1 Custody seals intert on sa | mple bottles? | | Ves 🗌 | No 🗌 | Not Present | |
| 2 is Chain of Custody compl | lete? | | Yes 🗹 | No 🗆 | Not Present | |
| 3. How was the sample delive | ered? | | Courier | | | |
| Log In | | | | | | |
| 4. Was an attempt made to o | cool the samples? | | Yes 🗹 | No 🗌 | NA 🗌 | |
| 5. Were all samples received | at a temperature of | >0° C to 6.0°C | Yes 🗹 | No 🗌 | | |
| 6. Sample(s) in proper conta | iner(s)? | | Yes 🗹 | No 🗌 | | |
| 7. Sufficient sample volume f | for indicated test(s)? | | Yes 🗹 | No 🗌 | | |
| 8. Are samples (except VOA | and ONG) properly pr | eserved? | Yes 🗹 | No 🗌 | | |
| 9. Was preservative added to | bottles? | | Yes | No 🗹 | NA 🗆 | |
| 10.VOA vials have zero heads | space? | | Yes | No 🗌 | No VOA Vials | |
| 11. Were any sample contained | ers received broken? | | Yes | No 🗹 | # of preserved | |
| 12. Does paperwork match bot (Note discrepancies on cha | ttle labels? | | Yes 🗹 | No 🗌 | for pH: | >12 unless noted) |
| 13. Are matrices correctly iden | tified on Chain of Cus | tody? | Yes 🗹 | No 🗌 | Adjusted? | |
| 14. Is it clear what analyses we | ere requested? | | Yes 🗹 | No 🗌 | | |
| 15. Were all holding times able (If no, notify customer for a | to be met? authorization.) | | Yes 🗹 | No 🗌 | Checked by: | |
| Special Handling (if app 16. Was client notified of all dis | licable) screpancies with this o | order? | Yes 🗌 | No 🗌 | NA 🗹 | |
| Person Notified | | Date | 1 | | | |
| By Whom: | | Via: | eMail | Phone 🗍 Fax | In Person | |
| Regarding: | **** | | | | | |
| Client Instructions: | ร้างระบาทการของการรับเหตุลาย อากุล ส่วน สาวามานี้ เราน้ำสลังข | arnan a mar mann an mar a than on mar | NAMES AND A DESCRIPTION OF | anna a ann à charachtan ann ann ann ann an ann an ann an ann an a | MARKED BERGER BERGER BERGER | |
| 17. Additional remarks: | | | | | | |
| 18. <u>Cooler Information</u> | Condition See !! | tant Soul Ma | Seal Data | Signad D. | | |
| 1 1.0 | Good Yes | Naul Seal NO | OBAI DATO | Signed By | | |
| Page 1 of 1 | | | | | | |

| С | Chain-of-Custody Record | | | | Turn-Around Time: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|---|-----------|---------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|--|----------|-----------|---------|--------------|-------|-------------|---------|---------|--------|-------------|----------|---------------|----|------|
| Client: | Lule F | Engine | ering, LLC | M Standard | 🗆 Rush | | | | | A | N/ | AL' | YS | IS | S L | AE | BO | RA | TC | R | (|
| | | 0 | 0. | Project Name | : | | | | | V | www | .hall | envi | ronn | nent | al.co | m | | | | |
| Mailing | Address | 501 A | inport Dr. Sile 205 | COP Sto | ite Com | AD #26 | | 490 | 01 Ha | awkir | ns N | E - | Alb | uque | erque | e, NM | N 87 | 109 | | | |
| Farr | ninato | M. NA | 1 07401 | Project #: | | | | Te | I. 50 | 5-34 | 5-39 | 75 | F | ax : | 505- | 345- | 4107 | | | | |
| Phone # | #: (Sos |) 716. | 2787 | | | | | | | | | A | naly | sis | Req | uest | | | | | |
| email or | Fax#: | woods | Quilleongineering Com | Project Manager: | | | | (ylu | 02 | | | | | 04) | | | | | | | |
| QA/QC F | Package: | | 0 0 | | | | | as o | W I | | | S) | | 4'S | ő | | | | | | |
| 😼 Stan | dard | | □ Level 4 (Full Validation) | Heather Woods | | | | Ő | RO | | | SIN | | R a | 2 P(| | | | | | |
| Accredi | Accreditation INELAP Other | | | | Sampler: Heather Woods | | | | 2 | ÷. | , | 570 | | 2 Z | 808 | | | | | | Î |
| | | | On the Wards and No. | | | | + | SRO | 418 | 504 | r 8, | s | ŝ |) SE | | (YO | | | | ō | |
| | (Type)_ | | | Samplewen | rerature: | | | TBE | B | Po | por | 10 | feta | 5 G | icide | (A | -i- | | | | SV |
| | T | | Oranala Desmust ID | Container | Preservative | And and a second second | 19 | ≥ + | 015 | Meth | Metl | (83 | 8 | E. | Pest | ž | Sen | | | | pble |
| Date | Time | Matrix | Sample Request ID | Type and # | Туре | HEADNO. | EX | Ĕ | H 8 | H | B | AH's | CRA | noir | 81 | 260B | 570 (| | | | r Bu |
| Varia | | | | | | 102005 | m | 'n | F | F | | 2 | Ř | Ā | 8 | 8 | 8 | | + | + | - Ă |
| 13417 | 1425 | Soil | 5C-6 | (1) 4 02 Glass | coid | -001 | X | | X | - | _ | _ | | | | | | | \rightarrow | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | T | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | HER | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | + | | ++ |
| | | | | N N | | | | | | | | | _ | | | - | | | + | + | ++ |
| | | | | | | | | | | | + | - | | | _ | | | - | + | +- | ++ |
| | | | | | | | + | | | | - | | _ | | _ | | | - | + | | + |
| | | | | | | | + | | | + | - | - | _ | | | | | | + | + | + |
| | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | - | | | | - | - | - | | | | | - | \rightarrow | | + |
| | | | | | | | - | | | - | - | | _ | | | | | | | + | |
| | - | Dellastat | | Deschard has | | Data Time | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Date: | Date: Time: Relinquished by: | | | Received by: | (Jan | | D: | mark | s: Ril | 1 de | Co | | ~~ ~ | | 1 | - | | | | | |
| 10'117 | 5/17 1821 Heath M- Woods | | | Received by | U Will | Date Time | WD: 10392207 polyal builting that | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Date: Time: Relinquished by: | | | 1 | 1. 1. | 7/1/17 0000 | Approver: KAITLW Urabica by. Lisa Hunter | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 15117 | BIR 1847 Allet Wells | | | | ~~ | | Ar | ea: | 2 | h | | det. | | | h | test - | | | | | |
| 5 1 | If necessary, samples submitted to Hall Environmental may be su | | | | ccredited laboratori | es. This serves as notice of thi | s poss | ibility. | Any su | iD-cont | tracted | data | Will be | e clear | iy nota | ned or | the a | nalytica | ai repor | L | |
| | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

