District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
1301 W. Grand Avenue, Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

Form C-141
Revised August 8, 2011
Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office to

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505 Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office to accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

			Rele	ease Notific	catio	on and Co	orrective A	ction					
						OPERA	TOR			al Report		Final Report	
			, a Wholly Ow	Contact Lis	sa Hunter								
Subsidiary of ConocoPhillips Company Address 3401 East 30th St, Farmington, NM						Telephone No. (505) 258-1607							
Facility Name: Jicarilla 150 #9						Facility Type: Gas Well							
Surface Owner Jicarilla Mineral Owner						Jicarilla			API No. 3003920340				
				LOCA		ON OF RE	LEASE Feet from the	۰					
T S						h/South Line	West Line County East Rio Arriba						
В	01	26N	990	North	1650	1	East	Kio Arrit	oa				
Latitude <u>36.52005</u> Longitude <u>-107.30586</u>													
NATURE OF RELEASE													
Type of Relea						olume Recovered 0							
Source of Rele	ease Prod					Hour of Discovery							
Was Immediate Notice Given?						06/19/2017 06/19/2017 @ 1:30pm If YES, To Whom?							
Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Required													
By Whom? Lisa Hunter						Date and Hour 06/20/2017 @ 8:30 a.m.							
Was a Watercourse Reached?						If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse.							
☐ Yes ⊠ No						N/A OIL CONS. DIV DIST. 3							
If a Watercour	rse was Imp	acted, Descr	ibe Fully.	*						a @ 2017			
N/A		JUN 2 6 2017											
Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.*													
During routine tank gauging, operator discovered a wet area at base of Production Tank, and a small corrosion hole at the base of the tank. Hole													
was plugged a	was plugged and truck called to pull remaining fluid.												
	Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.*												
ConocoPhillip	ConocoPhillips will assess the soil to determine a path forward for clean-up if necessary.												
	- Committee												
							knowledge and u						
							nd perform correct narked as "Final R						
should their of	perations ha	eve failed to a	adequately	y investigate and	remedi	ate contaminat	ion that pose a thi	reat to gr	ound wate	r, surface wa	ater, hu	man health	
or the environ				otance of a C-141	report	does not reliev	ve the operator of	responsi	bility for c	ompliance v	with any	other	
rederai, state,	or local law	s and/or regu	nations.				OIL CON	SFRV	ATION	DIVISIO)N		
Signature: Lisa Huntar						OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION							
						Approved by Environmental Specialist:							
Printed Name: Lisa Hunter						Carasa C							
Title: Field Environmental Specialist						Approval Date: (a) Alajah Expiration Date:							
E-mail Address: Lisa.Hunter@cop.com						Conditions of Approval:							
						Attached N							
Date: June 20			258-1607		See attached								
* Attach Additi	ional Shee	ts If Necess	ary			WI	3/11/1	SUN	115				
						(,1116	270	111				

Operator/Responsible Party,

The OCD has received the form C-141 you provided on linformation contained on that form has been entered into our incident database and remediation case number has been assigned. Please refer to this case number in all future correspondence.

WF 177840711

It is the Division's obligation under both the Oil & Gas Act and Water Quality Act to provide for the protection of public health and the environment. Our regulations (19.15.29.11 NMAC) state the following,

The responsible person shall complete <u>division-approved corrective action</u> for releases that endanger public health or the environment. The responsible person shall address releases in accordance with a remediation plan submitted to and approved by the division or with an abatement plan submitted in accordance with 19.15.30 NMAC. [emphasis added]

The goals of a characterization effort are: 1) determination of the lateral and vertical extents along with the magnitude of soil contamination. 2) determine if groundwater or surface waters have been impacted. 3) If groundwater or surface waters have been impacted, what are the extents and magnitude of that impact. 4) The characterization of any other adverse impacts that may have occurred (examples: impacts on vegetation, impacts on wildlife, air quality, loss of use of property, etc.). To meet these goals as quickly as possible, the following items must, at a minimum, be addressed in the release characterization workplan and subsequent reporting:

- Horizontal delineation of soil impacts in each of the four cardinal compass directions. Adsorbed soil contamination must be characterized for the following constituents using the associated laboratory methods: benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes by either Method 8260 or 8021, total petroleum hydrocarbons by Method 8015 extended range (GRO+DRO+MRO; C₆ thru C₃₆), and for chloride by Method 300. This is not an exclusive list of potential contaminants. Analyzed parameters should be modified based on the nature of the released substance(s). Soil sampling must be both within the impacted area and beyond.
- Vertical delineation of soil impacts. Adsorbed soil contamination must be characterized for the following constituents using the associated laboratory methods: benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes by either Method 8260 or 8021, total petroleum hydrocarbons by Method 8015 extended range (GRO+DRO+MRO; C₆ thru C₃₆), and for chloride by Method 300. As above, this is not an exclusive list of potential contaminants and can be modified. Vertical characterization samples should be taken at depth intervals no greater than five feet apart. Lithologic description of encountered soils must also be provided. At least ten vertical feet of soils with contaminant concentrations at or below these values must be demonstrated as existing above the water table.
- Nominal detection limits for field and laboratory analyses must be provided.
- Composite sampling is not generally allowed.
- Field screening and assessment techniques are acceptable (headspace, titration, EC [include algorithm for validation purposes], EM, etc.), but the sampling and assay procedures must be clearly defined. Copies of field notes are highly desirable. A statistically significant set of split samples must be submitted for confirmatory laboratory analysis, including the laterally farthest and vertically deepest sets of soil samples. Make sure there are at least two soil samples submitted

for laboratory analysis from each borehole or test pit (highest observed contamination and deepest depth investigated). Copies of the actual laboratory results must be provided including chain of custody documentation.

- •Probable depth to shallowest protectable groundwater and lateral distance to nearest surface water. If there is an estimate of groundwater depth, the information used to arrive at that estimate must be provided. If there is a reasonable assumption that the depth to protectable water is 50 feet or less, the responsible party should anticipate the need for at least one groundwater monitoring well to be installed in the area of likely maximum contamination.
- If groundwater contamination is encountered, an additional investigation workplan may be required to determine the extents of that contamination. Groundwater and/or surface water samples, if any, must be analyzed by a competent laboratory for volatile organic hydrocarbons (typically Method 8260 full list), total dissolved solids, pH, major anions and cations including chloride and sulfate, dissolved iron, and dissolved manganese. The investigation workplan must provide the groundwater sampling method(s) and sample handling protocols. To the fullest extent possible, aqueous analyses must be undertaken using nominal method detection limits. As with the soil analyses, copies of the actual laboratory results must be provided including chain of custody documentation.
- Accurately scaled and well-drafted site maps must be provided providing the location of borings, test pits, monitoring wells, potentially impacted areas, and significant surface features including roads and site infrastructure that might limit either the release characterization or remedial efforts. Field sketches may be included in subsequent reporting, but should not be considered stand-alone documentation of the site's layout. Digital photographic documentation of the location and fieldwork is recommended, especially if unusual circumstances are encountered.

Nothing herein should be interpreted to preclude emergency response actions or to imply immediate remediation by removal cannot proceed as warranted. Nonetheless, characterization of impacts and confirmation of the effectiveness of remedial efforts must still be provided to the OCD before any release incident will be closed.

Jim Griswold

OCD Environmental Bureau Chief 1220 South St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 505-476-3465 jim.griswold@state.nm.us