

District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico
Energy Minerals and Natural Resources
Department
Oil Conservation Division
1220 South St. Francis Dr.
Santa Fe, NM 87505

Form C-144
Revised April 3, 2017

For temporary pits, below-grade tanks, and multi-well fluid management pits, submit to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.
For permanent pits submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

Pit, Below-Grade Tank, or
Proposed Alternative Method Permit or Closure Plan Application

Type of action: ☐ Below grade tank registration
☐ Permit of a pit or proposed alternative method
☒ Closure of a pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method
☐ Modification to an existing permit/or registration
☐ Closure plan only submitted for an existing permitted or non-permitted pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method

Instructions: Please submit one application (Form C-144) per individual pit, below-grade tank or alternative request

Please be advised that approval of this request does not relieve the operator of liability should operations result in pollution of surface water, ground water or the environment. Nor does approval relieve the operator of its responsibility to comply with any other applicable governmental authority's rules, regulations or ordinances.

1. Operator: BP America Production Company OGRID #: 778
Address: 200 Energy Court, Farmington, NM 87401
Facility or well name: NEBU 006
API Number: 3003907770 OCD Permit Number: _____
U/L or Qtr/Qtr H Section 29 Township 30N Range 07W County: San Juan
Center of Proposed Design: Latitude 36.785914 Longitude -107.589373 NAD83
Surface Owner: ☒ Federal ☐ State ☐ Private ☐ Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment

OIL CONS. DIV DIST. 3
NOV 16 2017

2. ☐ Pit: Subsection F, G or J of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
Temporary: ☐ Drilling ☐ Workover
☐ Permanent ☐ Emergency ☐ Cavitation ☐ P&A ☐ Multi-Well Fluid Management Low Chloride Drilling Fluid ☐ yes ☐ no
☐ Lined ☐ Unlined Liner type: Thickness _____ mil ☐ LLDPE ☐ HDPE ☐ PVC ☐ Other _____
☐ String-Reinforced
Liner Seams: ☐ Welded ☐ Factory ☐ Other _____ Volume: _____ bbl Dimensions: L _____ x W _____ x D _____

3. ☒ Below-grade tank: Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC TANK A
Volume: 25 bbl Type of fluid: Produced Water
Tank Construction material: Steel
☐ Secondary containment with leak detection ☐ Visible sidewalls, liner, 6-inch lift and automatic overflow shut-off
☐ Visible sidewalls and liner ☐ Visible sidewalls only ☒ Other Double wall/ Double bottom; sidewalls not visible
Liner type: Thickness _____ mil ☐ HDPE ☐ PVC ☐ Other _____

4. ☐ Alternative Method:
Submittal of an exception request is required. Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

5. **Fencing:** Subsection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits, temporary pits, and below-grade tanks)
☐ Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top (Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution or church)
☐ Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet
☐ Alternate. Please specify _____

30

6.
***Netting:** Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (*Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks*)

- ☐ Screen ☐ Netting ☐ Other _____
☐ Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)

7.
Signs: Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC

- ☐ 12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers
☐ Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC

8.
Variances and Exceptions:

Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance.

Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank:

- ☐ Variance(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district for consideration of approval.
☐ Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.

9.
Siting Criteria (regarding permitting): 19.15.17.10 NMAC

Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of acceptable source material are provided below. Siting criteria does not apply to drying pads or above-grade tanks.

General siting

Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of a low chloride temporary pit or below-grade tank.

- ☐ NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; ☐ USGS; ☐ Data obtained from nearby wells

☐ Yes ☐ No
☐ NA

Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of a Temporary pit, permanent pit, or Multi-Well Fluid Management pit.

NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells

☐ Yes ☐ No
☐ NA

Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. (**Does not apply to below grade tanks**)

- Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. (**Does not apply to below grade tanks**)

- Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within an unstable area. (**Does not apply to below grade tanks**)

- Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within a 100-year floodplain. (**Does not apply to below grade tanks**)

- FEMA map

☐ Yes ☐ No

Below Grade Tanks

Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, significant watercourse, lake bed, sinkhole, wetland or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).

- Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for public or livestock consumption;

- NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

☐ Yes ☐ No

Temporary Pit using Low Chloride Drilling Fluid (maximum chloride content 15,000 mg/liter)

Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). (Applies to low chloride temporary pits.)

- Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within 300 feet from a occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.

- Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image

☐ Yes ☐ No

Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 300 feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of the initial application.

NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site

☐ Yes ☐ No

<p>Within 100 feet of a wetland.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<p><u>Temporary Pit Non-low chloride drilling fluid</u></p>	
<p>Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse, or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<p>Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image 	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<p>Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in the existence at the time of the initial application;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<p>Within 300 feet of a wetland.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<p><u>Permanent Pit or Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit</u></p>	
<p>Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<p>Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image 	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<p>Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<p>Within 500 feet of a wetland.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site 	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

10.

Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC

Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.

☐ Hydrogeologic Report (Below-grade Tanks) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC
☐ Hydrogeologic Data (Temporary and Emergency Pits) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC
☐ Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC
☐ Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
☐ Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC
☐ Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC

☐ Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: _____ or Permit Number: _____

11.

Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC

Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.

☐ Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
☐ Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC
☐ A List of wells with approved application for permit to drill associated with the pit.
☐ Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC
☐ Hydrogeologic Data - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC
☐ Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC

☐ Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: _____ or Permit Number: _____

12.

Permanent Pits Permit Application Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC

Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.

- ☐ Hydrogeologic Report - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC
☐ Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC
☐ Climatological Factors Assessment
☐ Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
☐ Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
☐ Leak Detection Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
☐ Liner Specifications and Compatibility Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
☐ Quality Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installation Plan
☐ Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC
☐ Freeboard and Overtopping Prevention Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
☐ Nuisance or Hazardous Odors, including H₂S, Prevention Plan
☐ Emergency Response Plan
☐ Oil Field Waste Stream Characterization
☐ Monitoring and Inspection Plan
☐ Erosion Control Plan
☐ Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC

13.

Proposed Closure: 19.15.17.13 NMAC

Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan.

- Type: ☐ Drilling ☐ Workover ☐ Emergency ☐ Cavitation ☐ P&A ☐ Permanent Pit ☐ Below-grade Tank ☐ Multi-well Fluid Management Pit
☐ Alternative
- Proposed Closure Method: ☐ Waste Excavation and Removal
☐ Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only)
☐ On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems)
☐ In-place Burial ☐ On-site Trench Burial
☐ Alternative Closure Method

14.

Waste Excavation and Removal Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) *Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.*

- ☐ Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
☐ Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
☐ Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings)
☐ Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
☐ Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
☐ Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC

15.

Siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only): 19.15.17.10 NMAC

Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendations of acceptable source material are provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria require justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency. Please refer to 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance.

- | | |
|---|---|
| Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of the buried waste.
- NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<input type="checkbox"/> NA |
| Ground water is between 25-50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste
- NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<input type="checkbox"/> NA |
| Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste.
- NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<input type="checkbox"/> NA |
| Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).
- Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.
- Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| Within 300 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application.
- NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| Within 300 feet of a wetland.
US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance | |

adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Within an unstable area. - Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Within a 100-year floodplain. - FEMA map	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

16.
On-Site Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) *Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.*

☐ Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC
☐ Proof of Surface Owner Notice - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
☐ Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection K of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
☐ Construction/Design Plan of Temporary Pit (for in-place burial of a drying pad) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC
☐ Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
☐ Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
☐ Waste Material Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
☐ Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings or in case on-site closure standards cannot be achieved)
☐ Soil Cover Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
☐ Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC
☐ Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC

17.
Operator Application Certification:
 I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.
 Name (Print): _____ Title: _____
 Signature: _____ Date: _____
 e-mail address: _____ Telephone: _____

18.
OCD Approval: ☐ Permit Application (including closure plan); ☒ Closure Plan (only) ☐ OCD Conditions (see attachment)
 OCD Representative Signature: _____ Approval Date: 11/27/2017
 Title: Environmental Specialist OCD Permit Number: _____

19.
Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion): 19.15.17.13 NMAC
Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved closure plan prior to implementing any closure activities and submitting the closure report. The closure report is required to be submitted to the division within 60 days of the completion of the closure activities. Please do not complete this section of the form until an approved closure plan has been obtained and the closure activities have been completed.
☒ Closure Completion Date: 9/18/2017

20.
Closure Method:
☒ Waste Excavation and Removal ☐ On-Site Closure Method ☐ Alternative Closure Method ☐ Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only)
☐ If different from approved plan, please explain.

21.
Closure Report Attachment Checklist: *Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure report. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached.*

☐ Proof of Closure Notice (surface owner and division)
☐ Proof of Deed Notice (required for on-site closure for private land only)
☐ Plot Plan (for on-site closures and temporary pits)
☒ Confirmation Sampling Analytical Results (if applicable)
☐ Waste Material Sampling Analytical Results (required for on-site closure)
☒ Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number
☒ Soil Backfilling and Cover Installation
☐ Re-vegetation Application Rates and Seeding Technique
☒ Site Reclamation (Photo Documentation)

On-site Closure Location: Latitude 36.785914 Longitude -107.589373 NAD: ☐ 1927 ☒ 1983

22.

Operator Closure Certification:

I hereby certify that the information and attachments submitted with this closure report is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I also certify that the closure complies with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.

Name (Print): Erin Garifalos Title: Field Environmental Coordinator

Signature:  Date: November 13, 2017

e-mail address: erin.garifalos@bp.com Telephone: (832) 609-7048

BP AMERICA PRODUCTION COMPANY
SAN JUAN BASIN, NORTHWEST NEW MEXICO

BELOW-GRADE TANK CLOSURE PLAN

NEBU 006

API No. 3003907770

Unit Letter H Section 29 T 30N R 07W

This plan will address the standard protocols and procedures for closure of below-grade tanks (BGTs) on BP America Production Company (BP) well sites. As stipulated in Paragraph A of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall close a BGT within the time periods provided in 19.15.17.13 NMAC, or by an earlier date that the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) requires because of imminent danger to fresh water, public health, safety or the environment. If deviations from this plan are necessary, any specific changes will be included on form C-144 and approved by the NMOCD. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC or is not included in Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC within five years after June 16, 2008, if not retrofitted with a BGT that complies with the BP NMOCD approved BGT design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC, if not previously retrofitted to comply with the BP NMOCD approved BGT Design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan, prior to any sale or change in operator pursuant to 19.15.9.9 NMAC. BP shall close the permitted BGT within 60 days of cessation of the BGTs operation or as required by the transitional provisions of Subsection B, D, or E of 19.15.17.17 NMAC.

General Closure Plan

1. BP shall notify the surface owner by certified mail that it plans to close a BGT. Evidence of mailing of the notice to the address of the surface owner shown in the county tax records demonstrates compliance with this requirement.

Notice is attached.

2. BP shall notify the division District III office verbally or by other means at least 72 hours, but not more than one (1) week, prior to any closure operation. The notice shall include the operator's name, and the location to be closed by unit letter, section, township and range. If the BGT closure is associated with a particular well, then the notice shall also include the well's name, number and API number.

Notice was provided and is attached.

3. BP shall remove liquids and sludge from the BGT prior to implementing a closure method and dispose of the liquids and sludge in a NMOCD's division-approved facility. The facilities to be used are:
 - a. BP Crouch Mesa Landfarm, Permit NM-02-003 (Solids)
 - b. JFJ Landfarm, Permit NM-01-010(B) (Solids and Sludge)
 - c. Basin Disposal, Permit NM-01-0005 (Liquids)
 - d. Envirotech Inc Soil Remediation Facility, Permit NM-01-0011 (Solids and Sludge)
 - e. BP Operated E.E. Elliott SWD #1, API 30-045-27799 (Liquids)

- f. BP Operated 13 GCU SWD #1, API 30-045-28601 (Liquids)
- g. BP Operated GCU 259 SWD, API 30-045-20006 (Liquids)
- h. BP Operated GCU 306 SWD, API 30-045-24286 (Liquids)
- i. BP Operated GCU 307 SWD, API 30-045-24248 (Liquids)
- j. BP Operated GCU 328 SWD, API 30-045-24735 (Liquids)
- k. BP Operated Pritchard SWD #1, API 30-045-28351 (Liquids)

All liquids and sludge in the BGT were removed and sent to one of the above NMOCD approved facilities for disposal.

4. BP shall remove the BGT and dispose of it in a NMOCD approved facility or recycle, reuse, or reclaim it in a manner that the NMOCD approves. If a liner is present and must be disposed of it will be cleaned by scraping any soils or other attached materials on the liner to a de minimus amount and disposed at a permitted solid waste facility, pursuant to Subparagraph (m) of Paragraph (1) of Subsection C of 19.15.35.8 NMAC. Documentation as to the final disposition of the removed BGT will be provided in the final closure report.

The BGT was transported for recycling.

5. BP shall remove any on-site equipment associated with a BGT unless the equipment is required for well production.

All equipment associated with the BGT has been removed.

6. BP shall test the soils beneath the BGT to determine whether a release has occurred. BP shall collect at a minimum: a five (5) point composite sample and individual grab samples from any area that is wet, discolored or showing other evidence of a release and analyze for BTEX, TPH and chlorides. The testing methods for those constituents are as follows;

Constituents	Testing Method 25 bbl BGT	Release Verification (mg/Kg)	Sample results
Benzene	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	10	<0.018
Total BTEX	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	50	<0.070
TPH	US EPA Method SW-846 418.1 or 8015 extended	100	<49
Chlorides	US EPA Method 300.0 or 4500B	620	<30

Notes: mg/Kg = milligram per kilogram, BTEX = benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes, TPH = total petroleum hydrocarbons. Other EPA methods that the division approves may be applied to all constituents listed. Chloride closure standards will be determined by which ever concentration level is greatest.

**Soil under the BGT was sampled for chloride, TPH and BTEX with all concentrations below the stated limits.
The field report and laboratory reports are attached.**

7. BP shall notify the division District III office of its results on form C-141.
C-141 is attached.

8. If it is determined that a release has occurred, then BP will comply with 19.15.30 NMAC and 19.15.29 NMAC, as appropriate.

An additional grab sample was collected the same day as confirmation sampling. The additional sampling results indicate a release has occurred and will be addressed under the spill and release guidelines. Attached is a laboratory report and C-141.

9. If the sampling demonstrates that a release has not occurred or that any release does not exceed the concentrations specified above, then BP shall backfill the excavation, with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material; construct a division-prescribed soil cover, re-contour and re-vegetate the location. The location will be reclaimed if it is not within the active process area

An additional grab sample was collected the same day as confirmation sampling. The additional sampling results indicate a release has occurred and will be addressed under the spill and release guidelines. Attached is a laboratory report and C-141.

10. BP shall reclaim the BGT location and all areas associated with the BGT including associated access roads to a safe and stable condition that blends with the surrounding undisturbed area. BP shall substantially restore the impacted surface area to the condition that existed prior to oil and gas operations by placement of the soil cover as provided in Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, re-contour the location and associated areas to a contour that approximates the original contour and blends with the surrounding topography and re-vegetate according to Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC.

The area has been backfilled and a 105 BBL shallow low profile above-grade tank set atop BGT location. The location will be reclaimed once the well is plugged and abandoned.

11. The soil cover for closures where the BGT has been removed or remediated to the NMOC's satisfaction shall consist of the background thickness of topsoil or one foot of suitable material to establish vegetation at the site, whichever is greater. The soil cover will be constructed to the site's existing grade and all practicable efforts will be made to prevent ponding of water and erosion of the cover material.

The area has been backfilled and a 105 BBL shallow low profile above-grade tank set atop BGT location. The location will be reclaimed once the well is plugged and abandoned.

12. BP shall seed the disturbed area the first growing season after closure of the BGT. Seeding will be accomplished by drilling on the contour whenever practical or by other division-approved methods. Vegetative cover will be, at a minimum, 70% of the native perennial vegetative cover (un-impacted by overgrazing, fire or other intrusion damaging to native vegetation), consisting of at least three native plant species, including at least one grass, but not including noxious weeds, and maintenance of that cover through two successive growing seasons. During the two growing seasons that prove viability, there shall be no artificial irrigation of the vegetation.

The area has been backfilled and a 105 BBL shallow low profile above-grade tank set atop BGT location. The location will be reclaimed once the well is plugged and abandoned.

13. BP shall seed, plant and re-seed pursuant to Paragraph (3) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, until the location successfully achieves the required vegetative cover.

The area has been backfilled and a 105 BBL shallow low profile above-grade tank set atop BGT location. The location will be reclaimed once the well is plugged and abandoned.

14. Pursuant to Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall notify the NMOCD when it has seeded or planted and when it successfully achieves re-vegetation.

The area has been backfilled and a 105 BBL shallow low profile above-grade tank set atop BGT location. The location will be reclaimed once the well is plugged and abandoned.

15. Within 60 days of closure completion, BP shall submit a closure report on NMOCD's form C-144, and will include the following:
 - a. proof of closure notification (surface owner and NMOCD)
 - b. sampling analytical reports; information required by 19.15.17 NMAC;
 - c. disposal facility name and permit number
 - d. details on back-filling, capping, covering, and where applicable re-vegetation application rates and seeding techniques and
 - e. site reclamation, photo documentation.

BP did not meet the 60 closure completion requirement due to an error in internal tracking. Closure report on C-144 form is included including photos of reclamation completion.

16. BP shall certify that all information in the report and attachments is accurate, truthful, and compliant with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.

Certification section of C-144 has been completed.

District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico
Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

Oil Conservation Division
1220 South St. Francis Dr.
Santa Fe, NM 87505

Form C-141
Revised April 3, 2017

Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in
accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

Release Notification and Corrective Action

OPERATOR

☒ Initial Report ☐ Final Report

Name of Company	BP America Production Company	Contact	Erin Garifalos
Address	200 Energy Court, Farmington, NM 87401	Telephone No.	(832) 609-7048
Facility Name	NEBU 006	Facility Type	Natural Gas Well

Surface Owner	Federal	Mineral Owner	Federal	API No.	3003907770
---------------	---------	---------------	---------	---------	------------

LOCATION OF RELEASE

Unit Letter	Section	Township	Range	Feet from the	North/South Line	Feet from the	East/West Line	County
H	29	30N	07W	1,790	North	1,160	East	San Juan

Latitude 36.785914 Longitude -107.589373 NAD83

NATURE OF RELEASE

Type of Release: none	Volume of Release: unknown	Volume Recovered: N/A
Source of Release: below grade tank - 25 bbl	Date and Hour of Occurrence: n/a	Date and Hour of Discovery: n/a
Was Immediate Notice Given? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Not Required	If YES, To Whom?	
By Whom?	Date and Hour	
Was a Watercourse Reached? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	If YES, Volume Impacting the Watercourse.	

If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.*

Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.*

Sampling of the soil beneath the BGT was done during removal. Soil analysis resulted for Chlorides, BTEX, and TPH below BGT closure standards. Field reports and laboratory results are attached.

Describe Area Affected and Cleanup Action Taken.*

No action necessary. Final laboratory analysis determined no remedial action is required.

I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to ground water, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.

Signature: <i>erin garifalos</i>	OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION	
Printed Name: Erin Garifalos	Approved by Environmental Specialist: <i>[Signature]</i>	
Title: Field Environmental Coordinator	Approval Date: 11/27/17	Expiration Date:
E-mail Address: erin.garifalos@bp.com	Conditions of Approval:	Attached <input type="checkbox"/>
Date: November 13, 2017	Phone: (832) 609-7048	

* Attach Additional Sheets If Necessary

NRF 1733134875



BP America Production Company
200 Energy Court
Farmington, NM 87401

September 11, 2017

Bureau of Land Management
Whitney Thomas
6251 College Suite A
Farmington, NM 87402

VIA EMAIL

Re: Notification of plans to close/remove a below grade tank
Well Name: NEBU 006
API #: 3003907770

Dear Mrs. Thomas,

As part of the NM "Pit Rule": 19.15.17.13 Closure Requirements, Paragraph J. BP America Production Company (BP) is required to notify the surface owner of BP's plans to close/remove a below grade tank. BP wishes to inform you of our plans to close/remove the below grade tank on its well pad located on your surface. BP plans to commence this work on or about September 14, 2017. If there aren't any unforeseen problems, the work should be completed within 10 working days.

As a point of clarification, BP will be closing the below grade tank and either operating without one or replacing it with an above ground tank, the well site will continue to operate.

If witnessing of the tank removal is required please contact me for a specific time (505)-326-9497.

Sincerely,

Steven Moskal

BP America Production Company

From: [Buckley, Farrah \(CH2M HILL\)](#)
To: [Smith, Cory, EMNRD](#); [Fields, Vanessa, EMNRD \(Vanessa.Fields@state.nm.us\)](#); [brandon.powell@state.nm.us](#)
Cc: [jeffcblagg@aol.com](#); [blagg_niv@yahoo.com](#); [Moskal, Steven](#); [Garifalos, Erin](#)
Subject: BP Pit Close Notification - NEBU 006
Date: Monday, September 11, 2017 2:49:14 PM

BP America Production Company
200 Energy Court
Farmington, NM 87401
Phone: (505) 326-9200

SENT VIA E-MAIL TO: CORY.SMITH@STATE.NM.US;
VANESSA.FIELDS@STATE.NM.US

September 11, 2017

New Mexico Oil Conservation Division
1000 Rio Brazos Road
Aztec, New Mexico 87410

RE: Notice of Proposed Below-Grade Tank (BGT) Closure

NEBU 006
API 30-039-07770
Section 29- T30N - R07W
San Juan County, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Cory Smith and Mrs. Vanessa Fields,

In regards to the captioned subject and requirements of the NMOCD pit rule, this letter is notification that BP is planning to close a 25bbl BGT that will no longer be operational at this well site. We anticipate this work to start on or around September 14, 2017 .

Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact BP at our Farmington office.

Sincerely,

Steven Moskal
BP Field Environmental Coordinator

(505) 326-9497

Farrah Buckley
BGT Project Support
970-946-9199 -cell

This email and any attachments are intended only for the addressee(s) listed above and may contain confidential, proprietary, and/or privileged information. If you are not an intended recipient, please immediately advise the sender by return email, delete this email and any attachments, and destroy any copies of same. Any unauthorized review, use, copying disclosure or distribution of this email and any attachments is prohibited.

CLIENT: BP	BLAGG ENGINEERING, INC. P.O. BOX 87, BLOOMFIELD, NM 87413 (505) 632-1199	API #: 3003907770 TANK ID (if applicable): A
FIELD REPORT: (circle one): <u>BGT CONFIRMATION</u> / RELEASE INVESTIGATION / OTHER:		PAGE #: 1 of 1
SITE INFORMATION: SITE NAME: NEBU #6 QUAD/UNIT: H SEC: 29 TWP: 30N RNG: 7W PM: NM CNTY: RA ST: NM 1/4 - 1/4 FOOTAGE: 1,790'N / 1,160'E SE/NE LEASE TYPE: <u>FEDERAL</u> / STATE / FEE / INDIAN LEASE #: SF079060 PROD. FORMATION: MV CONTRACTOR: BP - J. GONZALES		DATE STARTED: 09/14/17 DATE FINISHED: ENVIRONMENTAL SPECIALIST(S): NJV
REFERENCE POINT: WELL HEAD (W.H.) GPS COORD.: 36.786233 X 107.589270 GL ELEV.: 6,340' 1) 25 BGT (DW/DB) GPS COORD.: 36.785914 X 107.589373 DISTANCE/BEARING FROM W.H.: 116.5', S5W 2) GPS COORD.: DISTANCE/BEARING FROM W.H.: 3) GPS COORD.: DISTANCE/BEARING FROM W.H.: 4) GPS COORD.: DISTANCE/BEARING FROM W.H.:		
SAMPLING DATA: CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD(S) # OR LAB USED: HALL		OVM READING (ppm) 0.1
1) SAMPLE ID: 5PC - TB @ 4' (25) SAMPLE DATE: 09/14/17 SAMPLE TIME: 1125 LAB ANALYSIS: 8015B/8021B/300.0 (CI) 2) SAMPLE ID: SAMPLE DATE: SAMPLE TIME: LAB ANALYSIS: 3) SAMPLE ID: SAMPLE DATE: SAMPLE TIME: LAB ANALYSIS: 4) SAMPLE ID: SAMPLE DATE: SAMPLE TIME: LAB ANALYSIS: 5) SAMPLE ID: SAMPLE DATE: SAMPLE TIME: LAB ANALYSIS:		
SOIL DESCRIPTION: SOIL TYPE: SAND <u>SILTY SAND</u> SILT <u>SILTY CLAY</u> CLAY / GRAVEL <u>OTHER</u> BEDROCK (SANDSTONE) SOIL COLOR: DARK YELLOWISH BROWN PLASTICITY (CLAYS): NON PLASTIC <u>SLIGHTLY PLASTIC</u> <u>COHESIVE</u> MEDIUM PLASTIC / HIGHLY PLASTIC COHESION (ALL OTHERS): NON COHESIVE / SLIGHTLY COHESIVE / COHESIVE <u>HIGHLY COHESIVE</u> DENSITY (COHESIVE CLAYS & SILTS): SOFT <u>FIRM</u> STIFF / VERY STIFF / HARD CONSISTENCY (NON COHESIVE SOILS): LOOSE / FIRM / DENSE <u>VERY DENSE</u> HC ODOR DETECTED: <u>YES</u> / NO EXPLANATION - PHYSICALLY - VERY POTENT FROM DISCOLORED SOILS/BEDROCK ONLY. MOISTURE: DRY <u>SLIGHTLY MOIST</u> MOIST <u>WET</u> SATURATED / SUPER SATURATED ANY AREAS DISPLAYING WETNESS: <u>YES</u> / NO EXPLANATION - SOURCE UNDETERMINED SAMPLE TYPE: GRAB <u>COMPOSITE</u> # OF PTS. 5 DISCOLORATION/STAINING OBSERVED: <u>YES</u> / NO EXPLANATION - VARYING SHADES OF GRAY TO BLACK		
SITE OBSERVATIONS: LOST INTEGRITY OF EQUIPMENT: YES / NO EXPLANATION - UNKNOWN; NOT CONFIRMED APPARENT EVIDENCE OF A RELEASE OBSERVED AND/OR OCCURRED: <u>YES</u> / NO EXPLANATION: DISCOLORATION & STRONG PHYSICAL HYDROCARBON ODOR. EQUIPMENT SET OVER RECLAIMED AREA: <u>YES</u> / NO EXPLANATION - 105 BBL SHALLOW LOW PROFILE ABOVE-GRADE TANK TO BE SET ATOP BGT LOCATION. OTHER: NMOCOD OR BLM NOT PRESENT TO WITNESS CONFIRMATION SAMPLING. BGT WAS OBSERVED TILTED UPON ARRIVAL (LOWEST SIDE ON NORTHERN HALF). MOST OF EXCAVATION CONSIST OF BEDROCK - COMPETENT, WELL CEMENTED. EXCAVATION DIMENSION ESTIMATION: NA ft. X NA ft. X NA ft. EXCAVATION ESTIMATION (Cubic Yards): NA DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER: >100' NEAREST WATER SOURCE: >1,000' NEAREST SURFACE WATER: <1,000' NMOCOD TPH CLOSURE STD: 1,000 ppm		
SITE SKETCH <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div> BGT Located : off <u>on</u> site PLOT PLAN circle: <u>attached</u> </div> <div> OVM CALIB. READ. = 100.0 ppm OVM CALIB. GAS = 100 ppm TIME: 11:40 am/pm DATE: 09/14/17 </div> </div>		
<div> NOTES: BGT = BELOW-GRADE TANK; E.D. = EXCAVATION DEPRESSION; B.G. = BELOW GRADE; B = BELOW; T.H. = TEST HOLE; ~ = APPROX.; W.H. = WELL HEAD; T.B. = TANK BOTTOM; PBGTL = PREVIOUS BELOW-GRADE TANK LOCATION; SPD = SAMPLE POINT DESIGNATION; R.W. = RETAINING WALL; NA - NOT APPLICABLE OR NOT AVAILABLE; SW - SINGLE WALL; DW - DOUBLE WALL; SB - SINGLE BOTTOM; DB - DOUBLE BOTTOM. </div>		
MISCELL. NOTES WO: REF #: P-896 VID: VHIXONEV11 PJ #: Permit date(s): 09/12/08 OCD Appr. date(s): 10/20/09 Tank ID: A OVM = Organic Vapor Meter ppm = parts per million BGT Sidewalls Visible: Y / (N) BGT Sidewalls Visible: Y / N BGT Sidewalls Visible: Y / N Magnetic declination: 10° E		
NOTES: GOOGLE EARTH IMAGERY DATE: 10/5/2016. ONSITE: 09/14/17		

Analytical Report

Lab Order 1709818

Date Reported: 9/18/2017

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.**CLIENT:** Blagg Engineering**Client Sample ID:** 1 @ 3.5' (25) NW SIDEWALL**Project:** NEBU #6**Collection Date:** 9/14/2017 11:30:00 AM**Lab ID:** 1709818-001**Matrix:** SOIL**Received Date:** 9/15/2017 7:30:00 AM

Analyses	Result	PQL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS							Analyst: MRA
Chloride	ND	30		mg/Kg	20	9/15/2017 10:49:52 AM	33887
EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS							Analyst: TOM
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	200	9.9		mg/Kg	1	9/15/2017 11:05:32 AM	33883
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	49		mg/Kg	1	9/15/2017 11:05:32 AM	33883
Surr: DNOP	89.4	70-130		%Rec	1	9/15/2017 11:05:32 AM	33883
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANGE							Analyst: NSB
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	430	19		mg/Kg	5	9/15/2017 9:36:25 AM	33871
Surr: BFB	558	54-150	S	%Rec	5	9/15/2017 9:36:25 AM	33871
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES							Analyst: NSB
Benzene	ND	0.094		mg/Kg	5	9/15/2017 9:36:25 AM	33871
Toluene	ND	0.19		mg/Kg	5	9/15/2017 9:36:25 AM	33871
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.19		mg/Kg	5	9/15/2017 9:36:25 AM	33871
Xylenes, Total	8.7	0.38		mg/Kg	5	9/15/2017 9:36:25 AM	33871
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	126	66.6-132		%Rec	5	9/15/2017 9:36:25 AM	33871

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:	* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
	D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix	E Value above quantitation range
	H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded	J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
	ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit	P Sample pH Not In Range
	PQL Practical Quantitative Limit	RL Reporting Detection Limit
	S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix	W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

If necessary, samples submitted to Hall Environmental may be subcontracted to other accredited laboratories. This serves as notice of this possibility. Any sub-contracted data will be clearly notated on the analytical report.

Remarks: BILL DIRECTLY TO BP USING THE CONTACT WITH CORRESPONDING VID
& REFERENCE # WHEN APPLICABLE:
CONTACT: ERIN GARIFALOS / VANCE NIXON
VID: VHIXONEVRM
Reference # P - 896

QC SUMMARY REPORT

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1709818

18-Sep-17

Client: Blagg Engineering

Project: NEBU #6

Sample ID	MB-33887	SampType:	mblk	TestCode:	EPA Method 300.0: Anions					
Client ID:	PBS	Batch ID:	33887	RunNo:	45653					
Prep Date:	9/15/2017	Analysis Date:	9/15/2017	SeqNo:	1450188	Units:	mg/Kg			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride	ND	1.5								

Sample ID	LCS-33887	SampType:	lcs	TestCode:	EPA Method 300.0: Anions					
Client ID:	LCSS	Batch ID:	33887	RunNo:	45653					
Prep Date:	9/15/2017	Analysis Date:	9/15/2017	SeqNo:	1450189	Units:	mg/Kg			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride	14	1.5	15.00	0	93.5	90	110			

Qualifiers:

* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
PQL Practical Quantitative Limit
S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
E Value above quantitation range
J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
P Sample pH Not In Range
RL Reporting Detection Limit
W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

QC SUMMARY REPORT

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1709818

18-Sep-17

Client: Blagg Engineering

Project: NEBU #6

Sample ID	LCS-33883		SampType:	LCS		TestCode:	EPA Method 8015M/D: Diesel Range Organics			
Client ID:	LCSS		Batch ID:	33883		RunNo:	45642			
Prep Date:	9/15/2017		Analysis Date:	9/15/2017		SeqNo:	1448860		Units: mg/Kg	
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	45	10	50.00	0	90.7	73.2	114			
Sum: DNOP	3.8		5.000		75.5	70	130			

Sample ID	MB-33883		SampType:	MBLK		TestCode:	EPA Method 8015M/D: Diesel Range Organics			
Client ID:	PBS		Batch ID:	33883		RunNo:	45642			
Prep Date:	9/15/2017		Analysis Date:	9/15/2017		SeqNo:	1448861		Units: mg/Kg	
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	10								
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	50								
Sum: DNOP	7.6		10.00		76.2	70	130			

Qualifiers:

* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
PQL Practical Quantitative Limit
S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
E Value above quantitation range
J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
P Sample pH Not In Range
RL Reporting Detection Limit
W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

QC SUMMARY REPORT

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1709818

18-Sep-17

Client: Blagg Engineering

Project: NEBU #6

Sample ID	MB-33871	SampType	MBLK	TestCode	EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range					
Client ID	PBS	Batch ID	33871	RunNo	45651					
Prep Date	9/14/2017	Analysis Date	9/15/2017	SeqNo	1449668	Units	mg/Kg			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	5.0								
Surr: BFB	1100		1000		105	54	150			

Sample ID	LCS-33871	SampType	LCS	TestCode	EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range					
Client ID	LCSS	Batch ID	33871	RunNo	45651					
Prep Date	9/14/2017	Analysis Date	9/15/2017	SeqNo	1449669	Units	mg/Kg			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	28	5.0	25.00	0	114	76.4	125			
Surr: BFB	1100		1000		114	54	150			

Qualifiers:

* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
PQL Practical Quantitative Limit
S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
E Value above quantitation range
J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
P Sample pH Not In Range
RL Reporting Detection Limit
W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

QC SUMMARY REPORT

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1709818

18-Sep-17

Client: Blagg Engineering

Project: NEBU #6

Sample ID	MB-33871	SampType:	MBLK	TestCode:	EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles					
Client ID:	PBS	Batch ID:	33871	RunNo:	45651					
Prep Date:	9/14/2017	Analysis Date:	9/15/2017	SeqNo:	1449704	Units:	mg/Kg			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	ND	0.025								
Toluene	ND	0.050								
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.050								
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.10								
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.2		1.000		117	66.6	132			

Sample ID	LCS-33871	SampType:	LCS	TestCode:	EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles					
Client ID:	LCSS	Batch ID:	33871	RunNo:	45651					
Prep Date:	9/14/2017	Analysis Date:	9/15/2017	SeqNo:	1449705	Units:	mg/Kg			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	1.1	0.025	1.000	0	114	80	120			
Toluene	1.1	0.050	1.000	0	111	80	120			
Ethylbenzene	1.1	0.050	1.000	0	114	80	120			
Xylenes, Total	3.5	0.10	3.000	0	116	80	120			
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.2		1.000		118	66.6	132			

Qualifiers:

- | | |
|---|---|
| * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. | B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank |
| D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix | E Value above quantitation range |
| H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded | J Analyte detected below quantitation limits |
| ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit | P Sample pH Not In Range |
| PQL Practical Quantitative Limit | RL Reporting Detection Limit |
| S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix | W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified |



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory
4901 Hawkins NE
Albuquerque, NM 87109
TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107
Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

Sample Log-In Check List

Client Name: BLAGG

Work Order Number: 1709818

RcptNo: 1

Received By: Anne Thorne

8/15/2017 7:30:00 AM

Anne Thorne

Completed By: Anne Thorne

8/15/2017 7:52:28 AM

Anne Thorne

Reviewed By: ENH

9/15/17

Chain of Custody

1. Custody seals intact on sample bottles? Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Present ☒
2. Is Chain of Custody complete? Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Present ☐
3. How was the sample delivered? Courier

Log In

4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes ☒ No ☐ NA ☐
5. Were all samples received at a temperature of $>0^{\circ}\text{C}$ to 8.0°C ? Yes ☒ No ☐ NA ☐
6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes ☒ No ☐
7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes ☒ No ☐
8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes ☒ No ☐
9. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes ☐ No ☒ NA ☐
10. VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes ☐ No ☐ No VOA Vials ☒
11. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes ☐ No ☒
12. Does paperwork match bottle labels?
(Note discrepancies on chain of custody) Yes ☒ No ☐
13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes ☒ No ☐
14. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes ☒ No ☐
15. Were all holding times able to be met?
(If no, notify customer for authorization.) Yes ☒ No ☐

of preserved
bottles checked
for pH: _____
(<2 or >12 unless noted)
Adjusted? _____
Checked by: _____

Special Handling (if applicable)

16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes ☐ No ☐ NA ☒

Person Notified:	_____	Date:	_____
By Whom:	_____	Via:	<input type="checkbox"/> eMail <input type="checkbox"/> Phone <input type="checkbox"/> Fax <input type="checkbox"/> In Person
Regarding:	_____		
Client Instructions:	_____		

17. Additional remarks:

18. Cooler Information

Cooler No	Temp $^{\circ}\text{C}$	Condition	Seal Intact	Seal No	Seal Date	Signed By
1	1.4	Good	Yes			

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Analytical Report

Lab Order 1709820

Date Reported: 9/18/2017

CLIENT: Blagg Engineering

Client Sample ID: 5PC-TB @ 4' (25)

Project: NEBU #6

Collection Date: 9/14/2017 11:25:00 AM

Lab ID: 1709820-001

Matrix: SOIL

Received Date: 9/15/2017 7:30:00 AM

Analyses	Result	PQL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS							Analyst: MRA
Chloride	ND	30		mg/Kg	20	9/15/2017 11:39:31 AM	33887
EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS							Analyst: TOM
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	9.8		mg/Kg	1	9/15/2017 11:54:56 AM	33883
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	49		mg/Kg	1	9/15/2017 11:54:56 AM	33883
Surr: DNOP	80.9	70-130		%Rec	1	9/15/2017 11:54:56 AM	33883
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RANGE							Analyst: NSB
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	3.5		mg/Kg	1	9/15/2017 8:39:50 PM	33871
Surr: BFB	103	54-150		%Rec	1	9/15/2017 8:39:50 PM	33871
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES							Analyst: NSB
Benzene	ND	0.018		mg/Kg	1	9/15/2017 8:39:50 PM	33871
Toluene	ND	0.035		mg/Kg	1	9/15/2017 8:39:50 PM	33871
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.035		mg/Kg	1	9/15/2017 8:39:50 PM	33871
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.070		mg/Kg	1	9/15/2017 8:39:50 PM	33871
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	112	66.6-132		%Rec	1	9/15/2017 8:39:50 PM	33871

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	B	Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
	D	Sample Diluted Due to Matrix	E	Value above quantitation range
	H	Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded	J	Analyte detected below quantitation limits
	ND	Not Detected at the Reporting Limit	P	Sample pH Not In Range
	PQL	Practical Quantitative Limit	RL	Reporting Detection Limit
	S	% Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix	W	Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

Chain-of-Custody Record		Turn-Around Time:	SAME DAY
Client:	BLAGG ENGR. / BP AMERICA	<input type="checkbox"/> Standard	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rush
Mailing Address:	P.O. BOX 87	Project Name:	
	BLOOMFIELD, NM 87413	NEBU # 6	
Phone #:	(505) 632-1199	Project #:	
email or Fax#:		Project Manager:	
QA/QC Package:		NELSON VELEZ	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Standard	<input type="checkbox"/> Level 4 (Full Validation)		
Accreditation:		Sampler:	NELSON VELEZ
<input type="checkbox"/> NELAP	<input type="checkbox"/> Other	On/Off:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<input type="checkbox"/> EDD (Type)		Sample Temperature:	

www.hallenvironmental.com

4901 Hawkins NE - Albuquerque, NM 87109

Tel. 505-345-3975 Fax 505-345-4107

Analysis Request

[illegible]

Date: 9/14/17	Time: 1652	Relinquished by: <i>[Signature]</i>	Received by: <i>[Signature]</i>	Date 9/14/17	Time 1652	Remarks: <u>BILL DIRECTLY TO BP USING THE CONTACT WITH CORRESPONDING VID</u> <u>& REFERENCE # WHEN APPLICABLE:</u> CONTACT: ERIN GARIFALOS / VANCE HIXON VID: VHIXONEV11 Reference # <u>P - 896</u>
Date: 9/14/17	Time: 1903	Relinquished by: <i>[Signature]</i>	Received by: <i>[Signature]</i>	Date 09/15/17	Time 0730	

If necessary, samples submitted to Hall Environmental may be subcontracted to other accredited laboratories. This serves as notice of this possibility. Any sub-contracted data will be clearly notated on the analytical report.

QC SUMMARY REPORT

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1709820

18-Sep-17

Client: Blagg Engineering

Project: NEBU #6

Sample ID	MB-33887	SampType:	mblk	TestCode:	EPA Method 300.0: Anions					
Client ID:	PBS	Batch ID:	33887	RunNo:	45653					
Prep Date:	9/15/2017	Analysis Date:	9/15/2017	SeqNo:	1450188	Units:	mg/Kg			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride	ND	1.5								

Sample ID	LCS-33887	SampType:	lcs	TestCode:	EPA Method 300.0: Anions					
Client ID:	LCSS	Batch ID:	33887	RunNo:	45653					
Prep Date:	9/15/2017	Analysis Date:	9/15/2017	SeqNo:	1450189	Units:	mg/Kg			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride	14	1.5	15.00	0	93.5	90	110			

Qualifiers:

* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
PQL Practical Quantitative Limit
S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
E Value above quantitation range
J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
P Sample pH Not In Range
RL Reporting Detection Limit
W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

QC SUMMARY REPORT

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1709820

18-Sep-17

Client: Blagg Engineering

Project: NEBU #6

Sample ID	LCS-33883		SampType:	LCS		TestCode:	EPA Method 8015M/D: Diesel Range Organics				
Client ID:	LCSS		Batch ID:	33883		RunNo:	45642				
Prep Date:	9/15/2017		Analysis Date:	9/15/2017		SeqNo:	1448860		Units: mg/Kg		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual	
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	45	10	50.00	0	90.7	73.2	114				
Surr: DNOP	3.8		5.000		75.5	70	130				

Sample ID	MB-33883		SampType:	MBLK		TestCode:	EPA Method 8015M/D: Diesel Range Organics				
Client ID:	PBS		Batch ID:	33883		RunNo:	45642				
Prep Date:	9/15/2017		Analysis Date:	9/15/2017		SeqNo:	1448861		Units: mg/Kg		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual	
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	10									
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	50									
Surr: DNOP	7.6		10.00		76.2	70	130				

Qualifiers:

* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix	E Value above quantitation range
H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded	J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit	P Sample pH Not In Range
PQL Practical Quantitative Limit	RL Reporting Detection Limit
S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix	W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

QC SUMMARY REPORT

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1709820

18-Sep-17

Client: Blagg Engineering

Project: NEBU #6

Sample ID	MB-33871	SampType:	MBLK	TestCode:	EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range					
Client ID:	PBS	Batch ID:	33871	RunNo:	45651					
Prep Date:	9/14/2017	Analysis Date:	9/15/2017	SeqNo:	1449668	Units:	mg/Kg			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	5.0								
Surr: BFB	1100		1000		105	54	150			

Sample ID	LCS-33871	SampType:	LCS	TestCode:	EPA Method 8015D: Gasoline Range					
Client ID:	LCSS	Batch ID:	33871	RunNo:	45651					
Prep Date:	9/14/2017	Analysis Date:	9/15/2017	SeqNo:	1449669	Units:	mg/Kg			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	28	5.0	25.00	0	114	76.4	125			
Surr: BFB	1100		1000		114	54	150			

Qualifiers:

* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
PQL Practical Quantitative Limit
S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
E Value above quantitation range
J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
P Sample pH Not In Range
RL Reporting Detection Limit
W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

QC SUMMARY REPORT

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: 1709820

18-Sep-17

Client: Blagg Engineering

Project: NEBU #6

Sample ID	MB-33871		SampType:	MBLK		TestCode:	EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles			
Client ID:	PBS		Batch ID:	33871		RunNo:	45651			
Prep Date:	9/14/2017		Analysis Date:	9/15/2017		SeqNo:	1449704		Units: mg/Kg	
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	ND	0.025								
Toluene	ND	0.050								
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.050								
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.10								
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.2		1.000		117	66.6	132			

Sample ID	LCS-33871		SampType:	LCS		TestCode:	EPA Method 8021B: Volatiles			
Client ID:	LCSS		Batch ID:	33871		RunNo:	45651			
Prep Date:	9/14/2017		Analysis Date:	9/15/2017		SeqNo:	1449705		Units: mg/Kg	
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	1.1	0.025	1.000	0	114	80	120			
Toluene	1.1	0.050	1.000	0	111	80	120			
Ethylbenzene	1.1	0.050	1.000	0	114	80	120			
Xylenes, Total	3.5	0.10	3.000	0	116	80	120			
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	1.2		1.000		118	66.6	132			

Qualifiers:

* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
PQL Practical Quantitative Limit
S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
E Value above quantitation range
J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
P Sample pH Not In Range
RL Reporting Detection Limit
W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory
4901 Hawkins NE
Albuquerque, NM 87109
TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107
Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

Sample Log-In Check List

Client Name: BLAGG

Work Order Number: 1709820

RcptNo: 1

Received By: Anne Thorne

9/15/2017 7:30:00 AM

Anne Thorne

Completed By: Anne Thorne

9/15/2017 8:08:46 AM

Anne Thorne

Reviewed By: ENM

9/15/17

Chain of Custody

1. Custody seals intact on sample bottles? Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Present ☒
2. Is Chain of Custody complete? Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Present ☐
3. How was the sample delivered? Courier

Log In

4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes ☒ No ☐ NA ☐
5. Were all samples received at a temperature of $>0^{\circ}\text{C}$ to 6.0°C ? Yes ☒ No ☐ NA ☐
6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes ☒ No ☐
7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes ☒ No ☐
8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes ☒ No ☐
9. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes ☐ No ☒ NA ☐
10. VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes ☐ No ☐ No VOA Vials ☒
11. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes ☐ No ☒
12. Does paperwork match bottle labels?
(Note discrepancies on chain of custody) Yes ☒ No ☐
13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes ☒ No ☐
14. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes ☒ No ☐
15. Were all holding times able to be met?
(If no, notify customer for authorization.) Yes ☒ No ☐

of preserved
bottles checked
for pH: _____
(<2 or >12 unless noted)
Adjusted? _____
Checked by: _____

Special Handling (if applicable)

16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes ☐ No ☐ NA ☒

Person Notified:	_____	Date	_____
By Whom:	_____	Via:	<input type="checkbox"/> eMail <input type="checkbox"/> Phone <input type="checkbox"/> Fax <input type="checkbox"/> In Person
Regarding:	_____		
Client Instructions:	_____		

17. Additional remarks:

18. Cooler Information

Cooler No	Temp $^{\circ}\text{C}$	Condition	Seal Intact	Seal No	Seal Date	Signed By
1	1.4	Good	Yes			

