State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

Form C-141 Revised April 3, 2017

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505 Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

			Rele	ease Notific	ation	and Co	orrective A	ctio	1			
						OPERA	FOR		🗌 Initia	al Report		Final Report
Name of Co	mpany BF	America	Product	tion Company	y (Contact Erij	n Garifalos	7048				
Facility Nar	neGCU 1	191	mingto]	Facility Typ	e: Natural Ga	as We	ell			
Surface Ow	ner: Fede	eral		Mineral C)wner:	Federal			API No	.300451	1590	
				LOCA	TION	OF REI	LEASE					
Unit Letter	Section	Township	Range	Feet from the	North/	South Line	Feet from the	East/	West Line	County	'on	luon
Р	32	28N	12W	840	Sou	ith	840	Eas	st	3	an	Juan
			Latitud	e 36.61321	Lo	ongitude1	08.12915	NAD	83			
				NAT	URE	OF REL	EASE					
Type of Rele	ase:: none					Volume of Date and H	Release: : unkno	own	Volume H	Recovered: : Hour of Dis	N/A	
Source of Re	belo	w grade tai	nk - 95	bbl		n/a			n/a	fiour of Dis	covery.	
Was Immedia	ate Notice C	Given?	Yes 🗸	No 🗌 Not Re	equired	If YES, To	Whom?					
By Whom?						Date and H	lour					
Was a Water	course Reac	hed?	Yes 🗸	No		If YES, Vo	lume Impacting t	the Wat	ercourse.			
If a Watercou	rse was Im	pacted, Descri	be Fully.*	1						NMOCI)	
											0040	
										IAT US	2018	
Describe Cau	se of Proble	em and Remed	lial Action	n Taken.* Sampli for Chl this loc action	ing of th orides, ation is is neces	e soil benea BTEX, and 100 mg/kg ssary. Field	ath the BGT wa TPH above BG TPH and labs v reports and lab	s done T closu vere 80 oratory	during ren re standar mg/kg TP results are	noval. Soil ds. The clo PH. Therefo e attached.	analysi sure st re no fi	s resulted andard for urther
Describe Are	a Affected a	and Cleanup P		Final lab	orato	ry analys	is attached,	no fu	irther ac	tion nec	essar	y.
									,			
I hereby certi regulations al public health should their c or the environ federal, state,	fy that the is l operators is or the envir perations have ment. In a or local law	nformation gi are required to conment. The ave failed to a ddition, NMO vs and/or regu	ven above report an acceptanc dequately CD accep lations.	is true and comp d/or file certain r e of a C-141 repo investigate and r tance of a C-141	lete to the elease no ort by the emediate report do	e best of my otifications an NMOCD m contamination of the contamination	knowledge and u nd perform correc arked as "Final R on that pose a thr e thé operator of	endersta etive act eport" o eat to g respons	nd that purs ions for rela loes not reli round water ibility for c	suant to NM0 eases which ieve the oper r, surface wa ompliance w	OCD ru may eno rator of ter, hun vith any	les and danger liability nan health other
	Tima	ATTER D.	1				OIL CON	SERV	ATION	DIVISIC	× /	\bigcirc
Signature:	un g	uligato	4							(/	- 7
Printed Name	Erin G	arifalos			1	Approved by	Environmental S	pecialis	t: (m	my X	-	~
Title: Field	Enviro	onmenta	l Cool	rdinator	1	Approval Dat	e: 5/10/1	"	Expiration	Date:		
E-mail Addre	ss: erin.	garifalos	@bp.	com	(Conditions of	Approval:		U	Attached		
Date: May 3	3, 2018		Phone:	(832) 609-70)48					Attached		-
Attach Addit	ional Shee	ts If Necessa	ary 7	taxes 181	30	33980						

00	BLAGG	ENGINEERING IN	IC.	200454	1500
CLIENT: BP	P.O. BOX 87,	BLOOMFIELD, NN	1 87413		1590
	(505) 632-1199		(if applicble):	4
FIELD REPORT:	(circle one): BGT CONFIRMATIC	N / RELEASE INVESTIGATION / C)THER:	PAGE #: 1	of 1
SITE INFORMATION	SITE NAME: GCU	# 191		DATE STARTED: 03/	08/18
QUAD/UNIT: P SEC: 32 TWP:	28N RNG: 12W F	PM: NM CNTY: SJ	ST: NM	DATE FINISHED:	
<u>1/4 -1/4/FOOTAGE:</u> 840'S / 840'S LEASE #: SF079346	E SE/SE LEAS	SE TYPE: FEDERAL STATE / STRIKE CONTRACTOR: BP - J. GC	FEE / INDIAN	ENVIRONMENTAL SPECIALIST(S):	VLI
REFERENCE POINT	: WELL HEAD (W.H.) (GPS COORD.: 36.6137	0 X 108.12877	GL ELEV.:	5.676'
1) 95 BGT (DW/DB) - A	GPS COORD.:	36.61321 X 108.12915	DISTANCE/BEA	RING FROM W.H.: 209', S	33.5W
2)	GPS COORD.:		DISTANCE/BEA	RING FROM W.H.:	
3)	GPS COORD .:		DISTANCE/BEA	RING FROM W.H.:	
4)	GPS COORD.:		DISTANCE/BEA	RING FROM W.H.:	
SAMPLING DATA:	CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD(S)	# OR LAB USED: HALL			OVM READING (ppm)
1) SAMPLE ID: 5PC - TB@ 5' (9	5) - A SAMPLE DATE: 03	/08/18 SAMPLE TIME:0930	LAB ANALYSIS: 80'	15B/8021B/300.0 (CI)	2,500
2) SAMPLE ID: 3) SAMPLE ID:	SAMPLE DATE:				
4) SAMPLE ID:	SAMPLE DATE:	SAMPLE TIME:	LAB ANALYSIS:		
5) SAMPLE ID:	SAMPLE DATE:	SAMPLE TIME:	LAB ANALYSIS:		
SOIL DESCRIPTION	SOIL TYPE: SAND SILTY SAN	D SILT / SILTY CLAY / CLAY / GRAVE	EL/OTHER		
SOIL COLOR: MOSTLY DARK	YELLOWISH ORANGE	PLASTICITY (CLAYS): NON PLASTIC	C / SLIGHTLY PLASTIC / C	OHESIVE / MEDIUM PLASTIC / HIG	HLY PLASTIC
COHESION (ALL OTHERS): NON COHESIVE / SLIGHTLY	COHESIVE / COHESIVE / HIGHLY COHES	VE DENSITY (COHESIVE CLAYS &	SILTS): SOFT / FIRM /	STIFF / VERY STIFF / HARD	
CONSISTENCY (NON COHESIVE SOILS): LC MOISTURE: DRY/SLIGHTLY MOIST/ MOIST/W	IOSE (FIRM) DENSE / VERY DENS ET / SATURATED / SUPER SATURATE		EXPLANATION - APP	PARENT VERY STRONG B	ENEATH
SAMPLE TYPE: GRAB COMPOSITE +	OF PTS	ANY AREAS DISPLAYING WETNES	SS: YES NO EXPLAN	NATION -	
DISCOLORATION/STAINING OBSERVED: YES N	O EXPLANATION - BROWNISH E	LACK AT OUTER PERIMETER	OF BGT FOOTPRIN	Т.	
SITE OBSERVATION	S: LOST INTEGRITY OF EQUIPM	ENT: YES NO EXPLANATION -			
APPARENT EVIDENCE OF A RELEASE OBSERVE	DAND/OR OCCURRED : YES NO E	XPLANATION: APPARENT HYDRC	CARBON ODOR, P	POSSIBLY BGT OVERFLO	N(S)?
OTHER: NMOCD OR BLM REPS. NOT PR	RESENT TO WITNESS CONFIR	MATION SAMPLING.			
			EVON ATION FOR		NA
DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER <50' N			<1.000' NMOC	CD TPH CLOSURE STD: 1	00 00000
SITE SKETCH	BGT Located : off On	site PLOT PLAN circ	le: attached Own		ppm
	DOT LOCALED . OIL / OIL	FLOT FLAN CIT		ICALIB. READ. = 100.0 p	RF = 1.00
	1	то		$\frac{100}{100}$	03/08/18
		<i>N</i> .H.			TEC
	FENCE ->			WISCELL NO	IE2
		- BERM	<u>×</u>	VO:	
					2
	SEPARATOR E				<u> </u>
(95)-A	FENCE		P	ermit date(s): 06/1	4/10
PBGTL_			0	CD Appr. date(s): 03/0	7/17
B.G.	X		Tar	nk OVM = Organic Vapor M ppm = parts per million	eter
	BERM		A	BGT Sidewalls Visible: Y	N
		X	(- S.P.D.	BGT Sidewalls Visible: Y	N
NOTES: BGT = BELOWAGRADE TANK; E.D. = EXCAVATIO	IN DEPRESSION; B.G. = BELOW GRADE; B	= BELOW, T.H. = TEST HOLE; ~ = APPROX.; \	W.H. = WELL HEAD;	BGT Sidewalls Visible: Y	N
T.B. = TANK BOTTOM; PBGTL = PREVIOUS BEL APPLICABLE OR NOT AVAILABLE; SW - SINGLE	JWAGKADE TANK LOCATION; SPD = SAMP <u> : WALL; DW - DOUBLE WALL; SB - SINGLE</u>	LE PUINT DESIGNATION; R.W. = RETAINING BOTTOM; DB - DOUBLE BOTTOM.	WALL; NA - NOT	lagnetic declination: 1	0 [~] E
NOTES: GOOGLE EARTH IMAGE	ERY DATE: 3/15/2015.	ONSITE: 03/08/*	18		
revised: 11/26/13				BEI1	ODEE & EVE

					Analytical Report	
Hall Environmental Anal	ysis Labora	tory, In	с.		Lab Order 1803520 Date Reported: 3/12/20	18
CLIENT: Blagg Engineering			Client Sampl	e ID: 5P	C-TB @ 5' (95)-A	
Project: GCU 191			Collection I	Date: 3/8	3/2018 9:30:00 AM	
Lab ID: 1803520-001	Matrix:	SOIL	Received I	Date: 3/9	0/2018 7:35:00 AM	
Analyses	Result	PQL	Qual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analyst	: CJS
Chloride	47	30	mg/Kg	20	3/9/2018 12:37:42 PM	36930
EPA METHOD 8015D MOD: GASOL	INE RANGE				Analyst	AG
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	62	19	mg/Kg	5	3/9/2018 12:34:10 PM	G496
Surr: BFB	131	70-130	S %Rec	5	3/9/2018 12:34:10 PM	G496
EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RA	ANGE ORGANICS	i			Analyst	том
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	18	9.4	mg/Kg	1	3/9/2018 10:00:59 AM	36928
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	47	mg/Kg	1	3/9/2018 10:00:59 AM	36928
Surr: DNOP	90.7	70-130	%Rec	1	3/9/2018 10:00:59 AM	36928
EPA METHOD 8260B: VOLATILES	SHORT LIST				Analyst	AG
Benzene	ND	0.093	mg/Kg	5	3/9/2018 12:34:10 PM	R496
Toluene	ND	0.19	mg/Kg	5	3/9/2018 12:34:10 PM	R496
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.19	mg/Kg	5	3/9/2018 12:34:10 PM	R496
Xylenes, Total	0.45	0.37	mg/Kg	5	3/9/2018 12:34:10 PM	R496
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	123	70-130	%Rec	5	3/9/2018 12:34:10 PM	R496
Surr: Toluene-d8	93.8	70-130	%Rec	5	3/9/2018 12:34:10 PM	R496

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	В	Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
	D	Sample Diluted Due to Matrix	E	Value above quantitation range
	Н	Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded	J	Analyte detected below quantitation limits Page 1 of 6
	ND	Not Detected at the Reporting Limit	Р	Sample pH Not In Range
	PQL	Practical Quanitative Limit	RL	Reporting Detection Limit
	S	% Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix	w	Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

C	hain-c	of-Cus	stody Record	Turn-Around T	lime:	SAME					н	AI		=N	VT	RC		MF	INT			
Client:	BLAG	G ENGR.	/ BP AMERICA	Standard	Rush_	DAY					A	NA	LY	'SI	S		BO	R/	AT	OR	Y	
				Project Name:						inser!	V	www.	halle	envir	onm	enta	I.con	n		N		
Mailing A	ddress:	P.O. BO	X 87		GCU # 19)1			490)1 H	awki	ns NE	- A	Ibud	uerq	ue,		3710	9			
		BLOOM	FIELD, NM 87413	Project #:					Tel	. 50	5-34	5-397	75	Fax	505	5-345	5-410	07				
Phone #:		(505) 63	2-1199										An	alysi	s Re	que	st					
email or F	ax#:			Project Manag	jer:										7			1)				
QA/QC Pac	ckage: ard		Level 4 (Full Validation)		ERIN GARI	FALOS		021B)	(Ajuo	MRO)		1		05.00	PCB's			er - 300			e	
Accreditat	ion:			Sampler:	NELSON VI	ELEZ		-R	(Gas	RO	न	1		ð	3082			/ wat			du	
)	Other		On lice:	X Yes	III.No:	27		HdT	0/0	418.	504			s / s		(A)	0.00			ie sa	L N
	Гуре)	1	·	Sample Temp	erature:				+ 38	GR	por	pol			icide	R I	-in	oil-3		e	osit	No
Date	Time	Matrix	Sample Request ID	Container Type and # Me of Ket	Preservative Type	HEALNO Bo3524		BTEX +MH	BTEX + MTI	TPH 8015B	TPH (Met)	EDB (Met)	TTCO) UNT	Anions (F	8081 Pest	8260B (VC	8270 (Sen	Chloride (so		Grab samp	5 pt. comp	Air Bubbles
3/8/18	0930	SOIL	5PC-ТВ@ 5' (95)-А	4 oz 1	Cool	-2	-OI	V		V								V			V	
3/8/18	0858	Soil	5PC-TB C 6' (ZI)-B	402-1	Cool	-20	02	\checkmark		\checkmark								17			$\overline{}$	
														Τ								
														T								
																					1	_
-																				1		
													+	\top		1					1	-
													+							1	1	
												-	1	-	1						1	-
													+									
Date:	Time:	Relinquishe	ed by:	Received by:	1	Date Time		Rem	arks:		BILL DI	RECTL	TOB	PUSI	IG THE	CON	TACT V	NITH C	ORRE	SPON	DING	VID
3/8/18	1704	1	In J	1chth	beta	2/8/18 176	64	c	ONTA	CT:	ERIN	GARI	FALO	S/V	ANCE	HIX	ON					
Date:	Time:	Relinquishe	ed by:	Received by	() AR/	Date Time	5		V	/ID:	VHIX	ONEV	B2									
3/8/18	1827	In	rist Walter	Ulan	1 i	une		Ref	erenc	e#		P - 94	1	101			1 - 1 -	the	a hatta			

QE SUMMARY REPORT

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Client:Blagg EngineeringProject:GCU 191

Sample ID MB-36930	SampType: mblk	TestCode: EPA Method	l 300.0: Anlons	
Client ID: PBS	Batch ID: 36930	RunNo: 49678		
Prep Date: 3/9/2018	Analysis Date: 3/9/2018	SeqNo: 1606654	Units: mg/Kg	
Analyte	Result PQL SPK value	e SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit	HighLimit %RPD	RPDLimit Qual
Chloride	ND 1.5			
Sample ID LCS-36930	SampType: Ics	TestCode: EPA Method	300.0: Anions	
Sample ID LCS-36930 Client ID: LCSS	SampType: Ics Batch ID: 36930	TestCode: EPA Method RunNo: 49678	300.0: Anions	
Sample ID LCS-36930 Client ID: LCSS Prep Date: 3/9/2018	SampType: Ics Batch ID: 36930 Analysis Date: 3/9/2018	TestCode: EPA Method RunNo: 49678 SeqNo: 1606655	Units: mg/Kg	
Sample ID LCS-36930 Client ID: LCSS Prep Date: 3/9/2018 Analyte	SampType: Ics Batch ID: 36930 Analysis Date: 3/9/2018 Result PQL SPK value	TestCode: EPA Method RunNo: 49678 SeqNo: 1606655 e SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit	Units: mg/Kg HighLimit %RPD	RPDLimit Qual

Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

WO#: 1803520

12-Mar-18

Page 3 of 6

QESUMMARY REPORT Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Client:Blagg EngineeringProject:GCU 191

Ξ

Sample ID LCS-36928	SampT	ype: LC	s	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	8015M/D: Di	esel Rang	e Organics	
Client ID: LCSS	Batch	D: 36	928 [,]	F	RunNo: 4	9663				
Prep Date: 3/9/2018	Analysis D	ate: 3/	9/2018	S	SeqNo: 1	605981	Units: mg/H	٢g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	46	10	50.00	0	91.8	70	130			
Sur: DNOP	3.8		5.000		77.0	70	130			
Sample ID MB-36928	SampT	ype: ME	BLK	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	8015M/D: Di	esel Rang	e Organics	
Client ID: PBS	Batch	n ID: 36	928	R	RunNo: 4	9663				
Prep Date: 3/9/2018	Analysis D	ate: 3/	9/2018	s	SeqNo: 1	605982	Units: mg/H	(g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	10								
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	50								
Surr: DNOP	8.7		10.00		86.8	70	130			

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

WO#: 1803520 12-Mar-18

Page 4 of 6

•

QC⁴SUMMARY REPORT

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Client: Blagg Engineering Project: GCU 191

Sample ID 100ng Ics	Samp	Fype: LC	;S4	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8260B: Vola	tiles Shorl	List	
Client ID: BatchQC	Batc	h ID: R4	9679	F	RunNo: 4	9679				
Prep Date:	Analysis [Date: 3/	9/2018	S	SeqNo: 1	606539	Units: mg/M	٢g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	0.94	0.025	1.000	0	94.1	80	120			
Toluene	0.96	0.050	1.000	0	96.5	80	120			
Ethylbenzene	0.97	0.050	1.000	0	96.5	80	120			
Xylenes, Total	2.9	0.10	3.000	0	95.5	80	120			
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.46		0.5000		91.7	70	130			
Surr: Toluene-d8	0.47		0.5000		94.1	70	130			
Sample ID rb	Samp	Гуре: МЕ	BLK	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8260B: Volat	tiles Short	List	
Client ID: PBS	Batc	h ID: R4	9679	R	RunNo: 4	9679				
Prep Date:	Analysis [Date: 3/	9/2018	S	SeqNo: 1	606548	Units: mg/K	(g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	ND	0.025								
Toluene	ND	0.050								
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.050								
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.10								
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.54		0.5000		108	70	130			
Sur: Toluono d8	0.48		0.5000		06.0	70	130			

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- Sample Diluted Due to Matrix D
- Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded Н
- Not Detected at the Reporting Limit ND
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix S
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Ε Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Р Sample pH Not In Range
- RL **Reporting Detection Limit**
- Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified w

Page 5 of 6

12-Mar-18

_

WO#: · 1803520

QC SUMMARY REPORT

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Client: Blagg Engineering Project: GCU 191

Sample ID 2.5UG GRO LCS	SampT	ype: LC	s	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8015D Mod:	Gasoline	Range	
Client ID: LCSS	Batch	D: G4	9679	F	RunNo: 4	9679				
Prep Date:	Analysis D	ate: 3/	9/2018	S	SeqNo: 1	606536	Units: mg/k	٢g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	29	5.0	25.00	0	118	70	130			
Surr: BFB	540		500.0		108	70	130			
Sample ID rb	SampT	vpe: ME	BLK	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8015D Mod:	Gasoline	Range	
-		11								
Client ID: PBS	Batch	n ID: G4	9679	F	RunNo: 4	9679				
Client ID: PBS Prep Date:	Batch Analysis D	n ID: G4 Date: 3/	9679 9/2018	F	RunNo: 49 SeqNo: 10	9679 606537	Units: mg/k	(g		
Client ID: PBS Prep Date: Analyte	Batch Analysis D Result	n ID: G4 Date: 3 /	9679 9/2018 SPK value	F S SPK Ref Val	RunNo: 49 SeqNo: 10 %REC	9679 606537 LowLimit	Units: mg/F HighLimit	(g %RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Client ID: PBS Prep Date: Analyte Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	Batch Analysis D Result ND	n ID: G4 Date: 3/ PQL 5.0	9679 9/2018 SPK value	F S SPK Ref Val	RunNo: 4 SeqNo: 10 %REC	9679 606537 LowLimit	Units: mg/⊮ HighLimit	% RPD	RPDLimit	Qual

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

WO#: **1803520**

12-Mar-18

Page 6 of 6

Client Name: BLAGG Work Order Number: 1803520 RoptNo: 1 Received By: Anne Thome 3/9/2018 7:35:00 AM Gau Jun Campleted By: Anne Thome 3/9/2018 8:13:48 AM Gau Jun Reviewed By: ENM 3/4/18 Gau Jun Chain of Custody complete? Yes Ø No Not Present 1. is Chain of Custody complete? Yes Ø No Not Present 2. How was the sample delivered? Counter Counter Log In . No NA 3. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes Ø No NA 4. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 8.0°C Yes Ø No NA 5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes Ø No NA Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? 7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) propenty preserved? Yes Ø No Ma State of preserved for preverved for p	HALL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS LABORATORY	Hall Environmental Albı TEL: 505-345-3975 Website: www.ha	Analysis Laborator 4901 Hawkins N uquerque, NM 8710 FAX: 505-345-410 illenvironmental.co	79 76 79 Sam 77	nple Log-In C	heck List
Received By: Anne Thome 3/9/2018 7:35:00 AM Gw. JL. Completed By: Anne Thome 3/9/2018 8:13:48 AM Gw. JL. Reviewed By: ENM 3/9/2018 8:13:48 AM Gw. JL. Reviewed By: ENM 3/9/2018 8:13:48 AM Gw. JL. Chain of Custody N No No Not Present 1. 5. Chain of Custody complete? Yes No No No 2. How was the sample delivered? Courier	Client Name: BLAGG	Work Order Number	1803520		RcptNo:	1
Completed By: Anne Thome 3/9/2018 8:13:48 AM Anne X Reviewed By: ENM 3/4/18 Anne X Chain of Custody 1. Is Chain of Custody complete? Yes IV No Not Present 1. Is Chain of Custody complete? Yes IV No NA IVID 2. How was the sample delivered? Courier IVID NA IVID 3. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes IV No NA IVID 4. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 5.0°C Yes IV No NA IVID 5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes IV No IVID NA IVID 6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes IVID No IVID I	Received By: Anne Thome	3/9/2018 7:35:00 AM		ame A.	-	
Cheln of Custody 1. Is Chain of Custody complete? Yes 2. How was the sample delivered? Courier Loa In	Completed By: Anne Thome Reviewed By: ENH	3/9/2018 8:13:48 AM 3/9/18	• •	Arme Arm		
2. How was the sample delivered? Courter Log In 3. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes IV No NA 4. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C Yes IV No NA 4. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C Yes IV No NA 5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes IV No NA 6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes IV No NA 7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes IV No NA 8. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes IV No NA 9. VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes IV No IV 10. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes IV No IV 11. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes IV No IV IV (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) Yes IV No IV IV 2. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes IV No IV Checked by: IV 3. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes IV No No IV Checked	Chain of Custody 1. Is Chain of Custody complete?	· · · ·	Yes 🗹	No 🗌	Not Present	
Loar In 3. Was an attempt made to cool the samples? Yes No NA 4. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C Yes No NA 4. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C Yes No NA 5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes No NA 6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes No NA 7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes No NA 8. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes No NA 9. VOA viais have zero headspace? Yes No No VA 10. Over any sample containers received broken? Yes No If of preserved bottle schecked for pH: (<2 or >12 unless noted) 2. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No If of preserved bottles checked for pH: (<2 or >12 unless noted) 3. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes No If of preserved is checked by: (fin on otified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No Checked by: Checked by: Checked by: Checked by: Checked by: Checked by: If tho	2. How was the sample delivered?	*	Courier			
4. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C Yes No NA 5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes No No 6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes No No 7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes No Na 7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes No Na 8. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes No Na 9. VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes No No In VOA Vials 10. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes No If of preserved bottles for pH: (<2 or >12 unless noted) 2. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No In pH: (<2 or >12 unless noted) 3. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes No Checked by: Checked by: (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Yes No Na In Person Expected Handling (If applicable) Is were all holding times able to be met? Yes No NA In Person Person Notified: Date Date In Person	Log In 3. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?	· · ·	Yes 🗸	No 🗌		
5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)? Yes No 6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes No 7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes No 8. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes No 9. VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes No 10. Dees papervork match bottle labels? Yes No 11. Dees papervork match bottle labels? Yes No 2. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No 3. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes No Checked by: 4. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes No NA <t< td=""><td>4. Were all samples received at a temperature of</td><td>of >0° C to 6.0°C</td><td>Yes 🗹</td><td>No 🗌</td><td>NA 🗆</td><td></td></t<>	4. Were all samples received at a temperature of	of >0° C to 6.0°C	Yes 🗹	No 🗌	NA 🗆	
6. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes No 7. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes No 8. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes No 9. VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes No 0. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes No 1. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes No (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) Yes No 2. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No 2. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No 3. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes No (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Checked by:	5. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?	· .	Yes 🗹	No 🗌		
Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved? Yes 3. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes 3. Was preservative added to bottles? Yes 9. VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes 0. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes 1. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) 2. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No 2. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No 2. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No 2. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No 2. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No 2. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No 2. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No 2. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? 3. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes No Checked by: If a policable If a policable If a policable If a policable If a policable If a moline is a policable i	5. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)	?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌		
B. VOA vials have zero headspace? Yes No No VOA Vials O. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes No # of preserved bottles checked 1. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes No # of preserved bottles checked 1. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes No # of preserved bottles checked 1. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes No # of preserved bottles checked 1. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes No # of preserved bottles checked 2. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No Adjusted? 2. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No Adjusted? 3. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes No Checked by: 4. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes No Checked by: 5. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No NA 9erson Notified: Date Date By Whom: Via: eMail Phone Fax Regarding: Client Instructions: In Person 6. Additional remarks: 7. Cooler Information 7. Cooler Information Seal Intact Seal No	 Are samples (except voA and ond) property Was preservative added to bottles? 	preserved	Yes 🖸	No 🗹	NA 🗆	
0. Were any sample containers received broken? Yes No # of preserved bottles checked for pH: (Note discrepancies on chain of custody) 1. Does paperwork match bottle labels? Yes No # of preserved bottles checked for pH: (<2 or >12 unless noted) 2. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes No Adjusted? 3. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes No Adjusted? 4. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes No Checked by: (If no, notify customer for authorization.) Person Notified: Date 5. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No NA ! 9 Whom: Regarding: Date In Person Regarding: Phone Fax In Person I6. Additional remarks: Seal Date 7. Cooler Information 10. Coord	9. VOA vials have zero headspace?		Yes	No 🗌	No VOA Vials	
(Note discrepancies on chain of custody) 2. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody? Yes 3. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes Yes Yes No Adjusted? Yes Yes No Checked by: Person Notified: By Whom: Regarding: Client Instructions: <td< td=""><td>Were any sample containers received broken Does paperwork match bottle labels?</td><td>?</td><td>Yes 🗹</td><td>No 🗹</td><td># of preserved bottles checked for pH:</td><td>>12 uplace poted)</td></td<>	Were any sample containers received broken Does paperwork match bottle labels?	?	Yes 🗹	No 🗹	# of preserved bottles checked for pH:	>12 uplace poted)
3. Is it clear what analyses were requested? Yes ♥ No □ 4. Were all holding times able to be met? Yes ♥ No □ Checked by: (If no, notify customer for authorization.) pecial Handling (if applicable) 15. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes ■ No □ NA ● Person Notified: Date	(Note discrepancies on chain of custody) 2. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of C	ustody?	Yes 🗹	No 🗔	Adjusted?	
Is. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order? Yes No NA Person Notified: Date By Whom: Via: eMail Phone Fax In Person Regarding: Client Instructions: If. Additional remarks: 7. Cooler Information Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seal Intact Seal Date Signed By	 3. Is it clear what analyses were requested? 4. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.) 		Yes ⊻ Yes ⊻		Checked by:	
S. was client notified or all discrepancies with this order? Yes No No NA MA Person Notified: Date Date Date In Person By Whom: Via: eMail Phone Fax In Person Regarding: Client Instructions: Interview Interview Interview Id. Additional remarks: Cooler Information Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By	pecial Handling (if applicable)	to and a floor	Yes 🗆		NA 50	
By Whom: Via: eMail Phone Fax In Person Regarding: Client Instructions: Image: Client Instructions: Image: Client Instructions: Image: Client Instructions: 16. Additional remarks: Cooler Information Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seal Intact Seal Date Signed By 1 Image: Cooler Information Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seal Intact Seal Date Signed By	5. Was client notified of all discrepancies with th Person Notified:	Date	Yes L	No L	NA ⊻	
6. Additional remarks: 7. Cooler Information Cooler No Temp °C Cooler No Cooler No Temp °C Cooler No Seal No Cooler No Seal No	By Whom: Regarding: Client Instructions:	Via:] eMail 🔲 Pho	ne 🗌 Fax	In Person	· .
7. Cooler Information Cooler No Temp °C Condition Seal Intact Seal No Seal Date Signed By	16. Additional remarks:					1
	7. Cooler Information Cooler No Temp °C Condition Set 1 1.0 Good Yes	al Intact Seal No	eal Date	gned By		х - х

Page 1 of 1

