District II
1625 M. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District III
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources Department Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr.

Form C-144 Revised April 3, 2017

For temporary pits, below-grade tanks, and multi-well fluid management pits, submit to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

For permanent pits submit to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office and provide a copy to the appropriate NMOCD District Office.

Pit, Below-Grade Tank, or Proposed Alternative Method Permit or Closure Plan Application

Santa Fe, NM 87505

Proposed Alternative Method Permit or Closure Plan Application
Type of action: Below grade tank registration
Permit of a pit or proposed alternative method
Closure of a pit, below-grade tank, or proposed alternative method Modification to an existing permit/or registration
Closure plan only submitted for an existing permitted or non-permitted pit, below-grade tank,
or proposed alternative method
Instructions: Please submit one application (Form C-144) per individual pit, below-grade tank or alternative request
ease be advised that approval of this request does not relieve the operator of liability should operations result in pollution of surface water, ground water or the vironment. Nor does approval relieve the operator of its responsibility to comply with any other applicable governmental authority's rules, regulations or ordinances.
Deerator: BP America Production Company OGRID #: 778
Address: 380 North Airport Road, Durango, CO 81303
Facility or well name: Gallegos Canyon Unit 208E (B)
2004500000
API Number: 3004523898 OCD Permit Number:
Center of Proposed Design: Latitude 36.65994 Longitude -108.09273 NAD83
Surface Owner: Federal State Private Tribal Trust or Indian Allotment
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Pit: Subsection F, G or J of 19.15.17.11 NMAC SEP 17 2018
Cemporary: ☐ Drilling ☐ Workover
Permanent Emergency Cavitation P&A Multi-Well Fluid Management Low Chloride Drilling Fluid yes no
Lined Unlined Liner type: Thicknessmil LLDPE HDPE PVC Other
String-Reinforced
iner Seams: Welded Factory Other Volume: bbl Dimensions: Lx Wx D
Below-grade tank: Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC TANK B
Volume: 95 bbl Type of fluid: Produced Water
Tank Construction material: Steel
Secondary containment with leak detection Visible sidewalls, liner, 6-inch lift and automatic overflow shut-off
☐ Visible sidewalls and liner ☐ Visible sidewalls only ☐ Other ☐ Single wall/ Double bottom; sidewalls not visible
Liner type: Thicknessmil
Alternative Method:
Submittal of an exception request is required. Exceptions must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.
Fencing: Subsection D of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits, temporary pits, and below-grade tanks)
Chain link, six feet in height, two strands of barbed wire at top (Required if located within 1000 feet of a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution or church)
Four foot height, four strands of barbed wire evenly spaced between one and four feet
Four root neight, rour straints of barbed wife evenly spaced between one and rour rect

Form C-144

6.				
Netting: Subsection E of 19.15.17.11 NMAC (Applies to permanent pits and permanent open top tanks)				
Screen Netting Other				
☐ Monthly inspections (If netting or screening is not physically feasible)				
7.				
Signs: Subsection C of 19.15.17.11 NMAC				
12"x 24", 2" lettering, providing Operator's name, site location, and emergency telephone numbers				
Signed in compliance with 19.15.16.8 NMAC				
8.				
Variances and Exceptions: Justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency are required. Please refer to 19.15.17 NMAC for guidance.				
Please check a box if one or more of the following is requested, if not leave blank: Variance(s): Requests must be submitted to the appropriate division district for consideration of approval.				
Exception(s): Requests must be submitted to the Santa Fe Environmental Bureau office for consideration of approval.				
s. Siting Criteria (regarding permitting): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: The applicant must demonstrate compliance for each siting criteria below in the application. Recommendations of accept material are provided below. Siting criteria does not apply to drying pads or above-grade tanks.	ptable source			
General siting				
Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of a low chloride temporary pit or below-grade tank. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes No			
Ground water is less than 50 feet below the bottom of a Temporary pit, permanent pit, or Multi-Well Fluid Management pit. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	Yes No			
Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes ☐ No			
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) - Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	☐ Yes ☐ No			
 Within an unstable area. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map 	☐ Yes ☐ No			
Within a 100-year floodplain. (Does not apply to below grade tanks) - FEMA map	Yes No			
Below Grade Tanks				
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, significant watercourse, lake bed, sinkhole, wetland or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No			
Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for public or livestock consumption;. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No			
Temporary Pit using Low Chloride Drilling Fluid (maximum chloride content 15,000 mg/liter)				
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). (Applies to low chloride temporary pits.) - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No			
Within 300 feet from a occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application.	Yes No			
- Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image				
Within 200 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 300feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in existence at the time of the initial application. NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No			

·	
Within 100 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Temporary Pit Non-low chloride drilling fluid	
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or any other significant watercourse, or within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private, domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes, or 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring, in the existence at the time of the initial application; - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 300 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Permanent Pit or Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit	
Within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, or lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark).	
- Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No
Within 1000 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a fresh water well used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within 500 feet of a wetland. - US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map; Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	☐ Yes ☐ No
10.	**************************************
Temporary Pits, Emergency Pits, and Below-grade Tanks Permit Application Attachment Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 N Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the doc attached. Hydrogeologic Report (Below-grade Tanks) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Hydrogeologic Data (Temporary and Emergency Pits) - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (2) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19. and 19.15.17.13 NMAC	NMAC 15.17.9 NMAC
Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number:	
Multi-Well Fluid Management Pit Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the doc attached. Design Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC A List of wells with approved application for permit to drill associated with the pit. Closure Plan (Please complete Boxes 14 through 18, if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19. and 19.15.17.13 NMAC	
Hydrogeologic Data - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (4) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC	
Previously Approved Design (attach copy of design) API Number: or Permit Number:	

Permanent Pits Permit Application Checklist: Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the application. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the attached. Hydrogeologic Report - based upon the requirements of Paragraph (1) of Subsection B of 19.15.17.9 NMAC Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Climatological Factors Assessment Certified Engineering Design Plans - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Dike Protection and Structural Integrity Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Leak Detection Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Liner Specifications and Compatibility Assessment - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Quality Control/Quality Assurance Construction and Installation Plan Operating and Maintenance Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.12 NMAC Freeboard and Overtopping Prevention Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.11 NMAC Nuisance or Hazardous Odors, including H ₂ S, Prevention Plan Emergency Response Plan Oil Field Waste Stream Characterization Monitoring and Inspection Plan Erosion Control Plan Closure Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.9 NMAC and 19.15.17.13 NMAC	documents are			
Proposed Closure: 19.15.17.13 NMAC				
Instructions: Please complete the applicable boxes, Boxes 14 through 18, in regards to the proposed closure plan. Type: Drilling Workover Emergency Cavitation P&A Permanent Pit Below-grade Tank Multi-well F Alternative Proposed Closure Method: Waste Excavation and Removal Waste Removal (Closed-loop systems only) On-site Closure Method (Only for temporary pits and closed-loop systems) In-place Burial On-site Trench Burial Alternative Closure Method	luid Management Pit			
14.				
Waste Excavation and Removal Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be closure plan. Please indicate, by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Protocols and Procedures - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection C of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings) Soil Backfill and Cover Design Specifications - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	attached to the			
15.				
Siting Criteria (regarding on-site closure methods only): 19.15.17.10 NMAC Instructions: Each siting criteria requires a demonstration of compliance in the closure plan. Recommendations of acceptable source material are provided below. Requests regarding changes to certain siting criteria require justifications and/or demonstrations of equivalency. Please refer to 19.15.17.10 NMAC for guidance.				
Ground water is less than 25 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA			
Ground water is between 25-50 feet below the bottom of the buried waste - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA			
Ground water is more than 100 feet below the bottom of the buried waste. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database search; USGS; Data obtained from nearby wells Yes No NA				
Within 100 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse, or 200 feet of any other significant watercourse, lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark). - Topographic map; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site	Yes No			
Within 300 feet from a permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church in existence at the time of initial application. - Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site; Aerial photo; Satellite image	☐ Yes ☐ No			
Within 300 horizontal feet of a private, domestic fresh water well or spring used for domestic or stock watering purposes, in existence at the time of initial application. - NM Office of the State Engineer - iWATERS database; Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site				
Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes ☐ No			
Within 300 feet of a wetland. US Fish and Wildlife Wetland Identification map: Topographic map: Visual inspection (certification) of the proposed site.				
Yes No Within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field covered under a municipal ordinance				

adopted pursuant to NMSA 1978, Section 3-27-3, as amended. - Written confirmation or verification from the municipality; Written approval obtained from the municipality	☐ Yes ☐ No
Within the area overlying a subsurface mine Written confirmation or verification or map from the NM EMNRD-Mining and Mineral Division	☐ Yes ☐ No
 Within an unstable area. Engineering measures incorporated into the design; NM Bureau of Geology & Mineral Resources; USGS; NM Geological Society; Topographic map 	
Within a 100-year floodplain FEMA map	☐ Yes ☐ No
On-Site Closure Plan Checklist: (19.15.17.13 NMAC) Instructions: Each of the following items must be attached to the closure plan by a check mark in the box, that the documents are attached. Siting Criteria Compliance Demonstrations - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.10 NMAC Proof of Surface Owner Notice - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection E of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Construction/Design Plan of Burial Trench (if applicable) based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection K of 19.15.17. Construction/Design Plan of Temporary Pit (for in-place burial of a drying pad) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Confirmation Sampling Plan (if applicable) - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Waste Material Sampling Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Disposal Facility Name and Permit Number (for liquids, drilling fluids and drill cuttings or in case on-site closure standards cannot Soil Cover Design - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Re-vegetation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC Site Reclamation Plan - based upon the appropriate requirements of Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC	11 NMAC 15.17.11 NMAC
Operator Application Certification: I hereby certify that the information submitted with this application is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and believe to the least of my know	ef.
Signature: Date: September 13,2018	
organization.	
e-mail address: steven.moskal@bpx.cpm Telephone: 505-330-9179	
	412018
e-mail address: steven.moskal@bpx.cpm Telephone: 505-330-9179 18. OCD Approval: Permit Application (including closure plan) Closure Plan (only) OCD Conditions (see attachment) OCD Representative Signature: Approval Date: 912	
e-mail address: Steven.moskal@bpx.cpm Telephone: 505-330-9179 18. OCD Approval: Permit Application (including closure plan) Closure Plan (only) OCD Conditions (see attachment) OCD Representative Signature: Approval Date: OCD Permit Number: 19. Closure Report (required within 60 days of closure completion): 19.15.17.13 NMAC Instructions: Operators are required to obtain an approved closure plan prior to implementing any closure activities and submitting The closure report is required to be submitted to the division within 60 days of the completion of the closure activities. Please do not section of the form until an approved closure plan has been obtained and the closure activities have been completed.	complete this

Operator Closure Certification:				
I hereby certify that the information and attachments submitted with this closure report is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I also certify that the closure complies with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.				
Name (Print): Steve Moskal	Title: Enviro Coord			
Signature:	Date: September 13, 2018			
e-mail address: steven.moskal@bpx.com	Telephone: 505-330-9179			

22.

BP AMERICA PRODUCTION COMPANY

SAN JUAN BASIN, NORTHWEST NEW MEXICO

BELOW-GRADE TANK CLOSURE PLAN

Gallegos Canyon Unit 208 API No. 3004523898

Unit Letter I Section 15 T 28N R 12W

This plan will address the standard protocols and procedures for closure of below-grade tanks (BGTs) on BP America Production Company (BP) well sites. As stipulated in Paragraph A of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall close a BGT within the time periods provided in 19.15.17.13 NMAC, or by an earlier date that the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) requires because of imminent danger to fresh water, public health, safety or the environment. If deviations from this plan are necessary, any specific changes will be included on form C-144 and approved by the NMOCD. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC or is not included in Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC within five years after June 16, 2008, if not retrofit with a BGT that complies with the BP NMOCD approved BGT design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan. BP shall close an existing BGT that does not meet the requirements of Paragraphs (1) through (4) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.11 NMAC, if not previously retrofitted to comply with the BP NMOCD approve BGT Design attached to the BP Design and Construction Plan, prior to any sale or change in operator pursuant to 19.15.9.9 NMAC. BP shall close the permitted BGT within 60 days of cessation of the BGTs operation or as required by the transitional provisions of Subsection B, D, or E of 19.15.17.17 NMAC.

General Closure Plan

1. BP shall notify the surface owner by certified mail that it plans to close a BGT. Evidence of mailing of the notice to the address of the surface owner shown in the county tax records demonstrates compliance with this requirement.

Notice is attached.

2. BP shall notify the division District III office verbally or by other means at least 72 hours, but not more than one (1) week, prior to any closure operation. The notice shall include the operator's name, and the location to be closed by unit letter, section, township and range. If the BGT closure is associated with a particular well, then the notice shall also include the well's name, number and API number.

Notice was provided and is attached.

- 3. BP shall remove liquids and sludge from the BGT prior to implementing a closure method and dispose of the liquids and sludge in a NMOCD's division-approved facility. The facilities to be used are:
 - a. BP Crouch Mesa Landfarm, Permit NM-02-003 (Solids)
 - b. JFJ Landfarm, Permit NM-01-010(B) (Solids and Sludge)
 - c. Basin Disposal, Permit NM-01-0005 (Liquids)
 - d. Envirotech Inc Soil Remediation Facility, Permit NM-01-0011 (Solids and Sludge)
 - e. BP Operated E.E. Elliott SWD #1, API 30-045-27799 (Liquids)

- f. BP Operated 13 GCU SWD #1, API 30-045-28601 (Liquids)
- g. BP Operated GCU 259 SWD, API 30-045-20006 (Liquids)
- h. BP Operated GCU 306 SWD, API 30-045-24286 (Liquids)
- i. BP Operated GCU 307 SWD, API 30-045-24248 (Liquids)
- j. BP Operated GCU 328 SWD, API 30-045-24735 (Liquids)
- k. BP Operated Pritchard SWD #1, API 30-045-28351 (Liquids)

All liquids and sludge in the BGT were removed and sent to one of the above NMOCD approved facilities for disposal.

4. BP shall remove the BGT and dispose of it in a NMOCD approved facility or recycle, reuse, or reclaim it in a manner that the NMOCD approves. If a liner is present and must be disposed of it will be cleaned by scraping any soils or other attached materials on the liner to a de minimus amount and disposed at a permitted solid waste facility, pursuant to Subparagraph (m) of Paragraph (1) of Subsection C of 19.15.35.8 NMAC. Documentation as to the final disposition of the removed BGT will be provided in the final closure report.

The BGT was transported for recycling.

5. BP shall remove any on-site equipment associated with a BGT unless the equipment is required for well production.

All equipment associated with the BGT has been removed.

6. BP shall test the soils beneath the BGT to determine whether a release has occurred. BP shall collect at a minimum: a five (5) point composite sample and individual grab samples from any area that is wet, discolored or showing other evidence of a release and analyze for BTEX, TPH and chlorides. The testing methods for those constituents are as follows;

Constituents	Testing Method	Release Verification	Sample
	95 bbl BGT	(mg/Kg)	results
Benzene	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	10	< 0.019
Total BTEX	US EPA Method SW-846 8021B or 8260B	50	< 0.075
TPH	US EPA Method SW-846 418.1 or 8015 extended	100	< 50
Chlorides	US EPA Method 300.0 or 4500B	620	34

Notes: mg/Kg = milligram per kilogram, BTEX = benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes, TPH = total petroleum hydrocarbons. Other EPA methods that the division approves may be applied to all constituents listed. Chloride closure standards will be determined by which ever concentration level is greatest.

Soil under the BGT was sampled for chloride, TPH and BTEX with all concentrations below the stated limits. The field report and laboratory reports are attached.

7. BP shall notify the division District III office of its results on form C-141. C-141 is attached.

8. If it is determined that a release has occurred, then BP will comply with 19.15.30 NMAC and 19.15.29 NMAC, as appropriate.

Sampling results indicate a release has not occurred. Attached is a laboratory report and C-141.

9. If the sampling demonstrates that a release has not occurred or that any release does not exceed the concentrations specified above, then BP shall backfill the excavation, with compacted, non-waste containing, earthen material; construct a division-prescribed soil cover, re-contour and re-vegetate the location. The location will be reclaimed if it is not with in the active process area

Sampling results indicate a release has not occurred. Attached is a laboratory report and field report. The location has been reclaimed as the well has been plugged and abandoned.

10. BP shall reclaim the BGT location and all areas associated with the BGT including associated access roads to a safe and stable condition that blends with the surrounding undisturbed area. BP shall substantially restore the impacted surface area to the condition that existed prior to oil and gas operations by placement of the soil cover as provided in Subsection H of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, re-contour the location and associated areas to a contour that approximates the original contour and blends with the surrounding topography and re-vegetate according to Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC.

The area has been backfilled and BGT location's surface condition is clear. The location will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned.

11. The soil cover for closures where the BGT has been removed or remediated to the NMOCD's satisfaction shall consist of the background thickness of topsoil or one foot of suitable material to establish vegetation at the site, whichever is greater. The soil cover will be constructed to the site's existing grade and all practicable efforts will be made to prevent ponding of water and erosion of the cover material.

The area has been backfilled and BGT location's surface condition is clear. The location will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned.

12. BP shall seed the disturbed area the first growing season after closure of the BGT. Seeding will be accomplished by drilling on the contour whenever practical or by other division-approved methods. Vegetative cover will be, at a minimum, 70% of the native perennial vegetative cover (un-impacted by overgrazing, fire or other intrusion damaging to native vegetation), consisting of at least three native plant species, including at least one grass, but not including noxious weeds, and maintenance of that cover through two successive growing seasons. During the two growing seasons that prove viability, there shall be no artificial irrigation of the vegetation.

The area has been backfilled and BGT location's surface condition is clear. The location will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned.

13. BP shall seed, plant and re-seed pursuant to Paragraph (3) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, until the location successfully achieves the required vegetative cover.

The area has been backfilled and BGT location's surface condition is clear. The location will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned.

14. Pursuant to Paragraph (5) of Subsection I of 19.15.17.13 NMAC, BP shall notify the NMOCD when it has seeded or planted and when it successfully achieves revegetation.

The area has been backfilled and BGT location's surface condition is clear. The location will be reclaimed when the well is plugged and abandoned.

- 15. Within 60 days of closure completion, BP shall submit a closure report on NMOCD's form C-144, and will include the following;
 - a. proof of closure notification (surface owner and NMOCD)
 - b. sampling analytical reports; information required by 19.15.17 NMAC;
 - c. disposal facility name and permit number
 - d. details on back-filling, capping, covering, and where applicable re-vegetation application rates and seeding techniques and
 - e. site reclamation, photo documentation.

Closure report on C-144 form is included including photos of reclamation completion.

16. BP shall certify that all information in the report and attachments is accurate, truthful, and compliant with all applicable closure requirements and conditions specified in the approved closure plan.

Certification section of C-144 has been completed.

District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

Contact Name Steve Moskal

Responsible Party BP America Production Company

Contact email steven.moskal@bpx.com

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources Department

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505 Form C-141 Revised August 24, 2018 Submit to appropriate OCD District office

Incident ID	
District RP	
Facility ID	
Application ID	

Release Notification

Responsible Party

OGRID 778

Contact Telephone 505-330-9179

Incident # (assigned by OCD)

Contact mailing address 380 North Airport Road, Durango, CO 81303						
Location of Release Source						
Latitude 36	Latitude 36.65994 Longitude -108.09273					
(NAD 83 in decimal degrees to 5 decimal places)						
Site Name Gallegos Canyon Unit 208E (B) Site Type Natural Gas Well Site						
Date Release	THE RESERVE AND THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 2 IS NOT THE OWNER, THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 2 IS NOT THE OWNER,		anne de la companya	API# (if app	licable) 3004523898	
Unit Letter	Section	Township	Range	Coun	6.	
I I	15	28N	12W	San J		
L!	15	2011	1200	Saliu	uan	
Surface Owner	r: State	Federal Tr	ibal Private (A	Name:)	
			Nature and	Volume of I	Release	
Crude Oil		(s) Released (Select al Volume Release		calculations or specific	justification for the volumes provided below) Volume Recovered (bbls)	
Produced	water	Volume Release			Volume Recovered (bbls)	
			ion of total dissolv water >10,000 mg/		Yes No	
Condensa	Condensate Volume Released (bbls) Volume Recovered (bbls)				Volume Recovered (bbls)	
Natural G	□ Natural Gas Volume Released (Mcf) Volume Recovered (Mcf)			Volume Recovered (Mcf)		
Other (describe) Volume/Weight Released (provide units) Volume/Weight Recovered (provide units)						
Cause of Release Soil samples were all below BGT closure standards for BTEX, TPH and chloride.						

Form C-141 Page 2

State of New Mexico Oil Conservation Division

Incident ID	
District RP	
Facility ID	
Application ID	

Was this a major release as defined by	If YES, for what reason(s) does the respon	sible party consider this a major release?
19.15.29.7(A) NMAC?		
☐ Yes ■ No		
ISVES i list		
Not required.	otice given to the OCD? By whom? To wh	om? When and by what means (phone, email, etc)?
rtot roquirou.		
	Initial Re	esponse
The responsible p	party must undertake the following actions immediately	unless they could create a safety hazard that would result in injury
☐ The source of the rele	ase has been stopped.	
☐ The impacted area has	s been secured to protect human health and	the environment.
Released materials ha	ve been contained via the use of berms or d	ikes, absorbent pads, or other containment devices.
All free liquids and re	coverable materials have been removed and	managed appropriately.
If all the actions described	d above have <u>not</u> been undertaken, explain v	vhy:
No release identified	d with the closure of the below gra	ade tank.
has begun, please attach a	a narrative of actions to date. If remedial e	mediation immediately after discovery of a release. If remediation fforts have been successfully completed or if the release occurred ease attach all information needed for closure evaluation.
regulations all operators are a public health or the environm failed to adequately investigated	required to report and/or file certain release notifient. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the Oate and remediate contamination that pose a threat	est of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to OCD rules and ications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger CD does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have it to groundwater, surface water, human health or the environment. In esponsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws
Printed Name: Steve		Title: Enviro Coord.
Signature:	Mu	September 13,2018 Date:
email: steven.mos	skal@bpx.com	Telephone: 505-330-9179
OCD Only		
Received by:		Date:

Form·C-141 Page 3

State of New Mexico Oil Conservation Division

Incident ID	
District RP	
Facility ID	
Application ID	

Site Assessment/Characterization

This information must be provided to the appropriate district office no later than 90 days after the release discovery date.

What is the shallowest depth to groundwater beneath the area affected by the release? (ft bgs) Did this release impact groundwater or surface water? Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse or any other significant watercourse? Are the lateral extents of the release within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark)? Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of an occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church? Are the lateral extents of the release within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes? Are the lateral extents of the release within 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring? Are the lateral extents of the release within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field? Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of a wetland? Are the lateral extents of the release overlying a subsurface mine? Are the lateral extents of the release overlying an unstable area such as karst geology? Are the lateral extents of the release within a 100-year floodplain? Did the release impact areas not on an exploration, development, production, or storage site? Wes No Attach a comprehensive report (electronic submittals in .pdf format are preferred) demonstrating the lateral and vertical extents of soil contamination associated with the release have been determined. Refer to 19.15.29.11 NMAC for specifics. Characterization Report Checklist: Each of the following items must be included in the report. Geld data		
Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of a continuously flowing watercourse or any other significant watercourse? Are the lateral extents of the release within 200 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark)? Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of an occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church? Are the lateral extents of the release within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes? Are the lateral extents of the release within 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring? Are the lateral extents of the release within 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring? Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of a wetland? Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of a wetland? Are the lateral extents of the release overlying a subsurface mine? Are the lateral extents of the release overlying an unstable area such as karst geology? Are the lateral extents of the release overlying an unstable area such as karst geology? Are the lateral extents of the release within a 100-year floodplain? Did the release impact areas not on an exploration, development, production, or storage site? Yes No Attach a comprehensive report (electronic submittals in .pdf format are preferred) demonstrating the lateral and vertical extents of soil contamination associated with the release have been determined. Refer to 19.15.29.11 NMAC for specifics. Characterization Report Checklist: Each of the following items must be included in the report. Scaled site map showing impacted area, surface features, subsurface features, delineation points, and monitoring wells. Field data Data table of soil contaminant concentration data Depth to water determination Determination of water sources and significant watercourses within ½-mile of the lateral extents of the release Depth to wate	What is the shallowest depth to groundwater beneath the area affected by the release?	(ft bgs)
Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of any lakebed, sinkhole, or playa lake (measured from the ordinary high-water mark)? Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of an occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church? Are the lateral extents of the release within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes? Are the lateral extents of the release within 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring? Are the lateral extents of the release within 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring? Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of a wetland? Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of a wetland? Are the lateral extents of the release overlying a subsurface mine? Are the lateral extents of the release overlying a nunstable area such as karst geology? Are the lateral extents of the release within a 100-year floodplain? Did the release impact areas not on an exploration, development, production, or storage site? Watach a comprehensive report (electronic submittals in .pdf format are preferred) demonstrating the lateral and vertical extents of the release have been determined. Refer to 19.15.29.11 NMAC for specifics. Characterization Report Checklist: Each of the following items must be included in the report. Scaled site map showing impacted area, surface features, subsurface features, delineation points, and monitoring wells. Field data Data table of soil contaminant concentration data Depth to water determination Determination of water sources and significant watercourses within ½-mile of the lateral extents of the release Boring or excavation logs Photographs including date and GIS information Topographic/Aerial maps	Did this release impact groundwater or surface water?	Yes No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of an occupied permanent residence, school, hospital, institution, or church? Are the lateral extents of the release within 500 horizontal feet of a spring or a private domestic fresh water well used by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes? Are the lateral extents of the release within 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring? Are the lateral extents of the release within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field? Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of a wetland? Are the lateral extents of the release overlying a subsurface mine? Are the lateral extents of the release overlying an unstable area such as karst geology? Are the lateral extents of the release overlying an unstable area such as karst geology? Are the lateral extents of the release within a 100-year floodplain? Did the release impact areas not on an exploration, development, production, or storage site? Attach a comprehensive report (electronic submittals in .pdf format are preferred) demonstrating the lateral and vertical extents of soil rontamination associated with the release have been determined. Refer to 19.15.29.11 NMAC for specifics. Characterization Report Checklist: Each of the following items must be included in the report. Scaled site map showing impacted area, surface features, subsurface features, delineation points, and monitoring wells. Field data Data table of soil contaminant concentration data Depth to water determination Determination of water sources and significant watercourses within ½-mile of the lateral extents of the release Boring or excavation logs Photographs including date and GIS information Topographic/Aerial maps	·	Yes No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring? Are the lateral extents of the release within 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring? Are the lateral extents of the release within 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring? Are the lateral extents of the release within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field? Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of a wetland? Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of a wetland? Are the lateral extents of the release overlying a subsurface mine? Are the lateral extents of the release overlying an unstable area such as karst geology? Are the lateral extents of the release within a 100-year floodplain? Did the release impact areas not on an exploration, development, production, or storage site? Attach a comprehensive report (electronic submittals in .pdf format are preferred) demonstrating the lateral and vertical extents of soil contamination associated with the release have been determined. Refer to 19.15.29.11 NMAC for specifics. Characterization Report Checklist: Each of the following items must be included in the report. Scaled site map showing impacted area, surface features, subsurface features, delineation points, and monitoring wells. Field data Data table of soil contaminant concentration data Depth to water determination Determination of water sources and significant watercourses within ½-mile of the lateral extents of the release Boring or excavation logs Photographs including date and GIS information Topographic/Aerial maps		Yes No
by less than five households for domestic or stock watering purposes? Are the lateral extents of the release within 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring? Are the lateral extents of the release within incorporated municipal boundaries or within a defined municipal fresh water well field? Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of a wetland? Are the lateral extents of the release overlying a subsurface mine? Are the lateral extents of the release overlying an unstable area such as karst geology? Are the lateral extents of the release within a 100-year floodplain? Did the release impact areas not on an exploration, development, production, or storage site? Attach a comprehensive report (electronic submittals in .pdf format are preferred) demonstrating the lateral and vertical extents of soil contamination associated with the release have been determined. Refer to 19.15.29.11 NMAC for specifics. Characterization Report Checklist: Each of the following items must be included in the report. Scaled site map showing impacted area, surface features, subsurface features, delineation points, and monitoring wells. Field data Depth to water determination Determination of water sources and significant watercourses within ½-mile of the lateral extents of the release Boring or excavation logs Photographic/Aerial maps		Yes No
Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of a wetland? Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of a wetland? Are the lateral extents of the release overlying a subsurface mine? Are the lateral extents of the release overlying an unstable area such as karst geology? Are the lateral extents of the release within a 100-year floodplain? Did the release impact areas not on an exploration, development, production, or storage site? Attach a comprehensive report (electronic submittals in .pdf format are preferred) demonstrating the lateral and vertical extents of soil contamination associated with the release have been determined. Refer to 19.15.29.11 NMAC for specifics. Characterization Report Checklist: Each of the following items must be included in the report. Scaled site map showing impacted area, surface features, subsurface features, delineation points, and monitoring wells. Field data Depth to water determination Determination of water sources and significant watercourses within ½-mile of the lateral extents of the release Boring or excavation logs Photographic/Aerial maps		Yes No
water well field? Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of a wetland? Are the lateral extents of the release overlying a subsurface mine? Are the lateral extents of the release overlying an unstable area such as karst geology? Are the lateral extents of the release within a 100-year floodplain? Did the release impact areas not on an exploration, development, production, or storage site? Yes No Attach a comprehensive report (electronic submittals in .pdf format are preferred) demonstrating the lateral and vertical extents of soil contamination associated with the release have been determined. Refer to 19.15.29.11 NMAC for specifics. Characterization Report Checklist: Each of the following items must be included in the report. Scaled site map showing impacted area, surface features, subsurface features, delineation points, and monitoring wells. Field data Deata table of soil contaminant concentration data Depth to water determination Determination of water sources and significant watercourses within ½-mile of the lateral extents of the release Boring or excavation logs Photographs including date and GIS information Topographic/Aerial maps	Are the lateral extents of the release within 1000 feet of any other fresh water well or spring?	Yes No
Are the lateral extents of the release overlying a subsurface mine? Are the lateral extents of the release overlying an unstable area such as karst geology? Are the lateral extents of the release within a 100-year floodplain? Did the release impact areas not on an exploration, development, production, or storage site? Attach a comprehensive report (electronic submittals in .pdf format are preferred) demonstrating the lateral and vertical extents of soil contamination associated with the release have been determined. Refer to 19.15.29.11 NMAC for specifics. Characterization Report Checklist: Each of the following items must be included in the report. Scaled site map showing impacted area, surface features, subsurface features, delineation points, and monitoring wells. Field data Data table of soil contaminant concentration data Depth to water determination Determination of water sources and significant watercourses within ½-mile of the lateral extents of the release Boring or excavation logs Photographs including date and GIS information Topographic/Aerial maps		Yes No
Are the lateral extents of the release overlying an unstable area such as karst geology? Are the lateral extents of the release within a 100-year floodplain? Did the release impact areas not on an exploration, development, production, or storage site? Attach a comprehensive report (electronic submittals in .pdf format are preferred) demonstrating the lateral and vertical extents of soil contamination associated with the release have been determined. Refer to 19.15.29.11 NMAC for specifics. Characterization Report Checklist: Each of the following items must be included in the report. Scaled site map showing impacted area, surface features, subsurface features, delineation points, and monitoring wells. Field data Data table of soil contaminant concentration data Depth to water determination Determination of water sources and significant watercourses within ½-mile of the lateral extents of the release Boring or excavation logs Photographs including date and GIS information Topographic/Aerial maps	Are the lateral extents of the release within 300 feet of a wetland?	Yes No
Are the lateral extents of the release within a 100-year floodplain? Did the release impact areas not on an exploration, development, production, or storage site? Attach a comprehensive report (electronic submittals in .pdf format are preferred) demonstrating the lateral and vertical extents of soil contamination associated with the release have been determined. Refer to 19.15.29.11 NMAC for specifics. Characterization Report Checklist: Each of the following items must be included in the report. Scaled site map showing impacted area, surface features, subsurface features, delineation points, and monitoring wells. Field data Data table of soil contaminant concentration data Depth to water determination Determination of water sources and significant watercourses within ½-mile of the lateral extents of the release Boring or excavation logs Photographs including date and GIS information Topographic/Aerial maps	Are the lateral extents of the release overlying a subsurface mine?	Yes No
Did the release impact areas not on an exploration, development, production, or storage site? Attach a comprehensive report (electronic submittals in .pdf format are preferred) demonstrating the lateral and vertical extents of soil contamination associated with the release have been determined. Refer to 19.15.29.11 NMAC for specifics. Characterization Report Checklist: Each of the following items must be included in the report. Scaled site map showing impacted area, surface features, subsurface features, delineation points, and monitoring wells. Field data Data table of soil contaminant concentration data Depth to water determination Determination of water sources and significant watercourses within ½-mile of the lateral extents of the release Boring or excavation logs Photographs including date and GIS information Topographic/Aerial maps	Are the lateral extents of the release overlying an unstable area such as karst geology?	Yes No
Attach a comprehensive report (electronic submittals in .pdf format are preferred) demonstrating the lateral and vertical extents of soil contamination associated with the release have been determined. Refer to 19.15.29.11 NMAC for specifics. Characterization Report Checklist: Each of the following items must be included in the report. Scaled site map showing impacted area, surface features, subsurface features, delineation points, and monitoring wells. Field data Data table of soil contaminant concentration data Depth to water determination Determination of water sources and significant watercourses within ½-mile of the lateral extents of the release Boring or excavation logs Photographs including date and GIS information Topographic/Aerial maps	Are the lateral extents of the release within a 100-year floodplain?	Yes No
Characterization Report Checklist: Each of the following items must be included in the report. Scaled site map showing impacted area, surface features, subsurface features, delineation points, and monitoring wells. Field data Data table of soil contaminant concentration data Depth to water determination Determination of water sources and significant watercourses within ½-mile of the lateral extents of the release Boring or excavation logs Photographs including date and GIS information Topographic/Aerial maps	Did the release impact areas not on an exploration, development, production, or storage site?	Yes No
Scaled site map showing impacted area, surface features, subsurface features, delineation points, and monitoring wells. Field data Data table of soil contaminant concentration data Depth to water determination Determination of water sources and significant watercourses within ½-mile of the lateral extents of the release Boring or excavation logs Photographs including date and GIS information Topographic/Aerial maps	Attach a comprehensive report (electronic submittals in .pdf format are preferred) demonstrating the lateral and vecontamination associated with the release have been determined. Refer to 19.15.29.11 NMAC for specifics.	rtical extents of soil
Field data Data table of soil contaminant concentration data Depth to water determination Determination of water sources and significant watercourses within ½-mile of the lateral extents of the release Boring or excavation logs Photographs including date and GIS information Topographic/Aerial maps	Characterization Report Checklist: Each of the following items must be included in the report.	
Laboratory data including chain of custody	Field data Data table of soil contaminant concentration data Depth to water determination Determination of water sources and significant watercourses within ½-mile of the lateral extents of the release Boring or excavation logs Photographs including date and GIS information Topographic/Aerial maps	lls.
	Laboratory data including chain of custody	

If the site characterization report does not include completed efforts at remediation of the release, the report must include a proposed remediation plan. That plan must include the estimated volume of material to be remediated, the proposed remediation technique, proposed sampling plan and methods, anticipated timelines for beginning and completing the remediation. The closure criteria for a release are contained in Table 1 of 19.15.29.12 NMAC, however, use of the table is modified by site- and release-specific parameters.

Form 'C-141 .Page 4

State of New Mexico Oil Conservation Division

Incident ID	
District RP	
Facility ID	
Application ID	

regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release not public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the Gailed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threaddition, OCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of and/or regulations.	ifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger DCD does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have eat to groundwater, surface water, human health or the environment. In
Printed Name:	Title:
Signature:	Date:
email:	Telephone:
OCD Only	
Received by:	Date:

1 01111 C=1+1	- State of New Mexico
Page 5.	Oil Conservation Division

Incident ID	
District RP	
Facility ID	
Application ID	

Remediation Plan

Remediation Plan Checklist: Each of the following items must be included in the plan.	
 □ Detailed description of proposed remediation technique □ Scaled sitemap with GPS coordinates showing delineation points □ Estimated volume of material to be remediated □ Closure criteria is to Table 1 specifications subject to 19.15.29.12(C)(4) NMAC □ Proposed schedule for remediation (note if remediation plan timeline is more than 90 days) 	ays OCD approval is required)
Deferral Requests Only: Each of the following items must be confirmed as part of any r	equest for deferral of remediation.
Contamination must be in areas immediately under or around production equipment who deconstruction.	
Extents of contamination must be fully delineated.	
Contamination does not cause an imminent risk to human health, the environment, or gr	roundwater.
I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my known rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notification which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contains surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, OCD acceptance of a C-141 responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.	ons and perform corrective actions for releases y the OCD does not relieve the operator of nation that pose a threat to groundwater,
Printed Name: Title:	
Signature: Date:	
email: Telephone:	
OCD Only	
Received by: Date:	
☐ Approved ☐ Approved with Attached Conditions of Approval ☐ Det	nied Deferral Approved
Signature: Date:	

Form C-141 Page 6

State of New Mexico Oil Conservation Division

Incident ID	
District RP	
Facility ID	
Application ID	

Closure

The responsible party must attach information demonstrating they have complied with all applicable closure requirements and any conditions or directives of the OCD. This demonstration should be in the form of a comprehensive report (electronic submittals in .pdf format are preferred) including a scaled site map, sampling diagrams, relevant field notes, photographs of any excavation prior to backfilling, laboratory data including chain of custody documents of final sampling, and a narrative of the remedial activities. Refer to 19.15.29.12 NMAC.

Closure Report Attachment Checklist: Each of the following item	ns must be included in the closure report.
A scaled site and sampling diagram as described in 19.15.29.11	NMAC
Photographs of the remediated site prior to backfill or photos of must be notified 2 days prior to liner inspection)	the liner integrity if applicable (Note: appropriate OCD District office
Laboratory analyses of final sampling (Note: appropriate ODC I	District office must be notified 2 days prior to final sampling)
Description of remediation activities	
and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain r may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a c should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and reme human health or the environment. In addition, OCD acceptance of a c compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulation restore, reclaim, and re-vegetate the impacted surface area to the conductor accordance with 19.15.29.13 NMAC including notification to the OCI	C-141 report by the OCD does not relieve the operator of liability diate contamination that pose a threat to groundwater, surface water, C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for ons. The responsible party acknowledges they must substantially itions that existed prior to the release or their final land use in D when reclamation and re-vegetation are complete.
Signature: [D	Date: September 13, 2018
email: steven.moskal@bpx.com	elephone: 505-330-9179
OCD Only	
Received by:	Date:
Closure approval by the OCD does not relieve the responsible party of remediate contamination that poses a threat to groundwater, surface wa party of compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or	liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and ter, human health, or the environment nor does not relieve the responsible regulations.
Closure Approved by:	Date:
Printed Name:	Title:

Steven Moskal

From:

Erin Dunman

Sent:

Wednesday, September 05, 2018 4:23 PM

To:

Steven Moskal

Subject:

FW: BP Pit Close Notification - GCU 208E - RESCHEDULED

Follow Up Flag:

Follow up

Flag Status:

Flagged

Erin Dunman

Erin.Dunman@bpx.com ← Note new email address

This email and any attachments are intended only for the addressee(s) listed above and may contain confidential, proprietary, and/or privileged information. If you are not an intended recipient, please immediately advise the sender by return email, delete this email and any attachments, and destroy any copies of same. Any unauthorized review, use, copying, disclosure or distribution of this email and any attachments is prohibited.

From: Farrah Buckley

Sent: Friday, July 13, 2018 7:34 AM

To: Smith, Cory, EMNRD < Cory. Smith@state.nm.us>; Fields, Vanessa, EMNRD (Vanessa. Fields@state.nm.us)

<Vanessa.Fields@state.nm.us>

Cc: jeffcblagg@aol.com; blagg njv@yahoo.com; Erin Garifalos <erin.dunman@bpx.com>

Subject: BP Pit Close Notification - GCU 208E - RESCHEDULED

BP America Production Company 380 Airport Rd Durango, CO 81303 Phone: (970) 247 6800

SENT VIA E-MAIL TO: CORY.SMITH@STATE.NM.US; VANESSA.FIELDS@STATE.NM.US

July 13, 2018

New Mexico Oil Conservation Division 1000 Rio Brazos Road Aztec, New Mexico 87410

RE: Notice of Proposed Below-Grade Tank (BGT) Closure

GALLEGOS CANYON UNIT 208E API# 30-045-23898 (I) Section 15 – T28N – R12W San Juan County, New Mexico Dear Mr. Cory Smith and Mrs. Vanessa Fields,

In regards to the captioned subject and requirements of the NMOCD pit rule, this letter is notification that BP is planning to close two 95bbl BGTs that will no longer be operational at this well site. We anticipate this work to start on or around July 16, 2018.

Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact BP at our Durango office.

Sincerely,

Erin Garifalos

Field Environmental Coordinator – San Juan Cell: 832-609-7048

Farrah Buckley
BGT Project Support
970-946-9199 -cell

Note new email address - Farrah.buckley@bpx.com

This email and any attachments are intended only for the addressee(s) listed above and may contain confidential, proprietary, and/or privileged information. If you are not an intended recipient, please immediately advise the sender by return email, delete this email and any attachments, and destroy any copies of same. Any unauthorized review, use, copying disclosure or distribution of this email and any attachments is prohibited.



BP America Production Company 380 Airport Rd Durango, CO 81303 Phone: (970) 247 6800

July 3, 2018

Bureau of Land Management Whitney Thomas 6251 College Suite A Farmington, NM 87402

VIA EMAIL

Re: Notification of plans to close/remove a below grade tank Well Name: GALLEGOS CANYON UNIT 208E API# - 3004523898

Dear Mrs. Thomas,

As part of the NM "Pit Rule": 19.15.17.13 Closure Requirements, Paragraph J. BP America Production Company (BP) is required to notify the surface owner of BP's plans to close/remove a below grade tank. BP wishes to inform you of our plans to close/remove the below grade tank on its well pad located on your surface. BP plans to commence this work on or about July 10, 2018. If there aren't any unforeseen problems, the work should be completed within 10 working days.

As a point of clarification, BP will be closing the below grade tank and either operating without one or replacing it with an above ground tank, the well site will continue to operate.

If witnessing of the tank removal is required please contact me for a specific time (832)-609-7048.

Sincerely,

Erin Garifalos

BP America Production Company

CHENT: BP		NEERING, INC.	API#: 3004523898
CLIENT:		OMFIELD, NM 87413 632-1199	TANK ID (if applicble):
FIELD REPORT:	(circle one): BGT CONFIRMATION / RELE	EASE INVESTIGATION / OTHER:	PAGE#:1 of1_
SITE INFORMATION	: SITE NAME: GCU # 208	E	DATE STARTED: 07/16/18
QUAD/UNIT: SEC: 15 TWP:	28N RNG: 12W PM: N	M CNTY: SJ ST: NM	DATE FINISHED:
1/4-1/4/FOOTAGE: 1,800'S / 835 LEASE #: SF078106		FEDERAL STATE / FEE / INDIAN	ENVIRONMENTAL SPECIALIST(S): NJV
	- 1	ACTOR: BP - J. GONZALES	
REFERENCE POINT	WELL HEAD (W.H.) GPS COO GPS COORD.: 36.659	RD.: 36.65993 X 108.0929	91 GL ELEV: 5,655' #BEARING FROM W.H.: 64.5', N68.5E
2)		DISTANCE	:/BEARING FROM W.H.:
	GPS COORD.:		COLOR OF THE SECRETARIAN SECRE
SAMPLING DATA:	CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECORD(S) # OR LAB		OVM READING
	5) - B SAMPLE DATE:07/16/18		(ppm)
	SAMPLE DATE:		1.5
	SAMPLE DATE:		
	SAMPLE DATE:		
	SAMPLE DATE:		
SOIL COLOR: PALE YELLOWS COHESION (ALL OTHERS): NON COHESIVE SLIGHTLY CONSISTENCY (NON COHESIVE SOILS): LC MOISTURE: DRY SLIGHTLY MOIST MOIST / W	COHESIVE / COHESIVE / HIGHLY COHESIVE DENS OSE FIRM DENSE VERY DENSE ET / SATURATED / SUPER SATURATED		C / COHESIVE / MEDIUM PLASTIC / HIGHLY PLASTIC RM / STIFF / VERY STIFF / HARD
SAMPLE TYPE: GRAB COMPOSITE #		AREAS DISPLAYING WETNESS: YES NO EX	PLANATION -
DISCOLORATION/STAINING OBSERVED: YES N			
APPARENT EVIDENCE OF A RELEASE OBSERVE EQUIPMENT SET OVER RECLAIMED AREA: OTHER: NMOCD OR BLM REPS. NOT PR	YES NO EXPLANATION -	DN:	NG AT ITS BASE.
EXCAVATION DIMENSION ESTIMATION:	NA ft. X NA ft.	X NA ft. EXCAVATION	ESTIMATION (Cubic Yards) : NA
DEPTH TO GROUNDWATER: >100' N			NMOCD TPH CLOSURE STD: 2,500 ppm
SITE SKETCH	BGT Located: off on site	PLOT PLAN circle: attached	OVM CALIB. READ. = 99.6 ppm RF =1.00
		A	OVM CALIB. GAS = 100 ppm
		NI	TIME: 2:05 am(pm) DATE: 07/16/18
	BERM	**1	MISCELL. NOTES
	J		WO:
			REF#: P-979
	X X PBG	GTL	VID: VHIXONEVB2
	X X X T.B.	~ 5'	PJ#:
	В.	.G.	Permit date(s): 06/03/10
	TO FENCE		OCD Appr. date(s): 03/07/17 Tank OVM = Organic Vapor Meter
,	W.F1.		ID ppm = parts per million
			BGT Sidewalls Visible: Y / N BGT Sidewalls Visible: Y / N
NOTES, DOT - DELON/CDADE TANK, E.D EVOAVATO	NI DEDDECOION, D.C DELOWODADE, D DELOW	X - S.P.D.	BGT Sidewalls Visible: Y / N
NOTES: BGT = BELOW-GRADE TANK; E.D. = EXCAVATION T.B. = TANK BOTTOM; PBGTL = PREVIOUS BEL	IN DEPRESSION; B.G. = BELOW GRADE; B = BELOW; T. DW-GRADE TANK LOCATION; SPD = SAMPLE POINT DE		Magnetic declination: 10° E
APPLICABLE OR NOT AVAILABLE; SW - SINGLE	WALL, DW - DOUBLE WALL, SB - SINGLE BOTTOM, DE	B - DOUBLE BOTTOM.	Magnotto acolination. 10 L
NOTES: GOOGLE EARTH IMAGI	KY DATE: 3/15/2015	ONSITE: 07/16/18	

Analytical Report Lab Order 1807835

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Date Reported: 7/19/2018

CLIENT: Blagg Engineering

1807835-002

Project: GCU 208E

Lab ID:

Client Sample ID: 5PC-TB @ 5' (95)-B

Collection Date: 7/16/2018 1:15:00 PM

Received Date: 7/17/2018 8:25:00 AM

Analyses	Result	PQL (Qual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 300.0: ANIONS					Analyst:	CJS
Chloride	34	30	mg/Kg	20	7/17/2018 1:00:34 PM	39246
EPA METHOD 8015D MOD: GASOLINE RANGE					Analyst:	AG
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	3.8	mg/Kg	1	7/17/2018 11:52:42 AM	A52754
Surr: BFB	110	70-130	%Rec	1	7/17/2018 11:52:42 AM	A52754
EPA METHOD 8015M/D: DIESEL RANGE ORGA	NICS				Analyst:	Irm
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	10	mg/Kg	1	7/17/2018 11:43:35 AM	39239
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	50	mg/Kg	1	7/17/2018 11:43:35 AM	39239
Surr: DNOP	95.1	70-130	%Rec	1	7/17/2018 11:43:35 AM	39239
EPA METHOD 8260B: VOLATILES SHORT LIST					Analyst:	AG
Benzene	ND	0.019	mg/Kg	1	7/17/2018 11:52:42 AM	B52754
Toluene	ND	0.038	mg/Kg	1	7/17/2018 11:52:42 AM	B52754
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.038	mg/Kg	1	7/17/2018 11:52:42 AM	B52754
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.075	mg/Kg	1	7/17/2018 11:52:42 AM	B52754
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	123	70-130	%Rec	1	7/17/2018 11:52:42 AM	B52754
Surr: Toluene-d8	93.1	70-130	%Rec	1	7/17/2018 11:52:42 AM	B52754

Matrix: SOIL

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- PQL Practical Quanitative Limit
- S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits Page 2 of 6
- P Sample pH Not In Range
- RL Reporting Detection Limit
- W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

																					*
	hain-	of-Cus	stody Record	Turn-Around	Time:	SAME					A.	LL	E	NV	/[RO	NI	ME	רא	ΓΑΙ	L
Client:	BLAG	G ENGR.	/ BP AMERICA	☐ Standard	☑ Rush _	DAY												RA			
			W.	Project Name							ww	w.ha	allen	viro	nme	ental	.com	n			
Mailing A	ddress:	P.O. BO	X 87	1	GCU # 20	8E		49	01 H	ławi	kins l	NE -	- Alk	ouqu	ierq	ue, N	1M 8	37109	9		
		BLOOM	FIELD, NM 87413	Project #:		77 1000 to 1				05-3						-345					
Phone #:	nger menghaha yang kalangan anan	(505) 63	2-1199	1								WAS TO BE	SOMM		SALES SALES	ques	TOTAL PROPERTY.				
email or F	ax#:			Project Manag	jer:								SUB GR					1			
QA/QC Pa			Level 4 (Full Validation)		ERIN GARI	FALOS	(8021B)	+ TPH (Gas only)	/ MRO)			(S)		04,504	PCB's			er - 300.1)			a)
Accreditat	ion:			Sampler:	NELSON VI	ELEZ	8 (8((Gas	/ DRO /	1)	1)	NIS(102,	3082			/ water			sample
□ NELAP	>	□ Other		On lice:	ī y 2Yes	™No : 777	1	TPH	0/0	418.	504.1)	8270SIMS)		03,N	8/8		(A)	0.00			e sa
□ EDD (1	ype)			Sample Temp	erature	1	I.		(GR(pou	pou	or	etals	N,	cide	(A)		ii - 3(e e	losit // n
Date	Time	Matrix	Sample Request ID	Container Type and #	Preservative Type	HEAL NO.	BTEX +**	BTEX + MTBE	TPH 8015B (GRO	TPH (Method 418.1)	EDB (Method	PAH (8310 or	RCRA 8 Metals	Anions (F,Cl,NO ₃ ,NO ₂ ,PO ₄ ,SO ₄)	8081 Pesticides / 8082 PCB's	8260B (VOA)	8270 (Semi-VOA)	Chloride (soil - 300.0	Agential Accounts to the second	Grab sample	5 pt. composite sa Air Rubblac IV or MI
7/./-	1270	COU	FDC TR G T / (OF) A	meaket	Gool		<u>m</u>	<u>m</u>	-	-	Ш	<u>a</u>	~	Q	00	00	00	0			N
110110	7030	30.0		7 451 5		201			_										\dashv	\mp	干
-1.1	1315	SOIL	5PC-TB@ 5' (95)-B	100 1	Cool	100	-/	-	-1		_							- //	\dashv	+	_
7/16/18	1313	SOIL	3PC-1B@ 5 (93)-B	4 oz 1	Cool	702	٧		٧									٧	-	+	٧
							\vdash												-	+	
								_											-	\dashv	+
											7				_			-	_	_	
															_				_	_	_
																			_	_	
										-									_		
													i,				- 1				
Date: 7/16/18	Time:	Relinquishe	la J	Received by:	Nalle .	Date Time	Rem			& RE	FEREN	VCE #	WHEN	N APP	LICA			VITH C	ORRES	PONE	DING VIE
Date:	Time:	Relinquishe	ed by:	Received by:		Date Time	1	1	un.	VILIE	VOBIL	EVB2									

QC SUMMARY REPORT

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1807835

19-Jul-18

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

GCU 208E

Sample ID MB-39246

SampType: mblk

TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

Client ID:

PBS

Batch ID: 39246

PQL

1.5

1.5

RunNo: 52750

Prep Date: 7/17/2018

Analysis Date: 7/17/2018

SeqNo: 1733779

Units: mg/Kg

%RPD

Analyte

Result ND SPK value SPK Ref Val %REC LowLimit

HighLimit

RPDLimit

Qual

Chloride

Sample ID LCS-39246

SampType: Ics

TestCode: EPA Method 300.0: Anions

Client ID: LCSS

Batch ID: 39246

RunNo: 52750

Prep Date:

7/17/2018

Analysis Date: 7/17/2018

SeqNo: 1733780

Units: mg/Kg

%RPD **RPDLimit** Qual

Analyte

SPK value SPK Ref Val

%REC 93.5

LowLimit

HighLimit

Chloride

Result 14

15.00

0

110

Qualifiers:

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix

Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded H

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

PQL Practical Quanitative Limit

% Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

E Value above quantitation range

Analyte detected below quantitation limits

P Sample pH Not In Range

Reporting Detection Limit

Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

Page 3 of 6

'QC SUMMARY REPORT

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1807835

19-Jul-18

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

GCU 208E

								AND WAY THE RESIDENCE OF		
Sample ID MB-39239	SampType: MBLK TestCode: EPA Method 8015M/D: Diesel Range Organics									
Client ID: PBS	Batch	Batch ID: 39239 RunNo: 52741								
Prep Date: 7/17/2018	Analysis D	ate: 7/	17/2018	S	eqNo: 1	732300	Units: mg/K	(g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	10				***************************************				
Motor Oil Range Organics (MRO)	ND	50								
Surr: DNOP	9.0		10.00		90.3	70	130			
Sample ID LCS-39239	SampT	ype: LC	S	Tes	Code: El	PA Method	8015M/D: Die	esel Range	e Organics	
Client ID: LCSS	Batch	ID: 39	239	F	tunNo: 5	2741				
Prep Date: 7/17/2018	Analysis D	ate: 7/	17/2018	S	eqNo: 1	732301	Units: mg/K	(g		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	47	10	50.00	0	93.1	70	130			
Surr: DNOP	4.2		5.000		83.0	70	130			

Qualifiers:

* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

PQL Practical Quanitative Limit

S % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

E Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

Page 4 of 6

P Sample pH Not In Range

RL Reporting Detection Limit

W Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

'QC SUMMARY REPORT

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1807835

19-Jul-18

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

GCU 208E

										and the second second second second
Sample ID 100ng btex Ics	SampType: LCS4			TestCode: EPA Method 8260B: Volatiles Short List						
Client ID: BatchQC	Batch ID: B52754 RunNo: 52754									
Prep Date:	Analysis D	Date: 7/	: 7/17/2018 SeqNo: 1732511			Units: mg/Kg				
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	1.0	0.025	1.000	0	102	80	120			
Toluene	1.1	0.050	1.000	0	107	80	120			
Ethylbenzene	1.1	0.050	1.000	0	106	80	120			
Xylenes, Total	3.1	0.10	3.000	0	104	80	120			
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.49		0.5000		98.4	70	130			
Surr: Toluene-d8	0.48		0.5000		95.5	70	130			
Sample ID rb	SampType: MBLK TestCode: EPA Method 8260B: Volatiles Short List									
Client ID: PBS	Batch	n ID: B5		F	RunNo: 5	2754				
	Batch Analysis D		2754		RunNo: 5		Units: mg/K	(g		
Client ID: PBS			2754 17/2018				Units: mg/K	(g %RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Client ID: PBS Prep Date:	Analysis D	Date: 7/	2754 17/2018	S	SeqNo: 1	732519				Qual
Client ID: PBS Prep Date: Analyte	Analysis D	PQL	2754 17/2018	S	SeqNo: 1	732519				Qual
Client ID: PBS Prep Date: Analyte Benzene	Analysis D Result ND	PQL 0.025	2754 17/2018	S	SeqNo: 1	732519				Qual
Client ID: PBS Prep Date: Analyte Benzene Toluene	Analysis D Result ND ND	PQL 0.025 0.050	2754 17/2018	S	SeqNo: 1	732519				Qual
Client ID: PBS Prep Date: Analyte Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene	Analysis D Result ND ND ND	PQL 0.025 0.050 0.050	2754 17/2018	S	SeqNo: 1	732519				Qual

Qualifiers:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- D Sample Diluted Due to Matrix
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- Practical Quanitative Limit PQL
- % Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix
- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Value above quantitation range
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- Reporting Detection Limit
- P Sample pH Not In Range

RL

Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

Page 5 of 6

QC SUMMARY REPORT

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#:

1807835

19-Jul-18

Client:

Blagg Engineering

Project:

GCU 208E

Sample ID	2.5ug	gro	lcs	

SampType: LCS

TestCode: EPA Method 8015D Mod: Gasoline Range

Client ID: LCSS

Batch ID: A52754

RunNo: 52754

PQL

Units: mg/Kg

Prep Date:

Analysis Date: 7/17/2018

SeqNo: 1732508

Analyte Gasoline Range Organics (GRO) Surr: BFB

Result 26 460

SPK value SPK Ref Val 5.0 25.00 500.0

%REC LowLimit 0 102 91.3

HighLimit %RPD 130 130

RPDLimit

Qual

Sample ID rb

SampType: MBLK

TestCode: EPA Method 8015D Mod: Gasoline Range

Client ID:

Batch ID: A52754

PQL

5.0

RunNo: 52754

Prep Date:

Surr: BFB

Analysis Date: 7/17/2018

SeqNo: 1732509

Units: mg/Kg

HighLimit

RPDLimit Qual

Analyte Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)

ND 490

Result

500.0

SPK value SPK Ref Val

98.7

%REC

70

LowLimit

70

70

130

%RPD

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

D

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

Practical Quanitative Limit POL

% Recovery outside of range due to dilution or matrix

В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

Page 6 of 6

P Sample pH Not In Range

RL Reporting Detection Limit

Sample container temperature is out of limit as specified

Oualifiers:

Sample Diluted Due to Matrix

ND



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

Sample Log-In Check List

Client Name:	BLAGG	Work Order Number	: 1807835		RcptNo:	1
Received By:	Anne Thorne	7/17/2018 8:25:00 AM		anne Il	_	
Completed By:	Anne Thorne EN M	7/17/2018 8:33:37 AM		Anne St Anne St	~	
Labeled	by: 15-07/17/1	₹				
Chain of Cus	stody			_		
1. Is Chain of C	sustody complete?		Yes 🗸	No 🗌	Not Present	
2. How was the	sample delivered?		Courier			
Log In						
	npt made to cool the samples?		Yes 🗸	No 🗌	NA 🗆	
4. Were all same	ples received at a temperature	of >0° C to 6 0°C	Yes 🗸	No 🗆	NA 🗆	
,, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			103 &			
5. Sample(s) in	proper container(s)?		Yes 🗸	No 🗌		
6. Sufficient sam	nple volume for indicated test(s)	?	Yes 🗸	No 🗌		
7. Are samples ((except VOA and ONG) properly	y preserved?	Yes 🗸	No 🗌		
8. Was preserva	ative added to bottles?		Yes	No 🗹	NA 🗌	
9. VOA vials hav	ve zero headspace?		Yes	No 🗌	No VOA Vials	
10. Were any sar	mple containers received broker	1?	Yes	No 🗸	# of preserved	-
					bottles checked	
	ork match bottle labels?		Yes 🗸	No 🗆	for pH:	>12 unless noted)
	ancies on chain of custody)	Cuntadi/2	Yes 🗸	No 🗌	Adjusted?	>12 dilless floted)
	correctly identified on Chain of C	oustody?	Yes 🗸	No 🗆		
	t analyses were requested? ng times able to be met?		Yes 🗸	No 🗆	Checked by:	
	ustomer for authorization.)		165	140		
Special Handl	ing (if applicable)					
15. Was client no	otified of all discrepancies with the	his order?	Yes 🗌	No 🗌	NA 🗹	
Person	Notified:	Date	THE STATE OF THE S	PROCESS CONTRACTOR ACCUSANCE AND ACCUSANCE A		
By Who	om:	Via:	eMail	Phone Fax	In Person	
Regard	ing:	Total Annia Annia and Annia	- JOHNACO PARADORNA	AND	CONTRACTOR AND	
Client In	nstructions:	AND THE REAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	CONTRACTOR AND ENGINEERS		MANAGEMENT OF STATE O	
16. Additional res	marks:			1		J
17. Cooler Infor	mation					
Cooler No	- Access - Commission - Commiss	al Intact Seal No S	Seal Date	Signed By		
1	2.1 Good Yes					



