DISTRICT II

State of New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department

Form C-103 Revised 1-1-89

P.O. Box 1980, Hobbs, NM 88240

811 South First, Artesia NM 88210

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

WELL API NO.

STATE

2040 South Pacheco Santa Fe, NM 87505

Indicate Type of Lease

30-039-30185

□ FED

State Oil & Gas Lease No.

Lease Name or Unit Agreement

Rosa Unit

DISTRICT III 1000 Rio Brazos Rd, Aztec, NM 87410

SUNDRY NOTICES AND REPORTS ON WELLS (DO NOT USE THIS FORM FOR PROPOSALS TO DRILL OR TO DEEPEN OR PLUG BACK TO A DIFFERENT RESERVOIR. USE "APPLICATION FOR PERMIT" (FORM C-101) FOR SUCH

PROPOSALS

Name:

Type of Well:

Oil Well

2.

Gas Well

Other

Well No.

WILLIAMS PRODUCTION COMPANY

Address of Operator

Name of Operator

Rosa Unit #187C 9. Pool name or Wildcat

P.O. Box 640, Aztec, NM 87410

BLANCO MV/BASIN MANCOS/BASIN DK

Well Location (Surface)

Unit letter M: 1090 feet from the SOUTH line & 1145 feet from the WEST line Sec 21-31N-5W RIO ARRIBA, NM

10. Elevation (Show whether DF, RKB, RT, GR, etc. 6801' GR

Check Appropriate Box to Indicate Nature of Notice, Report or Other Data

NOTICE OF INTENTION TO:

SUBSEQUENT REPORT OF:

PERFORM REMEDIAL

PLUG AND ABANDON

REMEDIAL WORK

ALTERING CASING

WORK

TEMPORARILY ABANDON

CHANGE PLANS

COMMENCE DRILLING OPNS

PLUG AND **ABANDONMENT**

PULL OR ALTER CASING

X OTHER: COMMINGLING AUTHORIZATION

CASING TEST AND CEMENT JOB

OTHER:

Describe proposed or completed operations. (Clearly state all pertinent details, and give pertinent dates, including estimated date of starting any proposed work). Data below to satisfy NM OCD Rule 303.C.3 (b) (i)-(vii)

Pre-approved Pool Division Order R-13122.

RCVD APR 30'10 OIL CONS. DIV. DIST. 3

ıi.

Pools to be commingled: Blanco MV 72319, Basın Mancos 97232, Basin Dakota 71599. Perforated intervals: Blanco MV 5950'-6442', Basin Mancos 7083'-8210', Basin Dakota 8414'-8522'. ıiı.

Fixed percentage allocation based upon production data of 39% Blanco MV, 37% Basin Mancos, and 24% Basin Dakota. This is iv. based on the historic production of all wells that have MV/MC/DK production See attached recommendation for details. This allocation may be adjusted at a later date based on a spinner survey after production has stabilized

Commingling will not reduce the value of reserves v.

All interest owners in the spacing unit have not been notified of the intent to downhole commingle per order R-12991. vi.

The BLM has been notified on sundry notice form 3160-5. vii

I hereby certify that the information above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

SIGNATURE CONTRACTOR

TITLE: Permit Supervisor DATE: 4-30-10.

Type or print name Larry Higgins

Telephone No: <u>(505) 634-4208</u>

(This space for State use)

Deputy Oil & Gas Inspector,

APPROVED

District #3

DATE APR 3 0 2010

BYConditions of approval, if any



ENERGY SERVICES Exploration & Production June 9, 2009

Initial allocation of production for Rosa Unit new drills completed in the Dakota, Mancos and MesaVerde

Using historic production from recently (after Jan 2003) completed wells and forecasted production from Mancos wells very recently completed (late 2008), an allocation percentage was calculated for all three zones. This allocation will be used for the first 12-18 months of production on the well. After this time a production logging tool will be run (spinner survey or equivalent) to better estimate the production allocation percentage. (See attached production plot and forecast for allocation data.)

For the first 12 months

Total Production from well = 274.325 MMcf
Total Production from Dakota = 60.205 MMcf
Total Production from Mancos = 106.644 MMcf
Total Production from MesaVerde = 107.475 MMcf

Dakota allocation = Dakota prod / Total prod = 60.205 MMcf /274.325 MMcf = 22%

Mancos allocation = Mancos prod / Total prod = 106.644 MMcf /274.325 MMcf = 39%

MesaVerde allocation = MesaVerde prod / Total prod = 107.475 MMcf/274.325 MMcf = 39%

Other methods of allocation considered:

<u>Flow test through a separator</u> – Differences in decline rates between the reservoirs may lead to a large difference in allocation at the end of a year. Additionally, stimulation fluid that remains in the near-wellbore formation may mask the reservoirs true potential in the short term.

Extended isolated flow (flowing each zone individually for 3-6 months) – This method may yield better results than the immediate flow through the separator, there is still the concern about the formation potential in the short term. Additionally, as the lower formations sit under bridge plugs for a year or more the formation may be damaged by not effectively removing the stimulation fluids and ultimately less reserves would be recovered.